

APPROXIMATION ORDER OF KOLMOGOROV DIAMETERS VIA L^q -SPECTRA AND APPLICATIONS TO POLYHARMONIC OPERATORS

MARC KESSEBÖHMER AND ALJOSCHA NIEMANN

ABSTRACT. We establish a connection between the L^q -spectrum of a Borel measure ν and the approximation order of Kolmogorov diameters in L^p_ν in higher dimensions by extending ideas for the one-dimensional case as developed by the authors. This leads to improvements of the classical result of Borzov and Birman/Solomjak for a broad class of singular measures on $(0, 1]^m$. As an application, we consider polyharmonic operators and obtain improved upper bounds of the decay rate of their eigenvalues and show connections to the one-dimensional Krein-Feller operator.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction and statement of main results	1
2.	Optimal partitions	3
2.1.	The L^q -spectrum and optimal partitions	4
3.	Application to approximation order	5
3.1.	Theorems on approximation of functions of the classes W_p^ℓ	5
3.2.	Approximation order of Kolmogorov n -diameters	6
3.3.	Application to polyharmonic operators	7
3.4.	Connections to Krein-Feller operators	9
	References	12

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

Let us start with some basic notations. We fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by $\mathbf{Q} := (0, 1]^m$ the half open unit cube as a subset of the m -dimensional euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m . For a multi-index $\kappa := (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ we define $|\kappa| := \sum_{i=1}^m \kappa_i$ and $x^\kappa := \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\kappa_i}$ and for a bounded open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and $p \geq 1$, we let $L_p(\Omega)$ denote the set of real-valued p -integrable functions on Ω with respect to the Lebesgue measure Λ restricted to Ω . The Sobolev space $W_p^\ell(\Omega)$, for fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, (see i. e. [Sob91] and [Nik61]) is defined to be the set of all functions $f \in L_p(\Omega)$ for which the weak derivatives up to order ℓ lie in $L_p(\Omega)$ and

$$\|f\|_{W_p^\ell(\Omega)} := \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^{\ell,p}(\Omega)} < \infty,$$

FACHBEREICH 3 – MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITY OF BREMEN, BIBLIOTHEKSTR. 1, 28359 BREMEN, GERMANY

E-mail addresses: mhk@uni-bremen.de, niemann1@uni-bremen.de.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46A32; 35P20; 42B35; 31B30; 28A80;

Key words and phrases. Kolmogorov diameters/widths, polyharmonic operator; spectral asymptotics; L^q -spectrum; piecewise polynomial approximations; Krein-Feller operator.

This research was supported by the DFG grant Ke 1440/3-1.

where we set $\|f\|_{L^{\ell,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\ell} f|^p \, d\Lambda \right)^{1/p}$ with $|\nabla_{\ell} f| := \left(\sum_{|k|=\ell} |D^k f|^2 \right)^{1/2}$ and $D^k f := \partial^{|\mathbf{k}|} / (\partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \cdots \partial_{x_{\ell}}^{k_{\ell}}) f$. We let $W_{0,p}^{\ell}(\Omega)$ denote the completion of $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{W_{0,p}^{\ell}(\Omega)}$, where $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ denotes the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in Ω . The unit sphere in $W_{0,p}^{\ell}(\Omega)$ ($W_{0,p}^{\ell}(\Omega)$, resp.) with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{W_{0,p}^{\ell}(\Omega)}$ will be denoted by $SW_p^{\ell}(\Omega)$ ($SW_{0,p}^{\ell}(\Omega)$, resp.). For the set of continuous function from Ω to \mathbb{R} we write $C(\Omega)$.

For any half-open cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have by definition of the weak derivatives that $W_p^{\ell}(Q) = W_p^{\ell}(\mathring{Q})$, where \mathring{Q} denotes the interior of Q . Note that for the space $W_{0,p}^{\ell}(Q)$ an equivalent norm is given by $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\ell,p}(Q)}$. If $\ell p/m > 1$, then $W_p^{\ell}(Q)$ is compactly embedded into $(C(\overline{Q}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ and we therefore we will always pick a continuous representative of $W_p^{\ell}(Q)$.

Let us define the *Kolmogorov n -diameter* (or *n -widths*) of $SW_p^{\ell}(\mathbf{Q})$ in the metric of $L_v^q := L_v^q(\mathbf{Q})$, $q \geq p > 1$,

$$d_n(W_p^{\ell}, L_v^q) := \inf \left\{ \sup_{x \in SW_p^{\ell}} \inf_{y \in K_n} \|x - y\|_{L_v^q} : K_n \text{ is } n\text{-dimensional subspace of } L_v^q \right\}.$$

One could say that the n -diameter $d_n(W_p^{\ell}, L_v^q)$ measures the extend to which $SW_p^{\ell}(\mathbf{Q})$ can be approximated by n -dimensional subspace of $L_v^q(\mathbf{Q})$. We are interested on upper bounds of the decay rate of $d_n(W_p^{\ell}, L_v^q)$ when the dimension n tends to infinity. Under the assumption

$$1 \leq p \leq q \text{ and } \ell p/m > 1, \quad (1.1)$$

which we assume throughout the paper, it has been shown in [BS67] that

$$d_n(W_p^{\ell}, L_v^q) = O(n^{-\ell/m+1/p-1/q}). \quad (1.2)$$

In the case that ν is a singular measures with respect to Lebesgue measure, we know from [Bor71] that even $d_n(W_p^{\ell}, L_v^q) = o(n^{-\ell/m-1/p+1/q})$. In this paper we want to address the question to what extent these estimates can be effectively improved for arbitrary measures on \mathbf{Q} . For this purpose, we need to introduce the concept of the L^q -spectrum. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\mathcal{D}_n := \left\{ Q = \prod_{k=1}^m (l_k 2^{-n}, (l_k + 1) 2^{-n}) \mid (l_k)_{k=1, \dots, m} \in \mathbb{Z}^m, \nu(Q) > 0 \right\}, \mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_n$$

and for $q \in \mathbb{R}$, the L^q -spectrum of ν is given by

$$\beta_{\nu}(q) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n^{\nu}(q) \text{ with } \beta_n^{\nu}(q) := \frac{1}{\log(2^n)} \log \left(\sum_{C \in \mathcal{D}_n} \nu(C)^q \right).$$

Note that β_{ν} as limsup of convex functions is itself a convex function, and that $\beta_{\nu}(0)$ is equal to the upper Minkowski dimension of $\text{supp } \nu$ denoted by $\overline{\dim}_M(\text{supp } \nu)$. Now, our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Assuming (1.1) and setting $r := q(\ell - m/p)$ we have*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(d_n(W_p^{\ell}, L_v^q))}{\log(n)} \leq -\frac{1}{q \cdot s_r},$$

where $s_r = \inf \{b > 0 \mid \beta_{\nu}(b) - rb \leq 0\}$.

Remark 1.2. Note that

$$-\frac{1}{q \cdot s_r} \leq -\frac{r/q}{\overline{\dim}_M(\text{supp } \nu)} - \frac{1}{q} \leq 1/p - \ell/m - 1/q < 0,$$

and that $-1/(q \cdot s_r) = -\ell/m + 1/p - 1/q$ if, and only if there exists $q' \in (0, 1)$ such that $\beta_\nu(q') = m(1 - q')$. These claims follow readily from the convexity of β_ν by observing that for all $q \in [0, 1]$ we have $\beta_\nu(q) \leq \beta_\nu(0)(1 - q) \leq m(1 - q)$. Hence, we can give a new interpretation of the estimate in (1.2) in terms of the L^q -spectrum. Namely, the intersection with the line through the origin with slope r is given by

$$\frac{m}{m+r},$$

which leads to the upper bound $-\ell/m + 1/p - 1/q$. Consequently, whenever $\beta_\nu(q) < m(1 - q)$, for all $q \in (0, 1)$, Theorem 1.1 improves the classical result of [BS67; Bor71, Theorem 5.1.]. Indeed, this case occurs for many singular measures, for example if $\overline{\dim}_M(\text{supp } \nu) < m$. Roughly speaking, the more nonuniform the mass of ν is distributed compared to the Lebesgue measure, the faster $(d_n(W_p^\ell, L^q))$ decreases. We will see how our main result can be obtained from auxiliary measure-geometric quantities involving the L^q -spectrum of ν combined with some ideas from [BS67] dealing with piecewise polynomial approximation of $W_p^\ell(\mathbf{Q})$ (see Section 3.1).

As a direct application of our result we consider polyharmonic operators and obtain improved upper bounds of the decay rate of their eigenvalues (see Section 3.3). In the last section we will consider polyharmonic operators for the special case $\ell = m = 1$ and $p = q = 2$. We will show that the associated spectral problem is equivalent to the spectral problem of the classical Kreĭn-Feller operator (see e.g. [KN21b; Kan+09; Nga11; NTX18]). Employing this equivalence, we present as a by-product a short proof of the sub-/superadditivity of the eigenvalue counting function of the Kreĭn-Feller operator, as stated e. g. in [KN21a, Proposition 2.16.].

2. OPTIMAL PARTITIONS

For $a > 0$, a Borel probability measure ν on \mathbf{Q} and with Π denoting the sets of partition of \mathbf{Q} of half-open m -dimensional cubes we define the following quantities

$$\mathcal{N}_a(x) := \inf \left\{ \text{card}(P) : P \in \Pi : \max_{C \in P} \Lambda(C)^a \nu(C) < 1/x \right\}, x > 0$$

and

$$h_a := \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{N}_a(x)}{\log x},$$

will be called the (*upper*) ν -partition entropy with parameter a .

Let Υ_n denote the set of all finite partitions consisting of at most $n \in \mathbb{N}$ half-open m -dimensional cubes of \mathbf{Q} . Let us define the following quantities for $n \in \mathbb{N}, a > 0$

$$\gamma_{a,n} := \inf_{\Xi \in \Upsilon_n} \max_{Q \in \Xi} \Lambda(Q)^a \nu(Q),$$

and

$$\alpha_a := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\gamma_{a,n})}{-\log(n)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a > 0$, we have $\gamma_{a,2^{m(n+1)}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{ma}} \gamma_{a,2^{mn}} < \gamma_{a,2^{mn}}$.*

Proof. For $\Xi \in \Upsilon$, $\text{card } \Xi \leq 2^{mn}$ we can divide each $Q \in \Xi$ into 2^m different half-open cubes $(Q_i)_{i=1, \dots, 2^m}$ with $\Lambda(Q_i) = \Lambda(Q_1)$. The new resulting partition denoted by Ξ' satisfy $\text{card}(\Xi') \leq 2^{m(n+1)}$ and

$$\max_{Q \in \Xi'} \nu(Q) \Lambda(Q)^a \leq \frac{1}{2^{ma}} \max_{Q \in \Xi} \nu(Q) \Lambda(Q)^a < \max_{Q \in \Xi} \nu(Q) \Lambda(Q)^a.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *For $a > 0$ we have $h_a = 1/\alpha_a$.*

Proof. The proof follows along the same lines as the proof of the elementary Lemma [KZ03, Lemma 2.2]. First note that for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we have $\mathcal{N}_a(1/\varepsilon) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gamma_{a,n} < \varepsilon\}$. By Lemma 2.1 we have that $(\gamma_{a,2^{mn}})_n$ is a strictly decreasing null sequences or eventually constant zero. The latter case is immediate. For the first case the strict monotonicity gives as in [KZ03, Lemma 2.2] for $B(\varepsilon) := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gamma_{a,2^{mn}} < \varepsilon\}$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_a} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log(k)}{\log(\gamma_{a,k})} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{mn \log 2}{-\log \gamma_{a,2^{mn}}} = \limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{B(\varepsilon) m \log 2}{-\log \varepsilon} = h_a,$$

where the second equality follows by squeezing $2^{m(n-1)} < k \leq 2^{mn}$, and the last equality by noting that $2^{m(B(\varepsilon)-1)} \leq \mathcal{N}_a(1/\varepsilon) \leq 2^{mB(\varepsilon)}$. \square

2.1. The L^q -spectrum and optimal partitions.

Lemma 2.3. *For all $a > 0$, we have*

$$h_a \leq s_{am}$$

with $s_{am} := s_{am}(\nu) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n,am}$, where $s_{n,b}$ is the unique solution of $\beta_n^\nu(s_{n,b}) = b \cdot s_{n,b}$, for $b > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. With out loss of generality, we assume that ν is a probability measure. For $t \in (0, 1)$, we have that

$$P_t = \left\{ C \in \mathcal{D} : \Lambda(C)^a \nu(C) < t \text{ \& \exists } C' \in \mathcal{D}_{\log_2(\Lambda(C))/m-1} : C' \supset C \text{ \& } \Lambda(C')^a \nu(C') \geq t \right\}$$

is a partition of \mathbf{Q} by dyadic cubes. Setting

$$Q_t := \{C \in \mathcal{D} : \Lambda(C)^a \nu(C) \geq t\},$$

we note that for $C \in P_t$ there is exactly one $C' \in Q_t \cap \mathcal{D}_{\log_2(\Lambda(C))/m-1}$ with $C \subset C'$ and for each $C' \in Q_t \cap \mathcal{D}_{\log_2(\Lambda(C))/m-1}$ there are at most 2^m elements of $P_t \cap \mathcal{D}_{\log_2(\Lambda(C))/m}$ such that they are subsets of C' . Hence,

$$\text{card } P_t \leq 2^m \text{card } Q_t.$$

Furthermore, observe that by the definition of $s_{n,am}$ we have

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{D}_n} \nu(C)^{s_{n,am}} = 2^{am \cdot s_{n,am}}.$$

For $q > s_{am}$ and $\varepsilon := (q - s_{am})/2$, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq K$ we have $s_{am} + \varepsilon > s_{k,am}$. This gives $q - \varepsilon = s_{am} + (q - s_{am})/2 > s_{k,am}$ and we obtain for all $0 < t < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} t^q \text{card } P_t &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{C \in P_t \cap \mathcal{D}_k} t^q \\ &\leq 2^m \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{C \in Q_t \cap \mathcal{D}_{k-1}} 1 + \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} 2^m t^q \sum_{C \in Q_t \cap \mathcal{D}_{k-1}} \frac{(\Lambda(C)^a \nu(C))^{s_{k-1,am} + \varepsilon}}{t^{s_{k-1,am} + \varepsilon}} \\ &\leq 2^m \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{C \in Q_t \cap \mathcal{D}_{k-1}} 1 + \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} 2^m t^q 2^{-a(k-1)m\varepsilon} \frac{2^{-am(k-1)s_{k-1,am}} 2^{(k-1)am \cdot s_{k-1,am}}}{t^{s_{k-1,am} + \varepsilon}} \\ &= 2^m \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{C \in Q_t \cap \mathcal{D}_{k-1}} 1 + \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} 2^{m(1-a(k-1)\varepsilon)} t^{q-\varepsilon-s_{k-1,am}} \\ &\leq 2^m \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{D}_k} 1 + 2^{m(1+a\varepsilon)} \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} 2^{-akm\varepsilon} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\text{card } P_t}{-\log(t)} \leq q$$

and hence, $\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} -\mathcal{N}_a(1/t) / \log(t) \leq \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} -\text{card } P_t / \log(t) \leq s_{am}$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *We have for all $b > 0$*

$$s_b = \inf \{q > 0 \mid \beta_\nu(q) - b \cdot q \leq 0\}$$

and if $s_b > 0$, then $\beta_\nu(s_b) = b \cdot s_b$.

Proof. Recall $s_b = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n,b}$ and define $\tilde{q} := \inf \{q > 0 : \beta_\nu(q) - b \cdot q \leq 0\}$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have for n large enough $s_{n,b} \leq s_b + \varepsilon$.

Then we have

$$\beta_n^\nu(s_b + \varepsilon) \leq \beta_n^\nu(s_{n,b})$$

implying

$$\beta_\nu(s_b + \varepsilon) \leq b \cdot s_b.$$

If $s_b = 0$, then $\beta_\nu(q) = 0$ for all $q > 0$ which shows $\tilde{q} = 0$. Now, assume $s_b > 0$. The continuity of β_ν in $(0, 1)$ gives $\beta_\nu(s_b) \leq b \cdot s_b$. Let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such that $\lim_k s_{n_k, b} = s_b$. Then we have for all $s_b/2 > \eta > 0$ for k large that $s_{n_k, b} \geq s_b - \eta$, which gives

$$\beta_{n_k}^\nu(s_{n_k, b}) \leq \beta_{n_k}^\nu(s_b - \eta).$$

This implies

$$b s_b \leq \limsup_k \beta_{n_k}^\nu(s_b - \eta) \leq \beta_\nu(s_b - \eta)$$

again using the continuity of β_ν , we see that $s_b = \beta_\nu(s_b)$. Finally, we conclude $s_b = \tilde{q}$. \square

Now, we are in the position state one of our core results needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.5. *For all $a > 0$, we have*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\gamma_{a,n})}{\log(n)} \leq -\frac{1}{s_{am}}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3. \square

Remark 2.6. In the case $m = a = 1$ we even have equality (see [KN21a]) and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\gamma_{1,n})}{\log(n)} = -\frac{1}{s_1}.$$

3. APPLICATION TO APPROXIMATION ORDER

3.1. Theorems on approximation of functions of the classes W_p^ℓ . In this section we recall some results of [BS67; BS74, Chapter 3] which will be important for our applications to n -diameters and polyharmonic operators. Let $Q \subset \mathbf{Q}$ denote a cube. In the following we always assume $\ell p/m > 1$ this ensures that $W_p^\ell(Q)$ is compactly embedded in $(C(\overline{Q}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. In the case $\ell p/m \leq 1$ the situation becomes more involved; in general, we have no compact embedding from $W_p^\ell(Q)$ into $L_\nu^2(Q)$ (e.g. [HLN06; NS95; Maz85]). Further, without loss of generality we assume that ν is Borel probability measure on \mathbf{Q} . For every $u \in W_p^\ell(Q)$, we associate a polynomial r of degree less than $\ell - 1$ satisfying the conditions

$$\int_Q x^\kappa r(x) \, d\Lambda(x) = \int_Q x^\kappa u(x) \, d\Lambda(x) \text{ for all } |\kappa| \leq \ell - 1. \quad (3.1)$$

By an application of *Hilbert's Projection Theorem* with respect to $L_\Lambda^2(Q)$, we have that r is uniquely determined by Eq. (3.1). We set $r := P_Q u$. Note that P_Q is a linear projection operator which maps from $W_p^\ell(Q)$ to the finite-dimensional space of polynomials of degree less than $\ell - 1$ in m variables. We denote the dimension of this finite dimensional space of polynomials of degree less than $\ell - 1$ by \mathfrak{d} .

Lemma 3.1 ([BS67, Lemma 3.1.]). *Let $Q \subset \mathbf{Q}$ be a cube. Then there exists $C_1 > 0$ independent of Q such that for all $u \in W_p^\ell(Q)$*

$$\|u - P_Q u\|_{C(\bar{Q})} \leq C_1 \Lambda(Q)^{\ell/m-1/p} \|u\|_{L^{\ell,p}(Q)}.$$

Definition 3.2. Let Ξ be a partition of \mathbf{Q} into half open cubes and we define $\mathcal{P}(\Xi, \ell - 1)$ to be the space of piecewise-polynomial functions which restrict on each cube $Q \in \Xi$ to a polynomial of degree $\ell - 1$. We define $P_\Xi : W_p^\ell(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Xi, \ell - 1)$ with

$$P_\Xi u := \sum_{Q \in \Xi} \mathbb{1}_Q P_Q u,$$

where $\mathbb{1}_Q$ denotes the characteristic function on the cube Q .

Proposition 3.3. *Let ν be finite Borel measure on \mathbf{Q} and $1 \leq p \leq q$. Then there exists $C_2 > 0$ such for all partitions Ξ of \mathbf{Q} of half open cubes and every $u \in W_p^\ell(Q)$, we have*

$$\|u - P_\Xi u\|_{L_v^q(Q)} \leq C_2 \|u\|_{L^{\ell,p}(Q)} \left(\max_{Q \in \Xi} \Lambda(Q)^{\ell q/m-q/p} \nu(Q) \right)^{1/q}.$$

Proof. This follows from the proof of [BS67, Theorem 3.3.] using Lemma 3.1. \square

3.2. Approximation order of Kolmogorov n -diameters. In this section we will prove our main result.

Lemma 3.4. *Assuming (1.1), there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$d_{\mathfrak{d}n}(W_p^\ell, L_v^q) \leq C_3 \left(\gamma_{(\ell/m-1/p)q, \mathfrak{d}n} \right)^{1/q},$$

with C_3 depending only on p, q, m, ℓ .

Proof. For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let Ξ be a partition of \mathbf{Q} of half open cubes with $\text{card}(\Xi) \leq n$. Then note that $\dim \mathcal{P}(\Xi, \alpha) = \text{card}(\Xi) \mathfrak{d} \leq n \mathfrak{d}$. This implies

$$d_{\mathfrak{d}n}(W_p^\ell, L_v^q) \leq d_{\mathfrak{d} \text{card}(\Xi)}(W_p^\ell, L_v^q).$$

Hence, we obtain by Proposition 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathfrak{d} \text{card}(\Xi)}(W_p^\ell, L_v^q) &\leq \sup_{u \in SW_p^\ell} \inf_{y \in \mathcal{P}(\Xi, \ell-1)} \|u - y\|_{L_v^q} \\ &\leq \sup_{u \in SW_p^\ell} \|u - P_\Xi u\|_{L_v^q} \\ &\leq C_2 \sup_{u \in SW_p^\ell} \|u\|_{L^{\ell,p}(Q)} \left(\max_{Q \in \Xi} \Lambda(Q)^{\ell q/m-q/p} \nu(Q) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_2 \left(\max_{Q \in \Xi} \Lambda(Q)^{\ell q/m-q/p} \nu(Q) \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum over all partitions with cardinality less than or equal to n proves the lemma. \square

We are now in the position to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be then there exists a natural number $n(N) =: n$ such that $n \mathfrak{d} \leq N \leq (n+1) \mathfrak{d}$. Lemma 3.4 delivers

$$d_N(W_p^\ell, L_v^q) \leq d_{\mathfrak{d}n}(W_p^\ell, L_v^q) \leq C_3 \left(\gamma_{q\ell/m-q/p, \mathfrak{d}n} \right)^{1/q}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\frac{\log(d_N(W_p^\ell, L_v^q))}{\log(N)} \leq \frac{\log(C_3) + \log(\gamma_{q\ell/m-q/p, \delta n})}{q \log(\delta n) \left(1 + \frac{\log(N/\delta n)}{\log(\delta n)}\right)}.$$

Using $1 \leq N/(\delta n) \leq 2$, we obtain with help of Proposition 2.5

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(d_N(W_p^\ell, L_v^q))}{\log(N)} \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\gamma_{q\ell/m-q/p, \delta n})}{q \log(\delta n)} \leq -\frac{1}{qs_r}.$$

□

3.3. Application to polyharmonic operators. To apply the Sobolev compact embedding theorem for $H_{0,2}^\ell := \dot{W}_2^\ell(Q)$ and L_v^2 we assume $2\ell/m > 1$. First, let us define the polyharmonic operator as in [BS70; Sol94; Bor70; SV95]. Let ν be a finite Borel measure on $Q = (0, 1)^m$. On $H_{0,2}^\ell$, we define the following quadratic forms

$$J_\nu(u) := \int_Q |u|^2 \, d\nu, \quad I_\ell(u) := \int_Q \sum_{|\alpha|=\ell} |D^\alpha u|^2 \, d\Lambda, \quad u \in H_{0,2}^\ell$$

and let $J_\nu(u, v)$ and $I_\ell(u, v)$ denote the corresponding bilinear forms. Observe that I_ℓ is an equivalent norm in $H_{0,2}^\ell$ (see [AF03, 6.30 Theorem]) and in virtue of the assumption $2\ell/m > 1$, we obtain that $H_{0,2}^\ell$ is compactly embedded into $C(\overline{Q})$ with respect to the uniform norm (see e. g. [AF03, Theorem 6.3, Part II]). Hence, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in H_{0,2}^\ell$

$$J_\nu(u) \leq CI_\ell(u).$$

Hence, for fixed $u \in H_{0,2}^\ell$ the map $v \mapsto J_\nu(u, v)$ defines a bounded linear functional by an application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. By the Riesz Representation Theorem, we can define a bounded linear non-negative self-adjoint operator T_ν mapping from $(H_{0,2}^\ell, I_\ell)$ to itself by

$$J_\nu(u, v) = I_\ell(T_\nu(u), v)$$

and $I_\ell(T_\nu(u))^{1/2} = \sup_{v \in H_{0,2}^\ell \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|J_\nu(u, v)|}{I_\ell(v)^{1/2}} \leq CI_\ell(u)^{1/2}$ for all $u, v \in H_{0,2}^\ell$. Since we have a compact embedding of $H_{0,2}^\ell$ into $(C(\overline{Q}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, it follows that T_ν is a compact operator. To see this let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $(H_{0,2}^\ell, I_\ell)$. Then there exists a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, which is a Cauchy sequence in $(C(\overline{Q}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. Hence, we have for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} I_\ell(T_\nu(u_{n_k}) - T_\nu(u_{n_m})) &= \int_Q T_\nu(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m})(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m}) \, d\nu \\ &\leq \|u_k - u_{n_m}\|_\infty \nu(Q)^{1/2} \frac{\left(\int_Q T_\nu(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m})^2 \, d\nu\right)^{1/2}}{I_\ell(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m})^{1/2}} I_\ell(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m})^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|u_k - u_{n_m}\|_\infty (C\nu(Q))^{1/2} \frac{\left(I_\ell(T_\nu(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m}))\right)^{1/2}}{I_\ell(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m})^{1/2}} I_\ell(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m})^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|u_k - u_{n_m}\|_\infty (C\nu(Q))^{1/2} \|T_\nu\| I_\ell(u_{n_k} - u_{n_m})^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

taking into account that T_ν is a bounded operator and the sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded with respect to I_ℓ , we deduce that $(T_\nu(u_{n_k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Hilbert space $(H_{0,2}^\ell, I_\ell)$.

Definition 3.5. An element $f \in H_{0,2}^\ell \setminus \{0\}$ is called *eigenfunction* of T_ν with *eigenvalue* λ , if for all $g \in H_{0,2}^\ell$, we have

$$J_\nu(f, g) = \lambda \ell(f, g).$$

From the spectral theorem for self-adjoint compact operator we deduce that there is a sequence of positive eigenvalues $(\lambda_n^\nu)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tending to 0. We are interested in the decay rate of the sequence $(\lambda_n^\nu)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Note that $\ker(T_\nu)$ can be quite large; for example in the case that ν equals the Dirac measure $\delta_{1/2}$ on $(0, 1)$, we have $\ker(T_\nu) = \{f \in H_0^1(0, 1) \mid f(1/2) = 0\}$ and there is exactly one eigenvalue not equal to zero, namely $\lambda = 1/4$ with (normalized) eigenfunction $f_{1/4}(x) := \mathbb{1}_{(0,1/2)} 2x + \mathbb{1}_{[1/2,1)} (1 - 2x)$.

As we will see, the L^q -spectrum is coding the growth rate of the eigenvalues. Note that from the variational principle it follows that the eigenvalues can be computed in terms of n -diameter (e.g. [Lap91, p. 490–492] or [Mét77]):

$$(\lambda_{n+1}^\nu)^{1/2} = d_n(H_{0,2}^\ell, L_\nu^2).$$

Further, if ν is the sum of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ point masses, then for all $i \geq n$: $d_i(H_{0,2}^\ell, L_\nu^2) = 0$ and $\beta_\nu \equiv 0$.

Using the fact $d_n(H_{0,2}^\ell, L_\nu^2) \leq d_n(H_2^\ell, L_\nu^2)$, we obtain the following estimate in tandem with Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.6. *We have*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\lambda_n^\nu)}{\log(n)} \leq -\frac{1}{s_{2\ell-m}} \leq -\left(\frac{2\ell-m}{\dim_M(\text{supp } \nu)} + 1\right) \leq -\frac{2\ell}{m}.$$

Remark 3.7. If ν is a singular measure with respect to the Lebesgue measure, the result of [Bor70] gives us

$$\lambda_n^\nu = o\left(n^{-\frac{2\ell}{m}}\right).$$

In the case $\beta_\nu(q) < m(1-q)$, for all $q \in (0, 1)$, we can improve this estimate, in fact we have for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lambda_n^\nu = O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{s_{2\ell-m}} + \varepsilon}\right).$$

In general one can not expect that $\lambda_n^\nu \asymp n^{-s}$ for some $s > 0$ (see for example [Arz14] or [KN21a]).

In the following example we consider self-similar measure under open set condition. In this case the L^q -spectrum is well-known, hence we are able to give a formula of s_r only in terms of the probability weights and contraction ratios.

Example 3.8. For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let (T_1, \dots, T_n) be a set of contracting *similarities* of \mathbb{R}^m with ratios $r_1, \dots, r_n \in (0, 1)$ that is for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$|T_i(x) - T_i(y)| = r_i |x - y|.$$

Furthermore, we assume the *open set condition* (OSC) is fulfilled, i. e. there exists an open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$T_i(O) \subset O \text{ and } T_i(O) \cap T_j(O) = \emptyset, i \neq j.$$

Moreover, there exists a unique compact set K such that

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n T_i(K).$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $K \subset [0, 1]^m$. For $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in (0, 1)^n$ let ν be the unique Borel measure with

$$\nu = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \nu \circ T_i^{-1}.$$

The measure ν is called *self-similar* measure with respect to the weights (p_1, \dots, p_n) and ratios (r_1, \dots, r_n) and we have $\text{supp } \nu = K$. By [Rie95, Theorem 16] the L^q -spectrum β_ν on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is given by the unique solution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^k r_i^{\beta_\nu(k)} = 1, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Hence, applying Theorem 1.1 gives for $p \leq q$ and $p\ell/m > 1$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(d_n(W_p^\ell, L_\nu^q))}{\log(n)} \leq -\frac{1}{q \cdot s_r}$$

where s_r is the unique solution k of the equation $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^k r_i^{kr} = 1$. In particular, for the “geometric” choice of the weights $p_i := r_i^\delta$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\delta \in (0, m]$ is the unique solution of $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^\delta = 1$, which coincides with the Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H(K)$ of K , we obtain

$$s_r = \frac{\delta}{r + \delta}.$$

Consequently, using $r = \ell q - m q / p$ we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(d_n(W_p^\ell, L_\nu^q))}{\log(n)} \leq \frac{\ell}{\delta} \left(\frac{m}{\ell p} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{\ell}{m} \left(\frac{m}{\ell p} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{q} = -\frac{\ell}{m} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}.$$

For the case $m = 1$ and $p = q = 2$, and hence $r = 2\ell - 1$, s_r is given by the unique solution $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{s_r} r_i^{(2\ell-1)s_r} = 1$ and indeed we know from [Naz06, Theorem 3.1.] that this estimate is sharp.

3.4. Connections to Kreĭn-Feller operators . In this final section, we show that the spectral problem of the Kreĭn-Feller operator in dimension one is actually equivalent to the spectral problem of polyharmonic operator T_ν (excluding the eigenvalue zero) for the case $\ell = m = 1$ and $p = q = 2$. Let us recall the general setting for the one-dimensional Kreĭn-Feller Operator with respect to the finite Borel measure ν on (a, b) . We set

$$C_\nu([a, b]) := \{f \in C([a, b]) \mid f \text{ is affine linear on the components of } [a, b] \setminus \text{supp}(\nu)\}$$

and $\text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_\nu) := H_{0,2}^1(a, b) \cap C_\nu([a, b])$ with Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}_\nu(f, g) := \int_{(a,b)} \nabla f \nabla g \, d\Lambda$. Now, we consider the spectral problem of the classical Kreĭn-Feller operator considered in [KN21b; KN21a; Nga11]. We call $u \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_\nu) \setminus \{0\}$ an *eigenfunction* with *eigenvalue* λ if

$$\int_{(a,b)} \nabla f \nabla u \, d\Lambda = \lambda \int f u \, d\nu, \quad (3.2)$$

for all $f \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_\nu)$. We need a decomposition

$$[a, b] \setminus \text{supp}(\nu) =: A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} (a_i, b_i),$$

where $I \subset \mathbb{N}$, $A_1 := [a, d_1]$ if $a \notin \text{supp}(\nu)$ otherwise $A_1 = \emptyset$, $A_2 := (d_2, b]$ if $b \notin \text{supp}(\nu)$ otherwise $A_2 = \emptyset$, and the intervals $[a, c_1)$, $(c_2, b]$, (a_i, b_i) , $i \in I$, are mutually disjoint.

The following Lemma will provide a map from $H_{0,2}^1(a, b)$ to $\text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_\nu)$.

Lemma 3.9 ([KN21a, Lemma 2.1.]). *The map $\tau_\nu : H_0^1(a, b) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_\nu)$*

$$\tau_\nu(f)(x) := \begin{cases} f(a_i) + \frac{f(b_i) - f(a_i)}{b_i - a_i} (x - a_i), & x \in (a_i, b_i), i \in N, \\ f(x), & x \in \text{supp}(\nu), \\ \frac{f(c_1)}{c_1 - a} (x - a), & x \in [a, c_1), a \notin \text{supp}(\nu), \\ \frac{f(c_2)}{b - c_2} (b - x), & x \in (c_2, b], b \notin \text{supp}(\nu), \end{cases}$$

is surjective, $\tau_\nu(f) = f$ as elements of L_ν^2 , and we have

$$\nabla \tau_\nu(f)(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(b_i) - f(a_i)}{b_i - a_i}, & x \in (a_i, b_i), i \in N, \\ \nabla f(x), & x \in \text{supp}(\nu), \\ \frac{f(c_1)}{c_1 - a}, & x \in [a, c_1), a \notin \text{supp}(\nu), \\ -\frac{f(c_2)}{b - c_2}, & x \in (c_2, b], b \notin \text{supp}(\nu). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.10. *We have $\varphi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_\nu)$ is an eigenfunction of (3.2) with eigenvalue λ , if and only if, for all $g \in H_{0,2}^1(a, b)$, we have*

$$\int_{[a,b]} \nabla \varphi \nabla g \, d\Lambda = \lambda \cdot \int \varphi g \, d\nu.$$

Proof. Recall, $[a, b] \setminus \text{supp}(\nu) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} (a_i, b_i)$ and define $c_i = \nabla_\Lambda \varphi \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right)$. For simplicity we assume $a, b \in \text{supp}(\nu)$ and let $g \in H_{0,2}^1(a, b)$ be, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a,b]} \nabla \varphi \nabla g \, d\Lambda &= \int_{\text{supp}(\nu)} \nabla \varphi \nabla g \, d\Lambda + \sum_{i \in I} \int_{(a_i, b_i)} c_i \nabla_\Lambda g \, d\Lambda \\ &= \int_{\text{supp}(\nu)} \nabla \varphi \nabla g \, d\Lambda + \sum_{i \in I} c_i (g(b_i) - g(a_i)) \\ &= \int_{\text{supp}(\nu)} \nabla \varphi \nabla g \, d\Lambda + \sum_{i \in I} c_i (b_i - a_i) \left(\frac{g(b_i) - g(a_i)}{b_i - a_i} \right) \\ &= \int_{\text{supp}(\nu)} \nabla \varphi \nabla \tau(g) \, d\Lambda + \sum_{i \in I} \int_{(a_i, b_i)} \nabla_\Lambda \varphi \nabla_\Lambda \tau(g) \, d\Lambda \\ &= \int_{(a,b)} \nabla \varphi \nabla \tau(g) \, d\Lambda \\ &= \lambda \int f g \, d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.11. *Let φ be an eigenfunction of T_ν with eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$. Then φ is affine linear on the connected components of $[a, b] \setminus \text{supp}(\nu)$.*

Proof. Here we closely follow [NX19]. Let (a, b) be a component $[a, b] \setminus \text{supp}(\nu)$. Then we have for all $f \in H_0^1(a, b)$

$$\lambda \int_{[a,b]} \nabla f \nabla \varphi \, d\Lambda = \int f \varphi \, d\nu.$$

For $\delta > 0, x_1, x_2 \in (a, b)$, let

$$a < x_1 - \delta < x_1 < x_1 + \delta < x_2 - \delta < x_2 < x_2 + \delta < b.$$

Then we have that

$$g(x) = \frac{x - (x_1 - \delta)}{2\delta} \mathbb{1}_{(x_1 - \delta, x_1 + \delta)}(x) + \mathbb{1}_{(x_1 + \delta, x_2 - \delta)}(x) + \frac{x_2 + \delta - x}{2\delta} \mathbb{1}_{(x_2 - \delta, x_2 + \delta)}(x).$$

defines an element in $H_0^1(0, 1)$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{[a,b]} \nabla g \nabla \varphi \, d\Lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{(x_1-\delta, x_1+\delta)} \nabla \varphi \, d\Lambda - \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{(x_2-\delta, x_2+\delta)} \nabla \varphi \, d\Lambda \end{aligned}$$

The Lebesgue differentiation theorem delivers $\nabla_{\Lambda} \varphi(x) = c$ almost everywhere. For all $x \in (a, b)$, we obtain

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(a) + \int_{[a,x]} \nabla \varphi \, d\Lambda = \varphi(a) + c(x-a).$$

The following proposition shows that the equivalence of the spectral problems. \square

Proposition 3.12. *We have that $\lambda > 0$ is an eigenvalue of T_v , if and only if $1/\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of (3.2).*

Proof. Let φ be an eigenfunction of T_v with eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$. Using Proposition 3.11 it follows $\varphi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_v)$ and by definition we have for all $f \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_v) \subset H_0^1(a, b)$

$$\lambda \int_{[a,b]} \nabla f \nabla \varphi \, d\Lambda = \int f \varphi \, dv.$$

Hence, φ is an eigenfunction of (3.2) with eigenvalue $1/\lambda$.

Reversely, let $\varphi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_v)$ be an eigenfunction of (3.2) with eigenvalue λ . Then it follows $\lambda > 0$ and by Lemma 3.10 we have for all $f \in H_0^1(a, b)$

$$\int_{[a,b]} \nabla f \nabla \varphi \, d\Lambda = \lambda \int f \varphi \, dv,$$

which shows that φ is an eigenfunction of T_v with eigenvalue $1/\lambda$. \square

We end this section by using the above observation to give a short prove of the sub-/superadditivity of the eigenvalue counting function announced in the introduction. For this we need the following characterisation of the eigenvalues by the well-known min-max principle (see for example [RS80]).

Proposition 3.13. *We have for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\lambda_i^v = \sup \left\{ \inf_{\psi \in G \setminus \{0\}} \{J_v(\psi, \psi) : I_\ell(\psi, \psi) = 1\} : G \subset H_{0,2}^\ell, i\text{-dim subspace} \right\}.$$

Now, we define the following closed subspace of $H_{0,2}^\ell$ given for $d_0 = 0 < d_1 < \dots < d_n < d_{n+1} = 1$ with $v(\{a_k\}) = 0$ by

$$F := \{u \in H_{0,2}^1 \mid u(d_i) = 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Note that F can be identified with

$$F \simeq H_{0,2}^1(d_0, d_1) \times H_{0,2}^1(d_2, d_3) \times \dots \times H_{0,2}^1(d_n, d_{n+1}).$$

Furthermore, let $T_{k,v}$ denote the operator on $H_{0,2}^1(d_k, d_{k+1})$ with respect to the form $(f, g) \mapsto \int_{(d_k, d_{k+1})} fg \, dv$ and let $T_{F,v}$ be the operator on F with respect to the form $(f, g) \mapsto \int fg \, dv$.

We define the eigenvalue counting function of T_v by

$$N(x, T_v) := \text{card} \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \lambda_n^{T_v} \geq x\}, \quad x > 0,$$

and in the same manner let $N(x, T_{k,v})$ denote the eigenvalue counting function of $T_{k,v}$. Then the following sub-/superadditivity holds true.

Proposition 3.14. *For all $x \geq 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n N(x, T_{k,v}) = N(x, T_{F,v}) \leq N(x, T_v) \leq \sum_{k=0}^n N(x, T_{k,v}) + n.$$

Proof. From max-min principle we deduce

$$N(x, T_{F,v}) \leq N(x, T_v).$$

Moreover, we have $\dim(H_{0,2}^1/F) = n$. Hence, it follows from [SV95, Proposition 1.]

$$N(x, T_v) \leq N(x, T_{F,v}) + n.$$

It remains to show $N(x, T_{F,v}) = \sum_{k=0}^n N(x, T_{k,v})$. Let f be an eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$ of $T_{k,v}$ with $\nu((d_k, d_{k+1})) > 0$. Then define

$$g(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(d_k, d_{k+1})} f,$$

it follows $g \in F$ and we have for all $h \in F$

$$\lambda \int_{(a,b)} \nabla h \nabla g \, d\Lambda = \lambda \int_{(d_k, d_{k+1})} \nabla h \nabla g \, d\Lambda = \int_{(d_k, d_{k+1})} hg \, dv = \int_{(a,b)} hg \, dv.$$

This implies $N(x, T_{F,v}) \geq \sum_{k=0}^n N(x, T_{k,v})$. On the other hand, if f is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$ of $T_{F,v}$, then $g = \mathbb{1}_{(d_k, d_{k+1})} f$ is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue λ of $T_{k,v}$ provided $g \neq 0$ and $\nu((d_k, d_{k+1})) > 0$. Hence, we obtain $N(x, T_{F,v}) = \sum_{k=0}^n N(x, T_{k,v})$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AF03] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier. *Sobolev spaces*. Second. Vol. 140. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam). Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003, pp. xiv+305.
- [Arz14] P. Arzt. *Eigenvalues of Measure Theoretic Laplacians on Cantor-like Sets*. PhD thesis, Universität Siegen, 2014.
- [Bor70] V. V. Borzov. The quantitative characteristics of singular measures. *Problems of Mathematical Physics, No. 4: Spectral Theory, Wave Processes*. Izdat. Leningrad. Univ., Leningrad, 1970, pp. 42–47.
- [Bor71] V. V. Borzov. “Quantitative characteristics of singular measures”. *Spectral Theory and Wave Processes*. Ed. by M. S. Birman. Boston, MA: Springer US, 1971, pp. 37–42. doi: [10.1007/978-1-4684-8926-2_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-8926-2_5).
- [BS67] M. v. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak. Piecewise polynomial approximations of functions of classes W_p^α . *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 73 (115) (1967), 331–355.
- [BS70] M. v. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak. The principal term of the spectral asymptotics for “non-smooth” elliptic problems. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.* 4.4 (1970), 1–13.
- [BS74] M. v. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak. Quantitative analysis in Sobolev’s imbedding theorems and applications to spectral theory. *Tenth Mathematical School (Summer School, Kaciveli/Nalchik, 1972) (Russian)*. 1974, pp. 5–189.
- [HLN06] J. Hu, K.-S. Lau, and S.-M. Ngai. Laplace operators related to self-similar measures on \mathbb{R}^d . *J. Funct. Anal.* 239.2 (2006), 542–565. doi: [10.1016/j.jfa.2006.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2006.07.005).
- [Kan+09] U. Kant, T. Klauss, J. Voigt, and M. Weber. Dirichlet forms for singular one-dimensional operators and on graphs. *J. Evol. Equ.* 9.4 (2009), 637–659. doi: [10.1007/s00028-009-0027-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00028-009-0027-5).
- [KN21a] M. Kesseböhmer and A. Niemann. Spectral dimensions of Kreĭn-Feller operators and L^q -spectra. *arXiv:2106.08862 (preprint)* (2021).

- [KN21b] M. Kesseböhmer and A. Niemann. Spectral asymptotics of Kreĭn-Feller operators for weak Gibbs measures on self-conformal fractals with overlaps. *arXiv:2107.02616 (preprint)* (2021).
- [KZ03] M. Kesseböhmer and S. Zhu. Quantization dimension via quantization numbers. *Real Anal. Exchange* 29.2 (2003/04), 857–866. doi: [10.14321/realanalexch.29.2.0857](https://doi.org/10.14321/realanalexch.29.2.0857).
- [Lap91] M. L. Lapidus. Fractal drum, inverse spectral problems for elliptic operators and a partial resolution of the Weyl-Berry conjecture. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 325.2 (1991), 465–529. doi: [10.2307/2001638](https://doi.org/10.2307/2001638).
- [Maz85] V. G. Maz’ja. *Sobolev spaces*. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Translated from the Russian by T. O. Shaposhnikova. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. xix+486. doi: [10.1007/978-3-662-09922-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-09922-3).
- [Mét77] G. Métivier. Valeurs propres de problèmes aux limites elliptiques irrégulières. *Bull. Soc. Math. France Suppl. Mém.* 51-52 (1977), 125–219.
- [Naz06] A. Nazarov. Logarithmic L2-Small Ball Asymptotics with Respect to a Self-Similar Measure for Some Gaussian Processes. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 133 (Mar. 2006), 1314–1327. doi: [10.1007/s10958-006-0041-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-006-0041-x).
- [Nga11] S.-M. Ngai. Spectral asymptotics of Laplacians associated with one-dimensional iterated function systems with overlaps. *Canad. J. Math.* 63.3 (2011), 648–688. doi: [10.4153/CJM-2011-011-3](https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2011-011-3).
- [Nik61] S. M. Nikol’skii. On Imbedding, Continuation and Approximation Theorems for Differentiable Functions of Several Variables. *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 16.5 (Oct. 1961), 55–104. doi: [10.1070/RM1961v016n05ABEH004113](https://doi.org/10.1070/RM1961v016n05ABEH004113).
- [NS95] K. Naimark and M. Solomyak. The eigenvalue behaviour for the boundary value problems related to self-similar measures on \mathbb{R}^d . *Math. Res. Lett.* 2.3 (1995), 279–298. doi: [10.4310/MRL.1995.v2.n3.a5](https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.1995.v2.n3.a5).
- [NTX18] S.-M. Ngai, W. Tang, and Y. Xie. Spectral asymptotics of one-dimensional fractal Laplacians in the absence of second-order identities. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 38.4 (2018), 1849–1887. doi: [10.3934/dcds.2018076](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2018076).
- [NX19] S.-M. Ngai and Y. Xie. L^q -spectrum of self-similar measures with overlaps in the absence of second-order identities. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 106.1 (2019), 56–103. doi: [10.1017/S1446788718000034](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788718000034).
- [Rie95] R. Riedi. An improved multifractal formalism and self-similar measures. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 189.2 (1995), 462–490. doi: [10.1006/jmaa.1995.1030](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1995.1030).
- [RS80] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Second. Functional analysis*. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1980, pp. xv+400.
- [Sob91] S. L. Sobolev. *Some applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics*. Vol. 90. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. Translated from the third Russian edition by Harold H. McFaden, With comments by V. P. Palamodov. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991, pp. viii+286. doi: [10.1090/mmono/090](https://doi.org/10.1090/mmono/090).
- [Sol94] M. Solomyak. Eigenvalue estimates for a class of operators related to self-similar measures. *Journées “Équations aux Dérivées Partielles” (Saint-Jean-de-Monts, 1994)*. École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1994, Exp. No. XI, 6.
- [SV95] M. Solomyak and E. Verbitsky. On a spectral problem related to self-similar measures. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 27.3 (1995), 242–248. doi: [10.1112/blms/27.3.242](https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/27.3.242).