Friction-enhanced lifetime of bundled quantum vortices
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Experiments in the early 1980s have shown that a compact bundle of quantum vortex rings in superfluid helium remains coherent and travels a significant distance compared to its size. This is surprising because a single vortex ring, under the effect of friction with the background of thermal excitations (the so-called normal fluid), would quickly lose energy and decay. The observation of these long-lived vortex structures has remained an unsettled question since their experimental detection. In this work, by taking into account the fully coupled dynamics of superfluid vortices and the normal fluid, we show that the motion of the superfluid bundle generates a large-scale wake in the normal fluid which reduces the overall friction experienced by the bundle, enhancing its lifetime. This collective effect is similar to the drag reduction observed in systems of active, hydrodynamically cooperative agents such as bacteria in aqueous suspensions, fungal spores in the atmosphere and cyclists in pelotons.

INTRODUCTION

Some natural and anthropic systems consist of components which may interact with each others either directly or, crucially, also indirectly by changing the common background, leading to remarkable collective effects. One example is self-propelled bacteria in active aqueous suspensions which, at increasing concentration, reduce (even to zero) the shear viscosity of the suspension by collective motion [1–3]. A second example is the synchronized ejection of fungal spores which, by collectively creating an airflow, reduce the drag, thus increasing their spatial dispersion [4]. A third example is road racing cyclists cooperatively organized in the peloton, who benefit from an overall greatly reduced aerodynamic drag [5, 6] compared to cyclists riding alone. A fourth (non-biological) example is the increase of the speed of pairs of particles trapped inside an optical vortex due to the hydrodynamical interaction [7, 8].

In this study we report a similar collective effect in a completely different physical system that consists of a bundle of superfluid vortex rings traveling in liquid helium (4He). Below the critical temperature \( T_c = 2.17 \) K, liquid helium is a quantum fluid composed of two inter-penetrating components: an inviscid superfluid (associated to the quantum ground state) and a viscous normal fluid (consisting of thermal excitations). The relative proportion of superfluid and normal fluid depends on the temperature \( T \): the superfluid (normal fluid) fraction ranges from one (zero) at \( T = 0 \) to one (zero) at \( T = T_c \). Besides the lack of viscosity, what makes superfluid dynamics peculiar is the quantum mechanical constraints on the rotation, for which superfluid vorticity only exists in the form of vortex lines of fixed atomic thickness and quantized circulation \( \kappa \), whereas the vorticity of the normal fluid is a continuous field as in all ordinary classical fluids.

Superfluid vortex lines in the shape of circular vortex rings can be generated in the laboratory by injecting electrons [9, 11], forcing liquid helium through orifices [12] or moving a grid [13]. Vortex rings are fundamental non-linear excitations of a superfluid. At very low temperatures (in a pure superfluid), an isolated superfluid vortex ring of radius \( R \) is an Hamiltonian object [14] traveling at constant energy (\( \propto \kappa^2 R \)) and velocity (\( \propto \kappa/R \)). At non-zero temperatures, thermal excitations are scattered by vortex lines, generating a mutual friction between the superfluid and the normal fluid. As a result of this friction, an individual superfluid vortex ring, moving with respect to the background normal fluid, loses energy, shrinks, speeds up, and vanishes. However, as we will show in this work, if we consider a sufficiently compact toroidal bundle composed of many vortex rings, the dynamics remarkably changes: vortices, besides interacting directly with each others in a peculiar leapfrogging fashion, also interplay indirectly via hydrodynamic interactions, modifying the normal fluid background. This reduces drastically the total friction so that the bundle remains coherent as if the normal fluid were almost absent, resulting in an enhanced lifetime. Such an unusual long lives of superfluid vortex rings have been observed in experiments [15–17], but never explained. By taking in account the fully coupled dynamics of superfluid and normal fluid, here we elucidate the underlying physical mechanisms of this remarkable effect.

THE EXPERIMENT

In the cited experiments [15–17], large-scale vortex ring structures identified as vortex bundles were generated.
by forcing liquid helium out of a tube of inner diameter 0.8 cm. Position and translational velocity of these vortex structures were measured acoustically, together with the spatial distributions of superfluid and normal fluid circulations within the large scale bundle. It was found that, over a wide temperature range (1.3 < T < 2.15 K, corresponding to the superfluid fraction changing from 96% to 0.13%) the bundles remained relatively compact, conserving their initial shape and moving at constant speed over distances of the order of 7 times their initial diameter (approximately equal to the inner diameter of the generating tube). The observed range was limited by the size of the experimental apparatus. The measured superfluid and normal fluid circulations were both of the order of 10³κ, suggesting that each vortex structure consisted of a bundle of ≈ 10³ superfluid vortex rings embedded in a normal fluid vortex structure of the same circulation, traveling together across the experimental apparatus.

**MODELING VORTEX BUNDLES**

Our starting point is the Vortex Filament (VF) model of Schwarz [15], a theory of the dynamics of superfluid vortex lines. The VF model is based on the remark that, in typical experiments, the vortex core thickness \( \alpha_0 \approx 10^{-8} \) cm is many orders of magnitude smaller than any other length scale of the flow, including the average distance \( \ell \) between vortex lines. Vortex lines can thus be effectively described as one-dimensional space curves \( s(\xi, t) \) of negligible mass and infinitesimal thickness, where \( \xi \) is arc length and \( t \) is time. By balancing the friction force (arising from the interaction with the normal fluid) and the Magnus force (arising from the circulation \( \kappa \)), the velocity of a vortex line is

\[
\dot{s}(\xi, t) = \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = v_s + \alpha s' \times v_{ns} - \alpha' s' \times (s' \times v_{ns}),
\]

where \( s' = \partial s/\partial \xi \) is the unit tangent vector at \( s \), \( v_{ns} = v_n - v_s \), \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \) are temperature-dependent friction coefficients [19], \( v_n \) is the imposed normal fluid velocity at \( s \), and \( v_s \) is the superfluid velocity induced by the entire vortex configuration \( L \) at the point \( x \) through a regularized Biot-Savart integral:

\[
v_s(x, t) = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \int_L \frac{s'(\xi, t) \times (x - s(\xi, t))}{|x - s(\xi, t)|^3} d\xi.
\]

Schwarz’s VF model, consisting of Eqs. 1-2 and an algorithm to perform vortex reconstructions when two vortex lines collide, has been extensively used with success to describe important observed properties of turbulent tangles of superfluid vortex lines such as for instance the Kolmogorov energy spectrum [20, 21].

An important limitation of Schwarz’s VF model is that the normal fluid velocity \( v_n \) is imposed a priori (e.g. in order to model an externally-applied thermal or mechanical stirring), neglecting hence the back-reaction of the superfluid vortex lines on the normal fluid. In that sense, Schwarz’s VF model is a 1-way coupling model. Recent experiments with He₂ excimer molecules [22] and tracer particles [23], show that the role of mutual friction in perturbing the normal fluid cannot be overlooked, suggesting [23] that wakes may form behind each individual vortex line due to the mutual friction interplay. To account for this effect, Eqs. 1 and 2 have to be coupled self-consistently with the Navier-Stokes equations governing the normal fluid flow (suitably supplemented with a mutual friction force \( F_{ns} \))

\[
\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial t} + (v_n \cdot \nabla) v_n = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p_n + \nu n \nabla^2 v_n + \frac{F_{ns}}{\rho_n}.
\]

Here, \( v_n = v_n(x, t) \) is the normal fluid velocity; \( \rho = \rho_n + \rho_s \) is the total density of liquid helium, with \( \rho_n \) and \( \rho_s \) the normal and superfluid densities, respectively; \( p_n \) is pressure and \( \nu_n \) is the kinematic viscosity of the normal component. The vortex filaments perturb the normal fluid through the mutual friction term \( F_{ns} = f_{ns}[s] \). As usually referred in the active matter community, Eqs. 1-3 constitutes a 4-way coupling modeling in the sense that filament dynamics not only depend and modify the environmental fluid, but also filaments interact with each other through long-range interactions (Biot-Savart law).

To integrate this coupled Navier-Stokes Vortex Filament (NS-VF) model, we employ the recently developed algorithm FOUCAULT [24] which, compared to previous approaches [25-28], uses a novel theoretical and numerical modeling of \( F_{ns} \) (which also leads to the recalculation of friction coefficients \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \)) and is able to resolve a wider range of length scales of the flow (as described more in detail in the Methods section).

**RESULTS**

**Long lived superfluid vortex bundles**

Since we cannot model the actual vortex nucleation process within the nozzle, our initial condition for the superfluid vortex structure at time \( t = 0 \) is necessarily idealized: it consists of a concentric bundle of \( N = 169 \) circular vortex rings placed inside a torus of outer radius \( R_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-2} \) cm and inner radius \( a = 3.4 \times 10^{-3} \) cm, leading to the radius ratio \( R_0/a = 3.5 \). For comparison, we also study a thinner bundle \( (R_0 = 2.3 \times 10^{-2} \) cm, \( a = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}, R_0/a = 8 \)) with a smaller number of vortex rings \( N = 37 \). We will discuss this thinner bundle latter. For the sake of simplicity, these initial vortex rings are distributed in a hexagonal lattice over the cross-section of the torus (see the top left panel of Fig. 1, corresponding
FIG. 1. Evolution of vortex bundle with an initial central radius $R_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ cm traveling along the x-direction at $t = 0$ (left), $t = 0.009$ s (middle) and $t = 0.051$ s (right). The vortex lines are displayed in red and the normal fluid’s enstrophy in bluish colors. The inset in the top left panel displays the initial cross section of the vortex bundle. Top and middle rows show numerical simulations of Schwarz’s VF model at $T = 0$ and $T = 1.95K$ respectively. The distance travelled by the bundle (in the cases where it remains coherent) is denoted by $x_{pos}$. Results obtained using the coupled NS-VF model are shown in the bottom panel. Note that at a finite temperature, in the original VF model of Schwarz, the bundle quickly loses its coherence, leaving rings behind.

to the configuration of solid-body rotation of the superfluid component within the torus. Due to computational constraints, in our numerical simulations the radii $R$ and $a$ of the torus and the number $N$ of superfluid vortices are one order of magnitude smaller than in the performed experiments [17]. Nevertheless, the experimentally measured radius ratio $R_0/a \approx 3.9$ is very close to the value employed in our numerical simulations.

We perform simulations at two temperatures: at $T = 0$, where the normal fluid is absent ($\alpha = \alpha' = 0$ and $\rho_n = 0$), and hence the superfluid vortices within the bundle move purely according to the Biot-Savart law (Eq. 2), and at $T = 1.95K$, where $\rho_n \sim \rho_s$. As expected, at $T = 0$ the vortex bundle preserves its shape for long times, as displayed in the top panel of Fig. 1. We find that the radii $R$ and $a$ remain almost constant during the entire computed evolution of this bundle, while it travels a distance $D \approx 14R_0$ in the $x$ direction. In the initial stage, the vortex dynamics consists of a generalized leapfrogging (each vortex ring leapfrogging around and inside the others), until reconnections occur, triggering the development of Kelvin waves, as illustrated in Fig. 1 and as observed previously [29]. The presence of Kelvin waves leads to a small increase of the total vortex length $L = \oint \xi d\xi$, as displayed in Fig. 2a. At much later times (not shown), instabilities affect the motion of the bundle and the latter slowly starts losing coherence. Such process will be studied in a future work. These results at $T = 0$ are in agreement with the experiments [17] in the low-temperature range where the normal fluid is negligible.

At $T = 1.95K$, the normal fluid fraction $\rho_n/\rho \sim 0.5$ and hence the evolution of the bundle will depend on the model employed, either Schwarz’s VF model (1-way coupling) or our 4-way novel coupling algorithm [24]. Indeed, if we use Schwarz’s VF model, we observe that, as the vortex bundle travels in the normal fluid imposed at
more realistic 4-way coupling model \cite{24} (which accounts fluid flow we choose a large-scale toroidal vortex-ring of \(T\) of a single vortex ring of initial radius equal to \(R_0\), a time interval comparable to the lifetime \(\tau\) calculated: the complete decay of the bundle, in fact, occurs in distant vortices which almost shrink as if they were iso-

eriments. Very quickly, the bundle becomes a set of 10\% by \(t\) has decreased to 60\% of its original value and almost to \(95\%\) at the time of a single vortex ring of initial radius equal to \(R_0\). The bundle visualized in Fig.1 is displayed in \(\tau_0\) represented in Fig.1. The time scale \(\tau_0\) is the life-
time of a single vortex ring of initial radius equal to \(R_0\) at \(T = 1.95K\) \((\tau_0 = 0.2444[\text{s}]\) and \(\tau_0 = 0.9041[\text{s}]\) for the big and the thin bundle, respectively).

rest \((\nabla_n = 0)\), it quickly spreads spatially in the direction of motion, and rapidly loses vortices behind (see middle panel of Fig.1), as a result of dissipation. This rapid decay can be clearly observed in Fig.2a, where we notice that the total length quickly decreases (the two vertical lines in this figure correspond to the times displayed in Fig.1). Note that by \(t = 0.009[\text{s}]\), the bundle total length has decreased to 60\% of its original value and almost to 10\% by \(t = 0.051[\text{s}]\), in stark disagreement with the experiments. Very quickly, the bundle becomes a set of distant vortices which almost shrink as if they were isolated: the complete decay of the bundle, in fact, occurs in a time interval comparable to the lifetime \(\tau_0 = 0.2444[\text{s}]\) of a single vortex ring of initial radius equal to \(R_0\) at the same temperature \(T = 1.95K\).

A totally different behavior is observed if we use our more realistic 4-way coupling model \cite{24} (which accounts for an evolving \(\nabla_n\)). As initial condition for the normal fluid flow we choose a large-scale toroidal vortex-ring of outer radius \(R_0\), inner radius \(a\) and circulation \(N\kappa\) (i.e. matching the superfluid bundle circulation), with a Gaussian distribution of vorticity within the toroidal core (see left panel of Fig.1 (bottom)). This is probably a fair approximation to the physical reality of the experiment: as liquid helium is pushed out of the nozzle, a normal fluid vortex ring with the same circulation of the superfluid vortex bundle is indeed observed to be generated \cite{17}. Our coupled simulations show that the vortex bundle does not decay, but remains coherent even after traveling a significant distance \(D \approx 15R_0\), in agreement with experimental measurements. This dynamical behavior of the flow structure, can be appreciated in Fig.1 (bottom), where the normal fluid enstrophy density, \(|\omega_n|^2\), is shown in bluish colors, alongside the superfluid quantized vortices in red. The middle and right panels of Fig.1 (bottom) show that the combined normal fluid-superfluid vortex structure indeed travels coherently, maintaining its size over a relatively large distance compared to its di-
ameter (the bundle still remains coherent for \(t > 0.051\text{s}\), not shown in Fig.1). This dynamics is in strong contrast with the predictions of the Schwarz’s VF model reported in Fig.1 (middle). When compared to the \(T = 0\) dynam-
ics (Fig.1 top), we observe that the radial distribution of the vorticity is broader, also filling the central region of the torus: this is consistent with experimental results showing that large scale vortex rings in He II have a less sharp vorticity distribution than vortex rings in classical fluids \cite{16, 17}.

The different behavior predicted by Schwarz’s VF model and our fully coupled NS-VF model is remarkable when we compare the temporal evolution of the superfluid bundle’s vortex length, reported in Fig.2 (a). While the length calculated with Schwarz’s VF model rapidly decays, if we take into account the back reaction of the moving superfluid vortices on the normal fluid flow, the vortex length remains almost constant during the motion of the bundle, a behavior similar to the \(T = 0\) run. This effect is not simply the consequence of the imposed normal fluid ring. Indeed, we have performed simulations with an initially quiescent normal fluid (dot-dashed lines in Fig.2). During a short initial stage \((t < 0.02\tau_0)\), the coupled NS-VF model follows the rapid decay of the Schwarz’s VF model, but after this short transient, the superfluid vortex bundle creates normal fluid vortex structures that prevent the rapid decay of the bundle. For the thinner bundle, a similar behavior is observed (red curves).

During the bundle’s evolution, the mutual friction force creates non-trivial structures in the normal fluid, as it is illustrated in Fig.3 where on the mid-plane \(z = 0\) we show the \(z\)-component of the normal fluid vorticity \(\omega_n^z\) (a) and the \(x\)-component of the normal fluid velocity \(v_n^x\) (b). The top panel of Fig.3 clearly highlights that each vortex line generates a localized disturbance in the surrounding normal fluid (visible as blue/green dots near each red

![Fig. 2](image-url) Temporal evolution of a superfluid vortex bundle’s total length (a) and total energy dissipation (b) at \(T = 1.95K\). The bundle visualized in Fig.1 is displayed in blue and the thin bundle in red (see text). We compare the Schwarz’s VF model (dashed lines) and our coupled NS-VF model with the normal fluid initialized with (solid lines) and without (dot-dashed lines) a vortex ring similar to the superfluid vortex bundle. By comparison, we also display the temporal evolution of the vortex length at \(T = 0\) (green dots). The two vertical lines correspond to the times \(t = 0.009[\text{s}]\) and \(t = 0.051[\text{s}]\) represented in Fig.1. The time scale \(\tau_0\) is the life-
time of a single vortex ring or initial radius equal to \(R_0\) at \(T = 1.95K\) \((\tau_0 = 0.2444[\text{s}]\) and \(\tau_0 = 0.9041[\text{s}]\) for the big and the thin bundle, respectively).
vortex line, depending on the sign of \( \omega_n^z \), as predicted in Refs. [24, 25, 30]; we shall examine these perturbations in more details later. Such disturbances of the normal fluid flow generated by the back reaction of the moving superfluid vortices are reminiscent of vorticity injection in ordinary viscous fluids by point-like active agents (e.g., solid particles in classical turbulence [31]) and shows how superfluids can be seen as a peculiar type of active fluid.

**Hydrodynamic interaction reduces friction**

The mutual friction force per unit length \( f_{ns} \), responsible for the observed enhanced lifetime of vortex bundle, is a function of the relative velocity \( s(\xi, t) - v_n(\xi, t, t) \) between the vortex filament and the local value of the normal fluid (see Methods for exact definition). As a result, if the coupling is sufficiently strong, the velocity difference \( |s - v_n| \rightarrow 0 \), minimizing the friction itself. It turns out that to reduce drag, it is more efficient for the system to generate normal fluid vorticity, which slows down (even halts) the decay of the combined normal fluid - superfluid vortex structure. This is a remarkable collective effect arising from the fact that vortices, besides interacting directly among themselves via the Biot-Savart law (Eq. 2), also interact hydrodynamically by modifying the common background (the normal fluid) in which they are dispersed.

To quantify the reduction of friction by this collective effect, we compute the dissipation of superfluid kinetic energy \( \epsilon(t) = \oint s \cdot \dot{s} \cdot N^2 L(t) \) normalized by \( \rho_n \kappa^2 N^2 L(t) \). Figure 2b shows its temporal evolution. Schwarz's VF model (dashed lines) is compared with the coupled NS-VF model with and without and initial normal fluid ring (solid and dot-dashed lines respectively).

It is apparent that in the coupled model the friction is substantially reduced compared to Schwarz's VF model. Note that in the VF model, the decrease of friction at large times is related to the small number of vortices remaining in the system.

We repeat this set of simulations (Schwarz's VF and coupled NS-VF runs with and without an initial vortex ring for the normal fluid) for the thinner bundle. We observe the same mechanism of friction reduction by collective hydrodynamic interactions (see Fig. 2b, red curves), but as vortices are on average more distant the hydrodynamic cooperation is less efficient and the drag reduction is slightly less effective, as it can be noted in Fig. 2a.

**Normal fluid structures**

In order to gain insight into the vorticity generation in the normal fluid by the moving superfluid vortices, in Fig. 4 we examine in more detail the disturbances which a single, isolated vortex ring of initial radius \( R_0 = 7.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm} \), moving in an initially quiescent normal fluid, induces in the latter according to our coupled NS-VF model. Panel (a) of the figure shows the superfluid vortex ring (in red) traveling in the x-direction at \( t = 0.16 \text{ s} \). The normal fluid enstrophy density \( |\omega_n|^2 \), and the magnitude of the normal fluid velocity, \( |v_n| \), are displayed respectively on the upper and lower halves of the horizontal plane \( z = 0 \) (perpendicular to the plane containing the superfluid vortex ring). Panel (b) zooms on the normal fluid enstrophy, showing that it consists of two regions, just ahead and just behind the superfluid vortex. The red circle indicates the intersection of the superfluid vortex ring with the horizontal plane and the white star (effectively at the same position of the red circle) indicates the center of the entire enstrophy distribution. The green dashed curve indicates the isoline corresponding to 4% of the maximum enstrophy around the largest of the two enstrophy structures. The two enstrophy structure can be thought as two vortex rings in the normal fluid [23]: the largest is just ahead of the superfluid vortex ring and has the same polarity, the smallest is behind it and has opposite polarity. Such normal fluid structures are also visible for all the filaments of the bundles, as it can be observed in Figs. 1 and 3.

As the superfluid vortex ring moves with respect to the normal fluid and perturbs it, it loses energy, therefore its radius \( R \) shrinks with time, as shown in panel (c) of Fig. 4. From this panel, it is apparent that the
FIG. 4. Coupled NS-VF model. Normal fluid flow disturbances at $T = 1.95$ K generated by a single superfluid vortex ring of initial radius $R_0 = 7.6 \times 10^{-3}$ cm traveling in the x-direction (left to right) a): normal fluid’s enstrophy $|\omega_n|^2$ (in s$^{-2}$, top) and speed $|\mathbf{v}_n|$ (in cm/s, bottom) plotted on the horizontal plane $z = 0$ at time $t = 0.16$ s; the superfluid vortex ring is the red tube. b) zoom on the normal fluid enstrophy shown in panel (a). The red circle is the intersection of the superfluid vortex ring with the horizontal plane, and the white star (overlapping the red circle) indicates the center of the enstrophy ($|\omega_n|^2$) distribution. The green dashed curve indicates the isoline corresponding to 4% of the maximum enstrophy around the largest of the two enstrophy structures. c) Temporal evolution of the radius $R$ (in cm) of a vortex ring moving in an initially quiescent normal fluid at $T = 1.7, 1.8, 1.95, 2.0, 2.1$ K (from yellow to red) d) Temporal evolution of the normal fluid vortex size. e) Temporal evolution of the normal fluid’s velocity circulation $\Gamma_n$ computed along the green dashed curve indicated on panel (b), in units of $\kappa$. In (d) and (e) time $t$ is normalized by the vortex ring lifetime $\tau$.

lifetime $\tau$ of the vortex ring (the time it takes for $R$ to vanish) depends on temperature. As it is expected, $\tau$ decreases for increasing temperatures, as at higher temperatures mutual friction induced dissipation is larger [22].

The shrinking curves reported Fig. 4c are qualitatively (but not quantitatively) similar to what is predicted by Schwarz’s VF model. For the sake of clarity, we only show the corresponding life times computed by integrating the equations of motion of Schwarz’s VF model, indicated by the vertical dashed lines. Fig. 4c shows that the life time of vortex rings according to the coupled NS-VF method is roughly twice the value predicted by Schwarz’s VF model. The structures generated in the normal fluid through mutual friction by a single superfluid vortex ring also extend its life time, but are not enough to prevent its fast decay. Panel (d) shows the temporal evolution of the typical size $\bar{\sigma}$ of the normal fluid structure obtained by an enstrophy-weighed average. Interestingly, the values of $\bar{\sigma}$ are comparable to the size of solid hydrogen tracking particles used in current experiments. Our findings hence reinforce recent suggestions ascribing the observed particle velocity correlations to the disturbances generated in the normal fluid by the superfluid vortices [23]. Furthermore, we have checked that if the initial separation between superfluid vortexes within vortex bundles is much larger than the typical values of $\bar{\sigma}$, the collective drag reduction mechanism of the bundle is absent and the bundle loses coherence very rapidly.

Finally, in order to quantitatively compare the strength of the superfluid and normal fluid velocity fields, we measure the normal fluid’s circulation $\Gamma_n$ along the green dashed curve reported in panel (b) (i.e. around the largest enstrophy structure generated in the normal fluid). The temporal evolution of $\Gamma_n/\kappa$ is shown in panel (e) of Fig. 4 for all the studied temperatures. We find that the normal fluid circulation is slightly smaller than the superfluid circulation, but always remains of the same order, in agreement with the experiments. From the previous discussion, it follows that the collective effect of several superfluid vortex rings is needed in order to generate a normal fluid flow able to substantially reduce the effect of mutual friction and obtain an enhanced life time, such as the on observed for the bundles studied in this work.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, by taking in full account [24] the reciprocal interaction of the superfluid and the thermal background (the normal fluid), we have found that a compact bundle of superfluid vortex rings creates a disturbance in the normal fluid that is sufficiently strong to reduce the velocity difference between the two fluids, hence reduce the friction on the superfluid vortex rings. While isolated superfluid vortex rings quickly lose energy, shrink and vanish, we observe that bundled vortex rings remain coherent and travel a significant distance compared to their size, as observed in the experiments [15–17].

This remarkable collective effect - the reduction of friction via hydrodynamic cooperation - is similar to what has been observed in systems of active particles such as swimming bacteria [18], fungal spores [19], racing cyclists [20–22] and particle pairs trapped in an optical vortex [23,24], in which self-organized structures emerge from energy-saving mechanisms [25]. The system that we have investigated, superfluid helium, is however richer: whereas in fact in the cited active matter systems the agents, besides modifying the common background fluid, may interact with each others directly only via short-range two-body collisions, in our case the vortex lines also experi-
ence a significant collective long-range interaction which for instance induces them to rotate around each other (leapfrogging). Superfluid helium can hence be considered as a peculiar kind of active fluid, distinguished by a 4-way coupled dynamics which potentially determines the characteristics of turbulence in both superfluid and normal fluid components. The effect of this coupling on the statistics of superfluid turbulence will be the topic of future research, as well as the implications for vortex dynamics in the more viscous helium isotope $^3$He.
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Methods

The numerical methods used to implement Schwarz’s VF and the coupled NS-VF models are described in detail in Ref. [24]. Here we summarize the main characteristics of the coupled NS-VF model.

Superfluid vortex tangle

The temporal evolution of the superfluid vortex tangle $\mathcal{L}$ is performed employing the well-established Lagrangian VF method elaborated by Schwarz [18, 34] which discretizes vortex lines in a finite number of line elements whose equation of motion is given by Eq. [1]. The singularity of the Biot-Savart integral Eq. [2] is fixed by taking into account the finite size of the vortex core [18]. We compute the full Biot-Savart integral (no tree-approximation). As reconnections are not intrinsically predicted by the VF method, an additional algorithm has to be employed changing the topology of the vortex configuration when two vortex lines become closer than a set threshold.

Normal fluid velocity field

The evolution of the normal fluid velocity field $\mathbf{v}_n$ is computed integrating the Navier-Stokes Eqs. [3] - [4] using a standard pseudo-spectral code de-aliased employing the 2/3-rule. We refer to established literature for further details concerning the standard algorithm employed for the numerical integration of the Navier Stokes equations [35].

Mutual friction force

The distinguishing features of our coupled NS-VF algorithm actually concern the modeling of the mutual friction force per unit length $f_{\text{ns}}$ in Eq. [1]. We describe the interaction between superfluid vortices and the normal fluid employing a classical low Reynolds number approach [36] revisiting a recent framework used in superfluid turbulence [27]. According to this approach, the mutual friction force which the superfluid vortices exert on the normal fluid reads as follows,

$$f_{\text{ns}}[s] = -D s' \times [s' \times (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{v}_n)] - \rho_n \kappa s' \times (\dot{s} - \mathbf{v}_n), \ (5)$$

where the drag coefficient $D = D[\mathbf{s}]$ is

$$D = \frac{4\pi\rho_n v_n}{\left[\frac{1}{2} - \gamma - \ln \left(\frac{|v_n - s|}{4\nu_n}\right)\right]} \ , \ (6)$$

$\gamma = 0.5772$ being the Euler-Mascheroni constant, $v_n$ is evaluated on the vortex lines, that is to say $v_n = v_n[s]$ (the interpolation being performed using fourth-order $B$-splines), and the quantity $v_n$ indicates the component of the normal fluid velocity lying on a plane orthogonal to $s'$. The use of the expression (5) for $f_{\text{ns}}$ leads to a recalculation of friction coefficients $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ in Eq. [1], as reported in the next section.

As the mutual friction force $f_{\text{ns}}$ is $\delta$-supported on the vortex lines, its numerical distribution on the Eulerian computational grid where we compute the normal fluid velocity $\mathbf{v}_n$ must be handled with care, in order to avoid spurious numerical artifacts. To address this issue, we adopt the same rigorous regularization approach which has been used to take into account the strongly localized response of active point-like particles in classical turbulence [31]. The advantage of adopting this method is that the regularization of the exchange of momentum between point-like active agents and viscous flows is based on the physics of the generation of vorticity and its viscous diffusion at very small scales. In our case, the justification for the use of this model arises from the very small Reynolds numbers characterizing the normal fluid disturbances generated by the moving superfluid vortices (Re $\approx 10^{-5} \div 10^{-4}$).

Calculation of friction coefficients in the coupled NS-VF model

In this paragraph we briefly describe the derivation of the expression of the mutual friction coefficients in the coupled NS-VF model (for further details, the reader is referred to Ref. [24]). The starting point is the classical, low Reynolds number theoretical approach which we employ to model the mutual friction force. This framework
leads to Eq. [5] in the main manuscript accounting for the force per unit length \(-f_{ns}\) which the normal fluid exerts onto the superfluid vortices. The superfluid vortices also suffer a Magnus force \(f_M\) as they are immersed in an inviscid fluid (the superfluid) surrounded by a circulation and in relative motion with respect to the superfluid itself. The expression of the Magnus force per unit length exerted onto the superfluid vortices is as follows,

\[
f_M = \rho_s \kappa \mathbf{s}' \times (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{v}_s).
\] (7)

Since the vortex core is much smaller then any other scales of the flow, the vortex inertia can be neglected and as a consequence the sum of all forces acting on the vortices vanishes, i.e. \(f_M - f_{ns} = 0\).

Assuming that each vortex line element moves orthogonally to its unit tangent vector, i.e. \(\dot{s} \cdot \mathbf{s}' = 0\), the balance of forces leads to the following equation of motion,

\[
\dot{s} = \mathbf{v}_{s,\perp} + \beta \mathbf{s}' \times (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_s) + \beta' \mathbf{s}' \times [\mathbf{s}' \times (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_s)],
\] (8)

where \(\mathbf{v}_{s,\perp}\) indicates the component of the superfluid velocity lying on a plane orthogonal to \(\mathbf{s}'\) and \(\beta\) and \(\beta'\) are the redetermined mutual friction coefficients for the coupled model.

The expressions for \(\beta\) and \(\beta'\) are as follows,

\[
\beta = \frac{a}{(1 + b)^2 + a^2} > 0,
\] (9)

\[
\beta' = -\frac{b(1 + b) + a^2}{(1 + b)^2 + a^2} < 0,
\] (10)

where

\[
a = \frac{D}{\rho_s \kappa} = 4\pi \left(\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_n}\right) \left(\frac{\nu_n}{\kappa}\right) \frac{1}{[\frac{1}{2} - \gamma - \ln \left(\frac{4|v_{n,\perp} - s|\omega_0}{4\nu_n}\right)]},
\] (11)

and

\[
b = \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_s}.
\] (12)

Thus, from the physical point of view, the motion of the vortices is governed only by temperature, determining \(\rho_n/\rho_s\) and \(\nu_n/\kappa\), and the normal fluid Reynolds number \(\text{Re} = |v_{n,\perp} - s|\omega_0/\nu_n\).

**Physical and numerical parameters for superfluid vortex tangle simulations**

Following the VF model elaborated by Schwarz [18][31], we discretize the vortex tangle \(\mathcal{L}\) in a set of \(N_p\) vortex line elements centered in \(\mathbf{s}_i(t) = s_i(\xi_i, t), i = 1, \ldots, N_p\), where \(\xi_i = i\Delta\xi\) is the discretized arclength with discretization \(\Delta\xi \in [\delta, 2\delta]\) where \(\delta = 4.0 \times 10^{-4}\text{cm}\). The normal fluid is solved on a three-dimensional computational grid with \(\{N_x, N_y, N_z\} = \{512, 512, 512\}\) collocation points in each cartesian direction. The computational domain is a periodic box of size \(L_x \times L_y \times L_z\) with \(L_x = L_y = L_z = 10^{-1}\text{cm}\) which leads to grid spacings \(\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = 1.95 \times 10^{-4}\text{cm}\). The size of the computational box is identical for the calculation of the vortex filaments \(L_x = L_y = L_z = 10^{-1}\text{cm}\) and also in this calculation we use periodic boundary conditions. The time step \(\Delta t\) employed in the computation of the temporal evolution of the ‘large’ bundle \((N = 169, \text{cf. main manuscript})\) is \(\Delta t = 5.0 \times 10^{-6}\text{s}\), while the time step used for the ‘thin’ bundle \((N = 37)\) is \(\Delta t = 6.25 \times 10^{-6}\text{s}\).

In order to distribute the mutual friction force \(\mathbf{F}_{ns}\) over the computational grid where the normal fluid velocity is resolved, before employing the regularisation adopted in classical turbulence [31], we interpolate the vortex filaments with a cubic kernel over an arclength sub-scale \(\Delta\xi/4\). We validated this interpolation method on the motion of individual vortex rings.

**Physical and numerical parameters for single superfluid vortex ring decay**

In this set of simulations whose results are summarized in Fig. 4 in the main manuscript, we use a finer discretization of the vortex lines, \(\delta\) being equal to \(8.0 \times 10^{-5}\text{cm}\). This results in a smaller timestep \(\Delta t_{VF} = 6.25 \times 10^{-7}\text{s}\). For the normal fluid velocity computation we use \(\{N_x, N_y, N_z\} = \{256, 256, 256\}\) collocation points in each cartesian direction leading to \(\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = 3.90 \times 10^{-4}\text{cm}\), as the computational periodic box is \(L_x \times L_y \times L_z\) with \(L_x = L_y = L_z = 10^{-1}\text{cm}\). The time step employed for the calculation of the normal fluid velocity field is \(\Delta t_{NS} = 2.50 \times 10^{-5}\text{s}\).

The centre \(\mathbf{x}_\omega(t)\) of the normal fluid enstrophy distribution \(|\omega_n(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2\) on the \(z = 0\) plane indicated with a white star in Fig. 4 b) in the main manuscript is calculated as follows,

\[
\mathbf{x}_\omega(t) = \frac{\int \mathbf{x} \frac{|\omega_n(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2}{\int |\omega_n(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2} d\mathbf{x}}{\int |\omega_n(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2} ,
\] (13)

where \(\mathbf{x}_\omega(t) = (x_\omega(t), y_\omega(t), 0)\) and \(\mathbf{x} = (x, y, 0)\) as the calculation is performed on the \(z = 0\) plane.

The normal fluid vortex size \(\bar{\sigma}\) whose temporal evolution is reported in Fig. 4 d) in the main manuscript is given by \(\bar{\sigma}(t) = \sqrt{R_n(t) R_t(t)}\) where \(R_n\) and \(R_t\) are computed as follows,
\[ R^2_n(t) = \frac{\iint [(x - x_n(t)) \cdot \mathbf{n}(t)]^2 |\omega_n(x,t)|^2 \, dx}{\iint |\omega_n(x,t)|^2 \, dx} \]  \tag{14}

\[ R^2_\perp(t) = \frac{\iint [(x - x_\perp(t)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(t)]^2 |\omega_n(x,t)|^2 \, dx}{\iint |\omega_n(x,t)|^2 \, dx} \]  \tag{15}

where \( \mathbf{t}(t) \) is the unit vector indicating the direction of the mutual friction force \( f_n(t) \) exerted by the vortex ring onto the normal fluid on the \( z = 0 \) plane, and \( \mathbf{n}(t) \) is the orthogonal direction to \( \mathbf{t}(t) \) lying on \( z = 0 \) plane.
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