

UNIFORMLY BASED CUNTZ SEMIGROUPS AND APPROXIMATE INTERTWININGS

LAURENT CANTIER

ABSTRACT. We study topological aspects of the category of abstract Cuntz semigroups, termed Cu . We provide a suitable setting in which we are able to uniformly control how to approach an element of a Cu -semigroup by a rapidly increasing sequence. This approximation induces a semimetric on the set of Cu -morphisms, generalizing Cu -metrics that had been constructed in the past for some particular cases. Further, we develop an approximate intertwining theory for the category Cu . Finally, we give several applications such as the classification of unitary elements of any unital AF-algebra by means of the functor Cu .

1. INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, the Cuntz semigroup has emerged as a valuable asset for classification of both simple and non-simple C^* -algebras. The regain of interest for this invariant started around 15 years ago, when Toms exhibited a counter-example to the original Elliott conjecture using precisely the Cuntz semigroup, proving in the process that within this semigroup, lies crucial information for classification of C^* -algebras; see [26]. Nevertheless, the original construction introduced by Cuntz in the late 70's (see [14]), was not well-suited for classification of C^* -algebras. More precisely, it had already been shown that the original Cuntz semigroup did not preserve inductive limits of C^* -algebras. Back to the 00's, a short while after Toms counter-example, Coward, Elliott and Ivanescu presented a new version of the Cuntz semigroup, in [13], together with an abstract category based on order-theoretical axioms, termed Cu . This completed version of the Cuntz semigroup, referred nowadays to as the Cuntz semigroup, is indeed a more adapted invariant since it defines a continuous functor $\text{Cu} : C^* \longrightarrow \text{Cu}$, as shown in [3]. Both the category Cu and the functor Cu have been studied extensively (e.g. in [2], [3], [8], [12], [22], [23]) and the latter has appeared to be a powerful invariant. Let us recall some of the most notable results that have unraveled since then. First, in addition to being a continuous functor (that is, a functor that preserves inductive limits), the functor Cu also preserves ultraproducts (see [6]). It has also been shown that the category Cu is closed (see [4] and [5]), complete and cocomplete (see [6]). A highly relevant result in the structure theory of Cuntz semigroups is [7], where it is shown that the Cuntz semigroups of stable rank one C^* -algebras satisfy Riesz interpolation. As far as the classification of C^* -algebras is concerned,

Key words and phrases. Cuntz semigroups, Uniform Basis, Cu -metric, Approximate intertwining.

The author was supported by MINECO through the grant BES-2016-077192-POP and partially supported by the grants MDM-2014-0445 and MTM-2017-83487 at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica in Barcelona.

it has been shown in [1] that one can functorially recover the original Elliott invariant of any unital, simple, nuclear, finite, \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebra A from the Cuntz semigroup of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \otimes A$. Then, Robert showed in [20] that the functor Cu classifies $*$ -homomorphisms from any inductive limit of one-dimensional NCCW complexes with trivial K_1 -groups to any C^* -algebra of stable rank one. In particular, Cu is a complete invariant for the latter domain class. Note that the Cuntz semigroup, although being a key tool for the non-simple setting, is still not fully endorsed by all and has a few drawbacks: its computation is in general quite complex (not to say nearly impossible), added to the fact that it only contains tracial data and K_0 information. To address this lack of K_1 information, the author has been introducing and intensively studying a unitary version of the Cuntz semigroup in [9] and [10], that seems promising towards future classification results of non-simple C^* -algebras beyond the trivial K_1 setting.

Overall, it is only natural that abstract Cuntz semigroups, often termed Cu -semigroups, have become the target of further study. This paper tends to investigate more in depth topological aspects of such semigroups. We remark that these objects have an underlying algebraic structure, since they are positively ordered monoids, but they are also equipped with an order-theoretic topology, given by axioms (O1) and (O2) and built upon an auxiliary relation called the *way-below* or the *compact-containment* relation. For instance, (O1) is an analogue version of completeness. We recall that the compact-containment relation is entirely determined by the order of the monoid.

Topological aspects of Cu -semigroups have already been exploited in the past. E.g. a metric on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Lsc}([0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}}), T)$ has been constructed in [21] and a notion of countably-based Cu -semigroups has been defined (as an analogue of separability). But at the time of writing, it seems there is still much to be explored and conceptualized. We point out that the starting point for this paper could be traced back to a paper by Gong, Jiang and Li (see [17]) in which they introduce an extended version of the Elliott invariant. More particularly, they construct two C^* -algebras which were indistinguishable using the current Elliott invariant, based on K -theoretical tools, but which are not isomorphic since they do not agree on the new ingredient they have added to this augmented invariant. They also claim that one could use tools developed on the Cuntz semigroup to conclude that the latter was also unable to differentiate these two C^* -algebras, constructed as inductive limits of one-dimensional NCCW complexes. To my knowledge, I would venture to say that very little has been done about an analogous version of an approximate intertwining theorem for the category Cu . Therefore, it appeared to be relevant to dig in this direction. All the more so, given that the Cuntz semigroup has emerged as a noteworthy invariant for C^* -algebras and intertwining theorems have always played a key role in proofs of classification theorems.

Organization of the paper. In a first part, we define a topological notion of *uniform basis* for Cu -semigroups, that resembles -from afar- the notion of second-countability for topological spaces. We also establish an abstract notion of comparison of Cu -morphisms on a given subset of the domain. Through the use of these two notions, we are able to construct a suitable setting in which the following theorem is proven for inductive sequences of uniformly based Cu -semigroups.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T_i, \tau_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two inductive sequences of uniformly based Cu-semigroups. Let $(S, \sigma_{i\infty})_i$ and $(T, \tau_{i\infty})_i$ be their respective inductive limits in Cu. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, consider a uniform basis of S_i , respectively of T_i , that we both denote by $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$. (Without referring to the index i to ease notations and the basis we refer to is always clear.)*

Suppose there exist Cu-morphisms c_i and d_i , for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & S_i & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ii+1}} & S_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i+1i+2}} & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow c_i & \nearrow d_i & \downarrow c_{i+1} & \nearrow d_{i+1} & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & T_i & \xrightarrow{\tau_{ii+1}} & T_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{i+1i+2}} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

and assume there are strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers $(n_i)_i$ and $(m_i)_i$ such that:

- (i) *For any $i \leq j$, we have $\sigma_{ij}(M_{n_j}) \subseteq M_{n_j}$ and $\tau_{ij}(M_{m_j}) \subseteq M_{m_j}$, where $\sigma_{ij} := \sigma_{j-1j} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_{ii+1}$ and $\tau_{ij} := \tau_{j-1j} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{ii+1}$.*
 - (ii) *$d_i \circ c_i \underset{M_{n_i}}{\approx} \sigma_{ii+1}$ and $c_{i+1} \circ d_i \underset{M_{m_i}}{\approx} \tau_{ii+1}$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*
 - (iii) *For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we also have $c_i(M_{n_i}) \subseteq M_{m_i}$ and $d_i(M_{m_i}) \subseteq M_{n_{i+1}}$.*
- Then $S \simeq T$ as Cu-semigroups.*

In a second part, we focus on building uniform bases of concrete Cuntz semigroups, that is, that can be realized as Cuntz semigroups of a C^* -algebra. More concretely, we construct a uniform basis of size q , where q is any supernatural number, for any Cu-semigroup of the form $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}^r)$, where X is a finite graph and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and for any $\text{Cu}(A)$, where A is a one-dimensional NCCW complex.

In a third part, we apply all the above to define topologically equivalent semimetrics on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(S, T)$, where S is a uniformly based Cu-semigroup. Finally, the next theorem is showing the uniqueness part of the following *conjecture*: the functor Cu classifies unitary elements of any unital AF-algebra, in the sense that Cu classifies any $*$ -homomorphism from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ to any unital AF-algebra.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A be a unital AF-algebra and let $\varphi_u, \varphi_v : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow A$ be unital $*$ -homomorphisms. If $\text{Cu}(\varphi_u) = \text{Cu}(\varphi_v)$, then φ_u and φ_v are approximately unitarily equivalent.*

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank L. Robert for a fruitful collaboration in the Spring of 2018 at the University of Louisiana Lafayette, where he drafted the author in the classification of unitary elements of AF-algebras. The author would also like to mention that the approximate intertwining theorem has been greatly inspired by analogous versions for C^* -algebras and order unit Spaces, thoroughly detailed in [19] and in [25] respectively. Finally, the author is greatly indebted to the referee who raised a numerous amount of relevant points that have reshaped the manuscript in a better way.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use PoM to denote the category of positively ordered monoids.

The category Cu. Let (S, \leq) be a positively ordered monoid and let x, y in S . For any two elements x, y of S , we say that x is *way-below* y (or x is *compactly-contained* in y) if for all increasing sequences $(z_n)_n$ of S that have a supremum (in S), if $\sup z_n \geq y$ then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z_k \geq x$. This is an auxiliary relation on S called the *way-below relation* or the *compact-containment relation*. In particular $x \ll y$ implies $x \leq y$ and we say that x is a *compact element* whenever $x \ll x$.

We say that S is an abstract Cuntz semigroup, or a Cu-semigroup, if it satisfies the following order-theoretic axioms introduced in [13]:

(O1): Every increasing sequence of elements in S has a supremum.

(O2): For any $x \in S$, there exists a \ll -increasing (or ‘rapidly increasing’) sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in S such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n = x$.

(O3): Addition and the compact-containment relation are compatible.

(O4): Addition and suprema of increasing sequences are compatible.

A Cu-morphism is a positively ordered monoid morphism that preserves the compact-containment relation and suprema of increasing sequences. The category of abstract Cuntz semigroups, written Cu, is the subcategory of PoM whose objects are Cu-semigroups and morphisms are Cu-morphisms.

A Cu-semigroup S is *countably-based* if it contains a countable subset $B \subseteq S$ such that any element is the supremum of a \ll -increasing sequence of B . Equivalently, for any $s', s \in S$ such that $s' \ll s$, then there exists $b \in B$ such that $s' \ll b \ll s$. We often refer to B as a (countable) *basis* of S . As stated earlier, the topology in S (called the Scott topology; see for instance [10, Definition 3.2]), is based upon the compact-containment relation. Thus, the notion of countably-based can be seen as an analogue of separability while the axiom (O1) can be interpreted as completeness. As a matter of fact, a subset $F \subseteq S$ can be *completed* (respectively \ll -*completed*) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F}^{\leq} &:= \{\sup_m (m_n)_n \mid (m_n)_n \text{ is an increasing sequence of } F\}. \\ \overline{F}^{\ll} &:= F \cup \{\sup_m (m_n)_n \mid (m_n)_n \text{ is a } \ll\text{-increasing sequence of } F\}. \end{aligned}$$

Naturally we have that $F \subseteq \overline{F}^{\ll} \subseteq \overline{F}^{\leq} \subseteq S$. Also observe that B is a basis of S if and only if $\overline{B}^{\ll} = \overline{B}^{\leq} = S$. In this case, we might say that B is *dense*, or \ll -*dense*, in S . For instance, the set $S_{\ll} := \{s \in S \mid \text{there exists } t \in S \text{ with } s \ll t\}$ is a PoM that is always dense in S . Finally, we mention that any separable C^* -algebra A induces a countably-based Cuntz semigroup $\text{Cu}(A)$. In this case, $\text{Cu}(A)$ has a largest element $\infty_A := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n[s_A]$, where s_A is any strictly positive (or full positive) element of A , and we have that $\text{Cu}(A)_{\ll} = \{s \in \text{Cu}(A) \mid s \ll \infty_A\}$.

3. UNIFORMLY BASED CUNTZ SEMIGROUPS AND APPROXIMATE INTERTWININGS

A. Uniformly Based Cuntz semigroups. We introduce the notion of *uniform basis* for a Cu-semigroup. We recall that the topology for Cu-semigroups is order-theoretic, in the sense that it is constructed on the way-below relation. For an element s of a Cu-semigroup S , we denote $s_{\ll} := \{s' \in S \mid s' \ll s\}$. Observe that axiom (O2) allows us to approach s from ‘cut-downs’, that is, from elements in s_{\ll} . We mean to construct a setting in which we are able to control these approximations in a uniform way.

A *uniform basis* of a Cu-semigroup consists of a countable family $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$, where M_n is a PoM and $\epsilon_n : S_{\ll} \rightarrow M_n$ is a weaker version of a PoM-morphism that we precise next. The main idea is to be able to uniformly measure how close a ‘cut-down’ $\epsilon_n(s)$ is from its original element s . From this, we will be able in the sequel, to measure how close two Cu-morphisms are from one another and develop a theory of approximate intertwinings for the category Cu.

Definition 3.1. Let M, N be monoids in PoM and let $\epsilon : M \rightarrow N$ be a map. We say that ϵ is a *super-additive* morphism if, for any $g, h \in M$ we have $\epsilon(g) + \epsilon(h) \leq \epsilon(g + h)$.

Definition 3.2. We say that a Cu-semigroup S is *uniformly based* if, there exists a countable family $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\begin{cases} M_n \text{ is a PoM contained in } S_{\ll} \\ \epsilon_n : S_{\ll} \rightarrow M_n \text{ is an order-preserving super-additive morphism} \end{cases}$ satisfying the following axioms:

(U1): $(M_n)_n$ is a \subseteq -increasing sequence of subsets of S_{\ll} . (With $M_{-2}, M_{-1}, M_0 := \{0_S\}$ as convention.)

(U2): For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the restriction $\epsilon_n|_{\bigcup_{l < n-1} M_l} : \bigcup_{l < n-1} M_l \rightarrow M_n$ is a PoM-morphism.

(U3): For any $s \in S_{\ll}$, $(\epsilon_n(s))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence in s_{\ll} whose supremum is s .

(U4): The sequence $(\epsilon_n(s))_{n > l}$ is \ll -increasing whenever $s \in M_l$.

We refer to $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ as a *uniform basis* of S .

Proposition 3.3. Let S be a uniformly based Cu-semigroup with uniform basis $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$. Then $\bigcup_l M_l$ is dense in S . In particular, S is countably-based whenever M_n is countable for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $s \in S_{\ll}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\epsilon_{n+1}(\epsilon_n(s)) \ll \epsilon_{n+2}(\epsilon_n(s)) \leq \epsilon_{n+2}(\epsilon_{n+1}(s))$. We deduce that $(\epsilon_{n+1}(\epsilon_n(s)))_n$ is \ll -increasing, and by a ‘diagonal-type’ argument as in the proof of [23, Theorem 2.60], it can be shown that its supremum is s . Again by a diagonal argument, we know that for any $s \in S$, there exists a \ll -increasing sequence in $\bigcup_l M_l$ whose supremum is s . \square

Let us now give a couple of examples of uniformly based Cu-semigroups.

- Let $\overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \sqcup \{\infty\}$. The countable family $(\mathbb{N}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{N}})_n$ defines uniform basis of $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Let $S := \text{Cu}(M_{2^\infty})$ be the Cuntz semigroup of the CAR-algebra $M_{2^\infty} := \varinjlim_n (\bigotimes_1^n M_2, \text{id} \otimes 1)$.

Recall that $S \simeq \mathbb{N}[\frac{1}{2}] \sqcup]0, \infty]$, where the mixed sum and mixed order are defined as follows: for any $x_c := k/2^l \in \mathbb{N}[\frac{1}{2}]$, any $x_s := k/2^l \in]0, \infty]$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, we have that $x_s \leq x_c \ll x_c \leq x_s + \epsilon$. Moreover, $x_c + x_s = 2x_s$. (For more details, see e.g. [23, Example 4.3.3].)

Now construct for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{cases} M_n := \{\frac{k}{2^l} \in \mathbb{N}[\frac{1}{2}] \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq n\}. \\ \epsilon_n : \mathbb{N}[\frac{1}{2}] \sqcup \mathbb{R}_{++} \longrightarrow M_n \\ s \longmapsto \max_{x \in M_n} \{x \ll s\} \end{cases}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is immediate to check that M_n is a well-defined PoM contained in $\mathbb{N}[\frac{1}{2}] \sqcup \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and that ϵ_n is an order-preserving super-additive morphism. Furthermore, it is easily shown that the countable family $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ satisfies (U1) and (U3) of Definition 3.2. Finally, for any $l < n-1$ and any $x_c \in M_l$, we have that $\epsilon_n(x_c) = x_c$, since x_c is a compact element that belongs to M_n . Thus (U4) is satisfied and the restriction $\epsilon_n|_{\bigcup_{l < n-1} M_l}$ is in fact the canonical injection $\bigcup_{l < n-1} M_l \hookrightarrow M_n$, which is a PoM-morphism. Thus S admits a uniform basis.

Let us extend the latter examples and show that the Cuntz semigroups of separable AF-algebras have a uniform basis. These objects have been studied and characterized in [3, §5.5] and we now recall some definitions and properties.

A Cu-semigroup S is called *simplicial* if $S \simeq \overline{\mathbb{N}}^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, simplicial Cu-semigroups characterize Cuntz semigroups of finite dimensional C^* -algebras. As a result, inductive sequences of simplicial Cuntz semigroups characterize Cuntz semigroups of separable AF-algebras.

Proposition 3.4. *Let S, T be uniformly based Cu-semigroups. Then $S \oplus T$ is uniformly based.*

Proof. The family obtained from the concatenation of a uniform basis of S with a uniform basis of T is a uniform basis of $S \oplus T$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Any simplicial Cu-semigroup is uniformly based.*

We now recall a characterization of inductive limits (of sequences) in Cu, that will be useful in proving that the Cuntz semigroup of any (separable) AF algebra is uniformly based and in the further course of the manuscript.

Proposition 3.6. (See e.g. [20, Section 2.1] - [13, Theorem 2]) *Consider an inductive sequence $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Cu. Then $(S, \sigma_{i\infty})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the inductive limit of the inductive sequence if and only if it satisfies the two following properties:*

(L1): *For any $s \in S$, there exists $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $s_i \in S_i$ and $\sigma_{i(i+1)}(s_i) \ll s_{i+1}$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and such that $s = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_{i\infty}(s_i)$.*

(L2): *Let s, t be elements in S_i such that $\sigma_{i\infty}(s) \leq \sigma_{i\infty}(t)$. For any $s' \ll s$, there exists $j \geq i$ such that $\sigma_{ij}(s') \ll \sigma_{ij}(t)$.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an inductive sequence of simplicial Cu-semigroups and let $(S, \sigma_{i\infty})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be its inductive limit. Then S is uniformly based.*

Proof. We know that each S_i is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{N}}^{r_i}$ for some $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $(S_i)_{\ll} \simeq \mathbb{N}^{r_i}$ is a complete lattice for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define $M_n := \sigma_{n\infty}((S_n)_{\ll})$. Since $\sigma_{n\infty}$ preserves

the order, we have that M_n is also a complete lattice. Therefore, we can define $\epsilon_n : S_{\ll} \longrightarrow M_n$ that maps $s \longmapsto \sup_{x \in M_n} \{x \ll s\}$. Mimicking the UHF case, it is routine to check that $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (U1)-(U2)-(U4) and (U3) is almost immediate from (L1) and left to the reader. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let A be a separable AF-algebra. Then $\text{Cu}(A)$ is uniformly based.*

In particular, let M_q be the UHF-algebra associated to the supernatural number $q := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n$. Then $\text{Cu}(M_q) \simeq (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{p_1 \dots p_n} \mathbb{N}) \sqcup [0, \infty]$ is uniformly based with uniform basis

$$\begin{cases} M_n := \frac{1}{p_1 \dots p_n} \mathbb{N}. \\ \epsilon_n : (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{p_1 \dots p_n} \mathbb{N}) \sqcup \mathbb{R}_{++} \longrightarrow M_n \\ s \longmapsto \max_{x \in M_n} \{x \ll s\} \end{cases}$$

B. Comparison of Cu-morphisms - Approximate Intertwinings. In this part, we define a comparison between two abstract Cu-morphisms $\alpha, \beta : S \longrightarrow T$ on a given set $\Lambda \subseteq S$. This allows us to get an analogous notion of one/two-sided approximate intertwinings between two inductive sequences of uniformly based Cu-semigroups.

Definition 3.9. Let $S, T \in \text{Cu}$, let $\alpha, \beta : S \longrightarrow T$ be two Cu-morphisms and let Λ be a subset of S .

(i) We say that α and β *compare on Λ* and we write $\alpha \simeq_{\Lambda} \beta$ if, for any $g', g \in \Lambda$ such that $g' \ll g$ (in S), we have that $\alpha(g') \leq \beta(g)$ and $\beta(g') \leq \alpha(g)$.

(ii) We say that α and β *strictly compare on Λ* and we write $\alpha \approx_{\Lambda} \beta$ if, for any $g', g \in \Lambda$ such that $g' \ll g$ (in S), we have that $\alpha(g') \ll \beta(g)$ and $\beta(g') \ll \alpha(g)$.

It is immediate that strict comparison on a given set Λ implies comparison (on Λ). Also, two Cu-morphisms $\alpha, \beta : S \longrightarrow T$ compare on S if and only if they strictly compare on S if and only if $\alpha = \beta$. For countably-based Cu-semigroups, we can weaken the assumption and the morphisms only have to compare on any (countable) basis of S to be equal. However, to check whether two Cu-morphisms compare on a countable set can still be a hard thing to do. In practice, we often have access to what will call later a *finite* uniform basis, that will make things easier. (We will only have to compare morphisms on finite sets.)

Definition 3.10. Let $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T_i, \tau_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two inductive sequences of uniformly based Cu-semigroups. Let $(S, \sigma_{i\infty})_i$ and $(T, \tau_{i\infty})_i$ be their respective inductive limits in Cu. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, consider a uniform basis of S_i , respectively of T_i , that we both denote by $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$. (Without referring to the index i to ease notations and the basis we refer to is always clear.)

Suppose that we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & S_i & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ii+1}} & S_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow c_i & & \downarrow c_{i+1} & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & T_i & \xrightarrow{\tau_{ii+1}} & T_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

where c_i is a Cu-morphism for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, assume that there is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers $(n_i)_i$ such that:

- (i) For any $i \leq j$, we have $\sigma_{ij}(M_{n_j}) \subseteq M_{n_j}$, where $\sigma_{ij} := \sigma_{j-1j} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_{ii+1}$.
- (ii) $c_{i+1} \circ \sigma_{ii+1} \underset{M_{n_i}}{\approx} \tau_{ii+1} \circ c_i$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then we say the diagram is a *one-sided approximate intertwining*.

Lemma 3.11. *In the context of Definition 3.10, assume that there is a one-sided approximate intertwining from $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ to $(T_i, \tau_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a PoM-morphism as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i : \bigcup_l M_l \subseteq (S_i)_{\ll} &\longrightarrow T \\ s &\longmapsto \sup_{j > i, s_l} (\tau_{j+1\infty} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s))) \end{aligned}$$

where $s_l := \min\{l \in \mathbb{N} \mid s \in M_l\}$.

Proof. Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $s \in \bigcup_l M_l \subseteq (S_i)_{\ll}$. For any $j > i$, we define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_j : S_i & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ij+1}} & S_{j+1} \\ & & \downarrow c_{j+1} \\ & & T_{j+1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{j+1\infty}} T \end{array}$$

We claim that $(\alpha_j(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)))_{j > i, s_l}$ is a \ll -increasing sequence in T . Let $j > i, s_l$. Since $(n_i)_i$ is strictly increasing, we know by (U4) that $(\epsilon_{n_j}(s))_{j > s_l}$ is \ll -increasing in S_i towards s . We deduce that $\sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) \ll \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}})$ in S_{j+1} . Moreover, using (i) of Definition 3.10, we know that $\sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)), \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}}(s)) \in M_{n_{j+1}}$. Now using (ii) of Definition 3.10, we get that $\tau_{j+1j+2} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) \ll c_{j+2} \circ \sigma_{j+1j+2} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}}(s))$ and hence

$$\tau_{j+1\infty} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) \ll \tau_{j+2\infty} \circ c_{j+2} \circ \sigma_{ij+2}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}}(s)).$$

That is, $\alpha_j(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) \ll \alpha_{j+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}}(s))$. Thus, $(\alpha_j(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)))_{j > i, s_l}$ is a \ll -increasing sequence in T and its supremum exists.

Lastly, we have to check that γ_i is indeed a PoM-morphism. It is trivial to see that γ_i preserves the order. Now let $s', s \in \bigcup_l M_l$. We can find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough such that both s, s' belong to M_k . Using (U2), we know that $\epsilon_j(s') + \epsilon_j(s) = \epsilon_j(s' + s)$ for any $j > k + 1$. Thus for any j big enough (in particular $j > k + 1$), we have that

$$\tau_{j+1\infty} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s')) + \tau_{j+1\infty} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) = \tau_{j+1\infty} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s' + s)).$$

from which the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let S, T be Cu-semigroups and let $B \subseteq S$ be a PoM such that B is dense in S . For any PoM-morphism $\alpha : B \longrightarrow T$, there exists a naturally associated generalized Cu-morphism $\bar{\alpha} : S \longrightarrow T$ (that is, a PoM-morphism that respects suprema of increasing sequences) such that $\bar{\alpha} \leq \alpha$. Moreover, if α preserves the compact-containment relation, then so does $\bar{\alpha}$, or equivalently, $\bar{\alpha}$ is a Cu-morphism.*

Proof. Let $\alpha : B \longrightarrow T$ be a PoM-morphism. We are going to extend α to S : Let $(b_n)_n, (c_n)_n$ be two \ll -increasing sequences in B such that they have the same supremum in S . That is, $\sup_n b_n = \sup_n c_n$ in S . First, observe that $\alpha(b_n)_n, \alpha(c_n)_n$ are increasing sequences in T and hence they have a supremum. Also, since $(b_n)_n$ is a \ll -increasing sequence, it follows that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists some $m \geq n$ such that $b_n \leq c_m$. Besides α is a PoM-morphism, so $\alpha(b_n) \leq \alpha(c_m) \leq \sup_n \alpha(c_n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\sup_n \alpha(b_n) \leq \sup_n \alpha(c_n)$. By symmetry, we get the converse inequality to conclude the following: $\sup_n \alpha(b_n) = \sup_n \alpha(c_n)$ for any two \ll -increasing sequences of B that have the same supremum in S .

Now let $s \in S$. Since B is dense in S , then there exists a \ll -increasing sequence $(b_n)_n$ in B whose supremum is s . We have just proved that $\sup_n \alpha(b_n)$ does not depend on the sequence $(b_n)_n$ chosen. Thus we define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha} : S &\longrightarrow T \\ s &\longmapsto \sup_n \alpha(b_n) \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\bar{\alpha} \leq \alpha$ is trivial and left to the reader to check. Let us prove that $\bar{\alpha}$ is a generalized Cu-morphism. Let $s, t \in S$ and consider two \ll -increasing sequences $(b_n)_n, (c_n)_n$ in B such that $s = \sup_n b_n$ and $t = \sup_n c_n$.

Suppose that $s \leq t$. We have $\sup_n b_n \leq \sup_n c_n$. From what we have proved, this implies that $\sup_n \alpha(b_n) \leq \sup_n \alpha(c_n)$. That is, $\bar{\alpha}(s) \leq \bar{\alpha}(t)$. Now put $x := s + t \in S$. Then $(b_n + c_n)_n$ is a sequence of B , since B is a PoM, and obviously, it is \ll -increasing towards x . Using axiom (O4) and the fact that α is a PoM-morphism, we see that $\bar{\alpha}(x) = \sup_n \alpha(b_n + c_n) = \sup_n (\alpha(b_n) + \alpha(c_n)) = \sup_n \alpha(b_n) + \sup_n \alpha(c_n) = \bar{\alpha}(s) + \bar{\alpha}(t)$, which proves that $\bar{\alpha} : S \longrightarrow T$ is a well-defined PoM-morphism.

Let $(s_n)_n$ be an increasing sequence in S and let $s := \sup_n s_n$. Then $(\bar{\alpha}(s_n))_n$ is an increasing sequence in T and hence, it has a supremum. We have to show that $\sup_n (\bar{\alpha}(s_n)) = \bar{\alpha}(s)$. On the one hand, $\bar{\alpha}(s_n) \leq \bar{\alpha}(s)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, from which we obtain $\sup_n (\bar{\alpha}(s_n)) \leq \bar{\alpha}(s)$. On the other hand, there exists a \ll -increasing sequence $(b_{k,n})_k$ in B such that $s_n = \sup_n b_{k,n}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since B is countable basis of S , it is not hard to construct these sequences recursively in such a way that $b_{k,n} \ll b_{k,n+1}$ for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we can use a ‘diagonal-type’ argument as in the proof of [23, Theorem 2.60] to see that the sequence $(b_{n,n})_n$ is \ll -increasing towards s . Observe that $\alpha(b_{n,n}) \leq \bar{\alpha}(s_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Passing to supremum on the right side first, and then on the left side, we get that $\sup_n \alpha(b_{n,n}) \leq \sup_n (\bar{\alpha}(s_n))$. In other words, $\bar{\alpha}(s) \leq \sup_n (\bar{\alpha}(s_n))$. We conclude that $\alpha : S \longrightarrow T$ is a well-defined generalized Cu-morphism dominated by α .

Finally, assume that $\alpha : B \longrightarrow T$ preserves the compact-containment relation. Then for any two $s, t \in S$ such that $s \ll t$, consider any \ll -increasing sequence $(c_n)_n$ in B whose supremum is t . Then we can find some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s \ll c_m \ll c_{m+1} \ll t$. We obtain $\bar{\alpha}(s) \leq \alpha(s) \ll \alpha(c_m) \leq \bar{\alpha}(t)$, which gives us that $\alpha : S \longrightarrow T$ is a Cu-morphism. \square

Theorem 3.13. Assume that there is a one-sided approximate intertwining from $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ to $(T_i, \tau_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T_i, \tau_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are inductive sequences of uniformly based Cu-semigroups with respective limits $(S, \sigma_{i\infty})_i$ and $(T, \tau_{i\infty})_i$ in Cu.

Then there exists a generalized Cu-morphism $\gamma : S \longrightarrow T$ such that the following diagram is commutative for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_i & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i\infty}} & S \\ & \searrow \gamma_i & \swarrow \gamma \\ & T & \end{array}$$

where γ_i is obtained from Lemma 3.11 combined with Lemma 3.12.

Proof. Combining Lemma 3.11 together with Lemma 3.12, we have in fact constructed generalized Cu-morphisms $\gamma_i : S_i \longrightarrow T$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\gamma_i = \gamma_j \circ \sigma_{ij}$ for any $i \leq j$. By universal properties of inductive limits, we obtain a (unique) generalized Cu-morphism $\gamma : S \longrightarrow T$ that commutes with all γ_i . More explicitly, one can build γ as follows:

Consider $\gamma : \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_{i\infty}(S_i) \longrightarrow T$ that sends $s_i \mapsto \gamma_i(s_i)$. It is easy to check that γ is a well-defined PoM-morphism. Moreover, (L1) says that $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_{i\infty}(S_i)$ is dense in S . Thus, using Lemma 3.12, the following map is a well-defined generalized Cu-morphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma : S &\longrightarrow T \\ s &\longmapsto \sup_i (\gamma_i(s_i)) \end{aligned}$$

where $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any sequence as in (L1). The universal property of commutativity is easy to check and left to the reader. \square

Definition 3.14. Let $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T_i, \tau_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two inductive sequences of uniformly based Cu-semigroups. Let $(S, \sigma_{i\infty})_i$ and $(T, \tau_{i\infty})_i$ be their respective inductive limits. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, consider a uniform basis of S_i , respectively of T_i , that we both denote by $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$. (Without referring to the index i to ease notations and the basis we refer to is always clear.)

Suppose that we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & S_i & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{ii+1}} & S_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i+1i+2}} & \dots \\ & & \downarrow c_i & \nearrow d_i & \downarrow c_{i+1} & \nearrow d_{i+1} & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & T_i & \xrightarrow{\tau_{ii+1}} & T_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{i+1i+2}} & \dots \end{array}$$

where c_i and d_i are Cu-morphisms, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, assume that there are two strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers $(n_i)_i$ and $(m_i)_i$ such that:

- (i) For any $i \leq j$, we have $\sigma_{ij}(M_{n_j}) \subseteq M_{n_j}$ and $\tau_{ij}(M_{m_j}) \subseteq M_{m_j}$, where $\sigma_{ij} := \sigma_{j-1j} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_{ii+1}$ and $\tau_{ij} := \tau_{j-1j} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{ii+1}$.
- (ii) $d_i \circ c_i \approx_{M_{n_i}} \sigma_{ii+1}$ and $c_{i+1} \circ d_i \approx_{M_{m_i}} \tau_{ii+1}$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (iii) For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we also have $c_i(M_{n_i}) \subseteq M_{m_i}$ and $d_i(M_{m_i}) \subseteq M_{n_{i+1}}$.

Then we say the diagram is a *two-sided approximate intertwining*.

Lemma 3.15. ([9, Lemma 4.1.10]) *Let S be a Cu-semigroup and let T be a PoM. Let $f : S \longrightarrow T$ be a PoM-isomorphism. Then, T is a Cu-semigroup and f is a Cu-isomorphism. A fortiori, $S \simeq T$ as Cu-semigroups.*

Theorem 3.16. *Assume that there is a two-sided approximate intertwining from $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ to $(T_i, \tau_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(T_i, \tau_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are inductive sequences of uniformly based Cu-semigroups with respective limits $(S, \sigma_{i\infty})_i$ and $(T, \tau_{i\infty})_i$ in Cu.*

Then $S \simeq T$ as Cu-semigroups.

Proof. Consider the two generalized Cu-morphisms $\gamma : S \longrightarrow T$ and $\delta : T \longrightarrow S$ explicitly constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.13. We are going to prove that γ and δ are inverses of one another and the result will follow. It is enough to show that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $s \in S_i$, we have $\delta \circ \gamma_i(s) = \sigma_{i\infty}(s)$. As a matter of fact, since $(S_i)_{\ll}$ is dense in S_i and since $\sigma_{i\infty}, \delta, \gamma_i$ are morphisms that preserve suprema of increasing sequences, it is enough to show the said property for every $s \in (S_i)_{\ll}$. Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $s \in (S_i)_{\ll}$. To begin, we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \circ \gamma_i(s) &= \delta \left(\sup_j \tau_{j+1\infty} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) \right) \\ &= \sup_j \delta \circ \tau_{j+1\infty} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) \\ &= \sup_j \delta_{j+1}(c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s))) \\ &= \sup_j \sup_{j' > j+1} \sigma_{j'+1\infty} \circ d_{j'} \circ \tau_{j+1j'+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j'}}(c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s))))). \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that $\delta \circ \gamma_i(s) \leq \sigma_{i\infty}(s)$ and the opposite inequality will be shown similarly:

Since $(\epsilon_{n_j}(s))_{j > s_l}$ is \ll -increasing, for any $j > i, s_l$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) &\ll \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}}(s)) \text{ in } S_{j+1}. \\ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j-1}}(s)) &\ll c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) \text{ in } T_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (i) and (iii) of Definition 3.14, we know that the above elements belong to $M_{n_{j+1}} \subseteq S_{j+1}$ and $M_{m_{j+1}} \subseteq T_{j+1}$ respectively. Thus we can apply (ii) Definition 3.14 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{j+1} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) &\ll \sigma_{ij+2}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}}(s)) \text{ in } S_{j+2}. \\ \tau_{j+1j+2} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j-1}}(s)) &\ll c_{j+2} \circ d_{j+1} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) \text{ in } T_{j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (i) and (iii) again, we know that the above elements belong to $M_{n_{j+2}} \subseteq S_{j+2}$ and $M_{m_{j+2}} \subseteq T_{j+2}$ respectively. Thus we can apply (ii) again, and repeating this process, we obtain that for any $j' > j+1$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{j'+1} \circ c_{j'+1} \circ \cdots \circ d_{j+1} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) &\ll \sigma_{ij'+2}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}}(s)) \text{ in } S_{j'+2}. \\ \tau_{j+1j'+1} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j-1}}(s)) &\ll c_{j'+1} \circ d_{j'} \circ \cdots \circ d_{j+1} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_j}(s)) \text{ in } T_{j'+1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $(\epsilon_{n_{j'}}(c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j-1}}(s))))_{j'}$ is \ll -increasing towards $c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j-1}}(s))$, for any $j' > j$ we have that

$$\tau_{j+1j'+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j'}}(c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j-1}}(s)))) \ll \tau_{j+1j'+1} \circ c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j-1}}(s)).$$

So we conclude that

$$d_{j'+1} \circ \tau_{j+1j'+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j'}}(c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j-1}}(s)))) \ll \sigma_{ij'+2}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}}(s)) \text{ in } S_{j'+2}.$$

Composing with $\sigma_{j'+1\infty}$, we obtain for any j, j' big enough such that $j' > j + 1$:

$$\sigma_{j'+2\infty} \circ d_{j'+1} \circ \tau_{j+1j'+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j'}}(c_{j+1} \circ \sigma_{ij+1}(\epsilon_{n_{j-1}}(s)))) \ll \sigma_{i\infty}(\epsilon_{n_{j+1}}(s)) \text{ in } S_{j'+2} \text{ in } S.$$

Now, taking suprema over j' first and then over j , we conclude that $\delta \circ \gamma_i(g) \leq \sigma_{i\infty}(g)$. The converse inequality $\sigma_{i\infty}(g) \leq \delta \circ \gamma_i(g)$ is shown similarly by using the symmetrical comparison of morphisms.

We conclude that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $s \in S_i$, we have $\delta \circ \gamma_i(s) = \sigma_{i\infty}(s)$. It follows that $\delta \circ \gamma = \text{id}_S$. Symmetrically, we have $\gamma \circ \delta = \text{id}_T$. Now, since any PoM-isomorphism between two Cu-semigroups is in fact a Cu-isomorphism (see Lemma 3.15), we conclude $S \simeq T$ as Cu-semigroups through γ and $\delta = \gamma^{-1}$.

□

4. EXAMPLES

We have already seen that inductive limits of simplicial Cu-semigroups are uniformly based. This part of the manuscript reveals other classes of Cu-semigroups that admit a uniform basis. Namely, we aim to show that the Cuntz semigroup of $\mathcal{C}(X)$, where X is a suitable topological space and the Cuntz semigroup of any one-dimensional NCCW complex both admit a uniform basis. To begin our study, we focus on the specific case of $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}_0([0, 1]))$ to then extend our result to concrete Cuntz semigroups that are realized as $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes A)$, where X is a compact one-dimensional CW complex (or equivalently, a finite graph) and A is a finite dimensional C^* -algebra. As a consequence, the Cuntz semigroups of $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ are both uniformly based. Then, we expand our results and show that the Cuntz semigroup of any one-dimensional NCCW complex is uniformly based.

We start by recalling some facts about lower-semicontinuous functions and how they relate to these concrete Cuntz semigroups. We then introduce a notion of *chain-generating set* in view of simplifying the construction of uniform bases. (Note that all the concrete and abstract Cuntz semigroups that we study in the sequel contain such a set.)

• **Lower-semicontinuous functions.** Let X be a topological space and let S be a Cu-semigroup. We say that a map $f : X \rightarrow S$ is *lower-semicontinuous* if for any $s \in S$, the set $\{t \in X \mid s \ll f(t)\}$ is open in X . We write $\text{Lsc}(X, S)$ for the set of lower-semicontinuous functions from X to S . Whenever X is a second-countable locally compact Hausdorff space with covering dimension at most one and S is a countably-based Cu-semigroup, then $\text{Lsc}(X, S)$ is itself a Cu-semigroup. Moreover, for any separable C^* -algebra A of stable rank one such that $K_1(I) = 0$ for every ideal of A , then $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}_0(X) \otimes A) \simeq \text{Lsc}(X, \text{Cu}(A))$. (See [2, Theorem 5.15 - Theorem 3.4].) In particular, we have $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}_0(X)) \simeq \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ for any such X .

• **Chain-decompositions - Chain-generating sets.** Let (P, \leq) be a partially ordered set. Any totally ordered subset of P will be called a *chain*. We will be mostly interested in subsets that are totally ordered for the opposite order \geq , that we may refer to as *descending chains*. We now introduce a notion of *chain-generating set* for both a positively ordered monoid and a Cu-semigroup, that roughly consists of a (proper) subset which satisfies a ‘uniqueness and existence’-type condition as follows:

Definition 4.1. Let M be a PoM. We say that a (proper) subset $\Lambda \subsetneq M$ is a *chain-generating set* of M if

(i) For any finite descending chains $(m'_n)_1^{k'}$ and $(m_n)_1^k$ in Λ , we have $\sum_{n=0}^{k'} m_n \leq \sum_{n=0}^k m_n$ if and only if $m'_n \leq m_n$ for all $0 \leq n \leq \min(k', k)$.

(ii) For any $m \in M$, there exists a finite descending chain $(m_n)_n$ in Λ such that $m = \sum_{n=0}^k m_n$.

Note that we automatically get uniqueness of such a descending chain, up to adding or removing ‘tailing zeros’. Therefore, we refer to it as *the chain-decomposition* of m . (We omit the reference to the chain-generating set Λ when the context is clear.)

Definition 4.2. Let S be a Cu-semigroup. We say that a subset $\Lambda \subseteq S_{\ll}$ is a *chain-generating set* of S if

(i) For any countable descending chains $(s_n)_n$ and $(t_n)_n$ in Λ , we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n$ if and only if $s_n \leq t_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) For any $s \in S$, there exists a countable descending chain $(s_n)_n$ in Λ such that $s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n$.

Note that we automatically get uniqueness of such a chain, up to adding or removing ‘tailing zeros’. Therefore, we refer to it as *the chain-decomposition* of s . (We omit the reference to the chain-generating set Λ when the context is clear.)

Proposition 4.3. Let X be a (second-countable locally compact Hausdorff) topological space of covering dimension at most one. Then $\Lambda := \text{Lsc}(X, \{0, 1\})$ is a chain-generating set of the Cu-semigroup $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$.

Proof. We recall that $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ is a Cu-semigroup. Also, observe that for any two open sets $U, V \subseteq X$, $1_U \leq 1_V$ if and only if $U \subseteq V$ and $1_U \ll 1_V$ if and only if $\overline{U} \subseteq V$. Let $f \in \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ and write $V_n := f^{-1}([n; +\infty])$. By the lower-semicontinuity of f , $(V_n)_n$ is a well-defined descending chain of open sets of X that naturally satisfies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{V_n} = f$. Now let $(1_{V_n})_n$

and $(1_{W_n})_n$ be descending chains in Λ . If $1_{V_n} \leq 1_{W_n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\sum_{n=0}^k 1_{V_n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^k 1_{W_n}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Passing to suprema over k , on the right side first and then on the left side, we deduce that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{V_n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{W_n}$. Conversely, if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{V_n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{W_n}$, then for any $t \in X$, we have $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{V_n})(t) \leq (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{W_n})(t)$. Observe that in fact, $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1_{V_n})(t) = \text{card}(\{n \mid t \in V_n\})$, hence we deduce that $1_{V_n} \leq 1_{W_n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let S be a Cu-semigroup that has a chain-generating set Λ .*

- (i) *Λ is an order-hereditary and upward-directed subset of S_{\ll} .*
- (ii) *The chain-decomposition $(s_n)_n$ of s has finitely many non-zero elements whenever $s \in S_{\ll}$.*
- (iii) *Let $s, t \in S$ and let $(s_n)_n, (t_n)_n$ be their respective chain-decompositions. If $s \ll t$ then $s_n \ll t_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The converse is true whenever $s \in S_{\ll}$.*

Proof. (i) Let $s \in S$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be such that $s \leq \lambda$. By uniqueness of the chain-decomposition, we know that the sequence $(\lambda, 0, \dots)_n$ is the chain-decomposition of λ . Consider $(s_n)_n$ to be the chain-decomposition of s . Since $s \leq \lambda$, we deduce that $s_0 \leq \lambda$ and that $s_n = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$. Thus, $s = s_0$ which proves that $s \in \Lambda$. Further, let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$. Consider $s := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and let $(s_n)_n$ be the chain-decomposition of s . Then s_0 is an element of Λ and moreover, we have $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \leq s_0$ since $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \leq s$.

(ii) Let $s \in S_{\ll}$. There exists $t \in S$ such that $s \ll t$. Let $(s_n)_n, (t_n)_n$ be the respective chain-decompositions of s, t . Observe that the sequence $(\sum_{n=0}^k t_n)_k$ is \leq -increasing towards t .

Hence there exists a finite stage $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s \leq \sum_{n=0}^l t_n =: t'$. By uniqueness of the chain-decomposition, we know that $(t_0, \dots, t_l, 0, \dots)$ is the chain-decomposition of t' from which we deduce that $s_k = 0$ for any $k \geq l + 1$.

(iii) Assume that $s \ll t$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider a \ll -increasing sequence $(t_{n,i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ whose supremum is t_n , obtained from (O2). Then $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{n,i})_i$ is an increasing sequence whose supremum is t . Thus, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{n,l}$. We deduce that $s_n \leq t_{n,l}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which gives us that $s_n \ll t_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Conversely, assume that $s \in S_{\ll}$ and that $s_n \ll t_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since all but finitely many s_n are zero, we conclude that $s \ll t$ using axiom (O3). \square

A. The Cuntz semigroup of continuous functions over the open interval. In this part, we show that $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}_0(]0, 1[)) \simeq \text{Lsc}(]0, 1[, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ is a uniformly based Cu-semigroup. In order to do so, we explicitly construct uniform basis ‘from’ the supernatural number 2^∞ . This will give rise to a handful of uniform bases obtained from any supernatural number by mimicking a similar process.

4.5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(x_k)_0^{2^n}$ be an equidistant partition of $]0, 1[$ of size $1/2^n$. For any $k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}$, we define the open interval $U_k :=]x_{k-1}, x_k[$ of $]0, 1[$. It is immediate to see that $\mathcal{U} := \{\overline{U_k}\}_1^{2^n}$ is a (finite) closed cover of $]0, 1[$. Now define for any $n \geq 1$

$$M_n := \{f \in \text{Lsc}(]0, 1[, \mathbb{N}) \mid f|_{U_k} \text{ is constant for any } k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}\}.$$

Trivially, $(M_n)_n$ is a \subseteq -increasing sequence of positively ordered monoids in S_{\ll} . (We recall that M_0 is fixed to $\{0\}$ by convention.) Also, observe that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $g \in M_n$, the chain-decomposition of g is a descending chain in $\Lambda \cap M_n$. Thus, Λ induces a chain-generating set $\Lambda_n := \Lambda \cap M_n$ of M_n . This will be used several times in what follows. We now have to build an order-preserving super-additive morphism $\epsilon_n : S_{\ll} \longrightarrow M_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying (U2)-(U3)-(U4). We will use the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $g', g \in M_n$ such that $g' \ll g$. There exists an element $h \in M_{n+1}$ such that $g' \ll h \ll g$.*

Proof. Let $(x_k)_0^{2^n}, (y_k)_0^{2^{n+1}}$ be equidistant partitions of $]0, 1[$ of size $1/2^n, 1/2^{n+1}$ respectively. We consider the closed (finite) covers $\mathcal{U} := \{\overline{U_k}\}_1^{2^n}$ and $\mathcal{W} := \{\overline{W_k}\}_1^{2^{n+1}}$ of $]0, 1[$, constructed as above.

We first assume that both g', g belong to the induced chain-generating set $\Lambda_n := \Lambda \cap M_n$ of M_n and the general case will follow using the chain-decomposition properties (see Definition 4.2 and Theorem 4.4). There exist open sets V', V of $]0, 1[$ such that $g' = 1_{V'}, g = 1_V$. Furthermore, both V, V' have a finite number of (open) connected components. Again, we first assume that V, V' are connected open sets of $]0, 1[$ and we repeat the process finitely many times to obtain the result. Observe that in this case, we have

$$V' := U_{l'} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l'+1}^{r'-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup U_{r'}, \quad V := U_l \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l+1}^{r-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup U_r$$

for some $l < l' \leq r' < r$.

On the other hand, observe that $W_{2k-1} \cup W_{2k} = U_k \setminus \{y_{2k-1}\}$ for any $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$. Let us construct the following open set of $]0, 1[$:

$$W := W_{2l'} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l'+1}^{r'-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup W_{2r'-1}$$

and we define $h := 1_W$. By construction, $h \in M_{n+1}$ and $g' \ll h \ll g$, which ends the proof. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $f \in \text{Lsc}(]0, 1[, \mathbb{N})$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{g \in M_n \mid g \ll f\}$ has a largest element, that we write $\epsilon_n(f)$. Further, $(\epsilon_n(f))_n$ is an increasing sequence whose supremum is f .*

Proof. Let us explicitly build $\epsilon_n(f)$. Since $\Lambda := \text{Lsc}(]0, 1[, \{0, 1\})$ is a chain-generating set of $\text{Lsc}(]0, 1[, \mathbb{N})$, we first prove the result for element Λ and the general case will follow using the chain-decomposition properties (see Definition 4.2 and Theorem 4.4). Let $V \subseteq]0, 1[$ be an open set and write $f := 1_V$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, construct $\epsilon_n(f)$ recursively as follows:

- (1) For any $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, $\begin{cases} \epsilon_n(f)|_{U_k} := 1 \text{ if } \overline{U_k} \subseteq V. \\ \epsilon_n(f)|_{U_k} := 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (2) For any $x \in]0, 1[\setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{2^n} U_k)$, $\begin{cases} \epsilon_n(f)(x) := 1 \text{ if } \epsilon_n(f)|_{U_k} \neq 0 \text{ for all } k \text{ such that } x \in \overline{U_k}. \\ \epsilon_n(f)(x) := 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

We leave to the reader to check that $\epsilon_n(f)$ is indeed the largest element of $\{g \in M_n \mid g \ll f\}$. Moreover, it is trivial to see that $\epsilon_n(f) \leq \epsilon_{n+1}(f) \ll f$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, by density of $\mathbb{N}[\frac{1}{2}]$ in \mathbb{R} , we know that for any $x \in V$, there exists a pair (k, n) of natural numbers, with n big enough such that $x \in [\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}]$ and such that $[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}] \subseteq V$. We hence deduce that for any $x \in V$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough such that $\epsilon_n(f)(x) = 1$ and we conclude that $\sup \epsilon_n(f) = f$. \square

Remark 4.8. Combining the two previous lemmas, it is immediate that for any $g \in M_l$, the sequence $(\epsilon_n(g))_{n>l}$ is \ll -increasing (towards g) .

Lemma 4.9. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The assignment $\epsilon_n : \text{Lsc}([0, 1[, \mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow M_n$ is a well-defined order-preserving super-additive morphism. Moreover, the restriction $\epsilon_n|_{\bigcup_{l < n-1} M_l} : \bigcup_{l < n-1} M_l \longrightarrow M_n$ is a PoM-morphism.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $f \in \text{Lsc}([0, 1[, \mathbb{N})$, the element $\epsilon_n(f)$ is constructed as the largest element of $\{g \in M_n \mid g \ll f\}$ and hence, we automatically get that ϵ_n is an order-preserving super-additive morphism. Now we have to prove that the restriction $\epsilon_n|_{\bigcup_{l < n-1} M_l}$ is a PoM-morphism, for any n . Note $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ are restricted to $\{0_S\}$ and hence automatically satisfy (U2).

Let $n > 2$ and let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $l < n - 1$. Let $f', f \in M_l$. For the rest of the proof, let $(x_k)_0^{2^n}, (y_k)_0^{2^l}$ be equidistant partitions of $]0, 1[$ of size $1/2^n, 1/2^l$ respectively. We consider the finite closed covers $\mathcal{U} := \{\overline{U_k}\}_1^{2^l}$ and $\mathcal{W} := \{\overline{W_k}\}_1^{2^n}$ of $]0, 1[$, constructed as in Paragraph 4.5.

We first assume that both f, f' belong to the induced chain-generating set $\Lambda_l := \Lambda \cap M_l$ of M_l . Hence, there exist open sets V, V' of $]0, 1[$ such that $f = 1_V, f' = 1_{V'}$. Furthermore, both V, V' have a finite number of (open) connected components. Thus we can also suppose, in a first time, that V, V' are connected open sets of $]0, 1[$ and we repeat the process finitely many times to obtain the result. Observe that $(V \cup V', V \cap V')$ is the chain-decomposition of $f + f'$. By the chain-decomposition properties (see Definition 4.2 and Theorem 4.4), it is easy to check that $\epsilon_n(f + f') = \epsilon_n(1_{V \cup V'}) + \epsilon_n(1_{V \cap V'})$. Therefore, if $V \cap V' = \emptyset, V$ or V' (in other words, either V and V' are disjoint sets or one contains the other) then the result is trivial. Else, we have

$$V := U_l \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l+1}^{r-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup U_r \quad V' := U_{l'} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l'+1}^{r'-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup U_{r'}$$

for some $l < l' \leq r < r'$. (Or $l' < l \leq r' < r$ and the proof is similar.)

Since \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{W} have the same endpoints, it follows that for any $1 \leq k \leq 2^l$ we can find 2^{n-l} open sets $W_{k,i}$ of \mathcal{U} such that $W_{k,i} \subseteq U_k$ and such that $\{\overline{W_{k,i}}\}_{i=1}^{2^{n-l}}$ is a finite closed cover of $\overline{U_k}$. Thus, we can explicitly compute

$$\begin{cases} \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f)) = (U_l \setminus \overline{W_{l,1}}) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l+1}^{r-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup (U_r \setminus \overline{W_{r,2^{n-l}}}). \\ \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f')) = (U_{l'} \setminus \overline{W_{l',1}}) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l'+1}^{r'-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup (U_{r'} \setminus \overline{W_{r',2^{n-l}}}). \end{cases}$$

And also

$$\begin{cases} \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(1_{V \cup V'})) = (U_l \setminus \overline{W_{l,1}}) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l+1}^{r'-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup (U_{r'} \setminus \overline{W_{r',2^{n-l}}}). \\ \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(1_{V \cap V'})) = (U_{l'} \setminus \overline{W_{l',1}}) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l'+1}^{r-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup (U_r \setminus \overline{W_{r,2^{n-l}}}). \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we know that $n-l \geq 2$ and hence, each U_k contains at least 4 open sets $W_{k,i}$. This ensures that $(U_r \setminus \overline{W_{r,2^{n-l}}})$ overlaps with $(U_{l'} \setminus \overline{W_{l',1}})$, in the sense that $(U_r \setminus \overline{W_{r,2^{n-l}}}) \cap (U_{l'} \setminus \overline{W_{l',1}}) \neq \emptyset$. A fortiori, $\text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f)) \cap \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f')) \neq \emptyset$. (Even in the particular case where $l' = r$.) As a consequence, we deduce that $\text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f)) \cap \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f')) = \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(1_{V \cap V'}))$ and that $\text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f)) \cup \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f')) = \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(1_{V \cup V'}))$. Finally, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n(f) + \epsilon_n(f') &= 1_{\text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f))} + 1_{\text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f'))} \\ &= 1_{\text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f)) \cup \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f'))} + 1_{\text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f)) \cap \text{supp}(\epsilon_n(f'))} \\ &= 1_{\text{supp}(\epsilon_n(1_{V \cup V'}))} + 1_{\text{supp}(\epsilon_n(1_{V \cap V'}))} \\ &= \epsilon_n(f + f'). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that for any $l < n-1$ and any $f, f' \in \Lambda_l$, we have $\epsilon_n(f + f') = \epsilon_n(f) + \epsilon_n(f')$.

We now assume that $f' \in \Lambda_l$ and that $f \in M_l$. Let $(V_j)_j$ be the chain-decomposition of f and let $V' := \text{supp } f'$. It can be checked that $(V_0 \cup V', (V_j \cup (V_{j-1} \cap V'))_{j \geq 1})$ is the chain-decomposition of $f + f'$. Again, by the chain-decomposition properties, we obtain $\epsilon_n(f + f') = \epsilon_n(1_{V_0 \cup V'}) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \epsilon_n(1_{V_j \cup (V_{j-1} \cap V')})$. Let us use the result we have just proven to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_n(f) + \epsilon_n(f') &= \sum_j \epsilon_n(1_{V_j}) + \epsilon_n(1_{V'}) \\ &= \epsilon_n(1_{V_0} + 1_{V'}) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \epsilon_n(1_{V_j}) \\ &= \epsilon_n(1_{V_0 \cup V'}) + \epsilon_n(1_{V_0 \cap V'}) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \epsilon_n(1_{V_j}) \\ &= \epsilon_n(1_{V_0 \cup V'}) + \epsilon_n(1_{V_0 \cap V'} + 1_{V_1}) + \sum_{j \geq 2} \epsilon_n(1_{V_j}) \\ &= \epsilon_n(1_{V_0 \cup V'}) + \epsilon_n(1_{(V_0 \cap V') \cup V_1}) + \epsilon_n(1_{V_1 \cap V'}) + \sum_{j \geq 2} \epsilon_n(1_{V_j}) \\ &= \epsilon_n(1_{V_0 \cup V'}) + \epsilon_n(1_{(V_0 \cap V') \cup V_1}) + \epsilon_n(1_{V_1 \cap V'} + 1_{V_2}) + \sum_{j \geq 3} \epsilon_n(1_{V_j}) \\ &= \epsilon_n(1_{V_0 \cup V'}) + \epsilon_n(1_{(V_0 \cap V') \cup V_1}) + \epsilon_n(1_{(V_1 \cap V') \cup V_2}) + \epsilon_n(1_{V_2 \cap V'}) + \sum_{j \geq 3} \epsilon_n(1_{V_j}). \end{aligned}$$

We recall that the chain-decomposition of f is finite and hence, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1_{V_k \cap V'} = 0$ for any $k \geq j$. Repeating the process finitely many times, we get that

$$\epsilon_n(f) + \epsilon_n(f') = \epsilon_n(1_{V_0 \cup V'}) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \epsilon_n(1_{V_j \cup (V_{j-1} \cap V')}).$$

Thus $\epsilon_n(f) + \epsilon_n(f') = \epsilon_n(f + f')$ for any $f \in \bigcup_{l < n-1} M_l$, which ends the proof. \square

Corollary 4.10. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider M_n constructed in Paragraph 4.5. Let $\epsilon_n : S_{\ll} \rightarrow M_n$ be the assignment that sends s to $\max_{g \in M_n} \{g \ll f\}$.*

Then $\mathcal{B}_{2^\infty} := (M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ is a uniform basis of $\text{Lsc}([0, 1[, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$.

4.11. Uniform bases of size q . We have constructed a uniform basis of $\text{Lsc}([0, 1[, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$. The choice of an equidistant partition of size $1/2^n$ in the construction of M_n (see Paragraph 4.5) is arbitrary and one can construct similarly other uniform bases using any supernatural number. Let $(p_i)_i$ be a sequence of prime numbers and consider the supernatural number $q := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $q_n := \prod_{i=0}^n p_i$ and we consider an equidistant partition $(x_{k,n})_{k=0}^{q_n}$ of $]0, 1[$ of size $1/q_n$ that induces a closed (finite) cover $\mathcal{U}_n := \{\overline{U_{k,n}}\}_{k=1}^{q_n}$ in the same fashion as in Paragraph 4.5, where $U_{k,n} :=]x_{k-1,n}; x_{k,n}[$. Similarly, define for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{cases} M_n := \{f \in \text{Lsc}([0, 1[, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \mid f|_{U_{k,n}} \text{ is constant for any } k \in \{1, \dots, q_n\}\} \\ \epsilon_n : \text{Lsc}([0, 1[, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow M_n \\ f \longmapsto \max_{g \in M_n} \{g \ll f\} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{B}_q := (M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ is a uniform basis of $\text{Lsc}([0, 1[, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ that we refer to as *the uniform basis of size q* .

B. The Cuntz semigroup of continuous functions over one-dimensional CW complexes. This part of the paper aims to generalize the previous process to a larger class of Cu-semigroups. More precisely, we extend the construction of a uniform basis of size q , to any Cu-semigroup of the form $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}^r)$, where X is a compact (Hausdorff) one-dimensional CW complex (in other word, a finite graph) and $r < \infty$. As a result, we obtain that any concrete Cuntz semigroup coming from $\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes A$, where X is a finite graph and A is a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra, is uniformly based.

Let us first recall some facts about CW-complexes and we refer the reader to [16] for more details. A CW-complex of dimension n is obtained from ‘gluing’ n -dimensional balls together in a specific way, using CW-complexes of lower dimensions. In the particular case of $n \leq 1$, these topological spaces are nicely characterized: A one-dimensional CW-complex X can be seen as a graph c_X where the 0-dimensional cells are the vertices and the 1-dimensional cells are the edges. (We precise that in the sequel, we distinguish open and closed cells.) Note that a one-dimensional CW-complex X is compact if and only if its associated graph c_X is finite.

Theorem 4.12. *Let X be a compact one-dimensional CW complex. Then $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ is a uniformly based Cu-semigroup.*

Proof. Let X be a compact one-dimensional CW-complex. Let us enumerate the cells of X as follows: we denote the j -th (clopen) 0-cell by c_j^0 , the j -th (open) 1-cell by c_j^1 and we define $c := (\{c_j^0\}_1^{l'}, \{c_j^1\}_1^l)$ to be the (unique) finite graph associated to X . (Observe that a 0-cell is topologically isomorphic to a single point while an open 1-cell is topologically isomorphic to the open interval and also that both $l, l' < \infty$.) We recall that $F \subseteq X$ is a closed set if and only if for any 1-cell c_j^1 of X , the intersection $F \cap \overline{c_j^1}$ is a closed set of $\overline{c_j^1}$.

We construct a uniform basis for the case where X is a finite connected graph (in other words, X does not contain any isolated 0-cells) and the general case will follow. (It is easily seen

that $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Lsc}(X_i, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \oplus \overline{\mathbb{N}}^r$ where I is a finite index corresponding to the number of non-trivial connected components X_i in X and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is the finite number of isolated points in X .)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First, we construct a monoid $M_n \subseteq \text{Lsc}(X, \mathbb{N})$ as follows: For each (open) 1-cell c_j^1 , consider an equidistant partition of size $1/2^n$, and consider the open sets $\{U_k^j\}_{k=1}^{2^n}$ as in Paragraph 4.5. Observe that $\mathcal{U}^j := \{\overline{U_k^j}\}_{k=1}^{2^n}$ is a closed cover of the closed 1-cell $\overline{c_j^1}$. Therefore, we obtain a finite closed cover $\mathcal{U} := \{\{\overline{U_k^1}\}_{k=1}^{2^n}, \dots, \{\overline{U_k^l}\}_{k=1}^{2^n}\}$ of X . We now consider

$$M_n := \{f \in \text{Lsc}(X, \mathbb{N}) \mid f|_{U_k^j} \text{ is constant for any } U_k^j \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Next, we have to construct an order-preserving super-additive morphism $\epsilon_n : \text{Lsc}(X, \mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow M_n$ satisfying (U2)-(U3)-(U4). As before, we first consider an indicator map $f := 1_V$, where V is an open set of X . By the lower-semicontinuity of f , we deduce that for any 0-cell $c_j^0 = \{x_j\}$ that belongs to V , (that is, $f(x_j) \neq 0$) then there exists an open neighborhood O_{x_j} of x_j such that $O_{x_j} \subset V$. In particular, for any 1-cell c_k^1 which is ‘glued’ to $\{x_j\}$, in the sense that its closure $\overline{c_k^1}$ contains x_j , then there exists an open neighborhood of x_j in $\overline{c_k^1}$ that is contained V . Therefore, we can construct $\max_{g \in M_n} \{g \ll f\}$, in the same fashion as for the open interval, as follows:

- (1) For any $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$ and any $1 \leq j \leq l$, $\begin{cases} \epsilon_n(f)|_{U_k^j} := 1 \text{ if } \overline{U_k^j} \subseteq V. \\ \epsilon_n(f)|_{U_k^j} := 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (2) For any $x \in X \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^l \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^n} U_k^j)$, $\begin{cases} \epsilon_n(f)(x) := 1 \text{ if } \epsilon_n(f)|_{U_k^j} \neq 0 \text{ for all } j, k \text{ such that } x \in \overline{U_k^j}. \\ \epsilon_n(f)(x) := 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Again, using the chain-decomposition properties (see Definition 4.2 and Theorem 4.4) and similar arguments as for the open interval, one can check that we can extend the above construction to any $f \in \text{Lsc}(X, \mathbb{N})$. Also that $\epsilon_n : S_{\ll} \longrightarrow M_n$ is an order-preserving super-additive morphism that has the required properties. \square

As for the open interval, we can replicate the above construction using any other supernatural number $q := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i$, in order to obtain a uniform basis \mathcal{B}_q of $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ associated to q , where X is a compact one-dimensional CW complex. (See Paragraph 4.11.) We enunciate the result in the following corollary, where we also allow the codomain to be any simplicial Cu-semigroup:

Corollary 4.13. *Let X be a compact one-dimensional CW complex and let A be a finite dimensional C^* -algebra. Then $S := \text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}(X) \otimes A)$ is a uniformly based Cu-semigroup. More particularly, S has a uniform basis \mathcal{B}_q of size q , for any supernatural number q .*

In particular, the Cuntz semigroups of $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ both have uniform bases.

Proof. Observe that we naturally have $S \simeq \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}^r) \simeq \bigoplus_r \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$. The corollary follows by Proposition 3.4. \square

C. The Cuntz semigroup of one-dimensional NCCW complexes. We end the example section by studying the Cuntz semigroup of one-dimensional NCCW complexes. A one-dimensional NCCW complex is constructed as a pullback of a finite dimensional C^* -algebra E with $\mathcal{C}([0, 1]) \otimes F$ (where F is also a finite dimensional C^* -algebra) which dictates the values at endpoints 0 and 1. Inductive limits of these pullbacks is a rather large class within the C^* -algebras of stable rank one that includes for instance AF, AI and AT algebras. We mention that in [20] Robert has been able to classify all the unital one-dimensional NCCW complexes with trivial K_1 -group and inductive limits of such building blocks by means of the functor Cu .

Here, we aim to prove that the Cuntz semigroup of a one-dimensional NCCW complex, under a suitable hypothesis on the morphisms defining the pullback, has a uniform basis. The strategy adopted is to ‘extract’ such a uniform basis by using any uniform basis that we have built for the interval. This process involves the notion of *Cu-subsemigroups* that we recall now.

• **Cu- subsemigroups and compact-containment relation.** Let S be a Cu-semigroup. We recall a Cu-ideal of S consists of a submonoid I that is order-hereditary and closed under suprema of increasing sequences. We studying substructures of a Cu-semigroup, it is often convenient to consider Cu-ideals. For instance, Cu-ideals allow the construction of quotients and they preserve the compact-containment relation, in the sense that the canonical inclusion $i : I \hookrightarrow S$ of an ideal I into S is a Cu-morphism. In particular, we have $I_{\ll} \subseteq S_{\ll} \cap I$. Nevertheless, it is not true that the Cuntz semigroup of a one-dimensional NCCW complex can be seen as an ideal of $\text{Lsc}([0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}}')$ since it might not be order-hereditary. We hence have to work with *Cu-subsemigroups*, a weaker substructure introduced in [24].

Definition 4.14. ([24, Definition 4.1]) Let S be a Cu-semigroup. We say that a subset $S' \subseteq S$ is a *Cu-subsemigroup* of S if, S' is a Cu-semigroup and the canonical inclusion $i : S' \hookrightarrow S$ is a Cu-semigroup.

Remark 4.15. One might have tried to define a Cu-subsemigroup as a subset which is itself a Cu-semigroup. However, one has to be cautious and notice that the compact-containment relation can be altered. Indeed, it could happen that $S'_{\ll} \not\subseteq S_{\ll} \cap S'$. (Therefore, two elements could compare for \ll in S' but not in S .) For instance, set $S := \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $S' := \{0, \infty\}$. Then $S'_{\ll} = \{0, \infty\}$ and $S_{\ll} \cap S' = \{0\}$. Thus $S'_{\ll} \not\subseteq S_{\ll} \cap S'$.

Also, one could consider submonoids that are closed under suprema of increasing sequences and \ll -hereditary, in the sense that if $x \ll y$ with $x \in S$ and $y \in S'$, then $x \in S'$. This notion seems stronger than the one define in Definition 4.14 (and weaker than the notion of ideal) but does not seem relevant for our case.

The next proposition shows that any Cu-subsemigroup S' of a uniformly based Cu-semigroup S , under suitable stability condition, also admits a uniform basis that can be obtained by ‘restricting’ the uniform basis of S .

Proposition 4.16. *Let S be a uniformly based Cu-semigroup with uniform basis $\mathcal{B} := (M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$. Let $S' \subseteq S$ be a Cu-subsemigroup of S . Assume that S' is \mathcal{B} -stable, in the sense that $\epsilon_n(S'_{\ll}) \subseteq S'_{\ll}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(\epsilon_n(S'_{\ll}), \epsilon_n|_{S'_{\ll}})_n$ is a uniform basis of S' .*

Proof. It is left to the reader to check that \mathcal{B} -stability precisely allows us to define the restriction of ϵ_n to S'_{\ll} and ensures that the monoids $(\epsilon_n(S'_{\ll}))_n$ together with the maps $(\epsilon_n|_{S'_{\ll}})_n$ satisfy axioms (U1)–...–(U4). \square

• **One-dimensional NCCW complexes.** Let E, F be finite dimensional C^* -algebras and let $\phi_0, \phi_1 : E \longrightarrow F$ be two $*$ -homomorphisms. We define a *non-commutative CW complex of dimension one*, abbreviated one-dimensional NCCW complex, as the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & C([0, 1], F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (ev_0, ev_1) \\ E & \xrightarrow{(\phi_0, \phi_1)} & F \oplus F \end{array}$$

We write such a pullback as $A := A(E, F, \phi_0, \phi_1)$. The Cuntz semigroups of one-dimensional NCCW complexes have been computed in [2, Section 4.2] as follows: Write $\alpha := \text{Cu}(\phi_0)$ and $\beta := \text{Cu}(\phi_1)$. Then

$$\text{Cu}(A) \simeq \{(f, m) \in \text{Lsc}([0, 1], \text{Cu}(F)) \oplus \text{Cu}(E) \mid \alpha(m) = f(0) \text{ and } \beta(m) = f(1)\}.$$

Proposition 4.17. *Let $A := A(E, F, \phi_0, \phi_1)$ be a one-dimensional NCCW complex. If either $\text{Cu}(\phi_0)$ or $\text{Cu}(\phi_1)$ is an order-embedding, then $\text{Cu}(A)$ can be identified with a Cu-subsemigroup of $\text{Lsc}([0, 1], \text{Cu}(F))$ that is also denoted by $\text{Cu}(A)$.*

Furthermore, $\text{Cu}(A)$ is \mathcal{B}_q -stable for any uniform basis \mathcal{B}_q of $\text{Lsc}([0, 1], \text{Cu}(F))$ of size q constructed in Corollary 4.13.

Proof. Let $A := A(E, F, \phi_0, \phi_1)$. We denote $\alpha := \text{Cu}(\phi_0)$, $\beta := \text{Cu}(\phi_1)$ and $S := \text{Cu}(E)$, $T := \text{Cu}(F)$. Consider the following PoM-morphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : \text{Cu}(A) &\longrightarrow \text{Lsc}([0, 1], T) \\ (f, m) &\longmapsto f \end{aligned}$$

Let $(f, m), (g, n) \in \text{Cu}(A)$ be such that $\iota((f, m)) \leq \iota((g, n))$. In particular, we know that $f(0) \leq g(0)$ and $f(1) \leq g(1)$. Equivalently, $\alpha(m) \leq \alpha(n)$ and $\beta(m) \leq \beta(n)$. Using that either α or β is an order-embedding, we deduce that $m \leq n$ in S . We obtain that $(f, m) \leq (g, n)$ and hence, ι is an order-embedding. Further, it is easily seen that ι respects suprema of increasing sequences. Let us prove that ι respects the compact-containment relation. First, we can identify

$$\text{Cu}(A) \stackrel{\iota}{\simeq} \{f \in \text{Lsc}([0, 1], T) \mid f(0) = \alpha(s) \text{ and } f(1) = \beta(s) \text{ for some } s \in S\}.$$

Let $g, h \in \text{Cu}(A)$ be such that $g \ll h$ in $\text{Cu}(A)$. We have to check that $g \ll h$ in $\text{Lsc}([0, 1], T)$. Let $(h_k)_k$ be an increasing sequence in $\text{Lsc}([0, 1], T)$ such that $\sup_k h_k = h$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $s_k := \max\{s \in S \mid \alpha(s) \leq h_k(0) \text{ and } \beta(s) \leq h_k(1)\}$. Now construct $h'_k \in \text{Cu}(A)$ as

follows:

$$\begin{cases} h'_k(t) := h_k(t) \text{ for any } t \in]0, 1[\\ h'_k(0) := \alpha(s_k) \\ h'_k(1) := \beta(s_k) \end{cases}$$

It is immediate that $(h'_k)_k$ is an increasing sequence of $\text{Cu}(A)$ such that $h'_k \leq h_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $\sup h'_k = h$. Therefore, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g \leq h'_n \leq h_n$ from which we conclude that $g \ll h$ in $\text{Lsc}([0, 1], T)$ and hence that ι is a Cu-morphism. It follows that $\text{Cu}(A)$ can be identified with a Cu-subsemigroup of $\text{Lsc}([0, 1], T)$, through ι , that we also denote by $\text{Cu}(A)$.

We now have to check that $\text{Cu}(A)$ is \mathcal{B}_q -stable. Without loss of generalities we can assume that $q = 2^\infty$. Let $g \in \text{Cu}(A)_{\ll}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We construct the finite closed cover $\mathcal{U} := \{\overline{U_k}\}_{k=1}^{2^n}$ of $[0, 1]$ as in Paragraph 4.5. Then either $\epsilon_n(g)(0) = 0$, or else $\epsilon_n(g)(0) = g(0) (\neq 0)$ precisely when $\text{supp } g$ contains $\overline{U_1}$. A similar argument can be made for $\epsilon_n(g)(1)$ and we deduce that $\epsilon_n(\text{Cu}(A)_{\ll}) \subseteq \text{Cu}(A)_{\ll}$. \square

Corollary 4.18. *The Cuntz semigroup of any one-dimensional NCCW complex $A(E, F, \phi_0, \phi_1)$ is uniformly based whenever $\text{Cu}(\phi_0)$ or $\text{Cu}(\phi_1)$ is an order-embedding.*

We next exhibit specific one-dimensional NCCW complexes that have been considered in the past which satisfy the assumption of Proposition 4.17. A fortiori, their Cuntz semigroups are uniformly based and we explicitly compute such uniform bases. Note that these uniform bases will be involved in a forthcoming manuscript. (See [11].)

4.19. Elliott-Thomsen dimension drop algebras over the interval.

Elliott-Thomsen dimension-drop interval algebras are one of the first one-dimensional NCCW complexes that have been constructed. Roughly, they tend to mimic the construction of the circle as a one-dimensional CW-complex. More concretely, these algebras are defined as

$$I_r := A(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, M_r, \pi_0 \otimes 1_r, \pi_1 \otimes 1_r)$$

where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is a natural number and $\pi_0, \pi_1 : \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are the respective projections on each component of the direct sum. We mention that

$$K_0(I_r) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \qquad K_1(I_r) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$

Further, observe that $\text{Cu}(\pi_0 \otimes 1_r)$ and $\text{Cu}(\pi_1 \otimes 1_r)$ are Cu-morphisms from $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ to $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and they both send $1 \longmapsto r$. Thus both are order-embeddings. Using Proposition 4.17 and more precisely the morphism ι constructed in the proof, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cu}(I_r) &\simeq \{f \in \text{Lsc}([0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \mid f(0), f(1) \in r\overline{\mathbb{N}}\} \\ &\simeq \{f \in \text{Lsc}([0, 1], \frac{1}{r}\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \mid f(0), f(1) \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, one can explicitly construct a uniform basis of $\text{Cu}(I_r)$ using any uniform basis of $\text{Lsc}([0, 1], \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ of size q ; see Paragraph 4.11 and Proposition 4.16.

4.20. Evans-Kishimoto folding interval algebras.

Evans-Kishimoto folding interval algebras are a generalization of Elliott-Thomsen dimension drop interval algebras; see Paragraph 4.19. That said, they have been first considered in [15] just a few years before the dimension drop algebras. In this paragraph, we recall the construction of these C^* -algebras and expose some of their properties. (We refer the reader to [15, (2.14)] for the original construction.)

Let r be a natural number and denote the full matrix algebra of size r by M_r . Let p_e be a projection of M_r and write $e := \text{rank}(p_e)$. For any $l \in \mathbb{N}_*$, we consider the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}_{r,e}^l & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & C([0,1], \bigotimes_1^l M_r) \\ \pi_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow (ev_0, ev_1) \\ (\bigotimes_1^{l-1} M_r) \oplus (\bigotimes_1^{l-1} M_r) & \xrightarrow{(i_0^l, i_1^l)} & (\bigotimes_1^l M_r) \oplus (\bigotimes_1^l M_r) \end{array}$$

where $i_0^l, i_1^l : (\bigotimes_1^{l-1} M_r) \oplus (\bigotimes_1^{l-1} M_r) \longrightarrow \bigotimes_1^l M_r$ are injective $*$ -homomorphisms constructed by induction. We refer the reader to [15, (2.11)/(2.12)] for more details and we mention that the original construction involves two projections E_1 and E_2 , that are given in our case by $E_1 := e$ and $E_2 := 1 - e$. Let us precise that in the case $l = 1$, we have that $\mathcal{I}_{r,r}^1 = I_r$ is the Elliott-Thomsen dimension-drop interval algebra. We also mention that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$K_0(\mathcal{I}_{r,e}^l) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \qquad K_1(\mathcal{I}_{r,e}^l) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$

Further, observe that $\text{Cu}(i_0^l)$ and $\text{Cu}(i_1^l)$ are Cu -morphisms from $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ to $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and they both send $1 \longmapsto r$; see [15, Lemma 2.2]. Thus both are order-embeddings. Using Proposition 4.17 and more precisely the morphism ι constructed in the proof, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cu}(\mathcal{I}_{r,e}^l) &\simeq \{f \in \text{Lsc}([0,1], \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \mid f(0), f(1) \in r\overline{\mathbb{N}}\} \\ &\simeq \{f \in \text{Lsc}([0,1], \frac{1}{r^l}\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \mid f(0), f(1) \in \frac{r}{r^l}\overline{\mathbb{N}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us explicitly construct a uniform basis of $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{I}_{r,e}^l)$. Let $q := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i$ a supernatural number.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $q_n := \prod_{i=0}^n p_i$ and $\mathcal{U}_n := \{\overline{U_{k,n}}\}_{k=1}^{q_n}$ as in Paragraph 4.11. Now, let $\mathcal{B}_q = (M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ be the uniform basis of $\text{Lsc}([0,1], \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ of size q . By Proposition 4.16, we know that \mathcal{B}_q induces a uniform basis $\mathcal{B}'_q := (M'_n, \epsilon'_n)_n$ of $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{I}_{r,e}^l)$ that we compute as follows:

$$\begin{cases} M'_n := \{f \in \text{Lsc}(X, \frac{1}{r^l}\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \mid f|_{U_{k,n}} \text{ is constant for any } k \in \{1, \dots, q_n\} \text{ and } f(0), f(1) \in \frac{r}{r^l}\overline{\mathbb{N}}\}. \\ \epsilon'_n : \{f \in \text{Lsc}(X, \frac{1}{r^l}\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \mid f(0), f(1) \in \frac{r}{r^l}\overline{\mathbb{N}}\} \longrightarrow M'_n \\ f \longmapsto \max_{g \in M'_n} \{g \ll f\} \end{cases}$$

Naturally, we refer to $\mathcal{B}'_q := (M'_n, \epsilon'_n)_n$ as the uniform basis of $\text{Cu}(\mathcal{I}_{r,e}^l)$ of size q .

Remark 4.21. Many one-dimensional NCCW complexes seem to be constructed in such a way that ϕ_0, ϕ_1 are injective $*$ -homomorphisms; see [20, Section 4] and [2, Section 4]. It would be interesting to see whether this is a sufficient condition to conclude that $\text{Cu}(\phi_0), \text{Cu}(\phi_1)$ are order-embeddings. (It seems reasonable enough, for e.g., we already know that in this case $K_0(\phi_0), K_0(\phi_1)$ are also injective maps.)

5. APPLICATIONS

A. Construction of Cu-semimetrics on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(S, T)$ for uniformly based Cuntz semigroups. Let S be a uniformly based Cu-semigroup with uniform basis $\mathcal{B} := (M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ and let T be any Cu-semigroup. In the sequel, we see that \mathcal{B} induces a semimetric $dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}}$ on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(S, T)$. Let us remind that a semimetric on a set X is a symmetric function defined on $X \times X$ with values in \mathbb{R}_+ that satisfies the identity of indiscernibles but need not satisfy the triangle inequality. In the specific case of $S = \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$, where X is a compact one-dimensional CW complex, we see that any two uniform bases $\mathcal{B}_q, \mathcal{B}_{q'}$ of respective size q and q' induce topologically equivalent semimetrics. In particular, we see that these Cu-semimetrics, that we sometimes refer to as *discrete Cu-metrics*, are generalizing Cu-metrics that had been introduced in the past for the specific cases of the interval and the circle (see e.g. [21], [18]).

In order to define such a metric, we start by observing that any two Cu-morphisms $\alpha, \beta : S \rightarrow T$ are equal if and only if $\alpha \simeq_{M_n} \beta$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (Combine axiom (O2) together with the fact that $\bigcup M_n$ is dense of S .) This allows us to define a Cu-semimetric on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(S, T)$ associated to \mathcal{B} as follows:

Definition 5.1. Let S be a uniformly based Cu-semigroup. Let $\mathcal{B} := (M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ be a uniform basis of S . Let $\alpha, \beta : S \rightarrow T$ be two Cu-morphisms. We define

$$dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}}(\alpha, \beta) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \mid \alpha \simeq_{M_n} \beta \right\}.$$

If the infimum defined does not exist, we set the value to ∞ . We refer to $dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}}$ as the *discrete Cu-semimetric* associated to the uniform basis \mathcal{B} .

Observe that whenever convenient, we can ‘rescale’ a discrete Cu-semimetric associated to a uniform basis by replacing $\frac{1}{n}$ by $\frac{1}{q(n)}$, where $q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is any strictly increasing map.

In general, it might be difficult to compare two Cu-morphisms on (infinite) sets such as the monoids M_n . In most cases, we tend to use comparison of Cu-morphisms on finite sets. As observed in Paragraph 4.5 and in many proofs of Section A, if a Cu-semigroup S has a uniform basis $(M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ and a chain-generating set Λ such that $\Lambda \cap M_n$ is a finite chain-generating set of M_n , then it is enough to work with elements of Λ_n . For instance, any two Cu-morphisms $\alpha, \beta : S \rightarrow T$ compare on M_n if and only if they compare on Λ_n . In this case, we may speak of a *finite uniform basis* and adjust the Cu-semimetric defined above, as detailed below.

• **Finite uniform bases.** Let S be a uniformly based Cu-semigroup with uniform basis (M_n, ϵ_n) . Assume that S has a chain-generating set Λ that induces finite chain-generating sets $\Lambda_n := \Lambda \cap M_n$ of M_n for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we say that S has a *finite uniform basis* that we denote (Λ_n, ϵ_n) .

In this case, we can reformulate

$$dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}}(\alpha, \beta) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \mid \alpha \simeq_{\Lambda_n} \beta \right\}.$$

We now show that any two finite uniform bases induce topologically equivalent semimetrics. As a consequence, we next deduce that all the uniform bases \mathcal{B}_q of size q obtained earlier in Corollary 4.13 and in Paragraph 4.20 are inducing equivalent Cu-semimetrics.

Lemma 5.2. *Let S be a uniformly based Cu-semigroup and T be any Cu-semigroup. Let $\mathcal{B} := (\Lambda_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ and $\mathcal{B}' := (\Lambda'_n, \epsilon'_n)_n$ be two finite uniform bases of S .*

Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a minimal $p(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any two $g', g \in \Lambda_n$ with $g' \ll g$, we can find h', h in $\Lambda'_{p(n)}$ with $g' \ll h' \ll h \ll g$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $g', g \in \Lambda_n$ with $g' \ll g$. Since $(\epsilon'_m(g))_{m > n}$ is \ll -increasing towards g , we can find $m > n$ such that $g' \ll \epsilon'_{m-1}(g) \ll \epsilon'_m(g) \ll g$. By finiteness of the set Λ_n , the lemma is almost immediate and left to the reader to check. \square

Let $\mathcal{B} := (\Lambda_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ and $\mathcal{B}' := (\Lambda'_n, \epsilon'_n)_n$ be two finite uniform bases of a Cu-semigroup S . As a consequence of Lemma 5.2, we can construct two maps as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}} : \mathbb{N} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{N} & q_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}} : \mathbb{N} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ n &\longmapsto p(n) & m &\longmapsto \max\{p^{-1}(\{0, \dots, m\})\} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.3. *Let S be a uniformly based Cu-semigroup that has two finite uniform bases $\mathcal{B} := (\Lambda_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ and $\mathcal{B}' := (\Lambda'_n, \epsilon'_n)_n$. Consider the maps $p_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}$ constructed above. Then:*

- (i) $q_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}$ is \leq -increasing towards ∞ . Moreover $q_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{N}_*) \subseteq \mathbb{N}_*$.
- (ii) Let $\alpha, \beta : S \longrightarrow T$ be two Cu-morphisms. If $\alpha \simeq_{\Lambda'_n} \beta$ then $\alpha \simeq_{\Lambda_{q_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}(n)}} \beta$. In particular,

$$q_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{n \mid \alpha \simeq_{\Lambda'_n} \beta\}) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{n \mid \alpha \simeq_{\Lambda_n} \beta\}.$$

Proof. (i) follows from the fact that $p_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}$ is a \leq -increasing map and that $p(0) = 0$.

(ii) Now assume that $\alpha \simeq_{\Lambda'_n} \beta$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Λ'_n is a chain-generating set of M_n , we know that $\alpha \simeq_{M'_n} \beta$. Moreover, for any $m \leq q_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}(n)$ and any $g', g \in \Lambda_m$ with $g' \ll g$, then there exist $h', h \in M'_n$ such that $g' \ll h' \ll h \ll g$. We deduce that $\alpha(g') \ll \alpha(h') \leq \beta(h) \ll \beta(g)$ and $\beta(g') \ll \beta(h') \leq \alpha(h) \ll \alpha(g)$. It follows that $\alpha(g'), \beta(g') \leq \alpha(g), \beta(g)$ for any $g', g \in \Lambda_m$ with $g' \ll g$ and $m \leq q_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}(n)$. \square

Proposition 5.4. *Let S be a uniformly based Cu-semigroup and let $\mathcal{B} := (\Lambda_n, \epsilon_n)_n, \mathcal{B}' := (\Lambda'_n, \epsilon'_n)_n$ be two uniform bases of S . Let $T \in \text{Cu}$ and let $\alpha : S \longrightarrow T$ be a Cu-morphism. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$, we have that*

$$B_{r'_n}(\alpha, dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}}) \subseteq B_{1/n}(\alpha, dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}'}) \text{ and } B_{1/n}(\alpha, dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}'}) \subseteq B_{r'_n}(\alpha, dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}})$$

where $r'_n := 1/q_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}(n)$ and $r''_n{}^{-1} \in q_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'}^{-1}(\{m \geq n\})$.

Proof. The second inclusion is almost immediate: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$ and let $\beta \in B_{1/n}(\alpha, dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}'})$. By Proposition 5.3, we obtain that $q_{B'B}(n) > 0$ and that $\alpha \underset{\Lambda_{q_{B'B}(n)}}{\simeq} \beta$. Thus $\beta \in B_{r'_n}(\alpha, dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}})$.

We now prove the first inclusion: Since $n > 0$ and $q_{BB'}$ is increasing towards ∞ , we know that $q_{BB'}^{-1}(\{m \geq n\})$ is a non-empty subset of \mathbb{N}_* . Take any $n'' \in q_{BB'}^{-1}(\{m \geq n\})$ and write $r''_n := 1/n''$. Again by Proposition 5.3, we have that $\alpha \underset{\Lambda_{q_{BB'}(n'')}}{\simeq} \beta$, for any $\beta \in B_{r''_n}(\alpha, dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}})$. Observe that we have chosen n'' such that $q_{BB'}(n'') \geq n$, so we conclude that $\alpha \underset{\Lambda_n}{\simeq} \beta$. That is, $\beta \in B_{1/n}(\alpha, dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}'})$. \square

5.5. Cu-semimetrics associated to a supernatural number q . Let $q := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i$ be a supernatural number. Let S be a Cu-semigroup of one the following types:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}^r). \\ S_2 &= \{f \in \text{Lsc}([0, 1], \frac{1}{r^t} \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \mid f(0), f(1) \in \frac{r}{r^t} \overline{\mathbb{N}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have seen that S admits a uniform basis $\mathcal{B}_q := (M_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ of size q . We observe that $(S_1)_{\ll}$ and $(S_2)_{\ll}$ admit the respective chain-generating sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 &= \text{Lsc}(X, \{0, 1\}^r). \\ \Lambda_2 &= \{f \in \text{Lsc}([0, 1], \{\frac{j}{r^t}\}_{j=0}^r) \mid f(0), f(1) \in \{0, \frac{r}{r^t}\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate that $\Lambda_n := \Lambda_i \cap M_n$ is a finite chain-generating set of $M_n \subseteq (S_i)_{\ll}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, 2$. Therefore S admits a finite uniform basis $(\Lambda_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ of size q that we also denote by \mathcal{B}_q . Let T be a Cu-semigroup and let $\alpha, \beta : S \longrightarrow T$ be two Cu-morphisms. Write $q_n := \prod_{i=0}^n p_i$.

We define *the Cu-semimetric associated to the supernatural number q* as follows:

$$dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_q}(\alpha, \beta) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{q_n} \mid \alpha \underset{\Lambda_n}{\simeq} \beta \right\}.$$

In the case that $q := p^\infty$ (with $p < \infty$) is a supernatural number of infinite type, we obtain

$$dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_q}(\alpha, \beta) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^n} \mid \alpha \underset{\Lambda_n}{\simeq} \beta \right\}.$$

Note that when $S = \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$, with X being either the circle or the interval, a metric has already been defined on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(S, T)$, where $T \in \text{Cu}$. (See e.g. [21] or [18].) We compare this metric with the discrete Cu-semimetrics that we have obtained and we show that they are equivalent.

For any open set V of X , and any $r > 0$, we define an *r -open neighborhood of V* , that we write $V_r := \bigcup_{x \in V} B_r(x)$. Now, for any two Cu-morphisms $\alpha, \beta : \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow T$, we write

$$d_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) := \inf \{r > 0 \mid \forall V \in \mathcal{O}(X), \alpha(1_V) \leq \beta(1_{V_r}) \text{ and } \beta(1_V) \leq \alpha(1_{V_r})\}$$

where V_r is an r -open neighborhood of V and $\mathcal{O}(X) := \{\text{Open sets of } X\}$. This defines metric that we refer to as *the Cu-metric*.

Proposition 5.6. *Let X be the circle or the interval and let T be Cu-semigroup. Let $\alpha, \beta : \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow T$ be Cu-morphisms. Let $\mathcal{B}_{2^\infty} := (\Lambda_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ be the finite uniform basis of $\text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ associated to 2^∞ .*

- (i) *If $d_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq 1/2^n$, then $dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq 1/2^n$.*
- (ii) *If $dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq 1/2^n$, then $d_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq 2/2^n \leq 1/2^{n-1}$.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We construct the finite closed cover $\mathcal{U} := \{\overline{U_k}\}_1^{2^n}$ of X as in Paragraph 4.5.

(i) Assume that $d_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq 1/2^n$. Let $f', f \in \Lambda_n$ such that $f' \ll f$. Write $V' := \text{supp } f', V := \text{supp } f$. Both V, V' have a finite number of (open) connected components. We first assume that V, V' are connected open sets of X and we repeat the process finitely many times to obtain the result. We have that:

$$V' := U_{l'} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l'+1}^{r'-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup U_{r'}, \quad V := U_l \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=l+1}^{r-1} \overline{U_k} \right) \cup U_r$$

for some $l \leq l' \leq r' \leq r$. Since $1_{V'} \ll 1_V$, either $V = V' = X$ or else $l < l' \leq r' < r$. In both cases, we observe that $1_{V'} \ll 1_{V'_{1/2^n}} \leq 1_V$. Thus we deduce that $\alpha(f') \leq \beta(f)$ and $\beta(f') \leq \alpha(f)$, that is $\alpha \simeq_{\Lambda_n} \beta$.

(ii) Conversely, assume that $dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq 1/2^n$. Let V be an open set of X and consider $f := 1_V$. Let us construct recursively the following $f' \in \Lambda_n$:

- (1) For any $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, $\begin{cases} f'|_{U_k} := 1 \text{ if } U_k \cap V \neq \emptyset. \\ f'|_{U_k} := 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (2) For any $x \in X \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{2^n} U_k \right)$, put $f'(x) := f(x)$.

Write $V' := \text{supp } f'$. From construction, we have that $f' \in \Lambda_n$. Furthermore, we observe that $V \subseteq V' \subseteq V_{1/2^n} \subseteq V'_{1/2^n} \subseteq V_{2/2^n}$. Since $1_{V'}, 1_{V'_{1/2^n}}$ are elements of Λ_n such that $1_{V'} \ll 1_{V'_{1/2^n}}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(1_V) \leq \alpha(1_{V'}) \leq \beta(1_{V'_{1/2^n}}) \leq \beta(1_{V_{2/2^n}}) \\ \beta(1_V) \leq \beta(1_{V'}) \leq \alpha(1_{V'_{1/2^n}}) \leq \alpha(1_{V_{2/2^n}}) \end{cases}$$

which ends the proof. \square

Remark 5.7. We easily deduce that $dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq d_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq 2dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta)$. As a consequence, we get that $dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq 2(dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha, \gamma) + dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\gamma, \beta))$ for any Cu-morphism $\gamma : \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow T$. We say that $dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}$ satisfies the *2-relaxed triangle inequality* and such a semimetric is sometimes referred to as a *nearmetric*.

Conjecture: Let X be the circle or the interval. Let $\alpha, \beta : \text{Lsc}(X, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow T$ be Cu-morphisms. Let $q := p^\infty$ (with $p < \infty$) be a supernatural number of infinite type. Then

$$dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{p^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq d_{\text{Cu}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq pdd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{p^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta)$$

and $dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{p^\infty}}$ is a nearmetric that satisfies the p -relaxed triangle inequality.

B. Classification of unitary elements of AF-algebras by means of the Cuntz semigroup. We conjecture that the Cuntz semigroup is a classifying functor for unitary elements of any (unital) AF-algebra. In what follows, we focus on the uniqueness part of the conjecture. As was done in the case of positive elements in [21], we first picture a unitary element u of a C^* -algebra A as a $*$ -homomorphism, and more specifically, as a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi_u : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow A$. We then use the Cu-semimetric associated to 2^∞ on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cu}}(\text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}), \text{Cu}(A))$ to partially show that the Cu-semigroup is classifying $*$ -homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ to any unital AF-algebra A .

• **Unitary elements - Unital homomorphisms - Approximate unitary equivalence.**

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of unitary elements of A , that we write $\mathcal{U}(A)$, and the set of unital $*$ -homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ to A , that we write $\text{Hom}_{C^*,1}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}), A)$. Let u be a unitary element of A . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_u : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) &\longrightarrow A \\ \text{id}_{\mathbb{T}} &\longmapsto u \end{aligned}$$

In other words, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \supseteq C(\text{sp}(u))$, we define $\varphi_u(f) := f(u)$, where $f(u)$ is obtained by functional calculus. Therefore, one can construct the following Set bijection:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathcal{U}(A) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{C^*,1}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}), A) \\ u &\longmapsto \varphi_u \end{aligned}$$

Also, we recall that two $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi, \psi : A \longrightarrow B$ between two C^* -algebras A and B are said to be *approximately unitarily equivalent* and we write $\phi \sim_{\text{aue}} \psi$, if there exists a sequence of unitary elements $(w_n)_n$ in B^\sim such that $\|w_n \phi(x) w_n^* - \psi(x)\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, for any $x \in A$. Similarly, two unitary elements u, v of a unital C^* -algebra B are said to be *approximately unitarily equivalent*, and again we write $u \sim_{\text{aue}} v$, if there exists a sequence of unitary elements $(w_n)_n$ of B such that $\|w_n u w_n^* - v\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. It can be shown that $u \sim_{\text{aue}} v$ if and only if $\varphi_u \sim_{\text{aue}} \varphi_v$.

The conjecture states that the functor Cu is classifying $*$ -homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ to A , for any AF-algebra A . That is, for any Cu-morphism $\alpha : \text{Cu}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})) \longrightarrow \text{Cu}(A)$, there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow A$, unique up to approximate unitary equivalence, such that $\text{Cu}(\varphi) = \alpha$. Under the latter identification, we may abuse the language and say that Cu *classifies unitary elements of* A .

We are aiming for the uniqueness part of the theorem. To do so, we will first focus on the finite dimensional case. Subsequently, the AF-case will follow as a consequence the lemma below.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{B}_{2^\infty} = (\Lambda_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ be the finite uniform basis of $\text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ associated to 2^∞ . Consider an inductive sequence $(S_i, \sigma_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the category Cu and its direct limit $(S, \sigma_{i\infty})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.*

Let $\alpha, \beta : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow S$ be Cu-morphisms that factorize through a finite stage. (In the sense that there exist $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and Cu-morphisms $\alpha_i, \beta_i : \text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \longrightarrow S_i$ such that $\alpha = \sigma_{i\infty} \circ \alpha_i$ and $\beta = \sigma_{i\infty} \circ \beta_i$.)

If $\alpha \underset{\Lambda_n}{\approx} \beta$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists $j \geq i$ such that $\sigma_{ij} \circ \alpha_i \underset{\Lambda_{n-1}}{\approx} \sigma_{ij} \circ \beta_i$.

Proof. Let $g', g \in \Lambda_{n-1}$ such that $g' \ll g$. By Lemma 4.6, we can find an element $h \in \Lambda_n$ such that $g' \ll h \ll g$. Since $\alpha \approx_{\Lambda_n} \beta$, we obtain that

$$\sigma_{i\infty} \circ \alpha_i(h), \sigma_{i\infty} \circ \beta_i(h) \ll \sigma_{i\infty} \circ \alpha_i(g), \sigma_{i\infty} \circ \beta_i(g).$$

Applying (L2) of Proposition 3.6, we deduce that there exists $j \geq i$ such that

$$\sigma_{ij} \circ \alpha_i(g'), \sigma_{ij} \circ \beta_i(g') \ll \sigma_{ij} \circ \alpha_i(g), \sigma_{ij} \circ \beta_i(g).$$

Observe that Λ_{n-1} is a finite set. Thus there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough such that the above inequalities apply to any $g', g \in \Lambda_{n-1}$ such that $g' \ll g$. Equivalently, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough such that $\sigma_{il} \circ \alpha_i \approx_{\Lambda_{n-1}} \sigma_{il} \circ \beta_i$. \square

The next step is to deal with the finite dimensional case. We will make use of a graph theory theorem, known as the *Hall's marriage theorem*.

Definition 5.9. A *bipartite graph* is a graph whose vertices can be divided in two disjoint sets X, Y such that every edge connects a vertex of X to one of Y . We often write $G = (X + Y, E)$.

Definition 5.10. Let $G = (E^0, E^1)$ be a graph. A *matching* is a subset $F \subseteq E^1$ such that no two elements of F share an endpoint. That is, any vertex of G is an endpoint of at most one edge of F .

Let $G := (X + Y, E)$ be a finite bipartite graph. By an *X-saturating matching*, we refer to any matching that covers every vertex in X .

Theorem 5.11. (Hall's marriage theorem.) *Let $G := (X + Y, E)$ be a finite bipartite graph with bipartite sets X and Y . Let $W \subseteq X$. We define $n_G(W) := \bigcup_{w \in W} \{y \in Y \mid (w, y) \in E\}$. In other words, $n_G(W)$ is the set of vertices in Y that are linked with some w in W . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists an X-saturating matching.*
- (ii) *For any $W \subseteq X$, $\text{card}(W) \leq \text{card}(n_G(W))$.*

Theorem 5.12. *Let B be any finite dimensional C^* -algebra. Let $\mathcal{B}_{2^\infty} = (\Lambda_n, \epsilon_n)_n$ be the finite uniform basis of $\text{Lsc}(\mathbb{T}, \overline{\mathbb{N}})$ associated to 2^∞ . Let u, v be two unitary elements of B such that $\text{Cu}(\varphi_u) \approx_{\Lambda_n} \text{Cu}(\varphi_v)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Then there exists a unitary element w in B such that $\|wuw^ - v\| < 1/2^{n-1}$.*

Proof. We assume that $B \simeq M_l(\mathbb{C})$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and the general case will follow as a consequence.

Let $X := \text{sp}(u), Y := \text{sp}(v)$ be the respective spectra of u, v and let

$$E := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid \|x - y\| < 2/2^n\}.$$

Consider the bipartite graph $G := (X + Y, E)$. Observe that X and Y correspond to the eigenvalues of u and v respectively. Thus, both sets are finite subsets of \mathbb{T} of cardinal l . The general idea is to prove that (ii) of Theorem 5.11 holds for G in order to deduce that there exists

an injective map $\sigma : X \hookrightarrow Y$ such that $\|x - \sigma(x)\| < 2/2^n$, for any $x \in Y$. We then conclude that σ is a bijection using that $\text{card}(X) = \text{card}(Y) = l$.

Let Ω be a subset of X . It is easy to see that there exists a minimal element $g_\Omega \in \Lambda_n$ such that $\Omega \subseteq \text{supp } g_\Omega$, in the sense that for any other $g'_\Omega \in \Lambda$ whose spectrum contains Ω , then $g_\Omega \leq g'_\Omega$. As done in previous proofs, we can assume without loss of generality that the support of g_Ω is a connected open set of \mathbb{T} , since it has finitely many connected components. We know that there exist $0 \leq l, r \leq 2^n$ such that $\text{supp } g_\Omega = U_l \cup (\bigcup_{k=l+1}^{r-1} \overline{U_k}) \cup U_r$. Furthermore, the indicator map of the open set $U_{l-1} \cup \overline{\text{supp } g_\Omega} \cup U_{r+1}$, that we denote by h_Ω , is the least element of $\{h \in \Lambda_n \mid g_\Omega \ll h\}$. (Convention $U_{2^n+1} := U_1$ and $U_0 := U_{2^n}$.) From hypothesis, we know that

$$\text{Cu}(\varphi_u)(g_\Omega), \text{Cu}(\varphi_v)(g_\Omega) \ll \text{Cu}(\varphi_u)(h_\Omega), \text{Cu}(\varphi_v)(h_\Omega).$$

Since $\sup_{y \in \text{supp } h_\Omega} d(y, \text{supp } g_\Omega) = 2/2^n$, we have that

$$\text{card}(\Omega) = \text{Cu}(\varphi_u)(g_\Omega) \leq \text{Cu}(\varphi_v)(h_\Omega) \leq \text{card}(n_G(\Omega))$$

from which we obtain that $\text{card}(\Omega) \leq \text{card}(n_G(\Omega))$, for any $\Omega \subset X$. Applying Theorem 5.11, we conclude that there exists a bijection $\sigma : X \simeq Y$ such that $\|\sigma - \text{id}\| < 2/2^n$, which ends the proof. \square

Corollary 5.13. *Let A be a unital AF-algebra and let $\varphi_u, \varphi_v : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow A$ be unital $*$ -homomorphisms. If $\text{Cu}(\varphi_u) = \text{Cu}(\varphi_v)$, then φ_u and φ_v are approximately unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let $(A_n, \phi_{nm})_n$ be an inductive system of finite dimensional C^* -algebras whose inductive limit is A . Let u, v be unitary elements of $\mathcal{U}(A)$ and consider $\varphi_u, \varphi_v : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow A$ their respective corresponding $*$ -homomorphisms. Let us write $\alpha := \text{Cu}(\varphi_u)$ and $\beta := \text{Cu}(\varphi_v)$ and assume that $\alpha = \beta$. We ought to show that u and v are approximately unitarily equivalent.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We are going to find a unitary element $w \in A$ such that $\|wuw^* - v\| \leq 1/2^{n-2}$ from which corollary will follow. It is well-known that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_{n\infty}(A_n)$ is dense in A , therefore we can find unitary elements u_i, v_i in some A_i such that $\|\phi_{i\infty}(u_i) - u\| \leq 1/2^{n+4}$ and $\|\phi_{i\infty}(v_i) - v\| \leq 1/2^{n+5}$. Write $\alpha_i := \text{Cu}(\phi_{i\infty} \circ \varphi_{u_i})$ and $\beta_i := \text{Cu}(\phi_{i\infty} \circ \varphi_{v_i})$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha_i, \beta_i) &\leq 2(dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha_i, \alpha) + dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta_i)) \\ &\leq 2dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha_i, \alpha) + 4(dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\alpha, \beta) + dd_{\text{Cu}, \mathcal{B}_{2^\infty}}(\beta, \beta_i)) \\ &\leq 2/2^{n+4} + 4/2^{n+5} \\ &\leq 1/2^{n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to check that the latter implies $\alpha_i \underset{\Lambda_{n+1}}{\approx} \beta_i$. Using Lemma 5.8, we can find some $j \geq i$ such that $\text{Cu}(\phi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{u_i}) \underset{\Lambda_n}{\approx} \text{Cu}(\phi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{v_i})$. Let us rewrite $u_j := \phi_{ij}(u_i)$ and $v_j := \phi_{ij}(v_i)$. By Theorem 5.12, there exists a unitary element w in A_j such that $\|wu_jw^* - v_j\| < 1/2^{n-1}$.

Finally, we know that $\phi_{j\infty}$ is a contraction and hence we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{j\infty}(w)u\phi_{j\infty}(w)^* - v\| &\leq \|\phi_{j\infty}(w)[u - \phi_{j\infty}(u_j)]\phi_{j\infty}(w)^*\| + \|\phi_{j\infty}(wu_jw^*) - \phi_{j\infty}(v_j)\| \\ &\quad + \|\phi_{j\infty}(v_j) - v\| \\ &\leq 1/2^{n+4} + 1/2^{n-1} + 1/2^{n+5} \\ &\leq 1/2^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Antoine, M. Dadarlat, F. Perera, and L. Santiago, *Recovering the Elliott invariant from the Cuntz semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366, (2014), no. 6, pp. 2907-2922.
- [2] R. Antoine, F. Perera, and L. Santiago, *Pullbacks, $C(X)$ -algebras, and their Cuntz semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. 260, (2011), no. 10, pp. 2844-2880.
- [3] R. Antoine, F. Perera, and H. Thiel, *Tensor products and regularity properties of Cuntz semigroups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 251, (2018), viii+191.
- [4] R. Antoine, F. Perera, and H. Thiel, *Abstract bivariant Cuntz semigroups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2020, 5342-5386.
- [5] R. Antoine, F. Perera, and H. Thiel, *Abstract bivariant Cuntz semigroups. II*, Forum Math. 32 (2020), 45-62.
- [6] R. Antoine, F. Perera, and H. Thiel, *Cuntz semigroups of ultraproduct C^* -algebras*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 102 (2020), 994-1029.
- [7] R. Antoine, F. Perera, L. Robert, and H. Thiel, *C^* -algebras of stable rank one and their Cuntz semigroups*, Duke Math. J. (2020) (to appear)
- [8] P. Ara, F. Perera, and A. S. Toms, *K-theory for operator algebras. Classification of C^* -algebras*, Aspects of operator algebras and applications, Contemp. Math., vol. 534, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 1-71.
- [9] L. Cantier, *A unitary Cuntz semigroup for C^* -algebras of stable rank one*, J. Funct. Anal. 281, (2021), no. 9, 109175.
- [10] L. Cantier, *Unitary Cuntz semigroups of ideals and quotients*, Münster J. of Math., 14, (2021), pp. 585-606.
- [11] L. Cantier, *The unitary Cuntz semigroup on the classification of non simple C^* -algebras*. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.06926>.
- [12] A. Ciuperca, L. Robert, and L. Santiago, *The Cuntz semigroup of ideals and quotients and a generalized Kasparov stabilization theorem*, J. Operator Theory 64, (2010), no. 1, pp. 155-169.
- [13] K. T. Coward, G. A. Elliott, and C. Ivanescu, *The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 623, (2008), pp. 161-193.
- [14] J. Cuntz, *Dimension functions on simple C^* algebras*, Math. Ann. 233, (1978), pp. 145-153
- [15] D. E. Evans and A. Kishimoto *Compact group actions on UHF algebras obtained by folding the interval*, J. Funct. Anal., Volume 98, Issue 2, (1991), pp. 346-360.
- [16] R. Fritsch and R. A. Piccinini, *CW-complexes and Euclidean spaces*, Rendiconti del Circolo Mat. di Palermo 24, (1993), pp. 79-95.
- [17] G. Gong, C. Jiang and L. Li, *Hausdorffified algebraic K_1 -group and invariants for C^* -algebras with the ideal property*, Ann. K-Theory, vol. 5 no. 1, (2020), pp. 43-78.
- [18] B. Jacelon, K. Strung and A. Vignati *Optimal transport and unitary orbits in C^* -algebras* J. Funct. Anal. 281, (2021), no. 5, 109068.
- [19] H. Lin, *An introduction to the classification of amenable C^* -algebras*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, (2001), xii+320.

- [20] L. Robert, *Classification of inductive limits of one-dimensional NCCW complexes*, Adv. Math. 231, (2012), no. 5, pp. 2802-2836.
- [21] L. Robert and L. Santiago, *Classification of $*$ -homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ to a C^* -algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. 258, (2010), no. 3, pp. 869-892.
- [22] L. Robert and L. Santiago. *A revised augmented Cuntz semigroup*. Math. Scand., (2019) (To appear.) Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.03690>.
- [23] H. Thiel, *The Cuntz Semigroup*, Lecture notes from a course at the University of Münster, winter semester 2016-17. Available at: https://ivv5hpp.uni-muenster.de/u/h_thie08/teaching/CuScript.pdf.
- [24] H. Thiel, and E. Vilalta, *Covering dimension of Cuntz semigroups II*, Int. J. Math. 32 (2021), no. 14, 2150100.
- [25] K. Thomsen, *Inductive Limits of Interval Algebras: The Tracial State Space*, Amer. J. Math., Vol. 116, No. 3, (1994), pp. 605-620.
- [26] A. S. Toms, *On the classification problem for nuclear C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) 167, (2008), no. 3, pp. 1029-1044.

LAURENT CANTIER, DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193 BEL-LATERRA, BARCELONA, SPAIN

Email address: `lcantier@mat.uab.cat`