Sublattice spin reversal and field induced \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) spin-canting across the magnetic compensation in rare-earth iron garnets
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In the present work \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) & \( \text{Gd}^{3+} \) sublattice spin reversal and \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) spin-canting across the magnetic compensation temperature \( \text{T}_{\text{Comp}} \) are demonstrated in polycrystalline \( \text{Y}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12} \) (YGdIG) with in-field \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) Mössbauer spectroscopy and hard x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) measurements. From in-field \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) Mössbauer measurements, estimation and analysis of effective internal hyperfine field \( \text{(H}_{\text{eff}} \text{)} \), relative intensity of absorption lines in a sextet elucidated unambiguously the signatures of \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) spin reversal, their continuous transition and field induced spin-canting of \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) sublattices across \( T_{\text{Comp}} \). Further, \( \text{Fe}^\text{K} \) (\( \text{Gd} \text{L}_{\text{y}} \)) edge XMCD data is observed to consist the spectral features from \( \text{Gd}^{3+} \) \( (\text{Fe}^{3+}) \) magnetic ordering enabling the extraction of both the sublattice \( (\text{Fe}^{3+} \text{& Gd}^{3+}) \) information from a single edge XMCD analysis. The observed magnetic moment variation of both the sublattices extracted from either \( \text{Fe} \text{K} \) or \( \text{Gd} \text{L}_{\text{y}} \) edge XMCD data is observed to follow the trend of bulk magnetization.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rare-earth iron garnets (RIG), \( \text{R}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12} \), where \( \text{R} \) is rare-earth (\( \text{Y} \) & \( \text{La-Lu} \)) have become an important class of ferrimagnetic oxide materials finding a significant role in many microwave, bubble memories and magneto-optical device applications [1-4]. Remarkable intriguing magnetic properties and their chemical stability with a large variety of elemental substitutions are one of the prime reasons for exploring these materials by various groups since their discovery [5-27]. Among these RIG systems, yttrium iron garnet \( (\text{Y}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}, \text{YIG}) \) received a renewed attention in recent years owing to its low damping, low optical absorption, magneto-optical switching, thermoelectric generation in spin Seebeck insulators and other spintronics, magneonic based applications [12-17]. Doping of magnetic light rare-earths (e.g., \( \text{Ce}, \text{Nd} \) and \( \text{Gd} \)) or even heavier metals, such as \( \text{Bi} \) atoms, on the yttrium sites yield a significantly enhanced visible to near-infrared Faraday/Kerr rotation and magneto-optical (MO) figure of merit, without losing their magnetic insulator character [16-20].

RIG consist of three different magnetic ions viz., two in-equivalent \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) ions located at tetrahedral (\( \text{d} \)) and octahedral (\( \text{a} \)) oxygen polyhedron and the third one is the \( \text{R}^{3+} \) ion situated at dodecahedral (\( \text{c} \)) oxygen polyhedron \( \text{R} \). The \( \text{d} \)- and \( \text{a} \)-site \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) are always coupled antiparallel and the resultant moment of \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) is also coupled anti-parallel with the \( \text{R}^{3+} \). These two magnetic sublattices exhibit quite a different temperature dependence and as a result there exists a temperature at which the resultant \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) total magnetic moment is equal and opposite to the \( \text{R}^{3+} \) magnetic moment resulting in zero total magnetization \( \text{M}_\text{eff} \), known as magnetic compensation temperature \( \text{T}_{\text{Comp}} \). Therefore, a thorough understand-
since in both cases the magnetism is directly probed via electric-dipole transitions \cite{23, 24}. Recently studies have shown that XMCD is also possible at Fe K-edge, R L-edge (i.e., hard x-ray region). Further it is shown that Fe K-edge (R L-edge) XMCD signal is strongly influenced by the R-atom (Fe-atom) magnetic ordering in rare-earth iron compounds such as RFe\(_2\)O\(_{12}\) \cite{57}. FIG. 2(a) shows the XRD patterns of the YIG and YGdIG samples and the data confirms the phase purity of the prepared samples. Further, the XRD data is analyzed with FullProf Rietveld refinement \cite{23} program considering the \textit{Ia-3d} space-group for the estimation of lattice parameters and the obtained lattice parameters are 12.374(1) Å and 12.424(1) Å which match with literature \cite{23}. In order to align the domains and to correct for nonmagnetic artifacts in the XMCD data, an external magnetic field of approximately 1 T was applied parallel and antiparallel to the incident beam wave vector \(k\).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Structural and magnetic characterization

Figure 2(a) shows the XRD patterns of the YIG and YGdIG samples and the data confirms the phase purity of the prepared samples. Further, the XRD data is analyzed with FullProf Rietveld refinement \cite{23} program considering the \textit{Ia-3d} space-group for the estimation of lattice parameters and the obtained lattice parameters are 12.374(1) Å and 12.424(1) Å which match with literature \cite{23}. Figure 2(b) also shows temperature dependent (M-T) magnetization data of the YIG and YGdIG

FIG. 2. (a) X-ray diffraction data of \(Y_{1.5}Gd_{1.5}Fe_{5}O_{12}\) (YGdIG) and \(Y_{3}Fe_{5}O_{12}\) (YIG) (b) M-T data measured in field-cooled protocol with 500 Oe field. The magnetic compensation (T\(_{\text{Comp}}\)) is clearly seen for YGdIG as indicated by vertical dash line.
samples. One can clearly see the magnetic compensation temperature (\( T_{\text{Comp}} \)) for YGdIG at about 126 K and no such signature is seen for YIG as expected. Since, the bulk magnetization data of YIG is considered to be coming only from Fe\(^{3+} \) this gives a rough idea about the contribution of Fe\(^{3+} \) sublattice to the total magnetization in YGdIG. Whereas, one can get a rough idea about the contribution of Gd\(^{3+} \) sublattice to the overall moment in YGdIG by suitably subtracting the bulk magnetization data of YGdIG from YIG data. This method is employed to cross-check the reliability of the XMCD data analysis results (Fig. 9) as discussed in the later sections.

B. \(^{57}\text{Fe} \) Mössbauer spectroscopy results

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependent \(^{57}\text{Fe} \) Mössbauer spectra of YGdIG measured across \( T_{\text{Comp}} \). One can clearly see two components corresponding to Fe\(^{3+} \) sublattices located at \( d \)- and \( a \)- sites and the obtained hyperfine parameters match with literature values of garnet [36–38]. Values of \( H_{\text{int}} \), area fraction and \( A_{23} \) are shown in Table-1. Further, the area fraction of Fe\(^{3+} \) at \( d \)- and \( a \)- sites is found to remain same at all the temperatures and the values of \( A_{23} \) (area ratio of second and third lines in a given sextet) is fixed as 2.0 in accordance with the random distribution of \( \theta \) corresponding to the powder samples measured in zero-field conditions [28]. However, the in-field Mössbauer measurements across \( T_{\text{Comp}} \) with 5 T external magnetic field (\( H_{\text{ext}} \)) applied parallel to the \( \gamma \)-rays, as shown in Figure 4, reveals very interesting information regarding the reversal of Fe\(^{3+} \) moments, spin-canting etc., as elaborated in section-IV.

C. Hard x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) results

Figures 5 and 6 shows the XMCD data measured at the Fe K- and Gd L\(_{3}\)- edges of YGdIG sample at different temperatures. For the comparison purpose, the Fe K-edge XMCD data of YIG sample is also shown in Figure 5. As a representative, XAS data collected at the Fe K-edge for the YGdIG sample is also shown in Figures 5. A clear reversal of XMCD signals at Fe K- and Gd L\(_{3}\)- edges are observed in YGdIG sample when measured at 5 and 290 K i.e., below and above \( T_{\text{Comp}} \). Additional features that are observed in Fe K-edge spectra of YGdIG at about 7141 and 7154 eV, which have an obvious evolution with temperature could be due to induced signal of Gd magnetic moments. It may be noted that such a clear signal of rare-earth contribution in Fe
TABLE I. Hyperfine parameters obtained from the analysis of zero field $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy data as shown in Figure 3. $\delta$ is centre shift, $\Delta E$ is quadrupole shift, $H_{\text{int}}$ is the internal hyperfine field, $A_{23}$ is the area ratio of second and third lines of the respective sextet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>$\delta,(\text{mm/s})$</th>
<th>$\Delta E,(\text{mm/s})$</th>
<th>$H_{\text{int}},(\text{T})$</th>
<th>$A_{23}$</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>$\text{Fe}^{3+}$ Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>±0.01</td>
<td>±0.02</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±2</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gd L$_3$-edge XMCD spectrum can broadly be characterized by the structures labeled as P1, P2, and P3 (Figure 6). The peak P1, in the lower energy region that is eventually buried in the profile of peak P2 at low temperatures, can be ascribed to the Fe contribution that becomes visible at temperatures higher than $T_{\text{Comp}}$ where Gd moment is less. Whereas, P2 and P3 mainly originate from the Gd electronic states [39, 41]. The XMCD data of Gd L$_3$-edge is analyzed with singular value decomposition (SVD) method to extract the $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ contribution as discussed in the following section.
IV. DISCUSSIONS

The in-field $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer data (Figure. 4) is analyzed considering two Fe sites at temperatures well below and above $T_{\text{Comp}}$ (5, 100 and 150 K) which correspond to $d$- and $a$- sites of Fe$^{3+}$. Representative $H_{\text{eff}}$ values for some of the temperatures are shown in Table-2. $H_{\text{ext}}$ will be added (subtracted) to the $H_{\text{int}}$ of $a$-site above (below) the $T_{\text{Comp}}$ and it will be vice versa for the $d$-site as shown schematically in Figure. 1. Hence, the $H_{\text{ext}}$ for the two spectral components corresponding to $d$- and $a$- sites will be very close to each other below $T_{\text{Comp}}$, whereas significant difference will be observed above $T_{\text{Comp}}$. As a result of this, one would observe well resolved two sextets corresponding to $d$- and $a$- sites above $T_{\text{Comp}}$, and a single broad sextet due to the overlapping components below $T_{\text{Comp}}$ as shown in Figure. 4 at temperatures of 5 and 150 K. Therefore, the obtained $H_{\text{eff}}$ values which is the vector sum of $H_{\text{int}}$ and $H_{\text{ext}}$ unambiguously demonstrates the reversal of Fe$^{3+}$ sublattice across $T_{\text{Comp}}$ in YGdIG, which can be generalized to all RIG systems exhibiting magnetic compensation.

However, an inspection of the in-field Mössbauer data close to $T_{\text{Comp}}$ reveal the presence of more than two components. This is very clearly seen at 127, 130, 136 K and a careful inspection also reveal the presence of these components at 116 and 120 K as shown in Figure. 4. The spectra could be resolved into four sites corresponding to four Fe$^{3+}$ sublattices of two ferrimagnetic phases of YGdIG i.e., the phases with resultant Fe$^{3+}$ moment aligned along (Fe$_{\text{phase1}}$) and opposite (Fe$_{\text{phase2}}$) to $H_{\text{ext}}$ across $T_{\text{Comp}}$. As mentioned in the preceding section, the significant contrast between these two phases in terms of $H_{\text{eff}}$ enable the quantitative study of their evolution with temperature. In view of this, the data at these temperatures is fitted with four sextets and the obtained phase fractions of these two phases (Fe$_{\text{phase1}}$, Fe$_{\text{phase2}}$) is plotted in Figure. 4. As the temperature is changing, one can see that there is a gradual change, around $T_{\text{Comp}}$, in the area fraction of these phases indicating a continuous spin reversal across $T_{\text{Comp}}$, unlike an abrupt/sharp spin-reversal.

In addition to this information, the inspection of in-

### Table II: Representative hyperfine parameters obtained from the analysis of in-field $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy data as shown in Figure. 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>$H_{\text{eff}}$ (T)</th>
<th>$A_{23}$</th>
<th>% Area</th>
<th>Fe$^{3+}$</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>±2</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>Fe$_{\text{phase1}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>Fe$_{\text{phase1}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>Fe$_{\text{phase1}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>Fe$_{\text{phase1}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>Fe$_{\text{phase2}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>Fe$_{\text{phase2}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>Fe$_{\text{phase2}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>Fe$_{\text{phase2}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 6. Temperature variation of Gd L-edge X-ray magnetic circular dichroism data of Y$_{1.5}$Gd$_{1.5}$Fe$_5$O$_{12}$ (YGdIG). Symbols represent the data, and black lines are reconstructed spectra using the first two dominating contributions which are shown by shaded areas. Deep green represents Gd- contribution and cyan associated with the Fe- contribution. Labeling of the peaks and the data fitting carried out using singular value decomposition (SVD) method are discussed in the text. X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) of the sample is shown at 5 K as a representative data. The extracted Fe and Gd contributions are plotted in Figure. 4.

FIG. 7. Temperature variation of (a) Phase fraction (b) area ratio of second and third line intensities ($A_{23}$) as obtained parameters from the analysis of in-field $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer data as shown in Figure. 4.
field Mössbauer data close to $T_{\text{Comp}}$ reveal the presence of considerable intensity for the second and fifth lines (corresponding to $\Delta m=0$ transitions) of a given sextet unlike the data of 5, 100 and 150 K i.e., well below and above $T_{\text{Comp}}$. Quantitatively this is estimated by $A_{23}$ parameter for given sextet and the value of $A_{23}$ is found to be zero at temperatures 5, 100 and 150 K indicating a collinear magnetic structure of $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ [28]. However, the in-field Mössbauer data close to $T_{\text{Comp}}$ is fitted keeping $A_{23}$ parameter as variable and is constrained to be same for all the sites. The obtained variation of $A_{23}$ as a function of temperature is also shown in Figure 7 and it is interesting to note that the magnetic structure deviates from collinear configuration as one approaches $T_{\text{Comp}}$. This is considered to be a signature of spin-canting as shown recently from the magnetic circular dichroism [22] and spin Hall magnetoresistance [13] experiments. Considering two-sublattice model ($\text{Fe}^{3+}$ and $\text{R}^{3+}$ sublattices), simulated magnetic field versus temperature (H-T) phase diagram of compensated RIG systems clearly show the region of collinear, canted ferrimagnetic and aligned phases [21, 22, 13]. The present in-field Mössbauer study indicates the presence of such canted phases across $T_{\text{Comp}}$ unambiguously. Across this region of temperatures, the two Fe$_{\text{phase1}}$ and Fe$_{\text{phase2}}$ phases are mixed up with forming similar but opposite angle at a given temperature with respect to $H_{\text{ext}}$. As a consequence, resultant Fe moment exhibits a continuous rotation across this canted region in accordance with the two sublattice model as shown schematically in Fig. 8. It may be emphasized here that even XMCD may not able to distinguish the mixing of these two components as it measure resultant Fe moment and its evolution because XMCD signal is proportional to total projection of iron sites moment along the beam direction.

Apart from showing a clear reversal of the magnetic signals at Fe K- and Gd L$_3$- edges in YGdIG across $T_{\text{Comp}}$, the XMCD data (Figure 9) is employed to extract quantitative information about both the sublattices magnetization from a single absorption edge measurement in the present work. Mainly two approaches are used in literature to extract the spectral contributions of different sublattices from such XMCD data [34, 41]. Subtraction method in which the XMCD signal from the investigated system is subtracted from a reference sample has been extensively exploited and has provided very reliable interpretation [38]. XMCD data obtained at the Fe K-edge for the YIG sample is considered as reference in the present work to estimate the magnetic Gd atom contribution to the Fe K-edge XMCD data. Using this simple methodology, the Gd magnetic contribution in the Fe XMCD could be observed as shown in Figure 9.

To check reliability of thus obtained Gd$^{3+}$ contribution, the total area of this feature is compared with the temperature variation of Gd$^{3+}$ moment, as calculated from temperature dependent bulk magnetization data of YGdIG and YIG and the results are shown in Fig. 9. The bulk magnetization data of YIG is considered to be coming only Fe$^{3+}$, to extract the Gd$^{3+}$ signal the bulk magnetization data of YGdIG is subtracted from YIG data (Fig. 9) and the sign of the obtained sublattice bulk
The extracted Gd\(^{3+}\) signal almost follow the trend of Gd\(^{3+}\) moment from bulk magnetization data below the T\(_{\text{Comp}}\) as shown in Fig. 9(a). However, above T\(_{\text{Comp}}\) Gd\(^{3+}\) contribution to Fe K-edge XMCD data is weak and hence give discrepancy to the the comparison. We have also compared Fe\(^{3+}\) only XMCD value (peak height of the XMCD dispersion spectra located at the pre-edge region of Fe K-edge, which is supposed to be free of any rare-earth induced signal) with the temperature variation of Fe-only magnetic moment and observed similar trend as shown in Fig. 9(b).

As mentioned above the direct subtraction method or linear combination fit according to individual magnetic moment value as function of temperature are mostly employed in literature to decompose the XMCD spectra of RIG and RTI systesm [30, 41]. However, recently it is shown that the application of singular value decomposition (SVD) rationalizes previous approaches in a more general framework and simplifies the exploration of magnetic phase diagram of such compounds [22, 32]. One can analyze the shape and amplitude of the hidden components in XMCD data from correlated data set. Cornellius et al., successfully analyzed and separated Fe contribution from the Er L\(_{2,3}\) edges using SVD method [22]. The same procedure is adopted in the present work also to de-convolute Fe\(^{3+}\) contribution from temperature dependence Gd L\(_3\) edge XMCD data set (Figure. 9).

According to SVD theorem any data matrix \(A(m \times n)\) can be decomposed into three matrices as \(A = U\Sigma V^T\) where \(U(m \times m)\) and \(V(n \times n)\) are orthogonal matrices and \(\Sigma(m \times n)\) is diagonal matrix of singular values. We have used eight XMCD spectra of Gd L\(_3\)- edge over the temperature range (5-300 K) to form data matrix \(A\) and MATLAB software is used to perform the SVD on the data matrix \(A\) to find the \(U\), \(V\), \(\Sigma\). Only first two Eigen vectors or basis which are dominated over the noise level are used to reconstruct the original data as shown in Figure. 9. The reconstructed plot along with separated Fe and Gd like components to the original Gd L\(_3\)- edge XMCD data are shown in Figure. 9. However, the data at 116 K is not properly reproduced, apparently due to the weak signal. It is to be mentioned that Fe contribution from Gd L\(_3\)- edge XMCD obtained in the present work match with previous literature [22, 41] and the signal is also proportional to the temperature variation of Fe sublattice magnetization in terms of its magnitude and direction as shown in Fig. 9(b).

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, in the present work in-field \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy is employed to demonstrate the Fe\(^{3+}\) spin reversal and signatures of spin-canting across the magnetic compensation temperature (T\(_{\text{Comp}}\)) in \(Y_{1.5}\)Gd\(_{1.5}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_{12}\). The Mössbauer data also clearly demonstrate the continuous rotation of Fe\(^{3+}\) moment across the T\(_{\text{Comp}}\), which is nothing but a second order field induced phase transition. Inversion of sublattice spin across the T\(_{\text{Comp}}\) is also realized by Fe K- and Gd L\(_3\)- edge XMCD spectra. The quantitative estimation of the two sublattice magnetization viz., Fe\(^{3+}\) and Gd\(^{3+}\) is carried out from XMCD measurements carried out across either Fe K- or Gd L\(_3\)- edge i.e., in RIG systems one can probe both Fe\(^{3+}\) and Gd\(^{3+}\) magnetism from only single edge XMCD measurements in the hard x-ray region.
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