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Abstract

In this manuscript, we obtain a plane wave decomposition for the delta distribution in superspace, provided that the superdimension is not odd and negative. This decomposition allows for explicit inversion formulas for the super Radon transform in these cases. Moreover, we prove a more general Radon inversion formula valid for all possible integer values of the superdimension. The proof of this result comes along with the study of fractional powers of the super Laplacian, their fundamental solutions, and the plane wave decompositions of super Riesz kernels.
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1 Introduction

This paper inserts in the broad field of studies on superspace whose importance is well-known since its introduction by Berezin in the sixties, see e.g. [3], mainly motivated by problems in theoretical physics. In the literature there are various approaches to superspace which range from differential to algebraic geometry, see e.g. [3, 5, 15, 22, 24, 25, 28]. In this paper we will follow a more recent approach based on an extension of harmonic and Clifford analysis to superspace, which have been already proved to offer various advantages, among which a natural treatment of the super Dirac and super Laplace operators. This approach started with the early paper by Sommen [27] and then continued with the works of Coulembier, De Bie and Sommen, see e.g. [5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

In this framework we shall consider the Radon transform, another cornerstone in theoretical and applied mathematics. In theoretical mathematics, this integral transform, originally defined on the space of lines in the plane, was then generalized to higher dimensions and also to the complex case, giving rise to the Penrose transform. The applications to practical problems such as tomography or image recognition are well-known. The Radon transform in superspace was initially introduced in [10] by means of the central-slice theorem, i.e. as the action of two consecutive Fourier transforms. In the later work [8], a more geometrical interpretation of this transform was given as an integral over the set of all hyperplanes. Applied mathematics. In theoretical mathematics, this integral transform, originally defined on the space of lines in the plane, was then generalized to higher dimensions and also to the complex case, giving rise to the Penrose transform. The applications to practical problems such as tomography or image recognition are well-known. The Radon transform in superspace was initially introduced in [10] by means of the central-slice theorem, i.e. as the action of two consecutive Fourier transforms. In the later work [8], a more geometrical interpretation of this transform was given as an integral over the set of all hyperplanes.

In this paper we shall study more properties of the Radon transform in the superspace setting. In particular, we will prove inversion formulas for this transform. This poses important differences in comparison with the purely bosonic case, i.e. when only commuting variables are considered. Indeed, let \( R_m[\phi](w, p) \) denote the Radon transform in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) of the function \( \phi \), i.e. the integral of \( \phi \) over the hyperplane \( \langle x, w \rangle = p \) where \( w \) is a unit vector, \( p \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is the Euclidean inner product in \( \mathbb{R}^m \). Then the inversion formula of \( R_m[\phi](w,p) \) reads as (see e.g. [14, 19, 20])

\[
\phi(x) = \left( \frac{-1}{2\pi} \right)^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \partial_p^{m-1} R_m[\phi](w, p + \langle x, w \rangle) dS_w \right) dp, \quad \text{for } m \text{ even},
\]

\[
\phi(x) = \left( \frac{-1}{2\pi} \right)^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \partial_p^{m-1} R_m[\phi](w, p) \bigg|_{p = \langle x, w \rangle} dS_w, \quad \text{for } m \text{ odd},
\]

where \( dS_w \) is the area element of the unit sphere \( S^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \). The extension of these formulas to superspace requires the replacement of the dimension \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) by the so-called superdimension \( M \in \mathbb{Z} \). Clearly, the above formulas fail to preserve their classical forms for negative values of \( M \). For instance, the derivatives with respect to \( p \) would have a negative exponent, playing thus the role of an indefinite integral (or primitive function). As we shall see in our Theorem 5 when \( M \) is even and negative, a novel structure for these inversion formulas is given in terms of a primitive function of higher order of \( p^{-1} \).

To prove these inversion formulas we are in need of a plane wave decomposition of the Dirac delta distribution in superspace, which is the third pillar of this paper. We show how to extend some classical formulas by adopting the point of view of hyperfunctions, namely by using the fact that the Dirac delta is a suitable boundary value of the super Cauchy kernel (see Theorem 3). This approach has been announced in [17] where a plane wave decomposition of the super Cauchy kernel was obtained, provided that the superdimension \( M \) is not odd and negative. Combining these facts we obtain a plane wave expansion of the super Dirac delta distribution in these cases (see Theorem 1). Again, when the superdimension is negative and even, the obtained formulas no longer resemble the structure of the classical plane wave decompositions given in [16] Ch.1 - §3. The plane wave decomposition of the Dirac delta is a result of independent interest in the theory of distributions in superspace. In a forthcoming paper, we shall study the decomposition into plane waves of the super Dirac distribution and the super Cauchy kernel in the case where the superdimension \( M \) is odd and negative. This shall yield explicit Radon inversion formulas in those exceptional cases.

The inversion formulas that we prove coincide with the classical formulas in the purely bosonic case. In that case, regardless of the parity of the dimension, formulas (1)-(2) can be written in a unified way as follows

\[
\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2m^2 m^{-1}} (-\Delta_x)^{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} R_m[\phi](w, \langle x, w \rangle) dS_w,
\]

where \( \Delta_x \) is the Laplace operator in \( \mathbb{R}^m \). The final purpose of this paper is to show how this unified formula can also be extended to superspace (see Theorem 7). Moreover, we show that this extension
holds for any value of the superdimension $M \in \mathbb{Z}$. To that end, we first introduce fractional powers of the super Laplacian and construct fundamental solutions for such operators (see Theorem 3). These results extend the work in [13], where fundamental solutions for natural powers of the super Laplace operator were obtained. Along our proof of the unified inversion formula, we also provide a plane wave decomposition for the super Riesz potential $|x|^{-1}$. As in the case of the plane wave decomposition for the Dirac delta distribution, these two last results are of independent interest in superanalysis.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief introduction on harmonic and Clifford analysis in superspace focusing on the notions needed in the sequel. In Section 3, we discuss some facts on distributional calculus in superspace. In particular, we introduce important generalized superfunctions (and their classical analogues in $\mathbb{R}^m$) such as concentrated Dirac distributions and $|x|^\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, which are necessary in the subsequent sections. In Section 4, we prove some of the main properties of the Radon transform in superspace. Section 5 is fully devoted to the plane wave decomposition of the super Dirac delta distribution, which shall also be useful for subsequent computations. Then, we proceed to obtaining plane wave decomposition formulas for the super Dirac delta distribution from the plane wave decompositions in $\mathbb{R}^m$, which are necessary in the sequel. An alternative proof for this result, using only direct computations and the Funk-Hecke theorem, is provided in Appendix A. This plane wave decomposition is used in Section 6 to derive explicit inversion formulas of the super Radon transform. Finally, in Section 7, these inversion formulas are unified into a single expression, regardless of the parity and sign of the superdimension.

2 Preliminaries

Consider $m$ commuting (bosonic) variables $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ and $2n$ anti-commuting (fermionic) variables $\dot{x}_1, \ldots, \dot{x}_{2n}$ in a purely symbolic way, i.e., $x_j \dot{x}_k = x_k \dot{x}_j$, $\dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k = -\dot{x}_k \dot{x}_j$ and $x_j \dot{x}_k = \dot{x}_k x_j$. They give rise to the supervector variable

$$x = (x, \dot{x}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_m, \dot{x}_1, \ldots, \dot{x}_{2n}).$$

The variables $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ are generators of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ while $\dot{x}_1, \ldots, \dot{x}_{2n}$ generate a Grassmann algebra $\mathfrak{G}_{2n}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{G}_{2n}^{(ev)}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{2n}^{(odd)}$ the subalgebras of even and odd elements of $\mathfrak{G}_{2n}$, respectively. All the variables together generate the supercommutative algebra of superpolynomials

$$\mathcal{P} := \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}(x_1, \ldots, x_m, \dot{x}_1, \ldots, \dot{x}_{2n}) = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_m] \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{2n}.$$

The bosonic and fermionic partial derivatives $\partial_{x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $\partial_{\dot{x}_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_j}$ are defined as endomorphisms on $\mathcal{P}$ by the relations

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_{x_j}[1] = 0, \\
\partial_{x_j} x_k = x_k \partial_{x_j} = \delta_{j,k}, \\
\partial_{\dot{x}_j} \dot{x}_k = \dot{x}_k \partial_{\dot{x}_j} = \delta_{j,k}, \\
\partial_{\dot{x}_j} x_k = x_k \partial_{\dot{x}_j} = \delta_{j,k},
\end{cases}$$

where $\delta_{j,k}$ is the Kronecker symbol and 1 denotes the constant superpolynomial $p \equiv 1$. The above relations can be recursively applied for both left and right actions of the linear operators $\partial_{x_j}$ and $\partial_{\dot{x}_j}$.

Associated with these variables we consider the flat supermanifold $\mathbb{R}^{m|2n} = (\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}})$ where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}}$ is the structure sheaf that maps every open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ to the graded algebra $C^\infty(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{2n}$, and $C^\infty(\Omega)$ denotes the space of smooth complex-valued functions defined in $\Omega$. The partial derivatives $\partial_{x_j}, \partial_{\dot{x}_j}$ extend from $\mathcal{P}$ to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{2n}$ by density.

Let us rewrite the supervector variable $x$ as

$$x = x + \dot{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j e_j + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \dot{x}_j \dot{e}_j,$$

where $e_1, \ldots, e_m, \dot{e}_1, \ldots, \dot{e}_{2n}$ is the standard homogeneous basis of the graded vector space $\mathbb{R}^{m,2n} = \mathbb{R}^{m,0} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{0,2n}$. Here we have denoted by $\bar{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j e_j$ and $\bar{\dot{x}} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \dot{x}_j \dot{e}_j$ the so-called bosonic and
fermionic projections of \( \mathbf{x} \) respectively. We consider an orthosymplectic metric in \( \mathbb{R}^{m,2n} \), giving rise to the super Clifford algebra \( \mathcal{C}_{m,2n} := \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{S}}(e_1, \ldots, e_m, \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{2n}) \) governed by the multiplication rules

\[
e_j e_k + e_k e_j = -2\delta_{j,k}, \quad e_j \epsilon_k + \epsilon_k e_j = 0, \quad \epsilon_j \epsilon_k - \epsilon_k \epsilon_j = g_{j,k},
\]

where \( g_{j,k} \) is a symplectic form defined by

\[
g_{2j,2k} = g_{2j-1,2k-1} = 0, \quad g_{2j-1,2k} = -g_{2k,2j-1} = \delta_{j,k}, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

In this case the inner product of two supervectors \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( \mathbf{y} \) is given by

\[
(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}) = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j y_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{2j-1}y_{2j} - x_{2j}y_{2j-1}).
\]

The generalized norm squared of the supervector \( \mathbf{x} \) is thus defined by

\[
|\mathbf{x}|^2 = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{x}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{2j-1}x_{2j}.
\]

Observe that the fermionic vector variable \( \vec{x} \) is nilpotent. Indeed, its norm squared satisfies

\[
\vec{x}^{2n} = n! x_1 \vec{x}_2 \cdots \vec{x}_{2n-1}\vec{x}_{2n},
\]

which is the element of maximal degree in \( \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \).

Functions in \( C^\infty(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \) (often called superfunctions) can be explicitly written as

\[
F(\mathbf{x}) = F(\mathbf{x}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, 2n\}} F_A(\mathbf{x}) \vec{x}_A,
\]

where \( F_A(\mathbf{x}) \in C^\infty(\Omega) \) and \( \vec{x}_A = x_1, \ldots, x_{j_k} \) with \( A = \{j_1, \ldots, j_k\}, 1 \leq j_1 < \ldots < j_k \leq 2n \). For studying integral transforms in superspace we need of course a broader set of functions. For our purposes, it suffices to consider the function spaces \( F(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \) and \( F(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{m,2n} \) where \( F(\Omega) \) stands for \( C^\infty(\Omega), C^\infty_0(\Omega) \) or \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m) \). In general, the functions \( F_A \in F(\Omega) \) in (4) are complex-valued. We say that \( F \) is a real superfunction when all elements \( F_A \) are real-valued.

Every superfunction can be written as the sum \( F(\mathbf{x}) = F_0(\mathbf{x}) + F(\mathbf{x}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}) \) where the complex-valued function \( F_0(\mathbf{x}) := F_0(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) \) is called the body \( F \), and \( F := \sum_{|A| \geq 1} F_A(\mathbf{x}) \vec{x}_A \) is the nilpotent part of \( F \). Indeed, it is clearly seen that \( F^{2n+1} = 0 \).

The bosonic and fermionic Dirac operators are defined by

\[
\partial_x = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j \partial x_j, \quad \partial_{\vec{x}} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\vec{e}_j \partial x_{2j-1} - \vec{e}_j \partial x_{2j}),
\]

giving rise to the left and right super Dirac operators (super-gradient) \( \partial_x = \partial_{\vec{x}} - \partial_{\vec{x}} \) and \( \partial_{\vec{x}} = -\partial_{\vec{x}} - \partial_x \) respectively. As in the classical setting, the action of \( \partial_x \) on the vector variable \( \mathbf{x} \) results in the superdimension

\[
M := \partial_x [\mathbf{x}] = |\mathbf{x}| \partial x_1 = \partial x_2 [\mathbf{x}] = \partial x_2 [\mathbf{x}] = m - 2n.
\]

Given an open set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m \), a superfunction \( F \in C^\infty(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{m,2n} \) is said to be (left) monogenic if \( \partial_x [F] = 0 \). As the super Dirac operator factorizes the super Laplace operator:

\[
\Delta_x = -\partial_x^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial_{x_j}^2 - 4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_{2j-1}} \partial_{x_{2j}},
\]

monogenicity also constitutes a refinement of harmonicity in superanalysis. The super Laplace operator can be decomposed as \( \Delta_x = \Delta_x + \Delta_{\vec{x}} \) where \( \Delta_x = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial_{x_j}^2 \) and \( \Delta_{\vec{x}} = -4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_{2j-1}} \partial_{x_{2j}} \) are the bosonic and fermionic Laplacians with respect to \( x_j \) respectively.
The super Euler operator is defined by

\[ \mathcal{E} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j \partial_{x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \bar{x}_j \partial_{\bar{x}_j}. \]

We denote by \( \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} \) the set of non-negative integers. Homogeneous superpolynomials of degree \( j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) are eigenfunctions of the super Euler operator with eigenvalue \( j \). We denote the space of homogeneous superpolynomials of degree \( j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) as \( P_j = \{ R \in \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{E}[R] = jR \} \), which allows for the decomposition

\[ \mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} P_j. \]

The operators \( \Delta_{x^2}, x^2 \) and \( \mathcal{E} \) satisfy the canonical commutation relations of the special linear Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \) (see e.g. [12])

\[ \left[ \Delta_{x^2}, \frac{x^2}{2} \right] = \mathcal{E} + \frac{M}{2}, \quad \left[ \Delta_{x^2}, \mathcal{E} + \frac{M}{2} \right] = \Delta_{x^2}, \quad \left[ -\frac{x^2}{2}, \mathcal{E} + \frac{M}{2} \right] = x^2, \]

(5)

where \([a, b] := ab - ba\). The following calculation also extends the bosonic case

\[ \partial_x x + x \partial_x = 2 \left( \mathcal{E} + \frac{M}{2} \right). \]

This means that the same computation rules of classical Clifford and harmonic analysis can be transferred to the superspace setting by substituting the Euclidean dimension \( m \) by the superdimension \( M \). In particular, the following identity can be proved using formulae (5) iteratively, see e.g. [12, 13],

\[ \Delta^j_{x^2} [x^{2\ell}] = \begin{cases} (-4)^j \frac{\pi}{(\ell-j)!} \frac{1}{(\frac{M}{2} + \ell)!} x^{2\ell - 2j}, & j \leq \ell, \\ 0, & \ell < j. \end{cases} \]

(6)

More details on the theory of monogenic and harmonic superfunctions can be found for instance in [7, 12, 13].

3 Distributional calculus in superspace

In this section we discuss the notion of generalized functions in the superspace setting, study some important examples, and introduce integration over the supersphere by means of the concentrated Dirac distribution. These ideas shall be useful when dealing with the super Radon transform in the subsequent sections.

The analogue in superspace of the classical integral \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dV_x \) in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) is given by

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} dV_x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dV_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} dV_{\bar{x}}, \]

where \( dV_x = dx_1 \cdots dx_m \) is the classical volume element in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) and the integral over fermionic variables is given by the Berezin integral (see [3]), defined by

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} = \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \partial_{x_{2n}} \cdots \partial_{x_1} = (-1)^n \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\pi^{-n}}{4^n n!} \partial_{\bar{x}_{2n}}. \]

The subscript \( \bar{x} \) means that we are integrating with respect to the \( \bar{x} \) variables.

Similarly to the function spaces, we define the spaces of generalized functions \( \mathcal{F}'(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \) and \( \mathcal{F}'(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{m,2n} \), where \( \mathcal{F}'(\Omega) \) stands for the spaces of generalized functions \( \mathcal{E}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \) and \( \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^m) \) respectively. The action of a superdistribution \( \alpha \in \mathcal{F}'(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \) given by

\[ \alpha = \sum_{A \subset \{1, \ldots, 2n\}} \alpha_A \mathcal{A}_A, \quad \alpha_A \in \mathcal{F}'(\Omega), \]
In particular, this means that \( \lambda \) complete study of these generalized functions. is used for the action of the real distribution where, as usual, the notation on a test superfunction respectively.

Before introducing the specific generalized superfunctions needed in this paper, we recall some basic facts for a complete study of these generalized functions.

When \( \text{Re}(\lambda) > -1 \), the functionals \( t_+^\lambda \) and \( t_-^\lambda \) are defined on \( S(\mathbb{R}) \) by means of the integrals

\[
\langle t_+^\lambda, \phi(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty t^\lambda \phi(t) \, dt, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle t_-^\lambda, \phi(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty |t|^\lambda \phi(t) \, dt,
\]

respectively. The mappings \( \lambda \mapsto t_+^\lambda \) and \( \lambda \mapsto t_-^\lambda \) extend from the complex region \( \{ \text{Re}(\lambda) > -1 \} \) to an analytic mapping on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, -2, \ldots\} \) with values in \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \), i.e. \( \lambda \mapsto \langle t_+^\lambda, \phi \rangle \) and \( \lambda \mapsto \langle t_-^\lambda, \phi \rangle \) are analytic functions on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, -2, \ldots\} \) for all \( \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \). These analytic continuations of \( t_\pm^\lambda \) can be written in the strip \( -\ell - 1 < \text{Re}(\lambda) < -\ell \), with \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), as

\[
\langle t_+^\lambda, \phi \rangle = \int_0^\infty t^\lambda \left( \phi(t) - \frac{\ell-1}{\ell!} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (-1)^j j^j \phi(j)(0) \right) \, dt, \quad \langle t_-^\lambda, \phi \rangle = \int_0^\infty t^\lambda \left( \phi(-t) - \frac{\ell-1}{\ell!} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (-1)^j j^j \phi(j)(0) \right) \, dt.
\]

From these formulas, it follows that \( t_+^\lambda \) and \( t_-^\lambda \) have simple poles at \( \lambda = -1, -2, \ldots \) and their residues at \( \lambda = -\ell \) are given by

\[
\frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{(\ell-1)!} \delta^{(\ell-1)}(t), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta^{(\ell-1)}(t)}{(\ell-1)!},
\]

respectively.

We will also use the generalized functions

\[
|t|^\lambda := t_+^\lambda + t_-^\lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sgn}(t) \, |t|^\lambda := t_+^\lambda - t_-^\lambda,
\]

where \( \text{sgn}(t) \) stands for the sign of \( t \). By virtue of \( [5] \), it follows that \( |t|^\lambda \) has poles only at \( \lambda = -1, -3, -5 \ldots \), while \( \text{sgn}(t) \, |t|^\lambda \) has poles only at \( \lambda = -2, -4, -6 \ldots \). Moreover, their residues are given by

\[
\text{res}_{\lambda=-2\ell} |t|^\lambda = \frac{2 \delta^{(2\ell)}(t)}{(2\ell)!}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{res}_{\lambda=-2\ell} \text{sgn}(t) \, |t|^\lambda = -\frac{2 \delta^{(2\ell-1)}(t)}{(2\ell-1)!}.
\]

In particular, this means that \( |t|^\lambda \) is defined for \( \lambda = -2\ell \), while \( \text{sgn}(t) \, |t|^\lambda \) is defined for \( \lambda = -2\ell - 1 \). This yields the following definitions for \( t^{-2\ell} \) and \( t^{-2\ell-1} \),

\[
\langle t^{-2\ell}, \phi(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty t^{-2\ell} \left( \phi(t) + \phi(-t) - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{t^{2j}}{(2j)!} \phi^{(2j)}(0) \right) \, dt,
\]

\[
\langle t^{-2\ell-1}, \phi(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty t^{-2\ell-1} \left( \phi(t) - \phi(-t) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{t^{2j-1}}{(2j-1)!} \phi^{(2j-1)}(0) \right) \, dt.
\]
Given \( z = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j e_j \in \mathbb{R}^m \), consider its Euclidean norm \( |z| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \). If \( \text{Re}(\lambda) > -m \), the generalized function \(|z|^\lambda\) is defined by

\[
\langle |z|^\lambda, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |z|^\lambda \phi(z) \, dV_z, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m).
\]

Using spherical coordinates in the above integral, i.e. \( x = r w \) with \( r = |z| \) and \( w \in S^{m-1} \), we get

\[
\langle |z|^\lambda, \phi \rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{\lambda + m - 1} \left( \int_{S^{m-1}} \phi(rw) \, dS_w \right) \, dr = \sigma_m \langle r^{\lambda + m - 1}, \Sigma[\phi](r) \rangle,
\]

where \( dS_w \) is the Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere \( S^{m-1} = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^m : |w| = 1 \} \), \( \sigma_m = \frac{2^m \pi^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)} \) is the surface area of \( S^{m-1} \), and

\[
\Sigma[\phi](r) = \frac{1}{\sigma_m} \int_{S^{m-1}} \phi(rw) \, dS_w
\]

is the so-called spherical mean of \( \phi \). Clearly, \( \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m) \) implies that \( \Sigma[\phi] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+) \) where \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) denotes the set of non-negative real numbers. Similarly, \( \phi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^m) \) implies that \( \Sigma[\phi] \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \).

From [11] we see that \(|z|^\lambda\) represents the action of \( r^{\lambda + m - 1} \) on \( \Sigma[\phi](r) \). As a function of the complex parameter \( \lambda \), this action is analytic in the region \( \text{Re}(\lambda) > -m \). Using (7), it can be extended to the whole \( \lambda \)-plane except for the points \( \lambda = -m, -m - 1, -m - 2, \ldots \) where it has simple poles. The residue of \( \langle r^{\lambda + m - 1}, \Sigma[\phi](r) \rangle \) at \( \lambda = -m - \ell + 1 (\ell \in \mathbb{N}) \) can be computed using (8) as follows

\[
\sigma_m \res_{\lambda=-m-\ell+1} \langle r^{\lambda + m - 1}, \Sigma[\phi](r) \rangle = \sigma_m \res_{\lambda=-\ell} \langle r^{\lambda}, \Sigma[\phi](r) \rangle = \frac{\sigma_m}{(\ell - 1)!} \frac{d^{\ell-1}}{dr^{\ell-1}} \Sigma[\phi](0).
\]

But it is known that the derivatives of odd order of the spherical mean \( \Sigma[\phi] \) vanish at \( r = 0 \), see [10], Ch.1 - §3.9]. Thus the poles corresponding to even values of \( \ell \) do not exist. This leaves us with the poles corresponding to \( \ell = 1, 3, 5 \ldots \) or equivalently \( \lambda = -m - 2\ell, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). In [10], the residues of \(|z|^\lambda\) for \( \lambda = -m - 2\ell \) were computed to be

\[
\res_{\lambda=-m-2\ell} |z|^\lambda = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{m}{2}} \Delta^\ell \delta(x)}{2^{2\ell} \ell! \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell\right)},
\]

(12)

where \( \delta(x) = \delta(x_1) \cdots \delta(x_m) \) is the \( m \)-dimensional real Dirac distribution. These simple poles can be eliminated if we divide \(|z|^\lambda\) by an ordinary function of \( \lambda \) with exactly the same poles. A good candidate for such a function is \( \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + m}{2}\right) \) which has simple poles at \( \lambda = -m - 2\ell \) with residue

\[
\res_{\lambda=-m-2\ell} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + m}{2}\right)}{2^{2\ell} \ell! \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell\right)} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + m}{2}\right)}{2^{2\ell} \ell! \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell\right)}.
\]

Thus the generalized function \( \frac{|z|^\lambda}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + m}{2}\right)} \) is an entire analytic mapping of \( \lambda \), and its values at the singular points of \(|z|^\lambda\) can be computed as

\[
\frac{|z|^\lambda}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + m}{2}\right)} \bigg|_{\lambda=-m-2\ell} = \frac{\res_{\lambda=-m-2\ell} |z|^\lambda}{\res_{\lambda=-m-2\ell} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + m}{2}\right)} = \frac{(-1)^\ell \pi^{\frac{m}{2}} \Delta^\ell \delta(x)}{2^{2\ell} \ell! \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell\right)}.
\]

(13)

### 3.2 Taylor expansions to construct superdistributions

We can extend the definitions of the above generalized functions to super-space by considering their finite Taylor expansions. This also is an important technique to produce interesting even superfunctions (i.e. elements of \( C^\infty(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \)) out of real-valued functions see e.g. [3].

**Definition.** Consider a function \( F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\ell) \) where \( \ell \) is an open region of \( \mathbb{R}^\ell \), and \( \ell \) even real superfunctions \( a_j(x) \in C^\infty(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})^\ell \), \( j = 1, \ldots, \ell \). We expand every \( a_j \) as the sum of its body and its nilpotent part, i.e. \( a_j(x) = [a_j]^0(x) + [a_j]^1(x) \). If the domain \( E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell \) contains the image of the function \((|a_0|, \ldots, |a_\ell|)\), we define the composed superfunction \( F(a_1(x), \ldots, a_\ell(x)) \in C^\infty(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \) by means of the Taylor expansion as

\[
F(a_1, \ldots, a_\ell) = \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_\ell \geq 0} \frac{F^{(k_1, \ldots, k_\ell)}(|a_0|, \ldots, |a_\ell|)}{k_1! \cdots k_\ell!} a_1^{k_1} \cdots a_\ell^{k_\ell}.
\]

(14)
Remark 1. Note that the series in the above definition of $F(a_1, \ldots, a_t)$ is finite in view of the nilpotency of $a_i(x)$. Moreover, it is clear that Definition 7 can be used also for (generalized) functions that are not $C^\infty$ as long as all the derivatives appearing in the formula exist.

The expansion (14) is used to define arbitrary real powers of even superfunctions. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a = a_0 + a \in C^\infty(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{2n}$, then for $a_0 > 0$ we define

$$a^\lambda := \sum_{j=0}^n a^j \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 1)}{j!} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda - j + 1)} a_0^{\lambda - j}. \quad (15)$$

If $m \neq 0$, we use this idea to define the norm of the supervector variable $x$. Indeed, its norm squared $-x^2$ is an even smooth superfunction with non-negative body $|x|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^2$, see [3]. Hence, the norm of $x$ is defined as

$$|x| := (-x^2)^{1/2} = (|x|^2 - x^2)^{1/2} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j}}{j!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - j)} |x|^{\lambda - 2j}.$$

Similarly, the analogue of the generalized function $|x|^\lambda$ in superspace is given by

$$|x|^\lambda := (|x|^2 - x^2)^{\lambda/2} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j}}{j!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - j + 1)} |x|^{\lambda - 2j} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\lambda^{2j}}{\lambda - j} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda - j + 1)}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})} |x|^{\lambda - 2j}, \quad (16)$$

where, in the last equality, we have used the identity $(-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda - j + 1)} = \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} + j)}{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})}$. It is easily seen that $|x|^\lambda$ has simple poles at $\lambda = -M - 2\ell$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

To compute the corresponding residues of $|x|^\lambda$, we need to introduce first the Dirac delta distribution in the supervector variable $x$

$$\delta(x) := \delta(x) \frac{x^n}{n!} x^{2n} = \pi^n \delta(x) x_1 \cdots x_{2n},$$

where the product $\delta(\bar{x}) := \pi^m \bar{x}_1 \cdots \bar{x}_{2n}$ defines the Dirac distribution with respect to the fermionic variables. Indeed, it can be verified that

$$\langle \delta(x), G(x) \rangle = \int_{B_m} \delta(x) G(x) dV_\mathcal{F} = G(0),$$

where $G \in C^\infty(\Omega) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{2n}$ and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a neighborhood of the origin. We may now extend formulas (12)-(13) to superspace.

Theorem 1. Let $m \neq 0, M = m - 2n$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the following properties hold.

i) $\Delta_x^\ell \delta(x) = \pi^n \ell! \sum_{j=0}^{\min(n, \ell)} \frac{4^j \pi^{2n-2j}}{(2j)!(n-j)!} \Delta_x^{\ell-j} \delta(x)$.

ii) $\text{res}_{x=-M-2\ell} |x|^\lambda = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{M}{2}}}{2^{2\ell} \ell! \Gamma(\frac{M}{2} + \ell)} \Delta_x^\ell \delta(x)$.

iii) The normalization $\frac{|x|^\lambda}{\Gamma(\frac{m+M}{2})}$ defines an analytic mapping on the entire $\lambda$-plane with values in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{2n}$. Moreover, $\left. \frac{|x|^\lambda}{\Gamma(\frac{m+M}{2})} \right|_{\lambda=-M-2\ell} = \frac{(-1)^\ell \pi^{\frac{M}{2}}}{2^{2\ell} \Gamma(\frac{M}{2} + \ell)} \Delta_x^\ell \delta(x)$.

Proof.
i) It is easily seen that

\[
\Delta_{\delta} \theta(x) = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} (\Delta_{\delta} + \Delta_\varepsilon)^{\ell} [\delta(x)\Delta_{\delta}^{2n}] \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{n!}{\ell! n^{2j}} \Delta_{\delta}^{2n} \Delta_{\delta}^{j-n} [\delta(x)].
\]

Formula (16) now gives \(\Delta_{\delta}^{j} \left[\Delta_{\delta}^{2n}\right] = \begin{cases} 4j^{-n+1} (n-j)! \Delta_{\delta}^{2n-j}, & j \leq n, \\
0, & j > n.
\end{cases}\) Substituting this expression into the above sum yields the desired conclusion.

ii) From formula (12) we obtain

\[
\text{res}_{\lambda = -M-2\ell} |x|^{\lambda-2j} = \text{res}_{\lambda = -m-2(\ell+j-n)} |x|^{\lambda} = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \Delta_{\delta}^{\ell+j-n} \delta(x)}{2^{2\ell+2j-2n}(\ell+j-n)! \Gamma \left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell + j - n\right)} & \ell \geq n - j, \\
0 & \ell < n - j.
\end{cases}
\]

Then, using formula (16) we obtain

\[
\text{res}_{\lambda = -M-2\ell} |x|^{\lambda} = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(n,\ell)} \frac{j^{2} \frac{\ell}{2} + j + j}{\Gamma \left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell + j\right)} \text{res}_{\lambda = -m-2(\ell+j-n)} |x|^{\lambda-2j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(n,\ell)} \frac{j^{2} \frac{\ell}{2} + j + j}{\Gamma \left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell + j\right)} \frac{2\pi^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \Delta_{\delta}^{\ell+j-n} \delta(x)}{2^{2\ell+2j-2n}(\ell+j-n)! \Gamma \left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell + j - n\right)}
\]

where we have replaced the index \(j\) by \(n - j\) in the second equality. Comparison of the above equality with i) completes the proof.

iii) We recall that \(\text{res}_{\lambda = -M-2\ell} \Gamma \left(\frac{\lambda+M}{2}\right) = \frac{2^{\ell}}{2^{\ell}}\). Hence, it immediately follows from ii) that

\[
\frac{|x|^\lambda}{\Gamma \left(\frac{\lambda+M}{2}\right)} = \frac{\text{res}_{\lambda = -M-2\ell} |x|^\lambda}{\Gamma \left(\frac{\lambda+M}{2}\right)} = \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{\frac{\ell}{2}}}{2^{2\ell} \Gamma \left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell\right)} \Delta_{\delta}^{\ell} \delta(x).
\]

\[\square\]

3.3 Concentrated delta distributions and integral over the supersphere

Throughout this paper, we will integrate over supermanifolds of co-dimension 1 in \(\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}\). To that end, we need the following definition of concentrated Dirac delta distribution.

**Definition 2.** Consider an even real superfunction \(g = g_0 + g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{e})\), where \(g_0\) and \(g\) are the body and the nilpotent part of \(g\) respectively, and such that \(\partial_{x}^{(\ell)}[g_0] \neq 0\) on the surface \(g_0^{-1}(0) := \{w \in \mathbb{R}^m : g_0(w) = 0\}\). The distribution \(\delta^{(\ell)}(g)\), \(\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0\), is defined as the Taylor series

\[
\delta^{(\ell)}(g) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{g_0^{j}}{j!} \delta^{(\ell+j)}(g_0).
\]

When \(\ell = 0\), the above distribution is the concentrated delta distribution on the supermanifold defined by the equation \(g(x) = 0\).

In [18], integration over general supermanifolds of codimension 1 was introduced by means of the action of concentrated Dirac distributions. In particular, the supersphere \(S^{m-1,2n}\) is algebraically defined
by the relation $x^2 + 1 = 0$ if $m \neq 0$. Thus the classical integral over the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^m$ is extended to $\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}$ as (see also [8] [12])

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} F(x) \, dS_x = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{B_{x}} \delta(x^2 + 1) F(x) \, dV_x,$$

where $\delta(x^2 + 1) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2j}{\pi} \delta^{(j)}(1 - |x|^2)$ is the concentrated delta distribution on the supersphere. For a superfunction $F$ of the form (4), the above integral reads as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} F(x) \, dS_x = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left( \int_{B_{x}} (x^2) \, dA \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)}(1 - |x|^2) F_A(x) \, V_x \right),$$

where $\delta^{(j)}(1 - |x|^2)$ is the $j$-th derivative of the concentrated delta distribution (or $(j+1)$-fold layer) on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m-1} = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^m : |w| = 1 \}$. As usual, the notation $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)}(1 - |x|^2) F_A(x) \, V_x$ is used for the evaluation of the distribution $\delta^{(j)}(1 - |x|^2)$ on the real function $F_A(x)$, which can be computed as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)}(1 - |x|^2) F_A(x) \, V_x = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right)^j [r^{m-2} F_A(r \omega)] \bigg|_{r=1} \, dS_x.$$

We refer the reader to [16] [21] for a complete treatment on concentrated delta distributions and j-fold layer integrals in $\mathbb{R}^m$, and to [8] [18] for concrete examples of their use in integration over the supersphere.

The integral (18) is (up to a multiplicative constant) the unique $\exp(m|2n)$-invariant integration operator over the supersphere that satisfies (see [8] [8])

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} f(|x|) F(x) \, dS_x = f(1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} F(x) \, dS_x,$$

for any $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth in a neighborhood of the point $x = 1$.

In [8], it was proven that the integral (18) over $\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}$ reduces to the following Pizzetti formula when integrating superpolynomials

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} R(x) \, dS_x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi^{M/2}}{2j! \Gamma(j + M/2)} \Delta^j_{x} [R] \bigg|_{x=0}. \tag{20}$$

In particular, one obtains that the surface area $\sigma_M$ of $\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}$ is given by $\sigma_M = \frac{2\pi^M}{\Gamma\left(\frac{M}{2}\right)}$.

In the case $M = -2k$, the first $(k+1)$ terms of the Pizzetti sum vanish, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} R(x) \, dS_x = \sum_{j=-k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi^{M/2}}{2j! \Gamma(j + M/2)} \Delta^j_{x} [R] \bigg|_{x=0}. \tag{20}$$

This implies that the integral of any polynomial of degree $\leq 2k + 1$ vanishes. In particular, the area of the supersphere equals zero in this case, i.e.

$$\sigma_{-2k} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} 1 \, dS_x = \frac{2\pi^{-k}}{\Gamma(-k)} = 0.$$

Thus the normalized integral $\frac{1}{\sigma_{-2k}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} F(x) \, dS_x$ is in general not well-defined. However, for certain functions $F$ with a vanishing integral over the supersphere, it is possible to define a (non-vanishing) normalized integral. We now recall a few important facts about this normalized integral for even and negative superdimensions. We refer the reader to [12] [17] for more details.

The idea behind the definition of the normalized integral is as follows. Consider $M$ as a formal complex parameter, the Pizzetti formula (20) for the normalized integral of a polynomial $R(x) \in \bigoplus_{j=0}^{2k+1} P_j$ reads

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_M} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} R(x) \, dS_x = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(M/2)}{2j! \Gamma(j + M/2)} \Delta^j_{x} [R] \bigg|_{x=0}.$$
Taking the limit of this expression for \( M \to -2k \), and using the fact that the Gamma function has simple poles at \(-k, -k+1, \ldots, 0\), we obtain the following definition

\[
\frac{1}{\sigma - 2k} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} R(x) \, dS_x := \lim_{M \to -2k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{M}{2}\right)}{2^{2j} j! \Gamma(j+M/2)} \Delta_x^j [R] |_{x=0}^\cdot \quad = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(k-j)!}{2^{2j} j! k!} (-\Delta_x)^j [R] |_{x=0}.
\]

This definition is particularly interesting in the purely fermionic case \( m = 0 \), in which the Pizzetti formula \([20]\) yields the trivial functional \( \int_{S_{-1,2n}} \cdot dS_x = 0 \). The normalized integral \([21]\) still satisfies \([19]\) (see \([17]\)), namely if \( R_{2j} \in \mathcal{P}_{2j} \) and \( j + \ell \leq k \), then

\[
\frac{1}{\sigma - 2k} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} x^{2\ell} R_{2j}(x) \, dS_x = (-1)^\ell \frac{1}{\sigma - 2k} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} R_{2j}(x) \, dS_x.
\]

\[\text{(22)}\]

### 4 Radon transform in superspace

In this section we discuss some of the main properties of the Radon transform in superspace. Let us start by providing a brief overview about the classical Radon transform in \( \mathbb{R}^m \). In the purely bosonic case, the Radon transform of a function \( \phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^m) \) is defined as

\[
R_m[\phi](w, p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta((x, w) - p) \phi(x) \, dV_x = \frac{1}{|w|} \int_{(x, w) = p} \phi(x) \, dS_x,
\]

where \( dS_x \) denotes the Lebesgue measure on the hyperplane \( (x, w) = p \). This transform maps functions in \( S(\mathbb{R}^m) \) into functions in \( S(\mathbb{F}^m) \), where \( \mathbb{F}^m \) is the space of all hyperplanes in \( \mathbb{R}^m \). See e.g. \([11, 19, 20]\) for a more complete discussion of the properties of the Radon transform.

We recall that each hyperplane in \( \mathbb{F}^m \) can be written as \( (x, w) = p \) with \( w \in S^{m-1} \) and \( p \in \mathbb{R} \). Note that the pairs \( (w, p) \) and \( (-w, -p) \) define the same hyperplane in \( \mathbb{F}^m \). Thus, the mapping

\[
(w, p) \mapsto \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^m : (x, w) = p \} \in \mathbb{F}^m
\]

is a double covering of \( S^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R} \) onto \( \mathbb{F}^m \).

The Radon transform is closely related to the Fourier transform in \( \mathbb{R}^m \)

\[
\mathcal{F}_m[\phi](\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{-i(x, \xi)} \phi(x) \, dV_x,
\]

In fact, from the central-slice theorem we have (see \([19, 20]\))

\[
\mathcal{F}_m[\phi](w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-irp} R_m[\phi](w, p) \, dp, \quad (w, p) \in S^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}.
\]

\[\text{(23)}\]

It is easily seen that \( \phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^m) \) implies that \( \mathcal{F}_m[\phi](rw) \in S(\mathbb{R}) \) for each fixed \( w \). Thus it is clear from \([23]\) that the function \( p \mapsto R_m[\phi](w, p) \) belongs to \( S(\mathbb{R}) \). Property \([23]\) can be further extended as follows.

**Proposition 1.** Let \( g \in S'(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^m) \) and \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\} \). Then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g(\langle \xi, x \rangle) \phi(x) \, dV_x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(p) R_m[\phi](\xi, p) \, dp.
\]

**Proof.** Let us write \( \xi = rw \) with \( r > 0 \) and \( w \in S^{m-1} \). Consider the coordinate transformation \( y = Mx \) where \( M \in SO(m) \) is a rotation matrix whose first row is given by the unit vector \( w \), i.e. the first component of \( y \) is \( y_1 = \langle w, \xi \rangle \). Then,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g(\langle \xi, x \rangle) \phi(x) \, dV_x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g(ry_1) \phi(M^{-1}y) \, dy_1 \ldots dy_m
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(p) \left( \frac{1}{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \psi \left( \frac{p}{r}, y_2, \ldots, y_m \right) \, dy_2 \ldots dy_m \right) \, dp,
\]

\[\text{(24)}\]
Consider an even real superfunction \( \phi \) such that \( \phi(M^{-1}y) = \phi(y) \). Using the same coordinate transformation, we obtain

\[
R_m[\phi](\xi, p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta((x, \xi) - p) \phi(x) \, dV_x
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta(ry_1 - p) \psi(y) \, dy_1 \ldots dy_m
= \frac{1}{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \psi \left( \frac{p}{r}, y_2, \ldots, y_m \right) \, dy_2 \ldots dy_m.
\]

Combining (24) and (25) we obtain the desired result. \( \Box \)

We now have the following definition of the Radon transform in the superspace setting.

**Definition 3.** Let \( m \neq 0 \). Given a commuting variable \( p \) and a supervector variable \( w \), both independent of \( x \), we define the Radon transform of a superfunction \( \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathcal{G}_{2n} \) as

\[
R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} \delta((x, w) - p) \phi(x), \quad \text{with} \quad \delta((x, w) - p) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \frac{\langle \xi, w \rangle^j}{j!} \delta^{(j)}((x, w) - p).
\]

**Remark 2.** The Radon transform in superspace was introduced in the works \([\text{8, 10}]\) where some basic properties were studied. Initially, the super Radon transform was introduced in \([\text{10}]\) in terms of the super Fourier transform using the central-slice property \([\text{23}]\) as definition. In the later work \([\text{8}]\), the above definition in terms of the Dirac distribution was introduced. It has been proven that this definition indeed satisfies the central-slice theorem, i.e.

\[
\mathcal{F}_{m|2n}[\phi](r w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-irp} R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p) \, dp,
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{F}_{m|2n}[\phi](y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} e^{-i(x,y)} \phi(x),
\]

is the Fourier transform in superspace. The transform \( \mathcal{F}_{m|2n} \) defines an isomorphism of \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathcal{G}_{2n} \), see \([\text{10, Theorem 7}]\). Then the condition \( \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathcal{G}_{2n} \) implies that, given a supervector parameter \( w \), the function \( r \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{m|2n}[\phi](r w) \) is a rapidly decreasing function of the real variable \( r \).

Now, we show some additional properties of the super Radon transform which shall be useful in what follows.

**Proposition 2.** The Radon transform in superspace satisfy the following properties:

i) **Homogeneity:** Consider an even real superfunction \( h = h_0 + h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathcal{G}_{2n}^{(ev)} \), where \( h_0 \) and \( h \) are the body and the nilpotent part of \( h \) respectively. If \( h_0 > 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^m \), then

\[
R_{m|2n}[\phi](hw, hp) = \frac{1}{h} R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p).
\]

ii) **Shifting property:** Consider the translation \( \phi_y(x) = \phi(x - y) \). Then

\[
R_{m|2n}[\phi_y](w, p) = R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p - \langle y, w \rangle).
\]

iii) **Derivatives of the transform:**

\[
\partial_{w_i} R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p) = -\partial_p R_{m|2n}[x_i \phi](w, p),
\]

\[
\partial_{w_{ij}} R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_p R_{m|2n}[x_{ij} \phi](w, p),
\]

\[
\partial_{w_{i}} R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_p R_{m|2n}[x_{i} \phi](w, p).
\]
iv) Action of the Dirac and Laplace operators:

\[
\partial_\omega R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p) = \partial_\mu R_{m[2n]}[x\phi](w, p), \\
\Delta_\omega R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p) = \partial_\mu^2 R_{m[2n]}[x^2\phi](w, p).
\]

Proof.

i) Given two real superfunctions \(g, h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2^{(\text{even})}\), it is known that \(\delta(h_0) = \frac{\delta(g_0)}{\pi}\), provided that the body \(h_0\) of \(h\) is positive in \(\mathbb{R}^m\), and that the body \(g_0\) of \(g\) has a non-vanishing gradient on the surface \(g_0^{-1}(0)\). This was proved in [15, Proposition 7]. Taking \(g(x) = \langle x, w \rangle - p\), we obtain

\[
R_{m[2n]}[\phi](h w, h p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{2n}} \delta(h(\langle x, w \rangle - p)) \phi(x) = \frac{1}{h} R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p).
\]

ii) In virtue of the translation invariance of the Berezin integral (see [3, Ch.2 - §2]), the change of variable \(u = x - y\) yields

\[
R_{m[2n]}[\phi_y](w, p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{2n}} \delta(\langle x, w \rangle - p) \phi(x - y) \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{2n}} \delta(\langle u, w \rangle + \langle y, w \rangle - p) \phi(u) \\
= R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p - \langle y, w \rangle).
\]

iii) By the chain rule in superspace (see [3, Ch.2 - §1]), we obtain

\[
\partial_{\omega_{2j-1}} R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{2n}} \partial_{\omega_{2j-1}} \delta(\langle x, w \rangle - p) \phi(x) \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{2n}} -\frac{x_{2j}}{2} \delta(\langle x, w \rangle - p) \phi(x) \\
= \frac{1}{2} \partial_p R_{m[2n]}[x_{2j}\phi](w, p).
\]

The formulas for \(\partial_{\omega_j} R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p)\) and \(\partial_{\omega_{2j}} R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p)\) can be proven in a similar way.

iv) It follows from direct computations using iii). \(\square\)

Proposition 3 extends to the superspace setting as follows.

**Proposition 3.** Let \(g \in S'(\mathbb{R})\) and \(\phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2\). Then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{2n}} g(\langle x, w \rangle) \phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(p) R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p) \, dp. \tag{28}
\]

**Proof.** From formula (27) and the fact that \(\mathcal{F}_{m[2n]}[\phi](r w)\) is a \(S(\mathbb{R})\) function of \(r \in \mathbb{R}\), it follows that

\[
R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p) = (2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2} - 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-irp} \mathcal{F}_{m[2n]}[\phi](r w) \, dr,
\]

which is of class \(S(\mathbb{R})\) when considered as a function of the real variable \(p\). Thus, the action of the tempered distribution \(g(p)\) on \(R_{m[2n]}[\phi](w, p)\) in (28) is well-defined. Using Proposition 3 and the finite
Corollary 1. Let $g \in S'(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{2n}$. Then

i) If $g \equiv 0$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} g(\langle x, w \rangle) \phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{m|2n} \langle \phi \rangle (w, p) \, dp.$$  

ii) Given a real superfunction $a(w) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{2n}^{(e)},$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} g(\langle x, w \rangle + a(w)) \phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(p) \, R_{m|2n} \langle \phi \rangle (w, p - a(w)) \, dp.$$  

Proof. We only prove ii) since i) is a direct consequence of Proposition 3. Let us write $a(w) = a_0(w) + a(w)$ where $a_0$ and $a$ are the body and nilpotent parts of $a$, respectively. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} g(\langle x, w \rangle + a(w)) \phi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} g(j) \left( \langle x, w \rangle + a_0(w) \right) \phi(x).$$  

Using Proposition 3 for the tempered distributions $g^{(j)} (t + a_0(w))$ on the real line ($t \in \mathbb{R}$), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} g(\langle x, w \rangle + a(w)) \phi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{a(w)^j}{j!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} g^{(j)} (p + a_0(w)) \, R_{m|2n} \langle \phi \rangle (w, p) \, dp$$  

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{a(w)^j}{j!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g^{(j)} (p) \, R_{m|2n} \langle \phi \rangle (w, p - a_0(w)) \, dp$$  

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(p) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j a(w)^j}{j!} \, \partial_p^j R_{m|2n} \langle \phi \rangle (w, p - a_0(w)) \right) \, dp$$  

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(p) \, R_{m|2n} \langle \phi \rangle (w, p - a(w)) \, dp,$$

which proves the result. 

□
5 Plane wave decomposition of the delta distribution

The main goal of this section is to obtain a plane wave decomposition of the Dirac delta distribution in superspace. This is an important step towards the inversion formulas for the Radon transform, which will be obtained in the next section. Before studying the superspace case, let us recall first some useful plane wave decompositions in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

5.1 Plane wave decompositions in $\mathbb{R}^m$

Let $w \in S^{m-1}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(\lambda) > -1$ and let $F_{\lambda}(\langle x, w \rangle)$ be the generalized function defined by

$$
\langle F_{\lambda}(\langle x, w \rangle), \phi(x) \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{|\langle x, w \rangle|^{\lambda}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)} \phi(x) \, dV_x, \quad \phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^m).
$$

By Proposition [4] this generalized function in $\mathbb{R}^m$ can be written as the following 1-dimensional functional

$$
\langle F_{\lambda}(\langle x, w \rangle), \phi(x) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^{\lambda}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)} R_m[\phi](w, p) \, dp.
$$

Then, on account of (13), $F_{\lambda}(\langle x, w \rangle)$ can be analytically continued to the entire $\lambda$-plane. Since $F_{\lambda}(\langle x, w \rangle)$ is a functional depending continuously on the parameter $w$, we can integrate $F_{\lambda}(\langle x, w \rangle)$ over $S^{m-1}$. In this way, we obtain a new functional $G_{\lambda}$ given by

$$
\langle G_{\lambda}, \phi(x) \rangle := \int_{S^{m-1}} \langle F_{\lambda}(\langle x, w \rangle), \phi(x) \rangle \, dS_w.
$$

This integral can be explicitly computed for $\text{Re}(\lambda) > -1$ yielding (see e.g. [10] Ch.1 - §3.10)

$$
\frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)} \int_{S^{m-1}} |\langle x, w \rangle|^{\lambda} \, dS_w = \frac{2|t|^{\lambda}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)}.
$$

(29)

Again, the validity of this formula can be extended by analytic continuation from $\{\text{Re}(\lambda) > -1\}$ to the rest of the $\lambda$-plane. Formula (29) provides the so-called plane wave decomposition for

$$
\frac{2|t|^{\lambda}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)}.
$$

Evaluating formula (29) at $\lambda = -m - 2\ell$, and making use of (13), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-m}{2} - \ell\right)} \int_{S^{m-1}} |\langle x, w \rangle|^{\lambda} \, dS_w = \frac{(-1)^{\ell} 2\pi \Delta_{\ell}^{\prime} \delta(x)}{2^{2\ell} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell\right)}.
$$

(30)

Thus, if $m$ is even, this formula reduces to

$$
\frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-m}{2} - \ell\right)} \int_{S^{m-1}} |\langle x, w \rangle|^{\lambda} \, dS_w = \frac{(-1)^{\ell} 2\pi \Delta_{\ell}^{\prime} \delta(x)}{2^{2\ell} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell\right)}.
$$

(31)

On the other hand, if $m$ is odd, the integrand in formula (30) is evaluated at one of the simple poles of $|t|^\lambda$. Using formula (13) on the real line, i.e. when $m = 1$, we obtain

$$
\frac{|t|^{\lambda}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\right)} \bigg|_{\lambda = -2j-1} = \frac{(-1)^j j!}{(2j)!} \delta^{(2j)}(t).
$$

(32)

Then, taking $t = \langle x, w \rangle$ and $j = \frac{m-1}{2} + \ell$, formula (30) yields

$$
\frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \left(\frac{m-1}{2} + \ell\right)!}{\pi^{\frac{m-1}{2}} (m - 1 + 2\ell)!} \int_{S^{m-1}} \delta^{(m-1+2\ell)}(\langle x, w \rangle) \, dS_w = \frac{2\pi \Delta_{\ell}^{\prime} \delta(x)}{2^{2\ell} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2} + \ell\right)}.
$$

(33)
Summarizing, taking \( \ell = 0 \) in (31) and (33) we obtain the following plane wave decompositions of \( \delta(x) \)

\[
\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{(-1)^{m/2} (m-1)!}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{S^{m-1}} \langle x, \omega \rangle^{-m} dS_{\omega}, & \text{for } m \text{ even,} \\
\frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2}}{2(2\pi)^{m-1}} \int_{S^{m-1}} \delta^{(m-1)}(\langle x, \omega \rangle) dS_{\omega}, & \text{for } m \text{ odd.}
\end{cases}
\]  

(34)

For a more complete study of these plane wave decompositions we refer the reader to [16] Ch.1 - §3.

5.2 Plane wave decompositions in superspace

In this section, we obtain a decomposition of the distribution \( \delta(x) = \delta(x) \pi^{m/2} 2^m \) into plane waves integrated over the supersphere, thus extending formulas (34) to superspace. To that end we will not follow the classical approach from [16], which was briefly described in Section 5.1. Instead, we will look at this problem from the perspective of hyperfunctions. Indeed, we will first show that the fundamental solution of the super Cauchy kernel, \( \delta(x) \), is suitable boundary value of the super Cauchy kernel, i.e. the fundamental solution of the generalized Cauchy-Riemann operator \( \partial_x - \partial_{x_0} \), where \( x_0 \) is an extra real variable. Then, we will combine this result with the plane wave decomposition obtained in [17] for this Cauchy kernel.

A fundamental solution \( \varphi_{x_0}^{m+1/2n}(x_0, x) \) of \( \partial_x - \partial_{x_0} \) must satisfy the condition

\[
(\partial_x - \partial_{x_0}) \varphi_{x_0}^{m+1/2n}(x_0, x) = \delta(x_0) \delta(x).
\]

The following explicit expressions for \( \varphi_{x_0}^{m+1/2n}(x_0, x) \) were computed in [17]. For a detailed account on fundamental solutions of the super Dirac operator \( \partial_x \) and super Laplace operator \( \Delta_x \) we refer the reader to [13].

Lemma 1. A fundamental solution of \( \partial_x - \partial_{x_0} \) is given by

\[
\varphi_{x_0}^{m+1/2n}(x_0, x) = \pi^n \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j 2^j j!}{(n-j)!} \varphi_{j+1/2}^{m+1/2} \omega^{2n-2j} - \pi^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j 2^{j+1} j!}{(n-j-1)!} \nu_{j+1/2}^{m+1/2} \omega^{2n-2j-1},
\]

(35)

where \( \varphi_{j+1/2}^{m+1/2} \) is the fundamental solution of \( \Delta^{j+1}_{m+1/2} \), being \( \Delta^{m+1/2} \) the bosonic Laplacian in \( (m + 1) \) dimensions, and \( \nu_{j+1/2}^{m+1/2} := (\partial_{x_0} - \partial_x) \nu_{j+1/2}^{m+1/2} \) is a fundamental solution of \( (\partial_{x_0} - \partial_x) \Delta^{j}_{m+1/2} \).

Moreover, if \( M + 1 \notin -2N_0 \), the fundamental solution \( \varphi_{x_0}^{m+1/2n} \) can also be written as

\[
\varphi_{x_0}^{m+1/2n}(x_0, x) = \frac{-1}{\sigma_{M+1} |x_0 - x|^{M+1}} \left( x_0 - x \right),
\]

where \( |x_0 - x| = |x_0 + x| = \left( x_0^2 + |x|^2 - |x_0|^2 \right)^{1/2} \).

Before stating the plane wave decomposition theorem for the super Cauchy kernel, we recall how to construct monogenic plane waves out of holomorphic functions, see e.g. [17] [20]. Let \( g(z) = g_1(a,b) + ig_2(a,b) \) be a holomorphic \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued function of the complex variable \( z = a + ib \) in an open domain \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C} \). If \( m \neq 0 \), given a supervector parameter \( w = \overline{w} + w_1 \), we define

\[
g((x,w) - x_0 w) = g_1((x,w), x_0 |w|) - \frac{w}{|w|} g_2((x,w), x_0 |w|),
\]

as an element of \( C^\infty (\Omega_w) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes C_m,2n \) where \( \Omega_w = \{ (x,x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : (x,w), x_0 |w| \} \in \Omega \}. Here the functions \( g_\ell((x,w), x_0 |w|), \ell = 1,2 \), are defined as in [14]. A function of the type (36) is called a monogenic plane wave. The monogenicity of \( g((x,w) - x_0 w) \) with respect to the operator \( (\partial_x - \partial_{x_0}) \) was indeed established in [17], i.e. \( (\partial_x - \partial_{x_0}) g((x,w) - x_0 w) = 0 \).
Remark 3. In [36], we have replaced the role of the complex imaginary unit $i$ by the supervector $\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}$. We recall that this correspondence does not exist if $m = 0$ since $\omega = \bar{\omega}$ is nilpotent. However, it is still possible to find an analogue of definition (36) in this context given by

$$g((\xi, \bar{w}) - x_0w) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \frac{((\xi, \bar{w}) - x_0w)^j{j!}}{j!} g^{(j)}(0),$$

for any real-valued function $g$ of class $C^{2n}$ in a neighborhood of $z = 0$. Therefore, in the case $m = 0$, it suffices to consider only the generators $(\xi, \bar{w}) - x_0w$ for $j = 0, \ldots, 2n$. 

We also introduce the following sequence of complex functions

$$G_k(z) = \frac{z^k}{k!} \ln(z) - a_k z^k, \quad \text{with} \quad a_{k+1} = \frac{1}{k+1} \left(a_k + \frac{1}{(k+1)!}\right), \quad a_0 = 0,$$  

(37)

where $\ln(z)$ is the principal branch of the complex logarithm, i.e. we consider $-\pi < \text{Arg}(z) \leq \pi$. The sequence $\{a_k\}$ can also be explicitly defined as $a_k = \frac{\Psi^{(k+1)}(z) - \Psi(z)}{\Gamma(z)}$ where $\Psi(z) = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)}$ is the digamma function. The functions in the sequence $\{G_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are primitives of the complex logarithm function. Indeed, it is easy to check that $G_k'' = G_k$ while $G_k(0) = \ln(k!)$.

We can now formulate the decomposition into plane waves of the super Cauchy kernel $\varphi^{m+1|2n}_1(x_0, x)$ obtained in [17].

Theorem 2. [Plane wave decomposition of Cauchy kernel] Let $x_0 \neq 0$ and $M + 1 \notin -2\mathbb{N}_0$, with $M = m - 2n$. Then

i) If $M \geq 1$,

$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_{M+1}} \frac{x_0 - x}{|x_0 - x|^{M+1}} = -\text{sgn}(x_0) \frac{(-1)^{\frac{M}{2}}(M-1)!}{2(2\pi)^M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} (x, \omega) - x_0 \omega)^-M dS_\omega, \quad \text{for } M \text{ even},$$

$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_{M+1}} \frac{x_0 - x}{|x_0 - x|^{M+1}} = -\frac{(-1)^{\frac{M+1}{2}}(M-1)!}{2(2\pi)^M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} (x, \omega) - x_0 \omega)^-M \omega dS_\omega, \quad \text{for } M \text{ odd}. $$

ii) If $M = -2k \ (m \neq 0)$,

$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_{M+1}} \frac{x_0 - x}{|x_0 - x|^{M+1}} = -\text{sgn}(x_0) \frac{1}{4^{k}k!^2}\sigma_{2k+1} \Delta^k_k \left[\left((x, \omega) - x_0 \omega\right)^{2k}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{(-1)^{k}(4\pi^2)^{k}}{2} \text{sgn}(x_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} G_{2k}((x, \omega) - x_0 \omega) dS_\omega,$$

with $G_{2k}$ defined as in [37].

iii) If $M = -2n \ (m = 0)$,

$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_{-2n+1}} \frac{x_0 - \bar{x}}{|x_0 - \bar{x}|^{-2n+1}} = -\text{sgn}(x_0) \frac{1}{4^{n}n!^2}\sigma_{-2n+1} \Delta^n_n \left[\left((\xi, \bar{w}) - x_0 \bar{w}\right)^{2n}\right].$$

Remark 4. The actions of the Laplace operators in ii) and iii) on the corresponding plane wave polynomials can be seen as normalized integrals over the supersphere as defined in [37]. In particular,

$$-\frac{\text{sgn}(x_0)}{4^{k}k!^2}\sigma_{-2k+1} \Delta^k_k \left[\left((x, \omega) - x_0 \omega\right)^{2k}\right] = \frac{(-1)^{k}\text{sgn}(x_0)}{\sigma_{-2k+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} (x, \omega) - x_0 \omega)^{2k} dS_\omega,$$

and

$$-\frac{\text{sgn}(x_0)}{4^{n}n!^2}\sigma_{-2n+1} \Delta^n_n \left[\left((\xi, \bar{w}) - x_0 \bar{w}\right)^{2n}\right] = \frac{(-1)^{n}\text{sgn}(x_0)}{\sigma_{-2n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} (\xi, \bar{w}) - x_0 \bar{w})^{2n} dS_{\bar{w}}.$$
We now show that, similarly to the classical case, the delta distribution in superspace can be written as the boundary value of the Cauchy kernel. We define the boundary value of a generalized superfunction \( f(x_0, x) \) at \( x_0 = 0 \) by
\[
\text{B.V.} \left[ f \right](x) := \lim_{x_0 \to 0^+} [f(x_0, x) - f(-x_0, x)].
\]

**Theorem 3.** Let \( M + 1 \notin -2\mathbb{N}_0 \), with \( M = m - 2n \). Then
\[
-\delta(x) = \text{B.V.} \left[ \varphi_1^{m+1|0} \right](x) = \lim_{x_0 \to 0^+} \left[ \varphi_1^{m+1|2n}(x_0, x) - \varphi_1^{m+1|2n}(-x_0, x) \right]. \tag{38}
\]

This is equivalent to the following set of equalities (see Lemma 7).
\[
\text{B.V.} \left[ \varphi_1^{m+1|0} \right](x) = -\delta(x), \tag{39}
\]
\[
\text{B.V.} \left[ \varphi_2^{m+1|1} \right](x) = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{40}
\]
\[
\text{B.V.} \left[ \nu_2^{m+1|0} \right](x) = 0, \quad j = 0, \ldots, n - 1. \tag{41}
\]

**Proof.** The equivalence between (38) and (39)-(41) immediately follows from the definition of \( \delta(x) \) and formula (35). We proceed to prove each of the formulas (39)-(41).

In order to prove (39) we need to introduce the notion of Cauchy transform of a distribution in \( \mathbb{R}^m \), see e.g. [4]. Let \( T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^m) \), the Cauchy transform of \( T \) is defined as
\[
\hat{T}(x + \xi) = -\left\langle T, \varphi_1^{m+1|0}(x_0, \xi - \xi) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sigma_{m+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{u + x_0 - x}{|\xi + x_0 - \xi|^{m+1}} T(u) \, dV_u, \quad x_0 \neq 0,
\]
where \( \varphi_1^{m+1|0}(x_0, \xi) = -\frac{x_0}{\sigma_{m+1}} |x_0 - \xi|^{m+1} \) is the Cauchy kernel in the purely bosonic case, i.e. the fundamental solution of \( -(\partial_{x_0} + \partial_{x_j}) \). Since \( x_0 \neq 0 \), it is clear that \( \varphi_1^{m+1|0}(x_0, \xi - u) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \) in the variable \( u \).

Thus the above action of the distribution \( T \) is well-defined and \( \hat{T}(x + \xi) \) is a monogenic generalized function. Here monogenicity is understood with respect to the operator \( \partial_{x_0} + \partial_{x_j} \).

From [4, Theorem 27.7], any distribution \( T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^m) \) can be written as the boundary value of its Cauchy transform, i.e. \( T = \text{B.V.}[\hat{T}] \), or equivalently,
\[
\langle T, \phi \rangle = \lim_{x_0 \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left( \hat{T}(x_0 + x) - \hat{T}(-x_0 + x) \right) \phi(x) \, dV_x, \quad \phi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^m). \tag{42}
\]

Now, if we take \( T = \delta(x) = \delta(x_1) \ldots \delta(x_m) \), we have
\[
\hat{\delta}(x_0 + x) = -\left\langle \delta(u), \varphi_1^{m+1|0}(x_0, \xi - u) \right\rangle = -\varphi_1^{m+1|0}(x_0, \xi) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{m+1}} x_0 - \xi|^{m+1}.
\]

Then by formula (42) we obtain \( \delta(x) = -\text{B.V.} \left[ \varphi_1^{m+1|0}(x_0, \xi) \right] \), which proves (39).

**Now, we proceed to prove (40).** We first recall that the fundamental solution \( \nu_2^{m+1|1} \) of \( \Delta_{m+1}^j \) with \( m + 1 - 2j \notin -2\mathbb{N}_0 \), is given by (see [2])
\[
\nu_2^{m+1|0} = c(m + 1, j) x_0 + x_0^{2j - m - 1}, \quad \text{where} \quad c(m + 1, j) = \frac{(-1)^j \Gamma \left( \frac{m+1}{2} - j \right)}{2^{2j} \pi^j \Gamma(j)} \tag{43}
\]

Thus the fundamental solution \( \varphi_2^{m+1|1} \) of the operator \( -\partial_\xi - \partial_{x_j} \Delta_{m+1}^j \) can be computed as (see [17])
\[
\varphi_2^{m+1|1} = (\partial_{\xi} - \partial_{x_j}) \nu_2^{m+1|0} = d(m + 1, j) \frac{(x_0 - \xi)}{|x_0 + \xi|^{m+1 - 2j}}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,
\]
where \( d(m + 1, j) = \frac{(-1)^{j+1} m^{(m+1-j)}}{2^{m+1-j} \pi^{j+1} \Gamma(j+1)} \). Then, for any test function \( \phi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^m) \) we obtain
\[
I_j(x_0) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left( \varphi_2^{m+1|1}(x_0, \xi) - \varphi_2^{m+1|1}(-x_0, \xi) \right) \phi(\xi) \, dV_\xi = 2d(m + 1, j) x_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\phi(\xi)}{|x_0 + \xi|^{m+1 - j}} \, dV_\xi.
\]
If \( m + 1 - 2j < 0 \), it is immediately seen that \( \lim_{x_0 \to 0^+} I_j(x_0) = 0 \). On the other hand, if \( m + 1 - 2j \geq 0 \), we use the identity 
\[
\frac{|I_j(x_0)|}{2d(m+1,j)} \leq x_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{|\phi(x)|}{|x|^{m+1-2j}} \, dV_x = \sigma_m \, x_0 \int_0^{\infty} r^{2j-2} \, \Sigma \, |[\phi](r)| \, dr,
\]
(44)
Since \( \Sigma \, |[\phi](r)| \) is clearly compactly supported, we have
\[
\int_0^{\infty} r^{2j-2} \, \Sigma \, |[\phi](r)| \, dr < \infty, \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
Hence, formula (44) yields \( \lim_{x_0 \to 0^+} I_j(x_0) = 0 \), which proves (40).

The proof of (41) follows immediately from (43). Indeed,
\[
\text{B.V.} \left[ \nu^{m+1}_{2j+2} \right] (x) = c(m + 1, j + 1) \lim_{x_0 \to 0^+} (\langle x_0 + \xi, x \rangle^{2j-m-1} - |x_0 + \xi|^{2j-m-1}) = 0.
\]
\[\square\]

Remark 5. It is known that \( C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m) \), see e.g. [27, Lemma 7.1.8]. Thus, although formula (38) was proven for actions on test functions in \( C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \), it can be extended by density to actions on test functions in \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m) \).

Combining Theorems 2 and 5, we obtain the following plane wave decomposition for the delta distribution in superspace. In Appendix A, we provide an alternative proof for this result, which follows from the Funk-Hecke Theorem in superspace and that can be of independent interest.

Theorem 4. Let \( M + 1 \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0 \), with \( M = m - 2n \). Then

\( i \) If \( M \geq 1 \),
\[
\delta(x) = \frac{(-1)^{M} (M-1)!}{(2\pi)^M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} (x, w)^{-M} \, dS_w, \quad \text{for} \quad M \text{ even},
\]
\[
\delta(x) = \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{2(2\pi)^{M-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} \delta^{(M-1)}(x, w) \, dS_w, \quad \text{for} \quad M \text{ odd}.
\]

\( ii \) If \( M = -2k \) (\( m \neq 0 \)),
\[
\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2^{-k-1}(k!)^2} \frac{\Delta^{k}_w}{\sigma_{-2k+1}} \left( (x, w)^{2k} - (-1)^k (4\pi^2)^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} G_{2k}(\| (x, w) \|) \, dS_w \right).
\]

\( iii \) If \( M = -2n \) (\( m = 0 \)),
\[
\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2^{-n-1}(n!)^2} \frac{\Delta^{n}_w}{\sigma_{-2n+1}} \left( (x, w)^{2n} \right).
\]

Proof. The theorem is proved by combining formula (38) with the plane wave decompositions of the Cauchy kernel in Theorem 2

\( \text{Case} \ i \) \( M \geq 1 \). If \( M \) is even, we obtain
\[
-\delta(x) = -\frac{(-1)^{M} (M-1)!}{2(2\pi)^M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} \text{B.V.} \left[ \text{sgn}(x_0)(\langle x, w \rangle - x_0w)^{-M} \right] \, dS_w.
\]
It is easily seen that
\[
\text{B.V.} \left[ \text{sgn}(x_0)(\langle x, w \rangle - x_0w)^{-M} \right] = \lim_{x_0 \to 0^+} \left( (x, w) - x_0w \right)^{-M} + \left( (x, w) + x_0w \right)^{-M} = 2(x, w)^{-M},
\]
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where
\[
\langle x, w \rangle^{-M} = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \frac{(-1)^{j} (x, w)^{j}}{j!} \frac{(M + j - 1)!}{(M - 1)!} \langle x, w \rangle^{-M-j},
\]
and the functionals \( \langle x, w \rangle^{-M-j} \) are defined as in (9). We thus conclude that
\[
\delta(x) = \frac{(-1)\frac{M}{2}(M-1)!}{(2\pi)^M} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} \langle x, w \rangle^{-M} dS_w.
\]

On the other hand, if \( M \) is odd we obtain
\[
-\delta(x) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{M+1}{2}}(M-1)!}{2(2\pi)^M} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} B.V. \left[ (\langle x, w \rangle - x_0 w)^{-M} \right] dS_w.
\]  

(45)

We recall that the Dirac delta distribution on the real line can be written as the boundary value of the complex Cauchy kernel, i.e.
\[
\delta(a) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} B.V. \left[ \frac{1}{z} \right] = \lim_{b \to 0^+} -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \frac{1}{a + ib} - \frac{1}{a - ib} \right].
\]

Differentiating \( (M-1) \) times yields the identity
\[
\delta^{(M-1)}(a) = \frac{-(M-1)!}{2\pi i} B.V. \left[ z^{-M} \right].
\]

We may now make the identifications \( a \mapsto \langle x, w \rangle, b \mapsto x_0, i \mapsto \frac{w}{|w|} \) and \( \frac{1}{y} \mapsto \frac{w}{|w|} \) and obtain
\[
\delta^{(M-1)}(\langle x, w \rangle) = \frac{-(M-1)!}{2\pi} B.V. \left[ \frac{w}{|w|} \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right)^{-M} \right].
\]  

(46)

Here \( \delta^{(M-1)}(\langle x, w \rangle) \) is defined by means of the Taylor expansion (17) and \( (\langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|})^{-M} \) is defined by (36) after taking \( g(z) = z^{-M} \) and replacing \( x_0 \) by \( x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \), which yields the plane wave
\[
g \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right) = g_1(\langle x, w \rangle, x_0) = \frac{w}{|w|} g_2(\langle x, w \rangle, x_0).
\]  

(47)

On account of (19) and combining (45) with (46), we obtain
\[
\delta(x) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{M+1}{2}}(M-1)!}{2(2\pi)^M} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} B.V. \left[ \frac{w}{|w|} \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right)^{-M} \right] dS_w
\]
\[
= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{M+1}{2}}(M-1)!}{2(2\pi)^M} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} \delta^{(M-1)}(\langle x, w \rangle) dS_w,
\]
which proves the theorem for this case.

**Case ii) \( M = -2k, m \neq 0 \).** In this case, combining Theorem 2 with formula (38) yields
\[
-\delta(x) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{M+1}{2}}(M-1)!}{4^k (k)!^2 \sigma_{-2k+1}} \Delta^k \left[ B.V. \left[ \frac{\text{sgn}(x_0)(\langle x, w \rangle - x_0 w)^{2k}}{\sigma_{-2k+1}} \right] \right]
\]
\[
+ \frac{(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}(4\pi)^k}{2} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} B.V. \left[ \frac{\text{sgn}(x_0) G_{2k}(\langle x, w \rangle - x_0 w)}{\sigma_{-2k+1}} \right] dS_w.
\]  

(48)

The first boundary value can be easily computed as \( B.V. \left[ \frac{\text{sgn}(x_0)(\langle x, w \rangle - x_0 w)^{2k}}{\sigma_{-2k+1}} \right] = 2(\langle x, w \rangle)^{2k} \). In order to compute the other boundary value, we first recall that
\[
\lim_{b \to 0^+} G_{2k}(a \pm ib) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{a^2}{(2k)!} \ln(a) - a_{2k} a^{2k}, & a > 0 \\
\frac{a^2}{(2k)!} \ln(|a|) \pm i\pi) - a_{2k} a^{2k}, & a < 0 
\end{cases} = G_{2k}(|a|) \pm a_{2k}^{2k} \frac{i\pi}{(2k)!} H(-a),
\]  

\[\text{Case ii) } M = -2k, m \neq 0.\]
where \( H(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & a > 0 \\ 0, & a \leq 0, \end{cases} \) is the Heaviside distribution. Then
\[
\lim_{b \to b^+} G_{2k}(a + ib) + G_{2k}(a - ib) = 2G_{2k}(|a|),
\]
and therefore, \( 2G_{2k}(|a|) = B.V. [\text{sgn}(b) G_{2k}(z)] \). Under the same identifications as before, we thus obtain
\[
B.V. \left[ \text{sgn}(x_0) G_{2k} \left( (x, w) - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right) \right] = 2G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle),
\]
which according to (19) yields
\[
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times 2n} B.V. \left[ \text{sgn}(x_0) G_{2k} ((x, w) - x_0 w) \right] dS_w = 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times 2n} G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle) dS_w.
\]
Finally, substituting the above expressions for the two boundary values into (48), we obtain
\[
\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2^{2k-1} (k!)^2} \frac{\Delta_{w}^{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle)}{\sigma_{-2k+1}} - (-1)^{k} (4\pi^2)^{k} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times 2n} G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle) dS_w,
\]
which completes the proof in this case.

**Case iii)** \( M = -2n \). In this case, we have
\[
-\delta(x) = \frac{1}{4^n n!^2} \frac{\Delta_{w}^{2n}}{\sigma_{-2n+1}} \left[ B.V. \left[ \text{sgn}(x_0) (\langle \hat{x}, \hat{w} \rangle - x_0 \hat{w})^{2n} \right] \right],
\]
and \( B.V. [\text{sgn}(x_0) (\langle \hat{x}, \hat{w} \rangle - x_0 \hat{w})^{2n}] = 2 (\langle \hat{x}, \hat{w} \rangle)^{2n} \). Combining these two equalities, we obtain the desired result. \( \square \)

### 6 Inversion formulas for the Radon transform

In this section, we use the previous plane wave decomposition of \( \delta(x) \) to obtain explicit inversion formulas for the Radon transform \((26)\).

**Theorem 5.** Let \( M + 1 \hat{x} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), with \( M = m - 2n \), and \( \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{2n} \). Then

i) If \( M \geq 1 \),
\[
\phi(y) = (-1)^{\frac{M}{2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{M}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times 2n} \partial_{p}^{M-1} R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p + (y, w)) dS_w \right) dp,
\]
for \( M \) even,
\[
\phi(y) = (-1)^{\frac{M}{2}+1} \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{M-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times 2n} \partial_{p}^{M-1} R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p) \bigg|_{p=(y, w)} dS_w,
\]
for \( M \) odd.

ii) If \( M = -2k \ (m \neq 0) \),
\[
\phi(y) = \frac{1}{2^{2k-1} (k!)^2} \frac{\Delta_{w}^{2k} R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p + (y, w))}{\sigma_{-2k+1}} dp
\]
\[
- (-1)^{k} (4\pi^2)^{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{2k}(\langle p \rangle) \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times 2n} R_{m|2n}[\phi](w, p + (y, w)) dS_w \right) dp. \quad (49)
\]

iii) If \( M = -2n \ (m = 0) \),
\[
\phi(y) = \frac{1}{2^{2n-1} (n!)^2} \frac{\Delta_{w}^{2n} \langle \hat{x} - \hat{y}, \hat{w} \rangle^{2n} \phi(\hat{x})}{\sigma_{-2n+1}}.
\]
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Remark 6. The formula provided in iii) is an inversion formula for the fermionic integral transform

\[ R^\dagger \phi(w, p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ((\vec{x}, \vec{w}) - p)^{2n} \phi(\vec{x}). \]

We recall that the Radon transform \( R_{m|2n} \) is not well-defined in the purely fermionic case \( m = 0 \), see Definition 3. In this case, the plane wave decomposition of the Dirac delta distribution \( \delta(\vec{x}) \) (Theorem 3) yields an inversion formula for the transform \( R^\dagger \) instead, i.e. \( \phi(y) = \frac{1}{2^{2n-1}(n!)^2} \sigma_{-2n+1} \Delta_w R^\dagger \phi(w, \langle \vec{y}, \vec{w} \rangle). \)

Proof. i) \( M > 1 \). If \( M \) is even, Theorem 4 i) yields

\[ \phi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \phi(\vec{x}) = \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{(2\pi)^M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\mathcal{S}_{m|2n} \phi(\mathcal{S}_{m|2n}^{-1} \vec{w}))) d\mathcal{S}_w. \quad (50) \]

From Corollary 1 ii) we obtain

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\mathcal{S}_{m|2n} \phi(\mathcal{S}_{m|2n}^{-1} \vec{w})) d\mathcal{S}_w. \]

while \( p^{-M} = \frac{\xi_{M-1}}{(M-1)!} p^{M-1} [p^{-1}] \) in the distributional sense, see e.g. [16, Ch. 1 - §3.3]. Hence,

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\mathcal{S}_{m|2n} \phi(\mathcal{S}_{m|2n}^{-1} \vec{w})) d\mathcal{S}_w. \]

Substituting this last formula into (50) yields the desired result.

On the other hand, if \( M \) is odd we obtain

\[ \phi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \phi(\vec{x}) = \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{2(2\pi)^M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\mathcal{S}_{m|2n} \phi(\mathcal{S}_{m|2n}^{-1} \vec{w]))) d\mathcal{S}_w. \quad (51) \]

Again, by Corollary 1 ii), we get

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\mathcal{S}_{m|2n} \phi(\mathcal{S}_{m|2n}^{-1} \vec{w})) d\mathcal{S}_w. \]

Substituting this last expression into (51) we obtain the assertion.

Case ii) \( M = -2k, m \neq 0 \). In this case we have from Theorem 4 ii) that

\[ \phi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \phi(\vec{x}) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}(k!)^2} \sigma_{-2k+1} \Delta_w \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\mathcal{S}_{m|2n} \phi(\mathcal{S}_{m|2n}^{-1} \vec{w})) d\mathcal{S}_w. \quad (52) \]

Using Corollary 1 ii), we obtain

\[ \Delta_w \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\mathcal{S}_{m|2n} \phi(\mathcal{S}_{m|2n}^{-1} \vec{w})). \]

Using Corollary 1 ii), we obtain

\[ \Delta_w \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\mathcal{S}_{m|2n} \phi(\mathcal{S}_{m|2n}^{-1} \vec{w})). \]

Using Corollary 1 ii), we obtain

\[ \Delta_w \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_{w}} (\mathcal{S}_{m|2n} \phi(\mathcal{S}_{m|2n}^{-1} \vec{w})). \]
and
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} G_{2k}(\langle x, w \rangle - \langle y, w \rangle) \phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_{2k}(|p|) R_m[2n](w, p + \langle y, w \rangle) \, dp. \]

Substituting these two formulas into (52), we obtain (49).

Note that the first summand in the right-hand side of (49) must be independent of the variables \( p \) and \( w \).

The independence of \( p \) is clear since this term is an integral on the variable \( p \). However, the independence of \( w \) is not directly seen, but it can be verified using some properties of the Radon transform. Indeed, from the shifting property and the action of the super Laplace operator (Proposition 2(ii) and (iv)), we obtain
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^{2k} \Delta_w R_m[2n][\phi](w, p + \langle y, w \rangle) \, dp = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^{2k} \Delta_w R_m[2n][\phi(x+y)](w, p) \, dp = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^{2k} \partial_y^{2k} R_m[2n][|x|^{2k} \phi(x+y)](w, p) \, dp \]

Finally, using integration by parts and Corollary 1(i) we obtain
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^{2k} \Delta_w R_m[2n][\phi](w, p + \langle y, w \rangle) \, dp = (2k)! \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_m[2n][|x|^{2k} \phi(x+y)](w, p) \, dp \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|2n}} |x|^{2k} \phi(x+y), \]
which is clearly independent of \( w \).

**Case iii)** \( M = -2k, m \neq 0 \). The result in this case follows directly from Theorem 1(iii).

7 Inversion formulas unified

In the purely bosonic case, Theorem 3(i) yields the inversion formulas for the classical Radon transform in \( M = m \) dimensions. These formulas can be rewritten in an unified way, regardless of the parity of \( m \), as follows (see e.g. [19, 20]),
\[ (-\Delta_x)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} R_{m|0}^* R_{m|0}[\phi] = 2^m \pi^{m-1} \phi. \]
(53)

Here, \( R_{m|0}^* \) denotes the so-called dual Radon transform, which to a continuous function \( \psi(w, p) \) on the space \( \mathcal{S}^m \) of all hyperplanes in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) associates the function
\[ R_{m|0}[\psi](z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \psi(w, (z, w)) \, dS_w. \]

For \( m \) even, the fractional power of the bosonic Laplacian \( (-\Delta_x)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \) is defined by means of the Fourier multiplier
\[ \mathcal{F} [(-\Delta_x)^s \phi] = |\xi|^{2s} \mathcal{F} [\phi], \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m), \quad 2s > -m. \]
(54)

In this section, we prove a similar unified inversion formula for the super Radon transform for all values of the superdimension \( M \in \mathbb{Z} \). To that end, we shall first study in detail the fractional super Laplace operator and construct a fundamental solution for it.

7.1 Fundamental solution of the fractional super Laplace operator.

First, let us recall that the definition [54] of \( (-\Delta_x)^s \) as a Fourier multiplier can be rewritten as (see [20, Ch.1 - §2.8])
\[ (-\Delta_x)^s \phi = I^{-2s}[\phi], \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m), \]
where \( I^\gamma \) is the Riesz potential,
\[ I^\gamma[\phi](z) := \frac{1}{H_m(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |z - y|^{\gamma-m} \phi(y) \, dV_y, \quad \text{with} \quad H_m(\gamma) = 2^\gamma \pi^\frac{m}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m-\gamma}{2}\right). \]
Equivalently, we can write $\Gamma x (\pm |x|^{\gamma - m})$ where $K^m (\pm |x|^{\gamma - m})$ is the corresponding Riesz potential. Note that the poles of $|x|^{\gamma - m}$ are cancelled by the poles of $\Gamma (\frac{\gamma}{2})$, so that $\Gamma x (\pm |x|^{\gamma - m})$ extends to a holomorphic function for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\gamma - m \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0$. Thus the power of the Laplacian $(\Delta_x)^s$ is well-defined if $-2s - m \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0$.

An important property of the Riesz kernels is that (see [23, Ch.1 - §1])

$$K^m_\alpha + K^m_\beta = K^m_{\alpha + \beta}, \quad \text{for} \quad \Re(\alpha + \beta) < m, \quad \alpha - m, \beta - m \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0.$$ 

Moreover, from [13] we have that

$$K^m_\alpha (x) = H_m (\gamma)^{-1} |x|^{\gamma - m} \bigg|_{\gamma = 0} = \delta (x).$$

In particular, these two properties imply that $K^m_\alpha + K^m_\beta = \delta (x)$ if $\pm \gamma - m \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0$. It thus follows that a fundamental solution of $(\Delta_x)^s$ is

$$K^m_\alpha (x) = \frac{1}{H_m (2s)} |x|^{2s - m}, \quad \pm 2s - m \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0.$$ 

We also recall that the action of the bosonic Laplacian on the generalized function $|x|^\lambda$ is given by (see e.g. [16, Ch.1 - §3.9]),

$$\Delta_x \left[ \frac{|x|^{\lambda + 2}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\lambda + m}{2} + 1 \right)} \right] = 2(\lambda + 2) \left[ \frac{|x|^{\lambda}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\lambda + m}{2} \right)} \right],$$

which is valid for the entire $\lambda$-plane. It is hence easily seen that

$$(\Delta_x)^s = \frac{\Delta_x \left[ \frac{|x|^{\lambda + 2}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\lambda + m}{2} + 1 \right)} \right]}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\lambda}{4} \right)} \left( \frac{\lambda}{4} \right)^{s-j}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad -2s - M \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0. \quad (55)$$

If $m \neq 0$, we may define real powers of the super Laplace operator by means of the following Taylor expansion (see [15]),

$$(\Delta_x)^s = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\Delta_x^j \Gamma (-s + j)}{\Gamma (-s)} (\Delta_x)^{s-j}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad -2s - M \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0. \quad (56)$$

We now construct a fundamental solution for this operator. We will follow a procedure similar to the one used in [13], where fundamental solutions of the natural powers of the super Laplace operator were computed.

**Theorem 6.** Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\pm 2s - M \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0$. Then a fundamental solution of $(\Delta_x)^s$ is

$$K^M_\alpha (x) = \frac{1}{H_M (2s)} |x|^{2s - M} = \frac{1}{4^{s+2} \pi^{M/2} \Gamma (s)} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{2s - 2j}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{2s - j}{2} \right)} \left( \frac{m}{2} - s - j \right) \frac{|x|^{2s+2j-m}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\lambda}{4} \right)^{s-j}}.$$ 

**Proof.** Note that the conditions $-2s - M \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0$ and $2s - M \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0$ ensure that both $(\Delta_x)^s$ and $K^M_\alpha (x)$ are well-defined. We begin by writing a fundamental solution of $(\Delta_x)^s$ in the following form

$$\rho = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma (-s + j)}{\Gamma (-s)} (\Delta_x)^{s-j} \frac{|x|^{2s - 2j}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\lambda}{4} \right)^{s-j}}, \quad (57)$$

where $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi \in S' (\mathbb{R}^n)$ are (still) to be determined. Combining (56) and (57) we obtain,

$$(\Delta_x)^s [\rho] = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma (-s + j)}{\Gamma (-s)} (\Delta_x)^{s-j} \frac{|x|^{2s - 2j}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\lambda}{4} \right)^{s-j}} [\rho].$$

The action $\Delta_x [\rho] = \Delta_x [\rho] [\rho]$ can be computed directly from (6) and it is given by

$$\Delta_x [\rho] = 4 \frac{(n-j)!}{(n-j-\ell)!} \frac{(j + \ell)!}{j!} |x|^{2n-2j-2\ell}, \quad \text{for} \quad \ell \leq n - j.$$
Obviously, \( \Delta^\ell_x [x^{2n-2j}] = 0 \) if \( \ell > n - j \). Thus, we obtain

\[
(-\Delta_x)^\ell [\rho] = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n+j} 4^j a_j \frac{(n-j)!}{(n-j-\ell)!} \frac{(j+\ell)!}{j!} \Delta^\ell_x [x^{2n-2j-2\ell}] \]

\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-j} 4^{-j} \frac{a_j}{(\ell-j)!} \frac{(n-j)!}{(n-j-\ell)!} \frac{(\ell+j)!}{\ell!} \Delta^\ell_x [x^{2n-2j}],
\]

where we have replaced the index \( \ell \) by \( \ell - j \) in the second equality. Changing the order of summation we get,

\[
(-\Delta_x)^\ell [\rho] = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \frac{2^{-2n-2\ell}}{(n-\ell)!} \Delta^\ell_x [x^{n+s-\ell}] \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} 4^{-j} a_j \frac{(n-j)!}{j!} \frac{(\ell-j)!}{\ell!} \frac{(\ell+j)!}{\ell!} \Delta^\ell_x [x^{2n-2j}] \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \frac{2^{-2n-2\ell}}{(n-\ell)!} \Delta^\ell_x [x^{n+s-\ell}] \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} 4^{j} a_{\ell-j} \frac{(n-\ell+j)!}{(n-j)!} \frac{(\ell+j)!}{\ell!} \Delta^\ell_x [x^{2n-2j}] \right),
\]

where we have now replaced the index \( j \) by \( \ell - j \) in the last equality.

From our assumption \((-\Delta_x)^\ell [\rho] = \delta(x) = \delta(x) \frac{\pi^n}{n!} x^{2n}\), we obtain for \( \ell = 0 \) that

\[
a_0 (-\Delta_x)^0 [\rho] = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} \delta(x), \tag{58}
\]

and for \( \ell = 1, \ldots, n \) that

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} 4^{j} a_{\ell-j} (n-\ell+j)! \frac{\Gamma(-s+j)}{\Gamma(-s)} = 0. \tag{59}
\]

From (58) we immediately have that \( a_0 = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} \) and \( \phi(x) = K_{2s+2n}^m(x) \). Indeed, \( \pm 2s - M \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0 \) directly implies that \( \pm 2(s + n) - n \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0 \), and therefore, \( K_{2s+2n}^m(x) \) is a fundamental solution of \((-\Delta_x)^{n+s}\). On the other hand, if we use the substitution

\[
a_j = \frac{\pi^n 4^j}{(n-j)!} \frac{\Gamma(s+j)}{\Gamma(s)},
\]

then (59) simplifies to

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} a_{\ell-j} \frac{\Gamma(-s+j)}{\Gamma(-s)} = 0,
\]

which has a solution given by \( b_j = \frac{\Gamma(s+j)}{\Gamma(s)} \). Hence we conclude that a solution for the system (58)-(59) is

\[
a_j = \frac{\pi^n 4^j}{(n-j)!} \frac{\Gamma(s+j)}{\Gamma(s)},
\]

Substituting this into (57), together with \( \phi = K_{2s+2n}^m \), we obtain from (55) that

\[
\rho = \frac{\pi^n}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{j=0}^{n} 4^j \frac{\Gamma(s+j)}{(n-j)!} \Delta^n_{x} \left( K_{2s+2n}^m \right) \frac{x^{2n-2j}}{2j}
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^n}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{j=0}^{n} 4^j \frac{\Gamma(s+j)}{(n-j)!} K_{2s+2n}^m \frac{x^{2n-2j}}{2j}
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^n}{\pi \pi^4 4^j \Gamma(s)} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(n-2j)}{(n-j)!} \frac{x^{2n-2j}}{2j}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi \pi^4 4^j \Gamma(s)} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{x^{2j}}{2j} \Gamma \left( \frac{M}{2} - s + j \right) \frac{x^{2n-2j}}{2j},
\]
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where we have replaced the index \( j \) by \( n - j \) in the last equality. Finally, using the definition of the generalized superfunction \(|x|^{2n-M}\) (see \(16\)), we obtain
\[
\rho = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{M}{2} - s\right)}{\pi^{\frac{M}{2}} 4\Gamma(s)} |x|^{2n-M} = K_{2n}^{M}(x),
\]
which proves the result. \qed

We still need the following technical lemma regarding the solution of \((59)\). We provide the proof due to the lack of reference.

**Lemma 2.** The following identity holds for all \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \)
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} \frac{\Gamma(s + \ell - j) \Gamma(-s + j)}{\Gamma(s) \Gamma(-s)} = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Recall that \((-1)^{j} \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(s-j+1)} = \frac{\Gamma(s+j)}{\Gamma(s)} \) for all \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Then
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} \frac{\Gamma(s + \ell - j) \Gamma(-s + j)}{\Gamma(s) \Gamma(-s)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{j} \binom{\ell}{j} \frac{\Gamma(s + \ell - j) \Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(s-j+1) \Gamma(s-j+1)}
\]
\[
= s \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{j} \binom{\ell}{j} \frac{\Gamma(s + \ell - j) \Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(s-j+1) \Gamma(s-j+1)}
\]
Hence, it suffices to prove that the following polynomial in the complex variable \( s \) is identically zero,
\[
P(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{j} \binom{\ell}{j} \frac{\Gamma(s + \ell - j) \Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(s-j+1) \Gamma(s-j+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{j} \binom{\ell}{j} (s-j+1)_{-\ell-1},
\]
where \((q)_{\ell} := \begin{cases} 1, & \ell = 0, \\ q(q+1) \cdots (q+\ell-1), & \ell > 0, \end{cases}\) is the rising Pochhammer symbol. Direct calculations show that we can rewrite \( P(s) \) as the hypergeometric function
\[
P(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\ell + s)}{\Gamma(s+1)} \binom{\ell}{s} \binom{\ell}{s} F_{1}(-\ell,-s; -\ell-s+1,1).
\]
(60)

From the Chu-Vandermonde identity for hypergeometric functions (see \[1\] Corollary 2.2.3) it is known that
\[
\binom{\ell}{s} \binom{\ell}{s} F_{1}(-\ell,-s; -\ell-s+1,1) = 0,
\]
which completes the proof. \qed

**7.2 Inversion formula**

Given a superfunction \( \psi(w,p) \) of the supervector variable \( w \) and the commuting variable \( p \), we define the dual of the super Radon transform by
\[
R^{*}_{2n} \psi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+2n}} \psi(w, x, w) dS_{w}.
\]
We shall now establish the following unified inversion formulas for the super Radon transform, extending in this way formula \((53)\) to the superspace setting.
Theorem 7. Let $m \neq 0$, $M = m - 2n$, and $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathcal{G}_{2n}$. Then
\[ (-\Delta_x)^{\frac{M+1}{2}} R_m[2n] R_m[2n]\phi(x) = 2^M \pi^{M-1} \phi(x). \]

To begin with, observe that
\[ R_m[2n] R_m[2n]\phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} R_m[2n]\phi(w, \langle x, w \rangle) dS_w \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \delta(\langle y - x, w \rangle) \phi(y) \right) dS_w \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} \delta(\langle y - x, w \rangle) dS_w \phi(y). \tag{61} \]

Before proving Theorem 7, we will show first that the innermost integral in (61) is (up to a constant) the plane wave decomposition of the super Riesz kernel $K_{M-1}^M(y - x) = H_M(M-1)^{-1}|y - x|^{-1}$.

Theorem 8. Let $m \neq 0$ and $M = m - 2n$. Then
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} \delta(\langle x, w \rangle) dS_w = \sigma_{M-1}|x|^{-1}, \]
where we recall that $\sigma_{M-1}|x|^{-1} = 2^n \frac{\omega_{M-1}}{\Gamma(M+\lambda)} \bigg| \frac{|x|^\lambda}{\Gamma(M+\lambda)} \bigg|_{\lambda = -1}$ is defined for all $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, see Theorem 1.

Proof. We begin by recalling that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} \delta(\langle x, w \rangle) dS_w = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \frac{1}{j!} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} (\phi, \omega^j) \delta^{(j)}(\langle x, \omega \rangle) dS_w \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \frac{1}{j!} L_j[\delta^{(j)}](x), \tag{62} \]
where we have introduced the notation
\[ L_j[\delta^{(j)}](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} (\phi, \omega^j) \delta^{(j)}(\langle x, \omega \rangle) dS_w, \quad j = 0, \ldots, 2n. \]

The above integrals can be computed as follows (see the subsequent Lemma 3 in the Appendix section for a proof).
\[ L_{2j+1}[\delta^{(2j+1)}](x) = 0, \quad j = 0, \ldots, n - 1, \]
\[ L_{2j}[\delta^{(2j)}](x) = 2(-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2})}{\pi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta^{(n-j)}(1 - |w|^2) \delta^{(2j)}(\langle x, w \rangle) dV_w, \quad j = 0, \ldots, n. \]

Then (62) can be rewritten as
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1,2n}} \delta(\langle x, w \rangle) dS_w = \frac{2}{\pi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2})}{(2j)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta^{(n-j)}(1 - |w|^2) \delta^{(2j)}(\langle x, w \rangle) dV_w. \tag{63} \]

Let us now compute the distribution $I_j := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta^{(n-j)}(1 - |w|^2) \delta^{(2j)}(\langle x, w \rangle) dV_w$. Using spherical coordinates $w = t\xi$ with $t = |w|$ and $\xi \in S^{m-1}$, we obtain
\[ I_j = \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{m-1}} \delta^{(n-j)}(1 - t^2) \delta^{(2j)}(t\langle x, \xi \rangle) t^{m-1} dS_\xi dt \]
\[ = \left( \int_0^\infty \delta^{(n-j)}(1 - t^2) t^{m-2j-2} dt \right) \left( \int_{S^{m-1}} \delta^{(2j)}(\langle x, \xi \rangle) dS_\xi \right). \]
To compute the first integral in the above formula, we take \( t = u^{1/2} \) and obtain
\[
\int_0^\infty \delta^{(n-j)}(1 - t^2) t^{m-2} - 2 \, dt = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \delta^{(n-j)}(1 - u) u^{m-n - j} \right]_{u=1}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - j - 1 \right) \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - j - 2 \right) \cdots \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - n \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - j \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - n \right).
\]
Hence,
\[
I_j = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - j \right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \delta^{(2j)}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \, dS_\xi.
\]
An explicit formula for the plane wave integral above can be obtained from combining (32) with (29). Indeed, substituting (32) into (29) yields
\[
\frac{(-1)^j j!}{\pi^{m-1} (2j)!} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \delta^{(2j)}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \, dS_\xi = \frac{2|x|^\lambda}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\lambda + m}{2} \right)} \Big|_{\lambda=-2j-1}.
\]
Using formula (13) we obtain
\[
\frac{2|x|^\lambda}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\lambda + m}{2} \right)} \Big|_{\lambda=-2j-1} = \begin{cases}
2|x|^{-2j-1} 
\gamma \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - j \right), & m - 1 - 2j \notin -2\mathbb{N}_0, \\
(-1)^j \frac{j}{2} \frac{\pi^{1-n}}{\Gamma \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right)} \Delta \xi \, \delta(\xi), & m - 1 - 2j \in -2\mathbb{N}_0,
\end{cases}
\]
which gives the following when substituted in (64)
\[
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \delta^{(2j)}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \, dS_\xi = \begin{cases}
2(-1)^j \frac{\pi^{1-n}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - j \right)} \frac{(2j)!}{j!} |x|^{-2j-1}, & m - 1 - 2j \notin -2\mathbb{N}_0, \\
(-1)^j \frac{\pi^{m-1}}{\Gamma \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right)} \frac{(2j)!}{j!} \Delta \xi \, \delta(\xi), & m - 1 - 2j \in -2\mathbb{N}_0,
\end{cases}
\]
Hence, if \( M - 1 \notin -2\mathbb{N}_0 \), we have that \( m - 1 - 2j \notin -2\mathbb{N}_0 \) for all \( j = 0, \ldots, n \), and therefore
\[
I_j = (-1)^j \frac{\pi^{1-n}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - j \right)} \frac{(2j)!}{j!} |x|^{-2j-1}.
\]
Substituting this formula into (63) and in virtue of (16), we obtain
\[
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} \delta \left( \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \right) \, dS_\mathbf{w} = \sigma_{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\pi^{2j}}{j!} \frac{\Gamma \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)} |x|^{-1-2j} = \sigma_{M-1} |x|^{-1},
\]
which proves the theorem for this case.
On the other hand, if \( M - 1 \in -2\mathbb{N}_0 \), i.e. \( m = 2n - 2k + 1 \) for some \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) \((k \leq n)\), we have that
\[
\frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - j \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{m-1}{2} - n \right)} = \begin{cases}
(-1)^{n-j} \frac{k!}{(k + j - n)!}, & k + j \geq n, \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]
Hence \( I_j \) vanishes if \( k + j < n \), while
\[
I_j = \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{2} \frac{k!}{(k + j - n)!} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \delta^{(2j)}(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \, dS_\xi, \quad \text{if } k + j \geq n.
\]
The condition \( k + j \geq n \) implies that \( m - 1 - 2j = 2(n - k - j) \in -2N_0 \). Then, from (65) we obtain

\[
I_j = \frac{(-1)^{k-j}}{2^{k+j+2j-2n}} \frac{k!}{(k+j-n)!} \frac{(2j)!}{j!} \frac{\pi^{n-2j}}{\Gamma \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right)} \Delta_{j+n}^{-k-n} \delta(x).
\]

Substituting this into (63) yields

\[
\int_{g_{m-1,2n}} \delta \left( (x, w) \right) dS_w = \frac{2(-1)^k k!}{2^{2k}} \sum_{j=n-k}^{n} \frac{\pi^{2j}}{j!} \frac{\Delta_{j+n}^{j-k-n} \delta(x)}{2^{2j-2n} (k+j-n)!}.
\]

where we have replaced the index \( j \) by \( n-j \) in the last equality. Using Theorem 1, we now obtain

\[
\int_{g_{m-1,2n}} \delta \left( (x, w) \right) dS_w = 2\pi^{M-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{2k}} \Delta_{n}^{n} \delta(x),
\]

Finally, since \( M - 1 = -2k \), it is easily seen that

\[
\sigma_{M-1} \left| x \right|^{-1} = 2\pi \frac{M-1}{\pi} \left| x \right|^{\lambda} \left| x \right|^{-1} = 2\pi \frac{M-1}{\pi} \left| x \right|^{\lambda} \left| x \right|^{-1}.
\]

Then, in virtue of Theorem 1, we obtain

\[
\sigma_{M-1} \left| x \right|^{-1} = 2\pi \frac{M-1}{\pi} \left| x \right|^{\lambda} \left| x \right|^{-1} = 2\pi \frac{M-1}{\pi} \left| x \right|^{\lambda} \left| x \right|^{-1}.
\]

which completes the proof when compared with (66).

We now proceed with the proof of our main Theorem 7. From Theorem 8, we can rewrite (61) as

\[
R_{m,2n}^{\lambda} R_{m,2n}^{\lambda}[\phi](x) = 2\pi \frac{M-1}{\pi} \int_{g_{m,2n}} \left| y - x \right|^{\lambda} \left| y \right|^{-1} \phi(y).
\]

We may now take \( s = \frac{M-1}{\pi} \) in Theorem 6. Indeed, it is clear that \( \pm 2s = \pm (M-1) - M \notin 2N_0 \) for all \( M \in \mathbb{Z} \). Thus Theorem 6 implies that

\[
R_{M-1,2n}^{\lambda} \left( x \right) = \frac{1}{H(M-1)} \left| x \right|^{-1} = 2\pi \frac{M-1}{\pi} \left| x \right|^{\lambda} \left| x \right|^{-1},
\]

is a fundamental solution of \( (\Delta_{\lambda})^{\frac{M-1}{\pi}} \). Finally, the action of \( (\Delta_{\lambda})^{\frac{M-1}{\pi}} \) on both sides of (67) yields,

\[
(\Delta_{\lambda})^{\frac{M-1}{\pi}} R_{m,2n}^{\lambda} R_{m,2n}^{\lambda}[\phi](x) = 2\pi \frac{M-1}{\pi} \int_{g_{m,2n}} \left| y - x \right|^{\lambda} \left| y \right|^{-1} \phi(y)
\]

\[
= 2\pi \frac{M-1}{\pi} 2^{M-1} \frac{M-1}{\pi} \int_{g_{m,2n}} \delta(x - y) \phi(y)
\]

\[
= 2^{M-1} \pi^{M-1} \phi(x),
\]

which completes the proof of Theorem 7.

The explicit inversion formulas obtained in Theorem 5 for \( M + 1 \notin 2N_0 \) are particular cases of the general inversion formulas proved in Theorem 7 for all \( M \in \mathbb{Z} \). In a forthcoming paper, we shall study the plane wave decomposition of \( \delta(x) \) when \( M + 1 \in -2N_0 \), which will complete the list of explicit inversion formulas provided in Theorem 5.
A Alternative proof of Theorem 4

In this appendix section, we derive from direct computations the plane wave decomposition formulas for \( \delta(x) \) obtained in Theorem 4. To that end, we need to gather a few preliminary results. We begin by the following particular case of the Funk-Hecke theorem in superspace, see e.g. [9, 12, 17].

**Theorem 9. [Funk-Hecke]** Let \( x, w \) be independent super vector variables, \( j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), and let \( H_\ell \) be a harmonic polynomial homogeneous of degree \( \ell \), i.e. \( \Delta_\ell[H_\ell] = 0 \) and \( \mathbb{E}[H_\ell] = \ell H_\ell \). If \( m \neq 0 \), then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, w \rangle^j H_\ell(w) dS_w = \alpha_{m, \ell}[t^j] \vert x \vert^j \ell H_\ell(x),
\]

where \( \alpha_{m, \ell}[t^j] = \left\{ \begin{array}{cl}
\frac{2j^2}{(j-\ell)!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j+\ell+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{j-\ell}{2})} & \text{if } j + \ell \text{ even and } j \geq \ell, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{array} \right. \)

Moreover, a similar result holds for the normalized integral defined in [21]. If \( M = -2k \) (including the case \( m = 0 \)) and \( j + \ell \leq 2k + 1 \), then

\[
\frac{1}{\sigma_{-2k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1,2n}} \langle x, w \rangle^j H_\ell(w) dS_w = \alpha_{m, \ell}[t^j] \vert x \vert^j \ell H_\ell(x),
\]

where \( \alpha_{m, \ell}[t^j] = \left\{ \begin{array}{cl}
\frac{(-1)^{k-1/2} (k-\ell+1)!}{(k-1)!} \frac{j^2}{(j+\ell)!} & \text{if } j + \ell \text{ even and } j \geq \ell, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{array} \right. \)

As a consequence of this result we obtain the following technical Lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Given \( g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \), consider the integrals \( L_\ell[g](x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1,2n}} \langle x, w \rangle^\ell g(\langle x, w \rangle) dS_w \), with \( \ell = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n \). Then, for \( \ell \) odd we have \( L_{2j+1}[g](x) = 0 \), while for \( \ell \) even

\[
L_{2j}[g](x) = 2(-1)^{j} \frac{\Gamma\left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{n+1/2}} 2^{2j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta^{(n-j)} \left(1 - |w|^2\right) g(\langle x, w \rangle) dV_w. \tag{68}
\]

**Proof.** Formula [18] yields

\[
L_\ell[g](x) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_{\omega}} \delta(1 + w^2) \langle x, w \rangle^\ell g(\langle x, w \rangle) dV_w \omega
\]

\[
= 2 \sum_{p=0}^{n} \left( \int_{B_{\omega}} \frac{w^{2p}}{p!} \langle x, w \rangle^\ell \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta^{(p)} \left(1 - |w|^2\right) g(\langle x, w \rangle) dV_w \right).
\]

If \( \ell = 2j + 1 \), it is clear that \( \int_{B_{\omega}} \frac{w^{2p}}{p!} \langle x, w \rangle^{2j+1} = 0 \), and therefore, \( L_{2j+1}[g](x) = 0 \). On the other hand, if \( \ell = 2j \), we obtain

\[
L_{2j}[g](x) = \frac{2}{(n-j)!} \left( \int_{B_{\omega}} w^{2n-2j} \langle x, w \rangle^{2j} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta^{(n-j)} \left(1 - |w|^2\right) g(\langle x, w \rangle) dV_w \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{(n-j)!} \frac{\pi^{n-2}}{4^n n!} \Delta_{\omega} \left[ w^{2n-2j} \langle x, w \rangle^{2j} \right] \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta^{(n-j)} \left(1 - |w|^2\right) g(\langle x, w \rangle) dV_w \right). \tag{69}
\]

Using the property [22] of the normalized integral [21] we obtain

\[
\frac{(-1)^n}{4^n (n!)^2} \Delta_{\omega} \left[ w^{2n-2} \langle x, w \rangle^{2j} \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma_{-2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1,2n}} w^{2n-2j} \langle x, w \rangle^{2j} dS_w = (-1)^{n-j} \frac{1}{\sigma_{-2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1,2n}} \langle x, w \rangle^{2j} dS_w.
\]

Moreover, the Funk-Hecke Theorem [9] for the normalized integral yields (see [12, 14]),

\[
\frac{1}{\sigma_{-2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1,2n}} \langle x, w \rangle^{2j} dS_w = \frac{(n-j)!}{\pi^{j}} \frac{\Gamma\left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{2^{2j}}.
\]
Thus

\[ \Delta^n_{w} \left( w^{2n-2j} (x, w)^{2j} \right) = \left( -1 \right)^j 4^n n! (n-j)! \frac{\Gamma \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} x^{2j}. \]

Finally, substituting the latter into (69), we obtain (68).

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 4.

**Case** \( M > 1, \text{ even} \). In this case we must prove that

\[ \delta(x) = \frac{\left( -1 \right)^{\frac{M}{2}} (M-1)!}{(2\pi)^M} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} (x, w)^{-M} dS_w. \]

We first recall that

\[ (x, w)^{-M} = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j \frac{(M+j-1)!}{j!} \frac{(M-1)!}{(M+j-1)!} (x, w)^{-M-j}. \]

Using Lemma 3 we have

\begin{align*}
\frac{\left( -1 \right)^{\frac{M}{2}} (M-1)!}{(2\pi)^M} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} (x, w)^{-M} dS_w &= \frac{\left( -1 \right)^{\frac{M}{2}}}{(2\pi)^M} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(M+2j-1)!}{(2j)!} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} (x, w)^{2j} (x, w)^{-M-2j} dS_w \\
&= \frac{\left( -1 \right)^{\frac{M}{2}}}{(2\pi)^M} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(M+2j-1)!}{(2j)!} \frac{\Gamma \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{2}{2^{2j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(n-j)} (1 - |w|^2) (x, w)^{-M-2j} dV_w.
\end{align*}

From the identity \( \frac{\Gamma \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{2}{2^{2j}} \) we obtain

\begin{align*}
\frac{\left( -1 \right)^{\frac{M}{2}} (M-1)!}{(2\pi)^M} \int_{S_{m-1,2n}} (x, w)^{-M} dS_w &= \frac{2\left( -1 \right)^{\frac{M}{2}}}{2^M \pi^{m-n}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j (M+2j-1)!}{2^{2j} j!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(n-j)} (1 - |w|^2) (x, w)^{-M-2j} dV_w \\
&= \frac{\left( -1 \right)^{\frac{M}{2}}}{2^M \pi^{m-n}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-j} (m-2j-1)!}{2^{m-2j} (n-j)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)} (1 - |w|^2) (x, w)^{2j-m} dV_w.
\end{align*}

Let us now compute the integrals

\[ I_j := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)} (1 - |w|^2) (x, w)^{2j-m} dV_w, \quad j = 0, \ldots, n. \]

Using spherical coordinates, i.e. \( w = r\xi \) with \( r = |w| \) and \( \xi \in S^{m-1} \), we obtain

\begin{align*}
I_j &= \left( \int_{S_{m-1}} (x, \xi)^{2j-m} dS_\xi \right) \left( \int_0^{\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) r^{2j-1} dr \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{m-1}} (x, \xi)^{2j-m} dS_\xi, & j = 0, \\
0, & j \neq 0.
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}

Indeed, taking \( t = r^2 \) we get

\[ \int_0^{\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - t) t^{j-1} dt = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2}, & j = 0, \\
0, & j \neq 0.
\end{array} \right. \]
Finally, substituting (71) into (70) yields
\[
\frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}} (M-1)!}{(2\pi)^M} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} (x, w)^{-M} dS_w = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}} (m-1)!}{2^{m+n}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{-m} dS_\xi \right) \frac{\pi^n}{n!} x^{2n} = \delta(x) \frac{\pi^n}{n!} x^{2n},
\]
where we have used the plane wave decomposition (34) of the Dirac delta distribution in \( \mathbb{R}^m \).

**Case \( M > 1, \text{odd} \).** We now must show that
\[
\delta(x) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{2(2\pi)^{M-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} \delta^{(M-1)}((x, w)) dS_w.
\]
We recall that
\[
\delta^{(M-1)}((x, w)) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \frac{(x, w)^j}{j!} \delta^{(M+j-1)}((x, w)).
\]
Lemma 3 now implies that
\[
\frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{2(2\pi)^{M-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} \delta^{(M-1)}((x, w)) dS_w
\]
\[
= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{2(2\pi)^{M-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(2j)!} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} (x, w)^{2j} \delta^{(M+2j-1)}((x, w)) dV_w
\]
\[
= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^M \pi^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j}}{2^j j!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(n-j)} (1 - |w|^2) \delta^{(M+2j-1)}((x, w)) dV_w
\]
\[
= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^M \pi^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-j} x^{2n-2j}}{2^{n-2j} (n-j)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)} (1 - |w|^2) \delta^{(m-2j-1)}((x, w)) dV_w. \tag{72}
\]
Similarly to the previous case, we now compute the integrals
\[
I_j := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)} (1 - |w|^2) \delta^{(m-2j-1)}((x, w)) dV_w, \quad j = 0, \ldots, n,
\]
using spherical coordinates. We thus get
\[
I_j = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) r^{m-1} \delta^{(m-2j-1)}((x, \xi)) \frac{dS_\xi}{r^{2j}} dr dS_w
\]
\[
= \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \delta^{(m-2j-1)}((x, \xi)) dS_\xi \right) \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) r^{2j-1} dr \right)
\]
\[
= \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \delta^{(m-1)}((x, \xi)) dS_\xi, & j = 0, \\
0, & j \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]
Substituting this into (72), and using formula (34), we obtain
\[
\frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{2(2\pi)^{M-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} \delta^{(M-1)}((x, w)) dS_w = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^M \pi^n} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{2^{n+1} n!} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \delta^{(m-1)}((x, \xi)) dS_\xi
\]
\[
= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{2(2\pi)^{M-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \delta^{(m-1)}((x, \xi)) dS_\xi \right) \frac{\pi^n}{n!} x^{2n}
\]
\[
= \delta(x) \frac{\pi^n}{n!} x^{2n}.
\]
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which proves the statement.

Before proceeding to the next case, we recall that a cornerstone in the proof of this result in Theorem 4 is formula (46). This formula was obtained from the identity

\[ \delta^{(M-1)}(a) = \frac{-(M-1)!}{2\pi i} \text{ B.V.} \left[ z^{-M} \right], \quad z = a + ib, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R} \]

by means of the identifications \( a \mapsto \langle x, w \rangle, \ b \mapsto x_0, \ i \mapsto \frac{w}{|w|} \) and \( \frac{1}{i} \mapsto \frac{w}{|w|} \). We will now show that formula (46) also follows from direct computations, which justifies the above identifications.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \). Then

\[ \delta^{(\ell-1)}(\langle x, w \rangle) = (-1)^{\ell} \frac{(\ell - 1)!}{2\pi} \text{ B.V.} \left[ \frac{w}{|w|} \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right)^{-\ell} \right]. \]

**Proof.** We begin by recalling the definition of \( g \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right) \), where \( g(z) = g_1(a, b) + ig_2(a, b) \) is a complex holomorphic function in an open domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C} \) and \( \langle \langle x, w \rangle, x_0 \rangle \in \Omega \), see [47]. Using the Taylor expansion of the real and imaginary parts of \( g \) we have,

\[ g \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right) = g_1(\langle x, w \rangle, x_0) - \frac{w}{|w|} g_2(\langle x, w \rangle, x_0) \]

Thus, taking \( g(z) = z^{-\ell} \) we obtain

\[ \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right)^{-\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j \frac{(\ell - 1)!}{j!} \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right)^{-\ell-j}. \]  

(73)

We now recall that \( \delta^{(\ell-1)}(a) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell}(\ell - 1)!}{2\pi i} \text{ B.V.} \left[ z^{-\ell} \right] \) for all \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \). Clearly, we can identify the complex imaginary unit \( i \) with \( -\frac{w}{|w|} \) in this identity. Moreover, we can make the identifications \( a \mapsto \langle x, w \rangle \) and \( b \mapsto x_0 \), which pose no problem because both are substitutions among real variables. Therefore we obtain

\[ \delta^{(\ell-1)}(\langle x, w \rangle) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell}(\ell - 1)!}{2\pi} \text{ B.V.} \left[ \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right)^{-\ell} \frac{w}{|w|} \right]. \]

Substituting this identity into the definition of \( \delta^{(M-1)}(\langle x, w \rangle) \) as a Taylor expansion (see Definition 2), we get

\[ \delta^{(\ell-1)}(\langle x, w \rangle) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j \frac{(\ell - 1)!}{j!} \delta^{(\ell-j)}(\langle x, w \rangle) \]

\[ = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^{\ell-j} \frac{(\ell - 1)!}{j!} \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right)^{-\ell-j} \frac{w}{|w|} \]

\[ = (-1)^{\ell} \frac{(\ell - 1)!}{2\pi} \frac{w}{|w|} \text{ B.V.} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j \frac{(\ell - 1)!}{j!} \left( \langle x, w \rangle - x_0 \frac{w}{|w|} \right)^{-\ell-j} \right], \]

which proves the result when combined with (73). \( \square \)
Case $M = -2k$, $m \neq 0$. In this case we need to show that

$$
\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}(k!)^2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k} \Delta^k_w [\langle x, w \rangle^{2k}] - (-1)^k (4\pi^2)^k \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dS_w.
$$

To that end, we first denote the right hand side of our statement by $S$, i.e.

$$
S := \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}(k!)^2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k} \Delta^k_w [\langle x, w \rangle^{2k}] - (-1)^k (4\pi^2)^k \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dS_w.
$$

The first term in $S$ can be computed using the Funk-Hecke Theorem \textsuperscript{[9]} for the normalized integral. Indeed,

$$
\Delta^k_w [\langle x, w \rangle^{2k}] = (-1)^k 2^{2k} (k!)^2 \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k} \frac{\Gamma(-k + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(k!)} x^{2k},
$$

Thus, using the fact that

$$
\frac{\Gamma(-k + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(k!)} = \frac{\pi}{2} (-1)^k (4\pi^2)^k x^{2k},
$$

and therefore

$$
S = \frac{\pi^k}{k!} x^{2k} - (-1)^k (4\pi^2)^k \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dS_w. \tag{74}
$$

Let us now compute the integral \( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dS_w \). To that end, we define the functions $F_\ell(x) = G_\ell(|x|)$, which are analytic functions in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the superfunction $G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle)$ may be written as

$$
G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \frac{\langle x, w \rangle^j}{j!} F_{2k}^{(j)} (\langle x, w \rangle).
$$

By virtue of Lemma \textsuperscript{[3]}, we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dS_w = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(2j)!} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} \langle \tilde{x}, w \rangle^{2j} F_{2k}^{(2j)} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dS_w
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j \Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2j)! \pi^{\frac{j}{2}}} \frac{\pi^j}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(n-j)} (1 - |w|^2) F_{2k}^{(2j)} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dV_w
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{\pi^{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{(-1)^{n-j} 2^{2n-2j}(n-j)!}{2^{n-j}(n-j)!} \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)} (1 - |w|^2) F_{2k}^{(2n-2j)} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dV_w, \tag{75}
$$

where we have again used the identity

$$
\frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2j)! \pi^{\frac{j}{2}}} = \frac{1}{2^{2j}j!} \tag{76}
$$

and reversed the order of summation in the last equality.

We now recall that the functions $G_\ell$ satisfy that $G_{\ell+1} = G_\ell$ and $G_0(z) = \ln(z)$. We thus obtain

$$
F_{2k}^{(2j)} (x) = \begin{cases} 
F_{2k-j-1} (x) = G_{2k-j-1} (|x|), & j \leq k, \\
F_{2k}^{(2(k+j))} (x) = (-1)^{j-1} (j-1)! x^{-j}, & j \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{cases}
$$

Substituting these formulas into (75), and using the fact that $2n - 2j = m + 2k - 2j$, we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1,2n}} G_{2k} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dS_w = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-j} 2^{2n-2j}(n-j)!}{2^{n-j}(n-j)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)} (1 - |w|^2) G_{2j}^{(2n-2j)} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dV_w
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-j} 2^{2n-2j}}{2^{n-j}(n-j)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)} (1 - |w|^2) G_{2j-m} (\langle x, w \rangle) \, dV_w. \tag{76}
$$
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Let us now compute the integrals appearing in the above sum, which will be denoted by

\[ I_j := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)} (1 - |w|^2) F^{(2n-2j)}_{2k}(\langle x, w \rangle) \, dV_w, \quad j = 0, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 1, \]

and

\[ J_j := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta^{(j)} (1 - |w|^2) G_{2j-m}(\langle x, w \rangle) \, dV_w, \quad j = \frac{m}{2}, \ldots, n, \]

respectively.

To compute \( I_j \), we first observe that

\[ F^{(2n-2j)}_{2k}(\langle x, w \rangle) = F^{(2k+m-2j)}_{2k}(\langle x, w \rangle) = -(m-2j-1)! \langle x, w \rangle^{-m+2j}. \]

Using again spherical coordinates, i.e. \( w = r \xi \) with \( r = |w| \) and \( \xi \in S^{m-1} \), we obtain

\[
I_j = -(m-2j-1)! \left( \int_{S^{m-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{-m+2j} \, dS_\xi \right) \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) \, r^{2j-1} \, dr \right)
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
\frac{-(m-1)!}{j} \int_{S^{m-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{-m} \, dS_\xi, & j = 0, \\
0, & j \neq 0. 
\end{cases}
\]

(77)

To compute \( J_j \) we also use spherical coordinates, which yields

\[ J_j = \int_{S^{m-1}} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) G_{2j-m}(r|\langle x, \xi \rangle|) r^{m-1} \, dr \right) \, dS_\xi. \]

By the definition (37) of the functions \( G_{\ell} \), we have

\[ G_{2j-m}(r|\langle x, \xi \rangle|) = r^{2j-m} \left( \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle^{2j-m}}{(2j-m)!} \left( \ln(|\langle x, \xi \rangle|) + \ln(r) \right) - a_{2j-m}(\langle x, \xi \rangle^{2j-m}) \right) \]

\[ = r^{2j-m} G_{2j-m}(|\langle x, \xi \rangle|) + \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle^{2j-m}}{(2j-m)!} \cdot r^{2j-m} \ln(r). \]

Hence,

\[ J_j = \left( \int_{S^{m-1}} G_{2j-m}(|\langle x, \xi \rangle|) \, dS_\xi \right) \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) \, r^{2j-1} \, dr \right) + \left( \int_{S^{m-1}} \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle^{2j-m}}{(2j-m)!} \, dS_\xi \right) \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) \ln(r) \, r^{2j-1} \, dr \right). \]

We now recall that \( \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) \, r^{2j-1} \, dr = 0 \) for \( j = \frac{m}{2}, \ldots, n \). Then

\[ J_j = \left( \int_{S^{m-1}} \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle^{2j-m}}{(2j-m)!} \, dS_\xi \right) \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) \ln(r) \, r^{2j-1} \, dr \right). \]

Considering the change of coordinates \( r = t^{\frac{1}{2j-1}} \), we obtain

\[ \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - r^2) \ln(r) \, r^{2j-1} \, dr = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} (1 - \frac{\ell}{t}) \ln(t) \, \ell^{j-1} \, dt = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d^{j-1}}{dt^{j-1}} \left[ t^{j-1} \ln(t) \right]_{t=1}. \]

By induction, it can be proven that

\[ \frac{d^{j-1}}{dt^{j-1}} \left[ t^{j-1} \ln(t) \right]_{t=1} = (j-1)!. \]
Indeed, for \( j = 1 \) one has \( \frac{d}{dt} \ln(t) \bigg|_{t=1} = 1 \), while the induction step follows from the identity

\[
\frac{d^{j+1}}{dt^{j+1}} \left[ t^j \ln(t) \right] = \frac{d^j}{dt^j} \left[ t^{j-1} \ln(t) + t (t^{j-1} \ln(t))' \right] \\
= \frac{d^j}{dt^j} \left[ t^{j-1} \ln(t) \right] + \frac{d^j}{dt^j} \left[ (j-1)t^{j-1} \ln(t) + t^{j-1} \right] \\
= j \frac{d^j}{dt^j} \left[ t^{j-1} \ln(t) \right].
\]

Thus, \( \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{(j)} \left( 1 - r^2 \right) \ln(r) r^{2j-1} \, dr = \frac{(j-1)!}{4} \) and therefore,

\[
J_j = \frac{(j-1)!}{4(2j-m)!} \int_{S_{m-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{2j-m} \, dS_{\xi}.
\]

The Funk-Hecke Theorem \( \textup{[9]} \) in the purely bosonic case (i.e. \( n = 0 \)) now yields

\[
J_j = \frac{\pi^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{2(2j-m)!} \Gamma \left( j - \frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) |x|^{2j-m} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{m}{2}}}{2^{2j-m+1} (j - \frac{m}{2})!} |x|^{2j-m}.
\] (78)

Substituting (77) and (78) into (76) we obtain

\[
\int_{S_{m-1,2n}} G_{2k} \left( \langle x, w \rangle \right) \, dS_w \\
= \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (m-1)!}{2^{2n} n! \pi^n} \frac{\pi^{2n}}{2} \int_{S_{m-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{-m} \, dS_{\xi} + 2^{-2k} \pi^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-j} \frac{\pi^{2n-2j}}{(n-j)! (j - \frac{m}{2})!} \\
= \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (m-1)!}{2^{2n} n! \pi^n} \frac{\pi^{2n}}{2} \int_{S_{m-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{-m} \, dS_{\xi} + (-1)^{2k-2} \pi^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\pi^{2n-2j}}{(k-j)! (j)!} \\
= \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (m-1)!}{2^{2n} n! \pi^n} \frac{\pi^{2n}}{2} \int_{S_{m-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{-m} \, dS_{\xi} + (-1)^{k} 2^{-2k-2} \pi^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\pi^{2n-2j}}{(k-j)! j!} x^{2j}.
\]

Finally, substituting this last expression into (74) gives

\[
S = \frac{\pi^k}{k!} x^k - (-1)^k (4\pi^2)^k \left[ \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (m-1)!}{2^{2n} n! \pi^n} \frac{\pi^{2n}}{2} \int_{S_{m-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{-m} \, dS_{\xi} + \frac{(-1)^k 2^{-2k-2} \pi^{-k}}{k!} \right] x^{2k} \\
= \frac{(-1)^{n} (m-1)!}{2^{2n} n! \pi^n} \left( \int_{S_{m-1}} \langle x, \xi \rangle^{-m} \, dS_{\xi} \right) \frac{\pi^n}{n!} x^{2n},
\]

which yields our assertion when combined with the plane wave decomposition \( \textup{[34]} \).

**Case** \( M = -2n \), i.e. \( m = 0 \). In this case we must show that

\[
\delta(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2^{2n-1} (n!)^2} \sigma_{-2n+1} \Delta_n \left[ (\vec{x}, w)^{2n} \right].
\]

This easily follows from the Funk-Hecke Theorem \( \textup{[9]} \) for the normalized integral in the purely fermionic case. Indeed,

\[
\Delta_n \left[ (\vec{x}, w)^{2n} \right] = (-1)^n 2^{2n} (n!)^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_{-2n}} \int_{S_{1,2n}} \langle \vec{x}, w \rangle^{2n} \, dS_w = (-1)^n 2^{2n} n! \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} x^{2n}.
\]

Then

\[
\frac{1}{2^{2n-1} (n!)^2} \sigma_{-2n+1} \Delta_n \left[ (\vec{x}, w)^{2n} \right] = \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} x^{2n} = \frac{\pi^n}{n!} x^{2n} = \delta(\vec{x}),
\]

which proves the result.
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