

CONVERGENCE OF SOBOLEV GRADIENT TRAJECTORIES TO ELASTICA

SHINYA OKABE AND PHILIP SCHRADER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the $H^2(ds)$ -gradient flow for the modified elastic energy defined on closed curves in \mathbb{R}^n . We prove the existence of a unique global-in-time solution to the flow and establish full convergence to elastica by way of a Lojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Formulation	3
2.1. The $H^2(ds)$ -gradient	3
2.2. Metric completeness	5
2.3. Reparametrisation invariance	6
3. Existence and uniqueness	6
4. Lojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality	9
4.1. Regularity of critical points and the second variation	9
4.2. Analyticity	11
4.3. The submanifold of arc length proportional parametrized curves	13
4.4. The gradient inequality	15
5. Convergence	18
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with a Sobolev gradient flow for the modified elastic energy defined on closed curves $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\gamma) := \int_{\gamma} k^2 ds + \lambda^2 \mathcal{L}(\gamma),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, λ is a nonzero constant, and $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, k and s denote the length, the curvature and the arc length parameter of γ , respectively. In the case $n = 2$ the critical points of \mathcal{E} are the classical Euler–Bernoulli elastica.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 53E99, Secondary: 58E99, 58B20.

Key words and phrases. $H^2(ds)$ Sobolev gradient flow, elastic energy, Lojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality, full convergence.

The first author is supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19H05599, JP20KK0057 and JP21H00990.

The second author is supported by an International Postdoctoral Research Fellowship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19F19710.

A wide variety of gradient flows toward elastica have been studied by many authors, beginning with the curve-straightening flow studied by Langer and Singer for closed curves in \mathbb{R}^3 [15] and Riemannian manifolds [16]. Langer and Singer consider the restriction of the total squared curvature to constant speed curves. Under this restriction the total squared curvature of a curve is equivalent to the Dirichlet energy of its tangent indicatrix. Langer and Singer show that the Dirichlet energy satisfies the Palais–Smale condition on a Hilbert manifold of tangent indicatrices of Sobolev class H^1 . Consequences of this are existence for all positive time as well as sub-convergence (convergence of a subsequence) of the associated gradient flow, where the gradient is defined by the H^1 -metric on indicatrices.

The work of Langer and Singer was extended in several directions by Linnér [18, 19] and also Wen who studied the L^2 -gradient flow of Dirichlet energy on indicatrices [39] and then the $L^2(ds)$ -gradient flow of the total squared curvature on constant speed planar curves [40]. In both cases Wen proved that in the case of smooth initial data with non-zero winding number, solutions exist for all positive time and converge to circles. Around the same time Koiso [13] obtained similar results for unit speed space curves, and Polden [33] considered the $L^2(ds)$ flow of the *modified* elastic energy \mathcal{E} for planar curves without constraint. Polden found that given smooth initial data the flow exists globally and sub-converges modulo translations to critical points of the energy. Dziuk et. al. [8] extended the results of Wen and Polden to closed curves in \mathbb{R}^n .

More recently the $L^2(ds)$ -gradient flow for \mathcal{E} has become known as the elastic flow and has been well studied on spaces of closed curves with area constraint [28, 29], open curves with boundary conditions [17, 5, 38], non-compact curves [26] and planar networks [6]. For a more complete list of references we refer to the recent survey [21]. Standard results are solvability of the elastic flow for smooth initial curve and subconvergence of solutions to elastica. There is room for improvement on both fronts. With initial data in the energy class H^2 , well-posedness of the elastic flow with boundary conditions was proved in [35] by way of analytic semigroup theory; and for the more general p -elastic flow (which is the elastic flow when $p = 2$) [2, 27, 30, 31] show existence of weak solutions by the minimizing movements method. Stronger convergence results are obtained in [7, 22] using Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequalities. We note however that except for some special cases of weak solutions to the p -elastic flow, the existence results do not include uniqueness. Moreover, the convergence results are always up to reparametrisation and sometimes translation¹.

In this paper we introduce a new gradient flow for \mathcal{E} . We prove the existence of unique global-in-time strong solutions starting from initial curves in the energy space H^2 and full convergence of gradient trajectories to elastica without any corrections to translation or parametrisation. Namely, we consider the Cauchy problem for the $H^2(ds)$ -gradient flow for \mathcal{E} defined on closed curves in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$(GF) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \gamma = -\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma, \\ \gamma(\cdot, 0) = \gamma_0(\cdot). \end{cases}$$

Here, $\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ denotes the $H^2(ds)$ -gradient for \mathcal{E} at γ (for the precise definition and its expression, see Section 2), i.e. the gradient of \mathcal{E} with respect to the inner product

$$(2) \quad \langle v, w \rangle_{H^2(ds), \gamma} := \int_\gamma \langle v, w \rangle ds + \int_\gamma \langle v_s, w_s \rangle ds + \int_\gamma \langle v_{ss}, w_{ss} \rangle ds$$

¹[13, 28, 29] proved full convergence without any translation corrections due to the invariance of center of gravity of curves under the elastic flow with the inextensibility condition, but the inextensibility condition fixes parametrisation. In [22] translation assumptions are neatly avoided without constraints, but reparametrisation is still required.

for variations $v, w \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ along γ . We consider initial data γ_0 in the space of H^2 immersions

$$\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) := \{\gamma \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) : |\gamma'(u)| > 0\}$$

which is an open subset of $H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 3.1 (i) below). The main result of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then problem (GF) possesses a unique global-in-time solution γ in the class $C^1([0, \infty), \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n))$. Moreover, the solution γ converges to an elastica as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the $H^2(ds)$ -topology.*

Since it is defined by the $H^2(ds)$ -metric, $\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ is also of class H^2 and so (GF) is an ODE in Sobolev space $H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus we prove the existence of local-in-time solutions to problem (GF) by the use of the generalized (to Banach space) Picard–Lindelöf theorem (Proposition 3.2). Moreover, thanks to the metric completeness of the space $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the $H^2(ds)$ -Riemannian metric (see [4, Theorem 4.3]), the proof of the existence of global-in-time solutions follows from a simple adaptation of the method used in [32, Theorem 9.1.6]. The metric completeness is also a key ingredient in the proof of convergence: we use a Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality to show that the $H^2(ds)$ length of a gradient trajectory is finite, and therefore converges by completeness.

We remark that the $H^2(ds)$ -gradient flow for \mathcal{E} is different from the flow considered by Langer and Singer [15, 16] and Linnér [18, 20]. These authors carry out their analysis using an H^1 -metric on a space of indicatrices, which is effectively H^2 on the space of curves but without measuring the zeroth order product of variations – the first summand in (2). As observed by Wen [40] and then clearly demonstrated by Linnér [20], different representations of the space of curves and different choices for the metric on these spaces result in geometrically distinct flows.

Finally, we mention that Sobolev gradient flows for other geometric functionals have been studied, e.g. the $H^1(ds)$ -curve shortening flow [37], the H^2 -elastic flow for graphs with an obstacle [24], and fractional Sobolev gradient flows for knot energies [34, 12]. We also mention the comprehensive book by Neuberger [25] which discusses many applications as well as the numerical advantages of Sobolev gradient flows.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give the precise formulation of the $H^2(ds)$ -gradient flow for \mathcal{E} and introduce some notation. In Section 3 we prove the existence of a unique global-in-time solution of (GF). We prove the full convergence of solutions to (GF) as follows: in Section 4.2 we verify the analyticity of the functional \mathcal{E} ; in Section 4.4 we first prove a Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality on a submanifold of $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ which is studied in Section 4.3, and extend it to a Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality on $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$; finally we prove full convergence of solutions to an elastica by way of the Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality in Section 5.

2. FORMULATION

2.1. The $H^2(ds)$ -gradient. We derive the $H^2(ds)$ -gradient for the modified elastic energy \mathcal{E} (1) defined on closed curves $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. For $v, w \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ define the $H^2(ds)$ -inner product by

$$(3) \quad \langle v, w \rangle_{H^2(ds), \gamma} := \langle v, w \rangle_{L^2(ds), \gamma} + \langle v_s, w_s \rangle_{L^2(ds), \gamma} + \langle v_{ss}, w_{ss} \rangle_{L^2(ds), \gamma}$$

with

$$(4) \quad \langle v, w \rangle_{L^2(ds), \gamma} := \int_\gamma \langle v, w \rangle \, ds,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Euclidean product. From now on we will omit the subscript γ from the $H^2(ds)$, $L^2(ds)$ products unless it is needed. Because they depend on the base curve γ the $L^2(ds)$ and $H^2(ds)$ products (3), (4) are *Riemannian metrics* on $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. The $H^2(ds)$ -norm is equivalent to the usual H^2 -norm but the constants c_1, c_2 in

$$c_1 \|v\|_{H^2} \leq \|v\|_{H^2(ds)} \leq c_2 \|v\|_{H^2}$$

will depend on γ . Indeed from $v_s = \frac{v'}{|\gamma'|}$ and $v_{ss} = \frac{1}{|\gamma'|^2} v'' - \frac{\langle \gamma'', \gamma' \rangle}{|\gamma'|^4} v'$, setting $c_0 = (\min |\gamma'|)^{-1}$

$$\|v\|_{H^2(ds)}^2 \leq \|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + c_0 \|v'\|_{L^2}^2 + c_0^3 \|v''\|_{L^2}^2 + c_0^7 \|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty} \|\gamma''\|_{L^2} \|v'\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq c_2^2 \|v\|_{H^2}^2.$$

The left equivalence inequality is similar.

It then follows from the Lax-Milgram theorem that $H^2(ds)$ is a *strong* Riemannian metric, meaning that at each γ it gives an continuous linear isomorphism between $H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and its dual. For contrast, the $L^2(ds)$ -product does not - it is a *weak* Riemannian metric.

For the Gateaux derivative of \mathcal{E} at $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ we find

$$(5) \quad d\mathcal{E}_\gamma v = \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{E}(\gamma + \varepsilon v) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} [2\gamma_{ss} \cdot v_{ss} - 3k^2 \gamma_s \cdot v_s - \lambda^2 \gamma_{ss} \cdot v] ds$$

for all $v \in H^2(S^1; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Using Lemma 3.1 it is not too difficult to show that $d\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ is bounded. Moreover, it depends continuously on γ and is therefore a Frechét derivative. Then since $H^2(ds)$ is a strong metric there exists an $H^2(ds)$ -gradient of \mathcal{E} at γ , meaning

$$(6) \quad \langle \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma, v \rangle_{H^2(ds)} = \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} [2\gamma_{ss} \cdot v_{ss} - 3k^2 \gamma_s \cdot v_s - \lambda^2 \gamma_{ss} \cdot v] ds$$

for all $v \in H^2(S^1; \mathbb{R}^n)$. To derive the explicit form of $\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma$, observe that (6) is the weak formulation of

$$(7) \quad (\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma)_{ssss} - (\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma)_{ss} + \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma = \nabla \mathcal{E}_\gamma$$

where $\nabla \mathcal{E}_\gamma = 2\gamma_{ssss} + 3(k^2 \gamma_s)_s - \lambda^2 \gamma_{ss}$ is the classical $L^2(ds)$ -gradient.

To solve (7), setting

$$s := s_\gamma(u) = \int_0^u |\gamma'(\xi)| d\xi, \quad \tilde{s} := s_\gamma(\tilde{u}) = \int_0^{\tilde{u}} |\gamma'(\xi)| d\xi, \quad \text{for } u, \tilde{u} \in S^1,$$

we derive the Green's function, i.e. the solution to

$$G_{ssss}(s, \tilde{s}) - G_{ss}(s, \tilde{s}) + G(s, \tilde{s}) = \delta(s, \tilde{s})$$

which is C^2 periodic. Using the general solution to the homogeneous equation we set

$$G(s, \tilde{s}) = \begin{cases} b_1 e^{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}s} \cos \frac{s}{2} + b_2 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}s} \cos \frac{s}{2} + b_3 e^{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}s} \sin \frac{s}{2} + b_4 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}s} \sin \frac{s}{2} & \text{if } s < \tilde{s}, \\ c_1 e^{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}s} \cos \frac{s}{2} + c_2 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}s} \cos \frac{s}{2} + c_3 e^{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}s} \sin \frac{s}{2} + c_4 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}s} \sin \frac{s}{2} & \text{if } s > \tilde{s}. \end{cases}$$

Enforcing periodic boundary conditions up to third order, continuity up to second order, and the appropriate third order discontinuity, we find the unknown parameters b_i and c_i as follows:

$$G(s, \tilde{s}; \gamma) = \frac{A(\mathcal{L}(\gamma) - |s - \tilde{s}|, |s - \tilde{s}|)}{\beta(\mathcal{L}(\gamma))}, \quad 0 \leq s, \tilde{s} \leq \mathcal{L}(\gamma),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1, x_2) &= \sinh \frac{\sqrt{3}x_1}{2} \cos \frac{x_2}{2} + \sinh \frac{\sqrt{3}x_2}{2} \cos \frac{x_1}{2} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{3} \cosh \frac{\sqrt{3}x_1}{2} \sin \frac{x_2}{2} + \sqrt{3} \cosh \frac{\sqrt{3}x_2}{2} \sin \frac{x_1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta(\ell) = 2\sqrt{3} \left(\cosh \frac{\sqrt{3}\ell}{2} - \cos \frac{\ell}{2} \right).$$

The solution to (6) is then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) &= \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} G(s, \tilde{s}; \gamma) \nabla \mathcal{E}(\gamma)(\tilde{s}) d\tilde{s} \\ &= \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} G [2\gamma_{\tilde{s}\tilde{s}\tilde{s}\tilde{s}} + 3(k^2\gamma_{\tilde{s}})_{\tilde{s}} - \lambda^2\gamma_{\tilde{s}\tilde{s}}] d\tilde{s} \\ &= \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} [2G_{\tilde{s}\tilde{s}\tilde{s}\tilde{s}}\gamma - G_{\tilde{s}}(3k^2 - \lambda^2)\gamma_{\tilde{s}}] d\tilde{s} \\ &= 2\gamma(s) + \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} [2(G_{\tilde{s}\tilde{s}} - G)\gamma - G_{\tilde{s}}(3k^2 - \lambda^2)\gamma_{\tilde{s}}] d\tilde{s} \\ &= 2\gamma(s) - \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} [2G\gamma + G_{\tilde{s}}\gamma_{\tilde{s}}(3k^2 + 2 - \lambda^2)] d\tilde{s}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation $G(s, \tilde{s}) = G(\tilde{s}, s)$. Thus we obtain

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma(u) &= 2\gamma(u) - \int_0^1 \left[2G(s, \tilde{s}; \gamma) \gamma(\tilde{u}) |\gamma'(\tilde{u})| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{|\gamma'(\tilde{u})|} G_{\tilde{u}}(s, \tilde{s}; \gamma) \gamma'(\tilde{u}) (3k(\tilde{u})^2 + 2 - \lambda^2) \right] d\tilde{u}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition $\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ (this can also be checked directly from (8), as in Lemma 3.1 (iv)) and so problem (GF) is an ODE in $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

2.2. Metric completeness. The $H^2(ds)$ -Riemannian distance is

$$\text{dist}(\gamma, \beta) := \inf_p \int_0^1 \|p'(t)\|_{H^2(ds)} dt$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise C^1 paths $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p(0) = \gamma$ and $p(1) = \beta$. By Theorem 1.9.5 in [11], since $H^2(ds)$ is a strong Riemannian metric² the distance function determines a metric on $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ whose topology coincides with the H^2 -topology.

Lemma 2.1 ([4], Lemma 4.2). Write $B_r^{\text{dist}}(\gamma_0)$ for the open ball with radius r with respect to the $H^2(ds)$ -Riemannian distance.

(i) Given $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ there exist $r > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\text{dist}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq C \|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{H^2}$$

for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in B_r^{\text{dist}}(\gamma_0)$.

(ii) Given $B_r^{\text{dist}}(\gamma_0) \subset \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{H^2} \leq C \text{dist}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$$

for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in B_r^{\text{dist}}(\gamma_0)$.

Theorem 2.2 ([4], Theorem 4.3). $(\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n), \text{dist})$ is a complete metric space.

For contrast once again, the distance obtained from the $L^2(ds)$ -metric does not give a complete metric space and in fact vanishes on any path component. See [23] and the discussion in [37].

²Note that the definition of a Riemannian metric used in [11] includes the assumption that it is strong.

2.3. Reparametrisation invariance. Given a diffeomorphism $\phi \in \text{Diff}(S^1)$ we have the action by reparametrisation $\Phi : \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Phi\gamma := \gamma \circ \phi$. Since Φ is linear we have $d\Phi = \Phi$. Along the same lines as in [37] Section 3, this action is an isometry of the $H^2(ds)$ -metric. That is,

$$\langle \Phi v, \Phi w \rangle_{H^2(ds), \Phi\gamma} = \langle v, w \rangle_{H^2(ds), \gamma}.$$

Moreover, the energy \mathcal{E} is invariant under reparametrisation: $\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \mathcal{E}(\Phi\gamma)$ and therefore $d\mathcal{E}_\gamma = d\mathcal{E}_{\Phi\gamma} \Phi$. Applying the definition of the gradient and the isometry property, we have

$$d\mathcal{E}_\gamma v = \langle \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma, v \rangle_{H^2(ds), \gamma} = \langle \Phi \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma, \Phi v \rangle_{H^2(ds), \Phi\gamma}.$$

On the other side $d\mathcal{E}_{\Phi\gamma} \Phi v = \langle \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_{\Phi\gamma}, \Phi v \rangle_{H^2(ds), \Phi\gamma}$ and equating the two we observe that $\Phi \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma = \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_{\Phi\gamma}$. Using the isometry property again we have

$$(9) \quad \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_{\Phi\gamma}\|_{H^2(ds)} = \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)}.$$

3. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS

From now on, we set

$$(10) \quad F(\gamma) := -\text{grad } \mathcal{E}(\gamma).$$

Moreover, we denote by C_S the Sobolev constant of the imbedding $H^1(S^1) \subset C^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)$:

$$\|f\|_{C^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)} \leq C_S \|f\|_{H^1(S^1)}.$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b = \min_{u \in S^1} |\gamma'_0(u)|$. Then for all $\gamma \in H^2(S^1)$ with $\|\gamma - \gamma_0\|_{H^2} < b/C_S$, there exist positive constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 depending on γ_0 such that

- (i) $0 < c_1 < |\gamma'(u)| < c_2$, and therefore $c_1 < \mathcal{L}(\gamma) < c_2$,
- (ii) $T : \gamma \mapsto \gamma_s$ is locally Lipschitz,
- (iii) $\kappa : \gamma \mapsto \gamma_{ss}$ is L^2 -bounded and locally Lipschitz,
- (iv) $\|F(\gamma)\|_{H^2} \leq c_3$,
- (v) $\|DF_\gamma\|_{H^{2*}} \leq c_4$.

Proof. By the Sobolev imbedding we have

$$\left| |\gamma'(u)| - |\gamma'_0(u)| \right| \leq \|\gamma' - \gamma'_0\|_{C^0} \leq C_S \|\gamma - \gamma_0\|_{H^2} < b$$

and then

$$0 < |\gamma'_0(u)| - b < |\gamma'(u)| < b + \|\gamma'_0\|_{C^0}$$

which proves (i).

Suppose γ, μ are in the open H^2 -ball centered at γ_0 with radius b/C_S , then by (i)

$$|T(\gamma) - T(\mu)| = \left| \frac{\gamma'}{|\gamma'|} - \frac{\mu'}{|\mu'|} \right| = \frac{1}{|\gamma'| |\mu'|} |\gamma' |\mu'| - \gamma' |\gamma'| + \gamma' |\gamma'| - \mu' |\mu'|| \leq \frac{2}{c_1} |\gamma' - \mu'|$$

and therefore $\|T(\gamma) - T(\mu)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{2}{c_1} \|\gamma' - \mu'\|_{L^2}$. Showing that

$$\|T(\gamma)' - T(\mu)'\|_{L^2} \leq c \|\gamma' - \mu'\|_{H^1}$$

uses basically the same method but with more terms. Thus (ii) follows.

From $\gamma_{ss} = \gamma'' |\gamma'|^{-2} - \langle \gamma'', \gamma' \rangle \gamma' |\gamma'|^{-4}$ we get

$$|\kappa|^2 = \frac{|\gamma''|^2}{|\gamma'|^4} - \frac{\langle \gamma'', \gamma' \rangle^2}{|\gamma'|^6} \leq 2 \frac{|\gamma''|^2}{|\gamma'|^4} \leq \frac{2}{c_1^4} |\gamma''|^2$$

and then

$$\int |\kappa|^2 du \leq \frac{2}{c_1^4} \|\gamma''\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{2}{c_1} \left(\frac{b}{C_S} + \|\gamma_0\|_{H^2} \right)^2.$$

The proof that

$$\|\kappa(\gamma) - \kappa(\mu)\|_{L^2} \leq c \|\gamma - \mu\|_{H^2}$$

is similar to (ii). Thus (iii) follows.

By (8) and (10) we have

(11)

$$F(\gamma)(u) = -2\gamma(u) + \int_0^1 \left[2G\gamma(\tilde{u})|\gamma'(\tilde{u})| + \frac{1}{|\gamma'(\tilde{u})|} G_{\tilde{u}}\gamma'(\tilde{u}) (3k(\tilde{u})^2 + 2 - \lambda^2) \right] d\tilde{u},$$

(12)

$$F(\gamma)'(u) = -2\gamma'(u) + \int_0^1 \left[2G_u\gamma(\tilde{u})|\gamma'(\tilde{u})| + \frac{1}{|\gamma'(\tilde{u})|} G_{\tilde{u}u}\gamma'(\tilde{u}) (3k(\tilde{u})^2 + 2 - \lambda^2) \right] d\tilde{u},$$

(13)

$$F(\gamma)''(u) = -2\gamma''(u) + \int_0^1 \left[2G_{uu}\gamma(\tilde{u})|\gamma'(\tilde{u})| + \frac{1}{|\gamma'(\tilde{u})|} G_{\tilde{u}uu}\gamma'(\tilde{u}) (3k(\tilde{u})^2 + 2 - \lambda^2) \right] d\tilde{u}.$$

Then, suppressing arguments in $A(\mathcal{L}(\gamma) - |s - \tilde{s}|, |s - \tilde{s}|)$ and $\beta(\mathcal{L}(\gamma))$, the derivatives of G are

$$(14) \quad G_u = \frac{(\partial_2 - \partial_1)A}{\beta} \operatorname{sgn}(s - \tilde{s})|\gamma'(u)|,$$

$$(15) \quad G_{\tilde{u}} = -G_u,$$

$$(16) \quad G_{\tilde{u}u} = -\frac{(\partial_2 - \partial_1)^2 A}{\beta} |\gamma'(\tilde{u})||\gamma'(u)|,$$

$$(17) \quad G_{uu} = \frac{(\partial_2 - \partial_1)^2 A}{\beta} |\gamma'(u)|^2 + \frac{(\partial_2 - \partial_1)A}{\beta} \operatorname{sgn}(s - \tilde{s}) \frac{\gamma'(u) \cdot \gamma''(u)}{|\gamma'(u)|},$$

$$(18) \quad G_{\tilde{u}uu} = -\frac{(\partial_2 - \partial_1)^3 A}{\beta} \operatorname{sgn}(s - \tilde{s}) |\gamma'(\tilde{u})||\gamma'(u)|^2 - \frac{(\partial_2 - \partial_1)^2 A}{\beta} |\gamma'(\tilde{u})| \frac{\gamma'(u) \cdot \gamma''(u)}{|\gamma'(u)|},$$

where in the expressions for $G_{\tilde{u}u}$ and G_{uu} we have used the fact that $(\partial_2 - \partial_1)A(\mathcal{L}(\gamma), 0)$ vanishes. Now A and β are both smooth functions of $s, \tilde{s}, \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$, each of which is bounded by (i). Moreover, $\beta(\mathcal{L}(\gamma))$ is bounded away from zero by (i), and so the quotients in the above expressions are all bounded. It follows that $G_u, G_{\tilde{u}}$, and $G_{\tilde{u}u}$ are bounded and then from (11),(12), (14), (15) and (16), using (iii), we confirm that $\|F(\gamma)\|_{H^1}$ is bounded. From (17),(18) there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$|G_{uu}(s, \tilde{s}; \gamma)| \leq C_1 (|\gamma'(u)|^2 + |\gamma''(u)|),$$

$$|G_{\tilde{u}uu}(s, \tilde{s}; \gamma)| \leq C_2 |\gamma'(\tilde{u})| (|\gamma'(u)|^2 + |\gamma''(u)|).$$

Using these inequalities with (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\gamma)''(u)| \leq & 2|\gamma''(u)| + \int_0^1 \left[2C_1 (|\gamma'(u)|^2 + |\gamma''(u)|) |\gamma(\tilde{u})||\gamma'(\tilde{u})| \right. \\ & \left. + C_2 |\gamma'(\tilde{u})| (|\gamma'(u)|^2 + |\gamma''(u)|) (3k(\tilde{u})^2 + 2 + \lambda^2) \right] d\tilde{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (iii) again we have that $\|F(\gamma)''\|_{L^2}$ is bounded. Thus (iv) follows.

Let $\alpha : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a variation through γ in the direction $v \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e $\alpha(0) = \gamma$ and $\alpha'(0) = v$. Treating α as a function of two variables $\alpha(\varepsilon, u)$, we calculate

$$\partial_\varepsilon |\alpha_u| \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \langle \alpha_{u\varepsilon}, \alpha_u \rangle |\alpha_u|^{-1} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon u}, \alpha_s \rangle \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \langle v_u, \gamma_s \rangle.$$

Hence also at $\varepsilon = 0$ we have $\partial_\varepsilon ds = \langle V_s, \gamma_s \rangle ds$. Similarly for any function ϕ depending on α we find the following relation for commutation with the arc length derivative

$$\phi_{s\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \phi_{\varepsilon s} - \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_s \rangle \phi_s \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \phi_{\varepsilon s} - \langle v_s, \gamma_s \rangle \phi_s.$$

Using these formulae we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad DF_\gamma v &= \partial_\varepsilon F(\alpha) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= -2v + \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \left[2DG_\gamma v + 2Gv + 2G\gamma \langle v_{\tilde{s}}, \gamma_{\tilde{s}} \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - ((DG_\gamma v)_{\tilde{s}} \gamma_{\tilde{s}} + G_{\tilde{s}}(v_{\tilde{s}} - 2 \langle v_{\tilde{s}}, \gamma_{\tilde{s}} \rangle))(3k^2 + 2 - \lambda^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - G_{\tilde{s}} \gamma_{\tilde{s}} (6 \langle v_{\tilde{s}\tilde{s}}, \gamma_{\tilde{s}\tilde{s}} \rangle - (15k^2 + 2 - \lambda^2) \langle v_{\tilde{s}}, \gamma_{\tilde{s}} \rangle) \right] d\tilde{s}. \end{aligned}$$

To see that $\|DF_\gamma\|_{H^{2*}}$ is bounded, note that G and G' are both smooth functions of $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ and s . Then by (i) we have bounds for G and $G_{\tilde{s}}$. Since (iii) gives a bound for k^2 , it remains to show that $DG_\gamma v$ and $(DG_\gamma v)_s$ are bounded. From $s_\gamma = \int_0^u |\gamma'(\tau)| d\tau$ and $\mathcal{L}(\gamma) = s_\gamma(1)$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} Ds_\gamma v &= \int_0^u \langle v', \gamma' / |\gamma'| \rangle d\tau, \\ D\mathcal{L}_\gamma v &= \int_0^1 \langle v', \gamma' / |\gamma'| \rangle d\tau, \\ D(|s - \tilde{s}|)_\gamma v &= \operatorname{sgn}(s - \tilde{s}) \int_{\tilde{u}}^u \langle v', \gamma' / |\gamma'| \rangle d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and each of these is bounded. Then, suppressing arguments in $A(\mathcal{L}(\gamma) - |s - \tilde{s}|, |s - \tilde{s}|)$ and $\beta(\mathcal{L}(\gamma))$, we have

$$DG_\gamma v = \frac{1}{\beta} \partial_1 A (D\mathcal{L}_\gamma v - D(|s - \tilde{s}|)_\gamma v) + \frac{1}{\beta} \partial_2 A D(|s - \tilde{s}|)_\gamma v - \frac{\beta'}{\beta^2} A D\mathcal{L}_\gamma v.$$

Since A and β are smooth functions, and $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ is bounded away from zero by (i), we now have that $|DG_\gamma|$ is bounded. Next we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (DG_\gamma v)_s &= \frac{1}{\beta} (\partial_2 \partial_1 A - \partial_1^2 A) \operatorname{sgn}(s - \tilde{s}) (D\mathcal{L}_\gamma v - D(|s - \tilde{s}|)_\gamma v) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\beta} (\partial_1 A + \partial_2 A) (D(|s - \tilde{s}|)_\gamma v)_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\beta} (\partial_2^2 A - \partial_1 \partial_2 A) \operatorname{sgn}(s - \tilde{s}) D(|s - \tilde{s}|)_\gamma v \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta'}{\beta^2} (\partial_2 A - \partial_1 A) \operatorname{sgn}(s - \tilde{s}) D\mathcal{L}_\gamma v, \end{aligned}$$

$$(D(|s - \tilde{s}|)_\gamma v)_s = \operatorname{sgn}(s - \tilde{s}) v_s \gamma_s.$$

This implies that $(DG_\gamma v)_s$ is also bounded when v is, and then we observe from (19) that $\|DF_\gamma\|_{H^{2*}}$ is bounded. Thus (v) follows, and the proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete. \square

Proposition 3.2. Let $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists $T > 0$ such that problem (GF) possesses a unique solution in $C^1([0, T], H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n))$.

Proof. According to the Banach space Picard–Lindelöf theorem in [42, Theorem 3.A] it is sufficient to show that there is an H^2 -ball containing γ_0 on which F is bounded and Lipschitz. Using the ball from Lemma 3.1, F is bounded by (iv) and is Lipschitz by (v) and the mean value inequality (e.g. see [14, Corollary. 4.2]). \square

For long-time existence we will imitate the method used in e.g. [32, Theorem 9.1.6].

Lemma 3.3. Assume that $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a C^1 curve with finite $H^2(ds)$ -length. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow b} \gamma(t)$ exists.

Proof. For *any* increasing sequence of times $t_i \rightarrow b$ we claim that $\gamma_i := \gamma(t_i)$ is Cauchy in $(\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n), \text{dist})$. Suppose not, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $N > 0$ there exist $j, k > N$ such that $\text{dist}(\gamma_j, \gamma_k) > \varepsilon$. Since $\text{dist}(\gamma_j, \gamma_k) < \int_{t_j}^{t_k} \|\gamma_t\|_{H^2(ds)} dt$, this contradicts the assumption that the length $\int_a^b \|\gamma_t\|_{H^2(ds)} dt$ should be finite. By Theorem 2.2 we see that γ_i converges to some γ_b . Moreover, γ_b is unique: if we have another sequence $\tilde{\gamma}_i := \gamma(\tilde{t}_i) \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}_b$ and we take \bar{t}_i to be the ordered union of t_i and \tilde{t}_i then $\gamma(\bar{t}_i)$ must also converge, which requires $\tilde{\gamma}_b = \gamma_b$. Finally, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow b} \gamma(t) = \gamma_b$, because if not then there exists an increasing sequence $t_j \rightarrow b$ such that $\gamma(t_j)$ does not converge to γ_b . \square

Proposition 3.4. Assume that $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then problem (GF) possesses a unique global-in-time solution γ .

Proof. Suppose $\gamma : [0, T) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a maximal solution curve to $\gamma_t = -\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma$. Abbreviating $\mathcal{E}(t) := \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t))$, since

$$\mathcal{E}(t) - \mathcal{E}(0) = \int_0^t \mathcal{E}'(\tau) d\tau = - \int_0^t \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)}^2 d\tau$$

we have

$$(20) \quad \int_0^T \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)}^2 dt \leq \mathcal{E}(0),$$

and then using the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\int_0^T \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)} dt \leq \sqrt{T} \left(\int_0^T \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{T \mathcal{E}(0)}.$$

Observe that if T is finite then the length of γ is finite, and then it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \gamma(t)$ exists in $(\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n), \text{dist})$. This contradicts the maximality of T . Therefore Proposition 3.4 follows. \square

4. ŁOJASIEWICZ–SIMON GRADIENT INEQUALITY

Our general strategy for proving convergence of the flow to stationary points is well known: upgrade the L^2 -in-time estimate (20) to an L^1 estimate using a Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality, and then the length of any trajectory is finite (see e.g. Chapter 7 of [9]). Typically the Łojasiewicz–Simon inequality requires that \mathcal{E} should be analytic, which we verify in Section 4.2. The other standard requisite is a Fredholm second derivative, and so an immediate obstruction is that because of the invariance under reparametrization, the second derivative of \mathcal{E} has infinite dimensional nullspace and is therefore not Fredholm. To get around this, we restrict \mathcal{E} to the submanifold of arc length proportionally parametrized curves. We show that on this submanifold a gradient inequality is satisfied, and then use the reparametrization symmetry to extend the inequality to the full space.

4.1. Regularity of critical points and the second variation. First we show that stationary points of \mathcal{E} have higher regularity, and then we calculate the second derivative of \mathcal{E} . The higher regularity of critical points will be required in order to show in Proposition 4.12 that the second derivative is Fredholm.

Proposition 4.1. $\gamma \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a stationary point of \mathcal{E} iff γ satisfies

$$(21) \quad 2\partial_s^4 \gamma + \partial_s(3k^2 \gamma_s - \lambda^2 \gamma_s) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.},$$

$$(22) \quad \partial_s^2 \gamma(0) = \partial_s^2 \gamma(\mathcal{L}(\gamma)), \quad \partial_s^3 \gamma(0) = \partial_s^3 \gamma(\mathcal{L}(\gamma)).$$

Proof. Recalling (5) we have

$$(23) \quad d\mathcal{E}_\gamma V = \int_0^{\mathcal{L}} [2 \langle \gamma_{ss}, V_{ss} \rangle - (3k^2 - \lambda^2) \langle \gamma_s, V_s \rangle] ds,$$

where $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$. Since γ is a stationary point of \mathcal{E} , it holds that $d\mathcal{E}_\gamma V = 0$ for all $V \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let y and w be the solutions to

$$y_s = 3k^2 \gamma_s - \lambda^2 \gamma_s, \quad y(0) = 0,$$

$$w_{ss} = 2\gamma_{ss} + y, \quad w(0) = 0, \quad w_s(0) = 0,$$

and define

$$\tilde{w}(s) := w(s) - \frac{s^2}{\mathcal{L}^2} w(\mathcal{L}) - \frac{s^2}{\mathcal{L}^2} (s - \mathcal{L}) \left(w_s(\mathcal{L}) - \frac{2}{\mathcal{L}} w(\mathcal{L}) \right),$$

so that $\tilde{w}(0), \tilde{w}(\mathcal{L}), \tilde{w}_s(0)$ and $\tilde{w}_s(\mathcal{L})$ all vanish and $\tilde{w} \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, $\tilde{w}_{ss} = w_{ss} - P$ where P is linear in s , i.e. $P_{ss} = 0$ and so $\int_0^{\mathcal{L}} \langle P, \tilde{w}_{ss} \rangle ds = 0$. We therefore have that if γ is stationary then $d\mathcal{E}_\gamma w = 0$ from which it follows that

$$0 = \int_0^{\mathcal{L}} \langle 2\gamma_{ss} + y, \tilde{w}_{ss} \rangle ds = \int_0^{\mathcal{L}} \langle 2\gamma_{ss} + y - P, \tilde{w}_{ss} \rangle ds = \int_0^{\mathcal{L}} |2\gamma_{ss} + y - P|^2 ds$$

and therefore

$$(24) \quad 2\gamma_{ss} + y - P = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } S^1.$$

Considering only the normal component, since P is smooth and y is H^1 , we observe that k is also in H^1 . But then $y_{su} = 6kk_u \gamma_s + (3k^2 - \lambda^2) \gamma_{su}$ is in L^2 , so y is H^2 and so is k . Note that since (24) gives no information about the *tangential* component of γ_{uu} we cannot bootstrap any further³. However, we already have enough L^2 -derivatives to differentiate (24) twice and for γ to satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation (21) (a.e.). As for the periodicity conditions (22), integration by parts in (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{E}_\gamma V &= 2 \int_0^{\mathcal{L}} \langle \gamma_{s^4} + ((3k^2 - \lambda^2) \gamma_s)_s, V \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \left[2 \langle \gamma_{ss}, V_s \rangle - 2 \langle \gamma_{s^3}, V \rangle + \langle (3k^2 - \lambda) \gamma_s, V \rangle \right]_0^{\mathcal{L}}. \end{aligned}$$

For γ to be stationary the boundary terms must also vanish and so $\gamma_{ss}, \gamma_{s^3}$ and therefore k, k_s must be periodic. \square

Next we calculate the second variation of \mathcal{E} :

Lemma 4.2. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the second variation formula at γ is given by

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} d^2 \mathcal{E}_\gamma(V, W) &= \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \left[\langle W_{ss}, 2V_{ss} - 2 \langle V_{ss}, T \rangle T - 2 \langle V_s, \kappa \rangle T - 6 \langle V_s, T \rangle \kappa \right. \\ &\quad + \langle W_s, -2 \langle V_s, \kappa \rangle \kappa - 6 \langle V_{ss}, \kappa \rangle T - 2 \langle V_{ss}, T \rangle \kappa \\ &\quad \left. + \langle W_s, -(3k^2 - \lambda^2) V_s + (15k^2 - \lambda^2) \langle V_s, T \rangle T \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

³ $y_{suu} = (6k_u^2 + 6kk_{uu}) \gamma_s + 12kk_u \gamma_{su} + (3k^2 - \lambda^2) \gamma_{suu}$, but γ_{suu} is not necessarily L^2 .

Proof. We consider $d\mathcal{E} : U \subset H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and we want to calculate its derivative. Let $\alpha := \gamma + \varepsilon W$ be a variation of $\gamma \in U$ in the direction of $W \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then using $\partial_\varepsilon ds = \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_s \rangle ds$, from (5) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varepsilon d\mathcal{E}_\alpha V &= \int_0^{\mathcal{L}} \left[2 \langle V_{ss\varepsilon}, \alpha_{ss} \rangle + 2 \langle V_{ss}, \alpha_{ss\varepsilon} \rangle - 6 \langle \alpha_{ss\varepsilon}, \alpha_{ss} \rangle \langle V_s, \alpha_s \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (3k^2 - \lambda^2) (\langle V_{s\varepsilon}, \alpha_s \rangle + \langle V_s, \alpha_{s\varepsilon} \rangle) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2 \langle V_{ss}, \alpha_{ss} \rangle - (3k^2 - \lambda^2) \langle V_s, \alpha_s \rangle) \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_s \rangle \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula for commutation with the arc length derivative:

$$\phi_{s\varepsilon} = \phi_{\varepsilon s} - \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_s \rangle \phi_s,$$

we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{s\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \alpha_{\varepsilon s} - \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_s \rangle \alpha_s \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = W_s - \langle W_s, T \rangle T, \\ \alpha_{ss\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \alpha_{\varepsilon ss} - \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon ss}, \alpha_s \rangle \alpha_s - \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_{ss} \rangle \alpha_s - 2 \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_s \rangle \alpha_{ss} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= W_{ss} - \langle W_{ss}, T \rangle T - \langle W_s, \kappa \rangle T - 2 \langle W_s, T \rangle \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, noting that V is independent of ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{s\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} &= - \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_s \rangle V_s \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = - \langle W_s, T \rangle V_s, \\ V_{ss\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} &= - \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon ss}, \alpha_s \rangle V_s - \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_{ss} \rangle V_s - 2 \langle \alpha_{\varepsilon s}, \alpha_s \rangle V_{ss} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= - \langle W_{ss}, T \rangle V_s - \langle W_s, \kappa \rangle V_s - 2 \langle W_s, T \rangle V_{ss}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, evaluating $\partial_\varepsilon d\mathcal{E}_\alpha V$ at $\varepsilon = 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 \mathcal{E}_\gamma(V, W) &= \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \left[-2 \langle W_{ss}, T \rangle \langle V_s, \kappa \rangle - 2 \langle W_s, \kappa \rangle \langle V_s, \kappa \rangle - 4 \langle W_s, T \rangle \langle V_{ss}, \kappa \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \langle V_{ss}, W_{ss} \rangle - 2 \langle W_{ss}, T \rangle \langle T, V_{ss} \rangle - 2 \langle W_s, \kappa \rangle \langle T, V_{ss} \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4 \langle W_s, T \rangle \langle \kappa, V_{ss} \rangle - 6 \langle W_{ss}, \kappa \rangle \langle V_s, T \rangle + 12k^2 \langle W_s, T \rangle \langle V_s, T \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (3k^2 - \lambda^2) (2 \langle W_s, T \rangle \langle V_s, T \rangle - \langle V_s, W_s \rangle) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2 \langle V_{ss}, \kappa \rangle - (3k^2 - \lambda^2) \langle V_s, T \rangle) \langle W_s, T \rangle \right] ds \\ &= \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \left[\langle W_{ss}, 2V_{ss} - 2 \langle V_{ss}, T \rangle T - 2 \langle V_s, \kappa \rangle T - 6 \langle V_s, T \rangle \kappa \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle W_s, -2 \langle V_s, \kappa \rangle \kappa - 6 \langle V_{ss}, \kappa \rangle T - 2 \langle V_{ss}, T \rangle \kappa \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle W_s, -(3k^2 - \lambda^2)V_s + (15k^2 - \lambda^2) \langle V_s, T \rangle T \rangle \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

4.2. Analyticity. We are assuming less differentiability than [7, Section 3.1] and so the proof is a little different.

Definition (cf. [7], Section 3.1). Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $D \subset X$ an open subset. A map $f : D \rightarrow Y$ is *analytic at* $x_0 \in D$ if there exist continuous symmetric multilinear functions $a_j : X^j = X \times \dots \times X \rightarrow Y$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_0 \in Y$ such that in a neighbourhood of x_0

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|a_j\|_o \|x - x_0\|^j < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x - x_0)^j,$$

where $\|a_j\|_o$ is the operator norm and $a_j(x - x_0)^j := a_j(x - x_0, \dots, x - x_0)$.

Our goal is to prove that $\mathcal{E} : H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is analytic. The proof will require a series of lemmas showing that the constituent functions such as $\gamma \mapsto |\gamma_u|$ are analytic.

Let $H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) := \{\alpha \in H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) : \alpha(u) > 0\}$ and define $f : H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ by $f(\alpha)(u) := \sqrt{\alpha(u)}$. Note that by the Sobolev imbedding α is continuous and so

$$\|f(\alpha)\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{S^1} \alpha(u) du + \int_{S^1} \frac{1}{4\alpha} \alpha_u^2 du \leq \|\alpha\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{1}{4} (\min |\alpha|)^{-1} \|\alpha_u\|_{L^2}^2 < \infty$$

which shows that $f(\alpha)$ is indeed in H^1 .

Lemma 4.3. The function $f : H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ is analytic.

Proof. Let $\alpha_0 \in H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ and consider the open H^1 -ball $B_\varepsilon(\alpha_0)$ with radius ε centred at α_0 . Assume $\varepsilon < \min_{u \in S^1} |\alpha_0(u)|$ so that for all $\alpha \in B_\varepsilon(\alpha_0)$ we have

$$(26) \quad 0 < \alpha_0(u) - \varepsilon \leq \alpha(u) \leq \varepsilon + \alpha_0(u)$$

for all $u \in S^1$, and therefore $B_\varepsilon(\alpha_0) \subset H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$. Considered as a function from $\mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, the square root is analytic. Indeed the Taylor series about x_0 is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(x_0)(x - x_0)^j, \quad b_j(x_0) := c_j x_0^{\frac{1}{2}-j}, \quad c_j := \frac{(-1)^{j-1} (2j-3)!}{2^{2j-2} j! (j-2)!},$$

the ratio of successive terms is $\frac{(1-2j)}{2(j+1)}(x - x_0)x_0^{-1}$, and so by the ratio test the series converges when $|x - x_0| < x_0$. It follows from (26) that if $\alpha \in B_\varepsilon(\alpha_0)$ then for each u the series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(\alpha_0(u))(\alpha(u) - \alpha_0(u))^j$$

converges to $f(\alpha)(u)$. Moreover, since $\alpha_0(u) > 0$ we have

$$\|b_j(\alpha_0)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq c_j^2 \left(\min_{u \in S^1} \alpha_0 \right)^{1-2j} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - j \right)^2 c_j^2 \left(\min_{u \in S^1} \alpha_0 \right)^{-1-2j} \|\partial_u \alpha_0\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and so $b_j(\alpha_0) \in H^1$. Since $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra (see e.g. [1, Theorem 4.39]) we may consider $b_j(\alpha_0)$ to be a map from $H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ to itself acting by multiplication. Then to conclude the proof we need to show that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|b_j(\alpha_0)\|_{\circ} \|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_{H^1}^j$ converges, where the norm on $b_j(\alpha_0)$ is the operator norm. Note that

$$b_{j+1}(\alpha_0) = \frac{1-2j}{2j+2} \alpha_0^{-1} b_j(\alpha_0).$$

Then using the Banach algebra property ([1, Theorem 4.39]) there is a constant K such that

$$\|b_{j+1}(\alpha_0)\|_{\circ} = \sup_{\|v\|_{H^1}=1} \left\| \frac{1-2j}{2j+2} \alpha_0^{-1} b_j(\alpha_0) v \right\|_{H^1} \leq K \left\| \frac{1-2j}{2j+2} \alpha_0^{-1} \right\|_{H^1} \|b_j(\alpha_0)\|_{\circ}$$

and so the ratio of successive terms is

$$\frac{\|b_{j+1}(\alpha_0)\|_{\circ}}{\|b_j(\alpha_0)\|_{\circ}} \|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_{H^1} \leq K \left\| \frac{1-2j}{2j+2} \alpha_0^{-1} \right\|_{H^1} \|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_{H^1} \rightarrow K \|\alpha_0^{-1}\|_{H^1} \|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_{H^1}$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By the ratio test the series converges if $\|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_{H^1} \leq K^{-1} \|\alpha_0^{-1}\|_{H^1}^{-1}$ which can be arranged by choosing ε sufficiently small. \square

Lemma 4.4. The function $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$, $\gamma \mapsto |\gamma_u|$ is analytic.

Proof. First note that $\partial_u : \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is linear and continuous, therefore analytic. The map induced by the Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\| \langle v, w \rangle \|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{S^1} [| \langle v, w \rangle |^2 + | \langle v', w \rangle + \langle v, w' \rangle |^2] du \leq c \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|w\|_{H^1}^2.$$

So it is continuous bilinear and therefore the map $q(\gamma) := \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle$ is analytic. Now by Lemma 4.3 we have that $\gamma \mapsto |\gamma_u|$ is a composition of analytic functions $f \circ q \circ \partial_u$ and is therefore analytic by e.g. [41, p. 1079]. \square

Lemma 4.5. The function $\text{rec} : H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$, $\psi(u) \mapsto \psi(u)^{-1}$ is analytic.

Proof. This is proved for H^3 functions in [7, Appendix B.1], and the same method of proof works for H^1 functions. \square

We also require the following property of analytic functions: If $F : D \rightarrow Y_1$, $G : D \rightarrow Y_2$ are analytic and there exists a bilinear continuous mapping $*$: $Y_1 \times Y_2 \rightarrow Z$ into another Banach space, then the product $F * G : D \rightarrow Z$, $x \mapsto F(x) * G(x)$ is also analytic. According to [7, p. 2175] this can be proved using similar ideas as for the Cauchy product of series.

Lemma 4.6. The function $\partial_s : \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\gamma \mapsto \gamma_s = \gamma_u / |\gamma_u|$ is analytic.

Proof. We observed in the two previous lemmas that ∂_u and $\gamma \mapsto |\gamma_u|^{-1}$ are analytic on $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with respective codomains $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H_+^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover the pointwise product $*$: $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) \times H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is bilinear and continuous. Indeed if $\alpha \in H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma \in H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\| \alpha * \gamma \|_{H^1} \leq \| \alpha \|_{L^\infty} \| \gamma \|_{L^2} + \| \alpha \|_{L^\infty} \| \gamma_u \|_{L^2} + \| \alpha_u \|_{L^2} \| \gamma \|_{L^\infty}.$$

Since $\partial_s \gamma$ is the pointwise product of γ_u and $|\gamma_u|^{-1}$, the result follows. \square

Lemma 4.7. The function $\partial_s^2 : \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is analytic.

Proof. Since $\partial_u : H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is also linear and continuous, by Lemma 4.6 we have that $\partial_u \partial_s : \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a composition of analytic functions, therefore analytic. The product $*$: $H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) \times L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is also bilinear and continuous, and $\gamma_{ss} = |\gamma_u|^{-1} \gamma_{su}$, so ∂_s^2 is analytic. \square

Proposition 4.8. The energy $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is analytic.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \int_{S^1} [\langle \gamma_{ss}, \gamma_{ss} \rangle |\gamma_u| + \lambda |\gamma_u|] du$. Lemma 4.7 implies that ∂_s^2 is analytic. Moreover, the Euclidean inner product is continuous bilinear on $L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$, pointwise multiplication $*$: $L^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) \times H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ is continuous bilinear, and the sum of analytic functions is analytic. Thus the integrand is analytic as a function $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$. Integration is of course linear and bounded on L^1 , so the energy is a composition of analytic functions. \square

4.3. The submanifold of arc length proportional parametrized curves. Denote $H_{zm}^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) := \{ \alpha \in H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}) : \int_{S^1} \alpha du = 0 \}$ and define $\Phi : \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_{zm}^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ by $\Phi(\gamma) := |\gamma_u| - \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$. Then $\Omega := \Phi^{-1}(0)$ is the subset of $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of curves which are parametrized proportional to arc length.

Proposition 4.9. The set Ω of arc length proportional parametrized curves is an analytic submanifold of $H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. We will show that $\mathbf{0} \in H^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ is a regular value of Φ . For the derivative we get

$$(27) \quad d\Phi_\gamma v = v_u \cdot \frac{\gamma_u}{|\gamma_u|} - \int_{S^1} v_u \cdot \frac{\gamma_u}{|\gamma_u|} du,$$

and so $\mathbf{0}$ is a regular value if for all $w \in H_{zm}^1(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ there exists $v \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(28) \quad v_u \cdot \frac{\gamma_u}{|\gamma_u|} - \int_{S^1} v_u \cdot \frac{\gamma_u}{|\gamma_u|} du = w.$$

Given such a w , we let v be a solution of

$$(29) \quad v_u = w \frac{\gamma_u}{|\gamma_u|} + \xi N,$$

where N is the unit normal to γ and $\xi : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *control* function which we are free to choose in order to ensure v satisfies the zeroth order periodicity condition $v(0) = v(1)$ (the first order condition will be discussed below). If this is possible, for example if the system (29) is *controllable*, then the solution v satisfies (28) and $d\Phi_\gamma$ is surjective.

In fact, it will be sufficient to show that the system

$$(30) \quad x_u = \xi N$$

is controllable, because if y is any solution to $y_u = w\gamma_u/|\gamma_u|$ then we can control x from e.g. $x(0) = y(0)$ to $x(1) = y(1)$ and then let $v = x - y$. According to [3, p. 76], a sufficient condition for (30) to be controllable is that the matrix

$$W := \int_0^1 NN^T du$$

should be non-singular (here N is a column vector, N^T its transpose), in which case a particular control which drives the solution from $x(0)$ to $x(1)$ is $\xi = N^T W^{-1}(x(1) - x(0))$. Observe then that since $N^T(0) = N^T(1)$, we will have that the control ξ is also zeroth order periodic, and then from (29) v is first order periodic.

Suppose W is singular. Then there is a non-zero vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$0 = a^T W a = \int_0^1 (a \cdot N)^2 du$$

which implies that $a \cdot N = 0$ for all u , which is impossible because γ is neither a straight line nor constant.

We therefore have that $d\Phi_\gamma$ is surjective, and its kernel splits because it is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space. Therefore $\mathbf{0}$ is a regular value of Φ and Ω is a submanifold (see e.g. [36, Theorem 2.2.2], with [14, Proposition 2.3]). To see that it is an *analytic* submanifold we note that (e.g. in the proof of [14, Proposition 2.3]) the charts for Ω are constructed by applying the inverse function theorem to Φ . Since Φ is analytic as a consequence of Lemma 4.4, local inverses of Φ will also be analytic by a theorem of Whittlesey ([41, p. 1081]). So the charts are analytic, i.e. Ω is analytic. \square

Combining Proposition 4.8 with Proposition 4.9, we have:

Corollary 4.10. The restriction $\mathcal{E}|\Omega$ is analytic.

Here we give a characterization of the tangent space $T_\gamma\Omega$.

Corollary 4.11. The tangent space $T_\gamma\Omega$ is equal to $\ker d\Phi_\gamma$ and it consists of all $V \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$(31) \quad \langle V_s, T \rangle + \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \langle V, \kappa \rangle ds = 0.$$

Proof. The relation $T_\gamma\Omega = \ker d\Phi_\gamma$ is a consequence of the regular values theorem (see e.g. [36, Theorem 2.2.2]). From (27) we see that

$$\langle V_u, T \rangle - \int_{S^1} \langle V_u, T \rangle du = 0 \quad \text{for } v \in T_\gamma\Omega.$$

Since $|\gamma_u| = \mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Omega$, multiplication by $1/\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ and integration by parts gives the desired expression. \square

4.4. The gradient inequality.

Proposition 4.12. Let γ be a critical point of $\mathcal{E}|\Omega$, then $d^2(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_\gamma$ is a Fredholm operator with index zero.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \Omega$, and fix $V, W \in T_\gamma\Omega$ arbitrarily. Taking a derivative of (31), we have

$$(32) \quad \langle V_{ss}, T \rangle = -\langle V_s, \kappa \rangle.$$

Combining Lemma 4.2 with (32) and Corollary 4.11, we reduce the second variational formula into

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 \mathcal{E}_\gamma(V, W) &= \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} [\langle W_{ss}, 2V_{ss} - 6\langle T, V_s \rangle \kappa \\ &\quad + \langle W_s, -6\langle \kappa, V_{ss} \rangle T + (15k^2 - \lambda^2)\langle V_s, T \rangle T - (3k^2 - \lambda^2)V_s \rangle] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming furthermore that γ is a critical point of \mathcal{E} and therefore admits higher derivatives, we can differentiate

$$\partial_s(\langle \kappa, V_s \rangle T) = \langle \gamma_{s^3}, V_s \rangle T + \langle \kappa, V_{ss} \rangle T + \langle \kappa, V_s \rangle \kappa$$

and use this to eliminate the $\langle \kappa, V_{ss} \rangle T$ term from the expression for $d^2 \mathcal{E}$. Then after further simplifications we find

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 \mathcal{E}_\gamma(V, W) &= \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} [2\langle W_{ss}, V_{ss} \rangle + \langle W_s, 6\langle \gamma_{s^3}, V_s \rangle T + 6\langle T, V_s \rangle \gamma_{s^3} \\ &\quad + \langle W_s, (15k^2 - \lambda^2)\langle V_s, T \rangle T - (3k^2 - \lambda^2)V_s \rangle] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma \in \Omega$ we have $\langle V, W \rangle_{H^2} = \mathcal{L}^3(\gamma)\langle V_{ss}, W_{ss} \rangle_{L^2} + \mathcal{L}(\gamma)\langle V_s, W_s \rangle_{L^2} + \langle V, W \rangle_{L^2}$ and observe that the second derivative of \mathcal{E} has the form

$$d^2 \mathcal{E}_\gamma(V, W) = \frac{2}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)^3} \langle V, W \rangle_{H^2} + \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \langle F(V), W \rangle ds,$$

where F is a continuous linear map into $L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence the associated operator $B : T_\gamma\Omega \subset H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow T_\gamma\Omega^*$, defined by $B(V) = d^2(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_\gamma(V, \cdot)$ is the restriction to $T_\gamma\Omega$ of $2I + T$ where I is the Riesz map $I(V) = \langle V, \cdot \rangle_{H^2}$ and $T(V) := \int_{S^1} \langle V, F(\cdot) \rangle ds$. Since I is an isomorphism by the Riesz representation theorem, it is Fredholm with index zero. As for T ,

$$\|T(V)\|_{H^2} = \sup_{\|W\|_{H^2}=1} \left| \int \langle V, F(W) \rangle ds \right| \leq \sup_{\|W\|_{H^2}=1} \|V\|_{L^2} \|F(W)\|_{L^2} \leq c\|V\|_{L^2},$$

and then it follows from the compactness of the imbedding $H^2 \subset L^2$ that T is compact. Moreover, these properties for I and T persist upon restriction to $T_\gamma\Omega$. Then since B is the sum of a Fredholm index zero operator and a compact operator, it is also Fredholm with index zero (e.g. [42, Example 8.16]). \square

Proposition 4.13. (Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality on Ω). Let $\gamma_\infty \in \Omega$ be a stationary point of \mathcal{E} . There are constants $Z \in (0, \infty)$, $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ and $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ such that if $\gamma \in \Omega$ with $\|\gamma - \gamma_\infty\|_{H^2} < \sigma$ then

$$\|d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_\gamma\|_{T_\gamma\Omega^*} \geq Z|\mathcal{E}(\gamma) - \mathcal{E}(\gamma_\infty)|^\theta.$$

Proof. Let $\phi : U \subset \Omega \rightarrow \phi(U) \subset B$ be a local chart for Ω with $\gamma_\infty \in U$, where B is a subspace of $H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and choose σ such that γ is also in U when $\|\gamma - \gamma_\infty\|_{H^2} < \sigma$. Define $E := \mathcal{E} \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $dE = d\mathcal{E} \circ d\phi^{-1}$, and since γ_∞ is stationary we have

$$d^2 E_{\phi(\gamma_\infty)} = d^2 \mathcal{E}_{\gamma_\infty}(d\phi^{-1}, d\phi^{-1}).$$

Moreover, ϕ is analytic and $d\phi$ is an isomorphism so it follows from 4.10 and 4.12 that E is analytic and $d^2 \mathcal{E}_{\phi(\gamma_\infty)}$ is Fredholm. Therefore by [10, Theorem 1] there exist constants $\tilde{Z} \in (0, \infty)$, $\tilde{\sigma} \in (0, 1]$, $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ such that if $\|\phi(\gamma) - \phi(\gamma_\infty)\|_B < \tilde{\sigma}$ then

$$\|dE_{\phi(\gamma)}\|_{B^*} \geq \tilde{Z} |E(\phi(\gamma)) - E(\phi(\gamma_\infty))|^\theta = \tilde{Z} |\mathcal{E}(\gamma) - \mathcal{E}(\gamma_\infty)|^\theta.$$

Since $d\phi^{-1}$ is continuous we have that there is a constant c such that for any $V \in T_\gamma \Omega$

$$\|V\|_{H^2} \leq c \|d\phi(V)\|_B$$

and therefore

$$\|dE_{\phi(\gamma)}\|_{B^*} = \sup_{d\phi(V) \in B} \frac{|dE_{\phi(\gamma)} d\phi(V)|}{\|d\phi(V)\|_B} \leq \sup_{V \in T_\gamma \Omega} \frac{|d\mathcal{E}_\gamma V|}{\frac{1}{c} \|V\|_{H^2}} = c \|d(\mathcal{E}|_\Omega)_\gamma\|_{T_\gamma \Omega^*}.$$

The existence of a σ such that if $\|\gamma - \gamma_\infty\|_{H^2} < \sigma$ then $\|\phi(\gamma) - \phi(\gamma_\infty)\|_B < \tilde{\sigma}$ follows from the continuity of ϕ and the fact that Ω is a submanifold of $H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Lemma 4.14. The projection $P : \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega$ which takes each γ to its arc length proportional reparametrisation is continuous with respect to H^2 at any $c \in \mathcal{I}^3(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathcal{I}^3(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) := \{\gamma \in H^3(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n) : |\gamma'(u)| > 0\}$.

Proof. Write $w_\gamma(u) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \int_0^u |\gamma'(\tau)| d\tau$ so $\alpha(w) := P(\gamma)(w) = \gamma \circ w_\gamma^{-1}(w) = \gamma(u)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'(w) &= \mathcal{L}(\gamma) \frac{\gamma'(u)}{|\gamma'(u)|} =: \mathcal{L}(\gamma) T_\gamma(u), \\ \alpha''(w) &= \gamma''(u) \frac{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)^2}{|\gamma'(u)|^2} - \gamma'(u) \frac{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)^2}{|\gamma'(u)|^4} \langle \gamma''(u), \gamma'(u) \rangle = \mathcal{L}(\gamma)^2 \kappa_\gamma(u). \end{aligned}$$

Let $c \in \mathcal{I}^3(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. We will make repeated use of the following estimate on parameters

$$\begin{aligned} (33) \quad |u - \omega_\gamma^{-1} \circ \omega_c(u)| &= |\omega_\gamma^{-1} \circ \omega_\gamma(u) - \omega_\gamma^{-1} \circ \omega_c(u)| \\ &= \left| \int_{\omega_c(u)}^{\omega_\gamma(u)} (\omega_\gamma^{-1})'(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}(\gamma) \|\omega_\gamma^{-1}\|_{L^\infty} \left| \int_0^u [|\gamma'(\tau)| - |c'(\tau)|] d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}(\gamma) \|\omega_\gamma^{-1}\|_{L^\infty} \|\gamma' - c'\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(c) - P(\gamma)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_0^1 |c \circ \omega_c^{-1}(w) - \gamma \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w)|^2 dw \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |c(u) - c \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1} \circ \omega_c(u)|^2 \frac{|c'(u)|}{\mathcal{L}(c)} du \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 |c \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w) - \gamma \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w)|^2 dw \\ &\leq \frac{\|c'\|_{L^\infty}^3}{\mathcal{L}(c)} \int_0^1 |u - \omega_\gamma^{-1} \circ \omega_c(u)|^2 du + \frac{\|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty}}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \|c - \gamma\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\|c'\|_{L^\infty}^3}{\mathcal{L}(c)} \mathcal{L}(\gamma)^2 \|\omega_\gamma^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|c' - \gamma'\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty}}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \|c - \gamma\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the difference of first derivatives we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(c)' - P(\gamma)'\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_0^1 |\mathcal{L}(c)T_c \circ \omega_c^{-1}(w) - \mathcal{L}(\gamma)T_\gamma \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w)|^2 dw \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}(c)^2 \int_0^1 |T_c \circ \omega_c^{-1}(w) - T_c \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w)|^2 dw \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 |\mathcal{L}(c)T_c \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w) - \mathcal{L}(\gamma)T_\gamma \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w)|^2 dw. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term on the right hand side of the inequality, using a change of variable, the fundamental theorem of calculus and (33) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(c)^2 \int_0^1 |T_c \circ \omega_c^{-1}(w) - T_c \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w)|^2 dw &\leq \mathcal{L}(c) \|c'\|_{L^\infty} \|T_c'\|_{L^2}^2 \int_0^1 |u - \omega_\gamma^{-1} \circ \omega_c(u)|^2 du \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}(c) \|c'\|_{L^\infty} \|T_c'\|_{L^2}^2 \mathcal{L}(\gamma)^2 \| |\gamma'|^{-1} \|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\gamma' - c'\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 |\mathcal{L}(c)T_c \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w) - \mathcal{L}(\gamma)T_\gamma \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w)|^2 dw \\ &\leq 2 \frac{\|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty}}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \int_0^1 [|\mathcal{L}(c) - \mathcal{L}(\gamma)|^2 + \mathcal{L}(\gamma)^2 |T_c(u) - T_\gamma(u)|^2] du \\ &\leq 2 \frac{\|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty}}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \|c' - \gamma'\|_{L^2}^2 + 4 \|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{L}(\gamma) \| |\gamma'|^{-1} \|_{L^\infty}^2 \|c' - \gamma'\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the difference of second derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(c)'' - P(\gamma)''\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_0^1 |\mathcal{L}(c)^2 \kappa_c \circ \omega_c^{-1}(w) - \mathcal{L}(\gamma)^2 \kappa_\gamma \circ \omega_\gamma^{-1}(w)|^2 dw \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}(c)^3 \|c'\|_{L^\infty} \|\kappa_c'\|_{L^2}^2 \mathcal{L}(\gamma)^2 \| |\gamma'|^{-1} \|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\gamma' - c'\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \frac{\|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty}}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \|\kappa_c\|_{L^2}^2 (\mathcal{L}(c) + \mathcal{L}(\gamma))^2 \|c' - \gamma'\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{L}(\gamma)^3 \|\kappa_c - \kappa_\gamma\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have assumed $c \in \mathcal{I}^3(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ so that $\|\kappa_c'\|_{L^2}$ is bounded. Recall that by Lemma 3.1 if $\|c - \gamma\|_{L^2} \leq \delta$ then $|\gamma'|$ (and therefore also $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$) is bounded above and below, and T and k are locally Lipschitz. \square

Theorem 4.15. (Gradient inequality on $\mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$) *Let $\gamma_\infty \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a stationary point of \mathcal{E} . Then there are constants $Z \in (0, \infty)$, $c \in (0, 1]$ and $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ such that if $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\gamma - \gamma_\infty\|_{H^2} < c$ then*

$$\|\text{grad}_\gamma \mathcal{E}\|_{H^2(ds)} \geq Z |\mathcal{E}(\gamma) - \mathcal{E}(\gamma_\infty)|^\theta.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha, \alpha_\infty \in \Omega$ be the respective reparametrisations of γ, γ_∞ . Then since \mathcal{E} and $d\mathcal{E}$ are parametrisation invariant and $\|d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_\alpha\|_{T_\gamma\Omega^*} \leq \|d\mathcal{E}_\alpha\|_{H^{2*}}$ we have by Proposition 4.13

$$\|d\mathcal{E}_\alpha\|_{H^{2*}} \geq \|d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_\alpha\|_{T_\gamma\Omega^*} \geq Z |\mathcal{E}(\alpha) - \mathcal{E}(\alpha_\infty)|^\theta = Z |\mathcal{E}(\gamma) - \mathcal{E}(\gamma_\infty)|^\theta$$

provided $\|\alpha - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} \leq \sigma$, which can be arranged according to Lemma 4.14 because α_∞ is at least in H^4 (Proposition 4.1). Since reparametrisation is a linear map on H^2 we have $d\mathcal{E}_\alpha(V) = d\mathcal{E}_\gamma(V \circ \omega_\gamma)$ and then

$$(34) \quad \|d\mathcal{E}_\alpha\|_{H^{2*}} = \sup_{\|V\|_{H^2}=1} |d\mathcal{E}_\gamma(V \circ \omega_\gamma)| = \sup_{\|V\|_{H^2}=1} \langle \text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma, V \circ \omega_\gamma \rangle_{H^2(ds), \gamma}.$$

From $\frac{d\omega_\gamma^{-1}}{du} = \mathcal{L}(\gamma)/|\gamma'(u)|$ we calculate

$$(35) \quad \|V \circ \omega_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds),\gamma}^2 = \mathcal{L}(\gamma)\|V\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)}\|V'\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)^3}\|V''\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since γ is in an H^2 neighbourhood of γ_∞ we have upper and lower bounds for $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ and therefore a constant c_1 such that

$$\|d\mathcal{E}_\alpha\|_{H^{2*}} \leq c_1 \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)}.$$

□

5. CONVERGENCE

Since the Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality proved above only holds in an H^2 -neighbourhood of a critical point we will need subconvergence in H^2 of minimizing sequences in order to use it. To this end we will prove a Palais–Smale type condition for \mathcal{E} using the next lemma.

Lemma 5.1. The restriction $\mathcal{E}|_\Omega$ is coercive modulo C^1 in the following sense: given $\alpha \in \Omega$ with $\|\alpha\|_{H^2} < c_0$, for any $V \in T_\alpha\Omega$ there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 depending on c_0 such that

$$(36) \quad d^2(\mathcal{E}|_\Omega)_\alpha(V, V) \geq c_1\|V\|_{H^2}^2 - c_2\|V\|_{C^1}^2.$$

Proof. Rather than calculate $d^2(\mathcal{E}|_\Omega)_\alpha(V, V)$ by putting a tangent vector V of the form described by (31) into the formula (25) for $d^2\mathcal{E}$, we find it easier to calculate $d^2(\mathcal{E}|_\Omega)$ directly. Indeed, since $\alpha \in \Omega$ satisfies $|\alpha'(u)| = \mathcal{L}(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{E}(\alpha)$ has the simpler form

$$\mathcal{E}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^3} \int_0^1 |\alpha''|^2 du.$$

Then if we let $a(\varepsilon)$ be a path in Ω with $\partial_\varepsilon a|_{\varepsilon=0} = V \in T_\alpha\Omega$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathcal{E}|_\Omega)_\alpha V &= \partial_\varepsilon \mathcal{E}(a)|_{\varepsilon=0} = -3 \frac{\partial_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}(a)}{\mathcal{L}(a)^4} \int_0^1 |\alpha''|^2 du + \frac{2}{\mathcal{L}(a)^3} \int_0^1 \langle \partial_\varepsilon \alpha'', a \rangle du \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \frac{3\mathcal{E}(\alpha)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^2} \int_0^1 \langle \alpha'', V \rangle du + \frac{2}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^3} \int_0^1 \langle V'', \alpha'' \rangle du, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\partial_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}(a)|_{\varepsilon=0} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)} \int \langle \alpha'', V \rangle du$. Now let $b(\varepsilon)$ be a path in Ω with $\partial_\varepsilon b|_{\varepsilon=0} = w \in T_\alpha\Omega$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} d^2\mathcal{E}_\alpha(V, W) &= \partial_\varepsilon(d\mathcal{E}_b(V))|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \frac{3}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^2} d\mathcal{E}_\alpha W \int_0^1 \langle \alpha'', V \rangle du - 6 \frac{d\mathcal{L}_\alpha W}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^3} \mathcal{E}(\alpha) \int_0^1 \langle \alpha'', V \rangle du \\ &\quad + 3 \frac{\mathcal{E}(\alpha)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^2} \int_0^1 \langle W'', V \rangle du - 6 \frac{d\mathcal{L}_\alpha W}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^4} \int_0^1 \langle V'', \alpha'' \rangle du \\ &\quad \quad \quad + \frac{2}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^3} \int_0^1 \langle V'', W'' \rangle du \\ &= \frac{3}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^2} \int_0^1 \langle \alpha'', V \rangle du \left(\frac{5\mathcal{E}(\alpha)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^2} \int_0^1 \langle \alpha'', W \rangle du + \frac{2}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^3} \int_0^1 \langle W'', \alpha'' \rangle du \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{3\mathcal{E}(\alpha)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^2} \int_0^1 \langle W', V' \rangle du + \frac{6}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^5} \int_0^1 \langle \alpha'', W \rangle du \int_0^1 \langle V'', \alpha'' \rangle du \\ &\quad \quad \quad + \frac{2}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^3} \int_0^1 \langle V'', W'' \rangle du. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 \mathcal{E}_\alpha(V, V) &= \frac{2}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^3} \int_0^1 |V''|^2 du - \frac{3\mathcal{E}(\alpha)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^2} \int_0^1 |V'|^2 du + \frac{15\mathcal{E}(\alpha)}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^4} \left(\int_0^1 \langle \alpha'', V \rangle du \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{12}{\mathcal{L}(\alpha)^5} \int_0^1 \langle \alpha'', V \rangle du \int_0^1 \langle V'', \alpha'' \rangle du \end{aligned}$$

and then there are constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 depending on c_0 such that

$$d^2 \mathcal{E}_\alpha(V, V) \geq c_1 \|V''\|_{L^2}^2 - c_2 \|V'\|_{L^2}^2 - c_3 \|V\|_{L^2}^2 - c_4 \|V\|_{L^2} \|V''\|_{L^2}.$$

If we apply the inequality $2ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} b^2$, $\varepsilon > 0$ to the last term, choosing ε sufficiently small, and then combine terms and refresh the constants we obtain

$$d^2 \mathcal{E}_\alpha(V, V) \geq c_1 \|V\|_{H^2}^2 - c_2 \|V\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Recalling that for $V \in H^2$ we have $\|V\|_{H^1} \leq \|V\|_{C^1}$, the result now follows. \square

We remark that (36) has the following equivalent formulation in terms of the first derivative:

$$(37) \quad (d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_\alpha - d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_\beta)(\alpha - \beta) \geq c_1 \|\alpha - \beta\|_{H^2}^2 - c_2 \|\alpha - \beta\|_{C^1}^2$$

Indeed, assuming $\|\alpha + V\|_{H^2} \leq c_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_{\alpha+V} - d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_\alpha)V &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_{\alpha+tV}(V) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 d^2(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_{\alpha+tV}(V, V) dt \geq c_1 \|V\|_{H^2}^2 - c_2 \|V\|_{C^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now replacing V with $\beta - \alpha$ and assuming e.g. $\|\alpha\|_{H^2}, \|\beta\|_{H^2} \leq c_0/2$ gives (37).

As a corollary we have the following Palais–Smale type condition for $\mathcal{E}|\Omega$ (it is not quite the standard condition because we need to assume an L^2 -bound on the sequence).

Corollary 5.2. Let (α_i) be a sequence of curves Ω such that $\mathcal{E}(\alpha_i)$ and $\|\alpha_i\|_{L^2}$ are bounded, and $|d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_{\alpha_i}| \rightarrow 0$. Then (α_i) has a subsequence converging in H^2 .

Proof. The assumed bounds on $\mathcal{E}(\alpha_i)$ and $\|\alpha_i\|_{L^2}$ together imply an H^2 -bound and then by compactness of the Sobolev imbedding $H^2 \subset C^1$ we have a subsequence which converges in C^1 . Then from (37) we get

$$(d(\mathcal{E}|\omega)_{\alpha_j} - d(\mathcal{E}|\omega)_{\alpha_i})(\alpha_j - \alpha_i) \geq c_1 \|\alpha_i - \alpha_j\|_{H^2}^2 - c_2 \|\alpha_i - \alpha_j\|_{C^1}^2$$

and then by the assumption $|d(\mathcal{E}|\Omega)_{\alpha_i}| \rightarrow 0$ our C^1 -convergent subsequence converges in H^2 . \square

Theorem 5.3. Let γ be a solution to the $H^2(ds)$ -gradient flow of the modified elastic energy \mathcal{E} . Then there exists $\gamma_\infty \in H^2(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \gamma_\infty$ in H^2 as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Consider the projected and translated flow $\alpha(t) := P(\gamma(t)) - \frac{1}{L} \int_0^{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \gamma ds$ with P as in Lemma 4.14 taking $\gamma(t)$ to its arc length proportional reparametrisation. From parametrisation and translation invariance of the energy we have $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma) \leq \mathcal{E}(\gamma(0))$. Moreover, using the estimates in Lemma 4.14 and the Poincaré–Wirtinger inequality, we see that $\|\alpha(t)\|_{L^2}$ is also bounded. From (20) (with $T \rightarrow \infty$) there exists a sequence (t_i) such that $\|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t_i))\|_{H^2(ds)} \rightarrow 0$. Then (34) and (35) implies that

$$\|d\mathcal{E}_\alpha\|_{H^{2*}} \leq \left(\mathcal{L}(\gamma) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)^3} \right)^{1/2} \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)}.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}(\gamma) < \mathcal{E}(\gamma(0))/\lambda$ and applying the Hölder inequality to Fenchel's theorem $2\pi \leq \int |k| ds$ gives

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\gamma)} \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int k^2 ds < \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \mathcal{E}(\gamma(0)),$$

it follows that $\|d\mathcal{E}_{\alpha(t_i)}\|_{H^{2^*}} \rightarrow 0$ too. Hence $\alpha(t_i)$ which we abbreviate to α_i satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 5.2 and there exists a subsequence, still denoted α_i , converging in H^2 to a stationary point α_∞ . Now by Theorem 4.15 there are constants $Z > 0$, $\sigma \in (0, 1]$, and $\theta \in [1/2, 1)$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{I}^2$ with $\|x - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} < \sigma$:

$$\|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_x\|_{H^2(ds)} \geq Z|\mathcal{E}(x) - \mathcal{E}(\alpha_\infty)|^\theta.$$

Choose i such that $\|\alpha_i - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} < \sigma$ and let $\beta_i(t)$ be the $H^2(ds)$ -gradient flow with initial data $\beta_i(t_i) = \alpha_i$. Then for all $t > t_i$, $\beta_i(t)$ is a fixed (i.e. time independent) reparametrisation of $\gamma(t)$, namely $\beta_i(t) = \gamma(t) \circ \omega_{\gamma(t_i)}^{-1}$, and therefore by (9) we have

$$(38) \quad \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_{\beta_i(t)}\|_{H^2(ds)} = \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(t)}\|_{H^2(ds)}.$$

It follows that the trajectories $\beta_i(t)$ and $\gamma(t)$ have the same $H^2(ds)$ -length. Let T_i be the maximum time such that $\|\beta_i(t) - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} < \sigma$ for all $t \in [t_i, T_i)$. Define

$$H(t) := (\mathcal{E}(\gamma(t)) - \mathcal{E}(\alpha_\infty))^{1-\theta}.$$

Then $H > 0$ and is monotonically decreasing because $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$. Since Proposition 4.13 implies that the Lojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality holds for $\beta_i(t)$ with $t \in [t_i, T_i)$, we observe from $\mathcal{E}(\beta_i(t)) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t))$ and (38) that

$$\begin{aligned} -H'(t) &= -(1-\theta)(\mathcal{E}(\gamma(t)) - \mathcal{E}(\alpha_\infty))^{-\theta} \frac{d\mathcal{E}(\gamma(t))}{dt} \\ &= (1-\theta)(\mathcal{E}(\gamma(t)) - \mathcal{E}(\alpha_\infty))^{-\theta} \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)}^2 \geq (1-\theta)Z^{-1} \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over $[t_i, T_i)$ we get

$$(1-\theta)Z^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{T_i} \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)} dt \leq H(t_i) - H(T_i).$$

Therefore if we let $W := \{t \geq t_i : \|\beta_j(t) - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} < \sigma \text{ for some } j \geq i\}$ we have that

$$\int_W \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)} dt \leq \frac{Z}{1-\theta} H(t_i).$$

In fact, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\beta_N(t) - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} < \sigma$ for all $t > t_N$. If not, then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists T_j such that $\beta(T_j)$ is on the boundary of the ball $B_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{H^2}(\alpha_\infty)$, where $\tilde{\sigma} > 0$ is chosen such that $B_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{H^2}(\alpha_\infty) \subset B_r^{\text{dist}}(\alpha_\infty) \subset B_\sigma^{H^2}(\alpha_\infty)$ for some $r > 0$. Therefore Lemma 2.1 applies, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma} = \|\beta_j(T_j) - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} &\leq \|\beta_j(t_j) - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} + \|\beta_j(t_j) - \beta_j(T_j)\|_{H^2} \\ &\leq \|\alpha(t_j) - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} + C \text{dist}(\beta_j(t_j), \beta_j(T_j)) \\ &\leq \|\alpha(t_j) - \alpha_\infty\|_{H^2} + C \int_{t_j}^{T_j} \|\gamma_t\|_{H^2(ds)} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (38). Thus, if $T_j < \infty$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\int_W \|\text{grad } \mathcal{E}_\gamma\|_{H^2(ds)} dt$ cannot be finite. So there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta_N(t) \in B_\sigma(\alpha_\infty)$ for all $t > t_N$ and therefore

$$\int_{t_N}^{\infty} \|\gamma_t\|_{H^2(ds)} dt < \infty,$$

that is, the $H^2(ds)$ -length of $\gamma(t)$ is finite. Hence by Lemma 3.3 the flow converges in the $H^2(ds)$ -distance, and therefore also in H^2 (Lemma 2.1). \square

Remark 5.4. We remark that as in [15] the classification of closed elastica in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 allow us to determine the shape of the limit in these cases. In \mathbb{R}^2 the only closed elastica are the (geometric) circle, the figure eight elastica and their multiple covers. Since the flow must remain in the homotopy class of the initial curve, for rotation index $p > 0$ the limit is a p -fold circle, and an initial curve with rotation index 0 will converge to a (possibly multiply covered) figure eight. In \mathbb{R}^3 there are more closed elastica, however it was proved in [15] that circles are the only *stable* closed elastica. In both \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 it follows from (4.1) that the limiting circle has radius $|\lambda|^{-1}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ADAMS, R. A., AND FOURNIER, J. J. F. *Sobolev spaces*, second ed., vol. 140 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam)*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [2] BLATT, S., VORDERBERMEIER, N., AND HOPPER, C. A minimising movement scheme for the p -elastic energy of curves. *arXiv:2101.10101* (2021).
- [3] BROCKETT, R. *Finite dimensional linear systems*. Series in decision and control. Wiley, 1970.
- [4] BRUVERIS, M. Completeness properties of Sobolev metrics on the space of curves. *J. Geom. Mech.* 7, 2 (2015), 125–150.
- [5] DALL’ACQUA, A., LIN, C.-C., AND POZZI, P. A gradient flow for open elastic curves with fixed length and clamped ends. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 17, 3 (2017), 1031–1066.
- [6] DALL’ACQUA, A., LIN, C.-C., AND POZZI, P. Elastic flow of networks: short-time existence result. *Journal of Evolution Equations* (2020), 1–46.
- [7] DALL’ACQUA, A., POZZI, P., AND SPENER, A. The Łojasiewicz-Simon gradient inequality for open elastic curves. *J. Differential Equations* 261, 3 (2016), 2168–2209.
- [8] DZIUK, G., KUWERT, E., AND SCHÄTZLE, R. Evolution of elastic curves in \mathbb{R}^n : existence and computation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 33, 5 (2002), 1228–1245.
- [9] FEEHAN, P. M. N. Global existence and convergence of solutions to gradient systems and applications to Yang-Mills gradient flow, 2016.
- [10] FEEHAN, P. M. N., AND MARIDAKIS, M. Łojasiewicz-Simon gradient inequalities for analytic and Morse-Bott functions on Banach spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 765 (2020), 35–67.
- [11] KLINGENBERG, W. P. A. *Riemannian geometry*, second ed., vol. 1 of *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995.
- [12] KNAPPMANN, J., SCHUMACHER, H., STEENEBRÜGGE, D., AND VON DER MOSEL, H. A speed preserving hilbert gradient flow for generalized integral menger curvature, 2021.
- [13] KOISO, N. On the motion of a curve towards elastica. In *Actes de la Table Ronde de Géométrie Différentielle (Luminy, 1992)*, vol. 1 of *Sémin. Congr. Soc. Math. France*, Paris, 1996, pp. 403–436.
- [14] LANG, S. *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, vol. 191 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [15] LANGER, J., AND SINGER, D. A. Curve straightening and a minimax argument for closed elastic curves. *Topology* 24, 1 (1985), 75–88.
- [16] LANGER, J., AND SINGER, D. A. Curve-straightening in Riemannian manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 5, 2 (1987), 133–150.
- [17] LIN, C.-C. L^2 -flow of elastic curves with clamped boundary conditions. *J. Differential Equations* 252, 12 (2012), 6414–6428.
- [18] LINNÉR, A. Some properties of the curve straightening flow in the plane. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 314, 2 (1989), 605–618.
- [19] LINNÉR, A. Curve-straightening in closed Euclidean submanifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 138, 1 (1991), 33–49.
- [20] LINNÉR, A. Symmetrized curve-straightening. *Differential Geom. Appl.* 18, 2 (2003), 119–146.
- [21] MANTEGAZZA, C., PLUDA, A., AND POZZETTA, M. A Survey of the Elastic Flow of Curves and Networks. *Milan J. Math.* 89, 1 (2021), 59–121.
- [22] MANTEGAZZA, C., AND POZZETTA, M. The Łojasiewicz-Simon inequality for the elastic flow. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 60, 1 (2021), Paper No. 56, 17.
- [23] MICHOR, P. W., AND MUMFORD, D. Riemannian geometries on spaces of plane curves. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 8, 1 (2006), 1–48.
- [24] MÜLLER, M. On gradient flows with obstacles and Euler’s elastica. *Nonlinear Anal.* 192 (2020), 111676, 48.
- [25] NEUBERGER, J. W. *Sobolev gradients and differential equations*, second ed., vol. 1670 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

- [26] NOVAGA, M., AND OKABE, S. Curve shortening-straightening flow for non-closed planar curves with infinite length. *J. Differential Equations* 256, 3 (2014), 1093–1132.
- [27] NOVAGA, M., AND POZZI, P. A second order gradient flow of p -elastic planar networks. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 52, 1 (2020), 682–708.
- [28] OKABE, S. The motion of elastic planar closed curves under the area-preserving condition. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 56, 4 (2007), 1871–1912.
- [29] OKABE, S. The dynamics of elastic closed curves under uniform high pressure. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 33, 4 (2008), 493–521.
- [30] OKABE, S., POZZI, P., AND WHEELER, G. A gradient flow for the p -elastic energy defined on closed planar curves. *Math. Ann.* 378, 1-2 (2020), 777–828.
- [31] OKABE, S., AND WHEELER, G. The p -elastic flow for planar closed curves with constant parametrization. *arXiv:2104.03570* (2021).
- [32] PALAIS, R. S., AND TERNG, C.-L. *Critical point theory and submanifold geometry*, vol. 1353 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [33] POLDEN, A. Curves and surfaces of least total curvature and fourth-order flows. *PhD Thesis, Universität Tübingen* (1996).
- [34] REITER, P., AND SCHUMACHER, H. Sobolev gradient for the möbius energy. *arXiv:2005.07448* (2020).
- [35] RUPP, F., AND SPENER, A. Existence and convergence of the length-preserving elastic flow of clamped curves. *arXiv:2009.06991* (2020).
- [36] SCHRADER, P. *Global analysis of one-dimensional variational problems*. PhD thesis, The University of Western Australia, 2016.
- [37] SCHRADER, P., WHEELER, G., AND WHEELER, V.-M. On the $h^1(ds)$ -gradient flow for the length functional, 2021.
- [38] SPENER, A. Short time existence for the elastic flow of clamped curves. *Math. Nachr.* 290, 13 (2017), 2052–2077.
- [39] WEN, Y. L^2 flow of curve straightening in the plane. *Duke Math. J.* 70, 3 (1993), 683–698.
- [40] WEN, Y. Curve straightening flow deforms closed plane curves with nonzero rotation number to circles. *J. Differential Equations* 120, 1 (1995), 89–107.
- [41] WHITTLESEY, E. F. Analytic functions in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (1965), 1077–1083.
- [42] ZEIDLER, E. *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. I*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. Fixed-point theorems, Translated from the German by Peter R. Wadsack.

(S. Okabe) MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, AOBA, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN.

Email address: shinya.okabe@tohoku.ac.jp

(P. Schrader) MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, AOBA, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN.

Email address: philschrad@gmail.com