

# LOCAL HÖLDER REGULARITY FOR NONLOCAL EQUATIONS WITH VARIABLE POWERS

JIHOON OK

ABSTRACT. We prove the local boundedness and the local Hölder continuity of weak solutions to nonlocal equations with variable orders and exponents under sharp assumptions.

## 1. Introduction

We study regularity theory for weak solutions to the following integro-differential equations:

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_K u(x) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y)) K(x, y) dy = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is open and bounded, and  $K : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $K(x, y) = K(y, x)$  is a suitable kernel with variable order  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the variable exponent  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ . We note that when  $p(x, y) \equiv p$ ,  $s(x, y) \equiv s$  and  $K(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+sp}}$ , (1.1) is the ( $s$ -)fractional  $p$ -Laplace equation  $(-\Delta)_p^s u = 0$ , and moreover if  $p = 2$ , it is the ( $s$ -)fractional Laplace equation  $(-\Delta)^s u = 0$ . In particular, we mainly prove the local Hölder continuity of weak solutions to (1.1), under essentially sharp regularity conditions on  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ . We will present our results with the definition of weak solution in Section 1.1 below.

In the past two decades, there have been tremendous amount of researches on nonlocal equations of the type (1.1) and relevant variational problems. We refer to for instance survey papers [24, 49] and monographs [46, 9] for the history, development and applications of nonlocal problems. Regarding the regularity theory, Caffarelli and Silvestre [13] proved Harnack's inequality for the fractional Laplace equation by using an extension argument. After this pioneering work, regularity theory for nonlocal equations of the fractional Laplacian type has rapidly developed. We refer to for instance [5, 6, 12, 14, 15, 30, 31, 40, 50, 52] and related references. In particular, Caffarelli, Chan and Vasseur applied De Giorgi's approach to nonlocal equations in [12]. More generally, for the fractional  $p$ -Laplace equation, Di Castro, Kuusi and Palatucci [22, 23] have proved Harnack's inequality and the Hölder continuity of weak solutions by using De Giorgi's approach. Especially, they introduced the so called nonlocal tail (see Section 2), and obtained regularity estimates involving this. We refer to [16, 20, 25, 28, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 44, 47, 48] for researches for nonlocal equations of the fractional  $p$ -Laplacian type.

On the other hand, there have also been research activities on regularity theory for nonlocal equations with nonstandard order and exponent. Bass and Kassmann [5, 6] and Silvestre [52] proved the Hölder continuity and Harnack's inequality for bounded solutions to nonlocal linear equations with kernels whose prototypes are  $|x - y|^{-s(x)^2}$  or

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 35R11, 35B65, Secondary: 35D30, 47G20.

*Key words and phrases*. quasilinear nonlocal operator, variable exponent, variable order, Hölder regularity, fractional Sobolev space.

The author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2017R1C1B2010328).

$\varphi(|x - y|)^{-1}$ . We also refer to related researches [3, 4, 34]. In addition, recently De Filippis and Palatucci [21] considered nonlocal equations of the double phase type, and proved Hölder continuity for bounded solutions.

The model problem we have in mind is the  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$ -fractional  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ -Laplace equation:

$$(1.2) \quad (-\Delta)_{p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{s(\cdot, \cdot)} u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

that is,  $K(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n + s(x, y)p(x, y)}}$  in (1.1), where  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  are variable functions satisfying (1.4) and (1.5), respectively. In this paper, we call  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  the variable order,  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  the variable exponent, and both  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  the variable powers. Note that the nonlocal equation (1.2) is the Euler-Lagrange equations of the minimizing problem of the energy functional

$$v \mapsto \iint_{\mathcal{C}_\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x, y)} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^{p(x, y)}}{|x - y|^{n + s(x, y)p(x, y)}} dy dx,$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{C}_\Omega := (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus ((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega)),$$

and its admissible set is naturally linked to fractional Sobolev space  $W^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}$ . In recent years, there have been reported a lot of papers studying nonlocal equations of the type (1.2) and fractional Sobolev spaces with variable powers, see for instance [7, 8, 18, 29, 32, 43, 45, 33, 51, 53]. Note that we can also find their applications in some of the preceding references.

The local problem corresponding to (1.2) is the  $p(x)$ -Laplace equation

$$\Delta_{p(\cdot)} u := \operatorname{div} (|Du|^{p(x)-2} Du) = 0,$$

that is a typical model of problems with nonstandard growth, and the one of elliptic equations extensively studied over last two decades. We refer to [1, 2, 10, 11, 19, 26, 27] for regularity results of problems modeled by the  $p(x)$ -Laplace equation. In particular, we know from [27] that the weak solution to the  $p(x)$ -Laplace equation is locally bounded if  $p(\cdot)$  is continuous, and locally Hölder continuous if  $p(\cdot)$  is so called log-Hölder continuous, that is,

$$\sup_{0 < r < \frac{1}{2}} \omega_p(r) \ln(1/r) < \infty,$$

where  $\omega_p$  is the modulus of continuity of  $p(\cdot)$ . Note that the above regularity conditions on  $p(\cdot)$  are sharp.

On the other hand, for nonlocal equations with variable powers  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ , despite active research on these problems, we cannot find any result on regularity theory, especially the Hölder continuity or Harnack's inequality. In fact, the nonlocal nature makes difficult to prove regularity estimates for nonlocal equations with variable powers. More precisely, even if we investigate the local regularity of weak solutions, we have to estimate integrals over the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that are called the nonlocal tails. By the way, the differences between the supremum and the infimum of the variable powers may be large, hence we can not approximate the nonlocal tails to integrals with constant powers. This requires much more delicate analysis that is not used in local problems with variable exponent.

In this paper, we prove the local boundedness and the Hölder continuity for the weak solutions to nonlocal equations modeled by (1.2) in Theorems 1.9 and 1.10, respectively. These are the natural nonlocal counter parts of the regularity results for the  $p(x)$ -Laplace equations mentioned above and, in our best knowledge, the first regularity results for

nonlocal equations with not only the variable exponent  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  but also the variable order  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  depending on two space variables. We emphasize that weak solutions are not assumed to be bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Instead, we assume that weak solutions belong to the so called tail space with variable power  $L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , see Section 2, and prove the desired regularities. Recently, Chaker and Kim [17] proved the local Hölder continuity weak solutions to nonlocal problems with variable exponent and constant order where the condition of variable exponent is stronger than the one in this paper.

We prove the theorems by using De Giorgi's approach, in particular, in [23]. Hence we first obtain a Caccioppoli type estimate and a logarithmic estimate. Then using the Caccioppoli type estimate and the De Giorgi iteration, we prove the local boundedness of weak solution. Finally, using the above results, we prove an oscillation estimate in Lemma 6.7. Technically, we do not use any embedding property of fractional Sobolev spaces with variable powers even in the proof of the existence of weak solution. Instead, we use well-known embedding properties for the fractional Sobolev spaces with constant powers. It is possible by decreasing the order of differentiability, see Lemma 2.4. Moreover, it is unclear that the multiplication of a weak solution and a cut-off function can be directly used as a test function in the weak form of (1.1), that is usually the first step of the proofs of Caccioppoli type estimates (see the beginning of Section 4). This problem occurs in the variable exponent case. Nevertheless, we obtain a desired Caccioppoli estimate by using an approximation argument.

Now, we state the main results in this paper.

**1.1. Main results.** We start with defining the variable powers  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and the kernel  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Note that a two variable function  $f = f(x, y)$  is said to be *symmetric* if  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$  for all  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $s, p : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be symmetric and satisfy that

$$(1.4) \quad 0 < s^- := \inf_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} s(x, y) \leq \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} s(x, y) =: s^+ < 1,$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad 1 < p^- := \inf_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} p(x, y) \leq \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} p(x, y) =: p^+ < \infty,$$

and let  $K : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable and symmetric, and satisfy that

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{\Lambda^{-1}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} \leq K(x, y) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} \quad \text{for a.e. } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some  $\Lambda > 1$ .

We next state the definition used in this paper of weak solution to (1.1) with (1.4)–(1.6). For relevant function spaces  $\mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$  and  $L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , we will introduce in the next section. We say that  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution to (1.1) if

$$(1.7) \quad \iint_{\mathcal{C}_\Omega} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) K(x, y) dy dx = 0$$

for every  $\varphi \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$  with  $\varphi = 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega$  is defined in (1.3). In addition, we say  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$  is a weak subsolution (resp. supersolution) if (1.7) with replacing “=” by “ $\leq$  (resp.  $\geq$ )” holds for every  $\varphi \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$  with  $\varphi \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\varphi = 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . We will discuss the existence and the uniqueness of the weak solution in Section 3.

Now we introduce regularity assumption on  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Set

$$\begin{cases} \omega_s(r) := \sup_{B_r \subset \Omega} \sup_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n} |s(x_1, y_1) - s(x_2, y_2)|, \\ \omega_p(r) := \sup_{B_r \subset \Omega} \sup_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in B_r} |p(x_1, y_1) - p(x_2, y_2)|, \end{cases} \quad r \in (0, 1/2).$$

This means  $\omega_s(\cdot)$ , or  $\omega_p(\cdot)$ , is the oscillation of  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$ , or  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ , near the diagonal region  $D := \{(x, x) : x \in \Omega\}$ . Hence, for instance,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \omega_p(r) = 0$  if and only if  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $D$  uniformly. We then consider the following logarithmic continuity condition:

$$(1.8) \quad \sup_{0 < r \leq 1/2} (\omega_p(r) + \omega_s(r)) \ln \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) \leq c_{LH} \quad \text{for some } c_{LH} > 0.$$

Note that this assumption means  $\omega_s(\cdot)$  and  $\omega_p(\cdot)$  are so called log-Hölder continuous on  $D$ .

The first main result is the local boundedness of the weak solutions to (1.1).

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $s, p, K : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be symmetric and satisfy (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), respectively. Suppose that for  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \omega_p(r) = 0$ . If  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is a weak solution to (1.1), then  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$ .*

The second main result is the Hölder continuity of the weak solutions to (1.1).

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $s, p, K : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be symmetric and satisfy (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), respectively. Suppose that  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfy (1.8). If  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is a weak solution to (1.1), then  $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0, \alpha}(\Omega)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  depending on  $n, s^\pm, p^\pm, \Lambda$  and  $c_{LH}$ .*

There are remarks for the above theorems.

*Remark 1.11.* We consider the weak solutions belonging to  $L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . This allows to handle the nonlocal tail given by (2.1) that is an essential factor in the analysis of local regularity for nonlocal equations. In Remark 2.3 below, we introduce some examples of functions in  $L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .

*Remark 1.12.* We emphasize that, except the basic assumptions (1.4) and (1.5), we do not assume any regularity condition on  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus D$ .

For the local boundedness, Theorem 1.9, we only assume that the variable exponent  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $D$  uniformly (this can be replaced by a smallness condition on the oscillation of  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ , see Remark 5.4), hence the variable order  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  could be any measurable function satisfying (1.4). On the other hand, for the local Hölder continuity, Theorem 1.10, we assume that  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  are log-Hölder continuous on  $D$ .

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce notation and basic tools used in this paper. In Section 3, we discuss on the existence of weak solution to (1.1). In Section 4, we obtain Caccioppoli type and logarithmic estimates. Finally, in Sections 5 and 6, we prove Theorems 1.9 and 1.10, respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1. Notation and function spaces.** For  $q \geq 1$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$  with  $tq < n$ ,  $q_t^* := \frac{nq}{n-tq}$ . Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and bounded, and let  $B_r(x_0)$  be a standard open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  centered at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with radius  $r > 0$ . If the center  $x_0$  is not important we write  $B_r = B_r(x_0)$ .

For a measurable function  $v$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $v^\pm := \max\{\pm v, 0\}$ , and  $(v)_\Omega$  is denoted by the mean of  $v$  in  $\Omega$ , that is,  $(v)_\Omega := \int_\Omega v dx = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega v dx$ .

We always assume that  $s, p, K : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are symmetric and satisfy (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), respectively. For  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define

$$s_E^- := \inf_{(x,y) \in E \times E} s(x,y) \quad \text{and} \quad s_E^+ := \sup_{(x,y) \in E \times E} s(x,y),$$

and  $p_E^\pm$  by the same quantities for  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Hence we have  $p_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\pm = p^\pm$  and  $s_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\pm = s^\pm$ . For a measurable function  $v$  in  $\Omega$ , set

$$\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(v; \Omega) := \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx.$$

For constant  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we define fractional Sobolev space  $W^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p}(\Omega) := \{v \in L^p(\Omega) : \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p}(v; \Omega) < \infty\}$ . Note that if  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  is constant with  $s(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv s$ ,  $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  is the usual fractional Sobolev space.

We next introduce function spaces related to the weak solution to (1.1). We define  $\mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$  by the set of all measurable functions  $v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying that

$$v|_\Omega \in L^{p_\Omega^-}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \iint_{\mathcal{C}_\Omega} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx < \infty.$$

Clearly,  $\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(u; \Omega) < \infty$  if  $v \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$ . We next define the tail space with variable powers  $L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  by the set of all measurable functions  $v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying that

$$[v]_{L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))} := \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|v(y)|^{p(x,y)-1}}{(1 + |y|)^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy < \infty.$$

Note that if  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , the following quantity is always finite whenever  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Omega$ :

$$(2.1) \quad T(v; x_0, r, \rho) := \sup_{x \in B_\rho(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{|v(y)|^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y - x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy,$$

that is called the nonlocal tail with variable powers. Indeed, since  $1 + |y| \leq (1 + \frac{|x_0|+1}{r})|y - x_0|$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r(x_0)$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad T(u; x_0, r, \rho) \leq \left(1 + \frac{|x_0|+1}{r}\right)^{n+s^+p^+} [v]_{L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))} < \infty.$$

Note that they are the variable versions of the tail space and the nonlocal tail introduced in [22, 23, 36, 49]. For simplicity, if  $x_0$  is obvious, we write  $T(v; r, \rho) = T(v; x_0, r, \rho)$ .

*Remark 2.3.* If  $v \in L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $\gamma \geq p^+ - 1$ , or if  $v \in L^{p^+-1}(B_M(0))$  for some  $M > 0$  and is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_M(0)$ , then  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .

In particular,  $v \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  for every  $\Omega$ , and if  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is constant with  $p(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv p$ , by [24, Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 6.5] we see that

$$W^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{t,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{p_t^*}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p}^{p-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

where  $t \in (0, s^-)$  is an arbitrary number satisfying  $tp < n$ .

**2.2. Inequalities.** We introduce inequalities used in this paper. The first inequality is a general version of the inequality in [20, Lemma 4.6] in the setting with variable powers. Note that [20, Lemma 4.6] implies the inclusion  $W^{s_2, p_2}(\Omega) \subset W^{s_1, p_1}(\Omega)$  with  $0 < s_1 < s_2 < 1$  and  $p_1 \leq p_2$ . Therefore, the following lemma also implies a similar inclusion for fractional Sobolev spaces with variable powers.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded, and  $p_1, p_2, s_1, s_2 : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be symmetric and satisfy that  $s_1(x, y) < s_2(x, y)$ ,  $p_1(x, y) \leq p_2(x, y)$ ,  $0 < (p_1)_{\Omega}^- \leq (p_1)_{\Omega}^+ < \infty$  and  $d_1 \leq s_2(x, y) - s_1(x, y) \leq d_2$  for all  $x, y \in \Omega$  and for some  $0 < d_1 \leq d_2$ . Then we have*

$$\varrho_{s_1(\cdot, \cdot), p_1(\cdot, \cdot)}(v, \Omega) \leq M \left\{ \varrho_{s_2(\cdot, \cdot), p_2(\cdot, \cdot)}(v, \Omega) + \frac{c(n)}{d_1(p_1)_{\Omega}^-} |\Omega \cap \{v \neq 0\}| \right\},$$

where

$$M = \begin{cases} \text{diam}(\Omega)^{d_1(p_1)_{\Omega}^-} & \text{if } \text{diam}(\Omega) \leq 1, \\ \text{diam}(\Omega)^{d_2(p_1)_{\Omega}^+} & \text{if } \text{diam}(\Omega) > 1, \end{cases}$$

and the constant  $c(n) > 0$  depends only on  $n$ .

*Proof.* The direct computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{s_1(\cdot, \cdot), p_1(\cdot, \cdot)}(v, \Omega) &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{s_2(x, y)}} \right)^{p_1(x, y)} \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{n - (s_2(x, y) - s_1(x, y))p_1(x, y)}} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{s_2(x, y)}} \right)^{p_2(x, y)} \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{n - (s_2(x, y) - s_1(x, y))p_1(x, y)}} \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega \cap \{v \neq 0\}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{n - (s_2(x, y) - s_1(x, y))p_1(x, y)}} \\ &\leq M \varrho_{s_2(\cdot, \cdot), p_2(\cdot, \cdot)}(v, B_r) + 2 \int_{\Omega \cap \{v \neq 0\}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{n - (s_2(x, y) - s_1(x, y))p_1(x, y)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $\text{diam}(\Omega) \leq 1$

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n - (s_2(x, y) - s_1(x, y))p_1(x, y)}} \leq c(n) \int_0^{\text{diam}(\Omega)} r^{-1 + d_1(p_1)_{\Omega}^-} dr = \frac{c(n)}{d_1(p_1)_{\Omega}^-} M,$$

and if  $\text{diam}(\Omega) > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n - (s_2(x, y) - s_1(x, y))p_2(x, y)}} &\leq c(n) \left( \int_1^{\text{diam}(\Omega)} r^{-1 + d_2(p_1)_{\Omega}^+} dr + \int_0^1 r^{-1 + d_1(p_1)_{\Omega}^-} dr \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c(n)}{d_1(p_1)_{\Omega}^-} M. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We next introduce a fraction Sobolev-Poincaré type inequality for the fractional Sobolev spaces with constant powers. This is a simple corollary of [24, Theorem 6.7]. However, for the sake of completeness, we report a proof.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $0 < s < 1$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $sp < n$ . For any  $v \in L^1(B_r)$  with  $\varrho_{s, p}(v; B_r) < \infty$ , we have*

$$\left( \int_{B_r} |v - (v)_{B_r}|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq cr^{sp} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n + sp}} dy dx,$$

where  $c = c(n, s, p) > 0$ . Moreover, if  $s < t$  and  $p < q$  we also have that

$$\left( \int_{B_r} |v - (v)_{B_r}|^{p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p_s^*}} \leq cr^{tq} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{n+ tq}} dy dx$$

for some  $c = c(n, p, q, s, t) > 0$ , if the right hand side is finite.

*Proof.* We first observe from Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |v - (v)_{B_r}|^p dx &\leq \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} |v(x) - v(y)|^p dy dx \\ &\leq \frac{(2r)^{n+sp}}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx \\ &\leq cr^{sp} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

which is in fact the fractional version of Poincaré inequality. Hence  $v - (v)_{B_r} \in W^{s,p}(B_r)$ . Then, by the Sobolev inequality for the fractional Sobolev spaces with constant powers, see for instance [24, Theorems 6.7 and 6.10], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{B_r} |v - (v)_{B_r}|^{p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p_s^*}} &\leq cr^{sp} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx + c \int_{B_r} |v - (v)_{B_r}|^p dx \\ &\leq cr^{sp} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the first inequality. The second inequality is implied by the first inequality with the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dy dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{n+ tq}} dy dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \left( \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+ \frac{(s-t)pq}{q-p}}} dy dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \\ &\leq c(n, p, q, s, t) \left( r^{(s-t)q} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{n+ tq}} dy dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next inequality can be found in [20, Lemma 4.3].

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $p > 1$  and  $a \geq b \geq 0$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$a^p - b^p \leq \varepsilon a^p + \left( \frac{p-1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} (a-b)^p,$$

hence

$$a^p - b^p \leq \varepsilon b^p + (\varepsilon + c\varepsilon^{1-p}) (a-b)^p$$

for some  $c > 0$  depending only on  $p$ .

We end the subsection recalling [42, Chapter 2. Lemma 4.7].

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of nonnegative numbers and satisfy*

$$y_{i+1} \leq b_1 b_2^i y_i^{1+\beta}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

for some  $b_1, \beta > 0$  and  $b_2 > 1$ . If

$$y_0 \leq b_1^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} b_2^{-\frac{1}{\beta^2}},$$

then  $y_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3. Existence of weak solution

We discuss on the existence of weak solution to (1.1). This is naturally linked to the existence of a minimizer of

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(v; \Omega) := \iint_{\mathcal{C}_\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x, y)} |v(x) - v(y)|^{p(x, y)} K(x, y) dy dx.$$

We say that  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is a minimizer of the energy functional (3.1) if

$$\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) \leq \mathcal{E}(v; \Omega)$$

for every  $v \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  with  $u = v$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . By a standard argument, see for instance the proof of [23, Theorem 2.3], we notice that  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution to (1.1) if and only if  $u$  is a minimizer of (3.1). Hence we prove the existence and uniqueness of a minimizer of (3.1). We emphasize that no regularity condition on  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is assumed. On the other hand,  $\Omega$  is assumed to be Lipschitz, in order to apply the compact embedding theorem for the fractional Sobolev space  $W^{s, p}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $s, p, K : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be symmetric and satisfy (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), respectively,  $\Omega$  be bounded, open and Lipschitz, and  $g \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . There exists a unique minimizer  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  with  $u = g$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$  of (3.1).*

*Moreover, suppose that  $p^+ - 1 < \frac{np^-}{n-s-p^-}$  or  $s^- p^- \geq n$ . If  $g \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , then the minimizer  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is in  $L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the argument in the proof of [23, Theorem 2.3]. Note that the uniqueness is the direct consequence of the strict convexity of the mapping  $t \mapsto t^{p(x, y)}$  which is uniform in  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , since  $p^- > 1$ .

We now prove the existence. Let  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  be a minimizing sequence with  $u_k = g$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . (Note that by the definition of  $g$  the admissible set of the energy functional, that is  $\mathbb{W}_g^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{v \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega) : v = g \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega\}$ , is non-empty.) Then there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\varrho_{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(u_k; \Omega) \leq \iint_{\mathcal{C}_\Omega} \frac{|u_k(x) - u_k(y)|^{p(x, y)}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x, y)p(x, y)}} dy dx \leq M \quad \text{for all } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.4, we have that for any  $t \in (0, s^-)$ ,  $\varrho_{t, p^-}(u_k; \Omega)$  is bounded for  $k$ . Moreover, since  $u_k - g = 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ , by using the fractional Sobolev inequality [24, Theorem 6.5] we have that for some  $B_R = B_R(0) \supset \Omega$  with  $R \geq 1$  and  $t \in (0, \frac{s^-}{2})$  with



$$\frac{np^-}{n+tp^-} =: q > 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{B_R} |u_k - g|^{p^-} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p^-}} \leq c \varrho_{t,q}(u_k - g; \mathbb{R}^n) \\ & \leq c \varrho_{t,q}(u_k - g; B_R) + c \int_{B_R} |u_k(y) - g(y)|^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+ tq}} dx \right) dy \\ & \leq c \varrho_{t,q}(u_k - g; B_R) + c \int_{B_R} |u_k(y) - g(y)|^q \left( \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+ tq}} dx \right) dy \\ & \leq c \int_{B_{2R}} \int_{B_R} \frac{|(u_k(x) - g(x)) - (u_k(y) - g(y))|^q}{|x-y|^{n+ tq}} dx dy \leq c \varrho_{t,q}(u_k - g; B_{2R}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the second inequality we used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+ tq}} dx \leq \frac{c(n)}{(r-|y|)^{tq}} \leq c(n) \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+ tq}} dx \quad \text{for all } y \in B_R.$$

Again using Lemma 2.4, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_k - g|^{p^-} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p^-}} = \left( \int_{B_R} |u_k - g|^{p^-} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p^-}} \\ & \leq cR^{s^+p^-} (\varrho_{s(\cdot,\cdot),p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u_k - g; B_{2R}) + R^n) \\ & \leq cR^{s^+p^-} \left( \iint_{C_{\Omega}} \frac{|u_k(x) - u_k(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx + \iint_{C_{\Omega}} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx + R^n \right) \\ & \leq cR^{s^+p^-} \left( M + \iint_{C_{\Omega}} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx + R^n \right) \quad \text{for all } k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in  $L^{p^-}(\Omega)$ , hence  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in  $W^{t,p^-}(\Omega)$ .

By the compact embedding theorem for the fractional Sobolev space  $W^{t,p^-}(\Omega)$  [24, Theorem 7.1], there exist a subsequence  $\{u_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $u \in L^{p^-}(\Omega)$  such that

$$u_{k_j} \longrightarrow u \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p^-}(\Omega)$$

and

$$u_{k_j} \longrightarrow u \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

We extend  $u$  by  $g$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . Then Fatou's lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{C_{\Omega}} \frac{1}{p(x,y)} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)} K(x,y) dy dx \\ & \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{C_{\Omega}} \frac{1}{p(x,y)} |u_{k_j}(x) - u_{k_j}(y)|^{p(x,y)} K(x,y) dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

that means  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot,\cdot),p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , and it is the minimizer.

Next we further assume  $g \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot,\cdot),p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot,\cdot)p(\cdot,\cdot)}^{p(\cdot,\cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , and  $p^+ - 1 < \frac{np^-}{n-s^-p^-}$  or  $s^-p^- \geq n$ . Then one can find  $t \in (0, s^-)$  such that  $tp^- < n$  and  $p^+ - 1 \leq \frac{np^-}{n-tp^-}$ . Then  $u \in W^{t,p^-}(\Omega)$  by Lemma 2.4, where  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot,\cdot),p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is the unique minimizer. Therefore, by the embedding theorem for the fractional Sobolev space [24, Theorem 6.7] we have that  $u \in L^{\frac{np^-}{n-tp^-}}(\Omega) \subset L^{p^+-1}(\Omega)$ . This and the fact that  $u = g$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$  imply that the minimizer  $u$  is in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot,\cdot)p(\cdot,\cdot)}^{p(\cdot,\cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Caccioppoli and logarithmic estimates

We obtain a Caccioppoli type estimate and a logarithmic estimate for the weak solutions to (1.1). In order to obtain a Caccioppoli type estimate for a weak solution to a local/nonlocal equation, we take a multiplicative function of the weak solution and a cut-off function as a test function in the weak form of the problem. However, in the variable exponent case, that is,  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is not constant, it is unclear that the multiplicative function can be taken as a test function. More precisely, even if  $v \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$ , we couldn't prove that  $v\eta \in W^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$ , where  $\eta$  is a standard cut-off function in a ball contained in  $\Omega$ . (Note that if  $p^-$  and  $p^+$  are sufficiently close, it is correct.) To overcome this problem, we will use an approximation argument together with the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $v \in L^{p^+}(B_{2r})$  satisfy  $\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(v, B_{2r}) < \infty$ , and  $\eta \in W_0^{1, \infty}(B_r)$ . Then  $\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(v\eta, \mathbb{R}^n) < \infty$ . In particular,  $v\eta \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$  whenever  $\Omega \supset B_{2r}$ .*

*Proof.* We have to show that

$$\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(v\eta, \mathbb{R}^n) = \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(v\eta, B_{2r}) + 2 \int_{B_{2r}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} \frac{|v(x)\eta(x)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx < \infty.$$

We first estimate the first term. Using the Mean Value Theorem, (1.4) and (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(v\eta, B_{2r}) \\ & \leq c \int_{B_{2r}} \int_{B_{2r}} \frac{|(v(x) - v(y))\eta(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx + c \int_{B_{2r}} \int_{B_{2r}} \frac{|v(x)(\eta(x) - \eta(y))|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx \\ & \leq c(\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^{p^+} + 1)\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(v, B_{2r}) \\ & \quad + c(\|D\eta\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^{p^+} + 1) \int_{B_{2r}} (|v(x)|^{p^+} + 1) \int_{B_{4r}(x)} |x-y|^{-n+(1-s(x,y))p(x,y)} dy dx \\ & \leq c(\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^{p^+} + 1)\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(v, B_{2r}) \\ & \quad + c \max\{r^{(1-s^+)p^-}, r^{(1-s^-)p^+}\}(\|D\eta\|_\infty^{p^+} + 1) \left( \int_{B_r} |v(x)|^{p^+} dx + r^n \right) \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We next estimate the second term. Using the fact that  $\eta \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r$ , (1.4) and (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{2r}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} \frac{|v(x)\eta(x)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx = (\|\eta\|_\infty^{p^+} + 1) \int_{B_r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r}} \frac{|v(x)|^{p^+} + 1}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx \\ & \leq (\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^{p^+} + 1) \int_{B_r} (|v(x)|^{p^+} + 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r(x)} |x-y|^{-n-s(x,y)p(x,y)} dy dx \\ & \leq c \max\{r^{-s^-p^-}, r^{-s^+p^+}\}(\|\eta\|_\infty^{p^+} + 1) \left( \int_{B_r} |v(x)|^{p^+} dx + r^n \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we obtain a Caccioppoli type inequality.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  be a weak solution to (1.1), and let  $B_{2r} = B_{2r}(x_0) \Subset \Omega$  satisfying*

$$(4.3) \quad r \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_p(r) \leq \frac{(1-s^+)p^-}{2s^+}.$$

Then for any  $0 < \rho < r$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}((u - k)_\pm, B_\rho) + \int_{B_\rho} (u(x) - k)_\pm \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(k - u(y))_\pm^{p(x, y) - 1}}{|x - y|^{n + s(x, y)p(x, y)}} dy \right) dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(r - \rho)^{p_1}} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{\max\{(u(x) - k)_\pm, (u(y) - k)_\pm\}^{p(x, y)}}{|x - y|^{n + s(x, y)p(x, y) - p_1}} dy dx \\
(4.4) \quad & + c \left( \frac{r}{r - \rho} \right)^{n + s_2 p_2} \int_{B_r} (u(x) - k)_\pm \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \frac{(u(y) - k)_\pm^{p(x, y) - 1}}{|y - x_0|^{n + s(x, y)p(x, y)}} dy \right] dx \\
& \leq c \frac{r^{p_2 - s_2 p_2}}{(r - \rho)^{p_2}} \int_{A^\pm(k, r)} \left( (u(x) - k)_\pm^{p_2} + 1 \right) dx \\
& + c \frac{r^{n + s_2 p_2}}{(r - \rho)^{n + s_2 p_2}} T((u - k)_\pm, x_0, r, r) \int_{B_r} (u - k)_\pm dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
s_1 & := s_{B_r}^-, \quad s_2 := s_{B_r}^+, \quad p_1 := p_{B_r}^-, \quad p_2 := p_{B_r}^+, \\
A^\pm(k, r) & = A^\pm(k, r, x_0) := \{x \in B_r : (u(x) - k)_\pm > 0\},
\end{aligned}$$

and the constant  $c > 0$  depends only on  $n$ ,  $s^\pm$ ,  $p^\pm$  and  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* We prove the desired estimate only for “+” sign. Then the estimate for “−” sign directly follows by considering  $-u$  that is also a weak solution to (1.1). Let  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_{\frac{r+\rho}{2}})$  with  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $\eta \equiv 1$  in  $B_\rho$  and  $|\nabla \eta| \leq 4/(r - \rho)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define  $w_\pm := (u - k)_\pm$  and  $w_+^l := (\min\{u, l\} - k)_+$ , where  $l > k$ . Note that  $w_+^l(x) \leq w_+(x)$  and  $|w_+^l(x) - w_+^l(y)| \leq |w_+(x) - w_+(y)|$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and every  $l > k$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $w_+^l \eta^{p_2} \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(\Omega)$  for every  $l > k$  since  $0 \leq w_+^l \leq l - k$  and  $\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_+^l, B_{2r}) \leq \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_+, B_{2r}) < \infty$ . Hence we take  $w_+^l \eta^{p_2}$  as a test function  $\varphi$  in (1.7), and obtain an estimate for  $w_k^l$  first. Then by taking the limit as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the desired estimate.

Recalling (1.7) with  $\varphi = w_+^l \eta^{p_2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x, y) - 2} (u(x) - u(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) K(x, y) dy dx \\
& + 2 \int_{B_r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x, y) - 2} (u(x) - u(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) K(x, y) dy dx \\
& =: I_1 + 2I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We first estimate  $I_1$ . We first have

$$(4.5) \quad |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x, y) - 2} (u(x) - u(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) = 0, \quad \text{for } x, y \in B_r \setminus A^+(k, r).$$

If  $x \in A^+(k, r)$  and  $y \in B_r \setminus A^+(k, r)$ , then  $u(x) > k \geq u(y)$  hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad & |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x, y) - 2} (u(x) - u(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \\
& \geq (w_+^l(x) + w_-(y))^{p(x, y) - 1} w_+^l(x) \eta(x)^{p_2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} |w_+^l(x) - w_+^l(y)|^{p(x, y)} \eta(x)^{p_2} + \frac{1}{2} w_-(y)^{p(x, y) - 1} w_+^l(x) \eta(x)^{p_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we suppose  $x, y \in A^+(k, r)$ . If  $u(x) > u(y) > k$  and  $\eta(x)^{p_2} \geq \eta(y)^{p_2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x, y) - 2} (u(x) - u(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \\
& = |w_+(x) - w_+(y)|^{p(x, y) - 1} (w_+^l(x) \eta(x)^{p_2} - w_+^l(y) \eta(y)^{p_2}) \\
& \geq |w_+^l(x) - w_+^l(y)|^{p(x, y)} \eta(x)^{p_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $u(x) > u(y) > k$  and  $\eta(x)^{p_2} < \eta(y)^{p_2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \\ & \geq (w_+^l(x) - w_+^l(y))^{p(x,y)} \eta(y)^{p_2} - (w_+(x) - w_+(y))^{p(x,y)-1} w_+^l(x) (\eta(y)^{p_2} - \eta(x)^{p_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 with  $p = p_1$ ,  $a = \eta(y)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}}$ ,  $b = \eta(x)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}}$ , and  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \frac{w_+(x) - w_+(y)}{w_+(x)}$ , and using the facts that  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$  and  $|\eta(y) - \eta(x)| \leq \|\nabla \eta\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} |x - y| \leq c \frac{|x-y|}{r-\rho}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(y)^{p_2} - \eta(x)^{p_2} & \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{w_+(x) - w_+(y)}{w_+(x)} \eta(y)^{p_2} + \left\{ \frac{(p_1 - 1)w_+(x)}{w_+(x) - w_+(y)} \right\}^{p_1-1} \left( \eta(y)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} - \eta(x)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} \right)^{p_1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{w_+(x) - w_+(y)}{w_+(x)} \eta(y)^{p_2} + c \left( \frac{w_+(x)}{w_+(x) - w_+(y)} \right)^{p(x,y)-1} \frac{|x - y|^{p_1}}{(r - \rho)^{p_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} |w_+^l(x) - w_+^l(y)|^{p(x,y)} \eta(y)^{p_2} - c w_+(x)^{p(x,y)} \frac{|x - y|^{p_1}}{(r - \rho)^{p_1}} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} (|w_+(x) - w_+(y)|^{p(x,y)} - |w_+^l(x) - w_+^l(y)|^{p(x,y)}) \eta(y)^{p_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by considering the symmetry of  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we have that for every  $x, y \in A^+(k, r)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} |w_+^l(x) - w_+^l(y)|^{p(x,y)} \min\{\eta(x), \eta(y)\}^{p_2} \\ (4.7) \quad & - c \max\{w_+(x), w_+(y)\}^{p(x,y)} \frac{|x - y|^{p_1}}{(r - \rho)^{p_1}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (|w_+(x) - w_+(y)|^{p(x,y)} - |w_+^l(x) - w_+^l(y)|^{p(x,y)}) \max\{\eta(x), \eta(y)\}^{p_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the results in (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_+^l, B_\rho) + \int_{B_\rho} w_+(x) \left[ \int_{B_r} \frac{w_-(y)^{p(x,y)-1}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \right] dx \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{c}{(r - \rho)^{p_1}} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{\max\{w_+(x), w_+(y)\}^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)-p_1}} dy dx \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} (\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_+, B_r) - \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_+^l, B_r)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\geq \int_{A^+(k,\rho)} w_+^l(x) \left[ \int_{\{u(x) \geq u(y)\} \setminus B_r} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^{p(x,y)-1}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \right] dx \\
&\quad - \int_{A^+(k, \frac{r+\rho}{2})} w_+(x) \left[ \int_{\{u(x) < u(y)\} \setminus B_r} \frac{(u(y) - u(x))^{p(x,y)-1}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \right] dx \\
&\geq \int_{B_\rho} w_+^l(x) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \frac{w_-(y)^{p(x,y)-1}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \right] dx \\
&\quad - c \left( \frac{r}{r - \rho} \right)^{n+s_2 p_2} \int_{B_r} w_+(x) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \frac{w_+(y)^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y - x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \right] dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that

$$|x - y| \geq |y - x_0| - |x - x_0| \geq |y - x_0| - \frac{r + \rho}{2} \frac{|y - x_0|}{r} = \frac{r - \rho}{2r} |y - x_0|$$

for every  $x \in B_{\frac{r+\rho}{2}}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r$ , where  $x_0$  is the center of  $B_r$ .

Therefore, from the above estimates for  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  with  $I_1 + 2I_2 = 0$ , we have that for every  $l > k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_+^l, B_\rho) + \int_{B_\rho} w_+^l(x) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{w_-(y)^{p(x,y)-1}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \right] dx \\
&\leq \frac{c}{(r - \rho)^{p_1}} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{\max\{w_+(x), w_+(y)\}^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)-p_1}} dy dx \\
&\quad + c \left( \frac{r}{r - \rho} \right)^{n+s_2 p_2} \int_{B_r} w_+(x) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \frac{w_-(y)^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y - x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \right] dx \\
&\quad + c \left( \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_+, B_r) - \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_+^l, B_r) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $w_+^l(x) \nearrow w_+(x)$  and  $|w_+^l(x) - w_+^l(y)| \nearrow |w_+(x) - w_+(y)|$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$  for a.e.  $x, y \in B_r$ , by the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem, sending  $l$  in the above estimate to  $\infty$ , we obtain the first inequality in (4.4).

The second inequality in (4.4) is obtained from the definition the tail and the following estimates: since  $p_1 - s_2 p_2 \geq (1 - s^+)p^- - s^+ \omega_p(r) \geq \frac{(1-s^+)p^-}{2} > 0$  by (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(r - \rho)^{p_1}} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{\max\{w_+(x), w_+(y)\}^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)-p_1}} dy dx \\
&\leq \frac{r^{p_2}}{r^{p_1} (r - \rho)^{p_2}} \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{\max\{w_+(x), w_+(y)\}^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+s_2 p_2 - p_1}} dy dx \\
&\leq \frac{r^{p_2}}{r^{p_1} (r - \rho)^{p_2}} \int_{A^+(k,r)} (w_+(x)^{p_2} + 1) \int_{B_{2r}(x)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+s_2 p_2 - p_1}} dy dx \\
&\leq c \frac{r^{p_2 - s_2 p_2}}{(r - \rho)^{p_2}} \int_{A^+(k,r)} (w_+(x)^{p_2} + 1) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Next we obtain logarithmic estimates that will be used crucially in the proof of the Hölder regularity in Section 5. Here we consider a locally bounded and nonnegative solution.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot,\cdot),p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot,\cdot)p(\cdot,\cdot)}^{p(\cdot,\cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  be a weak solution to (1.1) such that  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega')$  for some  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ . If  $r \in (0, 1)$  satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad \omega_p(r) \leq \frac{\ln 2}{\ln(1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')})},$$

$u \geq 0$  in  $B_r = B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega'$  and  $d > 0$ , then for any  $B_\rho = B_\rho(x_0)$  with  $0 < \rho < r/2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} \left| \ln \left( \frac{d+u(x)}{d+u(y)} \right) \right|^{p_2} K(x, y) dx dy \\ & \leq c \rho^{n-s_2 p_1 + p_1 - p_2} + c \max\{d, d^{-1}\}^{p_4 - p_3} \rho^{n-s_4 p_4} + \frac{c \rho^n}{d^{p_2-1}} T(u_- + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}, r, 2\rho) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c = c(n, s^\pm, p^\pm, \Lambda) > 0$ , where

$$(4.10) \quad s_1 := s_{B_{2\rho}}^-, \quad s_2 := s_{B_{2\rho}}^+, \quad s_3 := s_{B_r}^-, \quad s_4 := s_{B_r}^+,$$

$$(4.11) \quad p_1 := p_{B_{2\rho}}^-, \quad p_2 := p_{B_{2\rho}}^+, \quad p_3 := p_{B_r}^-, \quad p_4 := p_{B_r}^+.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{3\rho/2})$  such that  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $\eta \equiv 1$  in  $B_\rho$  and  $|D\eta| \leq c(n)/\rho$ . Note that, since the Mean Value Theorem yields  $|(u(x) + d)^{1-p_2} - (u(y) + d)^{1-p_2}| \leq (p^+ - 1) \max\{1, d^{-1}\}^{p^+} |u(x) - u(y)|$  and  $0 < (u + d)^{1-p_2} \leq \min\{1, d\}^{1-p^+}$  for every  $x, y \in B_{2\rho}$ , by Lemma 4.1 we can take  $(u + d)^{1-p_2} \eta^{p_2}$  as a test function in (1.7). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{B_{2\rho}} |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y)) \\ & \quad \times \left[ \frac{\eta(x)^{p_2}}{(u(x) + d)^{p_2-1}} - \frac{\eta(y)^{p_2}}{(u(y) + d)^{p_2-1}} \right] K(x, y) dx dy \\ & + 2 \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2\rho}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y)) \eta(x)^{p_2}}{(u(x) + d)^{p_2-1}} K(x, y) dy dx \\ & =: I_1 + 2I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate  $I_1$ . Suppose  $u(x) > u(y)$  for  $x, y \in B_{2\rho}$ . By the second inequality in Lemma 2.6 with  $a = \eta(x)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}}$ ,  $b = \eta(y)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}}$ ,  $p = p(x, y)$  and  $\varepsilon = \delta \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{u(x) + d} \in (0, 1)$ , we have that for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x)^{p_2} &\leq \eta(y)^{p_2} + \delta \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{u(x) + d} \eta(y)^{p_2} \\ & + c \left( \delta \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{u(x) + d} \right)^{1-p(x,y)} \left| \eta(x)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}} - \eta(y)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}} \right|^{p(x,y)} \end{aligned}$$

(if  $\eta(x) \leq \eta(y)$  the inequality is trivial). Using this inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & |u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)-2} (u(x) - u(y)) \left[ \frac{\eta(x)^{p_2}}{(u(x) + d)^{p_2-1}} - \frac{\eta(y)^{p_2}}{(u(y) + d)^{p_2-1}} \right] K(x, y) \\ & \leq \underbrace{\frac{(u(x) - u(y))^{p(x,y)-1}}{(u(x) + d)^{p_2-1}} \eta(y)^{p_2} \left[ 1 + \delta \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{u(x) + d} - \left( \frac{u(x) + d}{u(y) + d} \right)^{p_2-1} \right]}_{=: J} K(x, y) \\ & + c \delta^{1-p(x,y)} (u(x) + d)^{p(x,y)-p_2} \left| \eta(x)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}} - \eta(y)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}} \right|^{p(x,y)} K(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate

$$J = \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^{p(x,y)}}{(u(x) + d)^{p_2}} \eta(y)^{p_2} \left[ \delta + \frac{1 - \left(\frac{u(y)+d}{u(x)+d}\right)^{1-p_2}}{1 - \frac{u(y)+d}{u(x)+d}} \right] K(x, y).$$

Then we consider  $g(t) := \frac{1-t^{1-p}}{1-t}$ , where  $p > 1$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Note that with constant  $p > 1$

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{1-t^{1-p}}{1-t} \leq -(p-1) \quad \forall t \in (0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1-t^{1-p}}{1-t} \leq -\frac{p-1}{2^p} \frac{t^{1-p}}{1-t} \quad \forall t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}].$$

If  $\frac{u(y)+d}{u(x)+d} \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , by the second inequality in (4.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{u(y)+d}{u(x)+d}\right)^{1-p_2}}{1 - \frac{u(y)+d}{u(x)+d}} &\leq -\frac{p_2-1}{2^{p_2}} \frac{\left(\frac{u(y)+d}{u(x)+d}\right)^{1-p_2}}{1 - \frac{u(y)+d}{u(x)+d}} = -\frac{(p_2-1)(u(x)+d)^{p_2}}{2^{p_2}(u(x)-u(y))(u(y)+d)^{p_2-1}} \\ &\leq -\frac{(p_2-1)}{2^{p_2}} \left(\frac{u(x)+d}{u(y)+d}\right)^{p_2-1} \leq -\frac{p^- - 1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

hence, using this inequality and (4.9) and choosing  $\delta \leq \frac{p^- - 1}{4}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J &\leq -\frac{p^- - 1}{4} \frac{1}{(u(x) - u(y))^{p_2-p(x,y)}} \left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{u(y) + d}\right)^{p_2} \\ &\leq -\frac{c}{(1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')})^{\omega_p(r)}} \left(\frac{u(x) + d}{2(u(y) + d)}\right)^{p_2} \eta(y)^{p_2} K(x, y) \\ &\leq -c \left| \ln \left(\frac{u(x) + d}{u(y) + d}\right) \right|^{p_2} \min\{\eta(x), \eta(y)\}^{p_2} K(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $\frac{u(y)+d}{u(x)+d} \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,

$$\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{u(x) + d} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{u(y) + d} \geq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{u(y) + d}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{u(x) + d}{u(y) + d}\right),$$

hence, using this inequality, the first inequality in (4.12) and  $(u(x) - u(y))^{p_2-p(x,y)} \leq (1 + 2\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')})^{\omega_p(r)} \leq c$  by (4.9), and choosing  $\delta \leq \frac{p^- - 1}{2}$ ,

$$J \leq -c \left| \ln \left(\frac{u(x) + d}{u(y) + d}\right) \right|^{p_2} \min\{\eta(x), \eta(y)\}^{p_2} K(x, y).$$

By the symmetry of  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we have the same estimate for  $J$  when  $u(y) > u(x)$ . Therefore, we have

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq -c \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{B_{2\rho}} \left| \ln \left(\frac{u(x) + d}{u(y) + d}\right) \right|^{p_2} \min\{\eta(x), \eta(y)\}^{p_2} K(x, y) dy dx \\ &\quad + c \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{B_{2\rho}} |\eta(x)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}} - \eta(y)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}}|^{p(x,y)} K(x, y) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

We further estimate the second integral on the right hand side. Since  $|\eta(x)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}} - \eta(y)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}}| \leq c\rho^{-1}|x-y|$  for every  $x, y \in B_{2\rho}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.14) \quad & \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{B_{2\rho}} |\eta(x)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}} - \eta(y)^{\frac{p_2}{p(x,y)}}|^{p(x,y)} K(x,y) dy dx \\
& \leq c\rho^{-p_2} \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{B_{2\rho}} |x-y|^{-n+(1-s(x,y))p(x,y)} dy dx \\
& \leq c\rho^{-p_2} \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{B_{4\rho}(x)} |x-y|^{-n+(1-s_2)p_1} dy dx \\
& \leq c\rho^{n-s_2p_1+p_1-p_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We next estimate

$$I_2 \leq \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2\rho}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))_+^{p(x,y)-1} \eta(x)^{p_2}}{(u(x) + d)^{p_2-1}} K(x,y) dy dx.$$

Since  $u \geq 0$  in  $B_r$ , for  $x \in B_{2\rho}$  and  $y \in B_r$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(u(x) - u(y))_+^{p(x,y)-1}}{(u(x) + d)^{p_2-1}} \leq (u(x) + d)^{p(x,y)-p_2} \\
& \leq \begin{cases} [(1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \max\{1, d\}]^{p_4-p_3} & \text{if } p(x,y) > p_2 \\ \max\{1, d^{-1}\}^{p_4-p_3} & \text{if } p(x,y) \leq p_2 \end{cases} \stackrel{(4.9)}{\leq} c \max\{1, d\}^{p_4-p_3} \\
& \leq c \max\{d, d^{-1}\}^{p_4-p_3}
\end{aligned}$$

and for  $x \in B_{2\rho}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r$

$$\frac{(u(x) - u(y))_+^{p(x,y)-1}}{(u(x) + d)^{p_2-1}} \leq \frac{(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} + (u(y))_-)^{p(x,y)-1}}{d^{p_2-1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 & \leq c \max\{d, d^{-1}\}^{p_4-p_3} \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{B_r \setminus B_{2\rho}} \frac{\eta(x)^{p_2}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx \\
& \quad + c \int_{B_{2\rho}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \frac{[\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} + (u(y))_-]^{p(x,y)-1}}{d^{p_2-1}|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx \\
& \leq c \max\{d, d^{-1}\}^{p_4-p_3} \int_{B_{\frac{3\rho}{2}}} \int_{B_r \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s_4p_4}} dy dx + \frac{c\rho^n}{d^{p_2-1}} T(u_- + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}, r, 2\rho) \\
& \leq c \max\{d, d^{-1}\}^{p_4-p_3} \rho^{n-s_4p_4} + \frac{c\rho^n}{d^{p_2-1}} T(u_- + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}, r, 2\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, combining (4.13), (4.14) and the preceding inequality with  $I_1 + 2I_2 = 0$ , we get the desired estimate.  $\square$

The preceding lemma directly implies the next result.

**Lemma 4.15.** *Let  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  be a weak solution to (1.1) such that  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega')$  for some  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ . If  $r \in (0, 1)$  satisfies (4.9) and  $u \geq 0$  in*



$B_r = B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega'$ , then for any  $B_\rho = B_\rho(x_0)$  with  $0 < \rho < r/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\rho} |v - (v)_{B_\rho}|^{p_2} dx &\leq c\rho^{(s_1-s_2)p_1+p_1-p_2} + c \max\{d, d^{-1}\}^{p_4-p_3} \rho^{s_1p_1-s_4p_4} \\ &\quad + \frac{c\rho^{s_1p_1}}{d^{p_2-1}} T(u_- + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}, r, 2\rho) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c = c(n, s^\pm, p^\pm, \Lambda) > 0$ , where

$$v := \min\{(\ln(a+d) - \ln(u+d))_+, \ln b\} \quad \text{with } a, d > 0 \text{ and } b > 1,$$

and  $s_i$  and  $p_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) are given in (4.10) and (4.11).

*Proof.* We first notice that

$$|v(x) - v(y)| \leq |\ln(u(x)+d) - \ln(u(y)+d)| = \left| \ln \left( \frac{u(x)+d}{u(y)+d} \right) \right|.$$

From this inequality and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\rho} |v - (v)_{B_\rho}|^{p_2} dx &\leq \int_{B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} |v(x) - v(y)|^{p_2} dy dx \\ &\leq c\rho^{s_1p_1-n} \int_{B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^{p_2}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy dx \\ &\leq c\rho^{s_1p_1-n} \int_{B_\rho} \int_{B_\rho} \left| \ln \left( \frac{u(x)+d}{u(y)+d} \right) \right|^{p_2} K(x, y) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.8, we have the conclusion.  $\square$

*Remark 4.16.* The estimate in Lemma 4.2 with the “+” (resp. “-”) sign still holds for subsolutions (resp. supersolutions)  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  to (1.7). On the other hand, the estimates in Lemmas 4.8 and 4.15 with the “+” (resp. “-”) sign still hold for supersolutions  $u \in \mathbb{W}^{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; L_{s(\cdot), p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  to (1.7).

## 5. Local boundedness

In this section, we prove the local boundedness of the weak solutions to (1.1).

**Proof of Theorem 1.9.** Since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \omega(r) = 0$ , one can find  $r > 0$  satisfying that (4.3) and

$$(5.1) \quad \omega_p(r) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{s^-}{4}, \frac{3s^-(p^-)^2}{4n}, \frac{p^-}{4} \right\}.$$

Fix any  $B_{2r} = B_{2r}(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ , and set

$$s_1 := s_{B_{2r}}^-, \quad s_2 := s_{B_{2r}}^+, \quad p_1 := p_{B_{2r}}^-, \quad p_2 := p_{B_{2r}}^+.$$

Note that if  $s_1p_1 > n$  then  $u \in W^{\tilde{s}, p_1}(B_r) \subset L^\infty(B_r)$  by Lemma 2.4 and [24, Theorem 8.2], where  $\tilde{s} \in (0, s)$  is arbitrary satisfying  $\tilde{s}p_1 > n$ . Therefore, we assume that  $s_1p_1 \leq n$ .

We only prove  $\text{ess sup}_{B_{r/2}} u_+ < \infty$ . Then, since  $-u$  is also a weak solution to (1.7), we also obtain  $\text{ess sup}_{B_{r/2}} u_- < \infty$ .

Define

$$\sigma := \max\{2s^- - 1, \frac{3}{4}s^-\} \quad \left( \iff s^- - \sigma = \min\{1 - s^-, \frac{1}{4}s^-\} \right).$$

Then we immediately see that  $\frac{3}{4}s^- \leq \sigma < s^-$ . Moreover, by (5.1), we have  $p_2 - p_1 \leq \frac{3s^- - p_2 p_1}{4n}$  hence  $p_2 < (p_1)_{\frac{3}{4}s^-}^* \leq (p_1)_\sigma^*$ . Note that  $n - \sigma p_1 > n - s^- p_1 \geq 0$  hence  $(p_1)_\sigma^* := \frac{np_1}{n - \sigma p_1}$  is well defined.

Let  $r/2 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq r$ , and  $w_k := (u - k)_+$  with  $k \geq 0$ . Then by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r_1}} w_k^{p_2} dx &\leq \left( \frac{|A^+(k, r_1)|}{|B_r|} \right)^{1 - \frac{p_2}{(p_1)_\sigma^*}} \left( \int_{B_{r_1}} w_k^{(p_1)_\sigma^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p_2}{(p_1)_\sigma^*}} \\ &\leq c \left( \frac{|A^+(k, r_1)|}{|B_r|} \right)^{1 - \frac{p_2}{(p_1)_\sigma^*}} \left[ r^{\sigma p_1} \int_{B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_1}} \frac{|w_k(x) - w_k(y)|^{p_1}}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma p_1}} dy dx + \int_{B_{r_1}} w_k^{p_1} dx \right]^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate the double integral on the right hand side. Applying Lemma 2.4 to  $v = w_k$ ,  $\Omega = B_{r_1}$ ,  $s_1(x, y) \equiv \sigma$ ,  $s_2(x, y) \equiv s(x, y)$ ,  $p_1(x, y) \equiv p_1$ ,  $p_2(x, y) \equiv p(x, y)$ , and Lemma 4.2 to  $\rho = r_1$  and  $r = r_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\sigma, p_1}(w_k, B_{r_1}) &\leq c \varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_k, B_{r_1}) + c |A(k, r_1)| \\ &\leq c \frac{r_2^{(1-s_2)p_2}}{(r_2 - r_1)^{p_2}} \left( \int_{B_{r_2}} w_k^{p_2} dx + |A(k, r_2)| \right) + c \frac{r_2^{n+s_2 p_2}}{(r_2 - r_1)^{n+s_2 p_2}} \left( \int_{B_{r_2}} w_k dx \right) T(w_k, r_1, r_1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that when applying Lemma 2.4 we use the facts that  $r_1 \leq 1$  and  $d_1 \geq s^- - \sigma$ . Therefore, from the preceding two estimates and using the facts that  $\frac{r^{(1-s_2)p_2 + \sigma p_1}}{(r_2 - r_1)^{p_2}} \geq 1$ ,  $w_k \leq u_+$  and  $r/2 \leq r_1 \leq r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r_1}} w_k^{p_2} dx &\leq c \left( \frac{|A^+(k, r_2)|}{|B_r|} \right)^{1 - \frac{p_2}{(p_1)_\sigma^*}} \left[ \frac{r^{(1-s_2)p_2 + \sigma p_1}}{(r_2 - r_1)^{p_2}} \left( \int_{B_{r_2}} w_k^{p_2} dx + \frac{|A(k, r_2)|}{|B_r|} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{r^{n+s_2 p_2 + \sigma p_1}}{(r_2 - r_1)^{n+s_2 p_2}} \left( \int_{B_{r_2}} w_k dx \right) T(u_+, \frac{r}{2}, r) \right]^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $0 < h < k$ . Then we notice that for any  $\rho \in [\frac{r}{2}, r]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|A^+(k, \rho)|}{|B_r|} &\leq \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{A^+(k, \rho)} \frac{w_h^{p_2}}{(k-h)^{p_2}} dx \leq \frac{1}{(k-h)^{p_2}} \int_{B_\rho} w_h^{p_2} dx, \\ \int_{B_\rho} w_k^{p_2} dx &\leq \int_{B_\rho} w_h^{p_2} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_\rho} w_k dx \leq \frac{1}{(k-h)^{p_2-1}} \int_{B_\rho} w_h^{p_2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r_1}} w_k^{p_2} dx &\leq \frac{c}{(k-h)^{p_2(1 - \frac{p_2}{(p_1)_\sigma^*})}} \left[ \frac{r^{(1-s_2)p_2 + \sigma p_1}}{(r_2 - r_1)^{p_2}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(k-h)^{p_2}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{r^{n+s_2 p_2 + \sigma p_1}}{(r_2 - r_1)^{n+s_2 p_2}} \frac{T(u_+, \frac{r}{2}, r)}{(k-h)^{p_2-1}} \right]^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} \left( \int_{B_{r_2}} w_h^{p_2} dx \right)^{1 + \sigma_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_0 := \frac{p_2}{p_1} - \frac{p_2}{(p_1)_\sigma^*} = \frac{\sigma p_2}{n}.$$

Now we define for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$k_i := M(1 - 2^{-i}) \quad \text{with } M > 0, \quad \rho_i := (1 + 2^{-i}) \frac{r}{2}, \quad Y_i := \int_{B_{\rho_i}} w_{k_i}^{p_2} dx.$$

By (5.2) with  $k = k_{i+1}$ ,  $h = k_i$ ,  $r_1 = \rho_i$  and  $r_2 = \rho_{i+1}$ , we have

$$Y_{i+1} \leq c \left( \frac{2^i}{M} \right)^{p_2(1-\frac{p_1}{p_2}+\sigma_0)} \left[ 2^{p_2 i} r^{\sigma p_1 - s_2 p_2} \left( 1 + \frac{2^{p_2 i}}{M^{p_2}} \right) + 2^{(n+s_2 p_2+p_2-1)i} \frac{r^{\sigma p_1} T(u_+, \frac{r}{2}, r)}{M^{p_2-1}} \right]^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} Y_i^{1+\sigma_0}.$$

Fixed an arbitrary  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ . We choose  $M > 0$  such that

$$M \geq M_1 := \delta [r^{s_2 p_2} T(u_+, \frac{r}{2}, r)]^{\frac{1}{p_2-1}} + \delta^{\frac{p_2-1}{p_2}}.$$

Then we have

$$Y_{i+1} \leq c_0 \delta^{-p_2+1} r^{\sigma p_1 - s_2 p_2} M^{-p_2(1-\frac{p_2}{p_1}+\sigma_0)} 2^{\alpha i} Y_i^{1+\sigma_0}$$

for some  $c_0, \alpha > 0$  depending only on  $n, s^\pm, p^\pm$  and  $\Lambda$ . Furthermore, if we choose  $M > 0$  such that

$$M \geq M_2 := \left[ c_0^{\frac{1}{\sigma_0}} \delta^{-\frac{p_2-1}{\sigma_0}} r^{\frac{\sigma p_1 - s_2 p_2}{\sigma_0}} 2^{\frac{\alpha}{\sigma_0}} \left( \int_{B_r} u_+^{p_2} dx \right) \right]^{\frac{\sigma_0}{p_2(1-\frac{p_2}{p_1}+\sigma_0)}},$$

then

$$Y_0 = \int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}} u_+^{p_2} dx \leq c_0^{-\frac{1}{\sigma_0}} \delta^{\frac{p_2-1}{\sigma_0}} r^{-\frac{\sigma p_1 - s_2 p_2}{\sigma_0}} M^{\frac{p_2(1-\frac{p_2}{p_1}+\sigma_0)}{\sigma_0}} 2^{-\frac{\alpha}{\sigma_0}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.7 we get  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Y_i = 0$  which implies

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}} u_+ \leq M,$$

where  $M$  can be chosen as  $M = M_1 + M_2$  so that

$$M \leq c \left[ \delta^{-\frac{p_2-1}{\sigma_0}} r^{\frac{\sigma p_1 - s_2 p_2}{\sigma_0}} \left( \int_{B_r} u_+^{p_2} dx \right) \right]^{\frac{\sigma_0}{p_2(1-\frac{p_2}{p_1}+\sigma_0)}} + \delta [r^{s_2 p_2} T(u_+, \frac{r}{2}, r)]^{\frac{1}{p_2-1}} + \delta^{\frac{p_2-1}{p_2}}$$

for some  $c = c(n, s^\pm, p^\pm, \Lambda) > 0$ . □

*Remark 5.3.* In the above theorem, we can also obtain the following  $L^\infty$ -estimate:

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{r/2})} \leq c \left[ \delta^{-\frac{p_2-1}{\sigma_0}} r^{\frac{\sigma p_1 - s_2 p_2}{\sigma_0}} \left( \int_{B_r} |u|^{p_2} dx \right) \right]^{\frac{\sigma_0}{p_2(1-\frac{p_2}{p_1}+\sigma_0)}} + \delta [r^{s_2 p_2} T(|u|, \frac{r}{2}, r)]^{\frac{1}{p_2-1}} + \delta^{\frac{p_2-1}{p_2}},$$

where  $\delta \in (0, 1]$  is arbitrary. (Note that we can also have almost the same estimate in the case  $s_1 p_1 > n$  by using the same argument in the above proof.) It looks quite complicated. If we assume that  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is log-Hölder continuous on the diagonal region  $\{(x, x) : x \in \Omega\}$ , that is, it satisfies (1.8) without  $\omega_s(r)$ , then for every  $B_{2r} \Subset \Omega$  with  $r > 0$  satisfying (4.3), (5.1) and  $\omega_p(r) \leq \frac{\ln 2}{\ln(\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(u, \Omega) + \delta + 1)}$  we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{r/2})} \leq c \delta^{-\frac{p_2-1}{\sigma_0 p_2}} r^{\frac{\sigma - s_2}{\sigma_0}} \left( \int_{B_r} |u|^{p_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} + \delta [r^{s_2 p_2} T(|u|, \frac{r}{2}, r)]^{\frac{1}{p_2-1}} + \delta^{\frac{p_2-1}{p_2}}.$$

Moreover, if  $s(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv s$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot) \equiv p$  with  $sp < n$ , then we can choose  $\sigma = s$  in the preceding proof and obtain the last estimate without the term  $\delta^{\frac{p_2-1}{p_2}}$ , that is, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{r/2})} \leq c \delta^{-\frac{(p-1)n}{sp^2}} \left( \int_{B_r} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \delta [r^{sp} T(|u|, \frac{r}{2}, r)]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

This is exactly the same as the  $L^\infty$ -estimates for the nonlocal equations with constant powers obtained in [23, Theorem 1.1] (Note that the definition of the nonlocal tail in [23] is slightly different from the one in this paper).

*Remark 5.4.* Instead of the continuity assumption of  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  on the diagonal region in Theorem 1.9, we can assume that the oscillation of  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $\omega_p(r)$ , is sufficiently small. Precisely, we may assume that  $\omega_p(\cdot)$  satisfies (4.3) and (5.1) for some  $r < \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 6. Hölder continuity

Finally, we prove the local Hölder continuity of weak solutions to (1.1) by proving Theorem 1.10. Therefore, we assume that  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfy (1.8) in this section.

Fix any  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ . Note that since we have seen  $u \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  in the preceding section,  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} < \infty$ . Let  $\sigma = \sigma(n, \Lambda, s^\pm, p^\pm, c_{LH}) \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$  be a small positive number that will be determined later in (6.27) and (6.33). Suppose that  $r > 0$  satisfies (4.3), (4.9), (5.1),

$$(6.1) \quad r \leq \sigma^{\frac{s^+ p^+}{s^-(p^+-1)} - 1},$$

$$\omega_s(r) \leq \frac{\ln 2}{\ln(1/\sigma)},$$

$$(6.2) \quad \omega_p(r) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{s^- p^-}{2s^+}, (1 - s^+)p^-, \frac{\ln 2}{\ln([v]_{L^\infty(\Omega'; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} + 1/\sigma + R_{\Omega'})} \right\},$$

where  $R_{\Omega'} := \sup\{|x| : x \in \Omega'\} < \infty$ . Note that the above inequalities yield

$$(6.3) \quad \sigma^{-\omega_s(r)} \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad ([v]_{L^\infty(\Omega'; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} + R_{\Omega'} + 1/\sigma)^{\omega_p(r)} \leq 2.$$

Let  $B_r = B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega'$ , and define

$$(6.4) \quad K_0 := 2\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} + [r^{s_0 p_0} T(|u| + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}, x_0, r, r)]^{\frac{1}{p^- - 1}} + 1,$$

where

$$s_0 := s(x_0, x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad p_0 := p(x_0, x_0).$$

For  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , set

$$r_j := \sigma^j \frac{r}{2}, \quad B_j := B_{r_j}(x_0), \quad 2B_j := B_{2r_j}(x_0),$$

$$s_{j,1} := s_{2B_j}^-, \quad s_{j,2} := s_{2B_j}^+, \quad p_{j,1} := p_{2B_j}^-, \quad \text{and} \quad p_{j,2} := p_{2B_j}^+.$$

In particular, we write

$$p_1 := p_{0,1} \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 := p_{0,2}.$$

Note that by (1.8)

$$(6.5) \quad r_j^{-(s_{j,2} - s_{j,1})} \leq r_j^{-\omega_s(2r_j)} \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad r_j^{-(p_{j,2} - p_{j,1})} \leq r_j^{-\omega_p(2r_j)} \leq c$$

and that by (2.2) and (6.3)

$$\begin{aligned} T(|u| + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}, x_0, r, r) &\leq \left(1 + \frac{R_{\Omega'} + 1}{r}\right)^{n+s^+p^+} [v + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')}]_{L^\infty(\Omega'; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{R_{\Omega'} + 1}{r}\right)^{n+s^+p^+} \left( [v]_{L^\infty(\Omega'; L_{s(\cdot, \cdot)}^{p(\cdot, \cdot)-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')}^{p^+-1} + 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(6.6) \quad K_0^{p_2 - p_1} \leq K_0^{\omega_p(r)} \leq c.$$

Now we prove an oscillation decay estimate.

**Lemma 6.7.** *Under the above setting, we further suppose that*

$$(6.8) \quad s_1 p_1 < n + \frac{s^- p^-}{4}.$$

Then we have

$$(6.9) \quad \theta(r_j) := \sup_{B_j} u - \inf_{B_j} u \leq K_j := \sigma^{\alpha j} K_0 \quad \text{for all } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

for some  $\alpha = \alpha(n, \Lambda, s^\pm, p^\pm, c_{LH}) > 0$  satisfying that

$$(6.10) \quad \alpha \leq \min \left\{ \frac{s^- p^-}{2(p^+ - 1)}, \ln_\sigma \frac{1}{2}, \ln_\sigma \left( 1 - \sigma^{\frac{s^+ p^+}{p^+ - 1}} \right), s^- \right\}.$$

*Proof. Step 1 (Induction).* We first observe from (6.5) and (6.6) that

$$(6.11) \quad \sup_{x_1 x_2, y_1, y_2 \in B_j} K_j^{p(x_1, y_1) - p(x_2, y_2)} \leq \sigma^{-\alpha j \omega_p(r_j)} K_0^{\omega_p(r_j)} \leq r_j^{-\omega_p(r_j)} K_0^{\omega_p(r)} \leq c,$$

from (6.10) that

$$(6.12) \quad s^- p^- - \alpha(p^+ - 1) \geq \frac{s^- p^-}{2},$$

$$(6.13) \quad \sigma^\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(6.14) \quad \sigma^\alpha - 1 + \sigma^{\frac{s(x, y)p(x, y)}{p^+ - 1} - \alpha} > \sigma^\alpha - 1 + \sigma^{\frac{s^+ p^+}{p^+ - 1}} \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We prove the lemma by induction. Since

$$\sup_{B_0} u - \inf_{B_0} u \leq 2 \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_0)} \leq K_0,$$

(6.9) is true when  $j = 0$ . Now assume that

$$(6.15) \quad \theta(r_i) \leq K_i \quad \text{for all } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j,$$

and then we will prove that

$$(6.16) \quad \theta(r_{j+1}) \leq K_{j+1}.$$

Hence, from now on,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Without loss of generality, we shall assume that

$$\theta(r_{j+1}) \geq \frac{1}{2} K_{j+1}.$$

Then this and (6.13) imply that

$$(6.17) \quad \theta(r_j) \geq \theta(r_{j+1}) \geq \frac{1}{2} K_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^\alpha K_j \geq \frac{1}{4} K_j.$$

We notice that one of the following two cases must hold:

$$\frac{|2B_{j+1} \cap \{u \geq \inf_{B_j} u + \theta(r_j)/2\}|}{|2B_{j+1}|} \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\frac{|2B_{j+1} \cap \{u \leq \inf_{B_j} u + \theta(r_j)/2\}|}{|2B_{j+1}|} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

If the first case is true we define  $u_j := u - \inf_{B_j} u$ . On the other hand, if the second case is true we define  $u_j := \sup_{B_j} u - u = \theta(r_j) - (u - \inf_{B_j} u)$ . Note that in every case,  $u_j \geq 0$  in  $B_j$ ,  $u_j$  is also a weak solution to (1.1),

$$(6.18) \quad \frac{|2B_{j+1} \cap \{u_j \geq \theta(r_j)/2\}|}{|2B_{j+1}|} \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$(6.19) \quad \sup_{B_i} u_j \leq \theta(r_i) \leq K_i \quad \text{for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, j.$$

Finally, we define

$$(6.20) \quad d_j := \varepsilon \theta(r_j) \quad \text{with } \varepsilon := \sigma^{\frac{s_0 p_0}{p^+ - 1} - \alpha} \stackrel{(6.12)}{\leq} \sigma^{\frac{s^- p^-}{2(p^+ - 1)}} < 1.$$

Note that by (6.15) with  $i = j$ , (6.17), (6.11) and (6.3)

$$(6.21) \quad \max\{d_j, d_j^{-1}\}^{p_{j,2} - p_{j,1}} \leq c \varepsilon^{-(p_{j,2} - p_{j,1})} \max\{K_j, K_j^{-1}\}^{p_{j,2} - p_{j,1}} \leq c \sigma^{-\omega_p(r)} \frac{s^+ p^+}{p^+ - 1} \leq c.$$

*Step 2 (Tail estimates).* We first estimate  $\frac{r_{j+1}^{s_0 p_0}}{d_j^{p_0 - 1}} T(|u_j| + \|u_j\|_{L^\infty(B_j)}, r_j, r_j)$ . Here, we note that  $\|u_j\|_{L^\infty(B_j)} = \theta(r_j)$ . From (6.19), (6.15), (6.20), (6.17) and (6.11) with the definitions of  $u_j$ , the tail  $T$  and  $K_0$  in (6.4), we have that for every  $x \in B_j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_j^{-(p_0 - 1)} T(|u_j| + \|u_j\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}, r_j, r_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{d_j^{p_0 - 1}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^j \int_{B_{i-1} \setminus B_i} \frac{(|u_j(y)| + \theta(r_j))^{p(x,y) - 1}}{|y - x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0} \frac{(|u_j(y)| + \theta(r_j))^{p(x,y) - 1}}{|y - x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \right] \\ &\leq \frac{c}{(\varepsilon K_j)^{p_0 - 1}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^j \int_{B_{i-1} \setminus B_i} \frac{K_{i-1}^{p_0 - 1 + p(x,y) - p_0}}{|y - x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0} \frac{(|u| + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)})^{p(x,y) - 1}}{|y - x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \right] \\ &\leq c \varepsilon^{-(p_0 - 1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^j \sigma^{\alpha(p_0 - 1)(i-1-j)} \int_{B_{i-1} \setminus B_i} \frac{K_{i-1}^{p(x,y) - p_0}}{|y - x_0|^{n+s_{i-1,2} p_{i-1,2}}} dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sigma^{-\alpha j(p_0 - 1)}}{K_0^{p_0 - 1}} T(|u| + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}, r, r) \right] \\ &\leq c \varepsilon^{-(p^+ - 1)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^j r_i^{-s_{i-1,2} p_{i-1,2}} \sigma^{\alpha(p^+ - 1)(i-1-j)} + r^{-s_0 p_0} \sigma^{-\alpha(p^+ - 1)j} \right) \\ &\leq c \varepsilon^{-(p^+ - 1)} r^{-s_0 p_0} \sigma^{-\alpha(p^+ - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^j \sigma^{-s_0 p_0 i} \sigma^{\alpha(p^+ - 1)(i-j)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used the inequality

$$r_i^{-s_{i-1,2} p_{i-1,2}} = (\sigma r_{i-1})^{-s_{i-1,2} p_{i-1,2}} \leq (\sigma r_{i-1})^{-s_0 p_0} = (\sigma^i r)^{-s_0 p_0},$$

which follows from (6.3) and (6.5). Therefore, recalling the definition of  $\varepsilon$  in (6.20) and using (6.12) and the fact that  $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ , we get

$$(6.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{r_{j+1}^{s_0 p_0}}{d_j^{p_0 - 1}} T(|u_j| + \|u_j\|_{L^\infty(B_j)}, r_j, r_j) &\leq c \varepsilon^{-(p^+ - 1)} \sigma^{s_0 p_0 - \alpha(p^+ - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^j \sigma^{(-s_0 p_0 + \alpha(p^+ - 1))(i-j)} \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{-\frac{s^- p^-}{2}} \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

We next estimate  $r_j^{s_0 p_0} T(\theta(r_j), r_j, r_j)$  in a similar way. By (6.15) with  $i = j$ , (6.4), (6.6), and (6.11), we have that for every  $x \in B_j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_j} \frac{\theta(r_j)^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y-x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^j \int_{B_{i-1} \setminus B_i} \frac{\theta(r_j)^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y-x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0} \frac{\theta(r_j)^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y-x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \\
&\leq c \sum_{i=1}^j \int_{B_{i-1} \setminus B_i} \frac{K_{i-1}^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y-x_0|^{n+s_{i-1,2} p_{i-1,2}}} dy + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0} \frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y-x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \\
&\leq c \sum_{i=1}^j K_{i-1}^{p_0-1} r_i^{-s_{i-1,2} p_{i-1,2}} + c r^{-s_0 p_0} K_0^{p_0-1} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^j K_{i-1}^{p_0-1} r_i^{-s_0 p_0},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.23) \quad r_j^{s_0 p_0} T(\theta(r_j), r_j, r_j) &\leq (\sigma^j r_0)^{s_0 p_0} \sum_{i=1}^j [\sigma^{(i-1)\alpha} K_0]^{p_0-1} (\sigma^i r_0)^{-s_0 p_0} \\
&= \sigma^{-\alpha(p_0-1)} (\sigma^{\alpha j} K_0)^{p_0-1} \sum_{i=1}^j \sigma^{(s_0 p_0 - \alpha(p_0-1))(j-i)} \\
&\stackrel{(6.9), (6.12)}{\leq} \sigma^{-\alpha(p_0-1)} K_j^{p_0-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{-\frac{s_0 p_0}{2} i} \\
&\stackrel{(6.17)}{\leq} c \sigma^{-\alpha(p_0-1)} \theta(r_j)^{p_0-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Step 3 (Density estimates).* Define

$$(6.24) \quad v := \min \left\{ \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\theta(r_j)/2 + d_j}{u_j + d_j} \right) \right]_+, k \right\},$$

where  $d_j$  is give in (6.20), and a constant  $k > 0$  will be chosen later in (6.26). Applying Corollary 4.15 to  $a = \theta(r_j)/2$ ,  $b = \exp(k)$ ,  $d = d_j$  and  $\rho = 2r_{j+1}$  and  $r = r_j$  and setting  $\tilde{p}_{j+1} := \sup_{x,y \in B_{4r_{j+1}}} p(x,y)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{2B_{j+1}} |v - (v)_{2B_{j+1}}|^{\tilde{p}_{j+1}} dx \\
&\leq c r_{j+1}^{-\omega_s(4r_{j+1})p^- - \omega_p(4r_{j+1})} + c \max\{d, d^{-1}\}^{\omega_p(r_j)} (\sigma r_j)^{-\omega_p(r_j)s^- - \omega_s(r_j)p^-} \\
&\quad + c r_{j+1}^{-\omega_p(4r_{j+1})s^- - \omega_s(4r_{j+1})p^-} \max\{d, d^{-1}\}^{\omega_p(r_j)} \frac{r_{j+1}^{s_0 p_0}}{d_j^{p_0-1}} T(|u_j| + \|u_j\|_{L^\infty(B_j)}, r_j, 4r_{j+1}) \\
&\stackrel{(6.3), (6.5), (6.21)}{\leq} c + c \frac{r_{j+1}^{s_0 p_0}}{d_j^{p_0-1}} T(|u_j| + \|u_j\|_{L^\infty(B_j)}, r_j, r_j) \stackrel{(6.22)}{\leq} c.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$(6.25) \quad \int_{2B_{j+1}} |v - (v)_{2B_{j+1}}| dx \leq c.$$

By the definition of  $v$  in (6.24) and (6.18), we have

$$k = \frac{1}{|2B_{j+1} \cap \{u_j \geq \theta(r_j)/2\}|} \int_{2B_{j+1} \cap \{v=0\}} [k-v] dx \leq 2 \int_{2B_{j+1}} [k-v] dx = 2[k - (v)_{2B_{j+1}}].$$

This and (6.25) imply

$$\frac{|2B_{j+1} \cap \{v=k\}|}{|2B_{j+1}|} \leq \frac{2}{k|2B_{j+1}|} \int_{2B_{j+1} \cap \{v=k\}} [k - (v)_{2B_{j+1}}] dx \leq \frac{2}{k} \int_{2B_{j+1}} |v - (v)_{2B_{j+1}}| dx \leq \frac{c}{k}.$$

Here, we choose

$$(6.26) \quad k = \ln \left( \frac{\theta(r_j)/2 + \varepsilon\theta(r_j)}{3\varepsilon\theta(r_j)} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{\theta(r_j)/2 + d_j}{2\varepsilon\theta(r_j) + d_j} \right),$$

and assume that  $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$  satisfies

$$(6.27) \quad \sigma \leq 6^{-\frac{4(p^+-1)}{s^-p^-}} \left( \iff \ln 6 \leq \frac{s^-p^-}{4(p^+-1)} \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \right).$$

Then we see that  $\{v=k\} = \{u_j \leq 2\varepsilon\theta(r_j)\} = \{u_j \leq 2d\}$  and

$$k \geq \ln \left( \frac{1}{6\varepsilon} \right) \stackrel{(6.10),(6.20)}{\geq} \frac{s^-p^-}{2(p^+-1)} \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) - \ln 6 \geq \frac{s^-p^-}{4(p^+-1)} \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right).$$

Therefore, combining the above results we have

$$(6.28) \quad \frac{|2B_{j+1} \cap \{u_j \leq 2d_j\}|}{|2B_{j+1}|} = \frac{|2B_{j+1} \cap \{v=k\}|}{|2B_{j+1}|} \leq \frac{c}{k} \leq \frac{c_0}{\ln \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right)}$$

for some  $c_0 > 0$  depending only on  $n, \Lambda, s^\pm, p^\pm$ , and  $c_{LH}$ , but independent of  $\sigma$ .

*Step 4 (Proof of (6.16)).* Finally, we complete the proof by proving (6.16). Set

$$\tilde{B} = 2B_{j+1}, \quad \tilde{s}_1 = s_{j+1,1}, \quad \tilde{s}_2 = s_{j+1,2}, \quad \tilde{p}_1 = p_{j+1,1}, \quad \tilde{p}_2 = p_{j+1,2}, \quad d = d_j.$$

For  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i &:= (1 + 2^{-i})r_{j+1}, & B^i &:= B_{\rho_i}, \\ k_i &:= (1 + 2^{-i})d, & w_i &:= (k_i - u_j)_+, \\ A_i &:= \frac{|B^i \cap \{u_j < k_i\}|}{|B^i|} = \frac{|B^i \cap \{w_i > 0\}|}{|B^i|}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$r_{j+1} < \rho_{i+1} \leq \rho_i \leq 2r_{j+1}, \quad d \leq k_{i+1} \leq k_i \leq 2d \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq w_i \leq k_i \leq 2d.$$

We will prove that  $A_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , by choosing  $\sigma$  sufficiently small. Set

$$(6.29) \quad t := \frac{s^-}{2}.$$

Note that

$$n - t\tilde{p}_1 \geq n - \frac{s_1}{2}p_2 \geq n - \frac{s_1}{2}p_1 - \frac{s^+}{2}\omega_p(r) \stackrel{(6.2)}{\geq} n - \frac{s_1p_1}{2} - \frac{s_1p_1}{4} \stackrel{(6.8)}{>} 0.$$



By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.4

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{i+1}^{\frac{(n-t\tilde{p}_1)}{n}} (k_i - k_{i+1})^{\tilde{p}_1} &= \left( \frac{1}{|B^{i+1}|} \int_{B^{i+1} \cap \{u_j < k_{i+1}\}} (k_i - k_{i+1})^{\frac{n\tilde{p}_1}{(n-t\tilde{p}_1)}} dx \right)^{\frac{(n-t\tilde{p}_1)}{n}} \\
&\leq c \left( \int_{B^{i+1}} w_i^{\frac{n\tilde{p}_1}{(n-t\tilde{p}_1)}} dx \right)^{\frac{(n-t\tilde{p}_1)}{n}} \\
(6.30) \quad &\leq c \frac{\rho_{i+1}^{t\tilde{p}_1}}{|B^{i+1}|} \varrho_{t,\tilde{p}_1}(w_i, B^{i+1}) + c \int_{B^{i+1}} w_i^{\tilde{p}_1} dx \\
&\leq c \frac{r_{j+1}^{\tilde{s}_1\tilde{p}_1}}{|B^{i+1}|} \varrho_{s(\cdot),p(\cdot)}(w_i, B^{i+1}) + c \left( r_{j+1}^{\tilde{s}_1\tilde{p}_1} + d^{\tilde{p}_1} \right) A_i \\
&\leq c \frac{r_{j+1}^{\tilde{s}_1\tilde{p}_1}}{|B^{i+1}|} \varrho_{s(\cdot),p(\cdot)}(w_i, B^{i+1}) + cd^{p_0} A_i.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used (6.21) and the following estimate:

$$r_{j+1}^{\tilde{s}_1} = \sigma^{\tilde{s}_1(j+1)} r^{\tilde{s}_1} \leq \sigma^{s^-j} \sigma^{s^-} r^{s^-} \stackrel{(6.1),(6.10)}{\leq} c\sigma^{\alpha j} \sigma^{\frac{s^+p^+}{p^+-1}} K_0 \stackrel{(6.20),(6.17)}{\leq} cd.$$

We then estimate the double integral on the right hand side. Applying the first inequality in (4.4) to  $u = u_j$ ,  $k = k_i$ ,  $\rho = \rho_{i+1}$  and  $r = \rho_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varrho_{s(\cdot),p(\cdot)}(w_i, B^{i+1}) \\
&\leq \frac{c}{(\rho_i - \rho_{i+1})^{\tilde{p}_2}} \int_{B^i} \int_{B^i} \frac{\max\{w_i(x)^{p(x,y)}, w_i(y)^{p(x,y)}\}}{|x-y|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)-\tilde{p}_1}} dy dx \\
&\quad + c \frac{\rho_i^{n+\tilde{s}_2\tilde{p}_2}}{(\rho_i - \rho_{i+1})^{n+\tilde{s}_2\tilde{p}_2}} \left( \int_{B^i} w_i dx \right) T(w_i, \rho_i, \rho_i) \\
(6.21) \quad &\leq c2^{ip^+} r_{j+1}^{-\tilde{p}_2} d^{p_0} \int_{B^i \cap \{w_i > 0\}} \int_{B_{4r_j}(x)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\tilde{s}_2\tilde{p}_2-\tilde{p}_1}} dy dx \\
&\quad + c2^{i(n+sp^+)} d |B^i \cap \{w_i > 0\}| T(w_i, \rho_i, \rho_i) \\
(6.3)(6.5) \quad &\leq c2^{ip^+} r_{j+1}^{-\tilde{s}_1\tilde{p}_1} d^{p_0} |B^i \cap \{w_i > 0\}| + c2^{i(n+s^+p^+)} d |B^i \cap \{w_i > 0\}| T(w_i, \rho_i, \rho_i).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we also use the fact that  $\tilde{s}_2\tilde{p}_2 - \tilde{p}_1 < 0$  by (6.2). Moreover, for every  $x \in B^i \subset B_j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B^i} \frac{|w_i(y)|^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y-x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \\
&\leq \int_{B_j \setminus B_{j+1}} \frac{|w_i(y)|^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y-x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_j} \frac{|w_i(y)|^{p(x,y)-1}}{|y-x_0|^{n+s(x,y)p(x,y)}} dy \\
&\leq c \left( \sup_{x,y \in B^j} d^{p(x,y)-1} \right) \int_{B_j \setminus B_{j+1}} \frac{1}{|y-x_0|^{n+s_j,2p_{j,2}}} dy + T(\theta(r_j), r_j, r_j) \\
(6.21),(6.23) \quad &\leq cd^{p_0-1} r_{j+1}^{-s_j,2p_{j,2}} + cr_j^{-s_0p_0} \sigma^{-\alpha(p_0-1)} \theta(r_j)^{p_0-1} \\
(6.20) \quad &\leq cd^{p_0-1} (r_{j+1}^{-s_j,2p_{j,2}} + r_{j+1}^{-s_0p_0}) \stackrel{(6.3)(6.5)}{\leq} cd^{p_0-1} r_{j+1}^{-\tilde{s}_1\tilde{p}_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\varrho_{s(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot, \cdot)}(w_i, B^{i+1}) \leq c 2^{i(n+p^+)} d^{p_0} |B^i \cap \{w_i > 0\}| r_{j+1}^{-\tilde{s}_1 \tilde{p}_1}.$$

Inserting this into (6.30), we obtain

$$A_{i+1}^{\frac{(n-t\tilde{p}_1)}{n}} (k_i - k_{i+1})^{\tilde{p}_1} \leq c 2^{i(n+p^+)} d^{p_0} A_i.$$

Moreover, by (6.21) and (6.29),

$$A_{i+1}^{1-\frac{s^- p^-}{2n}} 2^{-(i+1)p^+} d^{p_0} \leq c A_{i+1}^{\frac{(n-t\tilde{p}_1)}{n}} [2^{-i-1} d]^{\tilde{p}_1} = c A_{i+1}^{\frac{(n-t\tilde{p}_1)}{n}} (k_i - k_{i+1})^{\tilde{p}_1}.$$

The preceding two estimates yield

$$A_{i+1} \leq c_1 2^{i \frac{2n(n+2p^+)}{2n-s^-p^-}} A_i^{1+\frac{s^-p^-}{2n-s^-p^-}}$$

for some  $c_1 > 0$  depending only on  $n, s^\pm, p^\pm, \Lambda$  and  $c_{LH}$ , and independent of  $\sigma$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.7 if

$$(6.31) \quad A_0 = \frac{|2B_{j+1} \cap \{u_j \leq 2d\}|}{|2B_{j+1}|} \leq c_1^{-\frac{2n-s^-p^-}{s^-p^-}} 2^{-\frac{2n(n+2p^+)(2n-s^-p^-)}{(s^-p^-)^2}},$$

then  $A_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  which implies

$$(6.32) \quad |B_{j+1} \cap \{u_j < d\}| = 0 \iff u_j \geq d = \varepsilon \theta(j) \text{ in } B_{j+1}.$$

Here, we assume that  $\sigma < \frac{1}{4}$  satisfies

$$(6.33) \quad \sigma \leq \exp \left( -c_0 c_1^{\frac{2n-s^-p^-}{s^-p^-}} 2^{\frac{2n(n+2p^+)(2n-s^-p^-)}{(s^-p^-)^2}} \right),$$

where  $c_0$  is in (6.28). Then (6.28) implies (6.31) hence we have (6.32).

Consequently, we prove

$$\theta(r_{j+1}) = \sup_{B_{j+1}} u_j - \inf_{B_{j+1}} u_j \stackrel{(6.19), (6.32)}{\leq} (1-\varepsilon)\theta(r_j) \stackrel{(6.15), (6.20)}{\leq} (1-\sigma^{\frac{s_0 p_0}{p^+-1}-\alpha}) \sigma^{-\alpha} K_{j+1} \stackrel{(6.14)}{\leq} K_{j+1}.$$

□

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.10. In fact, we almost prove the theorem in the preceding lemma.

*Proof of Theorem 1.10.* Let  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ . Fix any  $B_r \Subset \Omega'$  with  $r > 0$  satisfying the assumptions stated in the beginning of the section. Set  $\inf_{x, y \in B_r} s(x, y) = s_1$  and  $\inf_{x, y \in B_r} p(x, y) = p_1$ . If

$$n - s_1 p_1 \leq -\frac{s^- p^-}{4},$$

then one can find  $t \in (0, s_1)$  such that

$$n - t p_1 \leq -\frac{s^- p^-}{8}.$$

Then in view of Lemma 2.4 and [24, Theorem 8.2], we have  $u \in W^{t, p_1}(B_r) \subset C^{0, \beta}(B_r)$

with  $\beta = \frac{t p_1 - n}{p_1} \geq \frac{s^- p^-}{8 p^+}$  hence  $u \in C^{0, \frac{s^- p^-}{8 p^+}}(B_r)$ .

On the other hand, if

$$n - s_1 p_1 > -\frac{s^- p^-}{4}.$$

The preceding Lemma yields  $u \in C^{0, \alpha}(B_r)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  satisfying (6.10).

Therefore by standard covering argument we have that  $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\alpha}(\Omega')$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  depending on  $n, s^\pm, p^\pm, \Lambda$  and  $c_{LH}$ . Since  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$  is arbitrary, we have the conclusion.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. E. Acerbi and G. Mingione: *Regularity results for a class of functionals with non-standard growth*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 156 (2001), no. 2, 121–140.
2. E. Acerbi and G. Mingione: *Gradient estimates for the  $p(x)$ -Laplacean system*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 584 (2005), 117–148.
3. J. Bae, *Regularity for fully nonlinear equations driven by spatial-inhomogeneous nonlocal operators*, Potential Anal. 43 (2015), no. 4, 611–624.
4. J. Bae and M. Kassmann, *Schauder estimates in generalized Hölder spaces*, arXiv: 1505.05498, 2015.
5. R. Bass and M. Kassmann, *Harnack inequalities for non-local operators of variable order*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), no. 2, 837–850.
6. R. Bass and M. Kassmann, *Hölder continuity of harmonic functions with respect to operators of variable order*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 30 (2005), no. 7-9, 1249–1259.
7. A. Bahrouni: *Comparison and sub-supersolution principles for the fractional  $p(x)$ -Laplacian*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 458 (2018), no. 2, 1363–1372.
8. A. Bahrouni and V. D. Rădulescu: *On a new fractional Sobolev space and applications to nonlocal variational problems with variable exponent*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S 11 (2018), no. 3, 379–389.
9. C. Bucur and E. Valdinoci: *Nonlocal diffusion and applications*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, 20. Springer, [Cham]; Unione Matematica Italiana, Bologna, 2016.
10. S. Byun and J. Ok, *On  $W^{1,q(\cdot)}$ -estimates for elliptic equations of  $p(x)$ -Laplacian type*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 106 (2016), no. 3, 512–545.
11. S. Byun, J. Ok and S. Ryu, *Global gradient estimates for elliptic equations of  $p(x)$ -Laplacian type with BMO nonlinearity*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 715 (2016), 1–38.
12. L. Caffarelli, C. H. Chan and A. Vasseur, *Regularity theory for parabolic nonlinear integral operators*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (2011), no. 3, 849–869.
13. L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007), no. 7-9, 1245–1260.
14. L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *Regularity theory for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 62 (2009), no. 5, 597–638.
15. L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *Regularity results for nonlocal equations by approximation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 200 (2011), no. 1, 59–88.
16. J. Chaker and M. Kim, *Regularity estimates for fractional orthotropic  $p$ -Laplacians of mixed order*, arXiv:2104.07507.
17. J. Chaker and M. Kim, *Local regularity for nonlocal equations with variable exponents*, arXiv:2107.06043.
18. Y. Cheng, B. Ge and R. P. Agarwal, *Variable-order fractional Sobolev spaces and nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponents*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020), no. 7, 071507, 12 pp.
19. A. Coscia and G. Mingione, *Hölder continuity of the gradient of  $p(x)$ -harmonic mappings*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 328 (1999), no. 4, 363–368.
20. M. Cozzi, *Regularity results and Harnack inequalities for minimizers and solutions of nonlocal equations: a unified approach via fractional De Giorgi classes*, J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), no. 11, 4762–4837.
21. C. De Filippis and G. Palatucci: *Hölder regularity for nonlocal double phase equations*, J. Differential Equations 267 (2019), no. 1, 547–586.
22. A. Di Castro, T. Kuusi, and G. Palatucci, *Nonlocal Harnack inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal. 267 (2014), no. 6, 1807–1836.
23. A. Di Castro, T. Kuusi, and G. Palatucci, *Local behavior of fractional  $p$ -minimizers*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 33 (2016), no. 5, 1279–1299.
24. E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
25. M. Ding, C. Zhang, and S. Zhou, *Local boundedness and Hölder continuity for the parabolic fractional  $p$ -Laplace equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 60 (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 38, 45 pp.

26. X. Fan, *Global  $C^{1,\alpha}$  regularity for variable exponent elliptic equations in divergence form*, J. Differential Equations 235 (2007), no. 2, 397–417.
27. X. Fan and D. Zhao, *A class of De Giorgi type and Hölder continuity*, Nonlinear Anal. 36 (1999), no. 3, Ser. A: Theory Methods, 295–318
28. P. Garain and J. Kinnunen, *On the regularity theory for mixed local and nonlocal quasilinear elliptic equations*, arXiv:2102.13365
29. K. Ho and Y. Kim: *A-priori bounds and multiplicity of solutions for nonlinear elliptic problems involving the fractional  $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. 188 (2019), 179–201.
30. M. Kassmann, *The theory of De Giorgi for non-local operators*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (2007), no. 11, 621–624.
31. M. Kassmann, *A priori estimates for integro-differential operators with measurable kernels* (English summary) Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 34 (2009), no. 1, 1–21.
32. U. Kaufmann, J. D. Rossi and R. Vidal: *Fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents and fractional  $p(x)$ -Laplacians*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2017, Paper No. 76, 10 pp.
33. K. Kikuchi and A. Negoro, *On Markov process generated by pseudodifferential operator of variable order*, Osaka J. Math. 34 (1997), no. 2, 319–335.
34. M. Kim, P. Kim, J. Lee and K. Lee, *Boundary regularity for nonlocal operators with kernels of variable orders*, J. Funct. Anal. 277 (2019), no. 1, 279–332.
35. J. Korvenpää, T. Kuusi and E. Lindgren: *Equivalence of solutions to fractional  $p$ -Laplace type equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 132 (2019), 1–26.
36. J. Korvenpää, T. Kuusi and G. Palatucci: *The obstacle problem for nonlinear integro-differential operators*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 55 (2016), no. 3, Art. 63, 29 pp.
37. J. Korvenpää, T. Kuusi and G. Palatucci: *Hölder continuity up to the boundary for a class of fractional obstacle problems*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 27 (2016), no. 3, 355–367.
38. J. Korvenpää, T. Kuusi and G. Palatucci: *A note on fractional supersolutions*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2016, Paper No. 263, 9 pp.
39. J. Korvenpää, T. Kuusi and G. Palatucci: *Fractional superharmonic functions and the Perron method for nonlinear integro-differential equations*, Math. Ann. 369 (2017), no. 3-4, 1443–1489.
40. T. Kuusi, G. Mingione and Y. Sire: *Nonlocal self-improving properties*, Anal. PDE 8 (2015), no. 1, 57–114.
41. T. Kuusi, G. Mingione and Y. Sire: *Nonlocal equations with measure data*, Comm. Math. Phys. 337 (2015), no. 3, 1317–1368.
42. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya and N. N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
43. J. Lee, J. Kim, Y. Kim and J. Lee: *Multiplicity of weak solutions to non-local elliptic equations involving the fractional  $p(x)$ -Laplacian*, J. Math. Phys. 61 (2020), no. 1, 011505, 13 pp.
44. E. Lindgren: *Hölder estimates for viscosity solutions of equations of fractional  $p$ -Laplace type*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 23 (2016), no. 5, Art. 55, 18 pp.
45. C. Lorenzo and T. T. Hartley: *Variable order and distributed order fractional operators*, Nonlinear Dynam. 29 (2002), no. 1-4, 57–98.
46. G. Molica Bisci, V. D. Radulescu and R. Servadei: *Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 162. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
47. S. Nowak, *Higher Hölder regularity for nonlocal equations with irregular kernel*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 60 (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 24, 37 pp.
48. S. Nowak, *Regularity theory for nonlocal equations with VMO coefficients*, arXiv:2101.11690.
49. G. Palatucci: *The Dirichlet problem for the  $p$ -fractional Laplace equation*, Nonlinear Anal. 177 (2018), part B, 699–732.
50. X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra: *Regularity theory for general stable operators*, J. Differential Equations 260 (2016), no. 12, 8675–8715.
51. M. D. Ruiz-Medina, V. V. Anh and J. M. Angulo: *Fractional generalized random fields of variable order*, Stochastic Anal. Appl. 22 (2004), no. 3, 775–799.
52. L. Silvestre: *Hölder estimates for solutions of integro-differential equations like the fractional Laplace*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 55 (2006), no. 3, 1155–1174.
53. M. Xiang, B. Zhang and D. Yang: *Multiplicity results for variable-order fractional Laplacian equations with variable growth*, Nonlinear Anal. 178 (2019), 190–204.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 04107, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*Email address:* [jihoonok@sogang.ac.kr](mailto:jihoonok@sogang.ac.kr)