

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE HOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF CONSTANT-RANK OPERATORS

ADOLFO ARROYO-RABASA AND JOSÉ SIMENTAL

ABSTRACT. Using properties of the alternating algebra, we give a surprisingly simple and constructive proof of Raiță's result that every constant-rank operator \mathcal{A} possesses an exact potential \mathcal{B} and an exact annihilator \mathcal{Q} . Our construction is completely self-contained and improves on the order of both the operators constructed by Raiță and the explicit formula for annihilators of elliptic operators due to Van Schaftingen. We also prove a generalized Poincaré lemma for a class of mean-value zero Schwartz functions defined on full-space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let V, W be \mathbb{R} -vector spaces of dimensions N, M , respectively. We consider a homogeneous constant coefficient differential operator on \mathbb{R}^d from V to W , that is,

$$\mathcal{A}(D) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_\alpha \partial^\alpha,$$

where the coefficients A_α belong to $\text{Lin}(V; W)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ is a multi-index with modulus $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d = k$ and ∂^α is the composition of partial distributional derivatives $\partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_d^{\alpha_d}$. As our main and only assumption, we require that $\mathcal{A}(D)$ satisfies the constant-rank property: there exists a non-negative integer r such that

$$(1) \quad \text{rank } A(\xi) = r \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d - \{0\},$$

where, as usual,

$$A(\xi) := \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_\alpha \xi^\alpha, \quad \xi^\alpha := \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_d^{\alpha_d}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is the principal symbol associated to the operator $\mathcal{A}(D)$.

In order to motivate this framework, let us briefly discuss its origins as well as some elements of its more recent theory. Operators of constant rank were considered by Schulenberger & Wilcox [SW72a, SW72b] to prove Hilbert-space coercive inequalities

$$\|Du\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\mathcal{A}u\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^2},$$

for non-elliptic first-order operators in full space. Murat built upon these ideas to establish that (1) is a *sufficient* condition for the existence of a linear bounded projection $P : L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto $\ker \mathcal{A}(D)$ satisfying

$$\|Pu\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, \mathcal{A})\|u\|_{L^p}$$

for all $1 < p < \infty$. Murat's work nourished the development of the *compensated compactness theory* for Sobolev spaces associated to anisotropic operators (see [Mur81] and references therein). These inequalities would later be improved by Fonseca and Müller [FM99] (see also [ADR20] where the trivial extension to higher order operators is established), who demonstrated that Murat's projection for constant-rank operators gives rise to a Korn-type inequality

$$(2) \quad \|D^k(u - Pu)\|_{L^p} \leq C(p, \mathcal{A})\|\mathcal{A}u\|_{L^p},$$

widely known as the Fonseca–Müller projection estimate. Recently, Guerra and Raiță [GR20] showed that the constant-rank property is also a *necessary* condition for the validity of (2).

Lastly, and crucial to the motivation for the content of this note, Raiță proved in [Rai19, Theorem 1] that the constant-rank property (1) is also a sufficient and necessary condition for the existence of potentials for operators of constant rank. More precisely, he proved that $\mathcal{A}(D)$ has constant rank if and only if there exists a homogeneous polynomial $B : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \text{Lin}(V, V)$ such that

$$(3) \quad \text{Im } B(\xi) = \ker A(\xi) \quad \text{for all non-zero } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

He exploited this purely algebraic *homological* property to show that, when restricted to sufficiently regular mean-value zero \mathbb{Z}^d -periodic maps $v : \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow V$, the constant rank assumption implies the following generalized Poincaré lemma:

$$\mathcal{A}v = 0 \quad \implies \quad u = \mathcal{B}u \quad \text{for some } u : \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow W.$$

This homology-type result has proved to be a very useful tool to solve some long-standing questions in the calculus of variations related to the study of oscillations and concentration effects associated to sequences of PDE-constrained maps (see [Arr21, KR19]).

The goal of this note is to give an alternative, rather elementary and self-contained proof of (3), which avoids the use of the Moore–Penrose pseudo-inverse of the principal symbol map $\xi \mapsto A(\xi)$ or its regularity properties. Our construction also conveys an improvement on the degree of the potential $\mathcal{B}(D)$ associated to $\mathcal{A}(D)$ obtained by Raiță. Lastly, we also discuss the homological properties of chain complexes associated to constant-rank operators for spaces of functions defined in the full space \mathbb{R}^d . In particular, we observe that also a generalized Poincaré lemma holds for a class of *zero mean-value* Schwartz functions $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; V)$.

2. RESULTS

2.1. Construction of an annihilator. Given a constant-rank operator $\mathcal{A}(D)$ as above, we prove a result similar in spirit to that of [Rai19, Theorem 1]. We will comment on the differences below, see Remark 2. The construction of a potential $\mathcal{B}(D)$ as in the introduction will follow directly from the construction of the annihilator $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ and a simple duality argument (cf. Theorem 3).

Notation. Let us choose bases e_1, \dots, e_N of V and f_1, \dots, f_M of W , so that we can think of $A(\xi)$ as a matrix in $\text{Mat}(M \times N)$ whose coefficients are homogeneous polynomials of degree k in ξ_1, \dots, ξ_d . We will denote the columns of this matrix by

$a_1(\xi), \dots, a_N(\xi)$. We will consider the vector space

$$(4) \quad X := \binom{r+1}{\bigwedge W} \binom{N}{r}$$

of dimension $\binom{M}{r+1} \binom{N}{r}$ where, recall, r is the rank of $A(\xi)$ for $\xi \neq 0$.

Now we can introduce our main construction, which is a linear transformation $Q(\xi) : W \rightarrow X$ depending on ξ_1, \dots, ξ_d in a polynomial manner as follows:

$$(5) \quad Q(\xi)(w) := (a_{i_1}(\xi) \wedge \dots \wedge a_{i_r}(\xi) \wedge w)_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq N}$$

Notation. In an obvious way, $Q(\xi)$ is the principal symbol of a homogeneous differential operator on \mathbb{R}^d from W to X , that we denote by $\mathcal{Q}(D)$.

The following result shows that $Q(\xi)$ is an exact algebraic annihilator of $A(\xi)$:

Theorem 1. *The operator $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ satisfies the following properties.*

- (1) $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ is homogeneous of order rk .
- (2) The principal symbol $Q(\xi)$ of $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ satisfies:

$$\text{Im } A(\xi) = \ker Q(\xi) \quad \text{for all non-zero } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

Proof. Property (1) is immediate from the definition, so we only need to show Property (2). We separate this into proving two set inclusions. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be non-zero.

First, we prove that if $w \in \text{im } A(\xi)$, then $Q(\xi)w = 0$. By linearity, it suffices to show this for $w = a_i(\xi)$, $i = 1, \dots, M$. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ be a strictly ordered subset of $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Since $\text{rank}(A(\xi)) \leq r$, either there is a repeated element in $\{a_{i_1}(\xi), \dots, a_{i_r}(\xi), a_i(\xi)\}$ or this set is linearly dependent. We get

$$a_{i_1}(\xi) \wedge \dots \wedge a_{i_r}(\xi) \wedge a_i(\xi) = 0 \quad \forall I \implies Q(\xi)a_i(\xi) = 0.$$

This proves that $\text{Im } A(\xi) \subset \ker Q(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$.

Now take $w \in \ker B(\xi)$. Since $\text{rank}(A(\xi)) \geq r$, there exists a subset $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_r\} \subseteq [1, N]$ such that the set $\{a_{i_1}(\xi), \dots, a_{i_r}(\xi)\}$ is linearly independent. But by our assumption on w , $a_{i_1}(\xi) \wedge \dots \wedge a_{i_r}(\xi) \wedge w = 0$, so $\{a_{i_1}(\xi), \dots, a_{i_r}(\xi), w\}$ is linearly dependent. This means that w belongs to the span of $a_{i_1}(\xi), \dots, a_{i_r}(\xi)$, and thus to the image of $A(\xi)$. This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 2. We compare Theorem 1 with the construction of [Rai19, Theorem 1]. In *loc. cit.*, Raiță constructs an homogeneous differential operator \mathcal{Q}' on \mathbb{R}^d from W to W satisfying analogous properties to those of Theorem 1. The construction of Raiță builds upon the (non trivial) fact that the Moore–Penrose pseudo-inverse of $A(\xi)$ is a rational function on ξ , and the operator \mathcal{Q}' is homogeneous of order $2rk$.¹ Our construction, on the other hand, is completely elementary and gives a differential operator of order rk .

¹The use of multipliers defined by the Moore–Penrose pseudo-inverse of the principal symbol as a means to establish Poincaré-type estimates for constant-rank operators dates back to the work of Gustafson [Gus11].

Elliptic operators. We would also like to compare our construction to those of Van Schaftingen in the case where \mathcal{A} is an elliptic operator, i.e., $A(\xi)$ is injective for every non-zero ξ . In the elliptic case, our Q and X are simply given by

$$Q(\xi)(w) = a_1(\xi) \wedge \cdots \wedge a_r(\xi) \wedge w, \quad X = \bigwedge^N W.$$

The appearance of the $\binom{N}{r}$ exponent in the definition of X for operators of rank r originates from the fact that, while we know that some collection $a_{i_1}(\xi), \dots, a_{i_r}(\xi)$ forms a basis for $\text{Im}(A(\xi))$, we do not know a priori which one (and this collection may depend on ξ) so we need to test all of them. In [VS13, Remark 4.1], Van Schaftingen constructed an explicit annihilator L from W to W given by the formula

$$L(\xi) = \det(A(\xi)^* \circ A(\xi)) \text{id}_W - A(\xi) \circ \text{adj}(A(\xi)^* \circ A(\xi)) \circ A(\xi)^*,$$

where adj is the adjugate operator. Its associated operator $\mathcal{L}(D)$ satisfies an exactness property analogous to (2) of Theorem 1, but it has order $2 \dim(V)k = 2rk$. In fact, the construction of Raiță in [Rai19] is a generalization of this construction, which is why it also gives annihilators and potentials of order $2rk$.

On the other hand, in [VS13, Lemma 4.4], Van Schaftingen gives an abstract construction of an operator of *minimal order* that satisfies the same properties. Note that our operator $Q(D)$, while improving on the order of those constructed in [Rai19, VS13] may not be of minimal order as it can be seen by comparing our construction with De Rahm's sequence for dimensions $d \geq 3$.

2.2. Homological properties of the symbol. The previous theorem and a simple duality argument imply the following version of [Rai19, Lemma 2].

Theorem 3. *Let $A(D)$ be a constant coefficient homogeneous operator of order k . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{rank } A(\xi) = r$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$,
- (2) *there exists a symbol complex*

$$U \xrightarrow{B(\xi)} V \xrightarrow{A(\xi)} W \xrightarrow{Q(\xi)} X$$

where both $B(\xi)$ and $Q(\xi)$ are homogeneous of order rk and

$$\text{Im } B(\xi) = \ker A(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im } A(\xi) = \ker Q(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$.

Proof. That (2) implies (1) follows directly from rank-nullity theorem. To see that (1) implies (2), we shall appeal to the construction of the previous theorem so that $Q(\xi)$ is precisely the symbol constructed there, i.e. X as in eq. (4) and Q as in eq. (5). To construct $B(\xi)$ we argue by duality. Since $\text{Im } A(\xi)^* = \ker A(\xi)^\perp$, it follows that the adjoint $A(\xi)^*$ also has order k and constant rank r . Thus, we may apply the previous lemma to $A(\xi)^*$ to find a symbol $B(\xi)^*$ of order rk and rank $\dim(V) - r$ satisfying $\text{Im } A(\xi)^* = \ker B(\xi)^*$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$. Dualizing this identity once more and writing $B(\xi) := B(\xi)^{**}$, we deduce that $B(\xi)$ is of order rk , of constant rank $\dim(V) - r$ and satisfies $\text{Im } B(\xi) = \ker A(\xi)$. \square

2.3. Homological properties of constant-rank operators. In order to lift the homological properties of the symbol complex (algebraic framework) to its associated chain complex (functional setting), we need to introduce a suitable space of functions. Let us recall that the space of Schwartz maps

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; V) := \left\{ u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; V) : \sup_{\alpha, \beta} \|x^\alpha \partial^\beta u(x)\|_\infty < \infty \right\}$$

is the space of smooth V -valued maps on \mathbb{R}^d whose derivatives of all orders decay faster than any polynomial rate at infinity. We consider its subspace

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; V) := \{ u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; V) : (\partial^\alpha \mathcal{F}u)(0) = 0 \text{ for every multi-index } \alpha \}.$$

Notice that if $u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$(6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \, dx = \mathcal{F}u(0) = 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}u(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i(\xi \cdot x)} u(x) \, dx,$$

is the Fourier transform of u . It is well known that \mathcal{F} defines a linear isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; V)$ into itself. Therefore, appealing to the Taylor expansion of $\mathcal{F}u$ at 0, it is also easy to verify that if $u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; V)$, then

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \xi \mapsto \frac{\mathcal{F}u(\xi)}{|\xi|^m} \right\} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; V) \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Lastly, we recall that $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d; V) = (C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; V))^*$ denotes the space of V -valued distributions over \mathbb{R}^d .

The following result is a full-space analog of [Rai19, Lemma 2], where a similar result has been established for functions defined over the flat torus $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ (see the discussion in Sect. 2.4 below).

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{A}(D)$ be a constant coefficient k^{th} order operator satisfying the constant-rank property*

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{A}(\xi) = r \quad \text{for all non-zero } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then, there exists a distributional chain complex

$$\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d; U) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(D)} \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d; V) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(D)} \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d; W) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}(D)} \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d; X),$$

i.e.,

$$\text{Im } \mathcal{B}(D) \subset \ker \mathcal{A}(D) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im } \mathcal{A}(D) \subset \ker \mathcal{Q}(D),$$

where $\mathcal{B}(D), \mathcal{Q}(D)$ are homogeneous of order $k' = rk$.

Moreover, this complex restricts to a chain complex

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; U) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(D)} \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; V) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(D)} \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; W) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}(D)} \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; X),$$

with trivial homology, that is,

$$\text{Im } \mathcal{B}(D) = \ker \mathcal{A}(D) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im } \mathcal{A}(D) = \ker \mathcal{Q}(D).$$

Proof. Let $B(\xi), Q(\xi)$ and U, X be the elements of the symbol complex given in Theorem 3. As before, we write $\mathcal{B}(D), \mathcal{Q}(D)$ to denote their associated operators, which are well defined on spaces of distributions. A standard localization and mollification argument, together with an application of the Fourier transform and (2) in Theorem 3, gives $\text{Im } \mathcal{B}(D) \subset \ker \mathcal{A}(D)$ and $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}(D) \subset \ker \mathcal{Q}(D)$. This proves that the sequence composed by $\mathcal{B}(D), \mathcal{A}(D), \mathcal{Q}(D)$ defines a chain complex (for all sub-spaces of distributions that are invariant under differentiation).

To prove the second statement, where we consider the chain complex over \mathcal{Z} -spaces of functions, it suffices to show that $\ker \mathcal{A}(D) \subseteq \text{Im } \mathcal{B}(D)$, for the inclusion $\ker \mathcal{Q}(D) \subseteq \text{Im } \mathcal{A}(D)$ is obtained analogously. The proof follows closely the ideas of Raiță's proof. Let us fix $v \in \ker \mathcal{A}(D)$. Applying the Fourier transform to v we find that

$$0 = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}v)(\xi) = (2ki)^k A(\xi) \mathcal{F}v(\xi),$$

which by construction implies that

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{F}v(\xi) \in \text{Im } B(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}.$$

We then define the function $u := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(B^\dagger \mathcal{F}v)$, where $B(\xi)^\dagger$ defines the Moore–Penrose pseudo-inverse of $B(\xi)$, which under the constant-rank assumption defines a smooth tensor map homogeneous of degree $-k'$ on $\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$, see e.g. [CM09]. The crucial step here is that, in light of (7), the map u belongs to $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; U)$. Hence, we are left to show that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B}u)(\xi) = B(\xi)B(\xi)^\dagger \mathcal{F}v(\xi) \stackrel{(9)}{=} \mathcal{F}v(\xi),$$

where we have used that the pseudo-inverse of a matrix g satisfies the fundamental algebraic identity $gg^\dagger = \text{proj}_{\text{Im } g}$. Inverting the Fourier transform, we conclude that $\mathcal{B}(D)u = v$, and thus we have $\ker \mathcal{A}(D) \subseteq \text{Im } \mathcal{B}(D)$ as desired. \square

In particular, we obtain the following full-space generalized Poincaré lemma for $\mathcal{A}(D)$ and its associated potential $\mathcal{B}(D)$ of order $k' = rk$.

Corollary 5 (Generalized Poincaré lemma). *Let $\mathcal{A}(D)$ be a constant coefficient k^{th} order operator satisfying the constant-rank property*

$$\text{rank } A(\xi) = r \quad \text{for all non-zero } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and let $v \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; V)$ be such that

$$(\mathcal{A}v)(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then there exists a function $u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^d; U)$ satisfying

$$v = \mathcal{B}u \quad \text{and} \quad \|D^{(rk)}u\|_{L^p} \leq C(\mathcal{A}, p)\|v\|_{L^p}$$

for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Proof. The first assertion follows by setting $u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(B^\dagger \mathcal{F}v)$ as in the previous proof. The gradient estimate follows from Gustafson's strategy [Gus11] of using the pseudo-inverse of the symbol to as a means to establish Calderón–Zygmund estimates: derivating this identity for u (k' times) and appealing to the properties of the Fourier transform we find that (recall that B^\dagger is smooth and homogeneous

of degree $-k'$ away from zero) $D^{k'}u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(T\mathcal{F}v)$ where T is smooth and homogeneous of degree zero on $\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$. Thus, by the L^p -theory of singular integrals, there exists a constant $C(\mathcal{A}, p)$ (d and B depend on A) such that

$$\|D^{k'}u\|_{L^p} \leq C(\mathcal{A}, p)\|v\|_{L^p}.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 6. Notice that in establishing (8) and Corollary 5 we have used the smoothness of B^\dagger on $\mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$; crucial to the application of Mihlin's theorem or the theory of singular integrals. The use of this (somewhat non-trivial) property should in theory be unnecessary in light of our construction, however in this case it significantly simplifies the presentation.

2.4. The homology for spaces Schwartz functions. Let us finish by giving an example to show that, in general, the homology of a chain complex of algebraically exact constant-rank operators

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; U) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(D)} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; V) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(D)} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; W) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}(D)} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; X),$$

may be non-trivial.² Note that this corrects a minor oversight in the last assertion of [Rai19, Lemma 2].

Example 7. Let $\mathcal{A}(\partial)$ be the derivative operator acting on functions of one variable

$$\mathcal{A}(\partial)(u) = \frac{du}{dt}, \quad u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

This defines an operator on \mathbb{R} , from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} , of rank 1. In particular the symbol $A(t)$ is onto for all non-zero $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and therefore any homogeneous annihilator $Q(t)$ of $A(t)$ must be the zero polynomial. Note, however, that if we consider $\mathcal{A}(D), \mathcal{Q}(D)$ as operators $\mathcal{A}(D), \mathcal{Q}(D) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ then $\ker \mathcal{Q}(\partial)/\text{Im } \mathcal{A}(\partial) \neq \{0\}$. Indeed, the fundamental theorem of calculus implies that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{dt} dt = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} u(t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

However, $\ker \mathcal{Q}(\partial) \equiv \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, which contains functions with non-zero average so that $\ker \mathcal{Q}(\partial)/\text{Im } \mathcal{A}(\partial)$ is, in fact, infinite-dimensional. Thus, the conclusion of Theorem 4 is not valid when \mathcal{Z} is replaced by \mathcal{S} .

Acknowledgements. We thank André Guerra for pointing out that the homology over the space of Schwartz functions may be nontrivial. AAR has been supported by European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme during his research position at the University of Warwick and by the ERC-STG Grant n. 759229 HiCoS "Higher Co-dimension Singularities: Minimal Surfaces and the Thin Obstacle Problem" in his current position at the Sapienza University of Rome. JS is grateful for the financial support and hospitality of the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, where this work was carried out.

²The observation that the homology is not trivial for spaces of Schwartz functions is due to André Guerra, who pointed it to the first author during a visit at Oxford University.

REFERENCES

- [ADR20] Adolfo Arroyo-Rabasa, Guido De Philippis, and Filip Rindler, *Lower semicontinuity and relaxation of linear-growth integral functionals under PDE constraints*, Adv. Calc. Var. **13** (2020), no. 3, 219–255. MR 4116615
- [Arr21] Adolfo Arroyo-Rabasa, *Characterization of generalized Young measures generated by \mathcal{A} -free measures*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. (online 2021).
- [CM09] Stephen L. Campbell and Carl D. Meyer, *Generalized inverses of linear transformations*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, vol. 56, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2009. MR 3396208
- [FM99] Irene Fonseca and Stefan Müller, *\mathcal{A} -quasiconvexity, lower semicontinuity, and Young measures*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **30** (1999), no. 6, 1355–1390. MR 1718306
- [GR20] André Guerra and Bogdan Raiță, *On the necessity of the constant rank condition for L^p estimates*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **358** (2020), no. 9-10, 1091–1095. MR 4196780
- [Gus11] Derek Gustafson, *A generalized Poincaré inequality for a class of constant coefficient differential operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 8, 2721–2728. MR 2801612
- [KR19] Jan Kristensen and Bogdan Raiță, *Oscillation and concentration in sequences of pde constrained measures*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.09190 (2019).
- [Mur81] François Murat, *Compacité par compensation: condition nécessaire et suffisante de continuité faible sous une hypothèse de rang constant*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **8** (1981), no. 1, 69–102. MR 616901
- [Rai19] Bogdan Raiță, *Potentials for \mathcal{A} -quasiconvexity*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **58** (2019), no. 3, Paper No. 105, 16. MR 3958799
- [SW72a] John Schulenberger and Calvin Wilcox, *A coerciveness inequality for a class of nonelliptic operators of constant deficit*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **92** (1972), 77–84. MR 316867
- [SW72b] ———, *A coerciveness inequality for a class of nonelliptic operators of constant deficit*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **92** (1972), 77–84. MR 316867
- [VS13] Jean Van Schaftingen, *Limiting Sobolev inequalities for vector fields and canceling linear differential operators*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** (2013), no. 3, 877–921. MR 3085095

LA SAPIENZA UNIVERSITA DI ROMA. PIAZZALE ALDO MORO 5, 00185 ROMA
 Email address: adolfo.rabasa@uniroma1.it

MAX-PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS. VIVATSGASSE 7. BONN, GERMANY, 53111
 Email address: jose@mpim-bonn.mpg.de