A new approach to the quantization the damped harmonic oscillator
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In this paper, a new approach for constructing Lagrangians for driven and undriven linearly damped systems is proposed, by introducing a redefined time coordinate and an associated coordinate transformation to ensure that the resulting Lagrangian satisfies the Helmholtz conditions. The approach is applied to canonically quantize the damped harmonic oscillator and it predicts an energy spectrum that decays at a different rate to previous models, and a different critical damping point for the quantized system, which determines transitions between energy eigenstates. It is also demonstrated how to apply the procedure to a driven damped harmonic oscillator.

INTRODUCTION

Harmonic oscillators experiencing linear and non-linear damping, both with and without a driving force, arise in a range of physical contexts including modelling superconducting qubits using Josephson junctions, heavy ion scattering and nuclear fission. There is consensus in the literature that quantization techniques for the linearly damped, undriven harmonic oscillator

\[ \ddot{q} + 2\alpha\dot{q} + \omega^2 q = 0, \quad (1) \]

can be generalised to the above contexts. Here, \( q, \alpha \) and \( \omega \) are the position coordinate, the coefficient of linear damping and oscillator frequency respectively.

Existing canonical quantization procedures, however, do not work for non-conservative systems, i.e. it has not been possible with approaches previously reported in the literature to write a Lagrangian which produces equation (1) as its Euler-Lagrange equation, as it does not satisfy the Helmholtz conditions. The attempts to overcome this problem have resulted in a wide range of proposals over the last century, the most prominent being that of Bateman, Caldirola, and Kanai (hereafter referred to as the BCK approach). In the BCK approach, one quantises the Bateman Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}_B \)

\[ \mathcal{L}_B = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{q}^2 - \omega^2 q^2) e^{2\alpha t}, \quad (2) \]

from which the Euler-Lagrange equations produces equation (1) multiplied by the integrating factor \( e^{2\alpha t} \). The canonical momentum associated with \( q \) is \( p = e^{2\alpha t} \dot{q} \), and can be used to write the corresponding Hamiltonian

\[ H_{BCK} = \frac{1}{2} (e^{-2\alpha t} p^2 + \omega^2 e^{2\alpha t} q^2). \quad (3) \]

Many other proposed approaches, such as a separation or redefinition of the position variable \( q \), are equivalent to this BCK approach. Another class of approaches involve coupling an undamped oscillator to a loss mechanism, including through a spin-boson model, or a Linbladian master equation formalism, however these approaches require a model of how the dissipation occurs, i.e. they do not provide a self-contained description of the phenomena associated with a damped harmonic oscillator within a closed system. The BCK approach therefore remains as the most well-known attempt to quantize the damped harmonic oscillator, and solutions to \( H_{BCK} \) have been thoroughly investigated.

There are two features of the BCK approach which have recently caused debate in the literature. Firstly, it was proved that a square-integrable vacuum cannot be found for the BCK Hamiltonian, a result which has withstood some debate. Secondly, in Ref. the authors claim that equation (2) describes a doubled system of both a damped harmonic oscillator and an amplified harmonic oscillator (equation (1) with \( \alpha < 0 \)). In that paper, they propose a modified Bateman Lagrangian, by introducing additional real dynamical variables and obtain a ladder of energies

\[ E_n(t) = \hbar \omega e^{-2\alpha t} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (4) \]

and find a critical damping condition for the quantized system, \( \alpha = \omega/(\sqrt{5} - 1)/2 \), which differs from the classical condition \( \alpha = \omega \). Their approach, however, relies upon modifying an existing Lagrangian with multiple dynamical variables.

In this work, we propose a new approach for quantizing driven and undriven linearly damped systems of the form

\[ \ddot{q} + 2\alpha\dot{q} + \sum_i f_i(q, t) = 0, \quad (5) \]
where \( f_i(q,t) \) is an arbitrary continuous function of \( q \) and \( t \). Our approach only requires a single dynamical variable, does not require modification of an existing Lagrangian and is therefore easily generalized. We do so by introducing a new time coordinate in equation (5), for which we find an exact Lagrangian and Hamiltonian which is then quantized. Importantly, we predict a new energy spectrum and a new critical damping condition for the quantized system. Finally, we demonstrate how to apply our approach to driven linearly damped systems, by considering an example from superconducting quantum computing.

**DERIVING A HAMILTONIAN VIA THE HELMHOLTZ CONDITIONS**

For a set of coordinates \( q_i \) and their derivatives with respect to time \( t \), the Helmholtz conditions state that a Lagrangian can be written for a system of differential equations \( E_i(t, q_i, \dot{q}_i, \ddot{q}_i) \) if they satisfy [12]

\[
\frac{\partial E_i}{\partial q_k} - \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial q_i} = 0, \quad (6a)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial E_i}{\partial q_k} + \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial q_i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial E_i}{\partial \dot{q}_k} + \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) = 0, \quad (6b)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial E_i}{\partial \dot{q}_k} - \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial E_i}{\partial q_k} - \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial q_i} \right) = 0. \quad (6c)
\]

A single differential equation trivially satisfies equations (6a) and (6c); however, equation (6b) (and thus equation (4)) does not satisfy equation (6b). Therefore, in this coordinate system, it is not possible to write a Lagrangian which produces equation (4) as its Euler-Lagrange equation.

We propose considering a new time coordinate \( \tau = f(t) \), where \( f(t) \) is a continuous and differentiable function for all \( t \geq 0 \), such that the Helmholtz conditions are satisfied. Equation (5) becomes

\[
\left( \frac{d \tau}{dt} \right)^2 \ddot{\dot{q}}(\tau) + 2\alpha \left( \frac{d \tau}{dt} \right) \dot{\dot{q}}(\tau) + \sum_i f_i(q(\tau), \tau) = 0, \quad (7)
\]

assuming that \( d\tau/dt \neq 0 \) for all times \( t \) of interest, that \( \alpha \) and \( \omega \) are time-independent, and derivatives with respect to \( \tau \). Since equation (7) is a differential equation of the form \( E(\tau, q, \dot{q}, \ddot{q}) \) it may be substituted into equation (5), to ensure that the Helmholtz conditions are satisfied:

\[
2\alpha \frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[ \left( \frac{d \tau}{dt} \right)^2 \right], \quad (8)
\]

This condition is trivially satisfied for \( \alpha = 0 \) (the undamped oscillator), and we consider \( \alpha \neq 0 \) for the remainder of this work. In that case, equation (8) is generally satisfied by

\[
\tau = Ke^{\alpha t} - \tau_0, \quad (9)
\]

where \( K \) and \( \tau_0 \) are constants of integration. \( K \) must be non-zero for the transform to be defined, but \( \tau_0 \) corresponds only to a time translation of our system \( \tau \to \tau + \tau_0 \). Since this does not have any physical effect, we set \( \tau_0 = 0 \) for the remainder of this work.

Observe that equation (8) is independent of \( f_i(q,t) \), so it is possible to write a Lagrangian for any linearly damped system. However, we start by considering the undriven damped harmonic oscillator, for which the equation of motion in \( \tau \) is

\[
\alpha^2 \tau^2 \ddot{q} + \omega^2 q = 0. \quad (10)
\]

It is straightforward to show that (10) is the Euler-Lagrange equation corresponding to the Lagrangian

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \alpha^2 \tau^2 \dot{q}^2 - \omega^2 q^2 \right]. \quad (11)
\]

The canonical momentum \( p \) for \( \mathcal{L} \) is

\[
p = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}} = \alpha^2 \tau^2 \dot{q}, \quad (12)
\]

and thus the classical Hamiltonian \( H \) for our system is

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \tau^2} p^2 + \omega^2 q^2 \right]. \quad (13)
\]

It follows from equation (9) that \( \tau > 0 \) for \( t > -\infty \), so \( H \) is defined for all time and \( \alpha > 0 \). So the resulting classical Hamiltonian, equation (13) produces equation (1) as its equation of motion. To the best of our knowledge, equation (13) has not been found in the earlier literature on quantization of the damped harmonic oscillator. Nor has this procedure for deriving a Hamiltonian for a dissipative system been proposed in the earlier literature on quantization of dissipative systems.

**CANONICAL QUANTIZATION**

Canonical quantization is now carried out in the usual way by promoting \( q \) and \( p \) to their corresponding self-adjoint operators satisfying \( [q, p] = i\hbar \). We now define, in the Schrödinger picture, the annihilation operator

\[
\hat{a}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \left[ \sqrt{\omega \alpha \tau} \hat{q} + i \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega \alpha \tau}} \hat{p} \right], \quad (14)
\]
which satisfies \( \hat{a}(\tau), \hat{a}^\dagger(\tau) \) = 1 for all \( \tau \), where \( \hat{a}(\tau) \) is the corresponding creation operator. Our quantized Hamiltonian may thus be written

\[
\hat{H}(\tau) = \frac{\hbar \omega}{\alpha \tau} \left[ \hat{a}(\tau)\hat{a}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \right].
\]  

(15)

Using the ground state vector \( |0, \tau\rangle \) which satisfies \( \hat{a}(\tau)|0, \tau\rangle = 0 \) the Fock basis vectors are constructed from \( |n, \tau\rangle = (1/\sqrt{n!}) (\hat{a}(\tau))^{*n} |0, \tau\rangle \) for positive integer \( n \). The Fock basis vectors are eigenstates of the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(\tau)|n, \tau\rangle = E_n(\tau)|n, \tau\rangle \), where

\[
E_n(\tau) = \frac{\hbar \omega}{\alpha \tau} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]  

(16)

Thus, in the original time coordinate \( t \), the energy spectrum of our damped harmonic oscillator is

\[
E_n(t) = \frac{\hbar \omega}{\alpha K} e^{-\alpha t} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]  

(17)

As in Ref. [35], the energy eigenvalues constitute an equally spaced ladder, and decrease exponentially with time. Note that a choice of \( K = 1/\alpha \) ensures that \( E_n \) reproduces exactly the harmonic oscillator spectrum at \( t = 0 \).

The eigenvalues decrease as \( \exp(-\alpha t) \), i.e. at half the rate determined in Ref. [35], for example. This is also at a different rate from that expected of a corresponding classical oscillator.

**POSITION-SPACE ENERGY EIGENFUNCTIONS**

We define the Fock state \( |n, \tau\rangle \) in the position basis \( |x\rangle \)

\[
|n, \tau\rangle = \int dx \, \psi_n(x, \tau) |x\rangle,
\]  

(18)

where the \( \psi_n(x, \tau) \) are the position-space wavefunctions. Having defined the annihilation operator \( \hat{a}(\tau) \), following a standard approach Ref. [35] the eigenfunctions

\[
\psi_n(x, \tau) = \left( \frac{\omega \alpha \tau}{\pi \hbar} \right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\omega \alpha \tau}{\hbar}} x \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\omega \alpha \tau}{2 \hbar} x^2 \right),
\]  

(19)

are obtained, where \( H_n \) is the \( n \)th Hermite polynomial. Writing these wavefunctions in the original time coordinate then gives

\[
\psi_n(x, t) = \left( \frac{\omega \alpha K}{\pi \hbar} \right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\omega \alpha K}{\hbar}} \exp \left( \frac{\alpha t}{2} \right) x \right) \exp \left( \frac{\alpha t}{4} - \frac{\omega \alpha K}{2 \hbar} x^2 \exp (\alpha t) \right).
\]  

(20)

In Figure 1a we plot \( |\psi_n(x, t)|^2 \) for equation (20) at \( t = 0 \) for the \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) cases, which correspond to the initial position-space wavefunctions of the ground state and first excited state, for \( \omega = 1, \alpha = 0.005 \), and \( \hbar = 1 \). Let \( K = m/\alpha \) with an oscillator mass \( m = 1 \), so that initially (at \( t = 0 \)) the wavefunctions are the same as those obtained in Ref. [35], which we reproduce here for convenience

\[
\psi_n(x, t) = \left( \frac{\omega m}{\pi \hbar} \right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \left( \frac{\omega - i\alpha}{\omega + i\alpha} \right)^{n/4} H_n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\omega m}{\hbar}} \exp (\alpha t) x \right) \exp \left( \frac{\alpha t}{2} - \frac{\omega m}{2 \hbar} x^2 \exp (2\alpha t) \right).
\]  

(21)

In Figure 1b we plot the \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) wavefunctions at \( t = 250 \) for both equations (20) and (21) for the same choice of constants. As \( t \) increases, both equations (20) and (21) predict that \( |\psi_n(x, t)|^2 \) becomes localised around the origin \( x = 0 \), as the oscillator’s motion is damped towards zero. But Ref. [35] predicts the wavefunction
becomes significantly more localized over the same time interval compared to our result, which instead becomes localized at half the rate predicted by Ref. \cite{35}.

**SOLUTIONS TO THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION**

We now calculate the evolution of a state obeying the time dependent Hamiltonian equation \((15)\) in the Schrödinger picture. In particular, our time-dependent wavefunctions obey the eigenvalue equation

\[ \hat{H}(\tau)\psi_n(x,\tau) = E_n(\tau)\psi_n(x,\tau). \]  

(22)

As the energy levels of our Hamiltonian are nondegenerate, the general solutions \(\Psi(x,\tau)\) for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation is found in the standard way to give, in terms of \(\tau\),

\[ \Psi(x, \tau) = \sum_n c_n(\tau)\psi_n(x,\tau)e^{i\theta_n(\tau)}, \]  

(23)

where \(|c_n(\tau)|^2\) is the probability of being in the \(n\)th energy level at time \(\tau\),

\[ \theta_n(\tau) = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{\tau}^{T} E_n(\tau')d\tau', \]  

(24)

and

\[ \frac{dc_m}{d\tau} = -c_m \langle m,\tau|\frac{d}{d\tau}|m,\tau\rangle - \sum_{n \neq m} c_n \langle m,\tau|\frac{d}{d\tau}|n,\tau\rangle e^{i(\theta_n - \theta_m)}, \]  

(25)

where \(|m,\tau\rangle\) are the Fock basis states defined before. The integral in equation \((24)\) is integrated from \(K\), corresponding to \(\tau(t = 0)\). Then for the Hamiltonian given by equation \((13)\), equation \((25)\) becomes

\[ \frac{dc_m}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{4\tau} \left[ c_{m-2}\sqrt{m(m-1)}\left(\frac{\tau}{K}\right)^{i2\omega/\alpha} + c_{m+2}\sqrt{(m+2)(m+1)}\left(\frac{\tau}{K}\right)^{-i2\omega/\alpha}\right]. \]  

(26)

Transforming back to the original time coordinate \(t\), then gives

\[ \frac{dc_m}{dt} = \frac{\alpha}{4} \left[ c_{m-2}\sqrt{m(m-1)}e^{i2\omega t} + c_{m+2}\sqrt{(m+2)(m+1)}e^{-i2\omega t}\right], \]  

(27)

for the time rate of change of the coefficients. This is analogous to equation \((16)\) in Ref. \cite{35}. Equation \((27)\) may be solved as described in \cite{35}, and the references therein, for initial condition \(c_m(0) = \delta_{m,n}\), where \(|n,0\rangle\) is the initial state of our system. This is achieved by solving

\[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = -\left[ \frac{\alpha}{4} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} - q^2 \right) + i\omega q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right] G, \]  

(28)

for \(G(q,t) \equiv \sum_j q^j e^{-ij\omega t/2}c_j(t)/\sqrt{j!}\) with initial conditions \(G(q,0) = q^0/\sqrt{n!}\) and \(G(0,t) = c_0(t)\). The \(c_m(\tau)\) can then be used to find the probability of the system being found in any eigenstate, after initially being in the \(n\)th energy level. We consider here the cases \(n = 0\) and \(n = 2\) in particular. Observe that equation \((27)\) only couples modes of the same parity, so it is sufficient to consider only the even-order modes.

**Case \(n = 0\)**

In the case \(n = 0\), where the system in initially in the ground state, \(c_m(t)\) may be written

\[ c_m(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{(m-1)!}{\sqrt{m!(\sinh(\xi\alpha t/2))^{m/2}}} & \text{for } m = 2k, \\ 0 & \text{for } m = 2k+1, \end{cases} \]  

(29)

where \(\sum_m |c_m(t)|^2 = 1\) and \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\) and

\[ \xi = \sqrt{1 - 4\omega^2/\alpha^2}, \]  

(30a)

\[ e^{i\xi} = e^{\pm i\omega t/\alpha}. \]  

(30b)

Critical damping occurs when \(\xi = 0\) which, from equation \((30)\), gives the condition for critical damping as \(\alpha = 2\omega\). This differs both from the classical result \((\alpha = \omega)\) and the result of Ref. \cite{35} \((\alpha = \omega(\sqrt{5} - 1)/2)\). Interestingly, the quantum system damps at half the rate than the corresponding classical system. To the best of our knowledge, this result has not previously been found in the literature.

In Figure \(2\) we show \(|c_m(t)|^2\) with \(m = 0, 2, 4, 6\) for (a) the underdamped case \((\alpha < 2\omega)\), (b) the case of critical damping \((\alpha = 2\omega)\), and (c) the overdamped case \((\alpha > 2\omega)\) with \(\alpha = 1\) and \(\alpha\) defined accordingly.

In case a), \(\xi\) is imaginary and \(c_m(t)\) is oscillatory. In Figure \(2\), we show the underdamped case, with \(\alpha = \omega = 1\). The transition probabilities oscillate with period \(2\pi/|\alpha\xi| = 2\pi/\sqrt{4\omega^2 - \alpha^2}\). Note that since \(\alpha = \omega\) corresponds to the regime of classical critical damping it can be seen that the quantum damped harmonic oscillator evolves differently to the classical damped system in this regime.
FIG. 2. For an initial state of $|0, 0\rangle$, we plot $|c_m(t)|^2$ for $m = 0, 2, 4, 6$ in the underdamped case (Figure 2a), the critically damped case (Figure 2b), and the overdamped case (Figure 2c).

In case b), $\xi = 0$. Expanding about $\xi = 0$ then obtains

$$c_m(t) = \frac{(m-1)!}{\sqrt{m!}} e^{i(m+1/2)\omega t} \frac{\omega t^{n/2}}{(1 + i\omega t)^{(n+1)/2}}. \quad (31)$$

In Figure 2a we show this critically damped case, with $\alpha = 2\omega = 2$. The transition probability $|c_m(t)|^2$ decreases monotonically for the ground state $m = 0$, whilst for $m \geq 2$ it increases to a local maximum before also decreasing monotonically.

In Figure 2c we show the overdamped case $\alpha = 3 > 2\omega$. Now $\xi \neq 0$ and has only real components, so we observe similar behaviour to the case of critical damping except for the expected faster rate of decay.

**Case $n = 2$**

When the initial state is the second excited state of the system, $n = 2$, the transition amplitudes are

$$c_m(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{(m-1)!}{\sqrt{2m!}}\frac{\sqrt{\xi}e^{i(m+1/2)\omega t}}{(\sinh(\xi\omega t/2))^{m/2}} \times \frac{\cos\left(\frac{m\omega t}{\sinh(\xi\omega t/2)}\right)}{(\cosh(\xi\omega t/2))^{(m+1)/2}} \times \left(\frac{m\xi}{\sinh(\xi\omega t/2)} - \sinh\left(\frac{\xi\omega t}{2}\right)\right) \quad \text{for } m = 2k, \\ 0, \text{ for } m = 2k + 1, \end{array} \right. \quad (32)$$

which again satisfies the normalization condition $\sum_m |c_m(t)|^2 = 1$, with the parameters $\xi$ and $\zeta$ defined as before.

Figure 3 shows $|c_m(t)|^2$ with $m = 0, 2, 4, 6$ for two different instances of underdamping. Figure 3a shows $|c_m(t)|^2$ for $\alpha = \omega = 1$. Figure 3b shows $|c_m(t)|^2$ for $\alpha = 1.5 \neq \omega = 1$. As before, we observe oscillations in the transition probabilities with period $2\pi/|\alpha\xi| = 2\pi/\sqrt{4\omega^2 - \alpha^2}$. However, in the case $\omega \neq \alpha$, we observe “beating” between $\alpha$ and $\omega$ in the modes $m > n$. This beating is not present in the $n = 0$ case considered before, and the beating vanishes at the classical damping point $\omega = \alpha$. This new phenomenon is possible because the critical damping condition for the quantum system is associated with an $\alpha$ which is greater than the critical damping condition for a classical system. It does not occur, for example, in the model in Ref. [35] and is unique to our approach. Note that the intersection between the $|c_m(t)|^2$ present in Figure 3b is a consequence of stronger damping when compared to that in Figure 3a; it is not present for $\alpha < \omega$ (although the beating remains).

In Figure 4 we show the critically damped and overdamped cases. As before, in the critically damped case $\xi = 0$, so following Ref. [35] we expand around
FIG. 3. For an initial state of $|2, 0\rangle$, we plot $|c_m(t)|^2$ for $m = 0, 2, 4, 6$ in the underdamped case for $\alpha = \omega$ in Figure 3a and $\alpha \neq \omega$ in Figure 3b.

In Figure 3b we show the critically damped case with $\alpha = 2\omega = 2$. In this case it is the initially occupied $m = 2$ mode which decreases monotonically, whilst the $m = 0$ mode increases before decreasing.

In Figure 4a we show the critically damped case with $\alpha = 3 > 2\omega$. As before, we observe qualitatively similar behaviour to the critical damping case, except for the expected greater rate of decay.

EXAMPLES OF DRIVEN DAMPED HARMONIC OSCILLATORS

As foreshadowed in the introduction this quantization of the damped harmonic oscillator, if experimentally verified, has many potential applications. In what follows the quantization procedure is applied to two of the simplest superconducting qubits, the phase qubit and flux qubit, and provides an example of the application of the quantization procedure in the case of a driven damped harmonic oscillator. The coordinate of interest here is $\delta$, the phase of the current through the Josephson junction, and as is well known, the equations of motion for these qubits in terms of this dynamical variable are determined through the use of the RCSJ model and Kirchhoff’s circuit laws and may be written

\[ \frac{\hbar C}{2e} \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\hbar}{2eR} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + (I_0 \sin \delta - I) = 0, \]  
\[ \frac{\hbar C}{2e} \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\hbar}{2eR} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} + I_0 \sin \delta + \frac{\hbar}{2eL} (\delta - \delta_X) = 0. \]
Here, $C$, $R$ and $I_0$ are the capacitance, resistance and critical current of the circuit respectively. $I$ is the current bias in the phase qubit, and $L$ and $\delta_X$ respectively the inductance and a dimensionless parameter for the flux through the flux qubit. Neither equation (34a) nor (34b) satisfy the second Helmholtz condition (equation (6b)). Thus, in both cases, we introduce the dimensionless time coordinate
\[
\tau = K \exp \left( \frac{1}{2CR} t \right),
\]
where $K$ is a constant of integration [37], obtaining
\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\tau}{2CR} \right)^2 \frac{d^2 \delta}{d\tau^2} &+ \frac{\tau}{2CR^2} \frac{d\delta}{d\tau} \\
&+ \frac{2\pi}{2CR} (I_0 \sin \delta - I) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\tau}{2CR} \right)^2 \frac{d^2 \pi}{d\tau^2} &+ \frac{\tau}{2CR^2} \frac{d\pi}{d\tau} \\
&+ \frac{2\pi}{2CR} \sin \delta + \frac{1}{LC} (\delta - \delta_X) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]
for the phase and flux qubits respectively. We can define the same canonical momentum $\pi$ for each case
\[
\pi = \left( \frac{\tau}{2CR} \right)^2 \delta,
\]
and obtain Hamiltonians for each system. These are $H_P$ and $H_F$
\[
H_P = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{2CR}{\tau} \right)^2 \pi^2 - \frac{4\pi}{hC} (I_0 \cos \delta + I\delta) \right],
\]
\[
H_F = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{2CR}{\tau} \right)^2 \pi^2 - \frac{4\pi}{hC} I_0 \cos \delta \\
+ \frac{1}{LC} (\delta^2 - 2\delta_X \delta) \right],
\]
for the phase and flux qubit respectively. Quantization is now achieved through the standard method of promoting $\delta$ and $\pi$ to their corresponding self-adjoint operators satisfying the commutator $[\hat{\delta}, \hat{\pi}] = i\hbar$. In the regime where $\delta$ is small, we use the series expansion
\[
\cos \delta \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta^2,
\]
to write
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_P &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha_P^2 \tau^2} \hat{\pi}^2 + \Omega_P^2 \left( \hat{\delta}^2 - 2 \frac{I}{I_0} \hat{\delta} - 2 \right) \right], \\
\hat{H}_F &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha_F^2 \tau^2} \hat{\pi}^2 + \Omega_F^2 \right. \\
&\left. \times \left( \hat{\delta}^2 - \frac{2\delta_X}{LC\Omega_F^2} \hat{\delta} - 2 \frac{\Omega_F^2}{\Omega_F^2} \right) \right],
\end{align*}
\]
where we have defined
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_P &= \alpha_F = \frac{1}{2CR}, \\
\Omega_P &= \sqrt{\frac{2eI_0}{hC}}, \\
\Omega_F &= \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_P^2 + \frac{1}{LC}}{\Omega_F^2}},
\end{align*}
\]
The translated operators
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\delta}_P &= \hat{\delta} - \frac{I}{I_0}, \\
\hat{\delta}_F &= \hat{\delta} - \frac{\delta_X}{LC\Omega_F^2},
\end{align*}
\]
obey the commutation relations $[\hat{\delta}_P, \hat{\pi}] = [\hat{\delta}_F, \hat{\pi}] = i\hbar$. In terms of these new canonically conjugate pairs, we obtain the Hamiltonians
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_P &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha_P^2 \tau^2} \hat{\pi}^2 + \Omega_P^2 \hat{\delta}_P^2 \right] - \left( \Omega_P^2 + \left( \frac{I}{I_0} \right)^2 \right), \\
\hat{H}_F &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \tau^2} \hat{\pi}^2 + \Omega_F^2 \hat{\delta}_F^2 \right] \\
&- \left( \Omega_F^2 + \left( \frac{\delta_X}{LC\Omega_F^2} \right)^2 \right).
\end{align*}
\]
From our earlier results, the energy spectrums $E^{(P)}_n(t)$ and $E^{(F)}_n(t)$ for each of the qubits are
\[
\begin{align*}
E^{(P)}_n(t) &= \frac{\hbar \Omega_P}{\alpha_P K} e^{-\alpha_P t} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&- \left( \Omega_P^2 + \left( \frac{I}{I_0} \right)^2 \right), \\
E^{(F)}_n(t) &= \frac{\hbar \Omega_F}{\alpha_F K} e^{-\alpha_F t} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&- \left( \Omega_F^2 + \left( \frac{\delta_X}{LC\Omega_F^2} \right)^2 \right).
\end{align*}
\]
The associated conditions for critical damping are
\[
\begin{align*}
R &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{32eI_0C}}, \\
R &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{32eI_0LC + 16\hbar}},
\end{align*}
\]
for the phase and flux qubit respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed a new approach to the quantization of driven and undriven linearly damped harmonic oscillators via a change of time coordinate to produce
classical equations of motion that satisfy the Helmholtz conditions. The resulting quantum model is qualitatively similar in some respects to that of [35] for example, since it predicts an equally spaced ladder of decaying energy eigenvalues, but the results of this quantization predict a slower rate of decay than either the classical oscillator or that of other quantization procedures. The critical damping parameter, $\alpha = 2\omega$, is similarly different to both the classical result and that of reference [35].

It has been shown that a system which is initially in a single eigenstate transitions between eigenstates of the same parity. Additionally, for systems initially in the second excited state the quantization predicts different eigenvalues, but the results of this quantization predict it predicts an equally spaced ladder of decaying energy eigenvalues and the critical damping points have been found for the cases of the simplest phase and flux qubits.

Importantly, this new quantization method for the damped harmonic oscillator requires only a single classical dynamical variable and is therefore easily generalised. In particular, this approach should also be applicable to systems with time-dependent damping $\alpha(t)$, in which case the constraint equation for $\tau$ becomes

$$2\alpha(t) \frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[ \left( \frac{d\tau}{dt} \right)^2 \right], \quad (46)$$

which will admit different solutions depending upon the functional form of $\alpha$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Murray Batchelor for fruitful discussions in the preparation of this manuscript.

[37] As justified earlier, here we set $\tau_0 = 0$. 