

# Probabilistic distribution functions

Jun Yan Lau<sup>1,2\*</sup> & James Binney<sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*UCL Mullard Space Sciences Laboratory, Holmbury St Mary, Surrey RH5 6NT*

<sup>2</sup>*Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, Clarendon Laboratory, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PU, UK*

13 January 2022

## ABSTRACT

Observed clusters should be modelled by considering the distribution function to be a random variable that quantifies the degree of excitation of the system’s normal modes. A system of canonical coordinates for the space of DFs is identified so DFs can be weighted in a consistent way.

**Key words:** Galaxy: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – methods: analytical

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Galaxies and star clusters are in approximate states of equilibrium and have for decades been fitted to models in which the distribution function (DF)  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$  of their constituent particles (stars, dark-matter particles) are steady-state solutions of the collisionless Boltzmann equation (CBE). The advent of massive simulations of galaxy formation (e.g. Laporte et al. 2019) and detailed data from the Gaia mission (Gaia Collaboration & Brown 2018) and large integral field units such as MUSE (e.g. Vitral & Mamon 2021) have stimulated interest in non-equilibrium features of galaxies, especially the Milky Way (e.g. Antoja et al. 2018).

It is likely that equilibrium models will not suffice to explain the exquisite data that Gaia is delivering because the data, effectively taken at one instant of a cluster’s life, capture a specific fluctuation. Hence we now need models that embrace fluctuations. Clearly this can only be done in a statistical sense: the requirement is to predict which deviations from a mean-field model are likely. That is, we need to assign probabilities to distribution functions (Magorrian 2006), and the theory will be tested by comparing with observations its predictions for populations of clusters or galaxies.

The dynamics of a crystal are often best studied by going to the continuum limit in which quantities like the displacement  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  of atoms from equilibrium positions and the orientations  $\mathbf{s}$  of spins are defined at all  $\mathbf{x}$ . Then the state of the system is defined by fields such as  $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})$  or  $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ . Similarly, in stellar dynamics we want to characterise the disturbance of a cluster by the field  $f(\mathbf{w})$ , where  $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$  is a location in phase space. Then we want to compute expectation values of observables  $\mathcal{O}$  by taking expectation values over all possible fields  $f$ : doing so we are computing a double expectation:

first  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_f = \int d^6\mathbf{w} f(\mathbf{w}) \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{w})$  and then an average of these averages weighted by the probability of each DF  $f$ .

An example of an important observable to predict in this way is the variance of a suitable dipole moment to quantify the tendency for the densest part of a cluster to be offset from the cluster’s barycentre. In this case one would take  $\mathcal{O}$  to be the product of a function  $R(r)$  that has opposite signs at  $r = 0$  and  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and an  $\ell = 1$  spherical harmonic:

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{w}) = R(r) Y_1^m(\theta, \phi). \quad (1)$$

In the case of an isolated, non-rotating cluster  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_f$  would then be a random variable with vanishing mean and a dispersion that would be independent of the azimuthal quantum number  $m$ . The variance of  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_f$  would be a prediction that could be tested from observations of a sufficient number of real or simulated clusters (e.g. Lau & Binney 2019; Heggie et al. 2020).

This programme requires a rule for assigning a priori probabilities to possible fields  $f(\mathbf{w})$ . In classical statistical mechanics the analogous rule is inferred by noting that the a priori probability of some range of phase-space locations  $\mathbf{w}$  must be independent of time as the system evolves undisturbed, and Liouville’s theorem ensures that this condition is satisfied if a priori probability is proportional to the volume element  $d^6\mathbf{w} = d^3\mathbf{q} d^3\mathbf{p}$  defined by a system of canonical coordinates  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  – here it’s important that (1) any system of canonical coordinates assigns probability in the same way, and (2) the assignment is time-independent because Hamilton’s equations effect a series of canonical transformations.

Guided by this analogy, we seek a system of canonical coordinates for the space of possible DFs. Canonical coordinates are defined to be those in which Poisson brackets  $[f, g]$  have the canonical form

$$[f, g] = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}_i} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{p}_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}_i} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{q}_i} \right). \quad (2)$$

Poisson brackets impose a symplectic structure on phase

\* E-mail: jun.lau.20@ucl.ac.uk

† E-mail: binney@physics.ox.ac.uk

space and canonical coordinates are privileged coordinates with respect to this structure in the same way that Cartesian coordinates are privileged with respect to a Euclidean metric (e.g. Arnold 1989). So the key to defining a field theory for stellar dynamics is imposing a symplectic structure on the space of all possible DFs. That is, we must define an antisymmetric bilinear form  $\{, \}$  that yields a functional on the space of DFs when the two slots are filled by two DFs. The standard phase-space Poisson bracket is not a candidate for this job because  $[f, g]$  is a function on phase space rather than a functional. In Section 3 we identify a symplectic structure for the space of DFs. This structure then allows us to identify in Section 3.2 canonical coordinates for the space of DFs.

LB21 have recently argued that the fluctuations of a stellar system are best tackled in terms of the system's van Kampen modes. They showed that a system's excitation energy is the sum of the energies invested in each of its van Kampen modes. The energy of a growing or decaying mode is identically zero and in Section 4 we show that when the energy of a stable mode is expressed in terms of canonical coordinates, it takes the form of a sum of harmonic-oscillator Hamiltonians. This result suggests that it may be possible to apply to clusters the methods of classical equilibrium statistical mechanics.

## 2 MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND AND NOTATION

Here we introduce two vital concepts and define our notation.

### 2.1 Angle-action variables

Actions  $J_i$  are constants of motion that can serve as canonical phase-space coordinates. Their conjugate variables, the angles  $\theta_i$ , increase linearly in time, so  $\boldsymbol{\theta}(t) = \boldsymbol{\theta}(0) + \boldsymbol{\Omega}t$ . A particles' Hamiltonian  $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$  is a function  $H(\mathbf{J})$  of the actions only and the frequencies  $\Omega_i$  that control the rates of increase of the angles are given by  $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \partial H / \partial \mathbf{J}$ . Since angle-action variables are canonical coordinates, the element of phase-space volume  $d^6\mathbf{w} = d^3\mathbf{x}d^3\mathbf{v} = d^3\boldsymbol{\theta}d^3\mathbf{J}$ . Functions on phase space can be expressed as Fourier series:

$$h(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{J}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\theta}}; \quad h_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{J}) = \int \frac{d^3\boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\theta}} h(\mathbf{w}). \quad (3)$$

Note that for real  $h$ ,  $h_{-\mathbf{k}} = h_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ .

### 2.2 van Kampen modes

The CBE is time-reversal symmetric, so group-theoretic arguments suggest that it should have a complete set of solutions with time dependence  $e^{i\omega t}$ , with  $\omega$  possibly complex. These solutions are called van Kampen modes. Lau & Binney (2021, hereafter LB21) show that these solutions are the eigenfunctions of a Hermitian operator on phase space  $K$ , with eigenvalue  $\omega^2$ . Since the eigenvalues of a Hermitian operator are all real,  $\omega$  is either real or pure imaginary. Time-reversal symmetry implies that if there is a van Kampen mode with frequency  $\omega = iy$  with  $y$  real, then there is

another mode with frequency  $\omega^* = -iy$ . Hence modes are either pure oscillatory or exponentially growing/decaying in pairs. The real frequencies form a continuum, while the imaginary frequencies are isolated.

## 3 A SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURE FOR THE SPACE OF POSSIBLE DFs

In this section we identify the symplectic structure for the space of DFs. Coordinates for the space of DFs are numbers that characterise a DF in the same way that quantum amplitudes  $a_i \equiv \langle E_i | \psi \rangle$  are numbers that characterise the state  $|\psi\rangle$  of a quantum system. Suitable numbers can be extracted by taking an inner product with a function  $a_i(\mathbf{w})$ :

$$\hat{a}_i[f] \equiv (a_i, f) \equiv \int d^6\mathbf{w} a_i^*(\mathbf{w}) f(\mathbf{w}). \quad (4)$$

Here a hat implies a functional on the space of DFs, and the square brackets imply that the argument is a function on phase space rather than a number. One possible choice of function that defines  $\hat{a}_i$  is  $a_{\mathbf{w}'}(\mathbf{w}) = \delta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}')$ . Then  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{w}'}[f] = f(\mathbf{w}')$  is just the value of the DF at the location  $\mathbf{w}'$  associated with this coordinate. Another widely employed choice is  $a_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{J}'} = \delta(\mathbf{J}' - \mathbf{J}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\theta}} / (2\pi)^3$ , which yields  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{J}'}[f] = f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{J}')$ . We show below that via equation (21) the  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$  yield canonical coordinates for the space of DFs.

We are interested in how coordinates vary as we move about the space of DFs, so we need the functional derivative

$$\frac{\delta \hat{a}_i}{\delta f(\mathbf{w}')} \equiv \int d^6\mathbf{w} a_i^*(\mathbf{w}) \delta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}') = a_i^*(\mathbf{w}'). \quad (5)$$

Note that taking a functional derivative of a coordinate  $\hat{a}_i$  (a functional) we obtain a function on phase space.

Inspired by Morrison (1980), we construct a symplectic operator such that CBE can be written in the form of Hamilton's equations, namely

$$\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial t} = \{\hat{f}, \hat{H}_s\}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is a functional such as  $\hat{a}_i$  that characterises the system's DF and<sup>1</sup>

$$\hat{H}_s[f] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int d^6\mathbf{w} f(\mathbf{w}) (v^2 + \Phi(\mathbf{w})), \quad (7)$$

with

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = -GM \int d^6\mathbf{w}' \frac{f(\mathbf{w}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}. \quad (8)$$

In equation (6) both sides are functionals and the Poisson bracket on the right is defined by

$$\{\hat{U}, \hat{V}\}[f] \equiv \int d^6\mathbf{w} f(\mathbf{w}) \left[ \frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})}, \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})} \right], \quad (9)$$

where the square brackets in the integral denote a conventional Poisson bracket.

<sup>1</sup>  $\Phi$  appears with a factor half in the Hamiltonian because it is the potential self-consistently generated by the DF rather than an externally imposed potential.

### 3.1 Equivalence of equation (6) and the CBE

The functional derivative of  $\hat{H}_s$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta \hat{H}_s}{\delta f(\mathbf{w}')} &= \frac{1}{2} (v'^2 + \Phi(\mathbf{x}')) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^6 \mathbf{w} f(\mathbf{w}) \frac{\delta \Phi(\mathbf{w})}{\delta f(\mathbf{w}')} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (v'^2 + \Phi(\mathbf{x}')) - \frac{1}{2} GM \int d^6 \mathbf{w} \frac{f(\mathbf{w})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} v'^2 + \Phi(\mathbf{x}'),\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

which is the Hamiltonian of a single star. When we substitute the Poisson bracket's definition (9) into equation (6) and use our expression for the functional derivative of  $\hat{H}_s$ , we find

$$\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial t}[f] = \int d^6 \mathbf{w} f(\mathbf{w}) \left[ \frac{\delta \hat{f}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})}, H_s(\mathbf{w}) \right], \quad (11)$$

This equation holds for any choice of functional (coordinate)  $\hat{f}$  on DF space. If we set  $\hat{f}$  equal to the functional  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{w}'}$  with  $a_{\mathbf{w}'}(\mathbf{w}) = \delta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}')$  explored above, then the number  $\hat{f}[f]$  becomes  $f(\mathbf{w}')$  and the functional derivative  $\delta \hat{f} / \delta f(\mathbf{w})$  becomes  $\delta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}')$ , so we have

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{w}')}{\partial t} = \int d^6 \mathbf{w} f(\mathbf{w}) [\delta(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}'), H(\mathbf{w})]. \quad (12)$$

For any three functions we have that  $\int d^6 \mathbf{w} f[g, h] = \int d^6 \mathbf{w} g[h, f]$  (provided one or more vanishes at infinity), so equation (12) yields the conventional CBE

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{w}')}{\partial t} = [H(\mathbf{w}'), f(\mathbf{w}')], \quad (13)$$

The opposing signs in these two forms of the CBE (6) and (13) reflect the difference between Hamilton's equation  $\dot{q} = [q, H]$  and the conventional CBE  $0 = \dot{f} = \partial_t f + [f, H] \Rightarrow \partial_t f = -[f, H]$ . That is, equation (6) is the equation of motion of the DF's coordinates, while equation (13) gives the rate of change of the value of  $f$  at fixed  $\mathbf{w}$ .

Above we derived the conventional CBE (13) from equation (6), but reversing the chain or arguments one can also show that equation (6) follows from the conventional CBE; the statements  $\partial_t \hat{f} = \{\hat{f}, \hat{H}_s\}$  and  $\partial_t f = -[f, H]$  are equivalent.

### 3.2 Application to equilibrium systems

We now examine the structure of the functional Poisson bracket when evaluated on a DF that differs from that of an equilibrium model only by virtue of a fluctuation. That is, we consider DFs of the form

$$f(\mathbf{w}) = f_0(\mathbf{J}) + f_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{J}). \quad (14)$$

In this case the Poisson bracket (9) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}\{\hat{U}, \hat{V}\}[f] &= \int d^6 \mathbf{w} \frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})} \left[ \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})}, f \right] \\ &= \int d^6 \mathbf{w} \frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{J}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{J}} \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

The second term in the round bracket is smaller than the first by  $O(f_1/f_0)$ , so to leading order we have

$$\{\hat{U}, \hat{V}\}[f] = \int d^6 \mathbf{w} \frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{J}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})} \quad (16)$$

If we define

$$\begin{aligned}x_{\mathbf{k}} &= \Re(f_{\mathbf{k}}) = \int \frac{d^3 \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^3} f \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ y_{\mathbf{k}} &= \Im(f_{\mathbf{k}}) = - \int \frac{d^3 \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^3} f \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}),\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

then we can restrict sums over  $\mathbf{k}$  to a half-plane, such as  $k_1 \geq 0$  and

$$\frac{\delta x_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{J})}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})} = \frac{\cos \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^3} \text{ and } \frac{\delta y_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{J})}{\delta f(\mathbf{w})} = -\frac{\sin \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}}{(2\pi)^3}. \quad (18)$$

Now applying the chain rule to (16) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\{\hat{U}, \hat{V}\}[f] &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int d^6 \mathbf{w} \\ &\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta x_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{J})} \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta y_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{J})} \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{J}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ &\sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \left( \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta x_{\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{J})} \cos(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta y_{\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{J})} \sin(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 \mathbf{J} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{J}} \left( -\frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta x_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta y_{\mathbf{k}}} + \frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta x_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta y_{\mathbf{k}}} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

To bring the Poisson bracket (9) to the canonical form

$$\{\hat{U}, \hat{V}\}[f] = \int d^6 \mathbf{w} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta q_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta p_{\mathbf{k}}} - \frac{\delta \hat{U}}{\delta p_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\delta \hat{V}}{\delta q_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) \quad (20)$$

we introduce new coordinates

$$q_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv u_{\mathbf{k}} x_{\mathbf{k}} \quad ; \quad p_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv u_{\mathbf{k}} y_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$u_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2(2\pi)^6}{-\mathbf{k} \cdot \partial f_0 / \partial \mathbf{J}}}. \quad (22)$$

and restrict the sum over  $\mathbf{k}$  to the half of  $k$  space in which  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \partial f_0 / \partial \mathbf{J} < 0$ .

To summarise: the Fourier coefficients  $q_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $p_{\mathbf{k}}$  of DFs have emerged as canonical coordinates for the space of DFs. If we assign a priori probability to sets of DFs according to their volume elements  $\int d^3 \mathbf{J} \prod_{\mathbf{k}} dq_{\mathbf{k}} dp_{\mathbf{k}}$ , our assignment will be invariant under canonical transformation to new coordinates for DFs, and, crucially, the a priori probability of a set of DFs will remain unchanged as the DFs evolve according to the CBE. We have shown that  $(q_{\mathbf{k}}, p_{\mathbf{k}})$  are canonical coordinates only to linear order, but the invariance of probabilities assigned by canonical coordinates is exact because the full CBE is of Hamiltonian form.

## 4 ERGODIC SYSTEMS

Here we derive some results for stable ergodic systems – equilibria with DFs that are functions of the single-particle Hamiltonian  $H(\mathbf{J})$ , so  $f_0(H(\mathbf{J}))$ . Antonov (1961) showed that to be stable the DF must satisfy  $f'_0(H) < 0$ .

#### 4.1 Inner product

For such systems the natural inner product of the space of DFs is (e.g. LB21)

$$\langle f|g \rangle \equiv \int \frac{d^6 \mathbf{w}}{|f'_0(H)|} f^*(\mathbf{w}) g(\mathbf{w}). \quad (23)$$

It is simple to show that expressed in terms of Fourier components the product takes the form

$$\langle f|g \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{J}}{|f'_0|} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}^* g_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (24)$$

#### 4.2 Even and odd DFs

Antonov (1961) showed that it is useful to split the DFs of perturbed ergodic systems into parts even and odd in  $\mathbf{v}$ :

$$f_{\pm}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \{f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \pm f(\mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{v})\}. \quad (25)$$

The unperturbed DF lies entirely within  $f_+$  while  $f_-$  is entirely due to the perturbation. It is easy to show from the CBE that to first order in the perturbation  $f_{\pm}$  are related by

$$\partial_t f_+ = -\Omega \cdot \partial_{\theta} f_-. \quad (26)$$

In the case of a normal mode

$$f(\mathbf{w}, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega > 0} \left( f_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \theta - \omega t)} + f_{\mathbf{k}}^* e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \theta - \omega t)} \right), \quad (27)$$

where the sum is restricted to half of  $\mathbf{k}$  space to compensate for the explicit inclusion of the complex conjugate of each term. When we substitute this expansion in equation (26) and equate coefficients of exponentials, we find

$$\omega f_{\mathbf{k}+} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega f_{\mathbf{k}-} \text{ and } \omega f_{\mathbf{k}+}^* = \mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega f_{\mathbf{k}-}^* \text{ (} \mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega > 0 \text{)}. \quad (28)$$

Given that  $f_{\mathbf{k}}^* = f_{-\mathbf{k}}$ , a change of variable to  $\mathbf{k}' \equiv -\mathbf{k}$  in the second relation yields

$$\omega f_{\mathbf{k}+} = -\mathbf{k}' \cdot \Omega f_{\mathbf{k}'-} \text{ (} \mathbf{k}' \cdot \Omega < 0 \text{)} \quad (29)$$

so we always have  $\omega f_{\mathbf{k}+} = |\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega| f_{\mathbf{k}-}$ . Hence,

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{\mathbf{k}+} + f_{\mathbf{k}-} = \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega|}{\omega} + 1 \right) f_{\mathbf{k}-}. \quad (30)$$

#### 4.3 Energy of a mode

The inner product has the dimensions of energy, and indeed LB21 show that the energy of a van Kampen mode is

$$E_{\text{mode}} = \langle f_- | f_- \rangle. \quad (31)$$

Crucially, the energies of van Kampen modes are additive because their odd DFs  $f_-$  are mutually orthogonal.

Equations (24) and (30) allow us to express  $E$  in terms of the Fourier components of the complete perturbed DF as

$$E_{\text{mode}} = (2\pi)^3 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{J}}{|f'_0|} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{|f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{(1 + |\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega|/\omega)^2}. \quad (32)$$

Rewritten in terms of the canonical variables (21) the energy is

$$E_{\text{mode}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{J} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega > 0} \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega}{(1 + |\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega|/\omega)^2} (|q_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |p_{\mathbf{k}}|^2). \quad (33)$$

$E_{\text{mode}}$  has the form of the sum of the Hamiltonians of harmonic oscillators with frequencies  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega / (1 + |\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega|/\omega)^2$ . The energy of the entire system, being a sum of the energies of individual modes, is also a sum of harmonic-oscillator energies

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{total}} &= \sum_{\text{modes}} E_{\text{mode}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{J} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega > 0} \sum_{\text{modes}} \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega}{(1 + |\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega|/\omega)^2} (|q_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |p_{\mathbf{k}}|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The key to computing thermodynamic potentials is to express the system's Hamiltonian as a sum of harmonic-oscillator Hamiltonians because that done the partition function (or the entropy  $-k_B \ln \Omega$ ) is readily evaluated. Equation (33) brings us closer to that goal but unfortunately not right to it: the energy of a single mode is given in terms of contributions from many oscillators: each pair  $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{J})$  corresponds to a different oscillator. LB21 give an expression for  $E_{\text{mode}}$  that involves an integral over just resonant tori, i.e., ones satisfying  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \Omega = \omega$ , but this integral involves the mode's potential  $\Phi[f]$ , which is not easily computed. What's needed is a canonical transformation from  $(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}[f], \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{k}}[f])$  to new functionals  $(Q_i[f], P_i[f])$  such that the energy of the  $i$ th mode is  $\Omega_i(Q_i^2 + P_i^2)$ . Since the Hamiltonian is a quadratic function in both systems, the sought-after transformation could be linear. The new functionals  $(Q_i[f], P_i[f])$  will encode the amplitude and phase of the contribution from the  $i$ th van Kampen mode that's required to build up the an arbitrary DF.

Morrison & Shadwick (1994) identified the required functionals in the case of a one-dimensional, homogeneous, electrostatic plasma. Unfortunately, their treatment doesn't immediately generalise to multiple spatial dimensions even in the case of a plasma. Perhaps a similar transform could be found that works in the three-dimensional case. If this could be done for an electrostatic plasma, it could almost certainly be adapted to the very similar case of the gravitating periodic cube (Barnes et al. 1986), which also offers scope for some interesting numerical experiments.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

Galaxies and star clusters are in states that differ from the steady-state solutions to the CBE that have traditionally been used to interpret data. Differences between actual and idealised states can now be detected in the most precise modern data, so we need to extend stellar dynamics so these deviations are appropriately predicted. Predictions will generally be of a statistical nature: particular deviations will be assigned probabilities. The natural way to do this is to assign probabilities to individual DFs. This needs to be done in a consistent manner. In particular, probabilities should be not change when DFs evolve under the CBE. We have shown how this requirement can be met by identifying a symplectic structure and associated canonical coordinates for the space of DFs.

The ideal canonical coordinates for DF space would be functionals that determine the amplitude and phase of each van Kampen mode that is required to build up a given DF.

These would be the angle-action coordinates of DF space. We have not identified these functionals, but we have identified one system of canonical coordinates, from which the angle-action coordinates might be derived through a canonical transformation. We have shown, moreover, that the energy of van Kampen mode is a quadratic function of the identified coordinates. This amounts to a significant step on the road to a seductive theory of the thermodynamics of stellar systems.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Douglas Heggie for a careful and helpful referee's report. Jun Yan Lau gratefully acknowledges support from University College London's Overseas and Graduate Research Scholarships. James Binney is supported by the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council under grant number ST/N000919/1 and by the Leverhulme Trust through an Emeritus Fellowship.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

No new data was generated or analysed in support of this research.

## REFERENCES

- Antoja T. et al., 2018, *Nat*, 561, 360
- Antonov V. A., 1961, *SovA*, 4, 859
- Arnold V., 1989, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Vol. 60. Springer
- Barnes J., Goodman J., Hut P., 1986, *ApJ*, 300, 112
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown A. G. A. e. a., 2018, *A&A*, 616, A1
- Heggie D. C., Breen P. G., Varri A. L., 2020, *MNRAS*, 492, 6019
- Laporte C. F. P., Minchev I., Johnston K. V., Gómez F. A., 2019, *MNRAS*, 485, 3134
- Lau J. Y., Binney J., 2019, *MNRAS*, 490, 478
- Lau J. Y., Binney J., 2021, *MNRAS*, xxx, xxx
- Magorrian J., 2006, *MNRAS*, 373, 425
- Morrison P. J., 1980, *Phys.Lett.A*, 80, 383
- Morrison P. J., Shadwick B. A., 1994, *Acta Physica Polonica A*, 85, 759
- Vitral E., Mamon G. A., 2021, *A&A*, 646, A63