

SCATTERING FOR THE INHOMOGENEOUS NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH A POTENTIAL

SHENG WANG AND CHENGBIN XU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show the scattering of the solution for the focusing inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a potential

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u - Vu = -|x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u$$

in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We prove a scattering criterion, and then we use it together with Morawetz estimate to show the scattering theory.

Key Words: Schrödinger equation; Virial-Morawetz estimate; Scattering theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study the initial value problem (IVP), which is also called the Cauchy problem for the inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a potential

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u - Vu = -|x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \end{cases}$$

where $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, V is a real-valued potential, $0 < b < 1$, and

$$(1.2) \quad 1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b.$$

And let the potential $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (see [5, 15])

$$(1.3) \quad V \in \mathcal{K}_0 \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \|V_-\|_{\mathcal{K}_0} < 4\pi,$$

where we use the notation \mathcal{K}_0 to represent the closure of bounded and compactly supported functions with respect to the Kato norm

$$\|V\|_{\mathcal{K}_0} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|V(y)|}{|x-y|} dy,$$

and $V_- := \min\{V, 0\}$ is the negative part of V . Under the conditions (1.3) and (1.4), the operator $\mathcal{H} := -\Delta + V$ has no eigenvalues, and the Schrödinger flow $e^{-it\mathcal{H}}$ enjoys dispersive and Strichartz estimates.

Besides, solutions to (1.1) conserve the mass and energy, defined respectively by

$$M(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t, x)|^2 dx = M(u_0),$$

$$E(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int V(x)|u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1} \int |x|^{-b}|u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx = E(u_0).$$

Now, we recall the history of the study of inhomogenous Schrödinger equation.

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \lambda|x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

The case $\lambda = 1$ is the defocusing case, while $\lambda = -1$ gives the focusing case. In addition, this equation is invariant under scaling. Indeed, if $u(x, t)$ is a solution to (1.5), then

$$u_\lambda(x, t) := \lambda^{\frac{2-b}{p-1}} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \quad \lambda > 0$$

is also a solution. It is easy to check that

$$\|u_\lambda(\cdot, 0)\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \lambda^{s - \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2-b}{p-1}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s},$$

and the Sobolev index which leaves the scaling symmetry invariant is called the critical index and is defined as

$$s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2-b}{p-1}.$$

The case $s_c = 1$ is called energy-critical and $s_c < 1$ is called energy-subcritical.

For the focusing case, (1.5) admits a global but non-scattering solution for

$$u(t, x) = e^{it} Q(x)$$

where Q is ground state, i.e. the unique positive radially symmetric solution to the elliptic equation ([3, 5])

$$(1.6) \quad \Delta Q - Q + |x|^{-b}|Q|^{p-1}Q = 0.$$

Genoud-Stuart [11] first obtained the well-posedness with the initial data belonging to H^1 via an abstract theory of Cazenave [4]. Later, Guzmán [13] and Dinh [6] used Strichartz estimates and the standard Picard iterative technique to revisit the local well-posedness in H^1 .

For the mass-critical case ($p = 1 + (4 - 2b)/d$), Genoud [10] proved the global well-posedness of focusing case if initial data $u_0 \in H^1$, $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \|Q\|_{L^2}$ and $0 < b < \min\{2, d\}$. For $1 + \frac{4-2b}{d} < p < 1 + \frac{4-2b}{d-2}$ and $0 < b < \min\{2, d\}$, Farah, in [8], solved the global well-posed in H^1 under the ground state, that is,

$$(1.7) \quad E(u_0)[M(u_0)]^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} < E(Q)[M(u_0)]^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}},$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}[M(u_0)]^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} < \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}[M(u_0)]^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}}.$$

Moreover, Dinh [7] and Farah [8] studied the existence of finite-time blow-up solutions.

In [9], Farah-Guzmán first studied the energy scattering of radial solution with $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$, $p = 3$ and $d = 3$. Miao-Murphy-Zheng [19] extended the result of Farah-Guzmán to the non-radial setting. This work is based on the concentration-compactness argument developed by Kenig-Merle [18]. For $d = 2$, Xu-Zhao [23] proved the energy scattering with $0 < b < 1$ and $p > 3 - b$ by adapting a new argument of Arora-Dodson-Murphy [1].

When $b = 0$, this is standard NLS equation with potential, i.e.,

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u - Vu = -|u|^{p-1}u, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

Hong [15] first studied the energy scattering for this model with $p = 3$, inspired by the concentration-compactness argument of Kenig-Merle. Recently, Miao-Murphy-Zheng [20] study the threshold scattering for this model with a repulsive potential. Moreover, Hamano-Ikeda [16] extended Hong's results to the whole subcritical index, i.e. $1 + \frac{4}{3} < p < 1 + 4$ and radially symmetric initial data. For other potentials, such as Coulomb potential and inverse square potential, Miao-Zhang-Zheng [19] studied the cauchy problem with Coulomb potential for $1 < p \leq 5$ and $d = 3$, and Zheng [24] researched the focusing NLS with inverse square potential.

When $b \neq 0$, Dinh [5] proved the global dynamics for a class L^2 - supercritical nonlinear inhomogeneous Schrödinger equation with a potential in three dimensions with radially symmetric initial data. Inspired by Campos and Cardoso [3], we will study the the well-posedness and scattering for this problem without radial setting, which expands the result of Dinh [5].

We now state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 (Scattering criterion). *Let $0 < b < 1$ and $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3), (1.4). Consider an H^1 solution u to (1.3) defined on $[0, +\infty)$ and assume the priori bound*

$$(1.10) \quad \sup_t \|u(t)\|_{H^1} := E < +\infty.$$

There exists constants $R > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ depending only on E , p and b (but not never on u or t) such that if

$$(1.11) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0,R)} |u(t,x)|^2 dx \leq \epsilon^2,$$

then there exists a function $u_+ \in H^1$ such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - e^{-it\mathcal{H}}u_+\|_{H^1} = 0,$$

i.e., u scatters forward in time in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Now, we define the Generalized Sobolev norms

$$(1.12) \quad \|\Gamma f\|_{L^2}^2 := \int |\nabla f|^2 dx + \int V|f|^2 dx.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 < b < 1$ and $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3), $V \geq 0$, $x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0$, and $x \cdot \nabla V \in L^r$, $r \in [\frac{3}{2}, \infty)$. Let $u_0 \in H^1$ satisfy*

$$(1.13) \quad E(u_0)[M(u_0)]^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} < \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2} \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}}.$$

If

$$(1.14) \quad \|\Gamma u_0\|_{L^2} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} < \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2} \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}},$$

then the corresponding solution to the focusing problem (1.1) exists globally in time and satisfies

$$(1.15) \quad \|\Gamma u(t)\|_{L^2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} < \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2} \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the global solution scatters in H^1 in both time directions.

Remark 1.3. In this paper, we extend the integrability condition of $x \cdot \nabla V \in L^r$ for any $r \in [\frac{3}{2}, \infty)$.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2 we introduce some basic notations and recall some useful estimates for the Schrödinger operator. In Sect. 3, we give some results about ground state by variational analysis, which is powerful to prove the Virial-Morawetz estimate. In Sect. 4 we prove the scattering criterion Theorem 1.1. In Sect. 5, we apply the scattering criterion together with Virial-Morawetz estimate to prove Theorem 1.2.

We conclude the introduction by giving some notations which will be used throughout this paper. We always use $X \lesssim Y$ to denote $X \leq CY$ for some constant $C > 0$. Similarly, $X \lesssim_u Y$ indicates that there exists a constant $C := C(u)$ depending on u such that $X \leq C(u)Y$. We also use the notation \mathcal{O} . e.g. $A = \mathcal{O}(B)$ to indicate $A \leq CB$ for some constant $C > 0$. The derivative operator ∇ refers to the spatial variable only. We use $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to denote the Banach space of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose norm

$$\|f\|_r := \|f\|_{L^r} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

is finite, with the usual modifications when $r = \infty$. For any non-negative integer k , we denote the Sobolev space by $H^{k,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which is defined as the closure of smooth compactly supported functions in the norm $\|f\|_{H^{k,r}} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha}\|_r$, and we denote it by H^k when $r = 2$. For a time slab I , we use $L_t^q(I; L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^3))$ to denote the space-time norm

$$\|f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = \left(\int_I \|f(t, x)\|_{L_x^r}^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

with the usual modifications when q or r is infinite, sometimes we use $\|f\|_{L^q(I; L^r(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ or $\|f\|_{L^q L^r(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$ for short.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Strichartz estimates. Let's start this section by introducing the notation used throughout the paper. We recall some Strichartz estimates associated with the linear Schrödinger propagator.

We say the pair (q, r) is L^2 -admissible or simply admissible pair if (q, r) satisfies the condition

$$\frac{2}{q} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{r}, \quad 2 \leq r \leq 6.$$

The pair is called \dot{H}^s -admissible, if

$$\frac{2}{q} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{r} - s.$$

And (q, r) is called \dot{H}^{-s} -admissible, if

$$\frac{2}{q} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{r} + s.$$

Given $s \in (0, 1)$, let

$$(2.1) \quad \Lambda_s = \left\{ (q, r) \text{ is } \dot{H}^s\text{-admissible} \mid \left(\frac{6}{3-2s} \right)^+ \leq r \leq 6^- \right\},$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda_s = \left\{ (q, r) \text{ is } \dot{H}^{-s} \text{ - admissible} \mid \left(\frac{6}{3-2s} \right)^+ \leq r \leq 6^- \right\}.$$

Where the notations a^+ and a^- denote, respectively, $a + \epsilon$ and $a - \epsilon$, for fixed $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$.

We define the following Strichartz norm

$$\|u\|_{S(\dot{H}^s, I)} = \sup_{(q,r) \in \Lambda_s} \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(I)}$$

and dual Strichartz norm

$$\|u\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-s}, I)} = \inf_{(q,r) \in \Lambda_{-s}} \|u\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}(I)}.$$

If $I = \mathbb{R}$, I is omitted usually. For more details see([4], [17]).

Lemma 2.1. *The following statement holds*

(i)(Dispersive estimate, [15]). *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). Then it holds that*

$$(2.3) \quad \|e^{-it\mathcal{H}}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

(ii)(Strichartz estimate, [15]). *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). Then it holds that*

$$(2.4) \quad \|e^{-it\mathcal{H}}f\|_{S(\dot{H}^s)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \quad s \geq 0,$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{H}}g(\cdot, s)ds \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^s)} \lesssim \|g\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-s})} \quad s \in (0, 1).$$

2.2. Equivalence of Sobolev norms. In this subsection, we define the homogeneous and inhomogeneous Sobolev spaces associated to \mathcal{H} as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ under the norms

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}_V^\gamma} := \|\Gamma^\gamma f\|_{L^r}, \quad \|f\|_{W_V^{\gamma,r}} := \|\langle \Gamma \rangle^\gamma f\|_{L^r}, \quad \Gamma := \sqrt{\mathcal{H}}.$$

To simplify the notation, we denote $\dot{H}_V^\gamma := \dot{W}_V^{\gamma,2}$ and $H_V^\gamma := W_V^{\gamma,2}$.

Lemma 2.2 (Sobolev inequalities [15]). *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). Then it holds that*

$$\|f\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{W}_V^{\gamma,r}}, \quad \|f\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{W_V^{\gamma,r}},$$

where $1 < p < q < \infty$, $1 < p < \frac{3}{\gamma}$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\gamma}{3}$.

Lemma 2.3 (Equivalence of Sobolev spaces [15]). *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). Then it holds that*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}_V^{\gamma,r}} \sim \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{\gamma,r}}, \quad \|f\|_{W_V^{\gamma,r}} \sim \|f\|_{W^{\gamma,r}},$$

where $1 < r < \frac{3}{\gamma}$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$.

2.3. Some nonlinear estimates. We recall some interpolation estimates for nonlinearities, which plays an important role in proving scattering theory.

Lemma 2.4 ([2, 12]). *Let $u, v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3+1})$, $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + \frac{4-2b}{3-2}$ and $0 < b < 1$. Then there exist $0 \leq \theta = \theta(p, b) \ll p - 1$ such that the following inequalities hold*

$$(2.6) \quad \left\| |x|^{-b} |u|^{p-1} v \right\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-s_c}, I)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1}^\theta \|u\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, I)}^{p-1-\theta} \|v\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, I)},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \left\| |x|^{-b} |u|^{p-1} u \right\|_{S'(L^2, I)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1}^\theta \|u\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, I)}^{p-1-\theta} \|u\|_{S(L^2, I)},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \left\| \nabla (|x|^{-b} |u|^{p-1} u) \right\|_{S'(L^2, I)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1}^\theta \|u\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, I)}^{p-1-\theta} \|\nabla u\|_{S(L^2, I)},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \left\| |x|^{-b} |u|^{p-1} u \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^r} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1}^p$$

for $\frac{2(3-b)}{3+4-2b} < r < \frac{2(3-b)}{3+2-2b}$.

2.4. Local well-posedness in H^1 . In this subsection, we will show the local well-posedness in H^1 , the global well-posedness of small initial data and its corresponding scattering theory under the assumptions (1.3) and (1.4). Particularly, we denote the Λ_0 strichartz norm for any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.10) \quad \|u\|_{S(L^2, I)} := \sup_{(q, r) \in \Lambda_0} \|u\|_{L^q(I, L^r)}, \quad \|u\|_{S'(L^2, I)} := \inf_{(q, r) \in \Lambda_0} \|u\|_{L^{q'}(I, L^{r'})}.$$

where (q, q') and (r, r') are Hölder's conjugate pairs. Here the condition $2 \leq r < 3$ ensures $\dot{W}_V^{1, r} \sim \dot{W}^{1, r}$, and $\dot{W}_V^{1, r'} \sim \dot{W}^{1, r'}$.

Theorem 2.5 (Local well-posedness [3, 5]). *Let $0 < b < 1$ and $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). Then the equation is locally well-posed in H^1 .*

Theorem 2.6 (Small initial data global well-posedness [5] [3]). *Let $0 < b < 1$ and $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $\|u_0\|_{H^1} \leq E$. Then there exists $\delta_{sc} = \delta_{sc}(E) > 0$ such that if*

$$\|e^{-it\mathcal{H}} u_0\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [0, +\infty))} \leq \delta_{sc},$$

then there exists a unique global solution to (1.1) with initial data u_0 satisfying

$$\|u\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [0, +\infty))} \leq \|e^{-it\mathcal{H}} u_0\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [0, +\infty))}.$$

Theorem 2.7 (Small data scattering [3, 5]). *Let $0 < b < 1$ and $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let u be a global solution to (1.1) satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(R, H^1)} \leq E,$$

then u scatters forward in time in H^1 , i.e., there exists $u_+ \in H^1$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - e^{-it\mathcal{H}} u_+\|_{H^1} = 0.$$

3. VARIATIONAL ANALYSIS

Let us recall some properties related to the ground state Q which is the unique positive radial decreasing solution to the elliptic equation (for more detail, see [3, 5])

$$\Delta Q - Q + |x|^{-b} |Q|^{p-1} Q = 0.$$

Lemma 3.1 ([5]). *Let $0 < b < 1$ and $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3), $V \geq 0$. Let $u_0 \in H^1$ satisfy (1.13) and (1.14), then the corresponding solution to the focusing problem (1.1) satisfies*

$$(3.1) \quad \|\Gamma u(t)\|_{L^2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} < \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2} \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, the corresponding solution to the focusing problem (1.1) exists globally in time. Moreover, there exists $\delta = \delta(u_0, Q) > 0$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|\Gamma u(t)\|_{L^2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} < (1 - 2\delta) \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2} \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}}.$$

Remark 3.2. By the assumption $V \geq 0$ and the same argument as above, we see that if $u_0 \in H^1$ satisfy (1.13) and (1.14), then the corresponding solution to the focusing problem (1.1) satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} < \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2} \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, the corresponding solution to the focusing problem (1.1) exists globally in time. Moreover, there exists $\delta = \delta(u_0, Q) > 0$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} < (1 - 2\delta) \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2} \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}}.$$

Lemma 3.3 ([2,3]). *Suppose $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, that*

$$(3.5) \quad \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2} \leq (1 - \delta) \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}.$$

then there exists $\delta' = \delta'(\delta) > 0$ so that

$$(3.6) \quad \int |\nabla f|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2}\right) \int |x|^{-b} |f|^{p+1} \geq \delta' \int |x|^{-b} |f|^{p+1}.$$

Lemma 3.4 ([2,3]). *Let χ be a smooth cutoff to the set $\{|x| \leq 1/2\}$ and define $\chi_R(x) = \chi(\frac{x}{R})$. If $f \in H^1$, then*

$$(3.7) \quad \int |\nabla(\chi_R f)|^2 = \int \chi_R^2 |\nabla f|^2 - \int \chi_R \Delta(\chi_R) |f|^2.$$

In particular,

$$(3.8) \quad \left| \int |\nabla(\chi_R f)|^2 - \int \chi_R^2 |\nabla f|^2 \right| \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Lemma 3.5 (local coercivity, [2,3,5]). *let u be a globally defined H^1 -solution to (1.1) satisfying (3.4). There exists $\bar{R} = \bar{R}(\delta, M[u_0], Q, s_c) > 0$ such that, for any $R \geq \bar{R}$,*

$$(3.9) \quad \|\chi_R u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} \|\nabla(\chi_R u(t))\|_{L^2} \leq (1 - \delta) \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-s_c}{s_c}} \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}.$$

In particular, by Lemma 3.3, there exists $\delta' = \delta'(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \int |\nabla(\chi_R u(t))|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2}\right) \int |x|^{-b} |\chi_R u(t)|^{p+1} \geq \delta' \int |x|^{-b} |\chi_R u|^{p+1}.$$

4. PROOF OF THE SCATTERING CRITERION

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1, which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.2. It was proved for the INLS (1.5) in [3]. For the INLS with a potential, it was proved for radial solutions in [5]. Here, we prove the result for non-radial initial data the full subcritical range in three dimensions.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < b < 1$ and $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3), (1.4) and u be a (possibly non-radial) H^1 -solution to (1.10). If u satisfies (1.11) for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then there exist $\gamma, T > 0$ such that the following estimate is valid*

$$\|e^{-i(\cdot-T)\mathcal{H}}\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [0, +\infty))} \lesssim \epsilon^\gamma.$$

Proof. First, we fix the parameters $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ (to be chosen later). By Strichartz estimate, there exists $T_0 > \epsilon^{-\alpha}$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \|e^{-it\mathcal{H}}u_0\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [T_0, +\infty))} \leq \epsilon^\gamma.$$

For $T \geq T_0$ to be chosen later, define $I_1 := [T - \epsilon^{-\alpha}, T]$, $I_2 := [0, T - \epsilon^{-\alpha}]$ and let η denote a smooth, spherically symmetric function which equals 1 on $B(0, 1/2)$ and 0 outside $B(0, 1)$. For any $R > 0$ use $\eta_R(x) := \eta(x/R)$.

From Duhamel's formula

$$u(T) = e^{-iT\mathcal{H}}u_0 - i \int_0^T e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{H}}|x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}uds,$$

we obtain

$$e^{-i(t-T)\mathcal{H}}u(T) = e^{-it\mathcal{H}}u_0 + iG_1 + iG_2,$$

where

$$G_j(t) := \int_{I_j} e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{H}}|x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}uds, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Estimate on G_1 . By hypothesis (1.11), we can fix $T \geq T_0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \int \eta_R(x)|u(T, x)|^2 dx \lesssim \epsilon^2.$$

Multiplying (1.1) by $\eta_R \bar{u}$, taking the imaginary part and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\partial_t \int \eta_R(x)|u(t, x)|^2 dx \lesssim \frac{1}{R},$$

so that, by (4.2), for $t \in I_1$,

$$\int \eta_R(x)|u(t, x)|^2 dx \lesssim \epsilon^2 + \frac{\epsilon^{-\alpha}}{R}.$$

If $R \geq \epsilon^{-(\alpha+2)}$, then we have $\|\eta_R u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \epsilon$.

We define $(s, l) \in \Lambda_{s_c}$ as

$$s = \frac{4(p-1)(p+1-\theta)}{(p-1)[3(p-1)+2b] - \theta[3(p-1)-4+2b]}, \quad l = \frac{3(p-1)(p+1-\theta)}{(p-1)(3-b) - \theta(2-b)}.$$

By Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding, for $t \in I_1$,

$$(4.3) \quad \|\eta_R|x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_x^{l'}} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{H_x^1}^\theta \|u(t)\|_{L_x^l}^{p-1-\theta} \|\eta_R u(t)\|_{L_x^l} \lesssim \|\eta_R u(t)\|_{L_x^l}.$$

Now, letting μ satisfy $\frac{1}{l'} = \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{1-\mu}{6}$, we have,

$$(4.4) \quad \|\eta_R u(t)\|_{L_x^l} \leq \|u(t)\|_{L_x^6}^{1-\mu} \|\eta_R u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^\mu \lesssim \epsilon^\mu,$$

uniformly for $t \in I_1$. we now exploit the decay of the nonlinearity, instead of assuming radiality to estimate, by Höder and Sobolev embedding, for $R \geq 0$ sufficiently large (depending on ϵ) and $t \in I_1$,

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(1 - \eta_R)|x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_x^{l'}} &\lesssim \||x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u(t)\|_{L_{|x|>R/2}^{l'}} \\ &\lesssim \||x|^{-b}\|_{L_{|x|>R/2}^{l_1}} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^{\theta l_2}}^\theta \|u(t)\|_{L_x^l}^{p-\theta} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{R^{bl_1-3}} \|u\|_{H_x^1}^p \lesssim \epsilon^\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where l_1 and l_2 are such that $bl_1 \geq 3$, $\theta l_2 \in (2, 3(p-1)/(2-b))$ and

$$\frac{1}{l'} = \frac{1}{l_1} + \frac{1}{l_2} + \frac{p-\theta}{l}.$$

Using the Strichartz estimate, together with estimates (4.3), (4.4), and (4.5), we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{I_1} e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{H}} |x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u(s) ds \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [T, \infty))} \\ &\lesssim \||x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-s_c}, I_1)} \\ &\lesssim \||x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_{I_1}^{s'} L_x^{l'}} \\ &\lesssim \|\eta_R|x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_{I_1}^{s'} L_x^{l'}} + \|(1 - \eta_R)|x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_{I_1}^{s'} L_x^{l'}} \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{1/s'} \epsilon^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu-\alpha/s'} = \epsilon^{\mu/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we choose $\alpha := s' \mu/2$.

Estimate on G_2 . Let $(q, r) \in \Lambda_{s_c}$. Define, for small $\delta \geq 0$,

$$\frac{1}{h} = \left(\frac{1}{1-s_c} \right) \left[\frac{1}{q} - \delta s_c \right],$$

and

$$\frac{1}{k} = \left(\frac{1}{1-s_c} \right) \left[\frac{1}{r} - s_c \left(\frac{3-2-4\delta}{6} \right) \right].$$

We see that $(h, k) \in \Lambda_0$. By interpolation,

$$\|G_2\|_{L_{(T, +\infty)}^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|G_2\|_{L_{(T, +\infty)}^h L_x^k}^{1-s_c} \|G_2\|_{L_{(T, +\infty)}^{\frac{1}{\delta}} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2-4\delta}}}^{s_c}.$$

Using Duhamel's principle, write

$$G_2 = e^{-it\mathcal{H}} \left[e^{-i(\cdot-T+\epsilon^{-\alpha})} u(T - \epsilon^{-\alpha}) - u(0) \right].$$

Since, by dispersive estimate (2.3) and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_2\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^{\frac{1}{\delta}} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2-4\delta}}} &\lesssim \left\| \int_{I_2} |\cdot - s|^{-(1+2\delta)} \||x|^{-b}|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_x^{\frac{6}{3+2+4\delta}}} \right\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^{\frac{1}{\delta}}} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1}^p \left\| (\cdot - T + \epsilon^{-\alpha}) \right\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^{\frac{1}{\delta}}} \\
(4.7) \quad &\lesssim \epsilon^{\alpha\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the Strichartz estimate and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_2\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^q L_x^r} &\lesssim \left\| e^{-it\mathcal{H}} \left[e^{-i(-T+\epsilon^{-\alpha})} u(T - \epsilon^{-\alpha}) - u(0) \right] \right\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^h L_x^k}^{1-s_c} \|G_2\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^{\frac{1}{\delta}} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2-4\delta}}}^{s_c} \\
(4.8) \quad &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^{1-s_c} \|G_2\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^{\frac{1}{\delta}} L_x^{\frac{6}{3-2-4\delta}}}^{s_c} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon^{\alpha\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, defining $\gamma := \min\{\mu/2, \alpha\delta s_c\}$ and recalling that

$$e^{-i(t-T)\mathcal{H}}u(T) = e^{-it\mathcal{H}}u_0 + iG_1 + iG_2,$$

we have

$$\|e^{-i(\cdot-T)\mathcal{H}}u(T)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [T,\infty))} \lesssim \epsilon^\gamma.$$

Then, we complete the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Choosing ϵ is sufficiently small, by theorems 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7,

$$\|e^{-i(\cdot)\mathcal{H}}u(T)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [0,\infty))} = \|e^{-i(\cdot-T)\mathcal{H}}u(T)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [T,\infty))} \lesssim \epsilon^\gamma \lesssim \delta_{s_c},$$

where δ_{s_c} is given in Theorem 2.6. Thus by small data scattering theory, u scatters forward in time in H^1 , as desired. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We will prove the Virial-Morawetz estimate, and use it together with scattering criterion to obtain the main result Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $a : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued weight. If $\|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, define*

$$Z(t) = 2Im \int \bar{u} \nabla u \cdot \nabla a dx.$$

Then, if u is a solution to (1.1), we have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} Z(t) &= \left(\frac{4}{p+1} - 2 \right) \int |x|^{-b} |u|^{p+1} \Delta a - \frac{4b}{p+1} \int |x|^{-b-2} |u|^{p+1} x \cdot \nabla a \\
&\quad - \int |u|^2 \Delta \Delta a + 4Re \int a_{i,j} \bar{u}_i u_j - 2 \int \nabla a \cdot \nabla V |u|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function satisfying

$$(5.2) \quad \phi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r \leq 1/2 \\ 0 & r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We define the function $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\omega(r) := \int_0^r \int_0^s \phi(t) dt ds.$$

Given $R > 0$, we define a radial function

$$a(r) := R^2 \omega(r/R), \quad r = |x|.$$

It is easy to see $a(x) \sim |x|^2$ for $|x| \leq R/2$. Moreover, we have the following properties:

$$0 \leq a''(r) \leq 2, \quad 0 \leq \Delta a \leq 10, \quad \forall r \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Lemma 5.2 (Virial-Morawetz). *Let $0 < b < 1$ and $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3), $V \geq 0$, $x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0$. Let $u_0 \in H^1$ satisfy (1.13), (1.14), (1.15). Then for any $T \geq 0$ and any $R \geq \bar{R}$ as in Lemma 3.5, the corresponding global solution to the focusing problem (1.1) satisfies*

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq R/2} |x|^{-b} |u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx dt \lesssim_{u_0, Q} \left[\frac{R}{T} + \frac{1}{R^b} + o_R(1) \right].$$

Proof. Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the definition of $Z(t)$, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |Z(t)| \lesssim R.$$

We denote the angular derivative as $\mathcal{N}u = \nabla u - \frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{|x|^2} x$. Here, the angular derivative is not necessarily zero, since we are not assuming radiality.

We have

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} Z(t) = & 8 \left[\int_{|x| \leq R/2} |\nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_{|x| \leq R/2} |x|^{-b} |u|^{p+1} \right] \\ & + \int_{|x| \geq R/2} \left[\left(\frac{4}{p+1} - 2 \right) \Delta a - \frac{4b}{1+p} \frac{x \cdot \nabla a}{|x|^2} \right] |x|^{-b} |u|^{p+1} \\ & + 4 \int_{|x| \geq R/2} \partial_r^2 a |\partial_r u|^2 + 4 \int_{|x| \geq R/2} \frac{\partial_r a}{|x|} |\mathcal{N}u|^2 \\ & - 4 \int_{|x| \leq R/2} x \cdot \nabla V |u|^2 - 2 \int_{|x| \geq R/2} \nabla a \cdot \nabla V |u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that $\|\Delta \Delta a\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{R^2}$, so we have

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} Z(t) \geq & 8 \left[\int_{|x| \leq R/2} |\nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_{|x| \leq R/2} |x|^{-b} |u|^{p+1} \right] \\ & - \frac{cE^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}{R^b} - \frac{c}{R^2} M[u_0] - 2 \int_{|x| \geq R/2} \nabla a \cdot \nabla V |u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Define a smooth cutoff function satisfies

$$(5.7) \quad \chi^\rho(x) \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & |x| \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\rho}. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to observe the identity as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.8) \quad & \int_{|x| \leq \frac{R}{2}} |\nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_{|x| \leq \frac{R}{2}} |x|^{-b} |u|^{p+1} \\
&= \left[\int (\chi_R^\rho)^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \int (\chi_R^\rho)^2 |x|^{-b} |u|^{p+1} \right] \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\left[\int_{\frac{R}{2} < |x| \leq \frac{R}{2} + \frac{R}{\rho}} (\chi_R^\rho)^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_{\frac{R}{2} < |x| \leq \frac{R}{2} + \frac{R}{\rho}} (\chi_R^\rho)^2 |x|^{-b} |u|^{p+1} \right]}_{I_\rho} \\
&= \left[|\chi_R^\rho \nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \int |x|^{-b} |\chi_R^\rho u|^{p+1} \right] \\
&\quad - \underbrace{I_\rho - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3-b}{p+1} \right) \int \left((\chi_R^\rho)^{p+1} - (\chi_R^\rho)^2 \right) |x|^{-b} |u|^{p+1}}_{II_\rho}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.9) \quad & \int |\chi_R^\rho \nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \int |x|^{-b} |\chi_R^\rho u|^{p+1} \geq \\
& \int |\nabla (\chi_R^\rho u)|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \int |x|^{-b} |\chi_R^\rho u|^{p+1} - \frac{c}{R^2} M[u_0].
\end{aligned}$$

The inequalities (5.6), (5.8) and (5.9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.10) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} Z(t) \geq 8 \left[\int |\nabla (\chi_R^\rho u)|^2 + \left(\frac{3-b}{p+1} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \int |x|^{-b} |\chi_R^\rho u|^{p+1} \right] \\
& \quad - \frac{cE^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}{R^b} - \frac{c}{R^2} M[u_0] - 8I_\rho - 8II_\rho - 2 \int_{|x| \geq R/2} \nabla a \cdot \nabla V |u|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\nabla a = R \frac{x}{|x|} \omega'(r/R)$, $|x| \geq R/2$. Moreover, the derivative of ω is bounded.(not depending R) By Sobolev embedding and interpolation, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^m} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^\vartheta \|u\|_{L^6}^{1-\vartheta} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^1}, \quad 2 \leq m \leq 6.$$

It is easy to see when $r \geq \frac{3}{2}$, we have $2 \leq 2r' \leq 6$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{|x| \geq R/2} \nabla a \cdot \nabla V |u|^2 \right| &\lesssim \int_{|x| \geq R/2} |\nabla a \cdot \nabla V| |u|^2 \\
&\lesssim \int |x \cdot \nabla V| |u|^2 \lesssim \|x \cdot \nabla V\|_{L^r} \|u\|_{L^{2r'}}^2 < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(5.11) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{|x| \geq R/2} \nabla a \cdot \nabla V |u|^2 \right| = 0$$

By Lemma 3.5, and recalling that $0 < b < 1$, we can write (5.10) as

$$\int |x|^{-b} |\chi_R^\rho u(t)|^{p+1} \lesssim_{u_0, Q} \frac{d}{dt} Z(t) + \frac{1}{R^b} + 8I_\rho + 8II_\rho + o_R(1).$$

We can now make $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$ to obtain $I_\rho + II_\rho \rightarrow 0$ by dominated convergence. Hence,

$$\int_{|x| \leq \frac{R}{2}} |x|^{-b} |u(t)|^{p+1} \lesssim_{u_0, Q} \frac{d}{dt} Z(t) + \frac{1}{R^b} + o_R(1).$$

We finish the proof integrating over time, and using (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq \frac{R}{2}} |x|^{-b} |u(t)|^{p+1} &\lesssim_{u_0, Q} \frac{1}{T} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z(t)| + \frac{1}{R^b} + o_R(1) \\ &\lesssim_{u_0, Q} \frac{R}{T} + \frac{1}{R^b} + o_R(1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.3 (Energy evacuation). *Let $0 < b < 1$ and $1 + \frac{4-2b}{3} < p < 1 + 4 - 2b$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1.3), $V \geq 0$, $x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0$. Let $u_0 \in H^1$ satisfy (1.13), (1.14), (1.15). there exist a sequence of times $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, and a sequence of radii $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that*

$$(5.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R_n} |x|^{-b} |u(t_n)|^{p+1} = 0.$$

Proof. Choosing $T_n = R_n^3$, by Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\frac{1}{R_n^3} \int_0^{R_n^3} \int_{|x| \leq \frac{R_n}{2}} |x|^{-b} |u(t)|^{p+1} \lesssim_{u_0, Q} \frac{1}{R_n^2} + \frac{1}{R_n^b} + o_R(1).$$

According to the Mean Value Theorem, it is easy to get that there exist sequences $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, and a sequence of radii $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(5.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R_n} |x|^{-b} |u(t_n)|^{p+1} = 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Take $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, and a sequence of radii $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ as in Lemma 5.3. Fix $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $R \geq 0$ as in Theorem (1.1). Choosing n sufficiently large, such that $R_n \geq R$, by Hölder's inequality yields

$$\int_{|x| \leq R} |u(x, t_n)|^2 \lesssim R^{\frac{2b+3(p-1)}{p+1}} \left(\int_{|x| \leq R_n} |x|^{-b} |u(t_n)|^{p+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, u scatters forward in time. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Arora, B. Dodson and J. Murphy, *Scattering below the ground state for the 2D radial nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148**, 1653-1663, 2020.
- [2] L. Campos, *Scattering of radial solutions to inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Nonlinear Anal. **202**, 1-17, 2021.
- [3] L. Campos and M. Cardoso, *A virial-Morawetz approach to scattering for the non-radial inhomogeneous NLS*. arXiv:2104.11266v1, 2021.
- [4] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*. American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [5] V. D. Dinh, *Global dynamics for a class of inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential*. Mathematische Nachrichten, **294**(4), 672-716, 2021. 4
- [6] V. D. Dinh, *Scattering theory in a weighted L^2 space for a class of the defocusing inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. arXiv: 1710.01392, 2017. 2, 3

- [7] V. D. Dinh, *Blow up of H^1 solutions for a class of the focusing inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. *Nolinear Anal.* **174** 169-188, 2018. 3, 12
- [8] L. G Farah, *Global well-posedness and blow-up on the energy space for the inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. *J. Evol. Equ.* **16**(1), 193-208. 3, 10, 12
- [9] L. G Farah and C.M. Guzmán, *Scattering for the radial 3D cubic focusing inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. *J. Differential Equations* **262**(8), 4175-4231, 2017.3
- [10] F. Genoud, *An inhomogeneous, L^2 -critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equations*. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **11**(3), 283-290, 2012.3
- [11] F. Genoud and C. A. Stuart, *Schrödinger equations with a spatially decaying nonlinearity: existence and stability of standing waves*. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **21**(1), 137-186, 2008.2
- [12] C. M. Guzmán, *On well posedness for the inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. *Nolinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **37** 249-286, 2017.2,17
- [13] E. P. Gross and E. Meeron, *Physics of Many-Particle Systems*, vol. 1, pp. 231-406. Gordon Breach, New York (1966).
- [14] T. S. Gill, *Optical guiding of laser beam in nonuniform plasma*, *Pramana.* **55**(2000), no. 5-6, 835-842
- [15] Y. Hong, *Scattering for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a potential*. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **15** (5):1571-1601, 2016. 1, 3, 4, 7.
- [16] M. Hamano and M. Ikeda, *Global dynamics below the ground state for the focusing Schrödinger equation with a potential*. arXiv: 1903.03830v1, 2019. 5, 9
- [17] M. Keel and T. Tao, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*. *American Journal of Mathematics*, **120**(5):955-980, 1998.
- [18] C. E. Kenig and F. Merle, *Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing, non-linear Schrödinger equation in the radial case*. *Inventiones mathematicae*, **166**(3):645-675, Dec 2006.
- [19] C. Miao, J. Murphy, and J. Zheng, *Scattering for the non-radial inhomogeneous NLS*. To appear in *Math. Res. Let.* arXiv:1912.01318v1, 2019. 12, 3
- [20] C. Miao, J. Murphy, and J. Zheng, *Threshold scattering for the focusing NLS with a repulsive potential*. arXiv : 2102.07163v1, 2021. 2, 14
- [21] C. Miao, J. Zhang, and J. Zheng, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with Coulomb potential*. arXiv:1809.06685v1, 2018. 9, 18
- [22] C. Liu and V. Tripathi, *Laser guiding in an axially nonuniform plasma channel*, *Physics of plasmas.* **1**(1994), no. 9, 3100-3103.
- [23] C. Xu and T. Zhao, *A remark on the Scattering theory for the 2D radial focusing INLS* arXiv: 1908.00743, 2019. 3
- [24] J. Zheng, *Focusing NLS with inverse square potential*. arXiv: 1808.02236v1, 2018. 8, 7

SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, P.R. CHINA;

Email address: 19110840011@fudan.edu.cn

THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF CHINA ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING PHYSICS, P. O. BOX 2101, BEIJING, CHINA, 100088;

Email address: xuchengbin19@gcscaep.ac.cn