NONCRITICAL MAPS ON GEODESICALLY COMPLETE SPACES WITH CURVATURE BOUNDED ABOVE

TADASHI FUJIOKA

ABSTRACT. We define and study the regularity of distance maps on geodesically complete spaces with curvature bounded above. We prove that such a regular map is locally a Hurewicz fibration. This regularity can be regarded as a dual concept of Perelman's regularity in the geometry of Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below. As a corollary we obtain a sphere theorem for geodesically complete CAT(1) spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notions of lower and upper curvature bounds for metric spaces were introduced by Alexandrov using triangle comparison. More specifically, a metric space has curvature $\geq \kappa$ (resp. $\leq \kappa$) if any small geodesic triangle is "thicker" (resp. "thinner") than the geodesic triangle with the same sidelengths on the plane of constant curvature κ . Metric spaces with curvature bounded below and above are abbreviated as CBB spaces and CBA spaces, respectively. The properties of both curvature bounds are completely different in general.

CBB spaces are usually called Alexandrov spaces and play an essential role in the convergence theory of Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvature bounded below. The fundamental theory of finite-dimensional CBB spaces was developed by Burago, Gromov, and Perelman [2]. They showed that topological dimension and Hausdorff dimension coincide for CBB spaces and that any CBB space contains an open dense Lipschitz manifold of its dimension. To prove these, they defined a strainer (a collection of points around a point satisfying an orthogonal condition) and studied the distance map from points of a strainer, namely a strainer map. Perelman [13], [14] then developed the structure theory of CBB spaces by introducing the regularity of distance maps more general than that of strainer maps, which extends the regularity of distance functions introduced by Grove and Shiohama [3] in the Riemannian setting. In particular, he proved that such a regular map is locally a bundle map and obtained a stratification of an arbitrary CBB space into topological manifolds.

On the other hand, the structure of CBA spaces is much more complicated ([6]). For example, the Hausdorff dimension may be bigger than the topological dimension. To obtain some control, we assume the *(local) geodesic completeness*, that is, the extension property of geodesics. A separable, locally compact, locally

Date: December 30, 2021.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C20, 53C21, 53C23.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Upper curvature bound, GCBA spaces, noncritical maps, Hurewicz fibration.

Supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15H05739.

geodesically complete CBA space is called a *GCBA space*. Lytchak and Nagano [8], [9] recently published the fundamental theory of GCBA spaces (note also that there was an unpublished work of Otsu and Tanoue [12]). Their results suggest that the geometry of GCBA spaces has many parallels with that of CBB spaces. They showed the coincidence of topological and Hausdorff dimensions for GCBA spaces and proved that any GCBA space can be stratified in a measure-theoretic sense so that each stratum contains an open dense Lipschitz manifold of its dimension. They also obtained a manifold recognition theorem for GCBA spaces. Their main technical tool is a strainer on a GCBA space, which can be seen as a dual of a strainer on a CBB space. In particular, they showed that any strainer map is locally a Hurewicz fibration (but not a bundle map; see [10, 2.7] for example).

In this paper we define and study the regularity of distance maps on GCBA spaces more general than that of strainer maps, which can be regarded as a dual concept of Perelman's regularity in CBB geometry. To define it, we introduce the following notation. Let Σ be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1) space with diameter π (any space of directions of a GCBA space satisfies these conditions). We define the *antipodal distance* $\overline{| \ , \ |}$ on Σ by

$$\overline{|\xi\eta|} := \sup_{x \in \Sigma} |\xi x| + |\eta x| - \pi$$

for $\xi, \eta \in \Sigma$ (not a distance in the usual sense). The reason for this name is the following equivalent definition. Let $\operatorname{Ant}(\xi)$ denote the set of all antipodes $\overline{\xi}$ of ξ , i.e. $|\xi\overline{\xi}| = \pi$. Then it easily follows from the geodesic completeness that

$$\overline{|\xi\eta|} = \sup_{\bar{\xi} \in \operatorname{Ant}(\xi)} |\bar{\xi}\eta| = \sup_{\bar{\eta} \in \operatorname{Ant}(\eta)} |\xi\bar{\eta}|$$

(see Lemma 4.1 for the detail). Thus the antipodal distance on spaces of directions reflects the branching phenomena of shortest paths in GCBA spaces.

Let U be a tiny ball in a GCBA space X, that is, a small metric ball where triangle comparison holds (see Sec. 3.1 for the precise definition). In this paper we usually work inside some tiny ball. For $p, a \in U$, we denote by Σ_p the space of directions at p and by $a'_p \in \Sigma_p$ (or simply a' if no confusion arises) the direction of the unique shortest path from p to a. Using the above notation, the definition of a strainer by Lytchak-Nagano [8, 7.2] can be expressed as follows: $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in U is a (k, δ) -strainer at $p \in U$ if there exists $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in U such that

$$|a'_i b'_i| < \delta, \quad |a'_i a'_j|, |a'_i b'_j|, |b'_i b'_j| < \pi/2 + \delta$$

in Σ_p for any $i \neq j$. Here the first inequality guarantees that there is an almost unique extension of the shortest path $a_i p$. On the other hand, a strainer in a CBB space is defined by the inequalities $|a'_ib'_i| > \pi - \delta$ and $|a'_ia'_j|, |a'_ib'_j|, |b'_ib'_j| > \pi/2 - \delta$ (for any choice of directions), where the first inequality means that $a_i p$ is almost extendable as a shortest path. Note that shortest paths in CBB spaces are neither unique nor extendable in general, but do not branch, whereas shortest paths in GCBA spaces are unique and extendable at least in tiny balls, but may branch.

We now define the regularity of distance maps dealt with in this paper. Let ε and δ be small positive numbers such that $\delta \ll \varepsilon$, where the choice of δ depends only on the (local) dimension and ε (more precisely, it will be determined by the proof of each statement; see Sec. 2).

Definition 1.1. Let U be a tiny ball in a GCBA space X and $a_i \in U$ $(1 \le i \le k)$. A map $f = (|a_1 \cdot |, \dots, |a_k \cdot |) : U \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is said to be (ε, δ) -noncritical at $p \in U$ if

- (1) $\overline{|a'_i a'_j|} < \pi/2 + \delta$ in Σ_p for any $i \neq j$;
- (2) there exists $b \in U$ such that $\overline{|a'_i b'|} < \pi/2 \varepsilon$ in Σ_p for any *i*.

We simply say f is *noncritical* at p if it is (ε, δ) -noncritical at p for some $\delta \ll \varepsilon$.

Remark 1.2. The original definition [13, 3.1] of (ε, δ) -noncriticality in the CBB setting requires $|a'_i a'_j| > \pi/2 - \delta$ and $|a'_i b'| > \pi/2 + \varepsilon$ (for any choice of directions). In case Σ_p is a unit sphere and a'_i , b' are unique, both definitions coincide. Note that in this case a'_i are linearly independent as vectors in Euclidean space.

Remark 1.3. One can also define the ε -regularity by strengthening the condition (1) to $\overline{|a'_i a'_j|} < \pi/2 - \varepsilon$, as Perelman did in [14], and simplify some of the proofs. The error δ is only used in Lemma 5.9. In case k = 1 there is no difference.

Note that being a noncritical point of f is an open condition by the upper semicontinuity of angle and the local geodesic completeness. It is easy to see that if $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a (k, δ) -strainer at p, then $f = (|a_1 \cdot |, \ldots, |a_k \cdot |)$ is $(c, 2\delta)$ -noncritical at p for some constant c > 0 (use the c-openness of f to find b'; see [8, 8.2]).

Example 1.4. Let X be the Euclidean cone over the circle of length $2\pi + \theta$, which is a geodesically complete CAT(0) space for $\theta \ge 0$. Then,

- (1) if $\theta < \pi/4$, there exists a noncritical map $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^2$ at the vertex o;
- (2) if $\pi/4 \leq \theta < \pi$, there exists a noncritical function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ at o, but no noncritical map $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^2$ at o;
- (3) if $\theta \ge \pi$, there exists no noncritical function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ at o.

Example 1.5. Let p be an isolated singularity of a GCBA space, that is, a nonmanifold point such that its punctured neighborhood is a manifold. Then $\overline{|\xi\eta|} = \pi$ for any $\xi, \eta \in \Sigma_p$. In particular, there exists no noncritical function at p. Indeed, if $\overline{|\xi\eta|} < \pi$, then Σ_p is covered by $B(\xi, \pi)$ and $B(\eta, \pi)$, which are contractible. Since Σ_p is a homology manifold ([9, 3.3, 3.4]), the same argument as in [9, 8.2] shows that Σ_p has the homotopy type of a sphere. Therefore the theorem of Lytchak-Nagano [9, 1.1] implies that p is a manifold point, which is a contradiction.

We prove the following two theorems generalizing the results of Lytchak-Nagano for strainer maps. The first extends [8, 8.2, 11.2] and the second extends [9, 5.1]. As before, U denotes a tiny ball in a GCBA space X. Let T_p denote the tangent cone at $p \in U$. Note that the local dimension dim T_p may not be constant. We denote by $c(\varepsilon)$ a positive constant depending only on the (local) dimension and ε .

Theorem 1.6. Let $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be an (ε, δ) -noncritical map at $p \in U$ for $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. Then $k \leq \dim T_p$ and f is $c(\varepsilon)$ -open near p. Furthermore if $k = \dim T_p$, then f is a bi-Lipschitz open embedding near p.

Theorem 1.7. Let $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be a noncritical map at $p \in U$, where $k < \dim T_p$. Then there exists an arbitrarily small contractible open neighborhood V of p such that $f: V \to f(V)$ is a Hurewicz fibration with contractible fibers.

Remark 1.8. The above theorems hold for noncritical maps on CBB spaces (note that the local dimension is constant for CBB spaces). Moreover, any (ε, δ) -noncritical map at p in a CBB space is a bundle map near p with conical fibers, provided $\delta \ll \operatorname{vol} \Sigma_p$. See [13] for the proofs (cf. [14]).

As a corollary we obtain the following sphere theorem. For other sphere theorems, see [11] and the references therein. We may assume that the diameter of a geodesically complete CAT(1) space is exactly π by considering the π -truncated metric if necessary (see also Remark 5.11).

Corollary 1.9. Let Σ be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1) space of dimension n. Assume that there exist $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ and η in Σ such that

$$\overline{|\xi_i\xi_j|} < \pi/2 + \delta, \quad \overline{|\xi_i\eta|} < \pi/2 - \epsilon$$

for any $i \neq j$ and $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. Then Σ is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to the unit n-sphere.

Remark 1.10. The above corollary is also true for CBB spaces if the terminology and notation are replaced by the corresponding ones. Moreover, if Σ is a CBB(1) space and $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and η satisfy $|\xi_i\xi_j| > \pi/2 - \delta$ and $|\xi_i\eta| > \pi/2 + \epsilon$, then Σ is homeomorphic to a k-fold suspension ([13, 4.5], [4, Theorem C]).

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we introduce some notation used in this paper. In Sec. 3 we give preliminaries on GCBA spaces, ε -open maps, and Hurewicz fibrations. In Sec. 4 we study the properties of the differential of a noncritical map. In Sec. 5 we first prove Theorem 1.6 by using the results of the previous section. We then construct a local neighborhood retraction to the fiber of a noncritical map to prove Theorem 1.7. Finally we give the proof of Corollary 1.9.

2. NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

We will use the following notation from [13]. As in the introduction, ε and δ denote positive numbers such that $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. The choice of δ depends only on the (local) dimension, the upper curvature bound, and ε (the dependence on the upper curvature bound is not necessary if it is taken to be nonnegative). Whenever ε and δ appear in a statement, it means that the statement holds for a suitable choice of δ depending on ε , which will be determined by the proof. We denote by $c(\varepsilon)$ various positive constants such that $c(\varepsilon) \ll \varepsilon$, and by $\varkappa(\delta)$ various positive functions such that $\varkappa(\delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$. They also depend only on the (local) dimension, the upper curvature bound, and ε . In particular we may assume $\varkappa(\delta) \ll c(\varepsilon)$ by taking $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. Whenever $c(\varepsilon)$ and $\varkappa(\delta)$ appear in a statement, it means that the statement holds for some $c(\varepsilon)$ and $\varkappa(\delta)$ determined by the proof.

3. Preliminaries

3.1. **GCBA spaces.** Here we recall basic notions and facts about GCBA spaces. We refer the reader to [8] and [1] for more details. We assume all metric spaces are separable and locally compact unless otherwise stated.

Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by S_{κ}^2 the complete simply-connected surface of constant curvature κ and by D_{κ} the diameter of S_{κ}^2 . A complete metric space is called a $CAT(\kappa)$ space if any two points with distance $\langle D_{\kappa}$ can be joined by a shortest path and if any geodesic triangle with perimeter $\langle 2D_{\kappa}$ is not thicker than the comparison triangle on S_{κ}^2 . A metric space is called a $CBA(\kappa)$ space if any point has a $CAT(\kappa)$ neighborhood. For example, a complete Riemannian manifold is $CBA(\kappa)$ if and only if its sectional curvature is bounded above by κ and is $CAT(\kappa)$ if in addition its injectivity radius is bounded below by D_{κ} . One can also construct numerous examples by Reshetnyak's gluing theorem: a gluing of two $CBA(\kappa)$ (resp. $CAT(\kappa)$) spaces along their isometric convex subsets is again a $CBA(\kappa)$ (resp. $CAT(\kappa)$) space.

Let X be a $CBA(\kappa)$ space. A geodesic is a curve that is locally a shortest path. We say that X is *locally geodesically complete* if any geodesic can be extended to a geodesic beyond its endpoints, and that X is *geodesically complete* if the extension can be defined on \mathbb{R} . For example, if a small punctured ball at each point of X is noncontractible, then X is locally geodesically complete. In particular, any homology manifold with a CBA metric is locally geodesically complete. If X is complete, then the local geodesic completeness is equivalent to the geodesic completeness. A separable, locally compact, locally geodesically complete CBA space is called a *GCBA space*.

Let X be a GCBA(κ) space. The angle between two shortest paths is defined by the limit of comparison angles. The space of directions at p, denoted by Σ_p , is the set of the directions of shortest paths emanating from p equipped with the angle metric. Σ_p is a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1) space with diameter π . By the local geodesic completeness, for any given direction, there exists a shortest path starting in that direction. Furthermore any direction has at least one opposite direction. The tangent cone T_p at p is the Euclidean cone over Σ_p . T_p is isometric to the blow-up limit of X at p and is a geodesically complete CAT(0) space.

The dimension of a GCBA space is defined by the Hausdorff dimension, which coincides with the topological dimension. Note that the local dimension dim T_p is finite and upper semicontinuous, but not necessarily constant.

We say that a metric ball U in X of radius r is tiny if the closed concentric ball of radius 10r is a compact $CAT(\kappa)$ space and $r < \min\{D_{\kappa}/100, 1\}$. In this paper we usually work inside some tiny ball. Any two points in U are joined by a unique shortest path contained in U and any shortest path in U can be extended to a shortest path of length 9r. The angle is upper semicontinuous in U. For $p, a \in U$, we denote by $a'_p \in \Sigma_p$ (or simply a' if no confusion arises) the direction of the unique shortest path from p to a. Let $f = |a \cdot|$. Then f is convex on U and $f' = -\cos|a' \cdot |$ on Σ_p by the first variation formula.

3.2. ε -open maps. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous map between metric spaces and let ε be a (small) positive number. We say that f is ε -open if for any $x \in X$ and any sufficiently small r > 0, we have $B(f(x), \varepsilon r) \subset f(B(x, r))$.

We will use the following two lemmas from [13] regarding ε -open maps. The proofs are straightforward and do not rely on any curvature assumption.

Lemma 3.1 ([13, 2.1.2]). Let U be an open subset of a GCBA space X. Suppose that a differentiable map $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_k) : U \to \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfies the following property: for any $p \in U$ and $1 \le i \le k$,

- (1) there exists $\xi_i \in \Sigma_p$ such that $f'_i(\xi_i) < -\varepsilon$ and $f'_i(\xi_i) = 0$ for any $j \neq i$;
- (2) there exists $\eta \in \Sigma_p$ such that $\varepsilon < f'_j(\eta) < \varepsilon^{-1}$ for any j.

Then f is $c(\varepsilon)$ -open on U with respect to the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^k , where $c(\varepsilon)$ depends only on k and ε .

Lemma 3.2 ([13, 2.1.3]). Let $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be a differentiable ε -open map defined on an open subset U of a GCBA space X. Let $p \in U$, $\xi \in \Sigma_p$ be such that $f'(\xi) = 0$. Then there exists $q \in f^{-1}(f(p))$ arbitrarily close p such that q' is arbitrarily close to ξ . In particular, if g and h are differentiable functions on U such that $g'(\xi) > 0$ and $h'(\xi) < 0$, then we have g(q) > g(p) and h(q) < h(p).

3.3. Hurewicz fibrations. We assume all maps are continuous. A map between topological spaces is called a *Hurewicz fibration* if it satisfies the homotopy lifting property with respect to any space.

The following two theorems from geometric topology, used by Lytchak-Nagano [9] for strainer maps, provide sufficient conditions for a map to be a Hurewicz fibration. Both are due to Ungar [16] and based on Michael's selection theorem.

Definition 3.3. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a map between metric spaces. We say that f has *locally uniformly contractible fibers* if the following holds: for any $x \in X$ and every neighborhood U of x, there exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of x such that for any fiber Π of f intersecting V, the intersection $\Pi \cap V$ is contractible in $\Pi \cap U$.

Theorem 3.4 ([16, Theorem 1]). Let X, Y be finite-dimensional, compact metric spaces and let Y be an ANR. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an open, surjective map with locally uniformly contractible fibers. Then f is a Hurewicz fibration.

Theorem 3.5 ([16, Theorem 2]). Let X, Y be finite-dimensional, locally compact metric spaces. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an open, surjective map with locally uniformly contractible fibers. Assume all fibers of f are contractible. Then f is a Hurewicz fibration.

4. INFINITESIMAL PROPERTIES

In this section we study the infinitesimal properties of noncritical maps and prove some lemmas which will be used in the next section. This section corresponds to [13, §2] (cf. [14, §2]) in CBB geometry. We use the notation ε , δ , $c(\varepsilon)$, and $\varkappa(\delta)$ introduced in Sec. 2. The choice of δ in this section will be determined by the proof of Proposition 4.5.

Throughout this section, Σ denotes a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1) space with diameter π . In case dim $\Sigma = 0$, we assume Σ is a set of finite points with pairwise distance π and not a singleton (for the sake of induction). Note that any two points in Σ with distance $< \pi$ are be joined by a unique shortest path and that any shortest path in Σ can be extended to a shortest path of length π . As in the introduction, we define

$$\overline{|\xi\eta|} := \sup_{x \in \Sigma} |\xix| + |\eta x| - \pi$$
$$\operatorname{Ant}(\xi) := \{\bar{\xi} \in \Sigma \mid |\xi\bar{\xi}| = \pi\}$$

for $\xi, \eta \in \Sigma$. We call $\overline{\xi} \in Ant(\xi)$ an *antipode* of ξ (not necessarily unique).

We first show the following fact mentioned in the introduction.

Lemma 4.1.

$$\overline{|\xi\eta|} = \sup_{\bar{\xi} \in \operatorname{Ant}(\xi)} |\bar{\xi}\eta|$$

Proof. The inequality $\overline{|\xi\eta|} \ge \sup_{\bar{\xi}\in \operatorname{Ant}(\xi)} |\bar{\xi}\eta|$ is clear from the definition. For any $x \in \Sigma$, extend the shortest path ξx beyond x to a shortest path $\xi \bar{\xi}$ of length π . Then we have

$$|\xi x| + |\eta x| - \pi = |\eta x| - |\overline{\xi} x| \le |\eta \overline{\xi}|,$$

which implies the opposite inequality (we may assume $x \neq \xi$ and $x \notin Ant(\xi)$). \Box

This section deals with the infinitesimal version of an (ε, δ) -noncritical map.

6

Definition 4.2. A collection $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of points of Σ is said to be (ε, δ) -noncritical (or simply noncritical) if the following hold:

- (1) $\overline{|\xi_i\xi_j|} < \pi/2 + \delta$ for any $i \neq j$;
- (2) there exists $\eta \in \Sigma$ such that $\overline{|\xi_i \eta|} < \pi/2 \varepsilon$ for any *i*.

In this case we call η a regular direction for $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$.

Remark 4.3. Lemma 4.1 and the triangle inequality immediately imply

$$|\xi_i\xi_j| > \pi/2 - \delta, \quad |\xi_i\eta| > \pi/2 + \varepsilon$$

for any $i \neq j$. Furthermore if $k \geq 2$, these inequalities and Definition 4.2(2) imply $|\xi_i\xi_j| < \pi - 2\varepsilon$ and $|\xi_i\eta| < \pi - \varepsilon/2$ (provided $\delta < \varepsilon/2$).

The next lemma enables us to use induction on the dimension of Σ .

Lemma 4.4. Suppose dim $\Sigma \geq 1$. Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be an (ε, δ) -noncritical collection in Σ with a regular direction η . For $x \in \Sigma$, assume either

- (1) $\xi_i, \eta \in B(x, \pi/2 + \delta)$ for any *i*; or
- (2) $\xi_i, \eta \notin B(x, \pi/2 \delta)$ for any *i*.

Then, $\{\xi'_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in Σ_x is a $(c(\varepsilon), \varkappa(\delta))$ -noncritical collection with a regular direction η' (see Sec.2 for the notation).

Proof. We assume (1). Note that this assumption together with $|\xi_i\eta| > \pi/2 + \varepsilon$ implies $\xi_i, \eta \notin B(x, \varepsilon/2)$ (provided $\delta < \varepsilon/2$). Let $\bar{\xi}'_i$ be an arbitrary antipode of ξ'_i in Σ_x . Let $\bar{\xi}_i$ be the point on the shortest path starting in the direction $\bar{\xi}'_i$ at distance $\pi - |x\xi_i|$ from x. Then triangle comparison shows that $\bar{\xi}_i$ is an antipode of ξ_i . Thus we have $|\bar{\xi}_i\xi_j| < \pi/2 + \delta$ by the assumption of noncriticality. By triangle comparison again, we have

$$\cos|\bar{\xi}'_i\xi'_j| \ge \frac{\cos|\bar{\xi}_i\xi_j| - \cos|x\bar{\xi}_i|\cos|x\xi_j|}{\sin|x\bar{\xi}_i|\sin|x\xi_j|} > -\varkappa(\delta),$$

where $\pi/2 - \delta < |x\bar{\xi_i}| \le \pi - \varepsilon/2$ and $\varepsilon/2 \le |x\xi_j| < \pi/2 + \delta$ by the assumption (1). Similarly since $|\bar{\xi_i}\eta| < \pi/2 - \varepsilon$, we have $\cos|\bar{\xi_i'}\eta'| \ge c(\varepsilon)$. The case (2) is similar (this assumption together with $\overline{|\xi_i\eta|} < \pi/2 - \varepsilon$ implies $\xi_i, \eta \in B(x, \pi - \varepsilon/2)$). \Box

The main result of this section is the following counterpart of [13, 2.2, 2.3] (cf. [14, 2.3]) in CBB geometry.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be an (ε, δ) -noncritical collection in Σ with a regular direction η , where $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. Then

- (1) $k \leq \dim \Sigma + 1;$
- (2) there exists $v \in \Sigma$ such that

 $|v\xi_1| < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon), \quad |v\xi_i| = \pi/2, \quad |v\eta| > \pi/2 + c(\varepsilon)$

for any $i \geq 2$.

Proof. We first prove (1) by induction on dim Σ . If dim $\Sigma = 0$, then clearly $k \leq 1$ and k = 1 is possible only when Σ consists of two points (see the beginning of this section for the assumption on Σ). Now suppose dim $\Sigma \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$. By Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.4(2), $\{\xi_i'\}_{i=2}^k$ in Σ_{ξ_1} is a $(c(\varepsilon), \varkappa(\delta))$ -noncritical collection with a regular direction η' . Thus the induction completes the proof, provided $\delta \ll \varepsilon$.

Next we prove (2) by induction on dim Σ . We may assume $k \ge 2$ by Remark 4.3. For $1 \le j \le k$, set

$$X_j := \left\{ x \in \Sigma \; \middle| \; \begin{aligned} |x\xi_i| &= \pi/2 \; (2 \le i \le j), \\ |x\xi_i| \ge \pi/2 \; (j < i \le k), \\ |x\eta| \ge \pi/2 + c(\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

We will show $X_j \neq \emptyset$ inductively.

Let us first show $X_1 \neq \emptyset$. By Remark 4.3 we have $|\xi_1\eta| > \pi/2 + \varepsilon$ and $|\xi_1\xi_i| > \pi/2 - \delta$ for any $i \geq 2$. In other words, ξ_1 almost satisfies the inequalities in the definition of X_1 . We slightly move it to obtain a point of X_1 . As we have seen above, $\{\xi'_i\}_{i=2}^k$ is $(c(\varepsilon), \varkappa(\delta))$ -noncritical in Σ_{ξ_1} and η' is a regular direction. In particular $|\xi'_i\eta'| > \pi/2 + c(\varepsilon)$ by Remark 4.3, which means that moving ξ_1 toward η along the shortest path increases the distance to ξ_i with velocity at least $c(\varepsilon)$. This estimate holds until the distance to ξ_i exceeds $\pi/2$ within time $\varkappa(\delta)$. Thus the resulting point lies in X_1 .

Next we show $X_j \neq \emptyset$ by assuming $X_{j-1} \neq \emptyset$. Let x_j be a closest point to ξ_j in X_{j-1} . We show $x_j \in X_j$, i.e. $|x_j\xi_j| = \pi/2$. As before, $\{\xi'_i\}_{i=2}^k$ is $(c(\varepsilon), \varkappa(\delta))$ noncritical in Σ_{x_j} and η' is a regular direction. This holds in a small neighborhood of x_j . Thus by Lemma 3.1, Remark 4.3, and the inductive hypothesis, the map $f = (|\xi_2 \cdot |, \ldots, |\xi_k \cdot |)$ is $c(\varepsilon)$ -open near x_j , provided $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. Furthermore by the inductive hypothesis, there exists $v \in \Sigma_{x_j}$ such that

$$|v\xi'_i| < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon), \quad |v\xi'_i| = \pi/2, \quad |v\eta'| > \pi/2 + c(\varepsilon)$$

for any $i \neq j$ (≥ 2). Hence by Lemma 3.2, there exists $x \in \Sigma$ near x_j such that

$$|x\xi_j| < |x_j\xi_j|, \quad |x\xi_i| = |x_j\xi_i|, \quad |x\eta| > |x_j\eta|$$

for any $i \neq j$ (≥ 2). This contradicts the choice of x_i if $|x_i\xi_i| > \pi/2$.

Therefore $X_k \neq \emptyset$. Let v be a closest point to ξ_1 in X_k . It suffices to show $|v\xi_1| < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon)$. Suppose the contrary: $|v\xi_1| > \pi/2 - \delta$, where $\delta = c(\varepsilon)$ will be determined by the following argument. By Lemma 4.4(2), $\{\xi'_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in Σ_v is a $(c(\varepsilon), \varkappa(\delta))$ -noncritical collection with a regular direction η' . If $k = \dim \Sigma + 1$, this contradicts (1), provided $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. If $k < \dim \Sigma + 1$, the same argument as above shows that there exists $w \in \Sigma$ such that

$$|w\xi_1| < |v\xi_1|, |w\xi_i| = |v\xi_i|, |w\eta| > |v\eta|$$

for any $i \geq 2$, provided $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. This contradicts the choice of v.

5. Local properties

In this section we study the local properties of noncritical maps and prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7. As before, we use the notation ε , δ , $c(\varepsilon)$, and $\varkappa(\delta)$.

Throughout this section, U denotes a tiny ball in a GCBA space X. Note that for any $a, x, y \in U$, we have $\tilde{\angle}axy + \tilde{\angle}ayx \leq \pi$, where $\tilde{\angle}$ denotes the comparison angle. In particular if $|ax| \geq |ay|$, we obtain $\angle axy \leq \tilde{\angle}axy \leq \pi/2$. We will often use this observation.

Let $f = (|a_1 \cdot |, \dots, |a_k \cdot |)$ be an (ε, δ) -noncritical map at $p \in U$ in the sense of Definition 1.1 and let $b \in U$ be as in the condition (2). In the terminology of the previous section, $\{a'_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is an (ε, δ) -noncritical collection in Σ_p with a regular direction b'. We also call b' a regular direction of f at p (note that this notation specifies not only the direction b' but also the point b). By the upper semicontinuity of angle and the local geodesic completeness, there exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of p such that f is an (ε, δ) -noncritical map on V with a regular direction b'. We call V a regular neighborhood of f at p with respect to b.

If we want to estimate the size of a regular neighborhood, we use the following alternative definition. Let ρ be a positive number less than the radius of U.

Definition 5.1. We say that $f = (|a_1 \cdot |, \dots, |a_k \cdot |)$ is an $(\varepsilon, \delta, \rho)$ -noncritical map at $p \in U$ if the following holds:

(1) $|a_i p| > \rho$ and

$$\tilde{\angle}a_i px + \tilde{\angle}a_i px < 3\pi/2 + \delta$$

- for any $x \in B(p, \rho)$ and $i \neq j$;
- (2) there exists $b \in U$ such that $|bp| > \rho$ and

$$\tilde{\angle}a_i px + \tilde{\angle}bpx < 3\pi/2 - \varepsilon$$

for any $x \in B(p, \rho)$ and *i*.

Remark 5.2. Note that if f is (ε, δ) -noncritical at p, then it is $(\varepsilon, \delta, \rho)$ -noncritical at p for some $\rho > 0$, and vice versa. Furthermore, if f is $(\varepsilon, \delta, \rho)$ -noncritical at p then it is $(\varepsilon/2, \varkappa(\delta), \rho/2)$ -noncritical on $B(p, \rho\delta)$. Thus we can take $V = B(p, \rho\delta)$ after replacing the constants.

We first prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $f = (|a_1 \cdot |, \ldots, |a_k \cdot |)$ be an (ε, δ) -noncritical map at p with a regular direction b' and let V be a regular neighborhood. Then $k \leq \dim T_p$ by Proposition 4.5(1). Furthermore f is $c(\varepsilon)$ -open on V by Lemma 3.1, Remark 4.3, and Proposition 4.5(2).

Now assume $k = \dim T_p$. We show f is injective near p. Suppose the contrary and let $x, y \in V$ be distinct points sufficiently close to p such that f(x) = f(y). In particular we have $\angle a_i xy \leq \pi/2$ for any i as observed at the beginning of this section. We may assume $|bx| \geq |by|$, which implies $\angle bxy \leq \pi/2$ as well. Hence $y' \in \Sigma_x$ satisfies the assumption (1) of Lemma 4.4 for a noncritical collection $\{a'_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and its regular direction b' (in particular we may assume $k \geq 2$). Thus $\{(a'_i)'\}_{i=1}^k$ in $\Sigma_{y'}$ is a noncritical collection with a regular direction (b')'. Therefore by Proposition 4.5(1) we have $k \leq \dim \Sigma_{y'} + 1 \leq \dim \Sigma_x$. On the other hand, if xis sufficiently close to p, we have dim $T_x \leq k$ by the upper semicontinuity of local dimension. This is a contradiction.

From now on, we assume $k < \dim T_p$. We first observe that the fiber of f through p is not a singleton.

Lemma 5.3. Let $f = (|a_1 \cdot |, \dots, |a_k \cdot |)$ be an (ε, δ) -noncritical map at $p \in U$, where $k < \dim T_p$. Then there exists a point of $f^{-1}(f(p))$ arbitrarily close to p.

Proof. Let b' be a regular direction of f at p and V a regular neighborhood. We may assume that there exist $x_j \neq y_j \in V$ converging to p such that $f(x_j) = f(y_j)$; otherwise f is a bi-Lipschitz open embedding near p, which contradicts $k < \dim T_p$. In particular we have $\angle a_i x_j y_j \leq \pi/2$ for any i as before. We may further assume $|bx_j| \geq |by_j|$ and hence $\angle bx_j y_j \leq \pi/2$.

Extend the shortest path $y_j x_j$ beyond x_j to a shortest path $y_j z_j$ of fixed length. The above estimates imply $\angle a_i x_j z_j \ge \pi/2$ and $\angle b x_j z_j \ge \pi/2$. We may assume z_j converges to $z \neq p$. By the upper semicontinuity of angle, we have $\angle a_i p z \geq \pi/2$ and $\angle b p z \geq \pi/2$.

For $0 \leq j \leq k$, set

$$X_j := \left\{ x \in \Sigma_p \; \middle| \; \begin{aligned} |xa_i'| &= \pi/2 \; (1 \le i \le j), \\ |xa_i'| \ge \pi/2 \; (j < i \le k), \\ |xb'| \ge \pi/2 \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

We have now shown $z' \in X_0$. Furthermore an inductive argument similar to the proof of Proposition 4.5(2) shows $X_j \neq \emptyset$ for any $j \ge 1$. In particular for $\xi \in X_k$ we have $f'(\xi) = 0$. Thus the claim follows from Lemma 3.2.

Next we construct a local neighborhood retraction to the fiber of a noncritical map. A related argument in CBB geometry can be found in [5, 6.15]. Here we use Definition 5.1 to specify the size of a regular neighborhood.

Proposition 5.4. Let $f = (|a_1 \cdot |, \dots, |a_k \cdot |)$ be $(\varepsilon, \delta, \rho)$ -noncritical at $p \in U$. Then, for any $0 < r < \rho\delta$, there exists a continuous map

 $R: B(p,r) \to B(p,Lr) \cap f^{-1}(f(p))$

that is the identity on $B(p,r) \cap f^{-1}(f(p))$, where $L = c(\varepsilon)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let b' be a regular direction of f at p. To simplify the notation, we set $f_i = |a_i \cdot | - |a_i p|$ for any i. Let $s = c(\varepsilon)$, which will be determined later as well as L. Define

$$\Pi_{+}^{s} := \{ x \in U \mid f_{i}(x) \geq sf_{j}(y) \text{ for any } i \neq j \}, \\ \Pi_{-} := \{ x \in U \mid f_{i}(x) \leq 0 \text{ for any } i \}, \\ \Pi := \Pi_{+}^{s} \cap \Pi_{-} = f^{-1}(f(p)) \cap U.$$

Note that Π_{-} is convex since f_i is convex. If k = 1, we consider $\Pi_{+} := \{x \in U \mid f_1(x) \ge 0\}$ instead of Π_{+}^s .

First we construct a map $R_1 : B(p,r) \to B(p,Lr) \cap \Pi_+^s$ as follows: for any $x \in B(p,r)$, let $R_1(x)$ be the closest point to x on the intersection of the shortest path xb and Π_+^s . Let us check this definition works. Recall that f is a $(c(\varepsilon), \varkappa(\delta))$ -noncritical map with a regular direction b' on $B(p, c(\varepsilon)^{-1}r)$. In particular $|a'_ib'| > \pi/2 + c(\varepsilon)$ on $B(p, c(\varepsilon)^{-1}r)$ for any i by Remark 4.3. This means if one moves x toward b along the shortest path, the value of f_i increases with velocity $> c(\varepsilon)$. We show it reaches Π_+^s within time $2r/c(\varepsilon)$ (the case k = 1 is obvious). Let $\gamma(t)$ be the shortest path xb with arclength parameter t. Then $-r + c(\varepsilon)t \leq f_i(\gamma(t)) \leq r + t$. In particular if $t = 2r/c(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\frac{f_j(\gamma(t))}{f_i(\gamma(t))} \le \frac{r + 2rc(\varepsilon)^{-1}}{r} = 1 + 2c(\varepsilon)^{-1}.$$

Hence R_1 can be defined for $s = (1 + 2c(\varepsilon)^{-1})^{-1}$ and $L = 2c(\varepsilon)^{-1} + 1$.

Furthermore any $y \in B(p, Lr)$ on the shortest path xb beyond $R_1(x)$ lies in the interior of Π^s_+ (the case k = 1 is obvious). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(y) &> f_i(R_1(x)) + c(\varepsilon) |R_1(x)y| \\ &\geq s f_j(R_1(x)) + c(\varepsilon) |R_1(x)y| \\ &\geq s f_j(y) + (c(\varepsilon) - s) |R_1(x)y| > s f_j(y), \end{aligned}$$

10

where we take s smaller if necessary. Together with the uniqueness of shortest paths, this shows that R_1 is continuous. Clearly R_1 is the identity on Π_+^s .

Next we construct a map $R_2 : B(p, Lr) \cap \Pi_+^s \to \Pi_-$ as follows: for any $x \in B(p, Lr) \cap \Pi_+^s$, let $R_2(x)$ be the closest point to x on Π_- . Since Π_- is convex, triangle comparison shows that the closest point is uniquely determined. Note $R_2(x) \in B(p, 2Lr)$ (actually $R_2(x) \in B(p, Lr)$; see Remark 5.5).

We show that $R_2(x) \in \Pi$ (the case k = 1 is obvious). Suppose $y = R_2(x) \notin \Pi$. We may assume $f_i(y) < 0$ for some *i*. We first observe that $\tilde{\angle}a_i xy < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon)$. By the $c(\varepsilon)$ -openness of *f*, there exists $z \in \Pi$ such that $c(\varepsilon)|xz| \leq |f(x)|$, where f(p) = 0. Since $x \in \Pi_+^s$, we have $|f(x)| \leq c(\varepsilon)^{-1} f_i(x)$. Thus

$$f_i(x) \ge c(\varepsilon)|xz| \ge f_i(y) + c(\varepsilon)|xy|,$$

where the second inequality follows from the definition of y. This implies $\angle a_i xy < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon)$.

Therefore $\angle a_i xy < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon)$. Extend the shortest path $a_i x$ beyond x to a shortest path $a_i \bar{a}_i$ with $|\bar{a}_i x| = \rho/2$. Then we have $\angle \bar{a}_i xy \ge \angle \bar{a}_i xy > \pi/2 + c(\varepsilon)$ and hence $\angle \bar{a}_i yx \le \angle a_i yx < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon)$. This means that moving y toward \bar{a}_i decreases the distance to x with velocity at least $c(\varepsilon)$.

On the other hand, since $|xy| \ll \rho$ we have $\angle a_i y \bar{a}_i > \pi - \varkappa(\delta)$. By Definition 5.1(1) we have $\angle a_j y \bar{a}_i \leq \tilde{\angle} a_j y \bar{a}_i < \pi/2 + \varkappa(\delta)$ for any $j \neq i$. This means that moving y toward \bar{a}_i increases the value of f_j with velocity at most $\varkappa(\delta)$.

Let y_1 be a point on the shortest path $y\bar{a}_i$ sufficiently close to y. Then the assumption on y and the above observations yield

$$f_i(y_1) < 0, \quad f_j(y_1) < \varkappa(\delta)|yy_1|, \quad |xy_1| < |xy| - c(\varepsilon)|yy_1|$$

for any $j \neq i$. By the $c(\varepsilon)$ -openness of f, we can find $y_2 \in \Pi_-$ such that $c(\varepsilon)|y_2y_1| \leq \varkappa(\delta)|yy_1|$. Since $\varkappa(\delta) \ll c(\varepsilon)$, we have $|xy_2| \leq |xy_1| + |y_1y_2| < |xy|$. This is a contradiction to the choice of y. Therefore $y = R_2(x) \in \Pi$.

The desired retraction R is now obtained as the composition of R_1 and R_2 . \Box

Remark 5.5. R_2 does not increase the distance to p. Indeed let $x \in B(p, Lr) \cap \Pi_+^s$ and $y = R_2(x)$. Since y is closest to x on the convex set Π_- , the first variation formula implies $\angle pyx \ge \pi/2$. Thus we have $\tilde{\angle}pyx \ge \pi/2$ and $\tilde{\angle}pxy \le \pi/2$, which mean $|py| \le |px|$.

Remark 5.6. One can also construct R_2 by using the gradient flows of semiconcave functions as in the CBB case [5, 6.15]. The existence and uniqueness of such flows on GCBA spaces were shown in [7] (cf. [15]). Here is an outline of the construction. Set a concave function $F := \min\{-f_i, 0\}$ and consider the gradient flow Φ_t of F on $B(p, c(\varepsilon)^{-1}r)$. Clearly Φ_t fixes Π_- . On the other hand, for $x \notin \Pi_-$ it follows from the noncriticality of f that

- (1) if $-f_i(x) = F(x)$ then $f'_i(\nabla_x F) < -c(\varepsilon)$;
- (2) if $-f_i(x) \neq F(x)$ then $f'_i(\nabla_x F) > -\varkappa(\delta)$.

Indeed let \bar{b}' (resp. \bar{a}'_i) be an antipode of b' (resp. a'_i) in Σ_x . Then we have $f'_i(\bar{b}') < -c(\varepsilon)$ for any i, which implies (1). If $-f_i(x) \neq F(x)$, then we have

$$f_i'(\nabla_x F) = -\langle a_i', \nabla_x F \rangle \ge \langle \bar{a}_i', \nabla_x F \rangle \ge F_x'(\bar{a}_i') > -\varkappa(\delta),$$

where the second inequality follows from the property of the gradient (see [7, §9.1]). The above properties easily imply that Φ_t pushes $B(p, Lr) \cap \Pi^s_+$ to Π inside Π^s_+ within time $T = Lr/c(\varepsilon)$. Hence we can define $R_2(x) := \Phi_T(x)$.

Since any metric ball contained in a tiny ball is contractible, we have:

Corollary 5.7. Let $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be $(\varepsilon, \delta, \rho)$ -noncritical at $p \in U$. Then for any $0 < r < \rho\delta$, $B(p,r) \cap f^{-1}(f(p))$ is contractible inside $B(p,Lr) \cap f^{-1}(f(p))$, where $L = c(\varepsilon)^{-1}$. In particular, f has locally uniformly contractible fiber on B(p,r) (see Definition 3.3).

The following theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.4, Theorem 1.6, and Corollary 5.7.

Theorem 5.8. Let $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be a distance map defined on a tiny ball U that is noncritical on an open subset $V \subset U$. Let $K \subset f(V)$ be a compact set such that $f^{-1}(K) \cap V$ is compact. Then $f: f^{-1}(K) \cap V \to K$ is a Hurewicz fibration.

To prove Theorem 1.7, we need to control the boundary of a ball in the fiber.

Lemma 5.9. Let $f = (|a_1 \cdot |, \dots, |a_k \cdot |)$ be an (ε, δ) -noncritical map at $p \in U$, where $k < \dim T_p$. Then for any sufficiently small r > 0, the map $(f, |p \cdot |)$ is $(c(\varepsilon), \varkappa(\delta))$ -noncritical on $\partial B(p, r) \cap f^{-1}(f(p))$.

Remark 5.10. By Lemma 5.3 and Corollary 5.7, $\partial B(p,r) \cap f^{-1}(f(p)) \neq \emptyset$ for any sufficiently small r.

Proof. Let $x \in \partial B(p,r) \cap f^{-1}(f(p))$. Let \bar{p}' be an arbitrary antipode of p' in Σ_x . By the upper semicontinuity of angle and the local geodesic completeness, we have $|a'_i\bar{p}'| < \pi/2 + \delta$ if r is small enough. In other words $\overline{|a'_ip'|} < \pi/2 + \delta$.

It remains to show that there exists $\eta \in \Sigma_x$ such that

$$\overline{|a_i'\eta|} < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon), \quad \overline{|p'\eta|} < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon).$$

We may assume that f is (ε, δ) -noncritical on B(p, r). In particular there exists $\xi \in \Sigma_x$ such that $\overline{|a'_i\xi|} < \pi/2 - \varepsilon$. We move ξ toward $\overline{p'}$ to get η as above.

Fix an antipode \bar{p}' of p' in Σ_x . Recall that p is a $(1, \delta)$ -strainer at x if r is small enough (see [8, 7.3]). In particular $\overline{|p'\bar{p}'|} < 2\delta$. Let \bar{a}_i be an arbitrary antipode of a'_i in Σ_x . Since $x \in f^{-1}(f(p))$, we have $|a'_ip'| \leq \pi/2$ and thus $|\bar{a}'_ip'| \geq \pi/2$. Together with $\overline{|p'\bar{p}'|} < 2\delta$, this implies $|\bar{a}'_i\bar{p}'| < \pi/2 + 2\delta$ (conversely $|\bar{a}'_i\bar{p}'| \geq \pi/2 - \delta$ since $|a'_ip'| < \pi/2 + \delta$).

Now we move ξ toward \overline{p}' in Σ_x . By triangle comparison, we have

$$\cos \angle \bar{p}' \xi \bar{a}'_i \ge \frac{\cos |\bar{p}' \bar{a}'_i| - \cos |\xi \bar{p}'| \cos |\xi \bar{a}'_i|}{\sin |\xi \bar{p}'| \sin |\xi \bar{a}'_i|}$$

Since $|\xi \bar{a}'_i| < \pi/2 - \varepsilon$ and $|\bar{p}' \bar{a}'_i| < \pi/2 + 2\delta$, we have $\angle \bar{p}' \xi \bar{a}_i < \pi/2$ as long as $|\xi \bar{p}'| > \pi/2 + c(\varepsilon)$. Hence we can find $\eta_1 \in \Sigma_x$ such that $|\eta_1 \bar{a}'_i| < |\xi \bar{a}'_i| < \pi/2 - \varepsilon$ and $|\eta_1 \bar{p}'| = \pi/2 + c(\varepsilon)$. Moving η_1 further toward \bar{p}' by distance $2c(\varepsilon)$, we obtain $\eta \in \Sigma_x$ such that $|\eta \bar{p}'| = \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon)$ and $|\eta \bar{a}'_i| < \pi/2 - c(\varepsilon)$. Since the diameter of Ant(p') is less than 2δ , this completes the proof.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.7. For $0 < r_1 < r_2$, we set

$$A_p[r_1, r_2] := B(p, r_2) \setminus B(p, r_1), \quad A_p[r_1, r_2) := B(p, r_2) \setminus B(p, r_1)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let L be the constant of Corollary 5.7. By Lemma 5.9, $(f, |p \cdot |)$ is noncritical on $A_p[r/2, Lr] \cap f^{-1}(f(p))$ for sufficiently small r > 0. Let B be a sufficiently small closed ball around f(p). By the openness of $(f, |p \cdot |)$ and

Remark 5.10, $B \times [r/2, Lr]$ is contained in the image of $(f, |p \cdot |)$. Therefore by Theorem 5.8, $(f, |p \cdot |)$ is a Hurewicz fibration over $B \times [r/2, Lr]$.

Let \mathring{B} denote the interior of B. We will apply Theorem 3.5 to the map f: $f^{-1}(\mathring{B}) \cap B(p,Lr) \to \mathring{B}$. It suffices to show that any fiber of f is contractible. Set $g = |p \cdot |$. By the previous paragraph, $g: f^{-1}(b) \cap A_p[r/2,Lr] \to [r/2,Lr]$ is a Hurewicz fibration for any $b \in \mathring{B}$. Let h_t $(0 \le t \le 1)$ be a homotopy crushing [r/2,Lr) to $\{r/2\}$ linearly. Let $H_t: f^{-1}(b) \cap A_p[r/2,Lr) \to f^{-1}(b) \cap A_p[r/2,Lr)$ be a lift of $h_t \circ g$, where the lift of $h_0 \circ g$ is given by the identity. We define

$$\tilde{H}_t := \begin{cases} H_t & \text{on} \quad A_p[r, Lr) \cap f^{-1}(b) \\ H_{(2|p \cdot | / r - 1)t} & \text{on} \quad A_p[r/2, r) \cap f^{-1}(b) \\ \text{id} & \text{on} \quad B(p, r/2) \cap f^{-1}(b). \end{cases}$$

Then \tilde{H}_t pushes $f^{-1}(b) \cap B(p, Lr)$ into $f^{-1}(b) \cap B(p, r)$. Composing this with the retraction of Corollary 5.7, we obtain a homotopy crushing $f^{-1}(b) \cap B(p, Lr)$ to p inside $f^{-1}(b) \cap B(p, Lr)$. This completes the proof.

Finally we prove Corollary 1.9. The proof is an easy application of the second half of Theorem 1.6. Strictly speaking we need its global version for CAT(0) spaces, but which easily can be verified.

Remark 5.11. Under the assumption of Corollary 1.9, the diameter of Σ (with respect to the original metric) is less than 2π ; in particular the original metric is uniformly bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the π -truncation. This is observed as follows. Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ and η be as in the assumption. Then Lemma 4.4(2) and Proposition 4.5(1) imply that they are $\pi/2$ -dense in Σ , whereas Remark 4.3 shows that they are π -close to each other.

Proof of Corollary 1.9. Consider the Euclidean cone K over Σ and let γ_i be the ray starting at the vertex o in the direction ξ_i . Let $f_i : K \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Busemann function with respect to γ_i :

$$f_i(v) = \lim_{t \to \infty} |\gamma_i(t), v| - t = -|v| \cos \angle (\xi_i, v).$$

Set $f := (f_1, \ldots, f_{n+1}) : K \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Observe that f is a (normalized) limit of (ε, δ) -noncritical maps to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} defined on arbitrarily large neighborhoods of o, which are $c(\varepsilon)$ -open embeddings by the global version of Theorem 1.6 for CAT(0) spaces. Therefore f is a $c(\varepsilon)$ -bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Identify Σ with the unit sphere in K centered at o and \mathbb{S}^n with the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} centered at 0. We may use the extrinsic metrics of Σ and \mathbb{S}^n , that is, the restrictions of the metrics of K and \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , respectively. Define $\tilde{f}: \Sigma \to \mathbb{S}^n$ by $\tilde{f}(x) := f(x)/|f(x)|$.

Let us prove that \tilde{f} is a $c(\varepsilon)$ -bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. A direct calculation shows the bi-Lipschitz continuity. Suppose \tilde{f} is not surjective. Then there exists a ray emanating from 0 and not intersecting $f(\Sigma)$. However, it must be the image under f of a curve emanating from o and going to infinity. By the intermediate value theorem, we get a contradiction.

References

 D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov, A course in metric geometry, Grad. Stud. Math., 33, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

- [2] Yu. Burago, M. Gromov, and G. Perel'man, A.D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 47 (1992), no. 2(284), 3–51, 222; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 47 (1992), no. 2, 1–58.
- [3] K. Grove and K. Shiohama, A generalized sphere theorem, Ann. Math. 106 (1977), 201–211.
- [4] K. Grove and F. Wilhelm, Hard and soft packing radius theorems, Ann. Math. 142 (1995), 213–237.
- [5] V. Kapovitch, Perelman's stability theorem, Metric and comparison geometry, 103–136, Surv. Differ. Geom., 11, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007.
- [6] B. Kleiner, The local structure of spaces with curvature bounded above, Math. Z. 231 (1999), 409–456.
- [7] A. Lytchak, Open map theorem for metric spaces, Algebra i Analiz 17 (2005), no.3, 139–159;
 St. Petersburg Math. J. 17 (2006), no. 3, 477–491.
- [8] A. Lytchak and K. Nagano, Geodesically complete spaces with an upper curvature bound, Geom. Funct. Anal. 29 (2019), 295–342.
- [9] A. Lytchak and K. Nagano, Topological regularity of spaces with an upper curvature bound, to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc., DOI:10.4171/JEMS/1091, 2021.
- [10] K. Nagano, Asymptotic rigidity of Hadamard 2-spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan 52 (2000), no. 4, 699–723.
- [11] K. Nagano, Volume pinching theorems for CAT(1) spaces, to appear in Amer. J. Math., arXiv:1810.13056, 2018.
- [12] Y. Otsu and H. Tanoue, The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded above, preprint, 1999.
- [13] G. Perelman, Alexandrov's spaces with curvatures bounded from below II, preprint, 1991.
- [14] G. Ya. Perel'man, Elements of Morse theory on Aleksandrov spaces, Algebra i Analiz 5 (1993), no. 1, 232–241; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 5 (1994), no. 1, 205–213.
- [15] A. Petrunin, Semiconcave functions in Alexandrov's geometry, Metric and comparison geometry, 137–201, Surv. Differ. Geom., 11, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007.
- [16] G. S. Ungar, Conditions for a mapping to have the slicing structure property, Pacific J. Math. 30 (1969), no. 2, 549–553.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA OIWAKECHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

 $Email \ address: \ {\tt tfujioka@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp} \ , \ {\tt tfujioka210@gmail.com}$

14