

ENHANCED DISSIPATION FOR THE THIRD COMPONENT OF 3D ANISOTROPIC NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

LI XU AND PING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the decay rates for the global small smooth solutions to 3D anisotropic incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. In particular, we prove that the horizontal components of the velocity field decay like the solutions of 2D classical Navier-Stokes equations. While the third component of the velocity field decays as the solutions of 3D Navier-Stokes equations. We remark that such enhanced decay rate for the third component is caused by the interplay between the divergence free condition of the velocity field and the horizontal Laplacian in the anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations.

Keywords: Anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, Littlewood-Paley theory, large time behavior

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35Q30, 76D03

1. INTRODUCTION

We investigate the large time behavior of the global small smooth solutions to the following 3D anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} - \Delta_h \mathbf{v} + \nabla p = \mathbf{0}, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \\ \mathbf{v}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{v}_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta_h = \partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2$ denotes the horizontal Laplacian operator, $\mathbf{v} = (v^1, v^2, v^3)$ and p designate the velocity and the pressure of the fluid respectively.

Systems of this type appear in geophysical fluid dynamics (see for instance [3, 14]). In fact, meteorologists often modelize turbulent diffusion by putting a viscosity of the form: $-\mu_h \Delta_h - \mu_3 \partial_3^2$, where μ_h and μ_3 are empirical constants, and μ_3 is usually much smaller than μ_h . For simplicity, we shall take $\mu_h = 1$ and $\mu_3 = 0$ in this context.

Just as the classical Navier-Stokes system where the horizontal Laplacian Δ_h in (1.1) is replaced by the full Laplacian $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_j^2$, it is still big open question concerning whether or not singularity will be developed in finite time for (1.1) with general initial data. Considering system (1.1) has only horizontal dissipation, it is reasonable to use the following anisotropic Sobolev space to study the well-posedness of the system (1.1):

Definition 1.1. For any $(s, s') \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the anisotropic Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{s, s'}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the space of homogeneous tempered distribution a such that

$$\|a\|_{\dot{H}^{s, s'}}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi_h|^{2s} |\xi_3|^{2s'} |\widehat{a}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad \xi_h = (\xi_1, \xi_2).$$

Chemin et al. [3] and Iftimie [9] proved that (1.1) is locally well-posed with initial data in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \dot{H}^{0, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and is globally well-posed if in addition

$$\|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{L^2}^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{0, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}}^{1 - \varepsilon} \leq c \quad (1.2)$$

for some sufficiently small constant c .

Notice that just as the classical Navier-Stokes system, the system (1.1) has the following scaling invariant property:

$$\mathbf{v}_\lambda(t, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda \mathbf{v}(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_{0, \lambda}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda \mathbf{v}_0(\lambda x), \quad (1.3)$$

which means that if \mathbf{v} is a solution of (1.1) with initial data \mathbf{v}_0 on $[0, T]$, \mathbf{v}_λ determined by (1.3) is also a solution of (1.1) with initial data $\mathbf{v}_{0, \lambda}$ on $[0, T/\lambda^2]$.

It is easy to observe that the smallness condition (1.2) in [3] is scaling invariant under the scaling transformation (1.3), nevertheless, the norm of the space $H^{0, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}$ is not. To investigate the well-posedness

of (1.1) with initial data in the critical spaces, we recall the following anisotropic dyadic operators from [1]:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_k^h a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-k}|\xi_h|)\hat{a}), & \Delta_\ell^v a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-\ell}|\xi_3|)\hat{a}), \\ S_k^h a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-k}|\xi_h|)\hat{a}), & S_\ell^v a &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-\ell}|\xi_3|)\hat{a}),\end{aligned}\tag{1.4}$$

where $\xi_h = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, $\mathcal{F}a$ or \hat{a} denotes the Fourier transform of a , while $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a$ designates the inverse Fourier transform of a , $\chi(\tau)$ and $\varphi(\tau)$ are smooth functions such that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Supp } \varphi &\subset \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\tau| \leq \frac{8}{3} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \tau > 0, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) = 1; \\ \text{Supp } \chi &\subset \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} : |\tau| \leq \frac{4}{3} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \chi(\tau) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2. We define $\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to be the set of homogenous tempered distribution a so that

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^v a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty.$$

Paicu [12] proved the local well-posedness of the system (1.1) with initial data in $\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ and also global well-posedness of (1.1) provided that $\|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$ is sufficiently small. Chemin and the second author [4] introduced a critical anisotropic Besov-Sobolev type space with negative index and proved the global well-posedness of (1.1) with small initial data in this space. In particular, this result implies the global well-posedness of (1.1) with highly oscillatory initial data in the horizontal variables. Those global well-posedness results in [4, 12] were improved in [13, 19] that the system has a unique global solution with two components of the initial data being small enough in the critical spaces. Very recently, Liu, Paicu and the second author [10] proved the global well-posedness of (1.1) with uni-directional derivative of the initial data being sufficiently small. More precisely, as long as $\| |D_h|^{-1} \partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0 \|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}$ is small enough, (1.1) has a unique global solution, which in particular improves the previous global well-posedness results in [12, 13, 19]. One may check [10] and the references therein for details concerning the well-posedness theory of (1.1). The authors of [11] studied the lower bound to the lifespan of the local smooth solutions to the system (1.1).

Lately, Ji, Wu and Yang [8] investigated the decay rate for the global smooth solution of (1.1). More precisely,

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.1 of [8]). *Let $\frac{3}{4} \leq \sigma < 1$. Assume that*

$$\mathbf{v}_0 \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0 = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0 \in \dot{H}^{-\sigma, 0}(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Then there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if

$$\|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{H^4} + \|(\mathbf{v}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{\dot{H}^{-\sigma, 0}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

then (1.1) has a unique solution \mathbf{v} satisfying

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^4)} + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{H}^{-\sigma, 0})} \leq C\varepsilon, \tag{1.5a}$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}, \tag{1.5b}$$

$$\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}. \tag{1.5c}$$

We remark that although the linear part of the system (1.1) is 2D Stokes system, yet the Fourier splitting method introduced by Wiegner in [18] (see also the works [7, 15, 16]) to study the decay-in-time estimates for 2D Navier-Stokes equation can not be applied to investigate the decay rates for the global small solutions of (1.1). Instead the authors of [8] employed the integral formulation of the system (1.1) and used a continuous argument to prove Theorem 1.1.

Our first observation in this paper is that under the critical smallness condition (1.6), we can propagate the regularity of the solution to (1.1) in the vertical variable. We can also propagate the full high regularity of the solution to (1.1). Precisely, our first result states as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{v}_0 \in (\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^s)(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a solenoidal vector field which satisfies*

$$\|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \leq c_0, \tag{1.6}$$

for some $c_0 > 0$ sufficiently small. Then the system (1.1) has a unique global solution \mathbf{v} so that

$$\mathbf{v} \in C([0, \infty); (\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^s)(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; (\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^s)(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{0, s})}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{0, s})}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^2, \quad (1.7a)$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^s)}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^s)}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2. \quad (1.7b)$$

Here and in what follows $C > 0$ denotes a universal constant that may vary from line to line.

Our second result is concerned with the large time behavior of the global small solutions to the system (1.1).

Theorem 1.3. *Let $s_1 > 2$ and $s \in (\frac{1+3s_1}{10(s_1-1)}, 1)$. Let $\mathbf{v}_0 \in (\dot{H}^{0, s_1} \cap \dot{H}^{-s, 0} \cap \dot{H}^{-s, -\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{4}})(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0 \in \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We denote*

$$A_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad B_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\mathbf{v}_0^3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, -\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}}^2 + A_s^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (1.8)$$

Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if besides (1.6), there hold in addition

$$A_s B_s \leq \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s_1}}^2 (A_s B_s)^{\frac{s_1-1}{3s_1-2}} \leq \varepsilon_0. \quad (1.9)$$

Then (1.1) has a unique global solution so that

(1) (propagation the regularity)

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{-s, 0})}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{-s, 0})}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^2, \quad (1.10a)$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{0, s_1})}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{0, s_1})}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s_1}}^2, \quad (1.10b)$$

$$\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0})}^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0})}^2 \leq C \mathcal{E}_0, \quad (1.10c)$$

(2) (decay estimates)

$$\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C A_s \langle t \rangle^{-s}, \quad (1.11a)$$

$$\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq e (\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{E}_0) \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.11b)$$

(3) (enhanced dissipation for the third component)

$$\|v^3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} v^3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C B_s \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}s} t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \quad (1.12)$$

Remark 1.1. (1) The scaling invariant norm $\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0})}$ is crucial to propagate the negative horizontal regularity of the solution to the system (1.1) (see (3.18) below). That is the reason why we need the smallness condition on $\partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0$ in $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

(2) $s_1 = 4$ in Theorem 1.3 corresponds to the assumption: $\mathbf{v}_0 \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in Theorem 1.1. Yet with $s_1 = 4$, we have $s \in (\frac{13}{30}, 1)$ in Theorem 1.3, which improves the assumption that $\sigma \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1)$ in Theorem 1.1. We do not know if the above result can be extended to any $s \in (0, 1)$ as for the decay rates of classical 2D Navier-Stokes equations (see for instance [18]).

(3) We observe from (1.12) that the third component $v^3(t)$ of the $\mathbf{v}(t)$ decays faster than the horizontal components $\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}}(t)$. This is purely due to the special structure of the third equation of (1.1), precisely, (3.30), and the divergence free condition of \mathbf{v} . If we replace the norm $\|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, -\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}}$ in Theorem 1.3 by $\|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, -\frac{s}{2}}}$, then the solution $\mathbf{v}(t)$ decays according to

$$\|v^3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C B_s \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}s} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} v^3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C B_s \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}s} t^{-1}, \quad (1.13)$$

which is exactly as the decay rate for the global solutions of classical 3D Navier-Stokes system (see for instance [15]).

(4) In general, the interplay between transport $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla$ and the diffusion of the following equation may cause the solution f decay more rapidly than the diffusion alone (see for instance [5, 6, 17])

$$\partial_t f + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla f - \nu \Delta f = 0.$$

Here the enhanced decay rate of the third component v^3 is caused by interplay between the divergence free condition $\partial_1 v^1 + \partial_2 v^2 + \partial_3 v^3 = 0$, which transports the horizontal regularity of $\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}}$ to the vertical regularity of v^3 , and the horizontal Laplacian in the \mathbf{v} equations in (1.1). That is the reason why we borrow the word "enhanced dissipation" from [5, 6, 17].

Let us end this section with some notations that will be used throughout this paper.

Notations: Let A, B be two operators, we denote $[A; B] = AB - BA$, the commutator between A and B . For $a \lesssim b$, we mean that there is a uniform constant C , which may be different in each occurrence, such that $a \leq Cb$. We shall denote by $(a|b)$ the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ inner product of a and b . $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. $(c_k(t))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$) designates a generic elements on the unit sphere of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^2 = 1$ (resp. $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^2(t) = 1$). Finally, we denote $L_T^r(L_h^p(L_v^q))$ to be the space $L^r([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}_{x_1} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_2}; L^q(\mathbb{R}_{x_3}))$, and $\nabla_h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2})$, $\text{div}_h = \nabla_h \cdot$.

2. THE PROPAGATION OF REGULARITIES TO PAICU'S SOLUTION

In this section, we shall prove the propagation of regularities for the solution obtained by Paicu in [12] based on the estimate (2.1) below. Namely, we are going to present the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For simplicity, we just present the *a priori* estimates for smooth enough solutions of (1.1). Indeed under the assumption of (1.6), we deduce from [12] that the system (1.1) has a unique global solution \mathbf{v} so that

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})} \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (2.1)$$

With the estimate (2.1), we can prove the propagation of regularity of \mathbf{v} in the vertical variable. We first get, by applying Δ_ℓ^v to the \mathbf{v} equation of (1.1) and taking L^2 inner product of the resulting equation with $\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}$, that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 = -(\Delta_\ell^v(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}). \quad (2.2)$$

The estimate of term on the right-hand side (r.h.s.) of (2.2) relies on the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $s > 0$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_\ell^v(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim c_\ell^2(t) 2^{-2\ell s} \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Here and in all that follows, we shall always denote $(c_\ell(t))_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to be a generic element of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} c_\ell^2(t) = 1$.

We postpone the proof of this lemma till we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

By inserting the estimate (2.3) into (2.2), and then multiplying the inequality by $2^{2\ell s}$, finally by summing up the resulting inequalities for ℓ in \mathbb{Z} , we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^2 &\leq C \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Applying Young's inequality gives

$$\text{r.h.s. of (2.4)} \leq C(1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2) \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^2,$$

so that there holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^2 \leq C(1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2) \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality yields for any $s > 0$ that

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{0, s})}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{0, s})}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^2 \exp\left(C(1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^2) \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^2\right),$$

which together with (2.1) leads to (1.7a).

To handle the estimate of (1.7b) for case when $s \geq 1$, we get, by applying Δ_k^h to the \mathbf{v} equation of (1.1) and then taking L^2 -inner product of the resulting equation with $\Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}$, that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 = -(\Delta_k^h(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}). \quad (2.6)$$

The estimate of the term on the r.h.s. of (2.6) relies on the following lemma, the proof of which will be postponed at the end of this section.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $s > -1$, there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(v^3 \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \left\{ \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

By inserting the estimates (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.6), and then multiplying the resulting inequalities by 2^{2ks} and summing up k over \mathbb{Z} , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 &\leq C \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

However, notice that for $s \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_3 a\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi_h|^{2(s-1)} |\xi_3|^2 |\widehat{a}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi_h|^{2s} |\widehat{a}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi_3|^{2s} |\widehat{a}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} = \|a\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{2(s-1)}{s}} \|a\|_{\dot{H}^{0,s}}^{\frac{2}{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

then we get, by applying Young's inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned} C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{s-1}{2s}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0,s}}^{\frac{1}{2s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{s-1}{2s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0,s}}^{\frac{1}{2s}} \\ \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0,s}}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{6} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0,s}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2,$$

and

$$C \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \leq C \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2.$$

By substituting the above estimates into (2.9), we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 &\leq C \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \left(1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 \\ &\quad + C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0,s}}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0,s}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{s,0})}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{s,0})}^2 &\leq \left(\|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^2 + C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^2 \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{0,s})}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{0,s})}^2 \right) \exp \left(C \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^2 \left(1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}})}^2 \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.1), (1.6) and (1.7a) ensures

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{s,0})}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{s,0})}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2. \quad (2.10)$$

By combining (1.7a) with (2.10), we deduce (1.7b). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Theorem 1.2 has been proved provided that we provide the proof of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, which we present below.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Observing that

$$(\Delta_\ell^v(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}) = (\Delta_\ell^v(\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}) + (\Delta_\ell^v(v^3 \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}),$$

we split the proof of (2.3) into the following two steps:

Step 1. The estimate of $(\Delta_\ell^v(\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})$.

By applying Bony's decomposition (A.1) to $\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}$ in the vertical variables, we find

$$\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v} = T_{\mathbf{v}^h}^v \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v} + \widetilde{T}_{\nabla_h \mathbf{v}}^v \cdot \mathbf{v}^h \quad \text{with} \quad \widetilde{T}_{\nabla_h \mathbf{v}}^v \cdot \mathbf{v}^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{\nabla_h \mathbf{v}}^v \cdot \mathbf{v}^h + R^v(\mathbf{v}^h, \nabla_h \mathbf{v}),$$

Due to the support properties to the Fourier transform of the terms in $T_{\mathbf{v}^h}^v \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_\ell^v(T_{\mathbf{v}^h}^v \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{|\ell' - \ell| \leq 4} \|S_{\ell' - 1}^v \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_{\ell'}^v \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\ell' - \ell| \leq 4} \|\mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_{\ell'}^v \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^v \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with the first inequality of Lemma A.3, i.e.,

$$\|a\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_\ell^v(T_{\mathbf{v}^h}^v \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|\ell' - \ell| \leq 4} c_{\ell'}(t) 2^{-\ell' s} \right) c_\ell(t) 2^{-\ell s} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_\ell^2(t) 2^{-2\ell s} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Along the same line, due to $s > 0$, we get by using Lemma A.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_\ell^v(\widetilde{T}_{\nabla_h \mathbf{v}}^v \mathbf{v}^h) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{\ell' \geq \ell - N_0} \|S_{\ell' + 2}^v \nabla_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^2(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_{\ell'}^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{\ell' \geq \ell - N_0} c_{\ell'}(t) 2^{-\ell' s} \right) c_\ell(t) 2^{-\ell s} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \\ &\lesssim c_\ell^2(t) 2^{-2\ell s} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}. \end{aligned}$$

By summarizing the above estimates, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_\ell^v(\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim c_\ell^2(t) 2^{-2\ell s} \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Step 2. The estimate of $(\Delta_\ell^v(v^3 \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})$.

We first get, by using a standard commutator's argument as that in [3, 4, 12], that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_\ell^v(T_{v^3}^v \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}) &= \sum_{|\ell' - \ell| \leq 4} \left(([\Delta_\ell^v; S_{\ell' - 1}^v v^3] \partial_3 \Delta_{\ell'}^v \mathbf{v} \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + ((S_{\ell' - 1}^v v^3 - S_\ell^v v^3) \partial_3 \Delta_\ell^v \Delta_{\ell'}^v \mathbf{v} \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}) \right) + (S_\ell^v v^3 \partial_3 \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v} \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By applying Lemmas A.2 and A.1 and using $\text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|\ell' - \ell| \leq 4} |([\Delta_\ell^v; S_{\ell' - 1}^v v^3] \partial_3 \Delta_{\ell'}^v \mathbf{v} \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\ell' - \ell| \leq 4} 2^{-\ell} \|S_{\ell' - 1}^v \partial_3 v^3\|_{L_h^2(L_\infty^v)} \|\partial_3 \Delta_{\ell'}^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\ell' - \ell| \leq 4} \|\text{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^2(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_{\ell'}^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \Delta_{\ell'}^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_\ell^2(t) 2^{-2\ell s} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar estimate holds for the second term in (2.12).

While we get, by using integration by parts and $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} |(S_\ell^v v^3 \partial_3 \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v} \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} S_\ell^v \partial_3 v^3 |\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}|^2 dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^2(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)}^2 \\ &\lesssim c_\ell^2(t) 2^{-2\ell s} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$|(\Delta_\ell^v (T_{v^3}^v \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| \lesssim c_\ell^2(t) 2^{-2\ell s} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}. \quad (2.13)$$

On the other hand, again due to $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$ and $s > 0$, we deduce from Lemmas A.1 and A.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_\ell^v (\tilde{T}_{\partial_3 v^3}^v \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{\ell' \geq \ell - N_0} \|S_{\ell'+2}^v \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_{\ell'}^v v^3\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell' \geq \ell - N_0} \|S_{\ell'+2}^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_{\ell'}^v \partial_3 v^3\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{\ell' \geq \ell - N_0} c_{\ell'}(t) 2^{-\ell' s} \right) c_\ell(t) 2^{-\ell s} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_\ell^2(t) 2^{-2\ell s} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.13) ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_\ell^v (v^3 \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_\ell^v \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim c_\ell^2(t) 2^{-2\ell s} \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{0, s}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

By combining (2.11) with (2.14), we conclude the proof of (2.3). \square

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We divide the proof into the following two steps:

Step 1. The estimate of $(\Delta_k^h (\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})$.

By applying Bony's decomposition (A.1) to $\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}$ in the horizontal variables, we find

$$\mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v} = T_{\mathbf{v}^h}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v} + T_{\nabla_h \mathbf{v}}^h \cdot \mathbf{v}^h + R^h(\mathbf{v}^h, \nabla_h \mathbf{v}).$$

Due to the support properties to the Fourier transform of the terms in $T_{\mathbf{v}^h}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}$, we infer by using Lemma A.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h (T_{\mathbf{v}^h}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \|S_{k'-1}^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \|\mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^4(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Along the same line, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h (T_{\nabla_h \mathbf{v}}^h \cdot \mathbf{v}^h) \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \|S_{k'-1}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^2(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-k' s} \right) c_k(t) 2^{-ks} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}}. \end{aligned}$$

While by applying Lemma A.1 and using the fact that $s > -1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h (R^h(\mathbf{v}^h, \nabla_h \mathbf{v})) \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^2(L_\infty^v)} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^k \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-k'(s+1)} \right) c_k(t) 2^{-ks} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{s, 0}}. \end{aligned}$$

By summarizing the above estimates, we obtain (2.7).

Step 2. The estimate of $(\Delta_k^h(v^3 \partial_3 v) \mid \Delta_k^h v)$.

Once again we get, by applying Bony's decomposition (A.1) to $v^3 \partial_3 v$ in the horizontal variables, that

$$v^3 \partial_3 v = T_{v^3}^h \partial_3 v + T_{\partial_3 v}^h v^3 + R^h(v^3, \partial_3 v). \quad (2.15)$$

By virtue of Lemma A.3, it is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(T_{v^3}^h \partial_3 v) \mid \Delta_k^h v)| &\lesssim \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} \|S_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L_h^4(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_k^h v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|k'-k|\leq 4} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-k'(s-1)} \right) c_k(t) 2^{-k(s+1)} \\ &\quad \times \|v^3\|_{L_h^4(L_v^\infty)} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \|v^3\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}. \end{aligned}$$

While we get, by using integration by parts, that

$$(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_3 v}^h v^3) \mid \Delta_k^h v) = -(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \partial_3 v^3) \mid \Delta_k^h v) - (\Delta_k^h(T_v^h v^3) \mid \Delta_k^h \partial_3 v).$$

Using $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ and Lemma A.3, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \partial_3 v^3) \mid \Delta_k^h v)| &\lesssim \sum_{|k'-k|\leq 4} \|S_{k'}^h v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \operatorname{div}_h v^h\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|k'-k|\leq 4} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-k's} \right) c_k(t) 2^{-ks} \|v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^\infty)} \|\nabla_h v^h\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \|v\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h v^3) \mid \Delta_k^h \partial_3 v)| &\lesssim \sum_{|k'-k|\leq 4} \|S_{k'}^h v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|k'-k|\leq 4} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-k'(s+1)} \right) c_k(t) 2^{-k(s-1)} \|v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^\infty)} \\ &\quad \times \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \|v\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Along the same line, by using integration by parts, we find

$$(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v^3, \partial_3 v)) \mid \Delta_k^h v) = -(\Delta_k^h(R^h(\partial_3 v^3, v)) \mid \Delta_k^h v) - (\Delta_k^h(R^h(v^3, v)) \mid \Delta_k^h \partial_3 v).$$

Notice that $s > -1$, by using $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ and Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(\partial_3 v^3, v)) \mid \Delta_k^h v)| &\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 v^3\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h v\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^k \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-k'(s+1)} \right) c_k(t) 2^{-ks} \|\nabla_h v^h\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\nabla_h v^h\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \|\nabla_h v\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\nabla_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by virtue of Lemma A.3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v^3, v)) \mid \Delta_k^h \partial_3 v)| &\lesssim \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \|S_{k'}^h v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^\infty)} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-k'(s+1)} \right) c_k(t) 2^{-k(s-1)} \|v\|_{L_h^4(L_v^\infty)} \\ &\quad \times \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \|v\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 v\|_{\dot{H}^{s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By summarizing the above estimates, we obtain (2.8). This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

3. LARGE TIME BEHAVIOR OF THE GLOBAL SMALL SOLUTION TO (1.1)

In this section, we shall present the proof of Theorem 1.3 concerning the large time behavior of the global small solution to (1.1), especially the enhanced dissipation for the third component of the solution. In order to do so, we need several auxiliary estimates which will be presented in the following subsections.

3.1. The estimate of $\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}$. The goal of this subsection is to present the L^2 estimate to the horizontal derivatives of \mathbf{v} .

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathbf{v} be a smooth enough solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$. Then for $t \leq T$, one has*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\partial_3\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0}}^2)\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. By taking L^2 -inner product of the \mathbf{v} equation of (1.1) with $-\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}$ and using integration by parts, we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{v} | \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}). \quad (3.2)$$

To handle the term $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{v} | \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v})$, we get, by using integrating by parts and $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v} = 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{v} | \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}) &= -\sum_{j=1}^2((\partial_j\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{v} | \partial_j\mathbf{v}) + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\partial_j\mathbf{v} | \partial_j\mathbf{v})) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^2((\partial_j\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v} | \partial_j\mathbf{v}) + (\partial_jv^3\partial_3\mathbf{v} | \partial_j\mathbf{v})). \end{aligned}$$

Yet it follows from (A.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^2|(\partial_j\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v} | \partial_j\mathbf{v})| &\lesssim\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L_{\mathbf{h}}^2(L_{\nabla}^{\infty})}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L_{\mathbf{h}}^4(L_{\nabla}^2)} \\ &\lesssim\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}}\|_{B^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}\|\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, we observe that

$$\sum_{j=1}^2|(\partial_jv^3\partial_3\mathbf{v} | \partial_j\mathbf{v})| \lesssim\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3\partial_3\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Applying Bony's decomposition (A.1) to $\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3\partial_3\mathbf{v}$ in the horizontal variables yields

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3\partial_3\mathbf{v} = T_{\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3}^{\mathbf{h}}\partial_3\mathbf{v} + T_{\partial_3\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{h}}\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3 + R^{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3, \partial_3\mathbf{v}).$$

For the term $T_{\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3}^{\mathbf{h}}\partial_3\mathbf{v}$, we have

$$\|\Delta_k^{\mathbf{h}}(T_{\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3}^{\mathbf{h}}\partial_3\mathbf{v})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} \|S_{k'-1}^{\mathbf{h}}\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\Delta_{k'}^{\mathbf{h}}\partial_3\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}$$

Yet it follows from Lemma A.1, (A.3) and $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v} = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{k'-1}^{\mathbf{h}}\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3\|_{L^{\infty}} &\lesssim \sum_{l\leq k'-2} 2^{2l}\|\Delta_l^{\mathbf{h}}v^3\|_{L_{\mathbf{h}}^2(L_{\nabla}^{\infty})} \lesssim \sum_{l\leq k'-2} 2^{2l}\|\Delta_l^{\mathbf{h}}v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Delta_l^{\mathbf{h}}\partial_3v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l\leq k'-2} 2^{2l}\|\Delta_l^{\mathbf{h}}v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Delta_l^{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}})\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{k'}{2}}\|\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

from which, we infer

$$\|\Delta_k^{\mathbf{h}}(T_{\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}v^3}^{\mathbf{h}}\partial_3\mathbf{v})\|_{L^2} \lesssim\|\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}}\|\Delta_{k'}^{\mathbf{h}}\partial_3\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \lesssim c_k(t)\|\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}\|\partial_3\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0}}.$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_3 \mathbf{v}}^h \nabla_h v^3)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_v^2(L_h^\infty)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h v^3\|_{L_v^\infty(L_h^2)} \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}} \cdot \sum_{|k'-k|\leq 4} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h \partial_3 v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim c_k(t) \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we deduce from Lemma A.1 and $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta_k^h(R^h(\nabla_h v^3, \partial_3 \mathbf{v}))\|_{L^2} &\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h v^3\|_{L_v^\infty(L_h^2)} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h \partial_3 v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-k'} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}} \\
&\lesssim c_k(t) \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, it comes out

$$\|\nabla_h v^3 \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}.$$

By inserting the above estimate into (3.4), we achieve

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 |(\partial_j v^3 \partial_3 \mathbf{v} | \partial_j \mathbf{v})| \lesssim \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Substituting (3.3) and (3.5) into (3.2) gives rise to

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}) \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2},$$

which implies (3.1). This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

3.2. The estimate of $\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}$. In order to close the estimate (3.1), we need to handle the estimate of $\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0})}$, which is the purpose of this subsection.

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathbf{v} be a smooth enough solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$. Then for any $t \leq T$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 &\leq C \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{B^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}} \right). \quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first get, by applying the operator Δ_k^h to (1.1) and then taking L^2 -inner product of the resulting equations with $-\partial_3^2 \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}$, that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 = (\Delta_k^h(\partial_3 \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) + (\Delta_k^h(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}). \quad (3.7)$$

- **Estimate of $(\Delta_k^h(\partial_3 \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})$.**

Due to $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$, we write

$$(\Delta_k^h(\partial_3 \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) = (\Delta_k^h(\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) - (\Delta_k^h((\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h) \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}). \quad (3.8)$$

By applying Bony's decomposition (A.1) to $\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}$ in the horizontal variables, we get

$$\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v} = T_{\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v} + T_{\nabla_h \mathbf{v}}^h \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h + R^h(\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h, \nabla_h \mathbf{v}).$$

For the term $T_{\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-k} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} 2^{k'} \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_k^h \nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \end{aligned}$$

While for term $T_{\nabla_h \mathbf{v}}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h$, we get, by applying (A.3), that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\nabla_h \mathbf{v}}^h \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} \|S_{k'-1}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally by applying Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h, \nabla_h \mathbf{v})) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \right) c_k(t) \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, it comes out

$$|(\Delta_k^h(\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| \lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \quad (3.9)$$

The estimate of the second term in (3.8) is the same. Therefore, we obtain

$$|(\Delta_k^h(\partial_3 \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| \lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \quad (3.10)$$

• **Estimate for term** $|(\Delta_k^h(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})|$.

By applying Bony's decomposition (A.1) for $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}$ in the horizontal variable, we write

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v} = T_{\mathbf{v}}^h \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v} + T_{\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}}^h \cdot \mathbf{v} + R^h(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}).$$

Let us first deal with the estimate of $(\Delta_k^h(T_{\mathbf{v}}^h \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})$. Indeed we get, by using a standard commutator's argument, that

$$(\Delta_k^h(T_{\mathbf{v}}^h \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} (\Delta_k^h(S_{k'-1}^h \mathbf{v} \cdot \Delta_{k'}^h \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) = I_k + II_k + III_k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} (\Delta_k^h([S_{k'-1}^h \mathbf{v} - S_{k-1}^h \mathbf{v}] \cdot \nabla \Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}), \\ II_k &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} ([\Delta_k^h; S_{k-1}^h \mathbf{v}] \cdot \nabla \Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}), \\ III_k &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (S_{k-1}^h \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v} | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Due to $\text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0$, we find

$$III_k = -\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot S_{k-1}^h \mathbf{v} \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v} | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

For I_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_k| &\lesssim \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} |(\Delta_k^h(\Delta_j^h \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \left(\|\Delta_j^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla_h \Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j^h v^3\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Yet it follows from Lemma A.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^j \|\Delta_j^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \lesssim \|\Delta_j^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \lesssim \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}, \\ \|\Delta_j^h v^3\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^j \|\Delta_j^h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_j^h \partial_3 v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_k| &\lesssim \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k (\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

For II_k , we get, by applying the commutator's estimate (A.2), that

$$|II_k| \lesssim \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} 2^{-k} \left(\|\nabla_h S_{k-1}^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla_h \Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h S_{k-1}^h v^3\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h S_{k-1}^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^k \|\nabla_h S_{k-1}^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \lesssim 2^k \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}, \\ \|\nabla_h S_{k-1}^h v^3\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^k \|\nabla_h S_{k-1}^h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h S_{k-1}^h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

from which, we deduce from a similar derivation of (3.12), that

$$|II_k| \lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k (\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \quad (3.13)$$

By summarizing the estimates (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h (T_{\mathbf{v}}^h \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k (\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

For the term $(\Delta_k^h (T_{\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}}^h \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h (T_{\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}}^h \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} \left(\|\nabla_h S_{k'-1}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \right) \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma A.1 and $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h S_{k'-1}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim c_{k'}(t) 2^{\frac{3}{2}k'} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}, \\ \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} &\lesssim 2^{k'} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_{k'}(t) 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

from which, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h (T_{\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}}^h \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k (\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Finally we deal with the estimate of $(\Delta_k^h (R^h(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})$. Indeed by applying Lemma A.1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\Delta_k^h (R^h(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| \\ &\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \left(\|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\nabla_h \tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \right) \|\Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} c_{k'}(t) 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \right) c_k(t) \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \right) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v})) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \right) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Thanks to (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^k \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \right) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

By inserting the estimates (3.10) and (3.17) into (3.7) and multiplying the resulting inequality by 2^{-k} and summing over \mathbb{Z} with respect to k , we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0}}^2 &\leq C \left(\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla_h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \right) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}, 0}}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (3.6). This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

3.3. The estimate of $\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}$. In order to derive the decay in time estimate for the solutions of (1.1), we need the negative derivative estimate of \mathbf{v} in the horizontal variables (see (3.25) below).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and \mathbf{v} be a smooth enough solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$. Then for $t \leq T$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^2 \leq C \left((1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}) \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0}}^2 \right) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. In view of (2.6) and (2.7), it remains to handle the estimate of $(\Delta_k^h(v^3 \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})$. Indeed due to $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$ and $s > 0$, one has

$$\|S_{k-1}^h v^3\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \lesssim \sum_{j \leq k-2} \|\Delta_j^h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_j^h \partial_3 v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim c_k(t) 2^{ks} \|v^3\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

so that we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 4} \|S_{k'-1}^h v^3\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} c_{k'}(t) 2^{k's} \right) c_k(t) 2^{ks} \\ &\quad \times \|v^3\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{2ks} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly again due to $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_3 \mathbf{v}}^h v^3) | \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} c_{k'}(t) 2^{k's} \right) c_k(t) 2^{ks} \\ &\quad \times \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} \|v^3\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{2ks} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}}. \end{aligned}$$

While we get, by applying Lemma A.1 and $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$, that

$$\|\Delta_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \lesssim \|\Delta_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_3 v^3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \lesssim c_{k'}(t) 2^{k'(s-\frac{1}{2})} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, 0}},$$

so that by applying Lemma A.1 once again, for $s < 1$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v^3, \partial_3 \mathbf{v})) | \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})| &\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v^3\|_{L_h^2(L^\infty)} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^k \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} c_{k'}(t) 2^{k'(s-1)} \right) c_k(t) 2^{ks} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}^h\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}} \\ &\lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{2ks} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then in view of (2.15), we deduce that

$$|(\Delta_k^h(v^3 \partial_3 \mathbf{v}) | \Delta_k^h \mathbf{v})| \lesssim c_k^2(t) 2^{-2ks} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}. \quad (3.19)$$

By inserting the estimates (2.7) and (3.19) into (2.6), and then multiplying the resulting inequality by 2^{2ks} and summing up k over \mathbb{Z} , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^2 &\lesssim C \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

which leads to (3.18). This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 which relies on the continuity argument and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Under the assumption of (1.6), we deduce from Theorem 1.2 that the system (1.1) has a unique global solution which satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{H}^{0,s_1})}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{H}^{0,s_1})}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{0,s_1}}^2. \quad (3.21)$$

Next, we shall only present the *a priori* estimate for the smooth enough solution of the system (1.1).

In what follows, we divide the proof into the following steps:

Step 1. Ansatz for the continuity argument.

We denote

$$T^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ T > 0 : \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0})}^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_T^2(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0})}^2 \leq \varepsilon \right\}, \quad (3.22)$$

where ε is a small enough positive constant which will be determined later on.

Then for $t \leq T^*$ and $s \in (0, 1)$, by using Gronwall's inequality, we deduce from (2.1) and (3.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{-s,0})}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{-s,0})}^2 &\leq \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^2 \exp \left(C (\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0})}^2) \right) \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^2 \exp \left(C(c_0^2 + \varepsilon) \right) \leq e \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

as long as c_0 in (1.6) and ε in (3.22) are so small that $Cc_0^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $C\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Step 2. The decay estimate of $\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}$.

Due to $\text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0$, we get, by taking L^2 -inner product of the \mathbf{v} equation of (1.1) with \mathbf{v} , that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

While by applying Hölder's inequality in the frequency space and using (3.23), we find

$$\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s}{1+s}} \leq e^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s}{1+s}},$$

which implies

$$\|\nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(1+s)}{s}}}{(e \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}})^{\frac{2}{s}}}. \quad (3.25)$$

Thanks to (3.24) and (3.25), we infer

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{(e \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}})^{\frac{2}{s}}} \left(\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1+\frac{1}{s}} \leq 0,$$

Then for any $t \leq T^*$, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{L^2}^{-\frac{2}{s}} + 2s^{-1}t(e\|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}})^{-\frac{2}{s}}} \right)^s \leq CA_s \langle t \rangle^{-s} \quad \text{with} \quad (3.26)$$

$$A_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s,0}}^2.$$

Step 3. The decay estimate of $\|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}$.

Motivated by the study of the decay-in-time estimate for the derivatives of the global solutions to classical Navier-Stokes system (see [7] for instance), for any $0 \leq t_0 < t \leq T^*$, we get, by multiplying $t - t_0$ to (3.1), that

$$\frac{d}{dt} [(t - t_0)\|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2] + [(t - t_0)\|\Delta_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2] \leq \|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\partial_3\mathbf{v}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}^2)(t - t_0)\|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

By applying Gronwall's inequality and using (1.6) and (3.22) for sufficiently small c_0 and ε , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & (t - t_0)\|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_0}^t (t' - t_0)\|\Delta_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \\ & \leq \int_{t_0}^t \|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \exp\left(C(\|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + \|\partial_3\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0})}^2)\right) \\ & \leq e \int_{t_0}^t \|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

On the other hand, we get, by integrating (3.24) over $[\tau, t]$ for any $0 \leq \tau < t$, that

$$\int_{\tau}^t \|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{v}(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

from which, (3.26) and (3.27), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{t} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t' - \frac{t}{2})\|\Delta_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \\ & \leq \frac{2e}{t} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|\nabla_{\text{h}}\mathbf{v}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \leq \frac{e}{t}\|\mathbf{v}(t/2)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_s A_s \langle t \rangle^{-s} t^{-1} \quad \forall t \leq T^*. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

This together with (3.26) ensures that (1.11a) holds for $t \leq T^*$.

Step 4. The decay estimate of $\|v^3(t)\|_{L^2}$.

By taking space divergence to the \mathbf{v} equation of (1.1), we write

$$-\Delta p = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \partial_j \partial_k (v^j v^k),$$

which yields

$$\nabla p = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \partial_j \partial_k (v^j v^k). \quad (3.29)$$

While by applying Fourier transform to the v^3 equation of (1.1), we find

$$\partial_t \widehat{v}^3 + |\xi_{\text{h}}|^2 \widehat{v}^3 = -\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla v^3 + \partial_3 p).$$

Using $\text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0$ and (3.29), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{v}^3(t, \xi) &= e^{-t|\xi_{\text{h}}|^2} \widehat{v}_0^3(\xi) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_{\text{h}}|^2} F_1(\tau, \xi) d\tau + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_{\text{h}}|^2} F_2(\tau, \xi) d\tau \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widehat{v}_L^3(t, \xi) + \widehat{v}_{N_1}^3(t, \xi) + \widehat{v}_{N_2}^3(t, \xi) \quad \text{with} \\ F_1(t, \xi) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -i|\xi|^{-2} |\xi_{\text{h}}|^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \xi_j \widehat{v^j v^3}(t, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(t, \xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i\xi_3 |\xi|^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \xi_j \xi_k \widehat{v^j v^k}(t, \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

By taking L^2 -inner product of (3.30) with $\widehat{v}^3(t, \xi)$, we obtain

$$\|\widehat{v}^3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\widehat{v}_L^3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|\widehat{v}^3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \left(\widehat{v}_{N_1}^3(t, \xi) + \widehat{v}_{N_2}^3(t, \xi) \mid \widehat{v}^3(t, \xi) \right),$$

from which, we infer

$$\|\widehat{v}^3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\widehat{v}_L^3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2|(\widehat{v}_{N1}^3(t, \xi) + \widehat{v}_{N2}^3(t, \xi)) \widehat{v}^3(t, \xi)|. \quad (3.31)$$

(1) Decay estimate of $\|v_L^3(t)\|_{L^2}$.

By virtue of the definition of $\widehat{v}_L^3(t, \xi)$ in (3.30), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{v}_L^3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2t|\xi_h|^2} |\widehat{v}_0^3(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{|\xi_h| \leq |\xi_3|} e^{-2t|\xi_h|^2} |\widehat{v}_0^3(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi_h| \geq |\xi_3|} e^{-2t|\xi_h|^2} |\widehat{v}_0^3(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Due to $s \in (0, 1)$, we get, by using $\text{div } \mathbf{v}_0 = 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \int_{|\xi_h| \leq |\xi_3|} e^{-2t|\xi_h|^2} \frac{1}{|\xi_3|^2} \cdot |i\xi_3 \widehat{v}_0^3(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{|\xi_3| \geq |\xi_h|} \frac{|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_0^h(\xi_h, \xi_3)|^2}{|\xi_3|^2} d\xi_3 \cdot e^{-2t|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h|^2 d\xi_h \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2t|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h|^{3s+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |\xi_h|^{-2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_0^h(\xi_h, \xi_3)|^2 |\xi_3|^{-(s+\frac{1}{2})} d\xi_3 d\xi_h \\ &\lesssim t^{-(\frac{3s}{2}+\frac{1}{4})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_0^h(\xi_h, \xi_3)|^2 |\xi_h|^{-2s} |\xi_3|^{-(s+\frac{1}{2})} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$A_1 \lesssim t^{-(\frac{3s}{2}+\frac{1}{4})} \|\mathbf{v}_0^h\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, -\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}}^2. \quad (3.33)$$

While we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \int_{|\xi_h| \geq |\xi_3|} e^{-2t|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h|^{2s} |\xi_3|^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |\xi_h|^{-2s} |\xi_3|^{-(s+\frac{1}{2})} |\widehat{v}_0^3(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2t|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h|^{3s+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |\xi_h|^{-2s} |\xi_3|^{-(s+\frac{1}{2})} |\widehat{v}_0^3(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\lesssim t^{-(\frac{3s}{2}+\frac{1}{4})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{v}_0^3(\xi_h, \xi_3)|^2 |\xi_h|^{-2s} |\xi_3|^{-(s+\frac{1}{2})} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which gives rise to

$$A_2 \lesssim t^{-(\frac{3s}{2}+\frac{1}{4})} \|v_0^3\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, -\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}}^2. \quad (3.34)$$

By inserting the estimates (3.33) and (3.34) into (3.32), we obtain

$$\|v_L^3(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-(\frac{3s}{4}+\frac{1}{8})} \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, -\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}},$$

which together with the fact that $\|v_L^3(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|v_0^3\|_{L^2}$ ensures that

$$\|v_L^3(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|v_0^3\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-s, -\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}}) \langle t \rangle^{-(\frac{3s}{4}+\frac{1}{8})}. \quad (3.35)$$

(2) Decay estimate for term involving $v_{N1}^3 + v_{N2}^3$.

By virtue of the definition of $F_2(t, \xi)$ in (3.30), we get, by using $\text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{v}_{N2}^3(t, \xi) \widehat{v}^3(t, \xi)) &= - \left(\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_h|^2} |\xi|^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \xi_j \xi_k v^j v^k(\tau, \xi) d\tau \mid i\xi_3 \widehat{v}^3(t, \xi) \right) \\ &= \left(\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_h|^2} i\xi_h |\xi|^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \xi_j \xi_k v^j v^k(\tau, \xi) d\tau \mid \widehat{\mathbf{v}}^h(t, \xi) \right), \end{aligned}$$

from which and the definition of $F_1(t, \xi)$ in (3.30), we infer

$$\left| (\widehat{v}_{N1}^3(t, \xi) + \widehat{v}_{N2}^3(t, \xi)) \widehat{v}^3(t, \xi) \right| \leq \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h| |\xi|^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{v}}(\tau, \xi) d\tau \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \|\widehat{\nabla}_h \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.36)$$

Yet by applying Hölder's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h| |\xi|^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}}(\tau, \xi) d\tau \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ & \leq \left\| |\xi|^{-1} \right\|_{L_{\xi_3}^2} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h| \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}}(\tau, \xi) d\tau \right\|_{L_{\xi_3}^\infty} \left\| \right\|_{L_{\xi_h}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

Observing that for $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h|^{2\delta}\|_{L_{\xi_h}^\infty} \lesssim (t-\tau)^{-\delta}, \\ & \|\xi|^{-1}\|_{L_{\xi_3}^2} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|\xi_h|^2 + |\xi_3|^2} d\xi_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |\xi_h|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we get, by using Young's inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h| |\xi|^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}}(\tau, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} & \lesssim \left\| \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} |\xi_h|^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} |\widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}}(\tau, \xi)| d\tau \right\|_{L_{\xi_h}^2(L_{\xi_3}^\infty)} \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \| |D_h|^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v})(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L_v^\downarrow(L_h^2)} d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

where $|D_h|^s$ is the Fourier multiplier with symbol $|\xi_h|^s$, that is

$$|D_h|^s f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi_h|^s \hat{f}(\xi)), \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

While it follows from the law of product in Sobolev spaces that for any fixed τ, x_3 and for any $\delta \in (0, 3/4)$,

$$\| |D_h|^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v})(\tau, \cdot, x_3) \|_{L_h^2} \lesssim \| \mathbf{v}(\tau, \cdot, x_3) \|_{\dot{H}_h^{\frac{3}{4}-\delta}}^2,$$

so that

$$\| |D_h|^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v})(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L_v^\downarrow(L_h^2)} \lesssim \| \mathbf{v}(\tau, \cdot) \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{4}-\delta, 0}}^2. \quad (3.38)$$

By substituting (3.38) into (3.37) and using (3.26) and (3.28), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h| |\xi|^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}}(\tau, \xi) d\tau \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \| \mathbf{v}(\tau) \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{4}-\delta, 0}}^2 d\tau \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \| \mathbf{v}(\tau) \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+2\delta} \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v}(\tau) \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-2\delta} d\tau \leq CA_s \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \langle \tau \rangle^{-s} \tau^{-(\frac{3}{4}-\delta)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for $\delta \in (s - \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \langle \tau \rangle^{-s} \tau^{-(\frac{3}{4}-\delta)} d\tau \leq \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \langle \tau \rangle^{-s} \tau^{-(\frac{3}{4}-\delta)} d\tau \\ & \quad + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \langle \tau \rangle^{-s} \tau^{-(\frac{3}{4}-\delta)} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-s} t^{\frac{1}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi_h|^2} |\xi_h| |\xi|^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}}(\tau, \xi) d\tau \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \leq CA_s \langle t \rangle^{-s} t^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

which together with (3.28) and (3.36) ensures that

$$\left| (\widehat{v_{N1}^3}(t, \xi) + \widehat{v_{N2}^3}(t, \xi) | \widehat{v^3}(t, \xi)) \right| \leq CA_s^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} s t^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (3.39)$$

By inserting the estimates (3.35) and (3.39) into (3.31), we achieve

$$\| v^3(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \leq CB_s \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}s} t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \quad \text{with} \quad B_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \| v_0^3 \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \mathbf{v}_0 \|_{\dot{H}^{-s, -\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}}^2 + A_s^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (3.40)$$

for any $t \leq T^*$.

Step 5. The decay estimate of $\| \nabla_h v^3(t) \|_{L^2}$.

We first get, by a similar derivation of (3.36), that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| v^3(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla_h v^3(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \| |D_h| |D|^{-1} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \|_{L^2} \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}. \quad (3.41)$$

Yet along the same line to the proof of (3.39), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \| |D_h| |D|^{-1}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \|_{L^2} &= \| |\xi_h| |\xi|^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}} \|_{L^2} \leq \| |\xi|^{-1} \|_{L^2_{\xi_3}} \| |\xi_h| \| \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}} \|_{L^{\infty}_{\xi_3}} \|_{L^2_{\xi_h}} \\ &\lesssim \| |\xi_h|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}} \|_{L^2_{\xi_h}(L^{\infty}_{\xi_3})} \lesssim \| |D_h|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \|_{L^1_v(L^2_h)} \lesssim \| \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{4},0}}^2 \lesssim \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By inserting the above estimate into (3.41) and integrating the resulting inequality over $[t/2, t]$, we achieve

$$\frac{1}{2} \| v^3(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \| \nabla_h v^3(t') \|_{L^2}^2 dt' \leq \frac{1}{2} \| v^3(t/2) \|_{L^2}^2 + C \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \| \mathbf{v}(t') \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t') \|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}} dt',$$

which together with (3.26), (3.28) and (3.40) implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \| v^3(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \| \nabla_h v^3(t') \|_{L^2}^2 dt' \leq B_s \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}st - \frac{1}{4}} \quad \forall t \leq T^*. \quad (3.42)$$

On the other hand, by taking L^2 -inner product of the v^3 equation of (1.1) with $\Delta_h v^3$, we deduce from a similar derivation of (3.36) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \nabla_h v^3(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \Delta_h v^3 \|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \| |D_h|^2 |D|^{-1}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \|_{L^2} \| \Delta_h \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}. \quad (3.43)$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \| |D_h|^2 |D|^{-1}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \|_{L^2} &= \| |\xi|^{-1} |\xi_h|^2 \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}} \|_{L^2} \leq \| |\xi|^{-1} \|_{L^2_{\xi_3}} \| |\xi_h|^2 \| \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}} \|_{L^{\infty}_{\xi_3}} \|_{L^2_{\xi_h}} \\ &\lesssim \| |\xi_h|^{\frac{3}{2}} \widehat{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}} \|_{L^2_{\xi_h}(L^{\infty}_{\xi_3})} \lesssim \| |D_h|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \nabla_h \mathbf{v}) \|_{L^1_v(L^2_h)} \\ &\lesssim \| \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{4},0}} \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{4},0}} \lesssim \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| \Delta_h \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

By inserting the above estimate into (3.43), we find

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \nabla_h v^3(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \Delta_h v^3 \|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| \Delta_h \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{4}}.$$

For any $0 \leq t_0 \leq t$, by multiplying the above inequality by $t - t_0$ and then integrating the resulting inequality over $[t_0, t]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (t - t_0) \| \nabla_h v^3(t) \|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \int_{t_0}^t \| \nabla_h v^3(t') \|_{L^2}^2 dt' + C \int_{t_0}^t (t - t_0) \| \mathbf{v}(t') \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t') \|_{L^2} \| \Delta_h \mathbf{v}(t') \|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{4}} dt' \\ &\lesssim \int_{t_0}^t \| \nabla_h v^3(t') \|_{L^2}^2 dt' + \left(\int_{t_0}^t (t - t_0) \| \mathbf{v}(t') \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v}(t') \|_{L^2}^8 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \left(\int_{t_0}^t (t - t_0) \| \Delta_h \mathbf{v}(t') \|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{7}{8}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $t_0 = \frac{t}{2}$ in the above inequality and using (3.26), (3.28) and (3.42), we find

$$t \| \nabla_h v^3(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \leq C B_s \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}st - \frac{1}{4}} \quad \forall t \leq T^*. \quad (3.44)$$

This together with (3.40) ensures that (1.12) holds for $t \leq T^*$.

Step 6. Closing of the continuity argument.

To close the continuity argument, we shall use (3.6) in Lemma 3.2. We first observe that for any $s_1 > 2$,

$$\| \partial_3^2 \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \leq \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^{\frac{s_1-2}{s_1-1}} \| \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{0,s_1}}^{\frac{1}{s_1-1}} \leq \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^{\frac{s_1-2}{2(s_1-1)}} \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}^{\frac{s_1-2}{2(s_1-1)}} \| \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{0,s_1}}^{\frac{1}{s_1-1}},$$

so that we get, by applying Young's inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \nabla_h v^3 \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial_3^2 \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}} \\ &\leq \| \nabla_h v^3 \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^{\frac{3s_1-4}{2(s_1-1)}} \| \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{0,s_1}}^{\frac{1}{s_1-1}} \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}^{\frac{s_1-2}{2(s_1-1)}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 + C (\| \nabla_h v^3 \|_{L^2} \| \operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h \|_{L^2})^{\frac{2(s_1-1)}{3s_1-2}} (\| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 + \| \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{0,s_1}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above inequality into (3.6) gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 + \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 &\leq C \| \nabla_h \mathbf{v} \|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 \\ &\quad + C (\| \nabla_h v^3 \|_{L^2} \| \operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{v}^h \|_{L^2})^{\frac{2(s_1-1)}{3s_1-2}} (\| \partial_3 \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 + \| \mathbf{v} \|_{\dot{H}^{0,s_1}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0})}^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0})}^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}^3\|_{L^2} \|\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{2(s_1-1)}{3s_1-2}} dt' \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{0,s_1})}^2 \right) \\ & \quad \times \exp \left(C \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + C \int_0^t (\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}^3\|_{L^2} \|\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{2(s_1-1)}{3s_1-2}} dt' \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Yet for $t \leq T^*$, it follows from (3.28) and (3.44) that

$$\int_0^t (\|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}^3\|_{L^2} \|\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{2(s_1-1)}{3s_1-2}} dt' \leq C (A_s B_s)^{\frac{s_1-1}{3s_1-2}} \int_0^t (\langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}s} \tau^{-\frac{9}{8}})^{\frac{2(s_1-1)}{3s_1-2}} d\tau \leq C (A_s B_s)^{\frac{s_1-1}{3s_1-2}},$$

if

$$\left(\frac{9}{8} + \frac{5}{4}s \right) \frac{2(s_1-1)}{3s_1-2} > 1 \Leftrightarrow s > \frac{1+3s_1}{10(s_1-1)}.$$

Therefore thanks to (3.21), we deduce from (3.45) that for $t \leq T^*$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0})}^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0})}^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\dot{H}^{0,s_1}}^2 (A_s B_s)^{\frac{s_1-1}{3s_1-2}} \right) \exp \left(C c_0 + C (A_s B_s)^{\frac{s_1-1}{3s_1-2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

In particular, under the assumption (1.9), we infer

$$\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0})}^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2},0})}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } t \leq T^*, \quad (3.47)$$

which contradicts with (3.22). This in turn shows that $T^* = \infty$. Furthermore, (3.21), (3.23) and (3.46) ensures (1.10), and there hold (1.11a) and (1.12). To complete the proof of Theorem 1.3, it remains to prove (1.11b).

Step 7. The decay estimate of $\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}$.

We first deduce from (2.1) and (2.5) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.48)$$

Let us denote

$$X(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-C \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 d\tau} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad D(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-C \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 d\tau} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Then we deduce from (3.48) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} X(t) + D(t) \leq 0. \quad (3.49)$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 d\tau \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C c_0^2,$$

so that there holds

$$e^{-C \int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{v}(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 d\tau} \geq e^{-C c_0^2} \geq e^{-1} \quad \text{if } C c_0^2 \leq 1,$$

which implies

$$e^{-1} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq X(t) \leq \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-1} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq D(t) \leq \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.50)$$

On the other hand, we observe that

$$\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

from which and (1.10c), (3.50), we infer

$$D(t) \geq e^{-1} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq e^{-1} \frac{\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^6}{\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^4} \geq e^{-1} \frac{X^3(t)}{\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2},0}}^4} \geq (e \mathcal{E}_0^2)^{-1} X^3(t).$$

Then we deduce from (3.49) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} X(t) + (e \mathcal{E}_0^2)^{-1} X^3(t) \leq 0,$$

which implies

$$X(t) \leq \left(\frac{1}{X(0)^{-2} + (e\mathcal{E}_0^2)^{-1}t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{1}{\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0\|_{L^2}^{-4} + (e\mathcal{E}_0^2)^{-1}t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\|\partial_3 \mathbf{v}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \sqrt{e\mathcal{E}_0^2} \right) \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

This together with (3.50) ensures (1.11b).

As a consequence, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

APPENDIX A. TOOL BOX ON LITTLEWOOD-PALEY THEORY

For the convenience of readers, we collect some basic facts on anisotropic Littlewood-Paley theory in this section. We first observe from Definition 1.1 and (1.4) that for any $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1, s_2}}^2 \sim \sum_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2ks_1} 2^{2\ell s_2} \|\Delta_k^h \Delta_\ell^v f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We recall the following anisotropic version of Bernstein type lemma from [1, 4, 12]:

Lemma A.1. *Let \mathcal{B}_h (resp. \mathcal{B}_v) be a ball of \mathbb{R}^2 (resp. \mathbb{R}), and \mathcal{C}_h (resp. \mathcal{C}_v) a ring of \mathbb{R}^2 (resp. \mathbb{R}); let $1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q_2 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$. Then there hold:*

If the support of \hat{a} is included in $2^k \mathcal{B}_h$, then

$$\|\partial_h^\alpha a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^{q_1})} \lesssim 2^{k(|\alpha|+2(\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}))} \|a\|_{L_h^{p_2}(L_v^{q_1})}, \quad \text{for } \partial_h = (\partial_1, \partial_2).$$

If the support of \hat{a} is included in $2^\ell \mathcal{B}_v$, then

$$\|\partial_3^\beta a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^{q_1})} \lesssim 2^{\ell(\beta+(\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}))} \|a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^{q_2})}.$$

If the support of \hat{a} is included in $2^k \mathcal{C}_h$, then

$$\|a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^{q_1})} \lesssim 2^{-kN} \|\partial_h^N a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^{q_1})}.$$

If the support of \hat{a} is included in $2^\ell \mathcal{C}_v$, then

$$\|a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^{q_1})} \lesssim 2^{-\ell N} \|\partial_3^N a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^{q_1})}.$$

To deal with the estimate of product of two distributions, we constantly use the following paradiifferential decomposition from [2] in the horizontal variables: for any functions $f, g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$fg = T_f^h g + T_g^h f + R^h(f, g), \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$T_f^h g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{k-1}^h f \Delta_k^h g, \quad R^h(f, g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_k^h f \tilde{\Delta}_k^h g, \quad \text{with } \tilde{\Delta}_k^h g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k'=k-1}^{k+1} \Delta_{k'}^h g.$$

We also employ Bony's decomposition in the vertical variable.

The following technical lemmas are very useful in this context. The first one is concerned with the commutator's estimates involving Δ_k^h and Δ_ℓ^v . Indeed it follows from the classic commutator's estimate from [1] and Hölder's inequality that

Lemma A.2. *Let $p, q, r, s \in [1, \infty]$ which satisfy $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s}$. Then for any $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\Delta_\ell^v; f]g\|_{L_h^p(L_v^q)} &\lesssim 2^{-\ell} \|\nabla_h f\|_{L_h^r(L_v^\infty)} \|g\|_{L_h^s(L_v^q)}, \\ \|[\Delta_k^h; f]g\|_{L_v^p(L_h^q)} &\lesssim 2^{-k} \|\nabla_h f\|_{L_v^r(L_h^\infty)} \|g\|_{L_v^s(L_h^q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

By virtue of Lemma A.1 and the following 2D interpolation inequality

$$\|a\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we deduce that

Lemma A.3. For any $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_h^4(L_v^\infty)} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_h^4(\mathcal{B}^{0,\frac{1}{2}})_v} \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|u\|_{L_h^4(L_v^2)} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_v^2(L_h^4)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_v^2(\dot{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}})} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|u\|_{L_v^\infty(L_h^2)} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Acknowledgments. Li Xu is supported by NSF of China under grant 11671383. Ping Zhang is partially supported by K. C. Wong Education Foundation and NSF of China under Grants 11731007, 12031006 and 11688101.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, **343**, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] J.-M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, **14** (1981), 209–246.
- [3] J.-Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher and E. Grenier, Fluids with anisotropic viscosity, *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.*, **34** (2000), 315–335.
- [4] J. -Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, On the global well-posedness to 3-D anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **272** (2007), 529–566.
- [5] P. Constantin, A. Kiselev, L. Ryzhik and A. Zlatoš, Diffusion and mixing in fluid flow, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **168** (2008), 643–674.
- [6] M. Coti Zelati and M. G. Delgadino and T. M. Elgindi, On the relation between enhanced dissipation timescales and mixing rates, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **73** (2020), 1205–1244.
- [7] C. He and T. Miyakawa, On two-dimensional Navier-Stokes flows with rotational symmetries, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **49** (2006), 163–192.
- [8] R. Ji, J. Wu and W. Yang, Stability and optimal decay for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with horizontal dissipation, *J. Differential Equations*, **290** (2021), 57–77.
- [9] D. Iftimie, The resolution of the Navier-Stokes equations in anisotropic spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **15** (1999), 1–36.
- [10] Y. Liu, M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global well-posedness of 3-D anisotropic Navier-Stokes system with small unidirectional derivative, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **238** (2020), 805–843.
- [11] S. Liang, P. Zhang and R. Zhu, Remark on the lifespan of solutions to 3-D anisotropic Navier Stokes equations, *Commun. Math. Res.*, **36** (2020), 31–50.
- [12] M. Paicu, Équation anisotrope de Navier-Stokes dans des espaces critiques, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, **21** (2005), 179–235.
- [13] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global solutions to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes system in the critical spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **307** (2011), 713–759.
- [14] J. Pedlosky, *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*. Berlin-Heidelberg-NewYork: Springer, 1979.
- [15] M. Schonbek, Lower bounds of rates of decay for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **4** (1991), 423–449.
- [16] M. Schonbek and T. Schonbek, On the boundedness and decay of moments of solutions of the Navier Stokes equations, *Adv. Differential Equations*, **5** (2000), 861–898.
- [17] D. Wei, Diffusion and mixing in fluid flow via the resolvent estimate, *Sci. China Math.*, **64** (2021), 507–518.
- [18] M. Wiegner, Decay results for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations on \mathbb{R}^n , *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **35** (1987), 303–313.
- [19] T. Zhang, Erratum to: Global wellposed problem for the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations in an anisotropic space, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **295** (2010), 877–884.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIHANG UNIVERSITY, 100191 BEIJING, CHINA
 Email address: xuliice@buaa.edu.cn

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS & SYSTEMS SCIENCE AND HUA LOO-KENG KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, CHINA.

Email address: zp@amss.ac.cn