

WEYL TRANSFORM OF VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS AND VECTOR MEASURES ON THE PHASE SPACE ASSOCIATED TO A LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUP

RITIKA SINGHAL AND N. SHRAVAN KUMAR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce and study the Weyl transform of functions which are integrable with respect to a vector measure on a phase space associated to a locally compact abelian group. We also study the Weyl transform of vector measures. Later, we also introduce and study the convolution of functions from L^p -spaces associated to a vector measure. We also study the Weyl transform of vector-valued functions and prove a vector-valued analogue of the Hausdorff-Young inequality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Study of vector-valued functions has been an active area of research for the past few decades as they provide new ideas in understanding various problems related to partial differential equations and stochastic processes. As a result, several researchers have extended the classical results to the vector-valued setting - Hausdorff-Young inequality [5, 17, 18, 26, 24, 22], Hardy's inequality [3], singular integrals [6, 27], Fourier multipliers [19, 33, 31] and partial differential equations [1, 36].

The notion of Weyl transform was first envisaged by Hermann Weyl in his book on *the theory of groups and quantum mechanics* [37]. This notion was further studied by a large number of researchers from both Mathematics and Physics. The Weyl transform is seen to have properties similar to the Fourier transform in most of the cases. But for a long time, the Weyl transform was studied only for the scalar-valued functions on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . For more on Weyl transform, refer [14, 38]. In [32], Radha and N. Kumar extended the notion of Weyl transform to a locally compact abelian group setting. In this paper, we study the Weyl transform of vector-valued functions and provide an analogue of the Hausdorff-Young inequality. This is the main aim of Section 3.

The notion of Fourier type with respect to a locally compact abelian group was introduced by J. Peetre [26] for \mathbb{R} and by Milman [24] for general locally compact abelian groups. This notion was extended to compact groups by García-Cuerva and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42A38, 43A15, 43A25, 46G10; Secondary 43A30, 47L25.

Key words and phrases. Weyl transform, Weyl type, Weyl cotype, completely bounded maps, vector measures, twisted convolution.

Parcet [18] and by Hun Hee Lee [22] for general locally compact unimodular groups. Following the ideas of the above mentioned articles, we introduce and study the Weyl type and cotype of operators in the framework of operator spaces.

On the other hand, in the past few years, the well known results of classical abelian harmonic analysis are being extended to the setup of vector measures. In [7], the author studied the Fourier transform and a vector-valued convolution for (weakly) ν -integrable functions. Blasco in [4] analyzed the Fourier transform of vector measures ν as well as the convolution between scalar and vector-valued regular measures for a compact abelian group. Very recently, M. Kumar and N. Kumar in [23] studied the analogue of the classical Young's inequality and other properties on a compact group.

The main aim of Section 5 of this paper is to study the Weyl transform and related properties with respect to a vector measure. Here, we define and study the Weyl transform of functions which are integrable (weakly) with respect to a vector measure. We also study the Weyl transform of vector-valued measures in the same section. We would like to mention here that this is the first instance where a study of vector measures, from the point of view of harmonic analysis, has been carried out on non-compact groups.

In order to study the vector analogue of various results, operator space techniques have become an indispensable tool. To make the paper self complete, we provide some of the basics related to operator spaces, as part of the preliminaries, that are needed for the understanding of this paper.

Finally, in Section 6, we define the concept of twisted convolution of functions with respect to vector measures. Two different kinds of convolution are defined and are also shown to be equivalent.

One of the classical results of abelian harmonic analysis is the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. It is well-known that there are several extensions of this result to various contexts. In Section 3, Lemma 3.3, we prove an analogue of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma for vector-valued functions. In Section 5, Example 5.7, we show the failure of an analogue of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma for functions which are integrable w.r.t. a vector measure.

Yet another classical result in abelian harmonic analysis is the Young's inequality. It states that, for $1 \leq p, q, r < \infty$, if $f \in L^p(G), g \in L^q(G)$, then $f * g \in L^r(G)$ where $\frac{1}{r} + 1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$. A vector-valued analogue of this inequality has been proved, under some assumptions, in Section 6, Theorem 6.13.

We shall begin with some preliminaries on Weyl transform and operator spaces that are required in the sequel.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Weyl transform and twisted convolution. Let G be a locally compact abelian group with \widehat{G} as its dual group. It is well known that G always possesses an invariant measure, called the Haar measure. Further, this measure is unique upto a scalar. We shall denote by $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$, the Haar measure on the product group $G \times \widehat{G}$. As usual, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we shall denote by $L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$, the usual classical L^p -spaces on $G \times \widehat{G}$ w.r.t. the measure $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$.

The *Heisenberg group* associated with G , denoted $\mathbb{H}(G)$, is the set $G \times \widehat{G} \times \mathbb{T}$ equipped with the group multiplication given by

$$(x, \chi, \theta)(x', \chi', \theta') = (xx', \chi\chi', \theta\theta'\chi'(x)).$$

For more on this group see [13, 32]. The *Schrödinger representation* of $\mathbb{H}(G)$ on $L^2(G)$, denoted ρ_G , is defined as

$$\rho_G((x, \chi, \theta))(\varphi)(y) = \theta\chi(y)\varphi(xy), \varphi \in L^2(G).$$

This representation can also be seen as a map from $G \times \widehat{G}$ to $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ given by

$$\rho_G((x, \chi)) = \rho_G((x, \chi, 1)).$$

The *Weyl transform*, denoted W_G , is defined as a $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ -valued integral on $C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$ given by

$$W_G(f)\varphi(y) = \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(x, \chi)\rho_G((x, \chi))(\varphi)(y)dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi), \quad f \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G}).$$

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The p^{th} -*Schatten-von Neumann class*, denoted $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$, consists of all compact operators, $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which admit an orthonormal representation

$$T = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n \langle \cdot, e_n \rangle f_n,$$

where $\{e_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ are orthonormal sequences in \mathcal{H} with $\{a_n\} \in \ell^p$. It can be easily observed that the above representation is independent of the choice of the orthonormal sequence. Also, for a given $T \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$, the quantity $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|^p$ does not depend on the specific representation. For $T \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$, define

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})} := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ with the above norm becomes a Banach space. We shall denote by $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ the space of all compact operators on \mathcal{H} . By Riesz-Thorin theorem on complex interpolation, for $0 < \theta < 1$, we have, $[\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})]_\theta \cong \mathcal{B}_{1/\theta}(\mathcal{H})$.

For $f, g \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$, the *twisted convolution*, denoted $f \times g$, is defined as

$$f \times g(x, \chi) := \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1}) g(x', \chi') \overline{\chi'(x)} \chi'(x') dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x', \chi').$$

Here are some integrability properties of the twisted convolution. See [32].

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$.*

- i) *Then $f \times g \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\|f \times g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$.*
- ii) *Also $g \times f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\|g \times f\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$.*
- iii) *When $p = \infty$, both $f \times g$ and $g \times f$ are continuous.*

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L^q(G \times \widehat{G})$.*

- i) *For $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \times g \in C_0(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\|f \times g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$.*
- ii) *If $p = q = 2$, then $f \times g \in L^2(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\|f \times g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$.*
- iii) *If $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + 1$, then $f \times g \in L^r(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\|f \times g\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$.*

As a consequence of the definition of the twisted convolution and the above integrability properties, we have the following.

Theorem 2.3. [32]

- i) *For $p \in \{1, 2\}$, the space $L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ is a Banach algebra with pointwise addition and twisted convolution as addition and multiplication respectively.*
- ii) *(Plancherel Theorem) The Weyl transform is an isometric Banach algebra isomorphism between $L^2(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\mathcal{B}_2(L^2(G))$.*
- iii) *The Weyl transform is a bounded operator and a Banach algebra homomorphism from $L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ to $\mathcal{B}_\infty(L^2(G))$.*
- iv) *(Hausdorff-Young inequality) If $1 < p < 2$, then the Weyl transform maps $L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ into $\mathcal{B}_q(L^2(G))$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.*

For $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we shall denote by $W_{G,p}$, the Weyl transform map with $L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ as its domain.

For more on Weyl transform and twisted convolution, see [32].

Throughout this paper, G will denote a locally compact abelian group with \widehat{G} as the dual group. Further, $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$ will denote the Haar measure on the product group $G \times \widehat{G}$.

2.2. Operator spaces. In order to deal with operators taking operators as values, it has been a folklore to use operator spaces. In this subsection, for the sake of completeness, we explain certain definitions and terms related to operator spaces that will be used in this paper.

If X is a complex linear space, let $M_n(X)$ denote the space of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries from X . An *abstract operator space* is a complex vector space X along with an assignment of norm on the matrix spaces $\{M_n(X)\}$ such that for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (1) $\|x \oplus y\|_{m+n} = \max\{\|x\|_n, \|y\|_m\}$ for all $x \in M_n(X)$ and $y \in M_m(X)$ and
- (2) $\|\alpha x \beta\|_m \leq \|\alpha\| \|x\|_n \|\beta\|$ for all $\alpha \in M_{m,n}$, $x \in M_n(X)$ and $\beta \in M_{n,m}$.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, the space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y . Also, $\mathcal{B}(X)$ will denote the bounded linear operators from X to itself. A *concrete operator space* is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . It is clear that every concrete operator space is an abstract operator space with the natural identification of $M_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ with $\mathcal{B}(\oplus_i^n \mathcal{H})$. A celebrated result of Ruan says that the converse is also true. The main advantage of this abstract characterization is that many of the known constructions can be used to produce examples for operator spaces. For example, the dual of an operator space is again an operator space.

If X and Y are operator spaces and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear transformation, then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n^{th} - amplification of T , denoted $T^{(n)}$, is a linear map from $M_n(X)$ to $M_n(Y)$ given by $T^{(n)}([x_{ij}]) = [T(x_{ij})]$. The map T is *completely bounded* if

$$\|T\|_{cb} := \sup\{\|T^{(n)}\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty.$$

We shall denote by $\mathcal{CB}(X)$, the space of all completely bounded maps from X to \mathbb{C} , equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{cb}$. Also, T is a *complete isometry* if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T^{(n)}$ is an isometry. Similarly, T is a *complete contraction* if each $T^{(n)}$ is a contraction.

Given two operator spaces, $X \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $Y \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, the *minimal tensor product* of X and Y , denoted $X \otimes_{\min} Y$, is the completion of the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes Y$ inside $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_2 \mathcal{K})$. Here \otimes_2 denotes the Hilbert space tensor product. This tensor product is the operator space analogue of the injective tensor product for Banach spaces.

Similarly, there is an operator space analogue of the Banach space projective tensor product. Let X and Y be operator spaces and let $u \in M_n(X \otimes Y)$. Define

$$\|u\|_{\wedge} := \inf \left\{ \|\alpha\| \|x\| \|y\| \|\beta\| : \begin{array}{l} u = \alpha(x \otimes y)\beta \text{ with } \alpha \in M_{n,p \times q}, \\ \beta \in M_{p \times q, n}, x \in M_p(X) \text{ and } y \in M_q(Y) \end{array} \right\}.$$

The operator space *projective tensor product* of X and Y , denoted $X \hat{\otimes} Y$, is defined as the completion of the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes Y$ w.r.t. the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge}$. It is worth mentioning here that $(X \otimes Y, \|\cdot\|_{\wedge})$ embeds completely contractively into $X \otimes_{\min} Y$ and hence the inclusion $X \hat{\otimes} Y \hookrightarrow X \otimes_{\min} Y$ holds completely contractively.

Let $\{X_0, X_1\}$ be a compatible couple of Banach spaces in the sense of complex interpolation. Suppose that X_0 and X_1 are also operator spaces. If X_θ , $0 < \theta < 1$, denotes the interpolation space $[X_0, X_1]_\theta$, then, by [30, Pg. 53], X_θ is also an operator space.

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let X be an operator space. We shall denote by $\mathcal{B}_1[\mathcal{H}; X]$ and $\mathcal{B}_\infty[\mathcal{H}; X]$ the spaces $\mathcal{B}_1 \hat{\otimes} X$ and $\mathcal{B}_\infty \otimes_{\min} X$, respectively. For

$1 < p < \infty$, the space $\mathcal{B}_p[\mathcal{H}; X]$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_p[\mathcal{H}; X] := [\mathcal{B}_1[\mathcal{H}; X], \mathcal{B}_\infty[\mathcal{H}; X]]_{1/p}.$$

We shall denote by $S_p^n(X)$ the space $\mathcal{B}_p[\ell^2(n); X]$. The following theorem summarizes the properties of these interpolated spaces.

Theorem 2.4. [29, Chapter 1]

- i) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If X and Y are operator spaces, then the cb-norm of a completely bounded map $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is equal to $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|I_{M_n} \otimes T\|_{\mathcal{B}(S_p^n(X), S_p^n(Y))}$.
- ii) (Duality) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let X be an operator space. Then the dual of $\mathcal{B}_p[\mathcal{H}; X]$ is completely isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_{p'}[\mathcal{H}; X^*]$, where p' is the conjugate exponent of p .
- iii) (Fubini type theorem) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let X be an operator space. Let $n \geq 1$. Then,

$$S_p^n \left(L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, X) \right) \cong L^p \left(G \times \widehat{G}, S_p^n(X) \right)$$

completely isometrically. Also,

$$S_p^n (\mathcal{B}_p[\mathcal{H}; X]) \cong \mathcal{B}_p[\mathcal{H}; S_p^n(X)]$$

completely isometrically.

- iv) (Interpolation) If $0 < \theta < 1$, $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$, then we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{p_\theta}[\mathcal{H}; X] = (\mathcal{B}_{p_0}[\mathcal{H}; X], \mathcal{B}_{p_1}[\mathcal{H}; X])_\theta$$

completely isometrically.

For more on operator spaces, see [12, 30].

3. WEYL TRANSFORM OF VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

In this section, we study the vector-valued analogue of the Hausdorff-Young inequality for the Weyl transform associated to a locally compact abelian group. In order to establish this result, we introduce the concepts of Weyl type and Weyl cotype. Throughout this section $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and p' will be the conjugate exponent of p .

3.1. Weyl type w.r.t a locally compact abelian group. We shall begin by introducing the concept of Weyl type.

Definition 3.1. We say that a linear map $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is of G -Weyl type p if $W_{G,p} \otimes T : L^p(G \times \widehat{G}) \otimes X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p'}(L^2(G)) \otimes Y$ extends to a completely bounded map, still denoted $W_{G,p} \otimes T$, from $L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ into $\mathcal{B}_{p'}[L^2(G); Y]$.

Our first result shows that if an operator has Weyl type for some p then it is completely bounded.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map. If T has G -Weyl type p , then T is completely bounded and*

$$\|T\|_{cb} \leq \|W_{G,p}\|^{-1} \|W_{G,p} \otimes T\|_{cb}.$$

Proof. Suppose that T has G -Weyl type p , i.e., the map $W_{G,p} \otimes T : L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p'}[L^2(G); Y]$ is completely bounded. This in turn, by i) of Theorem 2.4, is equivalent to saying that

$$I_{S_{p'}^n} \otimes (W_{G,p} \otimes T) : S_{p'}^n(L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)) \rightarrow S_{p'}^n(\mathcal{B}_{p'}[L^2(G); Y])$$

is uniformly bounded (in n). Note that, by iii) of Theorem 2.4, $S_{p'}^n(\mathcal{B}_{p'}[L^2(G); Y])$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_{p'}[L^2(G); S_{p'}^n(Y)]$. Further, if $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$, then note that $f \otimes [x_{ij}]$ is mapped to $W_{G,p}(f) \otimes [T(x_{ij})]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{G,p}(f)\|_{B_{p'}(L^2(G))} \| [T(x_{ij})] \|_{S_{p'}^n(Y)} &= \|W_{G,p}(f) \otimes [T(x_{ij})]\|_{B_{p'}[L^2(G); S_{p'}^n(Y)]} \\ &= \| (W_{G,p} \otimes T^{(n)})(f \otimes [x_{ij}]) \|_{B_{p'}[L^2(G); S_{p'}^n(Y)]} \\ &\leq \|W_{G,p} \otimes T^{(n)}\| \|f \otimes [x_{ij}]\|_{S_{p'}^n(L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, X))} \\ &\leq \|W_{G,p} \otimes T\|_{cb} \|f \otimes [x_{ij}]\|_{L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, S_{p'}^n(X))} \\ &= \|W_{G,p} \otimes T\|_{cb} \|f\|_{L^p(G \times \widehat{G})} \| [x_{ij}] \|_{S_{p'}^n(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ is arbitrary, we are done. \square

The following lemma shows that the converse of the above lemma is true for the case $p = 1$. This is the vector-valued version of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma for the Weyl transform.

Lemma 3.3. *If $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a completely bounded linear map, then T has G -Weyl type 1. Moreover,*

$$\|W_{G,1} \otimes T\|_{cb} = \|T\|_{cb}.$$

Proof. Suppose that T is completely bounded. Without loss of generality we can assume that T is completely contractive. By Theorem 2.3, the Weyl transform map $W_{G,1} : L^1(G \times \widehat{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\infty(L^2(G))$ is bounded. In fact, it is contractive. We shall equip $L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ with the natural maximal operator space structure, so that, by [12, Pg. 49], $W_{G,1}$ is completely bounded. Thus, by [12, Corollary 7.1.3], the map $W_{G,1} \otimes T : L^1(G \times \widehat{G}) \otimes X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\infty(L^2(G)) \otimes Y$ extends to a complete contraction from $L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\infty(L^2(G)) \widehat{\otimes} Y$. On the other hand, by [12, Pg. 142], the space $\mathcal{B}_\infty(L^2(G)) \widehat{\otimes} Y$ sits inside $\mathcal{B}_\infty[L^2(G); Y]$ completely contractively. Putting all these together we have the desired result. \square

We say that an operator space X has G -Weyl type p if the identity operator on X has G -Weyl type p . The next few lemmas give some examples for operator spaces with G -Weyl type p .

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Then the space $L^p(\Omega)$ has G -Weyl type p . Similarly, if \mathcal{H} is any Hilbert space, then $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ has G -Weyl type p .*

Proof. For the case of $p = 2$, this is a consequence of ii) of Theorem 2.3 and [28, Theorem 1.1]. The general case then follows from complex interpolation. \square

Again, by using complex interpolation, we can obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $1 \leq p < q \leq 2$. If X has G -Weyl type q then X has G -Weyl type p .*

The following lemma is about the relation between Weyl type w.r.t. product of abelian groups and the Weyl type w.r.t. the individual groups.

Lemma 3.6. *Let G and H be locally compact abelian groups. An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ has $(G \times H)$ -Weyl type p if and only if $W_{H,p} \otimes T$ has G -Weyl type p .*

Proof. The proof of this is a consequence of the fact that $W_{G \times H}$ coincides with $W_G \otimes W_H$. \square

Again, by the same reasoning given above and by Lemma 3.2, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Let G and H be locally compact abelian groups, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ and $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ be completely bounded linear operators between operator spaces such that T has G -Weyl type p and S has H -Weyl type p . Then*

- i) $\|W_{G,p} \otimes (S \circ T)\|_{cb} \leq \|T\|_{cb} \|W_{G,p} \otimes S\|_{cb}$.
- ii) $\|W_{H,p} \otimes T\|_{cb} \leq \|W_{G,p}\|^{-1} \|W_{G \times H,p} \otimes T\|_{cb}$.
- iii) $\|W_{G \times H,p} \otimes (S \circ T)\|_{cb} \leq \|W_{G,p} \otimes T\|_{cb} \|W_{H,p} \otimes S\|_{cb}$.

3.2. Weyl cotype w.r.t. a locally compact abelian group. We now introduce the concept of Weyl cotype.

Definition 3.8. *We say that a linear map $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is of G -Weyl cotype p' if $W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes T : \mathcal{B}_p(L^2(G)) \otimes X \rightarrow L^{p'}(G \times \widehat{G}) \otimes Y$ extends to a completely bounded map from $\mathcal{B}_p[L^2(G); X]$ into $L^{p'}(G \times \widehat{G}, Y)$.*

The following is an analogue of Lemma 3.2 for the case of Weyl cotype.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear map and has G -Weyl cotype p' . Then T is completely bounded and*

$$\|T\|_{cb} \leq \|W_{G,p}^{-1}\|^{-1} \|W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes T\|_{cb}.$$

Proof. Since the proof of this is same as Lemma 3.2 we omit the proof. \square

The following lemma is the converse of the above result when $p' = \infty$.

Lemma 3.10. *If $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a completely bounded linear map, then T has G -Weyl cotype ∞ . Moreover,*

$$\|W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes T\|_{cb} = \|T\|_{cb}.$$

Proof. As the proof of this is same as the proof of Lemma 3.3, we omit the proof. \square

We say that an operator space X has G -Weyl cotype p' if the identity operator on X has G -Weyl cotype p' . The next few lemmas give some examples for operator spaces with G -Weyl cotype p' . These are the analogues of Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, respectively. As the proofs are similar, we omit them.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Then the space $L^p(\Omega)$ has G -Weyl cotype p' . Similarly, if \mathcal{H} is any Hilbert space, then $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ has G -Weyl cotype p' .*

Lemma 3.12. *Let $1 \leq p < q \leq 2$. If G -Weyl cotype q' then X has G -Weyl cotype p' .*

Lemma 3.13. *Let G and H be locally compact abelian groups. An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ has $(G \times H)$ -Weyl cotype p' if and only if $W_{H,p}^{-1} \otimes T$ has G -Weyl cotype p' .*

Lemma 3.14. *Let G and H be locally compact abelian groups, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ and $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ be completely bounded linear operators between operator spaces such that T has G -Weyl cotype p' and S has H -Weyl cotype p' . Then*

- i) $\|W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes (S \circ T)\|_{cb} \leq \|T\|_{cb} \|W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes S\|_{cb}$.
- ii) $\|W_{H,p}^{-1} \otimes T\|_{cb} \leq \|W_{G,p}^{-1}\|^{-1} \|W_{G \times H,p}^{-1} \otimes T\|_{cb}$.
- iii) $\|W_{G \times H,p}^{-1} \otimes (S \circ T)\|_{cb} \leq \|W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes T\|_{cb} \|W_{H,p}^{-1} \otimes S\|_{cb}$.

Here is the main result of this section. This is the vector-valued version of the Hausdorff-Young inequality for the Weyl transform.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map and let T^* denote its Banach space adjoint.*

- i) *The map T has G -Weyl type p if and only if T^* has G -Weyl cotype p' .*
- ii) *The map T has G -Weyl cotype p' if and only if T^* has G -Weyl type p .*

Proof. Since the proof of ii) is similar to the proof of i), we omit its proof. Again, it is plain that in order to prove i), it enough to prove only one side as the proof of the other side follows similar lines. Thus, we shall now prove the only if part of i).

Let $[S_{ij}] \in S_{p'}^n(\mathcal{B}_p[L^2(G); Y^*])$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By ii) of Theorem 2.4, there exists $[f_{ij}] \in S_p^n(L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, X))$ such that $\|[f_{ij}]\|_{S_p^n(L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, X))} = 1$ and

$$\|(W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes T^*)([S_{ij}])\|_{S_{p'}^n(L^{p'}(G \times \widehat{G}, X^*))} \leq (1 + \epsilon) |\langle [f_{ij}], (W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes T^*)([S_{ij}]) \rangle|.$$

Note that $(W_{G,p} \otimes T)^* = W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes T^*$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes T^*) ([S_{ij}]) \|_{S_p^n(L^{p'}(G \times \widehat{G}, X^*))} \leq (1 + \epsilon) | \langle [f_{ij}], (W_{G,p}^{-1} \otimes T^*) ([S_{ij}]) \rangle | \\
& = (1 + \epsilon) | \langle [f_{ij}], (W_{G,p} \otimes T)^* ([S_{ij}]) \rangle | = (1 + \epsilon) | \langle (W_{G,p} \otimes T) ([f_{ij}]), [S_{ij}] \rangle | \\
& \leq (1 + \epsilon) \| W_{G,p} \otimes T \|_{cb} \| [f_{ij}] \|_{S_p^n(L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, X))} \| [S_{ij}] \|_{S_p^n(\mathcal{B}_p[L^2(G); Y^*])} \\
& = (1 + \epsilon) \| W_{G,p} \otimes T \|_{cb} \| [S_{ij}] \|_{S_p^n(\mathcal{B}_p[L^2(G); Y^*])}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get the desired inequality. \square

4. VECTOR MEASURES AND TENSOR INTEGRABILITY

4.1. Vector measures. Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space. Let X be a complex Banach space and let ν be a σ -additive X -valued vector measure on Ω . Let X^* denote the dual of X and let B_{X^*} be the closed unit ball in X^* . For each $x^* \in X^*$, we shall denote by $\langle \nu, x^* \rangle$, the corresponding scalar valued measure for the vector measure ν , which is defined as $\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(A) = \langle \nu(A), x^* \rangle$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. A set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be ν -null if $\nu(B) = 0$ for every $B \subset A$. We say that a property holds ν -a.e. if it holds everywhere except on a ν -null set. The *semivariation of ν* on a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by $\|\nu\|(A) = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} |\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(A)$, where $|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|$ is the total variation of the scalar measure $\langle \nu, x^* \rangle$. Let $\|\nu\|$ denote the quantity $\|\nu\|(\Omega)$. A vector measure ν is said to be *bounded* if $\|\nu\| < \infty$. The vector measure ν is said to be *absolutely continuous with respect to a non-negative scalar measure μ* if $\lim_{\mu(A) \rightarrow 0} \nu(A) = 0$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

A complex-valued function f on Ω is said to be ν -weakly integrable if

$$f \in L^1(|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

We shall denote by $L_w^1(\Omega, \nu)$ the Banach space of all ν -weakly integrable functions (equivalence classes) equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_\nu = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_\Omega |f| d|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|.$$

A ν -weakly integrable function f is said to be ν -integrable, if for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a unique $x_A \in X$ such that $\int_A f d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle = \langle x_A, x^* \rangle$, $x^* \in X^*$. The vector x_A is denoted by $\int_A f d\nu$. We shall denote by $L^1(\Omega, \nu)$ the space of all ν -integrable functions and it is also a Banach space when equipped with the $\|\cdot\|_\nu$ norm. Now, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, we say that $f \in L^p(\Omega, \nu)$ (respectively $f \in L_w^p(\Omega, \nu)$) if $f^p \in L^1(\Omega, \nu)$ (respectively $f^p \in L_w^1(\Omega, \nu)$). The spaces $L^p(\Omega, \nu)$ and $L_w^p(\Omega, \nu)$ are Banach spaces when equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{\nu,p} = \|f^p\|_\nu^{1/p}$. Let $L^\infty(\Omega, \nu) = L_w^\infty(\Omega, \nu)$ denote the space of all ν -a.e. bounded functions. This is also a Banach space with the essential supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_{\nu,\infty}$. The space $S(\Omega)$, consisting of all simple functions on Ω , is dense in $L^p(\Omega, \nu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

We shall denote by $M(\Omega, X)$ the space of all σ -additive X -valued vector measures on Ω . On $G \times \widehat{G}$, with Borel σ -algebra, $\mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G})$, we shall denote by $M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ the subspace consisting of X -valued σ -additive vector measures which are absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. A Banach space X is said to have the *Radon-Nikodym Property* with respect to $(G \times \widehat{G}, \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G}), m_{G \times \widehat{G}})$ if for each measure $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ of bounded variation, there exists $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ such that $d\nu = f dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. A vector measure ν is said to be *regular* if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $A \in \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G})$ there exist an open set U and a compact set F with $F \subset A \subset U$ such that $\|\nu\|(U \setminus F) < \epsilon$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{M}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ the subspace of all X -valued σ -additive regular vector measures. Note that $M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X) \subset \mathcal{M}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. We shall denote by $M(G \times \widehat{G})$ the space of all bounded regular complex Borel measures on $G \times \widehat{G}$.

If $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then $\nu_f(A) := \int_A f d\nu$ defines a vector measure on $\mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G})$ with $\|\nu_f\| = \|f\|_\nu$. For $f \in L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, ν_f is a X^{**} -valued measure on $\mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G})$ given by $\langle \nu_f(A), x^* \rangle = \int_A f d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle$, $A \in \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G})$, $x^* \in B_{X^*}$.

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we shall denote by $\|\nu\|_{p, m_{G \times \widehat{G}}}$, the p -semivariation of ν with respect to $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$, given by,

$$\|\nu\|_{p, m_{G \times \widehat{G}}} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \rho} \alpha_A \nu(A) \right\|_X : \begin{array}{l} \rho \text{ the finite partition with} \\ \sum_{A \in \rho} \alpha_A \chi_A \in B_{L^{p'}(G \times \widehat{G})} \end{array} \right\}$$

and for $p = \infty$, $\|\nu\|_{\infty, m_{G \times \widehat{G}}} = \sup_{m_{G \times \widehat{G}}(A) > 0} \frac{\|\nu(A)\|}{m_{G \times \widehat{G}}(A)}$. Let $M_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ denote the space of all X -valued σ -additive vector measures with finite p -semivariation. We shall denote by $S(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ the space of all X -valued simple functions on $G \times \widehat{G}$. Further, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we shall denote by $P_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$, the closure of the space $S(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ in $M_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$, where $P_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ is equipped with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{P_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)} = \|\nu_\phi\|_{p, m_{G \times \widehat{G}}} = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \|\langle \phi, x^* \rangle\|_p, \phi \in S(G \times \widehat{G}, X).$$

Note that the space $C_c(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$, consisting of all X -valued continuous functions on $G \times \widehat{G}$ having compact support, is dense in $P_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and closed in $P_\infty(G, X)$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $\nu \in M(\Omega, X)$ is such that $\|\nu\| < \infty$, then $L_w^p(\Omega, \nu) \subset L_w^1(\Omega, \nu)$.*

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we assume $\|\nu\| = 1$. If $f \in L^p(\Omega, \nu)$, then $f^p \in L^1_w(\nu)$, i.e. $\sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_\Omega |f|^p d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle < \infty$. Hence, using Holder's inequality,

$$\int_\Omega |f| d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle \leq \left(\int_\Omega |f|^p d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle \right)^{1/p} \left(|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(\Omega) \right)^{1/q}.$$

Taking supremum over $x^* \in X^*$ in both sides, we get $\|f\|_\nu \leq \|f\|_{\nu, p}$. \square

The following result is of independent interest and a version of this is proved in [4].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. Then the space $C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$ of all continuous functions on $G \times \widehat{G}$ having compact support is dense in $L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

Proof. As simple functions are dense in $L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, it suffices to show that for any Borel set A of $G \times \widehat{G}$, the function χ_A can be approximated by a function from $C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$ in the $L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ -norm. Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\epsilon > 0$. By assumption, ν is regular and therefore, by definition, there exists a compact set K and an open set U such that $K \subset A \subset U$ and $\|\nu\|(U \setminus K) < \epsilon^p$. Now, by Urysohn's lemma, $\exists f \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, f is identically 1 on K and $\text{supp}(f) \subset U$.

We now claim that this f approximates χ_A . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_A - f\|_{L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)} &= \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \|\chi_A - f\|_{L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, |\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|)} \\ &= \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left(\int_{U \setminus K} |\chi_A - f|^p d|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle| \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (\|\nu\|(U \setminus K))^{1/p} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof. \square

For more details on vector measures, we shall refer the interested readers to [10, 11].

4.2. Tensor integrability. In this subsection, we provide the basics related to tensor integrability. The concepts presented here are already contained in [34]. Throughout this discussion, (Ω, \mathcal{A}) will be a measurable space, X and Y will be two Banach spaces and $\nu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is bounded and a σ -additive measure.

A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is said to be ν -measurable if there exists a sequence (ϕ_n) of simple functions such that $\lim_n \|f - \phi_n\| = 0$ $\|\nu\|$ -a.e.. Similarly, a function $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is *weakly ν -measurable* if for each $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$, the scalar function $|\langle f, y^* \rangle|$ is $\|\nu\|$ -measurable.

Let $\phi = \sum y_i \chi_{A_i}$ be a Y -valued simple function and let $E \in \Sigma$. We define $\int_E \phi d\nu$ by the equation

$$\int_E \phi d\nu = \sum y_i \otimes \nu(E \cap A_i).$$

For a ν -measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$, we shall denote by $N(f)$, the quantity

$$\sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int \|f\| d|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|.$$

A ν -measurable Y -valued function f is said to be \otimes_{\min} -integrable if there exists a sequence (ϕ_n) of Y -valued simple functions such that $\lim_n N(\phi_n - f) = 0$. If f is \otimes_{\min} -integrable, then for each $A \in \Sigma$, the sequence $(\int_A \phi_n d\nu)$ is Cauchy in $Y \otimes_{\min} X$.

The limit, denoted $\int_A f d\nu \in Y \otimes_{\min} X$, is called as \otimes_{\min} -integral of f over A with respect to ν . Let $L^1(\Omega, \nu, Y, X)$ denote the space of all \otimes_{\min} -integrable functions on Ω . The space $L^1(\Omega, \nu, Y, X)$ becomes a Banach space when it is equipped with the $N(\cdot)$ norm.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ be a ν -measurable function. Then $f \in L^1(\Omega, \nu, Y, X)$ if and only if $\|f\| \in L^1(\Omega, \nu)$.*

Proposition 4.4. *If $f \in L^1(\Omega, \nu, Y, X)$, then for $y^* \in Y^*$ and $T \in \mathcal{CB}(X)$,*

$$(y^* \otimes T) \left(\int_A f d\nu \right) = \int_A \langle f, y^* \rangle d(T \circ \nu), A \in \Sigma.$$

A weakly $\|\nu\|$ -measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is said to have a *generalized weak \otimes -integral* (with respect to ν) if $\langle f, y^* \rangle \in L^1_w(\Omega, \nu), \forall y^* \in Y^*$. We shall denote by $gen-L^1_w(\Omega, \nu, Y, X)$ the space consisting of Y -valued generalized weak \otimes -integrable functions on Ω . It is a normed linear space when equipped with the norm

$$N_w(f) = \sup_{y^* \in B_{Y^*}} \|\langle f, y^* \rangle\|_\nu.$$

With all these basics on tensor integrability, we now show that the spaces $L^1(\Omega, \nu)$, $L^1_w(\Omega, \nu)$ and $M(\Omega, \nu)$ are all operator spaces if the Banach space X is also an operator space. So in the next three results X is a complete operator space.

Theorem 4.5.

i) The spaces $M_n(L^1(\Omega, \nu))$ and $L^1(\Omega, \nu, M_n, X)$ are isomorphic via the mapping

$$[f_{ij}] \mapsto \tilde{f}, \text{ where } \tilde{f}(\cdot) = [f_{ij}(\cdot)].$$

ii) The space $L^1(\Omega, \nu)$ is an operator space with respect to the matrix norm arising from the identification given in (i).

iii) The mapping $f \mapsto \int_\Omega f d\nu$ from $L^1(\Omega, \nu)$ into X is completely bounded.

Proof. The proof of (i) and (ii) are routine checks while the proof of (iii) is a consequence of [8, Corollary 3]. \square

Theorem 4.6.

i) The spaces $M_n(L^1_w(\Omega, \nu))$ and $gen-L^1_w(\Omega, \nu, M_n, X)$ are isomorphic via the mapping $[f_{ij}] \mapsto \tilde{f}$, where $\tilde{f}(\cdot) = [f_{ij}(\cdot)]$.

ii) The space $L^1_w(\Omega, \nu)$ is an operator space with respect to the matrix norm arising from the identification given in (i).

The proof of this is a routine check and hence we omit the proof.

Theorem 4.7.

- i) The space $M_n(M(\Omega, X))$ and $M(\Omega, M_n(X))$ are isomorphic via the mapping $[\nu_{ij}] \mapsto \tilde{\nu}$, where $\tilde{\nu}(A) = [\nu_{ij}(A)]$, $A \in \Sigma$.
- ii) The space $M(\Omega, X)$ is an operator space with respect to the matrix norm arising from the identification given in (i).

Proof. The proof of (i) is immediate while the proof of (ii) follows from Proposition 11 of [11, Pg. 4]. \square

5. WEYL TRANSFORM ON $L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, $L_w^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ AND $M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$

In this section, we study the Weyl transform of ν -integrable functions. We also study the Weyl transform of vector measures. Again, we assume throughout this section that the Banach space X is an operator space and $\nu \in M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ is a bounded vector measure. We show that the Weyl transform is completely bounded. We also provide an example to show the failure of an analogue of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.

5.1. Weyl transform on $L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$. We first define the notion of Weyl transform of functions in $L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$. Observe that, if $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ then $f\rho_G \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu, \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)), X)$. In fact, this helps us in defining the following.

Definition 5.1. *The Weyl transform of a function $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ w.r.t. the vector measure ν is defined by*

$$W^\nu(f) = \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(x, \chi) \rho_G(x, \chi) \, d\nu(x, \chi).$$

Note that, for $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, $W^\nu(f) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \otimes_{\min} X = \mathcal{B}[L^2(G); X]$.

Example 5.2. *Let U be an open subset of $G \times \widehat{G}$ having compact closure. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $T : L^p(\overline{U}) \rightarrow X$ be a completely bounded operator. Consider the vector measure $\nu : \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G}) \rightarrow X$ given by $\nu(A) = T(\chi_{A \cap U})$. Note that $L^p(\overline{U}) \subset L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$. Also, observe that for any $A \in \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$,*

$$\int_A f \, d\nu = T(f\chi_{A \cap U}).$$

Thus, if $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then

$$W^\nu(f) = (Id_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))} \otimes T)((f\chi_U)\rho_G).$$

Lemma 5.3. *The mapping $f \mapsto W^\nu(f)$ from $L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ to $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \otimes_{\min} X$ is completely bounded.*

Proof. The proof of this follows from iii) of Theorem 4.5. \square

We now define the Weyl transform of ν -weakly integrable functions for $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. Thus, for each $x^* \in X^*$, the scalar measure $\langle \nu, x^* \rangle$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. Hence, by Radon-Nikodym theorem, $\exists h_{x^*} \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ such that $d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle = h_{x^*} dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. Also, for $f \in L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$,

$$\int_{G \times \widehat{G}} |f(x, \chi)| d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x, \chi) = \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} |f(x, \chi) h_{x^*}(x, \chi)| dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi),$$

i.e., $fh_{x^*} \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}) \forall f \in L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$. This observation leads to the following definition.

Definition 5.4. *Let $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. If $f \in L^p_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then by 4.1, $f \in L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$. Also, for each $x^* \in X^*$, $fh_{x^*} \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$. Thus, the Weyl transform of $f \in L^p_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ is defined by*

$$W^\nu(f)(x^*) := W(fh_{x^*}), \quad x^* \in X^*.$$

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$.*

- i) *For $f \in L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, the mapping $x^* \mapsto W^\nu(f)(x^*)$ from X^* to $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is bounded.*
- ii) *The mapping $f \mapsto W^\nu(f)$ from $L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ to $\mathcal{B}(X^*, \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)))$ is completely bounded.*

Proof. Note that i) is a routine check while ii) is a consequence of Theorem 4.6. \square

We now prove the uniqueness theorem for the Weyl transform.

Theorem 5.6 (Uniqueness theorem). *Let $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ and $f \in L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$. If $W^\nu(f) = 0$, then $f = 0$ ν -a.e..*

Proof. Let $f \in L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ such that $W^\nu(f) = 0$. Then, by definition, $W^\nu(f)(x^*) = 0$ for every $x^* \in X^*$, i.e., $W(fh_{x^*}) = 0$ for every $x^* \in X^*$. This implies by the uniqueness theorem for the Weyl transform that $fh_{x^*} = 0$ $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$ -a.e., i.e., \exists a Borel set $A \subset G \times \widehat{G}$ such that $fh_{x^*} = 0$ on $(G \times \widehat{G}) \setminus A$ and $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}(A) = 0$. As shown in [23, Theorem 4.9], one can show that $f = 0$ ν -a.e.. \square

A natural question that one would like to ask here is about an analogue of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. To be more precise, if $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ then will it imply that $W^\nu(f) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty[L^2(G); X]$. The following example shows that the analogue of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma fails.

Example 5.7. *Let G be an infinite group. Let $X = L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ and T the identity operator on $L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$. Let $U \subset G \times \widehat{G}$ be an open set having compact closure. Observe that $L^1(\overline{U}) \subset L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$. Let ν be the vector measure given in Example 5.2. Let $0 \neq f \in L^1(\overline{U})$. Then*

$$W^\nu(f) = (f\chi_U)\rho_G \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)))$$

$$\cong \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \hat{\otimes} L^1(G \times \widehat{G}) \subset \mathcal{B}[L^2(G); L^1(G \times \widehat{G})].$$

Thus, if $W^\nu(f) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty[L^2(G); L^1(G \times \widehat{G})]$ for all $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$, then this would imply that $(f\chi_U)\rho_G \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \mathcal{B}_\infty(L^2(G)))$. This in turn will imply that $f(x, \chi)\rho_G(x, \chi)$ is a compact operator for a.e. $(x, \chi) \in U$, which is a contradiction.

5.2. Weyl transform on $M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. We now define the Weyl transform of vector measures.

Definition 5.8. *The Weyl transform of a measure $\nu \in M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ is defined by*

$$W(\nu) = \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \rho_G(x, \chi) d\nu(x, \chi).$$

If $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then we shall denote by ν_f the corresponding vector measure, given by

$$\nu_f(A) = \int_A f(x, \chi) d\nu(x, \chi).$$

The following lemma shows that the Weyl transform of f and ν_f coincides.

Lemma 5.9.

- i) If $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then $W^\nu(f) = W(\nu_f)$.
- ii) If $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ and $f \in L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then for $x^* \in X^*$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))^*$, we have

$$\langle W^\nu(f)(x^*), \varphi \rangle = \langle W^\nu(f), \varphi \otimes x^* \rangle$$

Proof. i) is a consequence of the definition of ν_f while ii) is a simple calculation. \square

Lemma 5.10. *The mapping $\nu \mapsto W(\nu)$ from $M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ to $\mathcal{B}[L^2(G); X]$ is completely bounded.*

Proof. The proof of this is a consequence of Theorem 4.7. \square

We now prove the uniqueness theorem under the assumption that the vector measure is absolutely continuous w.r.t. $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$.

Proposition 5.11 (Uniqueness theorem). *Let $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ be such that $W(\nu) = 0$. Then $\nu = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))^*$ and let $x^* \in X^*$. Let h_{x^*} be the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle}{dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}}$. Then, by ii) of Lemma 5.9

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(\nu), \varphi \otimes x^* \rangle &= \langle W(\nu)(x^*), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \rho_G(x, \chi) d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle, \varphi \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \langle \rho_G(x, \chi), \varphi \rangle d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle \\
&= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \langle \rho_G(x, \chi), \varphi \rangle h_{x^*}(x, \chi) dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \\
&= \left\langle \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \rho_G(x, \chi) h_{x^*}(x, \chi) dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi), \varphi \right\rangle = \langle W(h_{x^*}), \varphi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis $W(\nu) = 0$. This implies that $\langle W(\nu), \varphi \otimes x^* \rangle = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))^*$ and for all $x^* \in X^*$. By the above calculations, this is equivalent to saying that $\langle W(h_{x^*}), \varphi \rangle = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))^*$ and for all $x^* \in X^*$. Thus, $W(h_{x^*}) = 0$ for all $x^* \in X^*$. By the uniqueness theorem for the Weyl transform, we have $h_{x^*} = 0$ $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$ -a.e. for all $x^* \in X^*$.

Now, let A be a Borel subset of $G \times \widehat{G}$ and let $x^* \in X^*$. Then,

$$|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(A) = \int_A d|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle| = \int_A |h_{x^*}| dm_{G \times \widehat{G}} = 0.$$

Since $x^* \in X^*$ is arbitrary, it follows that $\nu = 0$. \square

Again, we provide an example to show the failure of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma for the Weyl transform of vector measures.

Example 5.12. *Let G be an infinite group. Let X , T and ν be as in Example 5.7. Note that $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, L^1(G \times \widehat{G}))$. Further, observe that $W(\nu) = \chi_U \rho_G$ and the same reasoning given in Example 5.7 will tell us that ν doesn't satisfy the Riemann-Lebesgue property.*

Our next result gives a sufficient condition for a vector measure to satisfy the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma.

Proposition 5.13. *Let $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ be a measure of bounded variation. Also, let X satisfy the Radon-Nikodym property with respect to $(G \times \widehat{G}, \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G}), m_{G \times \widehat{G}})$. Then $W(\nu) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty[L^2(G); X]$.*

Proof. Since X satisfies the Radon-Nikodym property with respect to $(G \times \widehat{G}, \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G}), m_{G \times \widehat{G}})$, there exists $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ such that $d\nu = f dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. Therefore, $W(\nu) = W_G(f)$. Thus by Lemma 3.3, $W(\nu) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty[L^2(G); X]$. \square

6. TWISTED CONVOLUTION

In this section, we study the twisted convolution of ν -weakly integrable functions. Here we introduce and study two kinds of convolution and show that both the definitions coincide. We also study the twisted convolution of a scalar measure and a vector measure.

6.1. Twisted convolution associated to a vector measure. We shall begin with the definition of twisted convolution of a $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$ -integrable function and a ν -weakly integrable function. Throughout this subsection, we assume $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ is bounded. For each $x^* \in X^*$, h_{x^*} represents the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle}{dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}}$.

Definition 6.1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The twisted convolution of functions $f \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L_w^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, w.r.t. the measure is defined as

$$f \times_\nu g(x^*) = f \times (gh_{x^*}), \quad x^* \in X^*.$$

The following is an analogue of i) of Proposition 2.1 and the proof is also a consequence of the same.

Lemma 6.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L_w^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then

$$f \times_\nu g \in \mathcal{B}(X^*, L^p(G \times \widehat{G}))$$

with

$$\|f \times_\nu g\|_{\mathcal{B}(X^*, L^p(G \times \widehat{G}))} \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_\nu.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L_w^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$. Then, for $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, we have

$$\|f \times_\nu g(x^*)\|_p = \|f \times (gh_{x^*})\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|gh_{x^*}\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_\nu. \quad \square$$

The following is again a consequence of Proposition 2.1 and 4.1 whose proof follows the same lines as in Lemma 6.2 and hence we omit it .

Lemma 6.3. If $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L_w^p(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then $f \times_\nu g \in \mathcal{B}(X^*, L^p(G \times \widehat{G}))$.

Our next lemma is a consequence of Proposition 2.2 and 4.1.

Lemma 6.4.

- (i) If $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L_w^q(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then $f \times_\nu g \in \mathcal{B}(X^*, C_0(G \times \widehat{G}))$.
- (ii) If $f \in L^2(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L_w^2(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then $f \times_\nu g \in \mathcal{B}(X^*, L^2(G \times \widehat{G}))$.
- (iii) If $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + 1$, $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L_w^q(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then $f \times_\nu g \in \mathcal{B}(X^*, L^r(G \times \widehat{G}))$.

Now, we have a lemma, which is a consequence of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 6.5. For $p = 1, 2$, if $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L_w^p(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then

$$W_{G,p}(f \times_\nu g(x^*)) = W_{G,p}(f)W^\nu(g)(x^*) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$. Then, for $x^* \in X^*$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{G,p}(f \times_\nu g(x^*)) &= W_{G,p}(f \times g(h_{x^*})) \\ &= W_{G,p}(f)W_{G,p}(g(h_{x^*})) = W_{G,p}(f)W^\nu(g)(x^*). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

6.2. Vector-valued twisted convolution. We now define vector-valued twisted convolution for any two measurable functions.

Definition 6.6. We define the vector valued twisted convolution, with respect to ν , of two measurable functions f and g , denoted $f \times^\nu g$, as

$$f \times^\nu g(x, \chi) = \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})g(x', \chi')\overline{\chi'(x)}\chi'(x') d\nu(x', \chi')$$

provided that the mapping $(x', \chi') \mapsto f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})g(x', \chi')\overline{\chi'(x)}\chi'(x')$ belongs to $L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ for $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$ -a.e. $(x, \chi) \in G \times \widehat{G}$.

The following lemma shows that Definition 6.1 and Definition 6.6 are equivalent.

Lemma 6.7. Let $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. If $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G}), 1 \leq p < \infty$ and $g \in L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ are such that the mapping $(x', \chi') \mapsto f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})g(x', \chi')\overline{\chi'(x)}\chi'(x')$ belongs to $L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ for $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$ -a.e. $(x, \chi) \in G \times \widehat{G}$ then for $x^* \in X^*$, we have $f \times_\nu g(x^*) = \langle f \times^\nu g, x^* \rangle$.

Proof. Since $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$, for a given x^* in X^* , let $h_{x^*} \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ be such that $d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle = h_{x^*} dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. Then for x^* in X^* , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \times_\nu g(x^*) &= f \times (gh_{x^*}) \\ &= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})(gh_{x^*})(x', \chi')\overline{\chi'(x)}\chi'(x') dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x', \chi') \\ &= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})g(x', \chi')\overline{\chi'(x)}\chi'(x')h_{x^*}(x', \chi') dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x', \chi') \\ &= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})g(x', \chi')\overline{\chi'(x)}\chi'(x')d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \\ &= \langle f \times^\nu g, x^* \rangle. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Before, we proceed to the next result, here is the definition of Dunford integrability. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. A function $f : G \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow X$ is said to be *Dunford p -integrable* (for $p = 1$ we say *Dunford integrable*) if $\langle f, x^* \rangle \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G}) \forall x^* \in X^*$. We shall denote by $L^p_w(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ the space of Dunford p -integrable functions equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p_w(G \times \widehat{G}, X)} = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \|\langle f, x^* \rangle\|_p.$$

A Dunford p -integrable function $f : G \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow X$ is said to be *Pettis p -integrable* (for $p = 1$, we say *Pettis integrable*) if for each $A \in \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G})$, there exists $x_A \in X$

such that $\int_A \langle f, x^* \rangle dm_{G \times \widehat{G}} = \langle x_A, x^* \rangle$. The vector x_A is unique and denoted by $(P) \int_A f dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. If $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $g \in L^1_w(\nu)$ are such that the mappings $(x', \chi') \mapsto f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})g(x', \chi')\overline{\chi'(x)}\chi'(x')$ are in $L^1(\nu)$ for $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$ -almost everywhere $(x, \chi) \in G \times \widehat{G}$, then $f \times^\nu g$ is Dunford integrable with $\|f \times^\nu g\|_{L^1_w(G \times \widehat{G}, X)} \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\nu$. In particular, if $g \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$, then $f \times^\nu g$ is Pettis integrable.*

Proof. Let $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ and let $h_{x^*} \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ be such that $d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle = h_{x^*} dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. Define $\psi_{x^*}(x, \chi) = \langle f \times^\nu g(x, \chi), x^* \rangle$, for $m_{G \times \widehat{G}}$ -a.e. $(x, \chi) \in G \times \widehat{G}$. Then $\psi_{x^*}(x, \chi) = f \times g h_{x^*}(x, \chi)$. Hence ψ_{x^*} is measurable. Now, by Fubini's theorem, one can show that

$$\int_{G \times \widehat{G}} |\langle f \times^\nu g(x, \chi), x^* \rangle| dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \leq \|f\|_{L^1(G \times \widehat{G})} \|g\|_\nu,$$

thus proving the Dunford integrability.

Now, consider the mapping $(x', \chi') \mapsto \int_A f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})\overline{\chi'(x)} dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi)$. Since $|\chi'(x)|$ and $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$, the above mapping is bounded and measurable. Also, since $g \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$ and $|\chi'(x')| = 1$, by [9, Theorem 8], the integral

$$\int_{G \times \widehat{G}} g(x', \chi') \chi'(x') d\nu(x', \chi')$$

is well defined. We claim $(P) \int_A f \times^\nu g dm_{G \times \widehat{G}} = x_A$ where x_A is given by

$$x_A = \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \left(\int_A f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})\overline{\chi'(x)} dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \right) g(x', \chi') \chi'(x') d\nu(x', \chi') \in X.$$

For $x^* \in X^*$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A \langle f \times^\nu g, x^* \rangle dm_{G \times \widehat{G}} \\ &= \int_A \left\langle \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})g(x', \chi')\overline{\chi'(x)}\chi'(x') d\nu(x', \chi'), x^* \right\rangle dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \\ &= \int_A \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})g(x', \chi')\overline{\chi'(x)}\chi'(x') d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \\ &= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \left(\int_A f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})\overline{\chi'(x)} dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \right) g(x', \chi') \chi'(x') d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \\ &= \langle x_A, x^* \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

thus proving our claim. \square

6.3. Twisted convolution of a vector measure. Finally, we define the twisted convolution of a scalar measure and a vector measure.

Definition 6.9. *The twisted convolution of $\mu \in M(G \times \widehat{G})$ and a bounded $\nu \in M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ is defined by*

$$\mu \times \nu(A) = \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \chi_A(xx', \chi\chi') \overline{\chi'(x)} d\mu(x, \chi) d\nu(x', \chi'), \quad A \in \mathfrak{B}(G \times \widehat{G}).$$

whenever the mapping $(x', \chi') \mapsto \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \chi_A(xx', \chi\chi') \overline{\chi'(x)} d\mu(x, \chi)$ belongs to $L^1(G \times \widehat{G}, \nu)$.

Since $|\chi'(x)| = 1$, we have $\mu \times \nu \in M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ and $\|\mu \times \nu\| \leq \|\mu\| \|\nu\|$.

Remark 6.10. *It is worth noticing here that if the Banach space X is \mathbb{C} , and $f, g \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ are considered as elements of $M(G \times \widehat{G})$, then $\mu_f \times \mu_g = \mu_{f \times g}$ where $d\mu_f(x, \chi) = f(x, \chi) dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi)$.*

For $(x', \chi') \in G \times \widehat{G}$, the twisted translate of $f : G \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, denoted $T_{(x', \chi')}^t f$, is defined as

$$T_{(x', \chi')}^t f(x, \chi) := f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1}) \overline{\chi'(x)} \chi'(x').$$

For $f \in L^1(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\nu \in M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$, define $f \times \nu = \mu_f \times \nu$ where $\mu_f = f dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. We say that $f \times \nu \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ if $d(f \times \nu) = f_\nu dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$, for some $f_\nu \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. The next proposition asserts the existence of such a function.

Proposition 6.11. *Let $\nu \in M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ be bounded. If $f \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$, then $f \times \nu \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ and*

$$\|f \times \nu\|_{C_c(G \times \widehat{G}, X)} \leq \|f\|_{C_c(G \times \widehat{G})} \|\nu\|.$$

Proof. Let $I_\nu(f) = \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f d\nu$. For $(x, \chi) \in G \times \widehat{G}$, we define $\mathbf{f}_\nu(x, \chi) \in X$ by

$$\mathbf{f}_\nu(x, \chi) := I_\nu \left(\tilde{f}_{(x, \chi)} \right)$$

where $\tilde{f}_{(x, \chi)}(x', \chi') = T_{(x', \chi')}^t f(x, \chi)$. Now, for $(x, \chi), (x', \chi') \in G \times \widehat{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu_f \times \nu(A), x^* \rangle \\ &= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \chi_A(xx', \chi\chi') \overline{\chi'(x)} d\mu_f(x, \chi) d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \\ &= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \chi_A(xx', \chi\chi') \overline{\chi'(x)} f(x, \chi) dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \\ &= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \chi_A(x, \chi) \overline{\chi'(x)} \chi'(x') f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1}) dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \\ &= \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \int_A f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1}) \overline{\chi'(x)} \chi'(x') dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_A \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} T_{(x', \chi')}^t f(x, \chi) d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \\
&= \left\langle \int_A I_\nu \left(\tilde{f}_{(x, \chi)} \right) dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi), x^* \right\rangle = \left\langle \int_A \mathbf{f}_\nu dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}, x^* \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\|\mathbf{f}_\nu(x, \chi) - \mathbf{f}_\nu(x', \chi')\| = \left\| I_\nu \left(\tilde{f}_{(x, \chi)} - \tilde{f}_{(x', \chi')} \right) \right\| \leq \|\nu\| \left\| \tilde{f}_{(x, \chi)} - \tilde{f}_{(x', \chi')} \right\|_{C_c(G \times \widehat{G})}.$$

Since $f \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$, therefore $\mathbf{f}_\nu \in C(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. Hence $d(f \times \nu) = \mathbf{f}_\nu dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. Moreover,

$$\sup_{(x, \chi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \|\mathbf{f}_\nu(x, \chi)\| = \sup_{(x, \chi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left| \left\langle I_\nu \left(\tilde{f}_{(x, \chi)} \right), x^* \right\rangle \right| \leq \|f\|_{C_c(G \times \widehat{G})} \|\nu\|. \quad \square$$

Here is an analogue of Proposition 2.1.

Theorem 6.12. *Let $\nu \in M(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ is bounded. Then for $1 \leq p < \infty$, if $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ then $f \times \nu \in P_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ and $\|f \times \nu\|_{P_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)} \leq \|f\|_p \|\nu\|$.*

Proof. From Proposition 6.11, if $f \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$, then $d(f \times \nu) = \mathbf{f}_\nu dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$ with $\mathbf{f}_\nu \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. Then, for $x^* \in B_{X^*}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{G \times \widehat{G}} |\langle \mathbf{f}_\nu(x, \chi), x^* \rangle|^p dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \\
&\leq \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \left(\int_{G \times \widehat{G}} |f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})| d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \right)^p dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \\
&\leq \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \left(|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(G \times \widehat{G}) \right)^{p-1} \left(\int_{G \times \widehat{G}} |f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})|^p d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \right) dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \\
&= \left(|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(G \times \widehat{G}) \right)^{p-1} \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} \left(\int_{G \times \widehat{G}} |f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1})|^p dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \right) d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \\
&= \|f\|_p^p \left(|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(G \times \widehat{G}) \right)^p \leq \|f\|_p^p \|\nu\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the theorem holds true for all compactly supported continuous functions on $G \times \widehat{G}$. Now for $f \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$, let (f_n) in $C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$ such that $\|f - f_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$. Let $\nu_n = f_n \times \nu$. Then

$$\|\nu_n - \nu_m\|_{p, M_{G \times \widehat{G}}} = \|\mathbf{f}_{\nu_n} - \mathbf{f}_{\nu_m}\|_{P_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)} \leq \|f_n - f_m\|_{L^p(G \times \widehat{G})} \|\nu\|.$$

This shows that ν_n is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{M}_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ which converges to $f \times \nu$ in $\mathcal{M}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. Therefore $f \times \nu \in P_p(G, X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \times \nu\|_{P_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)} &= \lim_n \|f_n \times \nu\|_{P_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)} \\ &\leq \lim_n \|f_n\|_{L^p(G \times \widehat{G})} \|\nu\| = \|f\|_{L^p(G \times \widehat{G})} \|\nu\|. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We finally prove the main result of this section. This is the vector analogue of the Young's inequality.

Theorem 6.13. *Let $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$, if $\nu \in M_p(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$ and $f \in L^q(G \times \widehat{G})$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1$, then $f \times \nu \in P_r(G, X)$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$. Further*

$$\|f \times \nu\|_{P_r(G \times \widehat{G}, X)} \leq \|f\|_q \|\nu\|_{p, m_{G \times \widehat{G}}}.$$

Proof. Since $\nu \in M_{ac}(G \times \widehat{G}, X)$, by [10, Pg. 259, Theorem 1], there exists $h_{x^*} \in L^p(G \times \widehat{G})$ such that $d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle = h_{x^*} dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}$. Let $f \in C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\|x^*\| = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f \times \nu(x, \chi), x^* \rangle| &= \left| \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1}) \overline{\chi'(x)} \chi'(x') d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(x', \chi') \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1}) \overline{\chi'(x)} \chi'(x') h_{x^*}(x', \chi') dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x', \chi') \right| \\ &\leq \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} |T_{(x', \chi')}^t f(x, \chi)| |h_{x^*}(x', \chi')| dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x', \chi') \\ &= |f| * |h_{x^*}|(x, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

where $f * g(x, \chi) = \int_{G \times \widehat{G}} f(xx'^{-1}, \chi\chi'^{-1}) g(x', \chi') dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x', \chi')$ is the usual convolution. Now by using the classical Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{G \times \widehat{G}} |\langle f \times \nu(x, \chi), x^* \rangle|^r dm_{G \times \widehat{G}}(x, \chi) \right)^{1/r} &\leq \| |f| * |h_{x^*}| \|_{L^r(G \times \widehat{G})} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^q(G \times \widehat{G})} \|h_{x^*}\|_{L^p(G \times \widehat{G})} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^q(G \times \widehat{G})} \|\nu\|_{p, m_{G \times \widehat{G}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The result for an arbitrary $f \in L^q(G \times \widehat{G})$ follows via the denseness of $C_c(G \times \widehat{G})$ in $L^q(G \times \widehat{G})$. \square

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Graduate Aptitude Test for Engineering, India, [to Ritika Singhal].

REFERENCES

- [1] H. AMANN, *Elliptic operators with infinite-dimensional state spaces*, J. Evol. Equ., 1 (2001) 143-188.
- [2] M. E. ANDERSSON, *On the vector-valued Hausdorff-Young inequality*, Ark. Mat., 36 (1998) 1-30.
- [3] O. BLASCO, *Vector-valued Hardy inequalities and B-convexity*, Ark. Mat., 38 (2000) 21-36.
- [4] O. BLASCO, *Fourier analysis for vector-measures on compact abelian groups*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM., 110 (2016) 519-539.
- [5] J. BOURGAIN, *A Hausdorff-Young inequality for B-convex Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math., 101 (1982) 255-262.
- [6] J. BOURGAIN, *Vector-valued singular integrals and the H^1 -BMO duality*, in: Probability Theory and harmonic Analysis, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 98 (1986) 1-19.
- [7] J. M. CALABUIG, F. GALAZ-FONTES, E. M. NAVARRETE and E. A. SÁNCHEZ-PÉREZ, *Fourier transforms and convolutions on L^p of a vector measure on a compact Hausdorff abelian group*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 19 (2013) 312-332.
- [8] N. D. CHAKRABORTY and SANTWANA BASU, *Spaces of p -tensor integrable functions and related Banach space properties*, Real Anal. Exchange, 34 (2008), 87-104.
- [9] R. DEL CAMPO, A. FERNÁNDEZ, I. FERRANDO, F. MAYORAL and F. NARANJO, *Multiplication operators on spaces of integrable functions with respect to a vector measure*, J. math. Anal. Appl., 343 (2008) 514-524.
- [10] N. DINCULEANU, *Vector measures*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin (1966).
- [11] J. DIESTEL and J. J. UHL, *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys, vol. 15. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1977).
- [12] E. G. EFFROS and Z-J. RUAN, *Operator spaces*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [13] A. G. FARASHAHI, *Generalized Weyl-Heisenberg(GWH) groups*, Ann. Math. Phys., 4 (2014) 187-197.
- [14] FOLLAND, G.B., *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1989.
- [15] G. B. FOLLAND, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- [16] A. FERNÁNDEZ, F. MAYORAL, F. NARANJO and E. A. SÁNCHEZ-PÉREZ, *Complex interpolation of spaces of integrable functions with respect to a vector measure*, Collect. Math. 61(3) (2010), 241-252.
- [17] J. GARCÍA-CUERVA, K. S. KAZARYAN, V. I. KOLYADA and J. L. TORREA, *The Hausdorff-Young inequality with vector-valued coefficients and applications*, Russian math. Surveys, 53 (1998) 435-513.
- [18] J. GARCÍA-CUERVA and J. PARCET, *Vector-valued Hausdorff-Young inequality on compact groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 88(3) (2004) 796-816.
- [19] G. I. GAUDRY, B. R. F. JEFFERIES and W. J. RICKER, *Vector-Valued multipliers: convolution with operator-valued measures*, Dissertationes math., 385 (2000).
- [20] M. GIRARDI and L. WEIS, *Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems on $L^p(X)$ and geometry of Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 204 (2003) 320-354.
- [21] E. HEWITT and K.A. ROSS, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II*, vol. 152, Springer, Berlin, 1970.

- [22] HUN HEE LEE, *Vector valued Fourier analysis on unimodular groups*, Math. Nachr., 279 (2006) 854-874.
- [23] MANOJ KUMAR and N. SHRAVAN KUMAR, *Fourier analysis associated to a vector measure on a compact group*, RACSAM 114, 50 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-019-00780-8>.
- [24] M. MILMAN, Complex interpolation and geometry of Banach spaces, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 136 (1984) 317-328.
- [25] S. OKADA, W. J. RICKER, and E. A. SÁNCHEZ PÉREZ, *Optimal Domain and Integral Extension of Operators acting in Function Spaces*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 180. Birkhäuser, Basel (2008).
- [26] J. PEETRE, *Sur la transformation de Fourier des fonctions a valeurs vectorielles*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 42 (1969) 15-26.
- [27] A. PIETSCH and J. WENZEL, *Orthonormal Systems and banach Space Geometry*, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its Applications, 70, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1998).
- [28] G. PISIER, *The Operator Hilbert space OH, Complex Interpolation and Tensor norms*, Memoirs of the American mathematical Society, 122, 1996.
- [29] G. PISIER, Non-commutative vector valued L_p -spaces and completely p -summing maps', *Astérisque*, 247 (1998) 1-111.
- [30] G. PISIER, *Introduction to Operator Space Theory*, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Notes Series, Vol. 294, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [31] R. SARMA, N. SHRAVAN KUMAR and VISHVESH KUMAR, *Multipliers on vector-valued L^1 -spaces for hypergroups*, Acta math. Sinica, 34 (2018) 1059-1073.
- [32] R. RADHA and N. SHRAVAN KUMAR, *Weyl transform and Weyl multipliers associated to locally compact abelian groups*, J. Pseudo-Differ. oper. Appl., 9 (2018) 229-245.
- [33] J. ROZENDAAL and M. VERAAR, *Fourier multiplier theorems involving type and cotype*, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 24 (2018) 583-619.
- [34] G. F. STEFÁNSSON, *Integration in vector spaces*, Illinois J. Math., 45 (2001), 925-938.
- [35] M. TALAGRAND, *Pettis Integral and Measure Theory*, Memoirs of the AMS, vol. 307, 1984.
- [36] L. WEISS, *Operator-valued Fourier multipliers and maximal L^p -regularity*, Math. Ann., 319 (2001) 735-758.
- [37] H. WEYL, *The theory of groups and quantum mechanics*, Dover Publications, 1950.
- [38] M. W. WONG, *Weyl transforms*, Springer, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI,, DELHI - 110016,, INDIA.

Email address: ritikasinghal1120@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI,, DELHI - 110016,, INDIA.

Email address: shravankumar.nageswaran@gmail.com