HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF UNION OF LINES THAT COVER A CURVE

TAMÁS KELETI, JAMES CUMBERBATCH, JIALIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We construct a continuously differentiable curve in the plane that can be covered by a collection of lines such that every line intersects the curve at a single point and the union of the lines has Hausdorff dimension 1. We show that for twice differentiable curves this is impossible. In that case, the union of the lines must have Hausdorff dimension 2. If we use only tangent lines then the differentiability of the curve already implies that the union of the lines must have Hausdorff dimension 2, unless the curve is a line. We also construct a continuous curve, which is in fact the graph of a strictly convex function, such that the union of (one sided) tangent lines has Hausdorff dimension 1.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952 R. O. Davies [1] proved that any subset A of the plane can be covered by a collection of lines such that the union of the lines has the same Lebesgue measure as A. Clearly, we cannot expect the same for Hausdorff dimension but for a given set A of the plane or more generally of \mathbb{R}^n it is natural to ask how small the Hausdorff dimension of a set can be that contains lines through every point of A. If A itself is a line or union of lines then the answer is trivial but in this case we can require that we cover A with lines that intersect A in small sets. So one can ask for example the following two variants.

Problem 1. Fix $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. How small the Hausdorff dimension of B can be if for every $x \in A$ the set B contains a line l such that (variant 1) $l \cap A$ is a singleton / (variant 2) $l \notin A$?

If A is an (n-1)-dimensional hyperplane then the two variants are clearly the same and in this case Problem 1 turns out to be closely related to the Kakeya conjecture, which states that any Borel set in \mathbb{R}^n that contains a unit line segment in every direction must have Hausdorff dimension n. Using a projective map one can easily show as in the proof of [5, Theorem 1.2] (see also [7, Theorem 11.15]) that the Kakeya conjecture would imply that the answer to Problem 1 is n when A is a hyperplane, and conversely, if the answer to Problem 1

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 28A78.

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff dimension, Kakeya conjecture, lines, curve, covering.

This research was conducted as part of the Budapest Semesters in Mathematics Undergraduate Research Experience Program. The first author was supported by the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office - NKFIH, 124749 and 129335.

is *n* for a hyperplane then every set that contains lines in every direction must have Hausdorff dimension *n*. Since in the plane Kakeya conjecture was proved by Davies [2] we get that if n = 2 and *A* is a line then the answer to Problem 1 is 2. Recently, L. Venieri [6, Theorem 9.2] proved the following more general result.

Theorem 2. (Venieri) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable Lipschitz function and let A be a subset of the graph of f with Hausdorff dimension n-1. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set such that for every $x \in A$ the set B contains a line l through x such that l is not in the tangent hyperplane of f at x. Then the Hausdorff dimension of B is at least $\frac{n+2}{2}$.

In fact, Venieri proved an even more general result that also applies for Lipschitz functions which are not differentiable everywhere if tangent hyperplanes are replaced by the so called approximate tangent hyperplanes, and in her result instead of $l \subset B$ it is enough to assume that $B \cap l$ contains a line segment.

In this paper we study the planar case (n = 2). Then Theorem 2 gives the sharp estimate dim B = 2 but it is not clear what happens if we also allow tangent lines. One might expect that still we should get that the dimension of B be 2 unless some individual lines cover too big a part of A. This will turn out to be wrong. First we study the case when we use only tangent lines. We construct (Theorem 5) a strictly convex function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the union of its tangent lines and one sided tangent lines has Hausdorff dimension 1. Note that strict convexity implies that every tangent line or one sided tangent line intersects the graph of F in a single point. Recall that although a convex function does not have to be differentiable everywhere, it is differentiable almost everywhere and the one sided derivatives exist everywhere (if we also allow $\pm \infty$), so the one sided tangent lines exist everywhere, thus the tangent lines and one sided tangent lines cover the whole graph of F. We also prove (Theorem 6) that there exists no such construction if we require differentiability everywhere: the union of the tangent lines of a differentiable function has always Hausdorff dimension 2 unless the function is linear.

And what if we allow both tangent and non-tangent lines? We show (Theorem 7) that in this case even continuous differentiability is not enough to exclude very small covers: we construct a strictly convex C^1 function such that its graph can be covered with lines such that the union of the lines has Hausdorff dimension 1. Finally, we give necessary conditions about the smoothness of the curve that guarantee that the union of the covering lines has Hausdorff dimension 2.

In this paper, for any given set S, we will use $H^d(S)$ to denote the d-dimensional Hausdorff measure of the set S, and we will use dim(S) to denote Hausdorff dimension of S.

2. The results

In the following lemma, the phrase "tangent lines" refers to left tangent lines, right tangent lines, or any line with slope between the two. The only thing required is for any "tangent line" to lie below the curve other than at its point of intersection. This criterion is fulfilled by regular tangent lines because of convexity.

Lemma 3. Let $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly convex function. Let X be a set of lines tangent to f. Then the set $\bigcup X$ has Hausdorff dimension one if the set of the slopes of X has Hausdorff dimension zero.

Proof. Let Y be the code set of X, that is $Y = \{(a, b) \mid (y = ax + b) \in X\}$. Let $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in Y$. Our first goal is to show that $|b_1 - b_2| \leq |a_1 - a_2|$.

Let $l_1(x) = a_1x + b_1$, and $l_2(x) = a_2x + b_2$. At the tangent points we have $a_ix_i + b_i = f(x_i)$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. We can clearly suppose that $x_1 \leq x_2$. We claim that there exists a point $x^* \in [x_1, x_2] \subset [0, 1]$ such that $l_1(x^*) = l_2(x^*)$. This clearly holds if $x_1 = x_2$, so we can suppose that $x_1 \neq x_2$. Since f is strictly convex, we have $l_1(x) < f(x)$ for all $x \neq x_1$. Thus, we have $l_1(x_2) < f(x_2) = l_2(x_2)$. Similarly we obtain $l_1(x_1) = f(x_1) > l_2(x_1)$. By continuity of $l_1 - l_2$, we get that indeed there must be a point $x^* \in [x_1, x_2] \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $l_1(x^*) = l_2(x^*)$ and so have $|b_1 - b_2| = x^*|a_1 - a_2| \leq |a_1 - a_2|$.

Thus Y is the graph of a Lipschitz function defined on a set of Hausdorff dimension zero. Then Y has Hausdorff dimension zero. Let $g: Y \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the function g((a, b), t) = (t, at + b). Note that $\dim(Y \times \mathbb{R}) = \dim Y + 1 = 1$, g is locally Lipschitz and maps $Y \times \mathbb{R}$ to $\bigcup X$. Thus, $\bigcup X$ has dimension one.

The following lemma is a special case of a result of Molter and Rela [8] and also of a different result of Falconer and Mattila [3].

Lemma 4. Let X be a set of lines. Then, the set $\bigcup X$ has Hausdorff dimension 2 if the set of slopes of X has Hausdorff dimension at least 1.

Our first goal is to show there exists a strictly convex function such that the union of the set of one sided tangent lines has dimension 1. The idea is the following. We construct a strictly increasing function whose image is zero dimensional. Then, its integral together with the set of one sided tangent lines will satisfy Lemma 3. Thus, the union of the set of one sided tangent lines will have Hausdorff dimension one.

Theorem 5. There exists a strictly convex function $F : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the union of the set of one sided tangent lines E has Hausdorff dimension 1.

Proof. For all $x \in [0,1]$, we can write $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i/2^i$, for $\omega_i \in \{0,1\}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $B = \{k/2^n \mid k, n \in \mathbb{N}; k \leq 2^n\}$. Observe that points in B can be represented by two different

sums (one is a finite sum, the other is an infinite sum), we shall always choose the finite sum. Consider the function $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, defined as

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_i}{2^i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_i}{2^{i^2}}, \quad \text{for any } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_i}{2^i} \in [0,1]$$

Since monotonically increasing functions are integrable, we have that f is integrable. Let $F: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(x) \, dx.$$

Since f is strictly increasing, we have that F is a strictly convex function. Notice that f is continuous on $[0,1] \setminus B$, so F is differentiable on $[0,1] \setminus B$ and F'(x) = f(x) on $[0,1] \setminus B$. Let E be the set of one sided tangent lines of F.

Second we are going to show that the union of E has Hausdorff dimension 1. Since B is countable it is enough to consider the tangent lines at the points of $[0,1] \setminus B$. Notice that the set of slopes of these tangent lines is exactly $f([0,1] \setminus B)$, so it is a subset of the range of f. However, the range of f can be covered by 2^n closed interval with diameter 2^{-n^2} . Then, for all d > 0, we have

$$H^d(f([0,1])) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^n \left(\frac{1}{2^{n^2}}\right)^d = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{d \cdot n^2 - n}} = 0.$$

Then, we have dim (f([0,1])) = 0. By Lemma 3, since F is convex, we get that the union of the tangent lines at the points of $[0,1] \setminus B$ has Hausdorff dimension 1, since E contains the tangent lines at the points of $[0,1] \setminus B$, the union of E Hausdorff dimension 1, as desired. \Box

In Theorem 5, the function F is differentiable almost everywhere. The following result shows that there is no such differentiable construction.

Theorem 6. Let $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function with nonconstant derivative. Then the union of the tangent lines of f has Hausdorff dimension 2.

Proof. Since f' is non-constant, there exist $a, b \in [0, 1]$ such that $f'(a) \neq f'(b)$. Without loss of generality, suppose f'(a) < f'(b). By Darboux's Theorem, for all $c \in [f'(a), f'(b)]$, there exists $x \in [a, b]$ such that f'(x) = c. Since the derivative is the directions of the tangent lines, we obtained that the set of directions of tangent lines has dimension 1. Then, by Lemma 4, the union of the tangent lines of f has Hausdorff dimension 2.

In Theorems 5 and 6, we only used tangent lines (or one-sided tangents). Differentiable almost everywhere is the nicest condition of a such function whose union of tangent lines (one-sided tangent lines) has Hausdorff Dimension 1. The following theorem shows that if we use some non-tangent lines we can make a C^1 function which fits the criteria.

Theorem 7. There exists a strictly convex C^1 function $F : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ and a set of lines E such that graph $(f) \subset \bigcup E$, every line of E intersects graph(f) in a single point and dim $\bigcup E = 1$.

Proof. Let C be a zero Hausdorff-dimensional Cantor set, that is, a zero Hausdorff-dimensional nonempty perfect nowhere dense set in the interval [0,1]. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ such that on [0,1] it is the Cantor type function obtained from this set and elsewhere, $\phi(x) = 0$ for x < 0and $\phi(x) = 1$ for x > 1. Note that ϕ maps all points other than a set of dimension 0 to a set of dimension 0. We wish to construct a strictly increasing function which retains this property, the integral of which will yield the desired function. To do so, first we will construct a sequence of functions $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ inductively. In addition to $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $a_{n,i}$ and $b_{n,i}$ will be sequences of real numbers, and C_n will be a sequence of compact sets. All will be constructed in parallel. Let $C_1 = C$, and $f_1 = \phi$. Suppose C_n and f_n are given. For each nlet $(a_{n,i}, b_{n,i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the maximal intervals in $[0,1] \setminus C_n$. It is not hard to check that we can also guarantee that

(1)
$$(a_{n,i_1}, b_{n,i_1}) \subset (a_{n-1,i_2}, b_{n-1,i_2}) \implies i_1 \ge i_2.$$

Let
$$C_{n+1} = C_n \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (b_{n,i} - a_{n,i}) \cdot C + a_{n,i}\right)$$
. We define
$$f_{n+1}(x) = f_n(x) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{n+i}} \cdot \phi\left(\frac{x - a_{n,i}}{b_{n,i} - a_{n,i}}\right).$$

That is, we add a smaller copy of ϕ to each constant interval in f_{n+1} . Let $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$. As this is the uniform limit of continuous functions, it must also be continuous. It is easy to see that f is strictly increasing. Let $F(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(t) dt$. Then F' = f, so F is a strictly convex \mathcal{C}^1 function. Let $C^* = \bigcup_{n \to \infty} C_n$.

Now, we construct E, the set of lines which covers the graph of F. For each $x_0 \in [0, 1]$, there are two possibilities. If $x_0 \in C^*$, add in the vertical line $x = x_0$ to the set E. If $x_0 \notin C^*$, add in the tangent line $f(x_0)(x-x_0)+F(x_0)$ to the set E. We claim that dim $f([0, 1] \setminus C^*) = 0$. By (1), for every $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$f(b_{n,i}) - f(a_{n,i}) \le \sum_{m > n} \sum_{j:(a_{m,j}, b_{m,j}) \subset (a_{n,i}, b_{n,i})} \frac{1}{2^{m+j}} \le \sum_{m > n} \sum_{j > i} \frac{1}{2^{m+j}} \le \frac{1}{2^{n+i}}.$$

Thus for any given n we can cover the set $f([0,1] \setminus C^*)$ using $[f(a_{n,i}), f(a_{n,i}) + \frac{1}{2^{n+i}}]$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence for any d > 0 we have

$$H^d(f([0,1] \setminus C^*)) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{d(n+i)}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{dn}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{di}} = 0.$$

Thus, we obtained dim $f([0,1] \setminus C^*) = 0$. Note that the set of slopes of the tangent lines in E is $f([0,1] \setminus C^*)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3, the Hausdorff dimension of the union of the set of tangent lines is 1. The union of the set of non-tangent lines, being $C^* \times \mathbb{R}$, also has dimension 1 since dim $C^* = 0$, so we can conclude that dim $(\bigcup E) = 1$.

The following results show that the constructed function in Theorem 7 cannot be very smooth.

Theorem 8. Let f be a differentiable real valued Lipschitz function on an interval I with a derivative which maps sets of full Lebesgue measure in I to sets of Hausdorff dimension one. Then the union of any set of lines which covers the graph of f has Hausdorff dimension 2.

Proof. Let T be the set of x-coordinates of the points on the graph of f covered by tangent lines. Let U be the set of x-coordinates of the points covered by only non-tangent lines. There are two cases.

Case 1: Suppose U has Lebesgue measure zero, so T has full Lebesgue measure in I. Let M be the set of slopes of the tangent lines at the points of T. By definition, we have that M is f'(T). Since f' maps sets of full measure to sets of Hausdorff dimension 1, the set of slopes of the lines has Hausdorff dimension 1. By Lemma 4, we thus have that the union of lines has Hausdorff dimension two.

Case 2: Suppose U has positive outer measure. Let $A = \operatorname{graph} f \upharpoonright_U$. Because dim U = 1, the set A must have Hausdorff dimension at least one. Since A is a subset of the graph of a Lipschitz function, its Hausdorff dimension clearly cannot exceed 1, so we have dim A = 1. In this case, Theorem 2 tells us that the Hausdorff dimension of the union of the set of lines is (2+2)/2 = 2.

Recall that a function is said to have the Luzin N property if it maps sets of Lebesgue measure zero to sets of Lebesgue measure zero.

Corollary 9. Let f be a non-linear differentiable real valued function on an interval I such that f' has the Luzin N property. Then the union of any set of lines which covers the graph of f has Hausdorff dimension 2.

Proof. By Theorem 8, it is enough to check that for any full Lebesgue measure set $A \subset I$, the set f'(A) has Hausdorff dimension 1. Since f' satisfies Luzin N property, we have $f'(I \setminus A)$ has Lebesgue measure zero. Notice that f is non-linear and f' is non-constant, so Darboux's theorem implies that f'(I) is a non-degenerated interval. Therefore f'(A) has positive Lebesgue measure and so it has Hausdorff dimension 1.

Since differentiable functions satisfy the Luzin N property, we get the following.

Corollary 10. Let f be a non-linear twice differentiable real valued function on an interval. The union of any set of lines which covers the graph of f has Hausdorff dimension 2. We can extend this result to curves in the plane, and even in higher dimension.

Corollary 11. Let γ be a twice differentiable regular curve in \mathbb{R}^n $(n \geq 2)$, which is not a subset of a line. Then, the union of any set of lines which covers γ has Hausdorff dimension at least 2.

Proof. We prove this by induction. For n = 2, this follows from Corollary 10. Suppose that $n \geq 3$ and the result holds for n - 1. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a twice differentiable regular curve. If γ is contained in a lower dimensional affine subspace, then we are done. Otherwise, any projection to an n - 1 dimensional subspace will not be contained in a line. Since γ is a twice differentiable regular curve, we have that $\gamma'/|\gamma'|$ is differentiable. Then $\gamma'/|\gamma'|$ is locally Lipschitz, and its image has Hausdorff dimension 1. Since the set of all directions is S^{n-1} , which has Hausdorff dimension n - 1, this implies that there exists a direction \vec{v} which is not parallel to $\gamma'(t)$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Take a projection of γ onto the complementary space of \vec{v} . By the inductive hypothesis, the union of tangent lines of the projection has Hausdorff dimension at least 2. Since the projection is Lipschitz, we have that the union of tangent lines of γ has Hausdorff dimension at least 2.

Remark 12. In Corollary 11 when n > 2 we cannot claim that the union of the lines has Hausdorff dimension exactly 2 even if every point of the curve is covered only by one line: let $\gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary curve that is contained in a two-dimensional plane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube disjoint to P and let $g : [a, b] \to Q$ be a continuous onto map (an n-dimensional Peano curve). Then if for every $t \in [a, b]$ we take the line through $\gamma(t)$ and g(t) then every point of the curve γ is covered exactly once but the union of the lines covers Q, so it has Hausdorff dimension n.

Remark 13. In Theorems 6 and 8 and Corollaries 9, 10 and 11 if instead of full lines we take only an arbitrary 1 Hausdorff dimensional subset of each line then the union of these sets must also have Hausdorff dimension 2 (at least 2 in Corollary 11). This follows immeditely from the result of Héra, Máthé and the first author [4], which states that if we have any collection of lines in \mathbb{R}^n such that the union of the lines has Hausdorff dimension at most 2 and we take a 1 Hausdorff dimensional subset of each line then their union has the same Hausdorff dimension as the union of the full lines.

An other way to get these slightly stronger results is the following. All of the above mentioned results are based on Lemma 4. If we state the stronger version of this lemma in which we take only the union of 1 Hausdorff dimensional subsets of the lines, which is still a special case of the result of Molter and Rela [8], then our arguments give the above mentioned stronger results.

References

- R. O. Davies, On accessibility of plane sets and differentiation of functions of two variables, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 48 (1952), 215–232.
- [2] R. O. Davies, Some remarks on the Kakeya problem, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 69 (1971), 417–421.
- [3] K. Falconer and P. Mattila, Strong Marstrand theorems and dimensions of sets formed by subsets of hyperplanes, J. Fractal Geom. 3 (2016), 319–326.
- [4] K. Héra, T. Keleti and A. Máthé, Hausdorff dimension of union of affine subspaces, J. Fractal Geom. 6 (2019), 263–284.
- [5] T. Keleti, Are lines much bigger than line segments?, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2016), 1535–1541.
- [6] Laura Venieri, Dimension estimates for Kakeya sets defined in an axiomatic setting, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math, Dissertation 161.
- [7] P. Mattila, Fourier Analysis and Hausdorff Dimension, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [8] U. Molter and E. Rela, Furstenberg sets for a fractal set of directions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 2753—2765.

Institute of Mathematics, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/c, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary

Email address: tamas.keleti@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907 USA

Email address: jcumberb@purdue.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY, 111 CUMMINGTON MALL, BOSTON, MA 02215 USA

Email address: zjleric@bu.edu