Continuous Real-Time Detection of Quasiparticle Trapping
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Nonequilibrium quasiparticles are ubiquitous in superconducting electronics. These quasiparticles can trap in the internal Andreev bound states of a phase-biased Josephson junction, providing a mechanism for studying their presence and behavior. We characterize a quasiparticle trapping detector device based on a two-junction aluminum nanobridge superconducting quantum interference device incorporated into a transmission-line resonator. When flux-biased, distinct resonant frequencies develop depending on the trapped quasiparticle number. We demonstrate continuous detection of up to 2 trapped quasiparticles with signal to noise ratio of 1 in 60 ns. We discuss the possible optimization and application of such detector devices.

Superconducting qubits and other low-temperature superconducting electronics have ubiquitous populations of quasiparticles (QPs) far above their thermal equilibrium prevalence [12]. These QPs can cause loss of spurious excitations [9], and spectral noise when tunneling across quib junctions, and can induce correlated errors that are particularly difficult to address with error correction algorithms [10,11]. The QPs may be generated by stray infrared photons [12], cosmic rays or other high-energy radiation sources [12,13,14,15], or materials defects [16]. Many experiments have probed QP behavior via their tunneling across Josephson junctions in charge-sensitive transmons [15,16], giving valuable insight into their effects on qubits. In these experiments each tunneling measurement must be discrete, and they cannot distinguish between 0 and 2 tunneling events. Trapping measurement [15,20] provide a tool for continuous, non-saturating monitoring of QP behavior. Furthermore, QP traps have been proposed as a way to mitigate QPs' harmful effects on qubits [17,18,19], as QPs may diffuse great distances after being generated [15,16,20,21]. The trapping process itself is thus worthy of study, in addition to providing insight into other QP properties.

In this letter we characterize a device optimized for continuous, non-saturating measurements of quasiparticle trapping in Andreev states. Using standard microwave reflectometry we are able to distinguish 0, 1, and 2 or more trapped quasiparticles in 60 ns with a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 1. We discuss straightforward improvements that can further improve SNR and allow detection of many more trapped quasiparticles. Our device provides a prototype for future detectors optimized for continuous measurements of quasiparticle behavior and properties and for studies of the dynamics of Andreev states coupled to resonant cavities.

In the semiconductor picture of the Josephson effect, supercurrent is carried by quasiparticles/quasiholes traveling back and forth in 1 dimensional conduction channels. At each boundary of the junction, the quasiparticle (quasihole) reflects as a quasihole (quasiparticle) [22]. This Andreev reflection process causes a ±2e charge transfer, where e is the elementary charge, and thus transmits a Cooper pair across the junction. Each conduction channel forms a pair of Andreev bound states with energies

$$E_{A±} = ±\Delta\sqrt{1 - \tau \sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}}$$

where ∆ is the superconducting gap, τ is the transmittivity of the channel, and δ is the phase bias across the junction. The Fermi energy is 0, so at temperatures $T \ll \Delta/k_B$, the positive-energy state is normally unoccupied and the negative-energy state is normally occupied, carrying the supercurrent across the junction. Quasiparticles in the bulk of the superconductor can only exist at energies greater than ∆, so such a quasiparticle will find it energetically favorable to drop into the unoccupied upper Andreev state, which carries the opposite supercurrent. This Andreev trapping process “poisons” the channel, eliminating it from carrying supercurrent, reducing the effective junction critical current, and increasing the Josephson inductance.

Three dimensional aluminum nanobridge Josephson junctions achieve good phase confinement and nonlinearity in an all-superconducting design [20,23]. Importantly, these junctions comprise a large number ($\sim 100 - 1000$) of conduction channels with high transmittivities, approximately following the Dorokhov distribution $\rho(\tau) = \frac{\tau G}{2e^2 \sqrt{\tau^2 - 1}}$, where G is the junction’s normal-state conductance [25]. These junctions thus form a large number of Andreev bound states that, when phase-biased, function as quasiparticle traps. For an Al nanobridge with phase bias $\delta \approx 0.47\pi$, a channel with $\tau \approx 1$ has $E_{A+}/h \approx 30.4$ GHz, or a trap depth of $(\Delta - E_A)/h \approx 10.7$ GHz, far greater than the thermal energy at 15 mK. These junctions thus function as quasiparticle traps with a large number of deep trap states when they are phase-biased.

Our device consists of a co-planar waveguide (CPW) resonator in which the center trace is terminated by a pair of Aluminum nanobridge junctions forming a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID), as shown in Fig. 1a. To fabricate the device, we use photolithography and electron-beam evaporation to
deposit the open ended CPW trace on a high resistivity Si substrate. We then use electron-beam lithography and another round of evaporation to deposit the junctions with connection pads overlaying the CPW trace and ground plane. Finally, photolithography is used to open windows in the resist for ion milling and deposition of superconducting contacts between the SQUID and CPW. Fabrication details are further explained in the supporting information. The fundamental (quarter-wavelength) mode of our resonator with zero flux through the SQUID is at \( \omega_0(\phi = 0) = 2\pi \times 4.302 \text{ GHz} \) and has linewidth \( \kappa = 2\pi \times 250 \text{ kHz} \), largely set by the coupling to the microwave feedline.

![Device schematic](image)

**FIG. 1.** [color online] (a) Device schematic. A CPW resonator (green) is grounded via a SQUID (magenta) formed by two superconducting Al nanobridge junctions. Flux bias through the SQUID phase-biases the junctions. (b) Optical image of the CPW resonator with inset SEM images of the SQUID (magenta) and a nanobridge junction (orange). (c) Simplified measurement schematic. A tone at \( \omega_d \) continuously drives the flux tunable resonator. All input and output signals are filtered at base stage by K&L 12 GHz and custom Eccosorb 110 low-pass filters. The reflected signal is amplified by a TWPA at base stage followed by a HEMT at 4K and room temperature amplifiers. Note that isolators between HEMT and room temperature amplifiers are not shown to conserve space. The amplified signal is homodyne demodulated and the in phase (I) and quadrature (Q) components are digitized by an Alazar ATS9371 at 300 MSa/s after 15 MHz low-pass filtering. The recorded signal is then down-sampled to 10 MSa/s before saving.

Our measurement setup is shown schematically in Fig. 1 (c). A signal generator provides a drive tone at \( \omega_d(\phi) = \omega_0(\phi) - \kappa/2 \). A power splitter sends half of this power into the dilution refrigerator where it is attenuated then filtered by K&L 12 GHz and Eccosorb low pass filters. The drive tone is circulated to reflect off our device, which is flux tunable via a DC coil in the packaging, and amplified by a travelling wave parametric amplifier (TWPA) which is pumped at 8.078 GHz. The reflected signal is further amplified before IQ demodulation with reference to the original signal. The in-phase (I) and quadrature (Q) components are 15 MHz low pass filtered before digitization at 300 MSa/s. Raw data is down-sampled to 10 MSa/s before saving.

We first characterize our device with ensemble-averaged VNA measurements of the resonance. Fig. 2 (a) shows resonance measurements at flux biases of \( \phi = 0 \) and \( \phi = 0.49 \), taken on a previous cooldown in which the K&L and Eccosorb filters were removed from the setup. In this configuration QP trapping is more frequent, which we attribute to more infrared radiation reaching the device via the unfiltered lines. The \( \phi = 0.49 \) trace in orange shows two shallow peaks at ~ 0.5 and 1 MHz below the main resonance. These are the resonance peaks with 1 and 2 trapped QPs, respectively, showing the resonance shifting due to the change in nanobridge inductance. These ensemble measurements average over all possible QP trapping configurations, and so a resonance peak amplitude corresponds to the probability of that configuration. We then move on to time-domain IQ measurements as described above. Panels (b) and (c) are log-scale histograms showing 30 seconds of continuous IQ data for \( \phi = 0 \) (in blue) and \( \phi = 0.47 \) (in orange), respectively. This data has been convolved with a Gaussian window of effective integration time \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dt = 3 \text{ \mu s} \).

In the finite-flux data of panel (c) we can immediately see 3 distinct modes with excellent separation in the log scale plots. The darkest peak (lower left), with the most counts by far, is due to the resonance when we have 0 QPs in Andreev traps. The next darkest (upper center) is from having a single QP trapped while the lightest mode (middle right) is from 2 or more QPs in Andreev traps. Trapping of more than 2 quasiparticles moves the resonance multiple linewidths and thus saturates the change in response to additional trapping. We later discuss methods for avoiding this saturation. We have verified that these modes are indeed due to Andreev trapping of quasiparticles by measuring the weights of each mode in the presence of a “clearing tone” at 17 GHz. We find that the 1- and 2-quasiparticle mode counts decrease while the 0-quasiparticle mode counts increase. We have also observed that the separations and weights of modes both increase as a function of flux, which agrees qualitatively with a quasiparticle trapping picture.

We now turn to extracting the QP trap occupation as a function of time from the continuous IQ data. We first use a Gaussian mixture expectation-maximization algorithm implemented by the python module available from scikit-learn. This module takes in a subset of data, assigns each point to one of the specified number of modes, then tweaks assignments and mode parameters to maximize the total likelihood for all data and all modes. The result is a set of 3 Gaussian modes describing the data. These modes are shown by their 1-\( \sigma \) (solid) and 2-\( \sigma \) (dashed) contours for each mode overlaying the histogram in Fig. 3 (a). Each time-series point is then
assigned to the mode with the highest posterior probability after Bayesian updating with a 3 sample rolling memory window. However, we find the Gaussian mixture module to be unreliable in terms of quality of fit and reproducibility of Gaussian mode parameters, varying significantly even when refitting the same data with the same initial guess. This is immediately apparent from the assigned distributions shown, which do not faithfully represent the means of the 1- and 2-QP modes.

To improve the reliability of the fit, we need to “initialize” the trapped quasiparticle configuration, thereby isolating a single Gaussian mode for independent fitting. This is a challenge, as we have no direct control of the actual trapped quasiparticle number. Fortunately, the Gaussian mixture module does a good job of assigning the majority of each mode to the correct occupation. We use this initial assignment to fit the mean decay rate of each mode $\Gamma_i$ and then identify periods in the time series when the extracted QP occupation is stationary for a substantial period of time, at least 4 $\mu$s. Data taken at $\phi = 0.47$ with the same procedure as panel b. The darkest mode is due to the resonance with 0 trapped QPs. The second darkest, located near (1,Q) = (12 mV, 15 mV), corresponds to 1 trapped QP and the last mode corresponds to 2 or more trapped QPs as this mode corresponds to the resonance moving far from the drive frequency.

In conclusion, we have developed a device for the ultra-low-noise continuous detection of up to 2 quasiparticles trapping in Andreev bound states. Our device is capable of detecting a trapped QP with SNR of 1 in 60 ns, giving it a noise floor of $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ QPs $/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. Straightforward extensions are possible to lower noise floors and...
FIG. 3. [color online] (a) Initial clustering of data using the scikit-learn Gaussian mixture module produces modes with 1σ (solid) and 2σ (dashed) contours for 0, 1, and 2 or more trapped QPs in light blue, dark blue, and orange, respectively. (b) To improve extraction fidelity, subsets of the data in which the occupation stays in a given mode for a substantial amount of time (4/Γ_i) are individually fit to a Gaussian distribution. The means and covariances of each mode are then fixed and a final fit to the full dataset is performed with the only free parameters being the weight of each mode. (c) A segment of data plotted as a time series with I in brown and Q in dashed magenta. The trap occupation, extracted as the maximum posterior probability associated with the modes of panel b for each point in data (after a 3 sample window of Bayesian updating), is encoded in the background color as light blue, dark blue, and orange for 0, 1, and 2+ QPs, respectively.

detection of higher numbers of trapped QPs. Our device can be used for QP studies including statistical analysis of trapping and untrapping rates as well as trap occupation, spectroscopic measurements of the trapped QP energy distributions, and testing of QP mitigation techniques.
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I. SUPPORTING INFORMATION TO "CONTINUOUS REAL-TIME DETECTION OF QUASIPARTICLE TRAPPING"

A. Fabrication details

We start with a high-resistivity intrinsic silicon wafer with 5/50 nm Ti/Au markers bordering a 1 cm square chip. AZ1512 photoresist is spun on at 4000 RPM for 60 seconds, followed by a pre-exposure bake at 100°C for 60 seconds. We use shadow-mask photolithography to pattern the coplanar waveguide resonator and the chip is developed in AZ400K at room temperature for 45 seconds. Aluminum is deposited via e-beam evaporation to a thickness of 100 nm. Liftoff is performed by soaking in acetone for 30 minutes followed by a 30 second sonication.

To fabricate the junctions, we next spin the chip with MMA EL6 at 3200 RPM for 60 seconds followed by a 5 minute bake at 170°C. This results in a layer of MMA around 100 nm thick. We then spin a layer of PMMA A2 at 3600 RPM followed by a 5 minute bake at 180°C to achieve a 60 nm layer of PMMA on top. The SQUID is patterned with e-beam lithography using a beam current of ∼18 pA and a 2 nm step size, with some intentionally low dose elements on one side of the pattern that define undercuts – regions where the MMA develops away but a lip of PMMA remains. The junctions are defined as 100 nm long lines bridging the two sides of the SQUID. Aluminum is deposited via e-beam evaporation to 8 nm at direct incidence, then the substrate stage is tilted to 35 degrees and another 62 nm thickness is accumulated on chip. This angled evaporation is necessary to define the 3 dimensional nanobridge as the 35 degree incidence blocks the line of sight to the substrate in the junction line while allowing it in the SQUID leads. Liftoff is performed by a 2 hour soak in acetone warmed to 45°C, followed by a 10 second sonication.

The last step is to make superconducting contact between the resonator and the SQUID. AZ1512 is spun on as before and photolithography defines a pair of rectangles for each device. This is developed in AZ400K as before. Each pair of rectangles opens a window that covers a portion of the SQUID contact pad and the resonator. The chip is then loaded into the e-beam evaporator and an end-Hall ion source running Argon is used to remove surface oxides from the SQUID and resonator portions exposed in these windows. Our recipe is 80 V at 3.5 A discharge (resulting in ∼50 eV neutral Argon bombardment) at 35 degree incidence for 270 seconds. We find that this is enough to remove the native oxide layer while not etching through the thinnest (70 nm) layer of Aluminum. Without breaking vacuum, we deposit 250 nm of Al to ensure good contact between resonator and SQUID. Liftoff is performed by a 30 minute soak in acetone followed by 10 second sonication.

B. Fitting flux tuning and participation ratio

To characterize the junction properties we fit the device resonant frequency, $\omega_0$, as a function of applied flux, $\phi$, and extract the Josephson inductance participation ratio at zero flux, $q_0$. To fit this, we need to derive the flux tuning equation. We assume we have two symmetric junctions which each approximately obey the KO-1 current-phase relation (CPR) of an ideal short diffusive metallic weak link\cite{33},

$$I(\delta) = \frac{\Delta N_e}{4\varphi_0} \cos \frac{\delta}{2} \tanh^{-1}(\sin \frac{\delta}{2}),$$

(S1)

where $\Delta$ is the superconducting gap, $N_e$ is the effective number of channels, $\varphi_0$ is the reduced flux quantum, and $\delta = \pi \phi$ is the phase bias across the junction. This gives a SQUID inductance,

$$L_S(\phi) = L_{j,0} \left[ 1 - \sin \frac{\pi \phi}{2} \tanh^{-1}(\sin \frac{\pi \phi}{2}) \right],$$

(S2)

where the Josephson inductance per bridge at zero flux is

$$L_{j,0} = \frac{8 \varphi_0^2}{\Delta N_e}.$$  

(S3)

With the definition of the Josephson inductance participation ratio as

$$q(\phi) = \frac{L_S(\phi)}{L + L_S(\phi)}$$

(S4)
and $q_0 \equiv q(0)$, we can rewrite the resonant frequency function as

$$
\omega(\phi) = \omega_0 \left[ 1 + q_0 \frac{\sin \frac{\pi \phi}{2} \tanh^{-1}(\sin \frac{\pi \phi}{2})}{1 - \sin \frac{\pi \phi}{2} \tanh^{-1}(\sin \frac{\pi \phi}{2})} \right]^{-1/2}.
$$

(S5)

FIG. S1. The extracted resonant frequency as a function of flux. The solid curve is the fit to the equation in the supplemental text. We extract a Josephson resonant frequency at zero flux of 4.27 GHz.

We measure $S_{11}$ as a function of applied flux to extract the resonant frequency, then fit it to the above equation. The results for the device in the main text are presented in Fig. S1. We find our Josephson participation ratio at zero flux is $q_0 \approx 0.01341$. We obtain the resonator’s linear inductance of $L = 1.83 \text{ nH}$ via finite element EM simulations. From this and the participation ratio we extract the SQUID inductance at zero flux as $L_S(0) \approx 24 \text{ pH}$, corresponding to an effective channel count $N_e \approx 660$ per junction.

We note that our 100 nm long nanobridges have CPRs which are not quite equal to the KO-1 formula [20][21]. However, we have found these junctions’ CPRs are very well approximated by an ideal KO-1 junction in series with a small linear inductance. This approximation leads to an insignificant modification of the participation ratio, as this small extra linear inductance simply adds to the large resonator linear inductance, and so we neglect it.

C. Quiet periods Gaussian fit and occupation extraction

We first fit the IQ voltage-vs-time data using the scikit-learn Gaussian Mixture module described in the main text to extract a rough occupation. The next task is to separate out subsets of data in which the mode (i.e. the trapped QP number) is stationary for a significant portion of time. To do this, we first fit the lifetimes of each occupation by building a histogram of the times spent in each mode. The portion of this histogram above the mean is fit to an exponential to extract the lifetime of the mode. For each mode, we separate out only those subsets of data in which the mode is constant for longer than 4 times that mode’s lifetime. We trim the first and last 5% of the lifetime from each subset before stitching them together to exclude any ringdown effects. This provides 3 datasets, one for each mode, where we can confidently assign the data to a certain QP occupation.

Each of these datasets is histogrammed and independently fit to a 2D Gaussian distribution. Using the means and covariances of each fit as fixed values, we perform another fit of a histogram of the original full dataset to a sum of all 3 Gaussians with their relative weights as the only free parameters. This final step is of critical importance as the weights of each mode are a significant contributor ($P(M_i)$) to the calculation of posterior probabilities used in extracting the occupation. Since we expect the resonator to remain in the same mode for many consecutive points in the time series, we apply a Bayesian inference scheme with a memory window of 3 points. i.e., the probability that the $k_{th}$ point belongs to the $i_{th}$ mode is

$$
P(M_i|\vec{x}_k, \vec{x}_{k-1}, \vec{x}_{k-2}) = \frac{P(\vec{x}_k|M_i)P(M_i|\vec{x}_{k-1}, \vec{x}_{k-2})}{P(\vec{x}_k|M_i)P(M_i|\vec{x}_{k-1}, \vec{x}_{k-2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} P(\vec{x}_k|M_i)P(M_i)P(M_i|\vec{x}_{k-1}, \vec{x}_{k-2})}
$$

where

$$
P(M_i|\vec{x}_{k-1}, \vec{x}_{k-2}) = \frac{P(\vec{x}_{k-1}|M_i)P(M_i|\vec{x}_{k-2})}{P(\vec{x}_{k-1}|M_i)P(M_i|\vec{x}_{k-2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} P(\vec{x}_{k-1}|M_i)P(M_i)P(M_i|\vec{x}_{k-2})},
$$
and \( P(M_i | \bar{x}_{k-2}) \) is the posterior probability of the Gaussian mode \( M_i \) conditioned on the data, defined as

\[
P(M_i | \bar{x}_k) = \frac{P(\bar{x}_k | M_i) P(M_i)}{\sum_{j=0}^{2} P(\bar{x}_k | M_j) P(M_j)},
\]

Finally, the quasiparticle occupation at the \( k_{th} \) point in the time series is taken as the mode with maximum \( P(M_i | \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_{k-1}, \bar{x}_{k-2}) \) \( \forall i \in \{0, 1, 2\} \).

D. Clearing tone

As described in the main text, we expect the trap depth to be \( \Delta - E_A(\phi = 0.47) \approx 10.7 \) GHz. To test that our observed behavior is due to quasiparticles trapping in Andreev states, we attempt to clear these trapped quasiparticles by injecting a tone with sufficient energy to excite the trapped quasiparticles into the continuum of available states above the gap. Fig. S2 shows the microwave response of the resonator as a function of a 17 GHz tone drive power. Ensemble measurements of the reflection coefficient show that, when driven strongly, the resonance curve recovers the single Lorentzian shape that it has at 0 flux. We also see that the second and third modes apparent in the continuous IQ measurements have greatly reduced amplitudes, indicating that QPs spend far less time in trap states as the 17 GHz tone clears them out. Combined with the qualitative flux dependence of trapping —trapping modes move farther apart and become stronger as the flux bias becomes deeper—we take this as definitive evidence of QP trapping, similar to that shown in ensemble measurements of similar devices.\(^{20}\)

![Fig. S2. Microwave response of the resonance at flux bias 0.45 as a function of clearing tone power.](image)

The legend in (a) shows the approximate power of the clearing tone at the plane of the device. The red curve is at low power and shows a significant bump on the low frequency side due to averaging over many configurations of quasiparticle occupation. At high clearing tone power (blue curve) we see the resonator response narrows and appears to be more over-coupled. We stress that the ratio of internal loss to external coupling is not actually changing, rather, the response is taller because we are no longer averaging over other configurations of quasiparticle occupation. (b) Histogram of time series data with the clearing tone at the same power as the red trace in (a). (c) Histogram of time series data with the clearing tone at the same power as the blue trace in (a). Note that any trapped quasiparticles are quickly excited back above the gap, greatly reducing the weights of the 1- and 2-QP modes and increasing the weight of the 0-QP mode.