Markovian city-scale modelling and mitigation of micro-particles from tyres
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Abstract

Tyre emissions due to natural process of tyre wear are likely to become a major concern for regulators worldwide in the next few years. While efforts are underway to understand the tyre abrasion process, and to develop active systems to manage this process, the problem of developing models to predict the aggregate picture of a network of vehicles at the scale of a city, has yet to be considered. Our objective in this paper is to present one such model, built using ideas from Markov chains. Applications of our modelling approach are given toward the end of this note.

Introduction

The recent uptake in popularity in vehicles with zero tailpipe emissions is a welcome development in the fight against both traffic induced airborne pollutants. The deployment of such vehicles is consistent with the prevailing contemporary narrative which is heavily focussed on mechanisms to abate mobility related greenhouse gases and tailpipe pollutants; see [1] for a snapshot of some recent work across several disciplines on this topic. However, as vehicle fleets become electrified, non-tailpipe emissions (from tyres and brake disks) are likely to become a major concern for human health and is likely to be exacerbated by the transition to electric vehicles due to their heavier weight and increased torque capabilities [2,3].

The issue of emissions from tyre wear is in itself a very old topic. Somewhat remarkably, issues around the process of tyre abrasion, its impact on the environment and human health, and modelling and mitigation measures, remain both unexplored and poorly understood. The fact that the topic is relatively unexplored in the context of automotive engineering is quite astonishing given the rate at which tyre mass abrades and contributes to particulate matter (PM) in moving vehicles. PM is a generic term
used for a type of pollutants that consists of a complex and varied mix of particles. There is a growing and rich literature documenting the link between PM and its effects on human health [4–9]. Roughly speaking, smaller PM particles tend to be more harmful to humans compared to larger ones, as they can travel deeper into the respiratory system [6, 10–12]. Some of the health effects related to PM include oxidative stress, inflammation and early atherosclerosis. Other studies have shown that smaller particles may go into the bloodstream and thus translocate to the liver, the kidneys or the brain (see [13] and references within). According to the World Health Organisation, for PM$_{2.5}$, the daily maximum deemed safe level on average is 25 µg/m$^3$, whereas the annual maximum permitted level is on average 10 µg/m$^3$. For PM$_{10}$, the maximum permitted levels are on average 50 µg/m$^3$ and 20 µg/m$^3$ on a daily and annual basis, respectively. In general, based on these numbers, it is estimated that non-exhaust emissions (including brake and tyre wear, road surface wear and resuspension of road dust) resulting from road traffic, account for over 90% of PM$_{10}$ and over 85% of PM$_{2.5}$ emissions from traffic [14]. To parse these numbers in the context of a specific city it was recently estimated that approximately 186 kg per day of tyre mass is lost to abrasion in Dublin each day [2]. Recently, the issue of tyre generated PM emissions has become a topic of interest for several groups worldwide [15–17]. Roughly speaking, work on this topic has proceeded in several directions with work on the topic focussing on on-vehicle collection methods, on vehicle tyre-wear abatement algorithms, or estimating properties of tyre debris. For example, several of the authors of [3], the Tyre Collective, have constructed a prototype on-wheel system for collection of tyre debris. Other authors [18,19] have explored controlling the ride characteristics of a vehicle with a view to abating tyre emissions. A further approach in [3] explores access control mechanisms to manage aggregate tyre emissions in a geofenced area. Other notable work on the topic focusses on the particle size distribution of tyre generated PM, or to which degree this becomes airborne [8]. Currently available emission factors for tyre wear in literature gives estimates of vehicle emissions of between 0.005 – 100g/km and no reliable method to calculate tyre related PM or tyre wear (depending, for example, on the vehicle operation) appears to be available [14,20]. We are not concerned with such classifications. Non-exhaust PM may indeed become airborne or may fall to the ground. Typically, while research on emissions has focussed on airborne pollutants, the reality is that both outcomes are potentially problematic for humans. Particles that become airborne have the potential to contribute to poor air quality in cities with all the ensuing health consequences; those that fall to the ground have the potential to enter water systems and contribute to the general problem of environmental microplastic pollution. Our objective in this paper is to develop city-scale models of tyre pollution, both airborne and non-airborne, that can be used to inform policy makers in the fight to mitigate the effect of tyre abrasion. We have already mentioned that the issue of tyre wear is an old, but relatively unexplored topic, in automotive engineering. Nevertheless, given this uncertainty, given typical traffic densities, we wish to develop methods that indicate locations where large concentrations of tyre mass are likely to be found. We would also like to develop models where the complex relationship between speed limits, traffic signalling, and densities, can be explored from the perspective of tyre emissions. To do this we shall build on our previous work on Markovian [21] models of traffic networks. An important point to note in this context is that even though tyre emission factors are not well known (perhaps even unknowable), the qualitative aspects of the tyre abrasion process is understood (the qualitative effects of speed, acceleration, weight, road surface). This is important from the context of Markovian network emission models which, even though uncertain, do tell us where build ups are likely to occur and the importance of road segments from the context of road debris. We shall
show how such models enable a number of important applications in the fight against tyre dust; in particular how such models can be used to inform tyre dust collection strategies and to inform vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists.

Markovian models of traffic systems

The use of Markov chains for traffic congestion analysis was first proposed in [21]. Since then the idea has been developed and applied to other traffic related issues in a series of papers [22,23] and by other authors [24,25]. For convenience, we now briefly recall some of the background discussion on such models, while a more thorough explanation on such models can be found in the previous references. Traffic flows can be described through a Markov chain, which is a stochastic process characterized by the equation

\[ p(x_{k+1} = S_{ik+1} | x_k = S_{ik}, \ldots, x_0 = S_{i0}) = p(x_{k+1} = S_{ik+1} | x_k = S_{ik}) \quad \forall k \geq 0, \quad (1) \]

where \( p(E|F) \) denotes the conditional probability that event \( E \) occurs given that event \( F \) occurs. Eq (1) states that the probability that the random variable \( x \) is in state \( S_{ik+1} \) at time step \( k+1 \) only depends on the state of \( x \) at time step \( k \) and not on preceding values. Usually the Markov chain with \( n \) states is described by the \( n \times n \) transition probability matrix \( P \), whose entry \( P_{ij} \) denotes the probability of passing from state \( S_i \) to state \( S_j \) in exactly one step. Clearly the matrix \( P \) is a matrix whose rows sum to one (row-stochastic non-negative matrix).

Markov chains are particularly useful for traffic systems due to their close association with graphs (in the context of traffic road networks). Recall that a graph is represented by a set of nodes that are connected through edges. Therefore, the graph associated with the matrix \( P \) is a directed graph, whose nodes are represented by the states \( S_i, i = \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and there is a directed edge leading from \( S_i \) to \( S_j \) if and only if \( P_{ij} \neq 0 \). The strong connection between graphs and Markov chains manifests itself in many ways. For example, the notions of chain irreducibility and strongly connected graphs are enunciations of the same concept. More precisely, a graph is strongly connected if and only if for each pair of nodes there is a sequence of directed edges leading from the first node to the second one. Thus, \( P \) is irreducible if and only if its directed graph is strongly connected. The usefulness of Markov chains for road networks extends well beyond their close relation to graphs. In particular, many easily computable properties of the chain (from the transition matrix) also have strong physical interpretations. For example, for irreducible transition matrices, it is known that the spectral radius of \( P \) is 1. This fact is used in applications to detect communities in chains associated with transportation networks. Moreover, the left-hand Perron eigenvector \( \pi \) of the \( P \) matrix, that is \( \pi^T P = \pi^T \) such that \( \pi_j > 0, ||\pi||_1 = 1 \), yields a closed form expression for the stationary distribution of a random walker over the graph associated with the Markov chain. As such it has a strong connection to likely congestion locations in transportation networks. We shall exploit the Perron eigenvector in the present paper for the purpose of determining likely locations of high tyre emissions. Finally, two other quantities that are useful for studying graphs and which can be easily computed are the Kemeny constant and the Mean First Passage Time. The mean first passage time (MFPT) \( m_{ij} \) from the state \( S_i \) to the state \( S_j \) denotes the expected number of steps to arrive at destination \( S_j \) when the origin is \( S_i \), and the expectation is averaged over all possible paths following a random walk from \( S_i \) to \( S_j \).

If we assume that \( m_{ii} = 0 \), then the Kemeny constant is defined as

\[ K = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij} \pi_j. \]
Remarkably, the right-hand side is independent of the choice of the origin state $S_i$ [26]. An interpretation of this result is that the expected time to get from an initial state $S_i$ to a destination state $S_j$ (selected randomly according to the stationary distribution $\pi$) does not depend on the starting point $S_i$ [27]. Therefore, the Kemeny constant is an intrinsic measure of a Markov chain. Eq (2) emphasizes the fact that $K$ is only related to the particular matrix $P$ and it becomes very large if one or more of the other eigenvalues of $P$, different from $\lambda_1$, are close to 1.

The use of Markov chains to model road network dynamics has been described in detail in [21] and in many subsequent papers by other authors [24,25]. The resulting networks are fully characterized by a transition matrix $P$, which has the following form:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
P_{S_1 \rightarrow S_1} & P_{S_1 \rightarrow S_2} & \cdots & P_{S_1 \rightarrow S_n} \\
P_{S_2 \rightarrow S_1} & P_{S_2 \rightarrow S_2} & \cdots & P_{S_2 \rightarrow S_n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
P_{S_n \rightarrow S_1} & P_{S_n \rightarrow S_2} & \cdots & P_{S_n \rightarrow S_n}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(3)

The matrix $P$ is a square matrix whose size is given by the number of road segments. The off-diagonal elements $P_{S_i \rightarrow S_j}$ are related to the probability that one passes directly from the road segment $S_i$ to the road segment $S_j$. Importantly, the transition matrix can be very easily computed after gathering the average travel times and junction turning probabilities. In our models the diagonal terms are proportional to travel times. If travel times are computed for all roads, and they are normalized so that the smallest travel time is 1, then the probability value associated to each self-loop is

$$
P_{S_i \rightarrow S_i} = \frac{tt_i - 1}{tt_i}, \ i = \{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

(4)

where $tt_i$ is the average travel time (estimated from collected data) for the $i$-th road. The off-diagonal elements of the transition matrix $P$ can be obtained as

$$
P_{S_i \rightarrow S_j} = (1 - P_{S_i \rightarrow S_i}) \cdot (tp_{ij}) \ i \neq j,
$$

(5)

where $tp_{ij}$ is the turning probability (estimated from collected data) of going from road $i$ to road $j$ [21]. In the next section we shall explain how this basic transition matrix (3) can be modified to convert the model the evolution of tyre emissions in an urban landscape.

A Markovian Model for Tyre Emissions

It is reasonable to assume that the Perron eigenvector of a traffic congestion matrix also provides some relevant information about tyres’ emissions, simply because the entries of the Perron eigenvector report the average long-run fraction of time that a vehicle spends on each road. However, there is not a precise relationship in reality because, as we shall see, tyres’ emissions do not only depend on the amount of time that is spent along one road, but also on other quantities. For this purpose, as a first approximation to develop city-scale models of tyre pollution, we shall now describe how the number of tyre particles can be estimated depending on the vehicle’s speed, and how this information can be embedded in the Markov chain transition matrix.

As we have mentioned, tyre emission factors in the literature are characterised by huge uncertainty varying between 0.005 to 100g/km [13]. In any case such a simple characterisation of tyre based PM is not suitable to build a Markovian model of tyre emissions.
emissions. Rather, for our purpose, a tyre PM estimation model depending on a vehicle’s operation mode (for example, speed, acceleration, driving style) is required. To this end measurements from [28] show a dependency of the number of ultra-fine tyre particles $PN$ and the vehicle’s operation. As particles, irrespective of size, tend to be harmful to human health [29], we shall focus on the number of particles to evaluate the impact in the city network, and consequently utilise the measurements from [28] to estimate the number of particles. Interestingly this is also recognised by the latest EU legislative regulations which place a higher emphasis on the number of particles rather than particle mass or size distribution [30]. The aforementioned measurements from [28] show a linear dependency of $PN$ and vehicle’s speed $v$, as well as an approximately quadratic dependency of $PN$ and the forces on the wheels $F$. The combination of both curves leads to the following estimate of $PN$

$$PN(F, v) = (a_0 + a_1 \cdot F + a_2 \cdot F^2) \cdot (b_0 + b_1 \cdot v). \quad (6)$$

While it is not trivial to gather information about values of $F$, it is more convenient to express $F$ as a function of $v$, as aggregate estimates of $v$ are simpler to obtain. To do so, we make the simplifying assumption that all roads in the city network are flat and, for the sake of simplicity, accelerations are neglected. While the last assumption introduces some approximations in our estimates (accelerations would cause a higher number of tyre particles [6]), it is important to note that if city-wide accelerations can be measured and aggregated, then a more realistic and sophisticated model could be used to estimate tyre particles. In our case, the force can be approximated as a function of velocity as

$$F(v) = m \cdot g \cdot c_r + \frac{\rho A c_d}{2} v^2, \quad (7)$$

where the first term describes the rolling effect, while the second term takes into account the air drag resistance. In Eq (7), $m$ is the mass of the vehicle, $g$ the gravitational constant, $c_r$ the rolling resistance- and $c_d$ the drag resistance coefficient, $\rho$ is the density of air and $A$ is the front area of the vehicle. Numerical values for an average vehicle are given in Table 1. To estimate the number of tyre particles per driven km, (6) and (7) are combined as follows:

$$PN(v) = (a_0 + a_1 \cdot \frac{F(v)}{1000} + a_2 \cdot \left( \frac{F(v)}{1000} \right)^2) \cdot (b_0 + b_1 \cdot v) \cdot \frac{1000}{v}. \quad (8)$$

Note that the true process of generating tyre particles is also affected by other factors that we are not considering here, such as road surface, type of tyre, vehicle’s weight [31] etc. As a final comment, we further remark that Eq (8) gives the number of particles under rather approximated conditions and may underestimate the actual number of $PN$, as acceleration and braking events are neglected. However, as the Markovian models reveal densities, we expect these approximations to be reasonably accurate up to a scaling factor.

Table 1. Numerical values for parameters in $PN(v)$ [8].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>m/s$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_r$</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_d$</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The estimate of the number of tyre particles (8) is now used to convert the unit of time of the original transition matrix into a tyre particle, and a step in the Markov chain now corresponds to a unit of tyre emission. For this purpose, we change the diagonal entries of the transition matrix $P$ as follows:

$$P_{S_i \rightarrow S_i} = \frac{PN(v_i) \cdot l_i}{PN(v_i) \cdot l_i - 1}, \quad i = \{1, \ldots, n\},$$

where $v_i$ is the average velocity on the road segment $i$ and $l_i$ is the length of the corresponding road segment. Then, the off-diagonal elements are re-normalized as stated in Eq (5) to keep the transition matrix row-stochastic.

Applications

While the utility of Markovian traffic models has been documented in several publication, their utility in the context of tyre emissions has not yet been investigated. To illustrate potential applications of this approach in this context, we now first consider the same urban network that had been investigated in references [21,22]. This simple network is depicted in Fig 1 and assumes that two clusters of nodes A-B-C and E-F-G are connected through node D.

![Fig 1. Simple urban network.](https://www.eclipse.org/sumo/)

It is well known that changing speed limits may be a convenient policy to reduce urban emissions of pollutants. Here we compare what happens if different speed limits are considered in the whole network, and results are illustrated in Fig 2 in terms of the entries of the Perron eigenvector of the stationary distribution of tyre emissions. Recall, the entries of the Perron vector are those road segments where tyre emissions are most likely to accumulate (both airborne and on the ground).

As it can be seen from Fig 2 measured vehicle obtained from the mobility simulator SUMO (black solid line) are compared with the basic Markovian traffic model (blue solid line), for every considered speed limit. A very close correspondence between the simulator output and the Markov chain can be observed. In the same figure, we also report the distribution of different pollutants as estimated using the Markov chain of emissions [22]. In this case, it can be observed that for low speed limits the density of pollutants is proportional to the density of vehicles (where there are more vehicles, there is more pollution), however, when higher speed limits are considered, the proportionality is lost, and different pollutants exhibit different properties with different speed limits. This last comment is further illustrated in Fig 3 that shows the optimal value of the Kemeny constant as a function of speed limits. This last figure shows the non-obvious result that the “environmentally optimal” speed limit actually depends on the specific pollutant that one aims at minimizing. In particular, 40 km/h appears to be the best speed limit if one aims at minimizing CO emissions, 60 km/h is the best choice for minimizing CO$_2$ and tyre emissions (which is the specific objective of this manuscript), 100 km/h is the best choice for minimizing NOx and Benzene, while,
Fig 2. Distribution of emissions for the simple network with different speed limits in the entire network.

obviously, the maximum considered speed limit (i.e., 120 km/h) is the best option to minimize travel times.

![Distribution of emissions for the simple network with different speed limits](chart)

Fig 3. Kemeny constants for the simple network with different speed limits in the entire network.

Realistic Road Networks

Rather than the simple network previously illustrated, we now consider the artificial, but realistic, network shown in Fig 4, where we assume that vehicles are allowed to travel in both directions on each road. We simulate the traffic flows by considering the previously mentioned simulator SUMO (Simulation of Urban MObilility). SUMO has been developed at the Institute of Transportation Systems at the German Aerospace
Center and is an open source traffic simulation package that has been frequently used for large traffic networks. Once predefined start and destination roads are chosen, SUMO can automatically assign shortest routes to vehicles (e.g., minimum time routes) to the vehicles. After the simulation, statistics such as average travel times, average speeds, junction turning probabilities are available from SUMO for the whole network, and can be used to form the transition matrix $P$ of travel times. Then, the average speed model can be used to form the transition matrix of tyre emissions as explained in Section A Markovian Model for Tyre Emissions.

Fig 4. Realistic urban network.

A simple method to control emissions involves adjusting speed limits. More formally, it is possible to determine the optimal setting of speed limits in the urban network to minimize the total amount of tyre particles in the environment. One method to do this is by using the Kemeny constant $K$ as a measure of the average number of emissions associated with trips in the networks; namely, it is a single quantity of the Markov chain which can be interpreted as an indication for the pollution in the entire network. Accordingly, a lower value the Kemeny constant corresponds to a lower value of the emissions. As we have already observed in Section Applications, this may however be a tricky problem, since the optimal speed limits for tyre particles may actually increase emissions from other pollutants. In order to calculate the optimal speed limit for the network, simulations for different maximum speeds, which are 20, 35, 50, 65, 80, 95 km/h, have been conducted using SUMO. After simulating the network for these six different speed limits, and building the resulting Markov chain, six different Kemeny constants can be calculated for each type of pollutant as well as for the travel time. Fig 5 shows the Kemeny constants, normalized to fit the same graph. It can be clearly observed that the optimal speed limit varies again for different types of pollutants, confirming the results that had been provided in Section Applications for the simpler network. While low speed limits seem to be good for reducing CO and tyre particles, high speed limits would be better to reduce NOx and of course travel time. Thus, the selections of the “best” speed limits is not trivial, and policy makers should be informed about the optimal speed limits for different pollutants in order to make a decision.

Another potential application of our Markov chain is related to the tyre emissions footprint associated with specific routes. Active travel (cycling, walking) is experiencing a resurgence across the developed world as citizens abandon public transportation in response to health related concerns associated with Covid-19. Pedestrians and cyclists are extremely vulnerable road users and their exposure to traffic emissions far exceeds that of car occupants. Given this context our goal now is to use the Markovian model to find the minimum tyre emission route for cyclists in the network in order to reduce
the emission exposure and consequently the harmful effect of emissions for their health. Here, we are using the classic Dijkstra algorithm [32] to determine the best route, but different from traditional applications, we do not wish to minimize distance or time, but the exposure to tyres emissions. Thus, we associate each road segment with its corresponding entry in the Perron eigenvector (which we remind represents the normalized long run fraction of tyre emissions release along each road segment), and we use Dijkstra algorithm to find the best path. In addition to computing the minimum tyre emission route, one may also ask whether these best paths are sensitive to changing speed limits; namely, in other words, to know whether changing the speed limits in the network also changes the minimum path. Fig 6 compares the normalized tyre emissions along two possible paths, having the same specified origin and destination point, for a cyclists, as a function of speed limits. In particular, path \(A\) is the shortest path and the minimum emissions path when speed limits are between 20 and 80 km/h whereas path \(B\) becomes the minimum tyre emission path for higher speed limits.

**Tyre-dust collection**

We now present a new and somewhat unconventional application of the Markovian approach; namely, using the Markovian approach to inform the collection of tyre dust by road sweepers [33]. We have already mentioned that tyre particles are harmful to humans irrespective of whether they become airborne, or become part of ground debris. Ground debris is also very harmful to humans to the various pathways for tyre particles to enter the human food system; in particular, through city drainage systems. This latter aspect is important when collecting tyre particles prior to heavy rainfall events, or other severe weather events. In such circumstances, in a smart city context, it is important to collect as much tyre debris as quickly as possible. This is in contrast to how road sweeping currently takes place. Road sweepers usually follow pre-defined paths, trying to cover most of the city, but they typically do not take into account parts that would maximize the collection of tyre particles. In this context, our Markovian model has much to offer. Since the Perron eigenvector provides the long-run fraction of pollutants along each road segment, important information can be extracted to inform collection of tyre particles in an optimum manner. However, since our model is...
approximate, and uncertain, we propose to use our estimated chain to seed a learning based algorithm, using reinforcement learning, that will learn the routes that are most likely to have large quantities of tyre particles.

**Seeded Reinforcement Learning**

We now indicate how our Markovian approach can be used to design an efficient particle collection system using reinforcement learning. Reinforcement Learning\cite{34} is a machine learning strategy where agents, such as road sweepers, can explore an unknown Markovian environment, and learn optimal policies, such as the most likely route to find large quantities of tyre particles. Reinforcement learning in conjunction with our Markovian models is appealing for this problem for two reasons.

(i) Our Markovian could, in principle, be used as a basis for routing algorithms. However, the model is very uncertain, as it neglects several factors that affect tyre particle generation. Thus, using a learning strategy to tune the elements of the transition matrix to provide a basis for routing makes a great deal of sense for such applications.

(ii) In addition, as we have already mentioned, the Perron eigenvector of the tyre emission chain can be used to find the minimum tyre emission routes for cyclists and other vulnerable road users. However, the road sweeping problem is much more challenging if one wishes to find the maximum polluted routes. This problem is an example of longest path problems and these are known to be \textit{NP-hard}. While it is true that longest path problems can sometimes be converted into shortest path problems by negating the edge weights in a graph\cite{35}, this is only possible when the underlying graph does not contain any negative cycles. This is not common for road network graphs, thus further motivating our interest in reinforcement learning algorithms.

To provide a well posed setting for the reinforcement learning, we must first ensure that negative cycles in the graph are avoided. To avoid negative cycles in a graph, we add travel time/distance constraints to the longest path problem. Such constraints are very sensible for road sweeping applications due to limited battery capacities, in the
case of electric road sweepers. To this end, we combine the tyre emission graph with a
distance graph into one directed weighted graph \( G \). Recall that an entry of the Perron
eigenvector represents the normalized long run fraction of tyre emissions released along
the road segment assigned to that entry. The tyre emission graph is then obtained by
assigning negated entries of the Perron eigenvector to the corresponding edges in the
graph. The distance graph is derived from a road network where the edge weight
represents the length of road segments included in the corresponding state [36]. This
then turns our problem into a type of multi-objective optimisation problem. We use a
convex linear combination of the two objectives (travel distance and tyre emissions)
calculated by a quantity \( \alpha \) which is the weight of the distance component of the cost.
The corresponding weight function is described in Function 1 which returns the weight
of a given edge in graph \( G \). This weight represents the cost of traversing state \( s \) from
any other preceding state.

**Function 1** The Weight Function

**Input:** \( \alpha \in [0, 1]; \ s \in S; \ l_s, c_s, L_{tot}, C_{tot} \in \mathbb{R}. \)

**Output:** \( w_s. \)

1: \hspace{1em} \text{function} \ W(\alpha, s, l_s, c_s) \\
2: \hspace{1em} w_s = \alpha \ast l_s + (1 - \alpha) \ast \left( -c_s \ast \frac{L_{tot}}{C_{tot}} \right) \\
\hspace{1em} \text{return} \ w_s

**Notation for the Weight Function:** In Function 1 we have: \( \alpha \) is the distance weight,
a real number that satisfies \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \); \( s \) is a state in the state space \( S \);
\( l_s \) is the length of road links included in state \( \tilde{s} \); \( c_s \) is the amount of tyre particles emitted along the
road segments included in state \( s \) within some given time interval \( \tau \); \( L_{tot} \) is the sum of
the road lengths for a given road network; \( C_{tot} \) is the total amount of tyre particles
emitted through the entire road network within time interval \( \tau \). \( C_{tot} \) can be estimated
using some historical data, and we simply assume that \( C_{tot} \) is known.

Denote by \( \alpha_{min} \) the minimum value of \( \alpha \) such that the graph \( G \) does not contain
negative cycles for weights computed as \( W(\alpha, s, l_s, c_s) \), where \( c_s \) is the estimated
amount of tyre emissions along the road segments clustered in state \( s \) within time
interval \( \tau \). The value of \( c_s \) is the result of multiplication of \( C_{tot} \) by the corresponding to
state \( s \) entry of Perron eigenvector. The value of \( \alpha_{min} \) is determined empirically with
two decimal digits of precision.

Once a combined graph without cycles has been constructed, we then use a shortest
path algorithm to compute default solutions. To deal with values of \( \alpha \) such that
\( \alpha < \alpha_{min} \), some approximation techniques are required to find the maximum tyre
emissions routes subject to the distance constraints. For this problem, our preferred
approximation tool is reinforcement learning. The default solutions are then used as the
initial estimate for the reinforcement learning algorithm. Note that even though the
number of tyre particles is generally larger along longer routes, assigning very long
routes to the road sweepers would dramatically increase their travel time and may not
even be feasible due to battery constraints.

We employ reinforcement learning to amend the initial estimate whenever the
constraint of \( \alpha < \alpha_{min} \) is satisfied (note that the case \( \alpha = 1 \) corresponds to the shortest
path routing). The Q-learning algorithm proposed in [37] is utilised in this work. The
initial parameters [34] for the underlying Q-learning algorithm are obtained from the

\footnote{The road merging mechanism introduced in [36] is utilised.}
default solutions of the Markovian model. Actions of the agent (i.e. road sweeper) represent road directions, for instance, turn left/right. The goal of the agent is to find a route which maximises the total expected reward \[34\]. The reward function for this application is outlined in Function 2: it returns a reward at time step \(t\).

### Function 2 The Reward Function

**Input:** \(\alpha \in [0, 1]; t, H \in \mathbb{N}; s, s_D \in S; \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+\).

**Output:** \(r_t\).

1: \(\text{function } \mathcal{R}(s)\)
2: \(\text{if } s \neq s_D \text{ then } \downarrow \text{ Destination not reached yet}\)
3: \(\text{if } t \neq H \text{ then } \downarrow \text{ Time horizon not reached yet}\)
4: \(\text{Get the length } l_s \text{ of road links included in state } s.\)
5: \(\text{Get the estimated amount of pollution } \bar{c}_s \text{ in state } s.\)
6: \(\text{Measure the actual amount of pollution } c_s \text{ emitted in state } s.\)
7: \(\text{// Compute weights for state } s\)
8: \(\bar{w}_s = W(\alpha, s, l_s, \bar{c}_s), \ w_s = W(\alpha, s, l_s, c_s)\) \(\downarrow \text{ Calls to Function 1}\)
9: \(\text{else } \downarrow \text{ Time horizon reached}\)
10: \(r_t = -\beta_2\) \(\downarrow \text{ Penalty}\)
11: \(\text{else } \downarrow \text{ Destination reached}\)
12: \(r_t = \beta_1\)
13: \(\text{return } r_t\)

**Notation for the Reward Function:** The notation for Function 2 is as follows. \(H\) is the time horizon, i.e. the number of allowed transitions per episode/day. State \(s_D\) is the destination state. The parameter \(\beta_1\) represents a reward that an agent would receive if it reached the destination state. Finally, \(\beta_2\) is a reward given to the agent if it did not reach the destination within \(H\) times steps.

A realistic road network used in this experiment is depicted in Fig 7, for which \(\alpha_{\min} = 0.78\) was empirically determined. We design a simple illustrative experiment using the SUMO traffic simulator as follows. A single Q-learning agent, i.e. road sweeper, starting from the same origin O (see Fig 7) each episode/day is used. The agent has a fixed destination D to which it is asked to find the optimal route which (i) should be most polluted, and (ii) satisfies the distance constraint given by the parameter \(\alpha\). A road sweeper is released every time once per day. Regarding the design parameters in the reward function, the values of \(\beta_1\) and \(\beta_2\) were tuned to be 3 and 8, respectively.

Fig 8 illustrates the results of the this experiment for \(\alpha = 0.5\). The red line corresponds to the shortest path route, which was calculated using Dijkstra shortest path algorithm on the graph with weights computed using Function 1 for \(\alpha = 1\). The blue line corresponds to the route obtained from the initial estimate. Such a route was also calculated using Dijkstra shortest path algorithm on a graph with weights computed using the same weight function for \(\alpha = \alpha_{\min} = 0.78\). As it can be seen in Fig 8, the road sweeper uses the initial solution at the beginning of the learning process. The agent, however, can explore the environment by taking random actions and eventually improve the chosen sweeping path. As it can be observed, the agent is able to find much longer routes than the shortest path and default routes in a rapid fashion, and such routes are also more polluted with tyre particles. Even though routes with higher tyre emissions have been explored by the agent, it will not prefer them due to the distance constraint, and this Q-learning routing system eventually converges to the solution which is optimal for the selected value of \(\alpha\).
Fig 7. Realistic road network used in the experiments: an area in Barcelona, Spain. The road networks includes 153 road links which were clustered in 62 states. Fixed origin O and destination D are used in the experiments. Route marked with red is the shortest path route from O to D, the green route is the default solution, and the blue one represents a solution provided by the Q-learning algorithm.

Fig 8. Comparison of the shortest path routing, the initial solution and Q-learning solution for $\alpha = 0.5$. The green curve corresponds to the median value of 20 different realisations of the experiment. The error region indicates the confidence interval for the median.
From this experiment, we can draw a few important concluding remarks: (i) the RL agent does not have to explore the environment without any knowledge since the Q-learning is seeded with default solutions, and (ii) the system is indeed able to find the optimal solution, i.e. the most polluted route with tyre particles, without breaking travel distance/time constraints. Thus, the RL strategy is an attractive alternative to those tools that can be fragile, especially when dealing with longest path problems and large-scale scenarios.

Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced a model of tyre dust distribution in cities. A number of application use-cases are suggested that use the main features of this model. Future work will explore refinements of this initial model and its experimental validation.
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