Magic numbers for vibrational frequency of charged particles on a sphere
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Finding minimum energy distribution of \( N \) charges on a sphere has been known as the Thomson problem. Here, we study the vibrational properties of the \( N \) charges in the lowest energy state within the harmonic approximation for \( 10 \leq N \leq 200 \) and for selected sizes up to \( N = 372 \). The maximum frequency \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) increases with \( N^{3/4} \), which is rationalized by studying the lattice dynamics of two-dimensional triangular lattice. The \( N \)-dependence of \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) identifies magic numbers of \( N = 12, 32, 72, 132, 192, 212, 272, 282, \) and 372, reflecting both a strong degeneracy of one-particle energies and an icosahedral structure that the \( N \) charges form. \( N = 122 \) is not identified as a magic number for \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) because the former condition is not satisfied.

I. INTRODUCTION

Magic numbers play important role in understanding the energetic stability of nanoparticles or clusters. The structures at magic numbers are highly symmetric and have particularly low energies, as shown in unary and binary Lennard-Jones (LJ) clusters \(^1\), fullerene \(^2\), and other metallic clusters; see Ref. \(^4\) for an extensive review on nanoclusters. More recently, the magic number concept has been extended to colloidal clusters, irrespective to negligibly small inter-particle interactions \(^3\).

In general, the ground state structure for a cluster is equal to the global minimum of potential energy surface (PES) characterized by \( Nd \) dimensional space, where \( N \) is the number of particles and \( d \) is a space dimension in which the particles can move. At finite temperature, the clusters will oscillate around the minimum of the PES. Such a vibrational property will be sensitive to the geometry and/or curvature around the minimum of the PES because the force constant matrix is constructed by the Hessian of the potential energy as a function of particle positions. Magic numbers will thus appear in the sequence of vibrational frequency as a function of \( N \). However, to the best of our knowledge, the presence of such anomalies has not been explored.

As a model, we consider \( N \) charges on a unit sphere that is a platform in the Thomson problem and/or the Smale’s seventh problem \(^4\) to determine the minimum energy configuration of \( N \) charges confined to the surface of a unit sphere. The global and local minima of the PES have been investigated for this system \(^5\) \(^12\), while many related problems have also been studied \(^13\) \(^17\). Through the total energy calculations for \( N \leq 200 \), \( N = 12, 32, 72, 122, 132, 137, 146, 182, 187, \) and 192 have been identified to be magic numbers \(^6\).

In this paper, we demonstrate that magic numbers are present in the vibrational frequency of \( N \) charges on a unit sphere. By considering the range \( 10 \leq N \leq 200 \) and studying the lattice vibration of two-dimensional (2D) triangular lattice, we find that the maximum frequency is significantly small at \( N = 12, 32, 72, 132, \) and 192. On the other hand, no anomalies are found at \( N = 122, 137, 146, 182, \) and 187 that are identified as the magic numbers from total energy calculations. We also identify \( N = 212, 272, 282, \) and 372 as magic numbers by calculating the vibrational frequency. The presence of magic numbers for the maximum frequency can be attributed to a strong degeneracy of the one-particle energies that will be derived from its icosahedral structures of distribution of charges.

II. THEORY

A. Lattice dynamics on a sphere

We apply the theory of lattice dynamics (see Ref. \(^18\) for example) to vibrations of \( N \) charged particles confined to the surface of a sphere with a unit radius. The Lagrangian of the system is given by

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \left( \frac{d\mathbf{r}_i}{dt} \right)^2 + \sin^2 \theta_i \left( \frac{d\phi_i}{dt} \right)^2 \right] - V, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \theta_i \) and \( \phi_i \) are the spherical coordinates of the charge \( i \) with a unit mass. The first term is the kinetic energy and the second term \( V \) is the potential energy given by

\[
V = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_i \tag{2}
\]

with the one-particle energy

\[
\varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{ij}}. \tag{3}
\]

The factor of \( 1/2 \) in Eq. \(^3\) accounts for the double counting of the interaction energy between the charges \( i \) and \( j \) that is inversely proportional to the Euclidean distance \( r_{ij} \) expressed by

\[
r_{ij} = \sqrt{2 \left[ 1 - \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \cos \Delta \phi_{ij} - \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_j \right]} \tag{4}
\]
with $\Delta \phi_{ij} = \phi_i - \phi_j$. With the analytical mechanics, the equations of motion for $\theta_i$ and $\phi_i$ are given by

$$\frac{d^2 \theta_i}{dt^2} = - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_i} + \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i \left( \frac{d \phi_i}{dt} \right)^2,$$

(5)

$$\sin^2 \theta_i \frac{d^2 \phi_i}{dt^2} + 2 \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i \frac{d \theta_i}{dt} \frac{d \phi_i}{dt} = - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_i}.$$  

(6)

Using Eq. (5), we can show the relation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_i \sin^2 \theta_i \frac{d \phi_i}{dt} \right) = - \sum_i \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_i} = 0,$$

(7)

which states that the total angular momentum is conserved. The coupled Eqs. (5) and (6) determine the time evolution of $N$ charges on a sphere given an initial condition.

In this paper, we study the dynamics of the $N$ charges within the harmonic approximation around the equilibrium configurations $(\theta_0^i, \phi_0^i)$ with $i = 1, \cdots, N$. By assuming $\theta_i = \theta_0^i + \delta \theta_i$ and $\phi_i = \phi_0^i + \delta \phi_i$ with the displacements $(u_{\delta \theta}, u_{\delta \phi})$, the equations of motion can be written as

$$m_{i\alpha} \frac{d^2 u_{i\alpha}}{dt^2} = - \sum_{j\beta} D_{i\alpha j\beta} u_{j\beta},$$

(8)

where the terms proportional to $(d \phi_i/dt)^2$ and $(d \theta_i/dt)(d \phi_i/dt)$ in Eqs. (5) and (6) are omitted. $\alpha(\beta)$ indicates $\theta$ or $\phi$ and $m_{i\alpha}$ is an effective mass defined as $m_{i\alpha} = m + \delta_{i\alpha} \sin^2 \theta_i^0$ that originates from the confinement of the surface of the sphere. The force constant matrix is given by

$$D_{\alpha\beta}^i = D_{\beta\alpha}^i = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \beta_j} |_{0},$$

(9)

where the derivative is taken at the equilibrium configurations. Assuming a stationary solution $u_{i\alpha}(t) = \epsilon_{i\alpha} e^{i\omega t}$ with the frequency $\omega$ and the polarization $\epsilon_{i\alpha}$, one obtains the eigenvalue equation

$$m_{i\alpha} \omega^2 \epsilon_{i\alpha} = \sum_{j\beta} D_{\alpha j\beta} \epsilon_{j\beta}.$$  

(10)

Analytical expressions of Eq. (9) are given by

$$D_{i\theta \theta}^i = \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \frac{3}{r_{ij}^3} \left[ - \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_j \cos \phi_{ij} + \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_j \right] - \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2} \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \cos \phi_{ij} \right),$$

(11)

$$D_{i\phi \phi}^i = \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \frac{3}{r_{ij}^3} \left[ - \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_j \cos \phi_{ij} + \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_j \right] \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \sin \phi_{ij} - \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2} \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_j \sin \phi_{ij} \right),$$

(12)

$$D_{i\phi \theta}^i = \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \frac{3}{r_{ij}^3} \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \sin \phi_{ij} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2} \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \cos \phi_{ij},$$

(13)

$$D_{i\theta \phi}^i = \frac{3}{r_{ij}^3} \left[ - \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_j \cos \phi_{ij} + \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_j \right] \left[ - \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_j \cos \phi_{ij} + \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_j \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2} \left[ \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_j \cos \phi_{ij} + \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \right],$$

(14)

$$D_{i\phi \phi}^i = \frac{3}{r_{ij}^3} \left[ - \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_j \sin \phi_{ij} + \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_j \right] \left[ - \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \sin \phi_{ij} + \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2} \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_j \sin \phi_{ij} \right],$$

(15)

$$D_{i\phi \theta}^i = - \frac{3}{r_{ij}^3} \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \sin \phi_{ij} \right]^2 + \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2} \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j \cos \phi_{ij}.$$  

(16)

with $i \neq j$ for Eqs. (11), (14), and (15). Due to the rotational invariant around the z-axis, we can prove that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N D_{i\theta \theta}^j = \sum_{j=1}^N D_{i\phi \phi}^j = 0.$$ 

To determine the lowest energy structures for $10 \leq N \leq 200$, we started various configurations of $(\theta_i, \phi_i)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, N$, where $\theta_i$ and $\phi_i$ are random values restricted to $0 < \theta_i < \pi$ and $0 < \phi_i < 2\pi$. More than 200 random distributions were considered to find the lowest energy structure for each $N$. The optimization of $V(\equiv E_{\text{opt}})$ was performed by using the Broyned-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) algorithm. We found that the lowest energy structures had no imaginary frequencies and the values of $E_{\text{opt}}$ were exactly equal to those in the Cambridge Cluster Database (CCD).
k, 252 + k, 312 + k, 272 + k, 282 + k, and 372 + k with \( k = 0, \pm 1 \). When \( |\sin \theta_i| < 10^{-6} \), we regarded that the charge \( i \) is located at the north or south poles on a sphere and did not use Eq. (1) for \( i \) in solving Eq. (10) because \( m_{i\beta} = 0 \). For each \( N \), we obtained \( 2N - 3 \omega_8 \) by solving Eq. (10), where the degrees of freedom for the rotation around the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) axis are subtracted. Below, the maximum value of \( \omega \) will be denoted as \( \omega_{\text{max}}(N) \) or simply \( \omega_{\text{max}} \).

**B. Lattice dynamics on 2D triangular lattice**

The optimized configuration on a unit sphere is like a triangular lattice, whereas deformation of triangle will be observed due to a finite curvature, producing five-coordinated charges. For comparison, the lattice dynamics of the Coulomb crystal in the 2D triangular structure was considered. Due to the repulsive potential, the periodic boundary condition or the charge neutrality condition must be imposed to produce the dynamical stability of charged particles. The dynamical matrix was constructed by considering the central force potential model [21]. For the wavevector \( \mathbf{q} = (q_x, q_y) \), it is written as

\[
\tilde{D}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}) = -2 \sum_{j \neq 0} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_j}{2} \right) D_{\alpha\beta}^j \tag{17}
\]

with \( \alpha, \beta = x, y \). The position of the \( j \)-th particle is given by \( \mathbf{R}_j \), a linear combination of the primitive vectors \( \mathbf{a}_1 = (a_0, 0) \) and \( \mathbf{a}_2 = a_0(-1/2, \sqrt{3}/2) \) with the lattice constant \( a_0 \). The force constant matrix is expressed by

\[
D_{\alpha\beta}^j = \frac{1}{r_j^6} - \frac{3\alpha_0^2}{r_j^5}, \quad D_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \frac{-3\alpha_0^2}{r_j^5} \tag{18}
\]

with \( r_j = |\mathbf{R}_j| \), \( r_j^2 = x_j^2 + y_j^2 \), and \( \alpha \neq \beta \). In the present study, no out-of-plane displacements were considered, whereas the 2D triangular lattice has shown to be unstable if the particle can move perpendicular to the 2D surface [22]. Due to the long-range Coulomb forces, the summation of Eq. (17) was taken over the particles up to \( r_j = 2500a_0 \). It should be noted that \( a_0 \) is only the characteristic length in the 2D triangular structure under the Coulomb potential. From Eqs. (17) and (18), the phonon frequency \( \omega \) is proportional to \( a_0^{-3/2} \).

**III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The optimized energy \( E_{\text{opt}} \) is proportional to \( N^2/2 \) that is exactly equal to the electrostatic energy stored by a spherical capacitor of a unit radius. The energy corrections proportional to \( N^{3/2} \) and \( N^{1/2} \) have been proposed in Ref. [7] and [23].

\[
E_{\text{fit}}(N) = \frac{1}{2} \left( N^2 - aN^{3/2} + bN^{1/2} \right) \tag{19}
\]

with the parameters of \( a = 1.10461 \) and \( b = 0.137 \). Figure 1(a) shows the difference between \( E_{\text{opt}} \) and \( E_{\text{fit}} \) as a function of \( N \). Anomalously low energies are found at \( N = 12, 32, 72, 122, 132, 137, 146, 182, 187, \) and 192, which agree with the magic numbers identified in Ref. [7]. These structures have icosahedral symmetry except for \( N = 137, 146, 182, \) and 187 [20].

As shown in Fig. 1(b), the value of \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) also increases with \( N \). To understand the \( N \)-dependence of \( \omega_{\text{max}} \), we consider the case that the averaged surface area \( 4\pi/N \) is equal to the unit cell area of the 2D triangular lattice \( \sqrt{3}a_0^2/2 \) with the effective lattice constant \( a_{\text{eff}} \). By performing the numerical calculations, we obtain the maximum frequency of 2D triangular lattice \( C = 3.383098 \) when \( a_0 = 1 \) (see Appendix A). We thus propose an ef-
TABLE I. The values of $\varepsilon_i$ with $i = 1$ to 7 for several $N$s. The figure in parenthesis indicates the degeneracy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_1$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_2$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_3$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_4$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_5$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_6$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_7$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.097 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>12.872 (20)</td>
<td>12.901 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>31.307 (60)</td>
<td>31.379 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>54.854 (30)</td>
<td>54.903 (60)</td>
<td>54.912 (20)</td>
<td>55.029 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>59.630 (60)</td>
<td>59.665 (60)</td>
<td>59.777 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>88.304 (60)</td>
<td>88.336 (60)</td>
<td>88.376 (60)</td>
<td>88.528 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>97.904 (60)</td>
<td>97.935 (60)</td>
<td>98.000 (60)</td>
<td>98.151 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272</td>
<td>126.836 (60)</td>
<td>126.847 (60)</td>
<td>126.904 (20)</td>
<td>126.954 (60)</td>
<td>127.146 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282</td>
<td>131.672 (30)</td>
<td>131.689 (120)</td>
<td>131.724 (60)</td>
<td>131.787 (60)</td>
<td>131.976 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>175.284 (60)</td>
<td>175.291 (60)</td>
<td>175.322 (60)</td>
<td>175.334 (60)</td>
<td>175.356 (60)</td>
<td>175.447 (60)</td>
<td>175.668 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. The value of $\omega_{max}(N)$ for $N = 212 + k, 272 + k, 282 + k,$ and $372 + k$ with $k = 0, \pm 1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$\omega_{max}(N-1)$</th>
<th>$\omega_{max}(N)$</th>
<th>$\omega_{max}(N+1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>25.903</td>
<td>26.066</td>
<td>26.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272</td>
<td>31.209</td>
<td>31.258</td>
<td>31.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282</td>
<td>32.019</td>
<td>33.540</td>
<td>33.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>39.858</td>
<td>39.920</td>
<td>39.920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. The distribution of $N = 122$ charges on a sphere. Four pentagons are colored red. Two pentagons share a hexagon colored orange. Three hexagons share a vertex colored blue.

In order to find magic numbers, we plotted the difference between $\omega_{max}$ and $\omega_{eff}$ versus $N$ in Fig. 1(c). For large $N$, Eq. (20) underestimates $\omega_{max}$, which will be due to the curvature effect: the maximum distance between charges on a sphere is twice a radius, whereas that on the 2D triangular lattice is infinite. A larger potential energy will be stored in the former system, giving rise to enhance the magnitude of force constants. More importantly, a significant decrease of $\omega_{max}$ is observed at $N = 12, 32, 72, 132,$ and 192 and these are also the magic numbers derived from the total energy calculations in Fig. 1(a). On the other hand, no significant decrease in $\omega_{max}$ is found at $N = 122, 137, 146, 182$, and 187. The behavior at $N = 122$ is anomalous because the icosahedral structure does not yield a small $\omega_{max}$.

To understand an origin of the magic numbers for $\omega_{max}$, we focus on the distribution of $\varepsilon_i$ defined in Eq. (3). Table I lists the $\varepsilon_i$s in an ascending order and its degeneracy for $N = 12, 32, 72, 122, 132,$ and 192. For $N = 12$, all the charges have the same energy because the charges are distributed at the vertices of a regular icosahedron. For larger $N$s, twelve charges have the highest $\varepsilon_i$ because they have not six but five-coordination numbers arising from geometrical constraint. It is interesting that at $N = 72, 132,$ and 192, the degeneracy for low $\varepsilon_i$s is 60 except for the highest $\varepsilon_i$. On the other hand, at $N = 122$, the degeneracy is scattered, i.e., 20, 60, 30, and 12. This is visualized in Fig. 2 where the charges at the center of hexagon colored orange are not equivalent to those colored blue. The one-particle energies are thus split into $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_3$. In general, when strain stored is equally distributed over the structure, $\varepsilon_i$ will be strongly degenerated. We thus hypothesize that strongly degenerated $\varepsilon_i$ will cause small curvature of the PES around the equilibrium, yielding a small value of $\omega_{max}$. In addition to the presence of highly symmetric structure, strong degeneracies of $\varepsilon_i$s will be important in the appearance of magic numbers.

To check the validity of our hypothesis, we next study the cases of $N = 212 + k, 272 + k, 282 + k,$ and $372 + k$ with $k = 0, \pm 1$ because these $N$ charges form an icosahedral structure as the lowest energy state [20]. We find that the
values of $\varepsilon_i$s are strongly degenerated: the degeneracy is also 60 for low $\varepsilon_i$s and 12 for the highest $\varepsilon_i$ (see also Table I). The values of $\omega_{\text{max}}$ for $k = 0$ are smaller than those for $k = \pm 1$, as listed in Table I. We thus identify $N = 212, 272, 282$, and 372 as magic numbers. Note that a small value of degeneracy, such as 20 and 30 of $\varepsilon_1$ in $N = 212$ and 282, respectively, listed in Table I will have a minor contribution to $E_{\text{opt}}$ and thus $\omega_{\text{max}}$ for $N \geq 200$.

For relatively large $N$s, it is nontrivial to find global minimum energy structures due to the presence of many local minima in the PES. For $400 \leq N \leq 4352$, the energetic stability has been investigated for only selected $N$s. Only $N = 1632$ and 1902 have been identified to show an icosahedral structure as its lowest energy state, where topological defects differ from a pentagonal shape shown in Fig 2 are located at twelve vertices of the icosahedral structure. Furthermore, as $N$ increases, grain boundaries start to appear in the Voronoi representation. It will be interesting to study how these defects influence the vibrational frequencies and/or the presence of magic numbers.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**FIG. 3.** The phonon dispersion curve of 2D triangular lattice. $\omega_0 = Cn_0^{-3/2}$ with a unit mass and $C = 3.383698$.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied the vibrational properties of $N$ charges on a sphere. The present study shows that the $\omega_{\text{max}}$ behaves as $N^{3/4}$, which is understood within the 2D triangular lattice model. The value of $\omega_{\text{max}}$ is relatively small at $N = 12, 32, 72, 132, 192, 212, 272, 282$, and 372, so that these $N$s are identified as magic numbers. However, the total number of the magic numbers identified by the $\omega_{\text{max}}$ calculations is smaller than that identified by the total energy calculations. This is because the value of $\omega_{\text{max}}$ reflects a strong degeneracy of the one-particle energies and the icosahedral symmetry of distribution of $N$ charges. The presence of nonequivalent charges with the six-coordination number weakens the degeneracy, enhancing the value of $\omega_{\text{max}}$ as in $N = 122$, irrespective to the icosahedral structure.

The present study will pave the way to future investigation concerning the magic numbers in realistic materials because the one-particle energy has been used in many model potentials such as Tersoff [24], embedded-atom potentials [25], and neural-network potentials [26]. Also the present study will provide another perspective to the Thomson problem from a point of view of magic numbers for vibrational frequency.
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Appendix A: Dispersion curves of 2D triangular lattice

Figure 3 shows the dispersion curve of 2D triangular lattice along the symmetry lines. At low $\omega$, the longitudinal and transverse branches show the different $q$-dependence: $\omega \propto q^{1/2}$ and $q$, respectively, with $q = |q|$. The longitudinal modes have the maximum frequency $\omega_0$ at the point $M(0, 1/3)$.


