

SYNTHETIC GEOMETRY IN HYPERBOLIC SIMPLICES

ANDREW CLICKARD AND BARRY MINEMYER

ABSTRACT. Let τ be an n -simplex and let g be a metric on τ with constant curvature κ . The lengths that g assigns to the edges of τ , along with the value of κ , uniquely determine all of the geometry of (τ, g) . In this paper we focus on hyperbolic simplices ($\kappa = -1$) and develop geometric formulas which rely only on the edge lengths of τ . Our main results are distance and projection formulas in hyperbolic simplices, as well as a projection formula in Euclidean simplices. We also provide analogous formulas in simplices with arbitrary constant curvature κ .

1. INTRODUCTION

If one fixes the length of each edge of a triangle, or more generally of an n -dimensional simplex, then the geometry of the triangle (simplex) is completely determined: no vertex can be moved without changing the length of some adjacent edge. Contrast this to a square, for example, where two adjacent vertices can be shifted in the same direction while not changing the lengths of any edges. Thus, if τ is an n -simplex and g is a metric on τ with constant curvature, then the geometry of (τ, g) is completely determined by just the edge lengths that g assigns to τ . What we mean in the title by “synthetic geometry” is formulas/procedures to compute geometric quantities of (τ, g) using only the edge lengths of τ , and without needing to isometrically embed (τ, g) into the appropriate model space and use explicit coordinates.

The study of *Euclidean simplices*, or simplices (τ, g) where g has constant curvature zero, has a long history. Heron’s formula, which computes the area of a triangle using its edge lengths, is an example of synthetic geometry in a Euclidean 2-simplex which dates back thousands of years. The study of higher dimensional simplices goes back (at least) to Menger in [Men28] and Cayley in [Cay41]. More recently, the second author in [Min18] developed a more efficient technique to encode the geometry of a Euclidean n -simplex using the edge lengths of the simplex (this formula is also developed in [Riv03]). The techniques and results in [Min18] will be summarized in Section 2, as they will play a vital role in the work done in this paper.

Hyperbolic simplices, or metric simplices (τ, g) where g has constant curvature -1 , play an important role in the current mathematical zeitgeist. For example, distances in hyperbolic triangles considering only the edge lengths of that triangle are used to determine whether a geodesic metric space is CAT(-1) (or an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by -1). Hyperbolic structures are used by Charney and Davis in [CD95] for their strict hyperbolization, which are then further used by Ontaneda in [Ont20] in his smooth Riemannian hyperbolization.

The goal of this research is to develop synthetic geometric formulas for simplices with constant curvature. This, together with [Min18], establishes a foundation on which further research may be more easily performed due to the formulas’ relative simplicity in contrast to previous work in the literature. We will focus primarily on *hyperbolic simplices* due to their mathematical importance,

Date: December 7, 2021.

but the last Section will discuss analogous results for *spherical simplices* (constant curvature 1), and more generally for simplices of constant curvature κ .

The main results of this paper are as follows:

- (1) We develop a simple criterion which determines whether or not a set of positive edge lengths for an n -simplex determine a legitimate hyperbolic simplex. (Section 3, Theorem 3.1).
- (2) Given a hyperbolic simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ and two points $x, y \in \tau$, we determine an easy procedure to find $d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)$ using only the edge lengths of τ and the barycentric coordinates of x and y . (Section 5, Theorem 5.1).
- (3) Given a Euclidean n -simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$ where $\tau = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} \rangle$, we develop a formula for $\text{proj}_{\tau_{n+1}}(v_{n+1})$, the orthogonal projection of v_{n+1} onto the $(n-1)$ -face opposite of it (denoted by τ_{n+1}). (Section 6, Theorem 6.1).
- (4) Given a hyperbolic n -simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ where $\tau = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} \rangle$, we develop a formula for $\text{proj}_{\tau_1}^{\mathbb{H}}(v_1)$. (Section 7, Theorem 7.1).

The goal of all of this work is to develop formulas which are simple to use and reasonably intuitive. Toward this, in Section 8 we work out an example with a 3-simplex in which we use all of the formulas mentioned above (and some of the formulas in [Min18]). The hope is that this example will be useful for any researchers who wish to use these formulas in the future.

Lastly, there are more difficult formulas in the literature for some of the quantities listed above. In [KSY10], Karliža, Savas, and Yakut give a formula for orthogonal projection in hyperbolic space (Theorem 3 in [KSY10]). As one can see, our formula is considerably simpler, and in any case their formula uses outward normal vectors and is therefore not a truly “synthetic” formula. Also, in [Kar04], Karliža provides necessary and sufficient conditions for when a collection of edge lengths yields a legitimate hyperbolic simplex. But again, one can see that our necessary and sufficient condition listed in Section 3 is more natural, and simpler to use. Also, just before submitting this paper to the arXiv, Abrosimov and Vuong posted the article [AV21] which gives a geometric version for our Theorem 3.1 when $n = 3$.

2. NOTATION AND FORMULAS IN EUCLIDEAN SIMPLICES

Let us first establish some notation for the remainder of the paper. Let $\tau = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} \rangle$ be an n -dimensional simplex, and let g be a Riemannian metric on τ with constant curvature. The notation $g_{\mathbb{E}}$ means that g has constant curvature 0, or is *Euclidean*; the notation $g_{\mathbb{H}}$ implies that g has curvature -1 , or is *hyperbolic*; and the notation $g_{\mathbb{S}}$ means that g has curvature 1, or is *spherical*. Let e_{ij} denote the edge of τ adjacent to the vertices v_i and v_j , and let γ_{ij} denote the length of e_{ij} under g , also denoted by $g(e_{ij})$. We make the convention that $\gamma_{ii} = 0$ for all i . Denote the determinant of a matrix M by $|M|$, and let M_{ij} denote the ij -th minor of M (that is, M_{ij} equals the determinant of the matrix obtained by removing the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of M).

The purpose of this Section is to quickly summarize and explain the main results from [Min18] to be used in this paper. Suppose a Euclidean n -simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$ with $\tau = \langle v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} \rangle$ is linearly isometrically embedded into \mathbb{R}^m ($m \geq n$) endowed with some symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Translate the image of v_{n+1} so that it is mapped to the origin, and by abuse of notation we associate each vertex v_i with its image in \mathbb{R}^m . Define the vectors $w_i = v_i - v_{n+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The collection (w_1, \dots, w_n) forms a basis for the smallest subspace of \mathbb{R}^m containing τ , and the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is completely determined on this subspace by the $n \times n$ matrix Q whose ij^{th} entry is defined by

$$q_{ij} = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle.$$

Now, notice that

$$\gamma_{ij}^2 = \langle w_i - w_j, w_i - w_j \rangle = \gamma_{i,n+1}^2 + \gamma_{j,n+1}^2 - 2\langle w_i, w_j \rangle$$

and so

$$(2.1) \quad q_{ij} = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{i,n+1}^2 + \gamma_{j,n+1}^2 - \gamma_{ij}^2).$$

This allows one to construct the matrix Q using only the edge lengths of $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$. Then, theoretically, one should be able to calculate any geometric quantity of τ using Q since it completely determines the geometry of $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$.

The main results from [Min18] which follow from the definition of Q above are:

- (1) **Realizability of $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$:** $n(n+1)/2$ positive real numbers $\{\gamma_{ij}\}$ are the edge lengths of some Euclidean simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$ if and only if the matrix Q is positive definite.
- (2) **Distances in $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$:** Let $x, y \in \tau$ be such that x has barycentric coordinates $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$ and y has barycentric coordinates $(\beta_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$, where we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i$. Then the squared Euclidean distance between x and y can be calculated by the formula

$$(2.2) \quad d_{\mathbb{E}}^2(x, y) = [x - y]^T Q [x - y]$$

where $[x - y]$ is the vector in \mathbb{R}^m whose i^{th} coordinate is $(\alpha_i - \beta_i)$, with $1 \leq i \leq n$.

- (3) **Volume of $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$:** The n -dimensional volume of $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$ is given by

$$\text{Vol}(\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{\det(Q)}}{n!}.$$

3. DETERMINING THE REALIZABILITY OF HYPERBOLIC SIMPLICES

The hyperboloid model for hyperbolic space. The goal of this research is to develop geometric formulas independent of the embedding of our hyperbolic simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ into hyperbolic space. But we will need to isometrically embed our hyperbolic simplex into some model space for hyperbolic space in order to prove that our formulas are correct. We will always use the hyperboloid model for hyperbolic space, and so we establish our notation for this now.

We will use the notation $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ to denote the standard Minkowski space with signature $(n, 1)$. That is, as a vector space, $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ is just \mathbb{R}^{n+1} endowed with the symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_n y_n - x_{n+1} y_{n+1},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$. The solution set to the equation $\langle x, x \rangle = -1$ forms a two-sheeted hyperboloid, and the ‘‘upper’’ sheet (the sheet with $x_{n+1} > 0$) is our model for n -dimensional hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . Given two points $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n$, the hyperbolic distance $d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)$ is given by

$$(3.1) \quad d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) = \text{arccosh}(-\langle x, y \rangle)$$

and is equivalent to the induced path-metric on \mathbb{H}^n .

Induced flat simplices. Let $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ be an n -dimensional hyperbolic simplex, and assume that it is isometrically embedded in \mathbb{H}^n . Let $\tau = \langle v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} \rangle$, and by abuse of notation associate v_i with its image in \mathbb{H}^n .

Identifying \mathbb{H}^n with the upper-half plane model described above, we can consider the convex hull of the vertices v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. This yields an n -dimensional simplex which we will call σ . Note that σ , endowed with the quadratic form inherited from $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$, has curvature 0.

Remark 3.1. Note that this form may or may not be positive-definite. For an easy example of where $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ is a legitimate hyperbolic simplex but the quadratic form on σ is not positive definite, consider the three points

$$v_1 = (0, 0, 1) \quad v_2 = (0, 1, \sqrt{2}) \quad v_3 = (0, 2, \sqrt{5}).$$

These three points lie on a line in \mathbb{H}^2 , but their convex hull σ is a triangle in $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$. The plane containing σ (the yz -plane) clearly has signature $(1, 1)$. Now, perturb the point v_3 on the hyperboloid so that the three points are no longer colinear in \mathbb{H}^2 . For a sufficiently small perturbation, this will provide a legitimate hyperbolic triangle where the quadratic form associated to the convex hull is not positive-definite.

In many of the formulas and arguments later in this paper, we will care about the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplex Σ defined as follows. Assume we have a hyperbolic simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ isometrically embedded in \mathbb{H}^n , and define σ as above. Then $\Sigma := \{\vec{0}\} \vee \sigma$. That is, $\Sigma = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$ where $v_0 = \vec{0}$ and v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} are the vertices of σ . So Σ is just the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ obtained by combining the origin with the vertices of σ .

Using similar notation to Section 2, we can compute a simple formula for the matrix Q_{Σ} associated to Σ . Let $w_i = v_i - v_0 = v_i$. The collection (w_i) forms a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. With respect to this basis, the ij^{th} entry of Q_{Σ} is given by

$$(3.2) \quad q_{ij} = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = -\cosh(\gamma_{ij})$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = d_{\mathbb{H}}(v_i, v_j)$ is the edge length of τ determined by $g_{\mathbb{H}}$. Note that the last equality in equation (3.2) is obtained directly from equation (3.1), and that the diagonal entries of Q_{Σ} are all -1 . Lastly, observe that the matrix Q_{Σ} can be constructed from $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ without ever needing to isometrically embed τ in \mathbb{H} .

Determining the realizability of hyperbolic simplices. Let $\{\gamma_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$; $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji}$ be a set of positive real numbers. The purpose of this Subsection is to establish whether this set, when defined as the edge lengths of $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$, will form a legitimate simplex in hyperbolic space.

Theorem 3.1. *A set of $n(n + 1)/2$ positive real numbers $\{\gamma_{ij}\}_{i,j=1, i < j}^{n+1}$ are the edge lengths of a non-degenerate hyperbolic simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ if and only if the matrix Q_{Σ} defined by equation (3.2) has signature $(n, 1)$.*

Proof. If $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ is a hyperbolic simplex, then from the discussion above it is clear that Q_{Σ} will have signature $(n, 1)$.

Conversely, assume that Q_{Σ} has signature $(n, 1)$. Let $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$ be a basis for \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , and define a symmetric bilinear form \langle, \rangle on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} by

$$\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = q_{ij}$$

for all i, j , and where q_{ij} denotes the ij^{th} entry of Q_{Σ} . The matrix Q_{Σ} is then the Gram matrix for $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \langle, \rangle)$, and so the form \langle, \rangle has signature $(n, 1)$. Therefore, \mathbb{R}^{n+1} equipped with the form \langle, \rangle is a model for Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$.

The isometric embedding of $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ into $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \langle, \rangle)$ is just the map that sends v_i to the terminal point of α_i for each i . This map is a linear isometry by construction, and every vertex lies on the two-sheeted hyperboloid defined by the equation $\langle x, x \rangle = -1$. The only remaining thing that needs to be checked is that each vertex is mapped to the same sheet of this hyperboloid. But suppose

the vertices v_i and v_j were mapped to opposite sheets of the hyperboloid. Then the vector $v_i - v_j$ would be in the light cone, and therefore we would have that

$$\langle v_i - v_j, v_i - v_j \rangle < 0.$$

Expanding the left-hand side of this inequality gives

$$\langle v_i - v_j, v_i - v_j \rangle = \langle v_i, v_i \rangle + \langle v_j, v_j \rangle - 2\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = -1 - 1 - 2q_{ij} = 2 \cosh(\gamma_{ij}) - 2$$

which is always greater than or equal to 0. \square

4. BARYCENTRIC COORDINATES IN SIMPLICES OF CONSTANT CURVATURE

For this Section let (τ, g) be a non-degenerate simplex with some constant curvature κ possibly different from 0 or -1. Linearly isometrically embed τ into the model space $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$, and by abuse of notation let v_i denote the image of v_i under this realization. As before, let σ be the n -dimensional Euclidean simplex determined by the convex hull of (v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}) in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$.

Let $p \in \sigma$ be given by the barycentric coordinates $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i = 1$. The purpose of this Section is to define the correspond point \tilde{p} in τ . The immediate idea is to project p onto τ from the origin. This point \tilde{p} will then naturally depend on how τ was embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. In what follows we will give a formula for how to compute \tilde{p} using only the barycentric coordinates $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$, the edge lengths $(\gamma_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$, and the vectors $(v_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$, therefore proving that \tilde{p} is well-defined with respect to the location of the vertices $(v_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$ in the model space.

Define \tilde{p} by

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{p} = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{\kappa^2 \sqrt{\langle p, p \rangle}} & \text{if } \kappa > 0 \\ p & \text{if } \kappa = 0 \\ \frac{p}{\kappa^2 \sqrt{-\langle p, p \rangle}} & \text{if } \kappa < 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that \tilde{p} is in fact the projection (from the origin) of p onto the model space with constant curvature κ . We see from the above definition of \tilde{p} that it depends on p and $\langle p, p \rangle$. Of course, p is completely determined by its barycentric coordinates and the location of the vertices of τ . To see that the same is true of $\langle p, p \rangle$, we compute

$$(4.2) \quad \langle p, p \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i v_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \alpha_j v_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$$

where

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \cos(\gamma_{ij}) & \text{if } \kappa > 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{i,n+1}^2 + \gamma_{j,n+1}^2 - \gamma_{ij}^2) & \text{if } \kappa = 0 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \cosh(\gamma_{ij}) & \text{if } \kappa < 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus, the value of $\langle p, p \rangle$ depends only on the the barycentric coordinates of p , as well as the edge lengths of τ as defined by g . Then since $p = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i v_i$ depends only on its barycentric coordinates and the location of the vertices $(v_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$, we see that the definition of $\tilde{p} \in \tau$ above is well-defined with respect to the location of the vertices of τ and the metric g . Note that when referring to a specific point in τ , it is generally simpler to instead refer to the point's corresponding point in σ , so that will be the convention used in the coming sections, including the example.

5. DISTANCES IN HYPERBOLIC SIMPLICES

Let $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ be a hyperbolic simplex, and let $x, y \in \tau$ with barycentric coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$. The purpose of this Section is to give a simple algorithm to compute $d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)$, the hyperbolic distance between the points x and y , using only the edge lengths (γ_{ij}) associated to g and the barycentric coordinates of x and y .

First, define

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{-\langle x, x \rangle}} \quad \tilde{y} = \frac{y}{\sqrt{-\langle y, y \rangle}}$$

where $\langle x, x \rangle$ and $\langle y, y \rangle$ can be easily calculated using the matrix Q_{Σ} as described in equation (4.2). Note that, if τ were linearly isometrically embedded in the hyperboloid model, then x and y would lie on the convex hull σ while \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} would denote the corresponding projections of x and y onto the hyperboloid (from the origin).

From equation (3.1) we know that the hyperbolic distance from \tilde{x} to \tilde{y} is $\operatorname{arccosh}(-\langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle)$. This quantity corresponds to $d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)$. That is, $d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) = \operatorname{arccosh}(-\langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle)$. We formally state this in the following Theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ be a hyperbolic simplex, and let $x, y \in \tau$ with barycentric coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$. Then the hyperbolic distance between x and y is given by*

$$(5.1) \quad d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) = \operatorname{arccosh}(-\langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle) = \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{-\langle x, y \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle \cdot \langle y, y \rangle}}\right).$$

Finally, note that the inner products in equation (5.1) are very easy to calculate using the matrix Q_{Σ} . If one defines

$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \vec{x}^T Q_{\Sigma} \vec{y} \quad \langle x, x \rangle = \vec{x}^T Q_{\Sigma} \vec{x} \quad \langle y, y \rangle = \vec{y}^T Q_{\Sigma} \vec{y}.$$

6. ORTHOGONAL PROJECTION IN EUCLIDEAN SIMPLICES

For the remainder of this paper, we denote the sub-simplex generated by removing v_i from (τ, g) by (τ_i, g) . Likewise, the sub-simplex generated by removing v_i and v_j is denoted by τ_{ij} , etc. The natural question arises as to the barycentric coordinates of the orthogonal projections of some point $p \in \tau$ onto one of these sub-simplices in $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$ and $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$. Define the matrix $Q_{\tau_{n+1}}$ as the form from [Min18] for $(\tau_{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{E}})$ (defined by equation (2.1)). This Section will be focusing on $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$, and the following Section will discuss $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.

We first note that in both cases we need only consider the projection of a vertex onto a sub-simplex, as for any other point $x \in \tau$, we may subdivide τ in a manner which makes x into a vertex opposite the face we are projecting onto. Similarly, for projection onto a sub-simplex with $n - 2$ or fewer vertices, we need only know how to project onto an $(n - 1)$ -face, as we may define a new simplex by removing the vertices that are not being projected and are not in the sub-simplex. The question, then, becomes one of optimization: we must minimize the distance from our projective

vertex to the $(n-1)$ -face. For notational purposes, we relabel our vertices so that we are always projecting v_{n+1} onto the face τ_{n+1} . Let $p = \text{proj}_{\tau_{n+1}}(v_{n+1})$.

To prove our formula in Theorem 6.1 for the barycentric coordinates of p , we first need the following Lemma. Recall our notation that for a square matrix Q , we denote its determinant by $|Q|$.

Lemma 6.1. $|Q_\tau| = d_{\mathbb{E}}^2(v_{n+1}, p)|Q_{\tau_{n+1}}|$.

Proof. A well known result has that $\text{Vol}(\tau) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\tau_{n+1})d_{\mathbb{E}}(v_{n+1}, p)}{n}$, but by Theorem 4 of [Min18], $\text{Vol}(\tau) = \frac{1}{n!}\sqrt{|Q_\tau|}$, and $\text{Vol}(\tau_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\sqrt{|Q_{\tau_{n+1}}|}$. So

$$\text{Vol}(\tau) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\tau_{n+1})d_{\mathbb{E}}(v_{n+1}, p)}{n} \iff \frac{1}{n!}\sqrt{|Q_\tau|} = \frac{\sqrt{|Q_{\tau_{n+1}}|}d_{\mathbb{E}}(v_{n+1}, p)}{n!}$$

Solving for $|Q_\tau|$ then, we obtain

$$|Q_\tau| = |Q_{\tau_{n+1}}|d_{\mathbb{E}}^2(v_{n+1}, p),$$

thus completing the proof. \square

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$ be a Euclidean n -simplex with $\tau = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n+1})$. Let τ_{n+1} be the $(n-1)$ -face of τ with vertices (v_1, \dots, v_n) . Then the barycentric coordinates of the orthogonal projection p of v_{n+1} onto τ_{n+1} are given by:*

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} Q_{ij}}{|Q_{\tau_1}|}; \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

where $p = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 0)$, $Q = Q_\tau$, and Q_{ij} denotes the ij^{th} minor of Q .

Proof. Linearly isometrically embed the Euclidean simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$ into \mathbb{R}^n in some way, and by abuse of notation identify each vertex v_i with its image under this isometry. Let $w_i = v_i - v_{n+1}$. Then the collection (w_1, \dots, w_n) forms a basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

We proceed via the method of Lagrange multipliers, and we seek to minimize $d_{\mathbb{E}}(v_{n+1}, p)$ subject to the constraint $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \dots + \alpha_n = 1$. Let $\vec{\alpha} = [\alpha_1 \ \alpha_2 \ \dots \ \alpha_n]^T$. Then $d_{\mathbb{E}}^2(v_{n+1}, p) = \vec{\alpha}^T Q \vec{\alpha}$ by Equation (2.2). Calculating the distance function, then, we obtain that

$$d_{\mathbb{E}}^2(v_{n+1}, p) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n q_{ij} \alpha_i \alpha_j,$$

(where q_{ij} denotes the ij^{th} entry of Q) noting here that due to symmetry there are exactly two copies of each term where $i \neq j$. We now define our Lagrangian function:

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \lambda) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n q_{ij} \alpha_i \alpha_j - \lambda \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) - 1 \right)$$

We now seek to optimize \mathcal{L} . Consider just one $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} \mathcal{L}$. The nonconstant components of \mathcal{L} with respect to α_i are

$$2q_{i1}\alpha_1\alpha_i + 2q_{i2}\alpha_2\alpha_i + \dots + q_{ii}\alpha_i^2 + \dots + 2q_{in}\alpha_n\alpha_i - \lambda\alpha_i,$$

so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} \mathcal{L} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n 2q_{ij} \alpha_j \right) - \lambda, \text{ and thus, the gradient of } \mathcal{L} \text{ is } \nabla \mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n 2q_{1j} \alpha_j \right) - \lambda \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n 2q_{2j} \alpha_j \right) - \lambda \\ \vdots \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n 2q_{nj} \alpha_j \right) - \lambda \end{pmatrix} := 0.$$

Adding across by the $n \times 1$ column vector with λ as entries, we obtain

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n 2q_{1j} \alpha_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^n 2q_{2j} \alpha_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n 2q_{nj} \alpha_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \lambda \\ \vdots \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\lambda}.$$

From which we may factor out $\vec{\alpha}$ and obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2q_{11} & 2q_{12} & \cdots & 2q_{1n} \\ 2q_{12} & 2q_{22} & \cdots & 2q_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 2q_{1n} & \cdots & \cdots & 2q_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \lambda \\ \vdots \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

But clearly, this $n \times n$ matrix is $2Q$. Since τ is a nondegenerate simplex, Q is positive definite, and is thus invertible. Left multiplying by Q^{-1} , we obtain: $2\vec{\alpha} = Q^{-1}\vec{\lambda}$. But $Q^{-1} = \frac{1}{|Q|}C$, where C is the cofactor matrix of Q . Thus,

$$\vec{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2|Q|} C \vec{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{2|Q|} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+2} Q_{2i} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+n} Q_{ni} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then by Lemma 6.1, we obtain

$$\vec{\alpha} = \frac{\lambda}{2|Q_{\tau_{n+1}}| d_{\mathbb{P}^n}^2(v_{n+1}, p)} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+2} Q_{2i} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+n} Q_{ni} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now let us inspect Equation (6.1). Left multiplying both sides by $\vec{\alpha}^T$, we obtain $2d^2(v_{n+1}, p) = \lambda(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)$. But $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ by the constraint, so $\lambda = 2d_{\mathbb{E}}^2(v_{n+1}, p)$. Thus, we finally have

$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}}{|Q_{\tau_1}|} \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+2} Q_{2i}}{|Q_{\tau_1}|} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+n} Q_{ni}}{|Q_{\tau_1}|} \end{pmatrix},$$

as desired. □

Corollary 6.1.1. $\sum_{i,j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} Q_{ij} = |Q_{\tau_{n+1}}|$.

Proof. By Theorem 6.1 and the definition of barycentric coordinates in Euclidean simplices, we have:

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} Q_{ij}}{\det(Q_{\tau_{n+1}})} = \frac{1}{\det(Q_{\tau_{n+1}})} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} Q_{ij}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} Q_{ij} = \det(Q_{\tau_{n+1}}).$$

□

Corollary 6.1.2. *The barycentric coordinates of the orthogonal projection $p = (0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of v_{n+1} onto τ_{n+1} are given by*

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} Q_{ij}}{\sum_{j,k=1}^n (-1)^{j+k} Q_{jk}}.$$

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 6.1.1. □

Corollary 6.1.3. $\text{Vol}(\tau_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} Q_{ij}}$.

Proof. Theorem 4 of [Min18] shows that $\text{Vol}(\tau_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sqrt{|Q_{\tau_{n+1}}|}$. Substituting Equation (6.2) for the radical, we obtain

$$\text{Vol}(\tau_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} Q_{ij}},$$

thus completing the proof. □

7. ORTHOGONAL PROJECTION IN HYPERBOLIC SIMPLICES

We now turn our attention to orthogonal projection in hyperbolic simplices. In this Section we are going to project the vertex v_1 onto the face τ_1 , as opposed to last Section where we projected v_{n+1} onto τ_{n+1} . This reason for this change in notation is purely to make labeling subscripts easier.

A first thought may be to just project within the convex hull σ of the vertices of τ , and then project this point onto the hyperboloid. But, in general, this does not work. The reason for this can be found in Remark 3.1. The quadratic form in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ when restricted to the hyperplane containing the vertices of τ may not be positive definite. In that case, the procedure in Theorem 6.1 may not work.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ be a hyperbolic n -simplex with vertices $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n+1})$. Then the barycentric coordinates of the orthogonal projection $p \in \tau$ of v_1 onto τ_1 is given by*

$$(7.1) \quad \alpha_i = \frac{(-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^{\Sigma}}{\sum_{j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{1+j} Q_{1j}^{\Sigma}}.$$

where $p = (0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$, Q_{Σ} is defined as in equation (3.2), and Q_{ij}^{Σ} denotes the ij^{th} minor of Q_{Σ} .

Remark 7.1. Suppose the hyperbolic simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ is linearly isometrically embedded in the hyperboloid model for \mathbb{H}^n . The point p described in Theorem 7.1 would lie in the convex hull σ of τ (it would actually lie on the convex hull of the points (v_2, \dots, v_{n+1})). To find the actual point \tilde{p} that is the orthogonal projection of v_1 onto τ_1 , you would need to project p onto the hyperboloid from the origin. To do this, recall that $\tilde{p} = p / \sqrt{-\langle p, p \rangle}$. A formula for the components of \tilde{p} is given by

$$\tilde{p} = (0, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_3, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{n+1}), \text{ where } \tilde{\alpha}_i = \frac{(-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^{\Sigma}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} Q_{1i}^{\Sigma} Q_{1j}^{\Sigma} (\cosh(\gamma_{ij}))}},$$

In practice though, it is easier to calculate α_i using equation (7.1), calculating $\sqrt{-\langle p, p \rangle}$ using Q_{Σ} , and then dividing.

Remark 7.2. Note that the formula for calculating the orthogonal projection in Theorem 6.1 uses the $n \times n$ matrix Q_{τ} defined in equation (2.1), whereas the formula in Theorem 7.1 uses the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix Q_{Σ} defined in (3.2). This difference is why it is notationally easier to project v_{n+1} onto τ_{n+1} in Theorem 6.1 and v_1 onto τ_1 in Theorem 7.1.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Linearly isometrically embed the hyperbolic simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ into the hyperboloid model for \mathbb{H}^n . By abuse of notation, identify each vertex v_i with its image in the hyperboloid. Let σ denote the convex hull of the vertices (v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}) , and let Σ be the $(n+1)$ -simplex obtained as the convex hull of $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$ where v_0 is the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$.

Just as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 we proceed via the method of Lagrange Multipliers. Let $p = \alpha_2 v_2 + \alpha_3 v_3 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} v_{n+1}$ be the point on σ corresponding to the projection \tilde{p} of v_1 onto τ_1 . Then $\tilde{p} = \frac{p}{\sqrt{-\langle p, p \rangle}}$ by Equation (4.1). The hyperbolic distance between v_1 and \tilde{p} is given by

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(v_1, \tilde{p}) = \operatorname{arccosh}(-\langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle).$$

Since $\operatorname{arccosh}()$ is increasing for arguments greater than 1, our goal is to maximize $\langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle$ subject to the constraint $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} = 1$.

Define our Lagrangian function $\mathcal{L}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \lambda) := \langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle - \lambda(\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} - 1)$. Consider just one $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} \mathcal{L}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} \mathcal{L} &= \langle v_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} \tilde{p} \rangle - \lambda = \left\langle v_1, \frac{-1}{2} (-\langle p, p \rangle)^{-3/2} (-2\langle p, v_i \rangle) p + (-\langle p, p \rangle)^{-1/2} v_i \right\rangle - \lambda \\ &= \frac{\langle p, v_i \rangle}{(-\langle p, p \rangle)^{3/2}} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \frac{\langle v_1, v_i \rangle}{(-\langle p, p \rangle)^{1/2}} - \lambda := 0 \end{aligned}$$

By adding over the λ and clearing denominators, we obtain

$$\frac{\langle p, v_i \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, v_i \rangle = \lambda (-\langle p, p \rangle)^{1/2}$$

And thus our system of equations can be written as

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{\langle p, v_2 \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \lambda (-\langle p, p \rangle)^{1/2}$$

$$(7.3) \quad \frac{\langle p, v_3 \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle = \lambda (-\langle p, p \rangle)^{1/2}$$

\vdots

$$(7.4) \quad \frac{\langle p, v_{n+1} \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, v_{n+1} \rangle = \lambda (-\langle p, p \rangle)^{1/2}$$

The first step to solving this system of equations is to show that $\lambda = 0$. To do this, we take $\alpha_2(7.2) + \alpha_3(7.3) + \dots + \alpha_{n+1}(7.4)$. Recalling the constraint $\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \alpha_i = 1$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Right-Hand Side: } & \sum_2^{n+1} \alpha_i \lambda (-\langle p, p \rangle)^{1/2} = \lambda (-\langle p, p \rangle)^{1/2} \\ \text{Left-Hand Side: } & \sum_2^{n+1} \alpha_i \left(\frac{\langle p, v_i \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, v_i \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{\langle p, \alpha_i v_i \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, \alpha_i v_i \rangle = \frac{\langle p, p \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, p \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

And thus since $(-\langle p, p \rangle)^{1/2} \neq 0$, we must have that $\lambda = 0$. Our system of equations becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\langle p, v_2 \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \\ \frac{\langle p, v_3 \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\langle p, v_{n+1} \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle} \langle v_1, p \rangle + \langle v_1, v_{n+1} \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

But $-\langle p, p \rangle = \left(\sqrt{-\langle p, p \rangle} \right)^2$, and so we may distribute up into our inner products to obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \tilde{p}, v_2 \rangle \langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \\ \langle \tilde{p}, v_3 \rangle \langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle + \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \tilde{p}, v_{n+1} \rangle \langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle + \langle v_1, v_{n+1} \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

Now we may rearrange using the linearity of the bilinear form to achieve

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle \tilde{p} + v_1, v_2 \rangle \\ \langle \langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle \tilde{p} + v_1, v_3 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle \tilde{p} + v_1, v_{n+1} \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

Therefore we must have that $\langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle \tilde{p} + v_1 \in \bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} v_i^\perp$. This intersection is one-dimensional, and is spanned by the first column of Q_Σ^{-1} (for a proof, see Lemma 7.1 below). Since \tilde{p} has a v_1 component of 0, we have

$$\langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle \tilde{p} + v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{-Q_{12}^\Sigma}{Q_{11}^\Sigma} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(-1)^{(n+1)+1} Q_{1(n+1)}^\Sigma}{Q_{11}^\Sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ which then implies that } \langle v_1, \tilde{p} \rangle \tilde{p} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{-Q_{12}^\Sigma}{Q_{11}^\Sigma} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(-1)^{(n+1)+1} Q_{1(n+1)}^\Sigma}{Q_{11}^\Sigma} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Factoring $(-\langle p, p \rangle)^{1/2}$ from each of the \tilde{p} 's and dividing by $\frac{\langle v_1, p \rangle}{-\langle p, p \rangle}$, we have

$$(7.5) \quad p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{Q_{12}^\Sigma \langle p, p \rangle}{Q_{11}^\Sigma \langle v_1, p \rangle} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(-1)^{n+3} Q_{1(n+1)}^\Sigma \langle p, p \rangle}{Q_{11}^\Sigma \langle v_1, p \rangle} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that $p = (0, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$. Thus we obtain a preliminary solution for each α_i by aligning the components of equation (7.5). But this is not a sufficient solution since p is needed to compute each α_i . But consider the sum of these equations:

$$1 = \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \alpha_i = \frac{-\langle p, p \rangle}{\langle v_1, p \rangle} \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma}{Q_{11}^\Sigma},$$

and thus

$$(7.6) \quad \frac{-\langle p, p \rangle}{\langle v_1, p \rangle} = \frac{Q_{11}^\Sigma}{\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma}.$$

Substituting into Equation 7.5, we therefore have

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{-Q_{12}^\Sigma}{\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma} \\ \frac{Q_{13}^\Sigma}{\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(-1)^{(n+1)+1} Q_{1(n+1)}^\Sigma}{\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma} \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus $\alpha_i = \frac{(-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma}{\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma}$, proving the Theorem. To calculate a formula for $\tilde{p} = \frac{p}{\sqrt{-\langle p, p \rangle}}$, we must calculate $\sqrt{-\langle p, p \rangle}$ with respect to Q_Σ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-\langle p, p \rangle} &= \sqrt{-\sum_{i,j=2}^{n+1} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i,j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j+4} Q_{1i}^\Sigma Q_{1j}^\Sigma (\cosh(\gamma_{ij}))}{\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma\right)^2}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} Q_{1i}^\Sigma Q_{1j}^\Sigma (\cosh(\gamma_{ij}))}}{\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finally have that

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \alpha_i v_i) (\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} Q_{1i}^\Sigma Q_{1j}^\Sigma (\cosh(\gamma_{ij}))}} = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma v_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} Q_{1i}^\Sigma Q_{1j}^\Sigma (\cosh(\gamma_{ij}))}},$$

and so $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \frac{(-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma}{\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} Q_{1i}^\Sigma Q_{1j}^\Sigma (\cosh(\gamma_{ij}))}}$, as desired. \square

Lemma 7.1. *Using the notation in Theorem 7.1, the intersection of the orthogonal complements of the vertex vectors v_i for $2 \leq i \leq n+1$ is*

$$\bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} v_i^\perp = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11}^\Sigma \\ -Q_{12}^\Sigma \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{(n+1)+1} Q_{1(n+1)}^\Sigma \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

where $\langle \vec{v} \rangle$ denotes the span of \vec{v} .

Proof. We first note that $\bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} v_i^\perp$ is a one dimensional vector space. Let \vec{x} be an $(n+1) \times 1$ column vector in $\bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} v_i^\perp$. We also note that since we are working with respect to the vertex vectors,

$$\langle v_i, \vec{x} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \langle v_i, x_j v_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j q_{ij},$$

where x_j is the j -th entry of \vec{x} . Because $\bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} v_i^\perp$ is one dimensional and does not lie on the hyperplane $x_1 = 0$, we may let $x_1 = 1$ without loss of generality (and this is the form of x that was needed in Theorem 7.1). Then we must solve for n unknowns in n equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_{12} + q_{22}x_2 + q_{23}x_3 + \cdots + q_{2(n+1)}x_{n+1} \\ q_{13} + q_{23}x_2 + q_{33}x_3 + \cdots + q_{3(n+1)}x_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{1(n+1)} + q_{2(n+1)}x_2 + q_{23}x_3 + \cdots + q_{(n+1)(n+1)}x_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0},$$

which can be rearranged to:

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_{22} & q_{23} & \cdots & q_{2(n+1)} \\ q_{23} & q_{33} & \cdots & q_{3(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_{2(n+1)} & q_{3(n+1)} & \cdots & q_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -q_{12} \\ -q_{13} \\ \vdots \\ -q_{1(n+1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

First note that this matrix is the submatrix $Q_\Sigma(1, 1)$ of Q_Σ formed by removing the first row and first column. By Cramer's rule, $x_i = \frac{|A_{i-1}|}{Q_{11}}$, and A_{i-1} is the matrix formed by replacing the $(i-1)$ th column of $Q(1, 1)$ with $[-q_{12} \ -q_{13} \ \cdots \ -q_{1(n+1)}]^T$. Thus, we have that

$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{-Q_{12}}{Q_{11}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(-1)^{n+1}Q_{1(n+1)}}{Q_{11}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

And thus, since $\vec{x} \in \bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} v_i^\perp$ and $\bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} v_i^\perp$ is one dimensional, $\bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} v_i^\perp = \langle \vec{x} \rangle$. Scaling \vec{x} by Q_{11} , we have

$$\bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} v_i^\perp = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} \\ -Q_{12} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{n+1}Q_{1(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

as desired. \square

Symmetrically to the Euclidean Projection, in order to project onto an $n-2$ or smaller sub-simplex, we redefine a simplex that removes the irrelevant vertices and perform this calculation. As one can see, this calculation proves to be far simpler than other methods, and it only relies on the edge lengths of the simplex.

8. AN EXAMPLE

The formulas in our Theorems, specifically Theorems 6.1 and 7.1, look a lot more complicated to use than they are in practice. The purpose of this Section is to work out an example to demonstrate the efficiency of these formulas.

Let $\tau = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle$ be a 3-simplex with edge lengths given by:

| γ_{ij} | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 |

In this section, we use the methods developed in this paper to compute various quantities in τ . We first verify that τ is a non-degenerate simplex when given both the Euclidean metric $g_{\mathbb{E}}$ and the hyperbolic metric $g_{\mathbb{H}}$. We then follow this by finding the distances between $p = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ and $q = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0)$ in the Euclidean and Hyperbolic metric, and finally to find the orthogonal projection of v_1 onto τ_1 with τ viewed as both Euclidean and Hyperbolic simplex.

8.1. Calculations in $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$.

Verifying that $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$ is a legitimate Euclidean simplex. . We construct the matrix Q from (2.1).

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2(\gamma_{12}^2 + \gamma_{12}^2 - \gamma_{22}^2) & 1/2(\gamma_{12}^2 + \gamma_{13}^2 - \gamma_{23}^2) & 1/2(\gamma_{12}^2 + \gamma_{14}^2 - \gamma_{24}^2) \\ 1/2(\gamma_{12}^2 + \gamma_{13}^2 - \gamma_{23}^2) & 1/2(\gamma_{13}^2 + \gamma_{13}^2 - \gamma_{33}^2) & 1/2(\gamma_{13}^2 + \gamma_{14}^2 - \gamma_{34}^2) \\ 1/2(\gamma_{12}^2 + \gamma_{14}^2 - \gamma_{24}^2) & 1/2(\gamma_{13}^2 + \gamma_{14}^2 - \gamma_{34}^2) & 1/2(\gamma_{14}^2 + \gamma_{14}^2 - \gamma_{44}^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -3/2 & -5/2 \\ -3/2 & 9 & 8 \\ -5/2 & 8 & 16 \end{pmatrix}$$

One can check that the eigenvalues of Q are approximately 21.7, 3.81, and 3.48. Then, since Q is positive-definite, τ is a legitimate Euclidean simplex.

Calculating distances in $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$. Let $p = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ and $q = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0)$. We wish to calculate $d_{\mathbb{E}}(p, q)$. The squared Euclidean distance between them, by Equation 2.2, is

$$d_{\mathbb{E}}^2(p, q) = [p - q]^T Q_E [p - q] = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{-1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -3/2 & -5/2 \\ -3/2 & 9 & 8 \\ -5/2 & 8 & 16 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{12} \\ \frac{1}{12} \\ \frac{-1}{4} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{121}{144},$$

so $d_{\mathbb{E}}(p, q) = 11/12$.

Projecting v_1 onto τ_1 in $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$. Now, we find the projection of v_1 onto τ_1 . Firstly, we have

$$Q_{\tau_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2(\gamma_{23}^2 + \gamma_{23}^2 - \gamma_{33}^2) & 1/2(\gamma_{23}^2 + \gamma_{24}^2 - \gamma_{34}^2) \\ 1/2(\gamma_{23}^2 + \gamma_{24}^2 - \gamma_{34}^2) & 1/2(\gamma_{24}^2 + \gamma_{24}^2 - \gamma_{44}^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 16 & 16 \\ 16 & 25 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $|Q_{\tau_1}| = 144$. We now compute the minors of Q :

$$Q_{11} = \begin{vmatrix} 9 & 8 \\ 8 & 16 \end{vmatrix} = 80 \quad Q_{12} = \begin{vmatrix} -3/2 & 8 \\ -5/2 & 16 \end{vmatrix} = -4 \quad Q_{13} = \begin{vmatrix} -3/2 & 9 \\ -5/2 & 8 \end{vmatrix} = 21/2$$

$$Q_{22} = \begin{vmatrix} 4 & -5/2 \\ -5/2 & 16 \end{vmatrix} = 231/4 \quad Q_{23} = \begin{vmatrix} 4 & -3/2 \\ -5/2 & 8 \end{vmatrix} = 113/4 \quad Q_{33} = \begin{vmatrix} 4 & -3/2 \\ -3/2 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = 135/4$$

Thus, we can then find each α_i , noting that $Q_{ij} = Q_{ji}$ due to the symmetry of Q :

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{Q_{11} - Q_{12} + Q_{13}}{|Q_{\tau_1}|} = \frac{80 - (-4) + 21/2}{144} \approx 0.65625$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{-Q_{21} + Q_{22} - Q_{23}}{|Q_{\tau_1}|} = \frac{-(-4) + 231/4 - 113/4}{144} \approx 0.23264$$

$$\alpha_4 = \frac{Q_{31} - Q_{32} + Q_{33}}{|Q_{\tau_1}|} = \frac{21/2 - 113/4 + 135/4}{144} \approx 0.11111$$

Let us quickly remark that the subscripts of the α'_i 's are off by 1 from Theorem 6.1 since we are projecting v_1 as opposed to v_4 as in the Theorem.

Note that $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 1$. So the orthogonal projection of v_1 onto τ_1 has barycentric coordinates $(0, 0.6525, 0.23264, 0.11111)$. It is worth noting that each coordinate is positive, and so the projection p lies inside the triangle τ_1 . Our formula gives an easy way to check if a vertex projects inside or outside of the opposite face.

Calculating the “height” of $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{E}})$. Consider the altitude of v_1 over p :

$$d_{\mathbb{E}}(v_1, p) = \sqrt{p^T Q p} \approx 1.4136.$$

We will use this result to compare to the analogous result in the hyperbolic example.

8.2. Calculations in $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.

Verifying that $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ is a legitimate hyperbolic simplex. Via Theorem 3.1, we need to calculate Q_{Σ} using equation (3.2). We have that $Q_{\Sigma} =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\cosh(\gamma_{12}) & -\cosh(\gamma_{13}) & -\cosh(\gamma_{14}) \\ -\cosh(\gamma_{12}) & -1 & -\cosh(\gamma_{23}) & -\cosh(\gamma_{24}) \\ -\cosh(\gamma_{13}) & -\cosh(\gamma_{23}) & -1 & -\cosh(\gamma_{34}) \\ -\cosh(\gamma_{14}) & -\cosh(\gamma_{24}) & -\cosh(\gamma_{34}) & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\cosh(2) & -\cosh(3) & -\cosh(4) \\ -\cosh(2) & -1 & -\cosh(4) & -\cosh(5) \\ -\cosh(3) & -\cosh(4) & -1 & -\cosh(3) \\ -\cosh(4) & -\cosh(5) & -\cosh(3) & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of Q_{Σ} are approximately -90.1, 79.2, 5.5, and 1.4. Since Q_{Σ} has signature (3, 1), by Theorem 1 we know that τ is a legitimate hyperbolic simplex.

Calculating distances in $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$. We now wish to calculate $d_{\mathbb{H}}(p, q)$, where $p = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ and $q = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0)$. By Theorem 5.1, we have

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(p, q) = \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{-\langle p, q \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle p, p \rangle \cdot \langle q, q \rangle}} \right),$$

with:

$$\langle p, q \rangle = p^t(Q_{\Sigma})q \approx -16.40517, \quad \langle p, p \rangle = p^t(Q_{\Sigma})p \approx -19.34049, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle q, q \rangle = q^t(Q_{\Sigma})q \approx -9.47513.$$

Thus, we have that

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(p, q) = \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{16.40516}{\sqrt{(-19.34049)(-9.47513)}} \right) = 0.63997.$$

Notice here that $d_{\mathbb{H}}(p, q) < d_{\mathbb{E}}(p, q)$, as expected.

Projecting v_1 onto τ_1 in $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$. We now consider the projection \tilde{p} of v_1 onto τ_1 . First, we find the barycentric coordinates for the corresponding point $p = (0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ on the convex hull σ , before finding the coordinates of \tilde{p} . First, the relevant minors of Q_{Σ} are:

$$Q_{12}^{\Sigma} = \begin{vmatrix} -\cosh(2) & -\cosh(4) & -\cosh(5) \\ -\cosh(3) & -1 & -\cosh(3) \\ -\cosh(4) & -\cosh(3) & -1 \end{vmatrix} \approx -12350.57$$

$$Q_{13}^{\Sigma} = \begin{vmatrix} -\cosh(2) & -1 & -\cosh(5) \\ -\cosh(3) & -\cosh(4) & -\cosh(3) \\ -\cosh(4) & -\cosh(5) & -1 \end{vmatrix} \approx 2340.72 \quad Q_{14}^{\Sigma} = \begin{vmatrix} -\cosh(2) & -1 & -\cosh(4) \\ -\cosh(3) & -\cosh(4) & -1 \\ -\cosh(4) & -\cosh(5) & -\cosh(3) \end{vmatrix} \approx -718.81$$

From Theorem 7.1, we know that

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{-Q_{12}}{-Q_{12} + Q_{13} - Q_{14}} \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{Q_{13}}{-Q_{12} + Q_{13} - Q_{14}} \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{-Q_{14}}{-Q_{12} + Q_{13} - Q_{14}}.$$

Plugging in the values for the minors and calculating, we get that $p = (0, 0.80146, 0.15190, 0.04665)$. Note that $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 1$, as expected. Also by Theorem 7.1, we have

$$\tilde{p} = (0, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_3, \tilde{\alpha}_4); \quad \text{for } \tilde{\alpha}_i = \frac{(-1)^{i+1} Q_{1i}^\Sigma}{\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} Q_{1i}^\Sigma Q_{1j}^\Sigma (\cosh(\gamma_{ij}))}}$$

And thus, since

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} Q_{1i}^\Sigma Q_{1j}^\Sigma (\cosh(\gamma_{ij}))} \approx 55578.499, \text{ we have } \tilde{p} = (0, 0.22222, 0.04212, 0.01293).$$

Alternatively, one could just calculate $\langle p, p \rangle$, and then use that $\tilde{p} = \frac{p}{\sqrt{-\langle p, p \rangle}}$.

Calculating the ‘‘height’’ of $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{H}})$. Let us find the altitude of v_1 over \tilde{p} :

$$d_{\mathbb{E}}^2(v_1, \tilde{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0.222 & 0.042 & 0.0129 \end{pmatrix} Q_{\Sigma} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0.222 \\ 0.042 \\ 0.0129 \end{pmatrix} \approx 1.22644$$

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(v_1, \tilde{p}) = \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{2 + 1.22644}{2} \right) \approx 1.0575.$$

Note that $d_{\mathbb{H}}(v_1, p) < d_{\mathbb{E}}(v_1, p)$, as we would expect.

9. ANALOGOUS FORMULAS FOR SPHERICAL SIMPLICES

Our model space for \mathbb{S}^n is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Similar to equation (3.1) we have

$$(9.1) \quad d_{\mathbb{S}}(x, y) = \arccos(\langle x, y \rangle).$$

Also, in the same way as we did in Section 3, we can consider the $(n+1)$ -simplex Σ which is the convex hull of the vertices of a simplex and the origin. The Gram matrix Q_{Σ} is calculated by the formula

$$(9.2) \quad q_{ij} = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \cos(\gamma_{ij}).$$

Theorem 9.1 (Analogous to Theorem 3.1). *A collection of $n(n+1)/2$ positive real numbers $\{\gamma_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$ with $\gamma_{ij} < \pi/2$ for all i, j are the edge lengths of a spherical n -simplex $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{S}})$ if and only if the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix Q_{Σ} defined by equation (9.2) is positive-definite.*

Proof. This is essentially identical to the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Distances in spherical simplices are computed in the analogous way as they are in hyperbolic simplices: one projects the points onto the sphere using the techniques from Section 4, and then calculates the distance using equation (9.1) and Q_{Σ} .

For orthogonal projection in spherical simplices, you just project within the convex hull of the points and then project that point onto the sphere. More precisely, let $(\tau, g_{\mathbb{S}})$ be a spherical simplex. Linearly isometrically embed τ into \mathbb{S}^n in some way, and identify the vertices v_i with their image in \mathbb{S}^n . Let σ be the n -simplex formed by the convex hull of (v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}) . Then, to calculate $\operatorname{proj}_{\tau_1}(v_1)$, you calculate $\operatorname{proj}_{\sigma_1}(v_1)$ using Theorem 6.1 and then project this point onto the sphere from the origin. This process works for spherical simplices but not for hyperbolic simplices because

the quadratic form restricted to the hyperplane containing σ is always positive-definite for spherical simplices.

Finally, the distance and projection formulas in this paper can be extended to simplices with constant curvature κ by adjusting equations (3.1), (3.2), (9.1), and (9.2) accordingly.

REFERENCES

- AV21. N. Abrosimov and B. Vuong, *Explicit volume formula for a hyperbolic tetrahedron in terms of edge lengths*, arXiv: 2107.03004.
- Cay41. A. Cayley, *On a theorem in the geometry of position*, Cambridge Math. Journal **2**(1841), 267-271.
- CD95. R. Charney and M. Davis, *Strict Hyperbolization*, J. Topol. **34** No. 2 (1995), 329-350. Birkhäuser (2001).
- Kar04. B. Karliĝa, *Edge matrix of hyperbolic simplices*, Geom. Dedicata **109**(2004), 1-6.
- KSY10. B. Karliĝa, M. Savas, A. Yakut, *Orthogonal projections based on hyperbolic and spherical n -simplex*, Adv. Math. Phys. **2015**, 6 pages.
- KLM15. R. Kowalick, J.F. Lafont, B. Minemyer, *Combinatorial systolic inequalities*, preprint, arXiv: 1506.07121.
- Men28. K. Menger, *Untersuchungen über allgemeine Metrik.*, Math. Ann. **100** (1928), 75-163.
- Min18. B. Minemyer, *Intrinsic Geometry of a Euclidean Simplex*, Cambridge University Press, (2018), 135-145
- Ont20. P. Ontaneda, *Riemannian hyperbolization*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **131**, (2020), 1–72.
- Riv03. I. Rivin, *Some observations on the simplex*, arXiv: 0308239.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND DIGITAL SCIENCES, BLOOMSBURG UNIVERSITY, BLOOMSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17815

Email address: `ac24869@huskies.bloomu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND DIGITAL SCIENCES, BLOOMSBURG UNIVERSITY, BLOOMSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17815

Email address: `bminemyer@bloomu.edu`