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Abstract

Let \( S \) be a numerical semigroup with Frobenius number \( f \), genus \( g \) and embedding dimension \( e \). In 1978 Wilf asked the question, whether
\[
\frac{f + 1 - g}{f + 1} \geq \frac{1}{e}.
\]
As is well known, this holds in the cases \( e = 2 \) and \( e = 3 \).

From Zhai’s results in [5] we derive
\[
\frac{f + 1 - g}{f + 1} > \frac{2}{e^2} \text{ for } e \geq 4.
\]

A numerical semigroup is an additively closed subset \( S \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) with \( 0 \in S \) and only finitely many positive integers outside \( S \), the so-called gaps of \( S \). The number of gaps is called the genus \( g(S) \), the largest gap is the Frobenius number \( f(S) \) of \( S \). The set \( E(S) = S^* \setminus (S^* + S^*) \), where \( S^* = S \setminus \{0\} \), is the unique minimal system of generators of \( S \). The latter are called the atoms of \( S \); their number \( e(S) \) is the embedding dimension of \( S \), the smallest atom is the multiplicity \( m(S) \) of \( S \).

In 1978, Wilf [4] posed the following question: Does one always have
\[
d(S) := \frac{f(S) + 1 - g(S)}{f(S) + 1} \geq \frac{1}{e(S)}?
\]

In this note we shall call \( d(S) \) the Wilf density of \( S \).

A positive answer to Wilf’s question is known in some cases, in particular for \( e = 2 \) [3] and \( e = 3 \) [2], the general case is open.

From now let \( S \) be a numerical semigroup, set \( e = e(S) \), \( f = f(S) \), \( g = g(S) \), \( m = m(S) \), \( d = d(S) \). In [5] Zhai has shown:

[5, Theorem 1]. If \( e \geq 2 \), then
\[
d \geq \frac{1}{e} - \frac{m - 1}{f + 1} \cdot \frac{e - 2}{2e}.
\]

[5, Lemma 4]. Given \( b > 0 \) real and \( e_0 > 0 \) integer, there are only finitely many numerical semigroups of embedding dimension \( e_0 \) and such that
\[
mb(e_0 - 2) > f + 1.
\]
We shall need another

**Lemma.** If \( e \geq 2 \), then
\[
e(f + 1 - g) \geq m.
\]

\[(4)\]

**Proof.** \( L := \{ s \in S \mid s < f \} \), \( \#L = f + 1 - g \).

Let \( m = a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_e \) be the atoms of \( S \).

If \( x \in X := \{ f + 1, f + 2, \ldots, f + m \} \) is not a multiple of \( m \), then there exists an atom \( a_i, i \geq 2 \), such that \( x - a_i \in L \). Hence
\[
\{ x \in X \mid x \text{ not a multiple of } m \} \subseteq Y := \bigcup_{i=2,\ldots,e} (a_i + L),
\]
consequently \( m - 1 \leq \#Y \leq (e - 1)(f + 1 - g) \). The claim follows from \( f + 1 - g \geq 1 \).

**Corollary 1.** Let \( b > 0 \) be real and assume that \( e \geq 4 \).

a) (cf. Zhai [5, Thm. 2]) If \( mb(e - 2) \leq f + 1 \) (which, by [5] Lemma 4] holds for almost all numerical semigroups with \( e \) fixed), then
\[
d > \frac{2b - 1}{2b} \cdot \frac{1}{e} \cdot \left( \sim \frac{1}{e} \text{ for large } b \right).
\]

\[(5)\]

b) If \( mb(e - 2) > f + 1 \), then
\[
d > \frac{1}{e(e - 2)b}.
\]

\[(6)\]

In particular for \( b = \frac{e}{2(e - 2)} \), in both cases we get the expected estimate
\[
d > \frac{2}{e^2} \text{ for } e \geq 4.
\]

**Proof.** a) Here, for the convenience of the reader, essentially we repeat Zhai’s original proof of [5] Thm. 2.

\[
d \geq \frac{1}{e} - \frac{m - 1}{f + 1} \cdot \frac{e - 2}{2e} \\
> \frac{1}{e} - \frac{m}{f + 1} \cdot \frac{e - 2}{2e} \\
\geq \frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{62e} \\
= \frac{2b - 1}{2b} \cdot \frac{1}{e}.
\]

b) The claim follows from hypothesis b) and the statement \( f + 1 - g \geq \frac{m}{e} \) from our lemma.
Corollary 2. We have even \( d > \frac{1}{6} \) for \( e = 4 \) and \( d > \frac{1}{10} \) for \( e = 5 \).

Proof. By [1, Proposition 3.13 and Corollary 6.5], in case \( f + 1 \leq 3m \), even \( d \geq \frac{1}{6} \) holds.

In the other case \( \frac{f + 1}{3} > m \) \( (> m - 1) \) one can simply replace \( m - 1 \) by \( \frac{f + 1}{3} \) in formula (2), this leads to the formula \( d > \frac{8 - e}{6e} \) \( (= \frac{1}{6} \) for \( e = 4 \) and \( \frac{1}{10} \) for \( e = 5 \)). \( \square \)
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