

NON-NEWTONIAN APPROACH TO C^* -ALGEBRAS

NILAY DEĞİRMEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the non-Newtonian version of C^* -algebras. Further, we generalize some results which hold for the classical C^* -algebras. We also discuss some illustrative examples to show accuracy and effectiveness of the new findings. If we take the identity function I instead of the generators α and β in the construction of the set $\mathbb{C}(N)$, then non-Newtonian C^* -algebras turn into the classical C^* -algebras, so our results are stronger than some knowledge and facts in the most existing literature.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Grossman and Katz [1] introduced non-Newtonian calculus as an alternative to classical calculus in the period from 1967 till 1972. They defined an infinite family of calculus which includes some special calculi such as geometric calculus, harmonic calculus, bigeometric calculus, anageometric calculus. Since this structure has been developed very fast in recent times due to excellent applications in different fields of mathematical sciences including engineering, economy, biology, approximation theory, probability theory, weighted calculus etc., it has attracted considerable interest from many authors. There is a counterpart in each member of non-Newtonian calculus class of all structures used in classical calculus. So, there are many studies in the literature that extend some concepts in classical calculus to those in non-Newtonian calculus. Tekin and Başar [2] constructed the $*$ -complex field of non-Newtonian complex numbers which is of great importance for our this work and proved some basic properties. For other related studies on non-Newtonian calculus, we recommend [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

The theory of C^* -algebra is one of the most popular and important research areas in operator theory and functional analysis, which was studied by many mathematicians in a long period of time. It is well known that some related applications have been widely studied in various disciplines of mathematics, theoretical physics and noncommutative geometry. Some of areas studied on can be expressed as the theory of operators on a Hilbert space, statistical physics and quantum field theory, the theory of representations of groups and symmetric algebras and the theory of dynamical systems.

Now, we recollect some basic definitions, notations and results that will be used in other sections.

A complete ordered field is called an arithmetic if its realm is a subset of \mathbb{R} . A generator is a one-to-one function whose domain \mathbb{R} and whose range is a subset of \mathbb{R} . Let α be a generator with range A . We denote by \mathbb{R}_α the range of generator α . Also, the elements of \mathbb{R}_α (or $\mathbb{R}(N)_\alpha$) are called non-Newtonian real numbers.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05, 11U10.

Key words and phrases. C^* -algebra, non-Newtonian calculus, homomorphism, ideal.

Taking $\alpha = I$, the generator α generates the classical arithmetic and $\mathbb{R}_\alpha = \mathbb{R}$. Let α and β be arbitrarily chosen generators which image the set \mathbb{R} to A and B respectively and $*$ – ("star-") calculus also be the ordered pair of arithmetics (α – arithmetic, β – arithmetic). The following notations will be used. All definitions given for α –arithmetic are also valid for β –arithmetic.

	α –arithmetic	β – arithmetic
Realm	$A (= \mathbb{R}_\alpha = \mathbb{R}(N)_\alpha)$	$B (= \mathbb{R}_\beta = \mathbb{R}(N)_\beta)$
Summation	$y \dot{+} z = \alpha \{ \alpha^{-1}(y) + \alpha^{-1}(z) \}$	$\ddot{+}$
Subtraction	$y \dot{-} z = \alpha \{ \alpha^{-1}(y) - \alpha^{-1}(z) \}$	$\ddot{-}$
Multiplication	$y \dot{\times} z = \alpha \{ \alpha^{-1}(y) \times \alpha^{-1}(z) \}$	$\ddot{\times}$
Division	$y \dot{/} z = \frac{y}{z} \alpha = \alpha \left\{ \frac{\alpha^{-1}(y)}{\alpha^{-1}(z)} \right\} \quad (z \neq \dot{0})$	$\ddot{/}$
Ordering	$y \dot{\leq} z \iff \alpha^{-1}(y) \leq \alpha^{-1}(z)$	$\ddot{\leq}$

There are the following three properties for the isomorphism from α –arithmetic to β –arithmetic that is the unique function ι (iota).

1. ι is one-to-one.
2. ι is on A and onto B .
3. For all $u, v \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(u \dot{+} v) &= \iota(u) \ddot{+} \iota(v), \quad \iota(u \dot{-} v) = \iota(u) \ddot{-} \iota(v), \\ \iota(u \dot{\times} v) &= \iota(u) \ddot{\times} \iota(v), \quad \iota(u \dot{/} v) = \iota(u) \ddot{/} \iota(v), \quad v \neq \dot{0} \\ u \dot{<} v &\iff \iota(u) \ddot{<} \iota(v), \\ \iota(u) &= \beta \{ \alpha^{-1}(u) \}, \end{aligned}$$

Also, for every integer n , we set $\iota(\dot{n}) = \ddot{n}$.

A α –positive number is a number x with $\dot{0} < x$ and a α –negative number is a number with $x < \dot{0}$. α –zero and α –one numbers are denoted by $\dot{0} = \alpha(0)$ and $\dot{1} = \alpha(1)$, and the set of α –positive numbers is denoted by \mathbb{R}_α^+ (or $\mathbb{R}(N)_\alpha^+$). Also, $\alpha(-p) = \alpha \{ -\alpha^{-1}(\dot{p}) \} = \dot{-}p$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. An open interval on \mathbb{R}_α for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_\alpha$ with $a < b$ is represented by $(a, b)_N = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}_\alpha : a < x < b \}$. The α –absolute value of $x \in A$ is defined by

$$|x| = |x|_\alpha = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } \dot{0} < x \\ \dot{0}, & \text{if } \dot{0} = x \\ \dot{0} \dot{-} x, & \text{if } x < \dot{0} \end{cases}.$$

Let $\ddot{b} \in B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then, the number $\ddot{b} \ddot{\times} \ddot{b}$ is called the β –square of \ddot{b} and is denoted by \ddot{b}^2 . Let \ddot{b} be a nonnegative number in B . Then, $\beta \left[\sqrt{\beta^{-1}(\ddot{b})} \right]$ is called the β –square root of \ddot{b} and is denoted by $\sqrt[\beta]{\ddot{b}}$ [1, 3].

Let $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{R}_\alpha$. An infinite sum

$$a_1 \dot{+} a_2 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} a_n \dot{+} \dots =_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$

is called the non-Newtonian real number series or α -series. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is non-Newtonian real number series, then a sequence $\{S_m\}$ with the general term $S_m = \sum_{n=1}^m a_n$ is called non-Newtonian partial sums sequence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$. If the sequence $\{S_m\}$ is a α -convergent, then it is said that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is α -convergent. If $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_m = S$, then it is written $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = S$. If the limit $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_m$ is not available or equal to $-\infty$ or $+\infty$, then it is said that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is α -divergent [7].

The concepts of α -convergent sequence, non-Newtonian metric space, non-Newtonian completeness, non-Newtonian upper bound, non-Newtonian supremum, non-Newtonian open set, non-Newtonian closed set are discussed in detail in [1, 2, 3, 7, 8].

Let $\dot{a} \in (A, \dot{+}, \dot{-}, \dot{\times}, \dot{/}, \dot{\leq})$ and $\ddot{b} \in (B, \ddot{+}, \ddot{-}, \ddot{\times}, \ddot{/}, \ddot{\leq})$ be arbitrarily chosen elements from corresponding arithmetics. Then, the ordered pair (\dot{a}, \ddot{b}) is called as a $*$ -point. The set of all $*$ -points is called the set of $*$ -complex numbers (non-Newtonian complex numbers) and is denoted by \mathbb{C}^* or $\mathbb{C}(N)$ that is,

$$\mathbb{C}(N) = \left\{ (\dot{a}, \ddot{b}) : \dot{a} \in A \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \ddot{b} \in B \subseteq \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The set $\mathbb{C}(N)$ forms a field and a Banach space with the algebraic operations \oplus and \odot defined on $\mathbb{C}(N)$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus & : \mathbb{C}(N) \times \mathbb{C}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N), \\ (z^*, w^*) & \rightarrow z^* \oplus w^* = (\dot{a}_1, \ddot{b}_1) \oplus (\dot{a}_2, \ddot{b}_2) = (\dot{a}_1 \dot{+} \dot{a}_2, \ddot{b}_1 \ddot{+} \ddot{b}_2), \\ \odot & : \mathbb{C}(N) \times \mathbb{C}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N), \\ (z^*, w^*) & \rightarrow z^* \odot w^* = (\dot{a}_1, \ddot{b}_1) \odot (\dot{a}_2, \ddot{b}_2) = (\alpha(a_1 a_2 - b_1 b_2), \beta(a_1 b_2 + b_1 a_2)), \\ \|\cdot\| & : \mathbb{C}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, z^* \rightarrow \|z^*\| = \sqrt{\left[\dot{\iota} (\dot{a}_1 - \dot{0}) \right]^2 \ddot{+} (\ddot{b}_1 - \ddot{0})^2} = \beta \left(\sqrt{a_1^2 + b_1^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z^* = (\dot{a}_1, \ddot{b}_1), w^* = (\dot{a}_2, \ddot{b}_2) \in \mathbb{C}(N)$ where $0_{\mathbb{C}(N)} = (\dot{0}, \ddot{0})$. The set $\mathbb{C}(N)$ is also called $*$ -complex field. In addition, $\|z^* \odot w^*\| = \|z^*\| \ddot{\times} \|w^*\|$ for all $z^*, w^* \in \mathbb{C}(N)$ [2].

The $*$ -complex conjugate $\overline{z^*}$ of a $*$ -complex number $z^* = (\dot{a}, \ddot{b}) \in \mathbb{C}(N)$ is defined by

$$\overline{z^*} = (\alpha(a), \beta \{ -\beta^{-1}(\ddot{b}) \}) = (\dot{a}, \ddot{-b})$$

and $\|z^* \odot \overline{z^*}\| = \|z^*\|^2$ [5].

For $z^* = (\dot{a}, \ddot{b}) \in \mathbb{C}(N)$ and $w^* = (\dot{c}, \ddot{d}) \in \mathbb{C}(N) - \{0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}\}$, the $*$ -division $z \oslash w$ is defined by

$$z^* \oslash w^* = (\dot{a}, \ddot{b}) \oslash (\dot{c}, \ddot{d}) = \left(\alpha \left\{ \frac{ac + bd}{c^2 + d^2} \right\}, \beta \left\{ \frac{bc - ad}{c^2 + d^2} \right\} \right)$$

[5].

By simple calculations, it can be shown that $\overline{z^* \oslash w^*} = \overline{z^*} \oslash \overline{w^*}$ for $z^* = (\dot{a}, \ddot{b}) \in \mathbb{C}(N)$ and $w^* = (\dot{c}, \ddot{d}) \in \mathbb{C}(N) - \{0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}\}$ which is used in Proposition 4 ii). Also, we can denote non-Newtonian complex number $z^* = (\dot{a}, \ddot{b})$ by $(\dot{a}, \ddot{0}) \oplus_{i_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \odot (\dot{0}, \ddot{b})$ where $i_{\mathbb{C}(N)} = (\dot{0}, \ddot{1})$, $(i_{\mathbb{C}(N)})^2 = \ominus 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)} = \ominus (\dot{1}, \ddot{0})$.

Definition 1. A conjugate-linear map $x \rightarrow x^*$ of a complex algebra \mathbb{A} into \mathbb{A} is called an involution on \mathbb{A} if the following properties hold for all $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$:

- (ii) $(x^*)^* = x$,
- (iii) $(xy)^* = y^* x^*$.

The pair $(\mathbb{A}, *)$ is called an $*$ -algebra. A Banach $*$ -algebra is a $*$ -algebra \mathbb{A} together with a complete submultiplicative norm such that $\|x^*\| = \|x\|$ for $x \in \mathbb{A}$. If, in addition, \mathbb{A} has a unit such that $\|1\| = 1$, we call \mathbb{A} a unital Banach $*$ -algebra. A C^* -algebra is a Banach $*$ -algebra \mathbb{A} such that for all x in \mathbb{A}

$$\|x^* x\| = \|x\|^2$$

[9].

Motivated by these facts, our purpose in the current study is to introduce the concept of a C^* -algebra with respect to the $*$ -calculus using $*$ -complex field $\mathbb{C}(N)$ instead of complex field \mathbb{C} in its classical definition and is to give some of its properties. Also, we construct some nontrivial examples to validate our hypotheses and to verify the usability of our findings. Comparing with works with respect to C^* -algebras in the literature, we believe that our results will create new and different perspective in functional analysis and will serve as an introduction and standard reference for the specialized articles in the future works and applications on current research topics in the subject.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In the literature we have observed that some topological concepts have not been defined for $*$ -complex field $\mathbb{C}(N)$ in the sense of $*$ -calculus. Therefore, before giving our main findings, let us first introduce some of the concepts which will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2. δ N -neighborhood of a $*$ -point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}(N)$ is the set of all $*$ -complex numbers z such that $\|z \ominus z_0\| \ll \delta$ where δ is any given β -positive number. A deleted δ N -neighborhood of z_0 is omitted, that is, $\ddot{0} \ll \|z \ominus z_0\| \ll \delta$.

Definition 3. Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}(N)$. A $*$ -point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}(N)$ is said to be an N -interior point of a set S if there exists a δ N -neighborhood of z_0 all of whose $*$ -points belong to S . If every $*$ -point z of a set S is an N -interior point, then S is said to be an N -open set.

Definition 4. Let $A = \{A_i : i \in I\}$ be family of N -open sets at $\mathbb{C}(N)$. If $E \subset \mathbb{C}(N)$ and $E \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$, then the family A is called an N -open cover of the set E . If $I_0 \subset I$ is a finite subset and $E \subset \bigcup_{i \in I_0} A_i$, then the family $A_0 = \{A_i : i \in I_0\}$ is called a finite N -subcover of the set E .

Definition 5. A set $E \subset \mathbb{C}(N)$ is said to be $*$ -compact if every N -open cover of A has a finite N -subcover.

Definition 6. A $*$ -complex function is a function f whose domain and range are subsets of the set $\mathbb{C}(N)$ of $*$ -complex numbers.

Definition 7. Let f be a $*$ -continuous function defined in a deleted N -neighborhood of z_0 and $L \in \mathbb{C}(N)$. The N -limit of f as z tends to z_0 exists and is equal to L , written as $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = L$, if for every $\varepsilon \succ \ddot{0}$, there exists a $\delta \succ \ddot{0}$, such that $\|f(z) \ominus L\| \prec \varepsilon$ whenever $\ddot{0} \prec \|z \ominus z_0\| \prec \delta$.

Definition 8. A $*$ -complex function f is continuous at a point z_0 if $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = f(z_0)$.

In the later sections, we need the concept of a vector space constructed on $*$ -complex field $\mathbb{C}(N)$ to define the concepts of an algebra, a Banach algebra and a C^* -algebra in the sense of $*$ -calculus.

Kadak and Efe [10] gave the definition of a vector space over the non-Newtonian field as follows:

Let \mathbb{F}^* denotes either the non-Newtonian real field $\mathbb{R}(N)$ or the non-Newtonian complex field \mathbb{C}^* . A non-Newtonian vector space (N -vector space) over the field \mathbb{F}^* is a set V on which two operations are defined, called $*$ -addition and scalar $*$ -multiplication, denoted by $+$ and \times by

$$\begin{aligned} + & : V \times V \rightarrow V \\ (u, v) & \rightarrow u + v = (\dot{u}_1 \dot{+} \dot{v}_1, \ddot{u}_2 \dot{+} \ddot{v}_2), \\ \times & : \mathbb{F}^* \times V \rightarrow V \\ (\lambda, u) & \rightarrow \lambda \times u = (\dot{\lambda} \dot{\times} \dot{u}_1, \ddot{\lambda} \ddot{\times} \ddot{u}_2), \end{aligned}$$

where the $*$ -vectors are $u = (\dot{u}_1, \ddot{u}_2)$, $v = (\dot{v}_1, \ddot{v}_2) \in X$ and the scalar $\lambda = (\dot{\lambda}, \ddot{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then the operations must satisfy the following conditions.

(i) *Closure.* For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and all $u, v \in V$, the $*$ -sum $u + v$ and the scalar $*$ -product $\lambda \times v$ are uniquely defined and belong to V .

(ii) *Associativity.* For all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and all $u, v, w \in V$ then $u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w$ and $\xi \times (\eta \times v) = (\xi \times \eta) \times v$.

(iii) *Additive Commutativity.* For all $u, v \in V$ then $u + v = v + u$.

(iv) *Additive Identity.* The set V contains an additive $*$ -identity element, denoted by $\theta_A = (\dot{0}, \ddot{0})$, such that for all $u \in V$, $u + \theta_A = u$.

(v) *Additive Inverse.* The set V contains an additive $*$ -inverse element, denoted by $u_+^{-1} = (\dot{-}u_1, \ddot{-}u_2) \in V$, such that for all $u \in V$, $u + u_+^{-1} = u_+^{-1} + u = \theta_A$.

(vi) *Multiplicative Identity.* The set V contains a multiplicative $*$ -identity element, denoted by $\theta_M = (\dot{1}, \ddot{0})$, such that for all $u \in V$, $u \times \theta_M = u$.

(vii) *Multiplicative Inverse.* The set V contains a multiplicative $*$ -inverse element, denoted by $u_{\times}^{-1} = (\dot{m}, \dot{n}) \in V$, where $\dot{m} = \alpha \{u_1 / (u_1^2 + u_2^2)\}$ and $\dot{n} = \beta \{-u_2 / (u_1^2 + u_2^2)\}$ such that for all $u \in V$, $u \times u_{\times}^{-1} = u_{\times}^{-1} \times u = \theta_M$.

(viii) *Distributive Laws.* For all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and all $u, v \in V$ then $\xi \times (u + v) = (\xi \times u) + (\xi \times v)$ and $(\xi + \eta) \times u = (\xi \times u) + (\eta \times u)$.

In this definition, it has been noticed that the $*$ -points (\dot{a}, \dot{b}) of the $*$ -complex field $\mathbb{C}(N)$ with $a = b$ are considered for the definition of a vector space over $\mathbb{C}(N)$, that is, the concept of a vector space is constructed on the subset of $*$ -complex field $\mathbb{C}(N)$ consisting of points (\dot{a}, \dot{b}) where $a = b$. So, we need to redefine the concept as follows:

Definition 9. *A non-Newtonian vector space over the field $\mathbb{C}(N)$ ($\mathbb{C}(N)$ -vector space) is a set \mathbb{A} together with the following operations*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{+} & : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, (x, y) \rightarrow x\hat{+}y \\ \hat{\cdot} & : \mathbb{C}(N) \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, (\lambda, x) \rightarrow \lambda\hat{\cdot}x \end{aligned}$$

called N -addition and N -scalar multiplication, respectively, such that

- (i) $x\hat{+}y = y\hat{+}x$ for all $x, y \in A$,
- (ii) $(x\hat{+}y)\hat{+}z = x\hat{+}(y\hat{+}z)$ for all $x, y, z \in A$,
- (iii) there exists a vector $0_{\mathbb{A}}$ in A such that $x\hat{+}0_{\mathbb{A}} = x$ for all $x \in A$,
- (iv) for each $x \in A$, there exists a vector $\hat{-}x$ in A such that $x\hat{+}(\hat{-}x) = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$,
- (v) $\lambda\hat{\cdot}(x\hat{+}y) = \lambda\hat{\cdot}x\hat{+}\lambda\hat{\cdot}y$ for all $x, y \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$,
- (vi) $(\lambda \oplus \mu)\hat{\cdot}x = \lambda\hat{\cdot}x\hat{+}\mu\hat{\cdot}y$ for all $x \in A$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}(N)$,
- (vii) $(\lambda \odot \mu)\hat{\cdot}x = \lambda\hat{\cdot}(\mu\hat{\cdot}x)$ for all $x \in A$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}(N)$,
- (viii) $1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}\hat{\cdot}x = x$ for all $x \in A$.

Similarly, it can be simply defined the concept of a normed space over $*$ -complex field $\mathbb{C}(N)$ using \mathbb{R}_{β} -valued norm $\ddot{\|} \cdot \ddot{\|}$ instead of real valued norm $\|\cdot\|$ in its classical definition, as follows:

Definition 10. *Let \mathbb{A} be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -vector space. A norm on \mathbb{A} is a function $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\beta}$ such that the following axioms are satisfied for all $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$:*

- (i) $\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \ddot{0}$ implies $x = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$.
- (ii) $\|\lambda\hat{\cdot}x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \ddot{\|} \lambda \ddot{\|} \times \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.
- (iii) $\|x\hat{+}y\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} \hat{+} \|y\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Also, A is called a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -normed space.

Remark 1. *Each $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -normed space $(\mathbb{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}})$ is a non-Newtonian metric space (\mathbb{A}, d) with a non-Newtonian metric given by $d(x, y) = \|x\hat{-}y\|_{\mathbb{A}}$. All concepts from non-Newtonian metric spaces are applicable to $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -normed spaces.*

We will use the following new concepts and properties in next section.

Definition 11. *Let $(\mathbb{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}})$ be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -normed space, (x_n) be any sequence in \mathbb{A} and $x \in \mathbb{A}$. If for every $\varepsilon \succ \ddot{0}$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on ε such that $\|x_n\hat{-}x\|_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$ then we say that (x_n) converges to x with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.*

If for every $\varepsilon \succ \ddot{0}$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on ε such that $\|x_n \hat{-} x_m\|_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$ then we say that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Definition 12. Let \mathbb{A} be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -normed space. If every Cauchy sequence $(x_n) \subset \mathbb{A}$ converges to the limit in \mathbb{A} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ we say that \mathbb{A} is complete with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$. A complete $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -normed space is called $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -Banach space.

Definition 13. Let \mathbb{A} be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -normed space and (x_n) be any sequence in \mathbb{A} . An infinite sum

$$x_1 \hat{+} x_2 \hat{+} \dots \hat{+} x_n \hat{+} \dots = \widehat{\sum}_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$$

is called the series in \mathbb{A} . A sequence (S_m) with the general term $S_m = \widehat{\sum}_{n=1}^m x_n$ is called partial sums sequence of the series $\widehat{\sum}_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$. If the sequence (S_m) converges to some $S \in \mathbb{A}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$, then it is said that the series $\widehat{\sum}_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges to $S \in \mathbb{A}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Definition 14. Let \mathbb{A} be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -normed space and $\widehat{\sum}_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ be any series in \mathbb{A} . If the β -series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ is β -convergent, we say that $\widehat{\sum}_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is absolutely convergent in \mathbb{A} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Theorem 1. A $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -normed space \mathbb{A} is a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -Banach space if and only if every absolutely convergent series in \mathbb{A} is convergent in \mathbb{A} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Proof. Let \mathbb{A} be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -Banach space and $\widehat{\sum}_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ be absolutely convergent in \mathbb{A} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$. Given $\varepsilon \succ \ddot{0}$, choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \|x_n\|_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \varepsilon$. This implies that

$$\|S_n \hat{-} S_m\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m x_k \right\|_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq_{\beta} \sum_{k=n+1}^m \|x_k\|_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \varepsilon$$

for all $n, m \geq n_0$ where $n < m$. Thus, (S_n) is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ and so it converges to some $S \in \mathbb{A}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Then, the series $\widehat{\sum}_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges to $S \in \mathbb{A}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Conversely, suppose that every absolutely convergent series in \mathbb{A} is convergent in \mathbb{A} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$. Let (x_n) be any Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$. Then, we can choose $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n_k < n_{k+1}, \quad \|x_{n_k} \hat{-} x_{n_{k+1}}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \frac{\ddot{1}}{2^{(k)}_{\beta}} \beta$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that the series $x_{n_1} \hat{+} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_{n_{k+1}} \hat{-} x_{n_k})$ is absolutely convergent with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ since $\beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{(k)\beta}} \beta$ is β -convergent by Example 1 in [7], and so it converges to some $x \in \mathbb{A}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Therefore, we write $x_{n_k} = x_{n_1} \hat{+} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (x_{n_{j+1}} \hat{-} x_{n_j})$ and (x_{n_k}) converges to $x \in \mathbb{A}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, since (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ and (x_{n_k}) converges to $x \in \mathbb{A}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for every $\varepsilon \succ \ddot{0}$ there exists $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on ε such that

$$\|x_{n_k} \hat{-} x\|_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \beta \quad \text{for all } k \geq N$$

and

$$\|x_n \hat{-} x_m\|_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \beta \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq M.$$

Taking n_k such that $k \geq N$ and $n_k \geq M$, then

$$\|x_n \hat{-} x\|_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq \|x_n \hat{-} x_{n_k}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \dot{+} \|x_{n_k} \hat{-} x\|_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \varepsilon$$

for all $n \geq M$. So, (x_n) is convergent in A with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$. The proof is completed. \square

2.1. Non-Newtonian Banach Algebras. This section is fundamentals of Non-Newtonian Banach Algebras.

We begin this section by introducing the concept of a Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra.

Definition 15. A non-Newtonian complex algebra ($\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra) is a vector space \mathbb{A} over $\mathbb{C}(N)$ in which an associative and distributive N -multiplication is defined, that is

$$\begin{aligned} x \hat{\times} (y \hat{\times} z) &= (x \hat{\times} y) \hat{\times} z, \\ (x \hat{+} y) \hat{\times} z &= x \hat{\times} z \hat{+} y \hat{\times} z, \quad x \hat{\times} (y \hat{+} z) = x \hat{\times} y \hat{+} x \hat{\times} z \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{A}$ and which is related to scalar N -multiplication so that

$$\lambda \hat{\times} (x \hat{\times} y) = x \hat{\times} (\lambda \hat{\times} y) = (\lambda \hat{\times} x) \hat{\times} y$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$. An $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra is unital if it has a unit element $1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$ with $x \hat{\times} 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N = 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\times} x = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$.

If there is a norm defined in \mathbb{A} which makes \mathbb{A} into a normed space and which satisfies the N -multiplicative inequality

$$\|x \hat{\times} y\|_{\mathbb{A}} \preceq \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} \times \|y\|_{\mathbb{A}}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$, then \mathbb{A} is called a normed $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra. If, in addition, \mathbb{A} is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$, then we call \mathbb{A} a Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra (non-Newtonian Banach algebra).

Remark 2. The zero element in \mathbb{A} is the element $0_{\mathbb{A}}^N$ such that $x \hat{+} 0_{\mathbb{A}}^N = 0_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{+} x = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$.

The followings are examples of Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebras.

Example 1. The Banach space $\mathbb{C}(N)$ forms a Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra with the algebraic operations \oplus and \odot and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined on it.

Example 2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}(N)$ be compact and $C_N(\Omega) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N) : f \text{ is } * \text{-continuous}\}$. Then, the set $C_N(\Omega)$ forms a Banach space with respect to the algebraic operations addition (+) and scalar multiplication (\cdot) and the norm on $C_N(\Omega)$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} + & : C_N(\Omega) \times C_N(\Omega) \rightarrow C_N(\Omega), (f, g) \rightarrow f + g \\ f + g & : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N), z \rightarrow (f + g)(z) = f(z) \dot{+} g(z) \\ \cdot & : \mathbb{C}(N) \times C_N(\Omega) \rightarrow C_N(\Omega), (\lambda, f) \rightarrow \lambda \cdot f \\ \lambda \cdot f & : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N), z \rightarrow (\lambda \cdot f)(z) = \lambda \dot{\times} f(z) \\ \|\cdot\|_{C_N(\Omega)} & : C_N(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\beta, f \rightarrow \|f\|_{C_N(\Omega)} = \max_{z \in \Omega} \|f(z)\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in C_N(\Omega)$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$ [4].

As a new algebraic operation, we define multiplication (\times) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \times & : C_N(\Omega) \times C_N(\Omega) \rightarrow C_N(\Omega), (f, g) \rightarrow f \times g \\ f \times g & : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N), z \rightarrow (f \times g)(z) = f(z) \dot{\times} g(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in C_N(\Omega)$. It can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} f \times (g \times h) & = (f \times g) \times h, \\ (f + g) \times h & = f \times h + g \times h, \quad f \times (g + h) = f \times g + f \times h, \\ \lambda \cdot (f \times g) & = f \times (\lambda \cdot g) = (\lambda \cdot f) \times g \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g, h \in C_N(\Omega)$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \times g\|_{C_N(\Omega)} & = \max_{z \in \Omega} \|(f \times g)(z)\| = \max_{z \in \Omega} \|f(z) \dot{\times} g(z)\| \\ & = \max_{z \in \Omega} \left(\|f(z)\| \dot{\times} \|g(z)\| \right) \\ & = \max_{z \in \Omega} \|f(z)\| \dot{\times} \max_{z \in \Omega} \|g(z)\| \\ & = \|f\|_{C_N(\Omega)} \dot{\times} \|g\|_{C_N(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $C_N(\Omega)$ is a Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra.

Note that the zero and unit elements in $C_N(\Omega)$ are $*$ -continuous functions $0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$ and $1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$, respectively, where $0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}(z) = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$ and $1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}(z) = 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}(N)$.

Now, we define the concept of an N -subalgebra, and also, we investigate some N -subalgebras of $C_N(\Omega)$.

Definition 16. Let \mathbb{A} be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}(N)$ and \mathbb{B} be a subset of \mathbb{A} . If the following axioms hold, then \mathbb{B} is called an N -subspace of \mathbb{A} .

- (i) The zero element $0_{\mathbb{A}}^N$ is in \mathbb{B} .
- (ii) If x and y are elements of \mathbb{B} , then the sum $x \hat{+} y$ is an element of \mathbb{B} .
- (iii) If x is an element of \mathbb{B} and λ is a scalar from $\mathbb{C}(N)$, then the scalar product $\lambda \hat{\times} x$ is an element of \mathbb{B} .

Definition 17. Let \mathbb{A} be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra and \mathbb{B} be an N -subspace of \mathbb{A} . If $x \hat{\times} y \in \mathbb{B}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{B}$, then \mathbb{B} is called an N -subalgebra of \mathbb{A} .

Example 3. Consider the Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ –algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 2 for $\Omega = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \|z\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta \right\}$. Define the set

$$I_z = \left\{ f \in C_N(\Omega) : f(z) = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)} \right\}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}(N)$. Since $0_{C_N(\Omega)}^N = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)} \in I_z$ and $f+g, \lambda \cdot f, f \times g \in I_z$ for all $f, g \in I_z$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$, the set I_z is an N –subalgebra of $C_N(\Omega)$.

Definition 18. An N –polynomial over $\mathbb{C}(N)$ in indeterminate z is an expression of the form $f(z) = z_0 \oplus (z_1 \odot z) \oplus (z_2 \odot z^2) \oplus \dots \oplus (z_n \odot z^n)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}(N)$. The set of such N –polynomials is denoted by $\mathbb{C}(N)[z]$.

Example 4. Consider the Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ –algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 2 for $\Omega = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \|z\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta \right\}$. Obviously, since f is a $*$ –continuous function for all $f \in \mathbb{C}(N)[z]$, $0_{C_N(\Omega)}^N = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)} \in \mathbb{C}(N)[z]$ where the $0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$ function in $\mathbb{C}(N)[z]$ is obtained in the special case $z_0 = z_1 = \dots = z_n = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$ and $f+g, \lambda \cdot f, f \times g \in \mathbb{C}(N)[z]$ for all $f, g \in \mathbb{C}(N)[z]$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$, the set $\mathbb{C}(N)[z]$ is an N –subalgebra of $C_N(\Omega)$.

We continue this section with the concept of an N –invertible element and some related results.

Definition 19. Let \mathbb{A} be a unital Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ –algebra with a unit $1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$. An element x of \mathbb{A} is called an N –invertible element, if there is an element y in \mathbb{A} such that $x \widehat{\times} y = y \widehat{\times} x = 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$. The element y is called an N –inverse of x and denoted by x^{-1N} . The N –inverse of an N –invertible element is unique. The set of N –invertible elements in \mathbb{A} is denoted by \mathbb{A}^{-1N} . \mathbb{A}^{-1N} is a group with respect to the multiplication $\widehat{\times}$.

Example 5. Consider the Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ –algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 2 and the N –subalgebra $\mathbb{C}(N)[z]$ given in Example 4. Define the function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N)$, $f(z) = z \oplus 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$. It is clear that $f \in \mathbb{C}(N)[z]$. If we choose the $*$ –continuous function $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N)$, $g(z) = \frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{z \oplus 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}$, we get $f(z) \odot g(z) = g(z) \odot f(z) = 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$. This shows that the function f is N –invertible in $\mathbb{C}(N)[z]$ and the function g is N –inverse of f .

Remark 3. Let \mathbb{A} be a unital Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ –algebra with a unit $1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{A}^{-1N}$. Then, $(x \widehat{\times} y)^{-1N} = y^{-1N} \widehat{\times} x^{-1N}$ and $(x^{-1N})^{-1N} = x$.

Proposition 1. Let \mathbb{A} be a unital Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ –algebra with a unit $1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$. If an element $x \in \mathbb{A}$ is in open ball $\|x \widehat{-} 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N\|_{\mathbb{A}} < 1$, then x is N –invertible and the N –inverse x^{-1N} is written as

$$x^{-1N} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \widehat{-} x)^n.$$

Proof. Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ddot{\|} 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}}^n$ is β -convergent by Example 4 in [7], $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x)^n$ converges in norm $\ddot{\|} \cdot \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}}$ by Theorem 1. Let $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x)^n$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} y \hat{\times} x &= x \hat{\times} y = (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x)) \hat{\times} y \\ &= y \hat{\smile} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x) \hat{\times} y \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x)^n \hat{\smile} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x) \hat{\times} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x)^n \\ &= 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x)^n \hat{\smile} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} x)^n \\ &= 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following example demonstrates the significance of Proposition 1.

Example 6. Consider the Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 2, the N -subalgebra $\mathbb{C}(N)[z]$ given in Example 4 and the functions f, g in Example 5. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\|} f - 1_{C_N(\Omega)} \ddot{\|}_{C_N(\Omega)} &= \max_{z \in \Omega} \ddot{\|} (f - 1_{C_N(\Omega)})(z) \ddot{\|} \\ &= \max_{z \in \Omega} \ddot{\|} z \ddot{\|} = \frac{\ddot{\|}}{2} \beta \prec \ddot{\|} \end{aligned}$$

and this inequality says that the function f is N -invertible and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\ominus z)^n = f^{-1N}(z)$ by Proposition 1. We have shown that $f^{-1N} = g$ in Example 5, so $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\ominus z)^n = \frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{z \oplus 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}$.

Proposition 2. The group \mathbb{A}^{-1N} is an open subset of \mathbb{A} . If $\ddot{\|} x \hat{\smile} x_0 \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \frac{\ddot{\|}}{\ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}}} \beta$ for an element $x_0 \in \mathbb{A}^{-1N}$, then x is N -invertible and x^{-1N} is represented by

$$x^{-1N} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [x_0^{-1N} \hat{\times} (x_0 \hat{\smile} x)]^n \right) \hat{\times} x_0^{-1N}.$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{A}^{-1N}$ and $\ddot{\|} x \hat{\smile} x_0 \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \frac{\ddot{\|}}{\ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}}} \beta$. Then, we have

$$\ddot{\|} I \hat{\smile} x_0^{-1N} \hat{\times} x \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} = \ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \hat{\times} (x_0 \hat{\smile} x) \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \times \ddot{\|} x_0 \hat{\smile} x \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \ddot{\|}.$$

This implies that

$$(x_0^{-1N} \hat{\times} x)^{-1N} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{\smile} (x_0^{-1N} \hat{\times} x)]^n,$$

and so,

$$x^{-1N} \hat{\times} x_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [x_0^{-1N} \hat{\times} (x_0 \hat{\smile} x)]^n.$$

Hence it follows that $x^{-1N} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [x_0^{-1N} \widehat{\times} (x_0 \widehat{-} x)]^n \right) \widehat{\times} x_0^{-1N}$. \square

Corollary 1. *The function $\mathbb{A}^{-1N} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{-1N}, x \rightarrow x^{-1N}$ is continuous in norm $\ddot{\|} \cdot \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}}$.*

Proof. We have by previous proposition and Example 4 in [7]

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\|} x^{-1N} \widehat{-} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} &= \ddot{\|} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [x_0^{-1N} \widehat{\times} (x_0 \widehat{-} x)]^n \right) \widehat{\times} x_0^{-1N} \widehat{-} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \\ &\leq \ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \ddot{\times} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \ddot{\times} \ddot{\|} x_0 \widehat{-} x \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \right)^n \\ &= \ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \ddot{\times} \left[\frac{\ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \ddot{\times} \ddot{\|} x_0 \widehat{-} x \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}}}{\ddot{1} - \ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \ddot{\times} \ddot{\|} x_0 \widehat{-} x \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}}} \beta \right] \\ &\leq \ddot{\|} x_0^{-1N} \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \ddot{\times} \ddot{\|} x_0 \widehat{-} x \ddot{\|}_{\mathbb{A}} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows as required. \square

Now, we give a new concept as follows:

Definition 20. *Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebras endowed with the algebraic operations (addition, scalar multiplication, multiplication) $\widehat{+}, \widehat{\cdot}, \widehat{\times}$ and $\widehat{+}, \widehat{\cdot}, \widehat{\times}$ respectively, and $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a mapping. If $\varphi_N(x \widehat{+} \lambda \widehat{\cdot} y) = \varphi_N(x) \widehat{+} \lambda \widehat{\cdot} \varphi_N(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$, then the mapping φ_N is called a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -linear map. Also, a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra homomorphism $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -linear map such that $\varphi_N(x \widehat{\times} y) = \varphi_N(x) \widehat{\times} \varphi_N(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$. If $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{C}(N)$, then $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -linear map φ_N is called a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -linear functional.*

Definition 21. *Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebras and $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra homomorphism. Then the N -kernel of φ_N is defined by $\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N) = \{x \in \mathbb{A} : \varphi_N(x) = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N\}$.*

Remark 4. *We say φ_N is N -unital if \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are unital and $\varphi_N(1_{\mathbb{A}}^N) = 1_{\mathbb{B}}^N$.*

Example 7. *Consider the Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 2 for $\Omega = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \ddot{\|} z \ddot{\|} \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta \right\}$ and N -subalgebra I_z given in Example 3. Define the mapping*

$$\varphi_N : C_N(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N), \quad \varphi_N(f) = f(0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}).$$

It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_N(f + \lambda \cdot g) &= \varphi_N(f) \oplus \lambda \odot \varphi_N(g), \\ \varphi_N(f \times g) &= \varphi_N(f) \odot \varphi_N(g), \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in C_N(\Omega)$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$. Then, the mapping φ_N is a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -linear functional. Also, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N) &= \{f \in C_N(\Omega) : \varphi_N(f) = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}\} \\ &= \{f \in C_N(\Omega) : f(0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}) = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}\} \end{aligned}$$

and φ_N is N -unital since $\varphi_N(1_{C_N(\Omega)}^N) = 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$.

Proposition 3. *Let \mathbb{A} be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra with a unit $1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$. If $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N)$ is a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra homomorphism, then $\varphi_N(1_{\mathbb{A}}^N) = 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$, and $\varphi_N(x) \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$ for every N -invertible $x \in \mathbb{A}$.*

Proof. Let $y \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\varphi_N(y) \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$. Then, since $\varphi_N(y) = \varphi_N(y \widehat{\times} 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N) = \varphi_N(y) \odot \varphi_N(1_{\mathbb{A}}^N)$, we have that $\varphi_N(1_{\mathbb{A}}^N) = 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$. On the other hand, if x is N -invertible, then

$$\varphi_N(x) \odot \varphi_N(x^{-1N}) = \varphi_N(x \widehat{\times} x^{-1N}) = \varphi_N(1_{\mathbb{A}}^N) = 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}.$$

Hence it follows that $\varphi_N(x) \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$. The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 2. *Let \mathbb{A} be a Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra, $x \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} < \dot{1}$. Then, $\|\varphi_N(x)\| < \dot{1}$ for every $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra homomorphism $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N)$ on \mathbb{A} .*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$ and $\|\lambda\| \geq \dot{1}$. Then, we say that $1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \widehat{\times} \lambda^{-1} \widehat{\times} x$ is N -invertible by Proposition 1. So, we get by Proposition 3

$$1_{\mathbb{C}(N)} \ominus \lambda^{-1} \odot \varphi(x) = \varphi_N(1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \widehat{\times} \lambda^{-1} \widehat{\times} x) \neq 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}.$$

This implies that $\varphi_N(x) \neq \lambda$. Therefore, we obtain that $\|\varphi_N(x)\| < \dot{1}$ for every $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra homomorphism $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N)$ on \mathbb{A} . This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2. *All $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra homomorphisms $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N)$ of Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebras are continuous.*

In the rest of this section, we define the notion of an N -ideal and discuss some relevant findings.

Definition 22. *Let \mathbb{A} be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra and I be an N -subalgebra of \mathbb{A} . If $x \widehat{\times} y \in I$ (respectively, $y \widehat{\times} x \in I$) whenever $x \in \mathbb{A}$ and $y \in I$, then I is said to be a left (respectively, right) N -ideal of \mathbb{A} . An N -ideal of \mathbb{A} is an N -subalgebra that is simultaneously a left and a right N -ideal of \mathbb{A} . Obviously, $\{0_{\mathbb{A}}\}$ and \mathbb{A} are N -ideals in \mathbb{A} . An N -ideal $I \neq \mathbb{A}$ is called an N -proper ideal of \mathbb{A} . An N -maximal ideal in \mathbb{A} is an N -proper ideal that is not contained in any N -ideal except I itself and the entire $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra \mathbb{A} . An N -ideal I in \mathbb{A} is N -modular if there exists an element $y \in \mathbb{A}$ such that the two sets*

$$\mathbb{A} \widehat{\times} (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \widehat{\times} y) := \{x \widehat{\times} x \widehat{\times} y : x \in \mathbb{A}\} \text{ and } (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \widehat{\times} y) \widehat{\times} \mathbb{A} := \{x \widehat{\times} y \widehat{\times} x : x \in \mathbb{A}\}$$

are both in I .

Example 8. *Consider the Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 2 for $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \|z\| \leq \frac{\dot{1}}{2}\beta\}$ and N -subalgebra I_z given in Example 3. Since*

$$(f \times g)(z) = f(z) \odot g(z) = f(z) \odot 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)} = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$$

and similarly $(g \times f)(z) = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$ for all $f \in C_N(\Omega)$ and for all $g \in I_z$, we can write $f \widehat{\times} g \in I_z$ and $g \widehat{\times} f \in I_z$. Therefore, we obtain that I_z is N -ideal of $C_N(\Omega)$. In addition, since $I_z \neq C_N(\Omega)$, I_z is N -proper.

Example 9. *Consider the Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 2 for $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \|z\| \leq \frac{\dot{1}}{2}\beta\}$ and N -ideal I_z given in Example 8. We claim that I_z is an N -maximal ideal of $C_N(\Omega)$. Suppose that I_z is contained in some larger N -ideals J . We will show that $J = C_N(\Omega)$ so then I_z is an N -maximal ideal. Let*

$g \in J - I_z$. Then, if we define the mapping $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N)$, $h(w) := \frac{g(w)}{g(z)}$, we obtain that $h \in J$ and $1_{C_N(\Omega)} - h \in I_z$. This implies that $h + 1_{C_N(\Omega)} - h = 1_{C_N(\Omega)} \in J$. Thus, $J = C_N(\Omega)$ and so I_z is a N -maximal ideal.

Theorem 3. Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebras and $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra homomorphism. Then, $Ker_N(\varphi_N)$ is an N -ideal of \mathbb{A} .

Proof. Firstly, we show that $Ker_N(\varphi_N)$ is an N -subalgebra of \mathbb{A} . Since $\varphi_N(0_{\mathbb{A}}^N) = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N$, we write $0_{\mathbb{A}}^N \in Ker_N(\varphi_N)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_N(x \hat{+} y) &= \varphi_N(x) \hat{+} \varphi_N(y) = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N \hat{+} 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N, \\ \varphi_N(\lambda \hat{\cdot} x) &= \lambda \hat{\cdot} \varphi_N(x) = \lambda \hat{\cdot} 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi_N(x \hat{\times} y) = \varphi_N(x) \hat{\times} \varphi_N(y) = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N \hat{\times} 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N$$

for all $x, y \in Ker_N(\varphi_N)$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$, we obtain that $Ker_N(\varphi_N)$ is an N -subalgebra of \mathbb{A} .

Now, we prove that $Ker_N(\varphi_N)$ is a left and a right N -ideal of \mathbb{A} . Since

$$\varphi_N(x \hat{\times} y) = \varphi_N(x) \hat{\times} \varphi_N(y) = \varphi_N(x) \hat{\times} 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N$$

and

$$\varphi_N(y \hat{\times} x) = \varphi_N(y) \hat{\times} \varphi_N(x) = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N \hat{\times} \varphi_N(x) = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$ and for all $y \in Ker_N(\varphi_N)$, we obtain that $Ker_N(\varphi_N)$ is both a left and a right N -ideal of \mathbb{A} . This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4. Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebras and $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra homomorphism. Then, $\varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$ is an N -subalgebra of \mathbb{B} .

Proof. Let y_1, y_2 be arbitrary elements of $\varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$. Then, there exists $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $y_1 = \varphi_N(x_1)$, $y_2 = \varphi_N(x_2)$. So, since

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{+}y_1 &= \varphi_N(x_1) \hat{+} \varphi_N(x_2) = \varphi_N(x_1 \hat{+} x_2), \\ \lambda \hat{\cdot} y_1 &= \lambda \hat{\cdot} \varphi_N(x_1) = \varphi_N(\lambda \hat{\cdot} x_1)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$y_1 \hat{\times} y_2 = \varphi_N(x_1) \hat{\times} \varphi_N(x_2) = \varphi_N(x_1 \hat{\times} x_2),$$

then $\hat{+}y_1, \lambda \hat{\cdot} y_1, y_1 \hat{\times} y_2 \in \varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$. This implies that $\varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$ is an N -subalgebra of \mathbb{B} . \square

The following example explains the validity of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.

Example 10. Consider the Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 2 for $\Omega = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \|z\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta \right\}$ and the $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -linear functional φ_N given in Example 7. Then, $Ker_N(\varphi_N) = \{f \in C_N(\Omega) : f(0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}) = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}\}$ is an N -ideal of $C_N(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_N(C_N(\Omega))$ is an N -subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}(N)$.

Remark 5. Let \mathbb{A} be a Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra and I be an N -ideal of \mathbb{A} . Then, \mathbb{A}/I is an $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra with the N -multiplication defined by

$$(x \hat{+} I) \hat{\times} (y \hat{+} I) = x \hat{\times} y \hat{+} I$$

for all $x \hat{+} I, y \hat{+} I \in \mathbb{A}/I$. Also, I is N -modular if and only if \mathbb{A}/I is unital.

Theorem 5. *If I is a closed N -ideal in a normed $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra \mathbb{A} , then \mathbb{A}/I is a normed $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra with the N -quotient norm*

$$\|x\hat{+}I\|_{\mathbb{A}/I} = \inf \left\{ \|x\hat{+}y\|_{\mathbb{A}} : y \in I \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \succ \ddot{0}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$. Then, there exist $x_0, y_0 \in I$ such that $\varepsilon \hat{+} \|x\hat{+}I\|_{\mathbb{A}/I} \succ \|x\hat{+}x_0\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $\varepsilon \hat{+} \|y\hat{+}I\|_{\mathbb{A}/I} \succ \|y\hat{+}y_0\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ by Theorem 13 (ii) in [8]. Put $z = x_0 \hat{\times} y \hat{+} x \hat{\times} y_0 \hat{+} x_0 \hat{\times} y_0$. It is clear that $z \in I$. Therefore, we can write

$$\left(\varepsilon \hat{+} \|x\hat{+}I\|_{\mathbb{A}/I} \right) \hat{\times} \left(\varepsilon \hat{+} \|y\hat{+}I\|_{\mathbb{A}/I} \right) \succ \|x\hat{+}x_0\|_{\mathbb{A}} \hat{\times} \|y\hat{+}y_0\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq \|x\hat{\times}y\hat{+}z\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq \|x\hat{\times}y\hat{+}I\|_{\mathbb{A}/I}.$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow \ddot{0}$, it follows that $\|x\hat{+}I\|_{\mathbb{A}/I} \hat{\times} \|y\hat{+}I\|_{\mathbb{A}/I} \geq \|x\hat{\times}y\hat{+}I\|_{\mathbb{A}/I}$. This implies that \mathbb{A}/I is a normed $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra. The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 6. *If I is an N -ideal in a normed $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra \mathbb{A} , then the N -quotient map $\pi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}/I$ is a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -linear map.*

Proof. We have for all $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$

$$\pi(x\hat{+}\lambda\hat{\cdot}y) = (x\hat{+}\lambda\hat{\cdot}y)\hat{+}I = (x\hat{+}I) \hat{\times} (\lambda\hat{\cdot}y\hat{+}I) = (x\hat{+}I) \hat{\times} \lambda\hat{\cdot}(y\hat{+}I) = \pi(x) \hat{+}\lambda\hat{\cdot}\pi(y).$$

This shows that $\pi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}/I$ is a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -linear map. \square

Theorem 7. *Let I be an N -modular ideal of a Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra \mathbb{A} with a unit $1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$. If I is N -proper, so is its non-Newtonian closure \bar{I}^N . If I is N -maximal, it is non-Newtonian closed.*

Proof. Let a be an element of \mathbb{A} such that $x\hat{-}x\hat{\times}a, x\hat{-}a\hat{\times}x \in I$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$. If $b \in I$ and $\|a\hat{-}b\|_{\mathbb{A}} \prec \ddot{1}$, then $y = 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N \hat{-} (a\hat{+}b)$ is N -invertible in \mathbb{A} by Proposition 1. If $x \in \mathbb{A}$, then $x\hat{\times}y = x\hat{-}x\hat{\times}a\hat{+}x\hat{\times}b \in I$ and $y \in \mathbb{A}^{-1N}$, and hence $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}\hat{\times}y \subset I$ which contradicts the assumption that I is N -proper. Then, this shows that $\|x\hat{-}b\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq \ddot{1}$ for all $b \in I$. Therefore, we deduce that $x \notin \bar{I}^N$ and so \bar{I}^N is N -proper.

If I is N -maximal, then \bar{I}^N is a N -proper ideal containing I and this implies that $\bar{I}^N = I$. \square

2.2. Non-Newtonian C^* -Algebras. This section is fundamentals of Non-Newtonian C^* -Algebras.

At first, we present the definition of a non-Newtonian C^* -algebra.

Definition 23. *Let \mathbb{A} be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra. An N -involution on \mathbb{A} is a mapping ${}^{*N} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, $x \rightarrow x^{*N}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $(x\hat{+}y)^{*N} = x^{*N}\hat{+}y^{*N}$,
- (ii) $(\lambda\hat{\cdot}x)^{*N} = \bar{\lambda}^N \hat{\cdot}x^{*N}$,
- (iii) $(x\hat{\times}y)^{*N} = y^{*N}\hat{\times}x^{*N}$,
- (iv) $(x^{*N})^{*N} = x$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$. Then, \mathbb{A} is called a $*_N$ -algebra (non-Newtonian $*_N$ -algebra).

If $*_N$ -algebra \mathbb{A} is a normed $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra and the N -involution is isometric, that is, $\|x^{*N}\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$, then \mathbb{A} is called a normed $*_N$ -algebra (non-Newtonian normed $*_N$ -algebra).

If $*_N$ -algebra \mathbb{A} is a Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra and the N -involution is isometric, then \mathbb{A} is called a Banach $*_N$ -algebra (non-Newtonian Banach $*$ -algebra).

If $*_N$ -algebra \mathbb{A} is a Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra and its norm satisfies the equality $\|x^{*N} \widehat{\times} x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$, then \mathbb{A} is called a C^{*N} -algebra (non-Newtonian C^* -algebra).

Note that a C^{*N} -algebra is a Banach $*_N$ -algebra because the equality $\|x^{*N} \widehat{\times} x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2$ implies $\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq \|x^{*N}\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$ and substituting x^{*N} for x in this inequality gives that $\|x^{*N}\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq \|(x^{*N})^{*N}\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}$, hence $\|x^{*N}\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$. Thus, also, the N -involution of \mathbb{A} is continuous.

If a C^{*N} -algebra has a unit $1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$, then automatically $\|1_{\mathbb{A}}^N\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$, because $\|1_{\mathbb{A}}^N\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|1_{\mathbb{A}}^{N*N} \widehat{\times} 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|1_{\mathbb{A}}^N\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2$.

The followings are examples of C^{*N} -algebras.

Example 11. The Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}(N)$ forms a C^{*N} -algebra with the algebraic operations \oplus and \odot , the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined on it and the N -involution $*^N : \mathbb{C}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N)$, $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$.

Example 12. Consider the Banach $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 2 for $\Omega = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \|z\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta \right\}$. Define the N -involution

$$\begin{aligned} *^N & : C_N(\Omega) \rightarrow C_N(\Omega), f \rightarrow f^{*N} \\ f^{*N} & : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N), f^{*N}(z) = \overline{f(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

With a direct computation it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)^{*N} & = f^{*N} + g^{*N}, \\ (\lambda \cdot f)^{*N} & = \overline{\lambda}^N \cdot f^{*N}, \\ (f \times g)^{*N} & = g^{*N} \times f^{*N}, \\ (f^{*N})^{*N} & = f \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in C_N(\Omega)$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(N)$. Also, we get

$$\|f^{*N}\|_{C_N(\Omega)} = \max_{z \in \Omega} \|f^{*N}(z)\| = \max_{z \in \Omega} \|\overline{f(z)}\| = \max_{z \in \Omega} \|f(z)\| = \|f\|_{C_N(\Omega)}$$

and

$$\|f^{*N} \times f\|_{C_N(\Omega)} = \max_{z \in \Omega} \|(f^{*N} \times f)(z)\| = \max_{z \in \Omega} \|f(z)\|^2 = \|f\|_{C_N(\Omega)}^2.$$

Thus, $C_N(\Omega)$ is a C^{*N} -algebra.

Let us define some special types of elements in a C^{*N} -algebra.

Definition 24. Let \mathbb{A} be a C^{*N} -algebra and $x \in \mathbb{A}$. Then,

- (i) x is called an N -self adjoint (N -hermitian) element if $x = x^{*N}$.
- (ii) x is called an N -normal element if $x \widehat{\times} x^{*N} = x^{*N} \widehat{\times} x$.
- (iii) x is called an N -unitary element if $x \widehat{\times} x^{*N} = x^{*N} \widehat{\times} x = 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$.

Example 13. The set of N -self adjoint elements and unitary elements of $C_N(\Omega)$ is

$$\left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N) : f(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } z \in \Omega, \text{ where } a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(N) : \|f(z)\| = 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)} \text{ for all } z \in \Omega \right\},$$

respectively. Since $C_N(\Omega)$ is commutative with respect to multiplication (\times), the set of N -normal elements of $C_N(\Omega)$ is itself.

Proposition 4. *Let A be a C^{*N} -algebra and $x \in A$. Then,*

(i) *If x is N -invertible, then x^{*N} is N -invertible and $(x^{*N})^{-1N} = (x^{-1N})^{*N}$.*

(ii) *$x = u \hat{+} i_{\mathbb{C}(N)} \hat{+} v$, where u and v are N -hermitian elements of A .*

(iii) *If x is N -unitary element of A , then $\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{1}$.*

Proof. (i) If x is N -invertible, then there is an element y in \mathbb{A} such that $x \hat{\times} y = y \hat{\times} x = 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$. This implies that $(x \hat{\times} y)^{*N} = (y \hat{\times} x)^{*N} = (1_{\mathbb{A}}^N)^{*N}$ and hence, $y^{*N} \hat{\times} x^{*N} = x^{*N} \hat{\times} y^{*N} = 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$. So, it follows that x^{*N} is N -invertible and $(x^{*N})^{-1N} = y^{*N} = (x^{-1N})^{*N}$, as required.

(ii) Let $u = \frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \hat{+} (x \hat{+} x^{*N})$ and $v = \frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot i_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \hat{+} (x \hat{-} x^{*N})$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{*N} &= \left(\frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \hat{+} (x \hat{+} x^{*N}) \right)^{*N} = \overline{\left(\frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \right)} \hat{+} (x \hat{+} x^{*N})^{*N} \\ &= \overline{\left(\frac{(\hat{1}, \hat{0})}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0})} \right)} \hat{+} (x \hat{+} x^{*N})^{*N} = \frac{(\hat{1}, \hat{0})}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0})} \hat{+} (x^{*N} \hat{+} x) \\ &= \frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \hat{+} (x \hat{+} x^{*N}) = u \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v^{*N} &= \left(\frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot i_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \hat{+} (x \hat{-} x^{*N}) \right)^{*N} = \overline{\left(\frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot i_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \right)} \hat{+} (x \hat{-} x^{*N})^{*N} \\ &= \overline{\left(\frac{(\hat{1}, \hat{0})}{(\hat{0}, \hat{2})} \right)} \hat{+} (x \hat{-} x^{*N})^{*N} = \frac{(\hat{1}, \hat{0})}{(\hat{0}, \hat{-2})} \hat{+} (x^{*N} \hat{-} x) \\ &= \left[\frac{(\hat{1}, \hat{0})}{\ominus_1(\hat{0}, \hat{2})} \otimes_1 (\ominus_1(\hat{1}, \hat{0})) \right] \hat{+} (x \hat{-} x^{*N}) \\ &= \frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot i_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \hat{+} (x \hat{-} x^{*N}) = v. \end{aligned}$$

Also, it is clear that

$$u \hat{+} i_{\mathbb{C}(N)} \hat{+} v = \left[\frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \hat{+} (x \hat{+} x^{*N}) \right] \hat{+} i_{\mathbb{C}(N)} \hat{+} \left[\frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{(\hat{2}, \hat{0}) \odot i_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} \hat{+} (x \hat{-} x^{*N}) \right] = x.$$

The proof is completed.

(iii) If x is N -unitary element of \mathbb{A} , then $x \widehat{\times} x^{*N} = x^{*N} \widehat{\times} x = 1_{\mathbb{A}}^N$. So, we can write $\|x \widehat{\times} x^{*N}\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x^{*N} \widehat{\times} x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|1_{\mathbb{A}}^N\|_{\mathbb{A}}$. This means that $\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$ and so, $\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$. \square

The following example illustrates Proposition 4 i).

Example 14. Consider the C^{*N} -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 12, the N -subalgebra $\mathbb{C}(N)[z]$ given in Example 4 and the N -invertible functions f, g in Example 5. Then, we have

$$f^{*N}(z) = \overline{f(z)} = \overline{z + 1} = \bar{z} + 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$$

and

$$(f^{*N})^{-1N}(z) = \frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{\bar{z} + 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}} = \overline{\left(\frac{1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}{z + 1_{\mathbb{C}(N)}}\right)} = \overline{g(z)} = g^{*N}(z) = (f^{-1})^{*N}(z),$$

that is, f^{*N} is N -invertible and $(f^{*N})^{-1N} = (f^{-1})^{*N}$.

Now we present non-Newtonian version of self adjoint ideals using the concept of an N -involution.

Definition 25. Let \mathbb{A} be a $*_N$ -algebra and \mathbb{B} be an N -subalgebra of \mathbb{A} . If $\mathbb{B}^{*N} = \{x^{*N} : x \in \mathbb{B}\} = \mathbb{B}$, then we say \mathbb{B} is N -self adjoint.

Definition 26. If \mathbb{A} is a $*_N$ -algebra, \mathbb{B} is an N -subalgebra of \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} is N -self adjoint, then \mathbb{B} is called a $*_N$ -subalgebra of \mathbb{A} .

Definition 27. If \mathbb{A} is a $*_N$ -algebra, I is an N -ideal of \mathbb{A} and I is N -self adjoint, then I is called an N -self adjoint ideal of \mathbb{A} .

Example 15. Consider the C^{*N} -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 12 for $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \|z\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta\}$ and the N -ideal I_z given in Example 8. Since

$$I_z^{*N} = \{f^{*N} : f \in I_z\}$$

and $f^{*N}(z) = \overline{f(z)} = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}$, we have $I_z^{*N} = I_z$. Thus, I_z is an N -self adjoint ideal of $C_N(\Omega)$.

The next definition is non-Newtonian version of a $*_N$ -homomorphism.

Definition 28. Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be C^{*N} -algebras and $\varphi_N : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -algebra homomorphism. If $\varphi_N(x^{*N}) = \varphi_N(x)^{*N}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$, then the homomorphism φ_N is called a $*_N$ -homomorphism. If in addition φ_N is a bijection, then it is a $*_N$ -isomorphism.

Example 16. Consider the C^{*N} -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 12 for $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \|z\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta\}$ and the $\mathbb{C}(N)$ -linear functional φ_N given in Example 7. Then, we get

$$\varphi_N(f^{*N}) = f^{*N}(0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}) = \overline{f(0_{\mathbb{C}(N)})} = \overline{\varphi_N(f^*)} = \varphi_N(f)^{*N}.$$

So, φ_N is a $*_N$ -homomorphism.

In the closing of this section, we introduce two theorems about $*_N$ -homomorphisms, and also, we give an example which satisfy the requirements of these theorems.

Theorem 8. *Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be $*_N$ -algebras and φ_N be a $*_N$ -homomorphism from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} . Then, $\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N)$ is an N -self adjoint ideal of \mathbb{A} .*

Proof. We know that $\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N)$ is an N -ideal of \mathbb{A} by Theorem 3. Then, it is enough to show that $\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N)$ is N -self adjoint, that is, $(\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N))^{*N} = \text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N)$ holds.

Let $x \in (\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N))^{*N}$. Then, $x^{*N} \in \text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N)$ by definition of N -involution. Hence, $\varphi_N(x^{*N}) = \varphi_N(x)^{*N} = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N$. This implies that $\varphi_N(x) = (0_{\mathbb{B}}^N)^{*N} = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N$ and so $x \in \text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N)$. Then, we have $(\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N))^{*N} \subset \text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N)$.

Conversely, let $x \in \text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N)$. Then, we have $\varphi_N(x) = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N$. Since $\varphi_N(x^{*N}) = \varphi_N(x)^{*N} = (0_{\mathbb{B}}^N)^{*N} = 0_{\mathbb{B}}^N$, we write $x^{*N} \in \text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N)$ and hence $x \in (\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N))^{*N}$. This means that $\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N) \subset (\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N))^{*N}$. The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 9. *Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be $*_N$ -algebras and φ_N be a $*_N$ -homomorphism from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} . Then, $\varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$ is a $*_N$ -subalgebra of \mathbb{B} .*

Proof. We know that $\varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$ is an N -subalgebra of \mathbb{B} by Theorem 4. Then, it is enough to show that $\varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$ is N -self adjoint, that is, $(\varphi_N(\mathbb{A}))^{*N} = \varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$ holds.

Let $y \in (\varphi_N(\mathbb{A}))^{*N}$. Then, $y^{*N} \in \varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$ by definition of N -involution. In this case, there exists an element $x \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $\varphi_N(x) = y^{*N}$. Hence, $\varphi_N(x)^{*N} = \varphi_N(x^{*N}) = y$. This implies that $y \in \varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$ and so $(\varphi_N(\mathbb{A}))^{*N} \subset \varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$.

Conversely, let $y \in \varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$. Then, there exists an element $x \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $\varphi_N(x) = y$. So since $\varphi_N(x)^{*N} = \varphi_N(x^{*N}) = y^{*N}$, we write $y^{*N} \in \varphi_N(\mathbb{A})$ and hence $y \in (\varphi_N(\mathbb{A}))^{*N}$. This means that $\varphi_N(\mathbb{A}) \subset (\varphi_N(\mathbb{A}))^{*N}$. The proof is completed. \square

Example 17. *Consider the C^{*N} -algebra $C_N(\Omega)$ given in Example 12 for $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}(N) : \|z\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta\}$ and the $*_N$ -homomorphism φ_N given in Example 16. $\text{Ker}_N(\varphi_N) = \{f \in C_N(\Omega) : f(0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}) = 0_{\mathbb{C}(N)}\}$ is an N -self adjoint ideal of $C_N(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_N(C_N(\Omega))$ is an $*_N$ -subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}(N)$.*

3. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We have introduced a new version of C^* -algebras which called a non-Newtonian C^* -algebra. It is a relatively new addition to the existing literature and generalizes known C^* -algebras.

Each choice of specific isomorphisms for α and β determines a $*_N$ -calculus [11]. Geometric calculus obtained by choosing $\alpha = I$ and $\beta = \exp$ [11] is one of the most popular $*_N$ -calculi and has a wide variety of useful applications. In this direction, the concept of a non-Newtonian C^* -algebra can be further enrich by introducing the idea of geometric C^* -algebra wherein we replace $*_N$ -points $(\overset{\cdot}{a}, \overset{\cdot}{b})$ in the $*_N$ -complex field $\mathbb{C}(N)$ by the $*_N$ -points (a, e^b) with respect to the geometric calculus. Also, the notions of spectrum and positive element in the sense of geometric calculus can be defined and thus fixed point theorems can be obtained by constructing non-Newtonian C^* -algebra valued metric spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grossman M. and Katz R., Non-Newtonian Calculus, Lee Press, Pigeon Cove, MA, 1972.
- [2] Tekin S. and Bařar F., Certain sequence spaces over the non-Newtonian complex field, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2013, Article ID 739319.

- [3] Çakmak A. F. and Başar F., Some new results on sequence spaces with respect to non-Newtonian calculus, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2012, 2012:228.
- [4] Çakmak A. F. and Başar F., Certain spaces of functions over the field of non-Newtonian complex numbers, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume 2014, Article ID 236124.
- [5] Kadak U., Determination of the Köthe-Toeplitz duals over the non-Newtonian complex field, *The Scientific World Journal*, Volume 2014, Article ID 438924.
- [6] Duyar C., Sağır B. and Oğur, O., Some basic topological properties on non-Newtonian real line, *Brisith Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science*, 9(4), 300-307, 2015.
- [7] Duyar C. and Erdoğan M., On non-Newtonian real number series, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, 12(6), 34-48, 2016.
- [8] Kirişçi M., Topological structures of non-Newtonian metric spaces, *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 5(2), 156-169, 2017.
- [9] Murphy, G. J. *C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory*, Academic press, Boston, 1990.
- [10] Kadak U. and Efe H., The construction of Hilbert spaces over the non-Newtonian field, *International Journal of Analysis*, Volume 2014, Article ID 746059.
- [11] Grossman M., An introduction to non-Newtonian calculus, *International Journal of Mathematical Educational in Science and Technology*, 10(4), 525-528, 1979.

(N. Değirmen) ONDOKUZ MAYIS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ART AND SCIENCES DEPARMENT OF MATHEMATICS SAMSUN, TURKEY

Email address, N. Değirmen: nilay.sager@omu.edu.tr