

p -Kirchhoff type equation with Neumann boundary conditions*

Weihua Wang^{†a,b}

^a*School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, 225002, China*

^b*University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China*

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the multiplicity results to a class of p -Kirchhoff type elliptic equation with the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions by an abstract linking lemma due to Brézis and Nirenberg. We obtain the twofold results in subcritical and critical cases, which is a meaningful addition and completeness to the known results about Kirchhoff equation.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35J62; Secondary 35J20.

Keywords: p -Kirchhoff type equation, Neumann boundary conditions, variational methods, subcritical, critical

1 Introduction

In this paper, we inspect the multiplicity results for p -Kirchhoff type elliptic equation with the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} -(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)^{s \cdot \text{sgn} b} \Delta_p u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{KN})$$

where Ω is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N , Δ_p denotes p -Laplace operator, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is the outer unit normal derivative to the boundary $\partial\Omega$, $a, b \geq 0$, $a + b > 0$ and $0 < p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1) \leq p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}$.

When $b = 0$, Eq. (KN) is reduced to a p -Laplacian that is extensively studied and widely used.

When $s = 1$, $p = 2$, & $b > 0$, Eq. (KN) is the stationary version of the second order hyperbolic equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0 \quad (\text{Kir})$$

presented by Kirchhoff in [12] to describe a string vibration equation that does not ignore the change in string's length during the vibration. A obvious characteristic is that the (Kir) involve a nonlocal coefficient $\frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx$ which rely on the average $\frac{1}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx$, and therefore the equation is no more a point wise identity. The parameters in (Kir) have the following meanings: L denotes the length of the string, h represents the area of the cross-section, E means the Young modulus of the material, ρ and P_0 is the mass density and the initial tension, respectively. And this type of problem also comes from biological systems that u represents a process that depends on its own averaging[1, 7] and references therein.

p -Kirchhoff type elliptic equations such as Eq. (KN) have been extensively studied by the nonlinear functional analysis approach since Lions' work[13]. The main goal of these works are to study the effect of the nonlocal coefficients: $M(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)$ on the principal term of these equations compared with the common second-order elliptic equations. Naimen [16] study the existence and nonexistence of solutions of $-(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = \mu g(x, u) + u^5$, $u > 0$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, which is some extension of a part of Brezis-Nirenberg's result[4]. Júlio etc. [11] considered $-[M(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)]^{p-1} \Delta_p u = f(x, u) + \lambda |u|^{s-2} u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$

*This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771423, 11871452) and National Science Foundation of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (Grant No. 19KJD100007).

[†]Corresponding author: wangvh@163.com, wangweihua15@mails.ucas.ac.cn, ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8802-743X>

with critical/supercritical growth by using variational methods combined with Moser's iteration method under the condition that $M(t) \geq m_0 > 0$ and $M(t) = m_1 \geq m_0$ for all $t \geq t_0$ or its equivalent variational condition. The above restrictions are removed from the results in our article. More about the existence and multiplicity of solutions for Kirchhoff/ p -Kirchhoff (type) equations, we refer to [2, 6, 8, 15, 17, 18] and therein.

Chabrowski[6] studied the existence of positive solutions for

$$-\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^s \Delta u = Q(x)|u|^{\ell-2}u + \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx\right)^r |u|^{q-2}u$$

with Neumann boundary conditions. Motivated by [6], we deal with p -Kirchhoff type equation and the classical p -Laplacian in a uniform form in this article. We will show that p -Kirchhoff type equation can still be treated like the semilinear elliptic equations with p -Laplacian despite the presence of the nonlocal coefficients. In addition, we obtain the twofold results in not only subcritical but also critical cases.

Boureaun and Mihăilescu [3] established the existence and multiplicity of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian with Neumann boundary conditions in case of subcritical growth, which is the main source of our method in the present article.

For the purpose of depicting our results, we need to present the concept of weak solution for Eq. (KN) and the right side term f satisfied conditions:

Definition 1.1. We say that $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of Eq. (KN) provided

$$\left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx\right)^{s \cdot \text{sgn} b} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi dx = 0$$

for any φ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

The nonlinear term $f : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be a continuous function that satisfies the following condition:

- (f₁) (subcritical growth) $|f(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{q-1})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, where C is a positive constant and $p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1) < q < p^*$.
- (\tilde{f}_1) (critical growth) $f(x, t) = -|t|^{p^*-2}t + g(x, t)$, where $g(x, t)$ satisfies the following conditions:
 $|g(x, t)| \leq \tilde{C}|t|^{q-1}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ with \tilde{C} is a positive constant and $p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1) \leq q < p^*$.
- (f₂) There exists a constant $\eta_0 > 0$ such that $0 < \lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)}} < \eta_0$ uniformly a.e. $x \in \Omega$.
- (f₃) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} F(x, t) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)}} \leq 0$ uniformly a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds$.

Remark 1.2. The hypothesis (\tilde{f}_1) can be weakened to (f₁)

$f(x, t) = -|t|^{p^*-2}t + g(x, t)$ with $g(x, t)$ satisfying the conditions:

- $|g(x, t)| \leq \tilde{C}(1 + |t|^{q-1})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$,
- $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{|t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1) - 1}} \leq 0$ uniformly a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

where \tilde{C} is a positive constant and $p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1) \leq q < p^*$.

For the case of $f(x, t)$ with subcritical growth, we have the following results.

Theorem 1.3 (Subcritical case). *Assume that the function $f(x, t)$ satisfies (f₁), (f₂) and (f₃). Then problem (KN) owns at least two non-trivial weak solutions.*

For the case of $f(x, t)$ with critical growth, we have the following results.

Theorem 1.4 (Critical case). *Suppose that the function $f(x, t)$ satisfies (\tilde{f}_1). Then problem (KN) possesses at least two non-trivial weak solutions if $a > 0$.*

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we review some necessary preliminaries. In Section 3, we get the results when $f(x, t)$ is subcritical growth on t . And in Section 4, we deal with the case of critical growth.

2 Preliminaries

We define the energy functional $I_b(u) : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$I_b(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p(s+1)b} (a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)^{s+1} - \frac{a^{s+1}}{p(s+1)b} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx, & b > 0, \\ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx, & b = 0. \end{cases}$$

Standard arguments indicate that $I_b \in C^1(W^{1,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ with

$$I'_b(u)\varphi = \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgn} b} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi dx,$$

for any u, φ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore, weak solutions of Eq. (KN) are just the critical points of I_b .

We also need Palais-Smale "compactness" condition:

Definition 2.1 (Palais, 1970, Definition 1.3, [10]). Let X be a Banach space. A C^1 -functional $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Palais-Smale (henceforth denoted by (PS)) condition if every sequence $\{u_n\}$ in X such that $J(u_n)$ is bounded and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J'(u_n) = 0$ in X^* has a convergent subsequence.

A basic tool in this paper is the following abstract linking arguments due to Brézis and Nirenberg[5].

Lemma 2.2 ([5], Theorem 4). *Suppose that $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a Banach space with the direct sum decomposition $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ with $\dim(X_2) < +\infty$. Assume that $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ with $J(0) = 0$ satisfies (PS) condition in X . Moreover, for a constant $\rho > 0$, we have*

$$J(u) \geq 0 \text{ for all } u \in X_1 \text{ with } \|u\|_X \leq \rho$$

and

$$J(u) \leq 0 \text{ for all } u \in X_2 \text{ with } \|u\|_X \leq \rho.$$

Also assume that J is bounded from below and $\inf_X J < 0$. Then J has at least two nontrivial critical points.

In order to apply Lemma 2.2 to the functional I_b , we have to decompose the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as $W^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$, where

$$W_0 = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} u dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Obviously, W_0 is a closed linear subspace of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with codimension 1. In detail, under the above space decomposition, for any $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, denote $\bar{u} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u dx$ and $\tilde{u} = u - \bar{u}$. Then $u = \tilde{u} + \bar{u}$, where $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{u} \in W_0$. This decomposition yields the norm $\|u\|^p = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^p dx + \bar{u}^p$ of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which is equivalent to the "standard" norm $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For the sake of simplicity, we will not distinguish between these two norms in the following discussion.

In order to get the functional I_b to meet the (PS) condition, we also need the following inequality:

Lemma 2.3 (Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, [9] or Lemma 3.3, [11]). *Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the normal inner product in \mathbb{R}^N . Then*

$$\langle |x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y, x - y \rangle \geq C_p |x - y|^p \text{ if } p \geq 2$$

or

$$\langle |x|^{-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y, x - y \rangle \geq \frac{C_p |x - y|^2}{(|x| + |y|)^{2-p}} \text{ if } 2 > p > 1$$

for any x, y be in \mathbb{R}^N .

3 Subcritical case

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that conditions (f_1) and (f_3) are satisfied. Then the functional I_b fulfills the (PS) condition.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be such that $|I_b(u_n)| \leq M$ and $|\langle I'_b(u_n), \varphi \rangle| = o(\|\varphi\|)$ for any $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Claim: $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

In fact, arguing by contradiction and passing to a subsequence, we assume that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let $v_n(x) := \frac{u_n(x)}{\|u_n\|}$, then $\|v_n\| = 1$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space, up to a subsequence, $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &\rightarrow v \text{ in } L^r(\Omega), 1 < r < p^*, \\ v_n(x) &\rightarrow v(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x,t)}{|t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)}} \leq 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $F(x,t) \leq \varepsilon |t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)}$ for all $(x, |t|)$ in $\Omega \times [R, +\infty)$. And together with F is continuous in $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$F(x,t) \leq \varepsilon |t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)} + C_1 \quad (3.1)$$

for any $(x, |t|)$ in $\Omega \times [R, +\infty)$.

First of all, we consider the case of $b > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M}{\|u_n\|^{p(s+1)}} &\geq \frac{I_b(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p(s+1)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^{p(s+1)}} \left[\frac{1}{p(s+1)b} \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s+1} - \frac{a^{s+1}}{p(s+1)b} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p(s+1)b} \left(\frac{a}{\|u_n\|^p} + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^p dx \right)^{s+1} - \frac{a^{s+1}}{p(s+1)b \|u_n\|^{p(s+1)}} - \varepsilon C_2 - \frac{C_1 |\Omega|}{\|u_n\|^{p(s+1)}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where C_2 is positive constant.

By (3.2) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n\| = +\infty$, together with the arbitrariness of ε , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^p dx = 0$. Therefore,

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^p dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^p dx = 0.$$

Hence, $\nabla v_0 = 0$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ which yields v_0 in \mathbb{R} and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(v_n - v_0)|^p dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^p dx = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Considering $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^r(\Omega)$, $1 < r < p^*$, we have $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. That fact combined with $\|v_n\| = 1$ shows that $v_0 \neq 0$ and consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n(x)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(x)\| \cdot |v_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(x)\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |v_n| = +\infty, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx = -\infty$ by (f₃). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq I_b(u_n) = \frac{1}{p(s+1)b} \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s+1} - \frac{a^{s+1}}{p(s+1)b} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx \\ &\geq -\frac{a^{s+1}}{p(s+1)b} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is absurd. Hence, u_n is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in case of $b > 0$.

And we can use a similar method to prove that u_n is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ when $b = 0$. Therefore, u_n is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, there exists $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and thus

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^r(\Omega) \text{ with } 1 < r < p^*,$$

$$u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (3.4)$$

According to (3.4) and the condition (f₁), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|^{q-1}) |u_n - u| dx \\ & \leq C_1 \|u_n\|_{q'}^{q-1} \|u_n - u\|_q + C_1 |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|u_n - u\|_p, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

with $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$ and $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$, which approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the light of $|\langle I'_b(u_n), \varphi \rangle| = o(\|\varphi\|)$ and (3.5), we have

$$I'_b(u_n)(u_n - u) = \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgn} b} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgn} b} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) dx \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I'_b(u_n)(u_n - u) + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx \\ & = 0 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since u_n is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) dx = 0$.

On the other hand, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

And utilizing Lemma 2.3, we may obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u_n - u)|^p dx = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Combining the above fact with (3.6) and (3.4), we deduce that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e. I_b appeases the (PS) condition. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that condition (f₂) and (f₃) are contented. Then I_b is bounded from below and*

$$\inf_{u \in H^1(\Omega)} I_b(u) < 0.$$

Proof. Since $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} F(x, t) = -\infty$ and F is continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$, we infer that there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx < M_3$. Therefore, we have

$$I_b(u) > \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{p(s+1)b} (a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)^{s+1} - \frac{a^{s+1}}{p(s+1)b} - M_3, \quad b > 0 \\ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - M_3, \quad b = 0 \end{array} \right\} \geq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\frac{a^{s+1}}{p(s+1)b} - M_3, \quad b > 0, \\ -M_3, \quad b = 0. \end{array} \right\} > -\infty,$$

that is, I_b is bounded from below.

Since $0 < \lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)}} < \eta_0$, we deduce that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $|t| \in (0, \delta)$, $0 < \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)}} < \eta_0$ or $0 < F(x, t) < \eta_0 |t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)}$.

Notice that $F(x, 0) \equiv 0$, we obtain

$$0 \leq F(x, t) \leq \eta_0 |t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)} \text{ for any } (x, |t|) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, \delta]. \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, there exists a constant function t_0 in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $0 < t_0 < \delta$ such that

$$I_b(t_0) = - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t_0) dx < 0.$$

Thence, we have $\inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} I(u) \leq I_b(t_0) < 0$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that conditions (f_1) and (f_2) are sufficed. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for all $u \in H_0$ with $\|u\| \leq \rho$ we have $I_b(u) \geq 0$ and $I_b(e) \leq 0$ for all $e \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|e| \leq \rho$.*

Proof. We take $u \in W_0$ with $\|u\| = \rho$, where ρ is small enough and will be determined later. Combining (3.7) with the condition (f_1) , there exists a constant C_{η_0} dependent on η_0 in (f_2) such that

$$F(x, t) \leq \eta_0 |t|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)} + C_{\eta_0} |t|^q \text{ for any } (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.8)$$

Thence, by continuous embedding,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx &\leq \eta_0 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)} dx + C_{\eta_0} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \\ &\leq C_2 \eta_0 \|u\|^{p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1)} + \bar{C}_{\eta_0} \|u\|^q. \end{aligned}$$

By Poincaré's inequality, there exists a positive constant C_3 such that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \geq C_3 \|u\|$. If $b > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_b(u) &= \frac{1}{p(s+1)b} \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{s+1} - \frac{a^{s+1}}{p(s+1)b} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \\ &\geq \frac{b^s}{p(s+1)} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{s+1} - C_2 \eta_0 \|u\|^{p(s+1)} - \bar{C}_{\eta_0} \|u\|^q \\ &\geq \left[\frac{C_3^{p(s+1)} b^s}{p(s+1)} - \frac{3}{2} C_2 \eta_0 \right] \|u\|^{p(s+1)} + \frac{1}{2} C_2 \eta_0 \|u\|^{p(s+1)} - \bar{C}_{\eta_0} \|u\|^q \end{aligned}$$

Take $\frac{C_3^{p(s+1)} b^s}{p(s+1)} - \frac{3}{2} C_2 \eta_0 = 0$, i.e. $\eta_0 = \frac{2C_3^{p(s+1)} b^s}{3pC_2(s+1)} > 0$, then

$$I_b(u) \geq \left[\frac{C_3^{p(s+1)} b^s}{3p(s+1)} - \bar{C}_{\eta_0} \|u\|^{q-p(s+1)} \right] \|u\|^{p(s+1)}. \quad (3.9)$$

Combined with the above inequalities and the condition $p(s \cdot \text{sgn} b + 1) < q$, it yields that there exists $\rho > 0$ small enough such that $I_b(u) \geq 0$ for all u in W_0 with $\|u\| \leq \rho$. And we can use a similar method to prove that $I_b(u) \geq 0$ for all u in W_0 with $\|u\| \leq \rho$ when $b = 0$.

At last, considering the constant function t in $\mathbb{R} \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have $I_b(u) = - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t) dt$, which yields $I_b(u) \leq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ small enough together with (3.7). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Combining Lemma 2.2 with Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

4 Critical case

In the critical case, by the hypothesis (\tilde{f}_1) , we have

$$I_b(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p(s+1)b} \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{s+1} - \frac{a^{s+1}}{p(s+1)b} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p^*} |u|^{p^*} dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx, & b > 0, \\ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p^*} |u|^{p^*} dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx, & b = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $G(x, t) = \int_0^t g(x, \tau) d\tau$. Standard arguments show that $I_b \in C^1(W^{1,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ with

$$I'_b(u)\varphi = \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgnb}} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-2} u \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) \varphi dx,$$

for any u, φ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that the condition (\tilde{f}_1) is satisfied. Then the function I_b fulfills the $(PS)_c$ condition.*

Proof. The condition (\tilde{f}_1) indicates (f_3) . From the fact $\{u_n\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence, it follows that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (Refer to *Claim* in the proof of Lemma 3.1). Thus, there exists a subsequence, that we will denote by u_n such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^r(\Omega), 1 < r < p^* \text{ and for almost every } x \text{ in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

The Concentration Compactness Lemma[14] indicates: If $|\nabla u_n|^p \rightharpoonup \mu$ and $|u_n|^{p^*} \rightharpoonup \eta$ weakly-* in the sense of measures, where μ and η are bounded nonnegative measures on \mathbb{R}^N , then there exist at most countable $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_K, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_K$ nonnegative numbers such that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= |u|^{p^*} + \sum_{j=1}^K \eta_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \eta_j > 0 \\ \mu &\geq |\nabla u|^p + \sum_{j=1}^K \mu_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \mu_j > 0 \\ (\eta_j)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} &\leq \frac{\mu_j}{S} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where δ_x is the Dirac-mass of mass 1 concentrated at $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B(x_k, \varepsilon)$ and $\phi \equiv 0$ in $B(x_k, 2\varepsilon)^c$, $|\nabla \phi| \leq \frac{2C}{\varepsilon}$, where $x_i \in \overline{\Omega}$ belongs to the support of η . Considering the boundedness of the sequence $\{u_n \phi\}$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leftarrow \langle I'_b(u_n), u_n \phi \rangle &= \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgnb}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n \phi) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*} \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_n) u_n \phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} \phi d\eta + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) u \phi dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgnb}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n \phi) dx \\ &= \left(a + b \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgnb}} \\ &\quad \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \phi dx + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \phi |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Now, by Hölder's inequality and weak convergence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left(a + b \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgnb}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \phi dx \right| \\ &\lesssim \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \phi dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon) \cap \Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon) \cap \Omega} |u_n|^p |\nabla \phi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon) \cap \Omega} |u|^p |\nabla \phi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon) \cap \Omega} |\nabla \phi|^N dx \right)^{\frac{p}{N}} \left(\int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon) \cap \Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\int_{B(x_k, 2\varepsilon) \cap \Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above inequality into (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[- \int_{\Omega} \phi d\eta + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) u \phi dx - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgnb}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u_n \phi) dx \right] \\
&= -\eta_k - \left(a + b \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{s \cdot \text{sgnb}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \phi |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right] \\
&\leq -\eta_k - a^{s \cdot \text{sgnb}} \mu_k,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\eta_k + a^{s \cdot \text{sgnb}} \mu_k \leq 0$. Therefore, $\eta_k = 0$ and $\mu_k = 0$ for any k . Consequently, $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \|u\|$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that conditions (\tilde{f}_1) is sufficed. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for all $u \in W_0$ with $\|u\| \leq \rho$ we have $I_b(u) \geq 0$ and $I_b(e) \leq 0$ for all $e \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|e| \leq \rho$.*

Remark 4.3. (\tilde{f}_1) implies (f_2) . Since the proof of Lemma 3.3 uses only the continuity of the embedding and does not use the tightness of the embedding, the conclusion is still valid when the growth condition is promoted from the subcritical case to the critical case. And the proof method is similar, so we omitting the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Combining Lemma 2.2 with Lemma 4.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.2, we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Peihao Zhao from the School of Mathematics and Statistics in Lanzhou University for giving a guide to nonlinear functional analysis.

References

- [1] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa, T. F. Ma, *Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*, Comput. Math. Appl., 49 (2005), 85-93.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, D. Arcoya, *Positive Solutions of Elliptic Kirchhoff Equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 17(2017), 3-15.
- [3] M. Boureanu, M. Mihăilescu, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a neumann problem involving variable exponent growth conditions*, Glasg. Math. J., 50(2008), 565-574.
- [4] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, 36 (1983) 437-477.
- [5] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, *Remarks on finding critical points*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math., 44(1991), 939-963.

- [6] J. Chabrowski, *On bi-nonlocal problem for elliptic equations with Neumann boundary conditions*, J. Anal. Math., 134(2018), 303-334.
- [7] M. Chipot, J.F. Rodrigues, *On a class of nonlocal nonlinear elliptic problems*, RAIRO Modélisation Math. Anal. Numér., 26(1992), 447-467.
- [8] M. F. Furtado, L. D. de Oliveira, João Pablo P. da Silva, *Multiple solutions for a Kirchhoff equation with critical growth*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. (2019) 70:11.
- [9] R. Glowinski, A. Marroco, *Sur l'approximation, par éléments finis d'ordre un, et la résolution, par pénalisation-dualité d'une classe de problèmes de Dirichlet non linéaires*, Revue française d'automatique, informatique, recherche opérationnelle. Analyse numérique, tome 9, No R2 (1975), p. 41-76
- [10] M. do R. Grossinho, S. A. Tersian, *An introduction to minimax theorems and their applications to differential equations*, Springer, 2001.
- [11] F. Júlio, S. A. Corrêa, G. M. Figueiredo, *On an elliptic equation of p -Kirchhoff type via variational methods*, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 74(2006), 263-277.
- [12] G. Kirchhoff, *Vorlesungenüber mathematische Physik: Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1876.
- [13] J. L. Lions, *On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics*. In: de la Penha, G.M., Medeiros, L.A. (eds.) Contemporary developments in continuum mechanics and PDE's. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [14] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case*, Part 1, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 1 (1) (1985) 145-201, Part 2, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 1 (2) (1985) 45-121.
- [15] A. Mao, Z. Zhang, *Sign-changing and multiple solutions of Kirchhoff type problems without the P.S. condition*, Nonlinear Anal. 70(2009), 1275-1287.
- [16] D. Naimen, *Positive solutions of Kirchhoff type elliptic equations involving a critical Sobolev exponent*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl., 21(2014), 885-914
- [17] K. Perera, Z. Zhang, *Nontrivial solutions of Kirchhoff-type problems via the Yang index*. J. Differential Equations 221 (2006) , 246-255.
- [18] W. Wang, *Kirchhoff type equation with Neumann boundary conditions* (manuscript)