$O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as locally convex Orlicz space

Jan Kisyński

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract The original M.Valdivia proof of his theorem on representation of the space O_M uses results of S.Rolewicz concerning metric linear spaces and results of A.Grothendieck from his theory of topological tensor product. We present a more direct proof.

Keywords Multiplication \cdot convolution \cdot the M.Valdivia representation of O_M

1 Introduction

Usually the L.Schwartz set $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n rapidly decreasing at infinity is treated as a topological space with distinguished topology. But in fact $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits at least two important locally convex topologies. These topologies are determined by systems of seminorms $\{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ and $\{\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ whose exact definition will be given in Section 2.

Let $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the L.Schwartz set of infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n rapidly decreasing at infinity and let $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be its dual set. In 1981 M.Valdivia has shown in [12] that for every element Φ the space $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is a distribution T on \mathbb{R}^n rapidly decreasing at infinity such that

 $\Phi \cdot \psi = T * \psi$ for every $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$

where $T * \psi := T_{r_0}(\check{\psi})$ is a distinguished regularization of T.M.Valdivia used theory of linear metric spaces developed in the book [6] of S.Rolewicz and used also the theory of topological tensor products of A.Grothendieck [4]. Our aim is to prove the Valdivia theorem more directly, remaining in the framework of $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ and $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})'$. We use the operators $(m \cdot)$ of multiplication of elements of $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ by multipliers m of $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$, and we distinguish

E-mail: jan.kisynski@gmail.com

the operators $(m \cdot)$ from the multipliers themselves. Moreover, we use the elementary convolution $(S'(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})' \times (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho}) \ni (T, \psi) \to T(\check{\psi}) \in (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$. Notice that elementary convolutions are deeply related to regularization, the last being described in Sect.4.10 of John Horváth book [6]. Distributions $T \in (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})'$ rapidly decreasing at ∞ are in [3, Sect.125.0] characterized by their regularizations. The distinguished regularization T_{r_0} occurring in the proof of 4.1 has the value at $\tilde{\psi} \in (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ equal to $F(\psi)$, the Fourier image of ψ . This resembles the Fourier–Schwartz transformation.

2 Locally convex topologies in the L.Schwartz set $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Except of the L.Schwartz set $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of interest is a larger set $\tilde{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whose element are these functions $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ for which $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} [\partial^{\beta}\psi](x) = 0$ for every $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ in sense of the usual convergence, not necessarily rapid. The set $\tilde{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits the locally convex topology ρ determined by system of seminorms $\{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ such that

$$[\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\psi)](x) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} |[\partial^\beta \psi](x)| \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and every $\psi \in \tilde{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$

The set $\tilde{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits also the locally convex topology $\tilde{\rho}$, determined by the system of seminorms $\{\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ such that

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}(\psi)](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} |[\partial^{\beta}\psi](x)| dx \text{ for every } \psi \in \tilde{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

where the integral over \mathbb{R}^n is an absolutely convergent integral in sense of Lebesgue. Indeed, from [2, Lemma 4.15] it follows that there holds

Theorem 2.1 For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $\psi \in \tilde{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is $\mu \in [0, \infty]$ such that

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}(\psi) \le \mu \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\psi).$$

Theorem 2.1 implies the

Corollary 2.1 In the set $\tilde{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the topology $\tilde{\rho}$ is no stronger that the topology ρ .

3 Multipliers of $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$

The function $m \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})$ is called multiplier of the space $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$, if $m \cdot (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho}) \subset (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$. The set of all multipliers of $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ is denoted by $m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and constitutes a complex multiplication algebra. Let $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of functions belonging to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C})$, growing at the most polynomially at ∞ . The above assumption about growth means exactly that for every $\Phi \in$

 $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and every multiindex $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \sup(1+$

 $|x|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}|[\partial^{\beta}\Phi](x)| < \infty$, in usual sense, i.e. non necessarily in sense of rapid convergence.

Theorem 3.1 $(m(\mathbb{R}^n) = O_M(\mathbb{R}^n).)$ The inclusion $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is almost evident. Indeed, if $\Phi \in O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the the product $\Phi \cdot \psi$ is an infinitely differentiable complex valued function on \mathbb{R}^n rapidly decreasing to zero at infinity, so that $\Phi \in m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Proof of the inclusion $m(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is more complicated and is postponed to the Appendix.

Theorem 3.2 If $m \in m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the mapping $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho}) \ni \psi \to m \cdot \psi \in (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ is continuous.

Proof Since the locally convex space $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ is metrizable, its topology is characterized whenever characterized are the convergent countable sequences $(\psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions belonging to $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$. We can characterize the convergence of such sequences is as follows:

Lemma 3.1 The countable sequence $(\psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ is convergent to zero if and only if for every $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \partial^{\beta} \psi_k = 0 \text{ almost uniformly on } \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(3.1)

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\alpha} |\partial^{\beta} \psi_k(x)| = 0 \text{ uniformly with respect to } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.2)

The limits occurring in these conditions are understood in usual sense of Calculus, i.e. not in sense of rapid convergence.

Proof The assertions (3.1) and (3.2) express in terms of the Calculus the fact that the sequence $(\psi_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero in sense of $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$, i.e. that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}(\psi_k) = 0$ for every $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0^n$ where $\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}(\psi_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |(\partial^{\beta}\psi_k)(x)| dx$. Therefore the countable sequence $(\psi_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to

zero in the topology of $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ if and only if both the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied.

Proof (of Theorem 3.2) We have to prove that if $m \in m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and both the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied, then analogous condition, say (3.1)^{*} and (3.2)^{*}, are satisfied for the sequence $(m \cdot \psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Indeed, the Leibniz rule (see [6, Sect.2.5, Proposition 3]) says that

$$\partial^{\alpha}(m \cdot \psi_k) = \sum_{\beta \le \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (\partial^{\alpha-\beta}(m)) \cdot (\partial\beta\psi_k).$$

Hence $(3.1)^*$ is a consequence of (3.1) and $(3.2)^*$ is a consequence of (3.2).

Remark 3.1 If $m \in m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given, then the mapping $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho}) \ni \psi \to m \cdot \psi \in (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ is continuous.

4 Appendix. Proof of the inclusion $m(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and proof of the M.Valdivia representation of the space $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by rapidly decreasing distributions

We shall divide this Appendix onto several subsections.

4.1 $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as a locally convex Orlicz space.

Let the elements of $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be denoted by Φ . Then

$$O_M(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \Phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}) : \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ the integral} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |[\partial^{\beta} \Phi](x)| dx \text{ is convergent} \}.$$

The above follows from the fact that elements of OM are operators of multiplication acting in the space $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ which admits the locally convex topology determined by the system of seminorms $\{\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ such that for every $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Lebesgue integral

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} |[\partial^\beta \Phi](x)| dx \text{ is convergent.}$$

It follows that $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is uniquely determined by the system of spaces $\{S_{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consisting of convergent integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sup_{|\beta| \le b_n} |[\partial^{\beta} \Phi](x)| dx$$
(4.1)

where $b_n \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed for every space S_{-n} . Each S_{-n} is a locally convex space with topology determined by system of seminorms equal to integrals occurring in (4.1). The system of spaces $\{S_{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ can be treated as a single locally convex Orlicz space denoted by \tilde{O} whose topology is determined by the system of seminorms $\{s_\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ such that $s_\beta(\Phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |[\partial^\beta \Phi](x)| dx$ for

every $\Phi \in \overline{O}$, and the set of convergence factors is equal to $\{(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. In definition of this locally convex Orlicz space the above seminorms and the above convergence factors are equally important.

4.2 The locally convex space $((m(\mathbb{R}^n)\cdot), op_m)$ of operators of multiplication

For every $m \in m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote by $(m \cdot)$ the operator of multiplication the elements of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by m. The set $(m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot)$ of multiplication operators admits the locally convex topology determined by the set of seminorms $\{s_{\alpha,\beta,\psi}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ such that

$$s_{\alpha,\beta,\psi}((m\cdot)) = \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}(m\cdot\psi)$$
 for every $(m(\mathbb{R}^n)\cdot)$.

The so defined locally convex topology in the set $(m(\mathbb{R}^n)\cdot)$ will be denoted by op_m . Existence of this topology is crucial for Subsection 4.5. Notice that for compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^n the topology op_m coincides with the topology introduced in [6, Sect.2.4, Example 11 and Sect.2.5, Example 8]. The elementary convolution $T * \psi$ where $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and T is a distribution on $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ was analysed in [13, Sect.VI.3].

4.3 The openworkness of the set $(m(\mathbb{R}^n))$

The set $(m(\mathbb{R}^n)\cdot)$ is openwork (in sense of this adjective explained in common words in [12, p.724]) because for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists in $(m(\mathbb{R}^n)\cdot)$ the inner automorphism ([2, Sect.190.D], [7, Sect.I.7])

$$A_k: (m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot) \ni (m \cdot) \to F_o^k \circ (m \cdot) \circ F_o^{-k} \in (m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot).$$

In the above formula F_o denotes the Fourier transformation restricted to $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let us stress that using the bracket-less symbol of superposition of operators we admit the rule that the operators act in order from right to left. For our proof of Theorem 3.1 the openworkness of $(m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot)$ is only nonessential curiosity.

4.4 Proof of the inclusion $m(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Theorem 4.1 The inclusion

$$m(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset O_M(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{4.2}$$

 $is \ true$

Proof Usual multiplication of complex numbers implies that the inclusion (4.2) is equivalent to the inclusion

$$m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \psi \subset O_M(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \psi$$
 for every $\psi \in (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho}).$ (4.3)

Left side of 4.3 is equal to the set of values of the operators $(m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot)$ acting in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Right side of 4.3 is equal to the set of values operators $\{\phi \in O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ which, by definition of $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is the maximal set of multiplication operators acting in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

4.5 Proof of M.Valdivia representation of the space $O_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by rapidly decreasing distributions

We shall consider actions by multiplication. All the used properties of multiplication are consequences of the usual properties of multiplication of complex numbers. Recall that a function $m \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ is called a multiplier of the space $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ if $m \cdot (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho}) \in (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$. The above (very popular) definition concerns a single element of $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ and is not useful if one wants to speak about action of a set of operators of multiplication in a set of functions.

We define the action of the set $(m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot)$ of operators of multiplication in the set $m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of multipliers of the space $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$ by the equality

$$(m(\mathbb{R}^n)\cdot) \cdot m(\mathbb{R}^n) := m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho}).$$

$$(4.4)$$

Using theory of regularization of distributions exposed by John Horváth in Section 4.10 of his book [6], and using the definition of distribution on \mathbb{R}^n rapidly decreasing at ∞ due in [3, Sect.125.0], one concludes that the representation theorem of M.Valdivia is equivalent to the following Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.2 The distribution $T \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ rapidly decreases at ∞ if and only if the set $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ admits the locally convex topology determined by the system of seminorms $\{s_{\tau} : \tau \in \Xi\}$ such that if $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; C)$, then $s_{\tau}(\psi) \in F(\psi)$ for every $\tau \in \Xi$

Proof The formulas occurring in Theorem 4.2 mean that there is a unique regularization \check{R} defined on $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})'$ such that $\check{R}(T) = T(\psi)$ for every $T \in (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})'$ and every $\psi \in (S(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{\rho})$. Existence and uniqueness of such regularization are obvious.

4.6 A remark concerning the Gagliardo-Nirenberg equality

Consider the metrizable linear space $C_B \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C})$ and its imbedding into Lebesgue space $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In their book [2] R.A.Adams and J.J.F.Fournier observed that existence of the imbedding of C_B into $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to the Lemma 4.15 of [2] which, by turns, is equivalent to the simple behaviour of C_B described on p.79 of [2]. This observation (as well as sole Lemma 4.15) is surprising. Also its connections with famous Gagliardo-Nirenberg equality are interesting. For that matter we shall limit ourselves to superficial presentation of the related literature.

The papers I and II of E.Gagliardo and III of L.Nirenberg are quoted in the books [10] and [11].

I. E.Gagliardo, Caretterizioni della trace sulla frontira relative ad alcune di funzioni in n variabili,

Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova 27 (1957), 284-305.

- II. E.Gagliardo, Proprietá di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili, Ricerche Mat. Napoli 7 (1958), 102-137.
- III. L.Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, Ann. della Scuole Normale Superiore, Pisa 13 (1959), 116-169.

Russian Academy of Sciences published the translations of E.Gagliardo papers I and II in Matematica 5:4 (1961), 87-116.

Haim Brezis and Petru Mironescu published in HaL a survey of papers related to Gagliardo- Nirenberg equality.

References

- 1. Adams, R.A.: Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, 1975.
- 2. Adams, R.A., and Fournier, J.J.F. : Sobolev Spaces, 2nd ed., Academic Press, 2003.
- 3. *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Mathematics*, by Mathematical Society of Japan, second edition of the English translation, MIT Press, 1987.
- 4. Grothendieck, A.: Topological Tensor Products and Nuclear Spaces, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., No. 16, 1955 (in French).
- 5. Harjulehto, P. and Hästö, P.: Orlicz Spaces and Generalized Orlicz Spaces, Springer, 2019.
- 6. Horváth, J.: *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions*, Addison-Wesley, 1966, Dover Publications, 2012.
- 7. Lang, S.: Algebra, Addison Wesley, 1965 ; Russian transl., Moscow, 1968.
- 8. Rolewicz, S.: Metric Linear Spaces, PWN, Warszawa, 1972.
- 9. Schwartz, L.: Théorie des Distributions, new edition, Hermann, Paris, 1966 (in French).
- Stein, E.M.: Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, 1970; Russian tranls.: Moscow, 1973.
- 11. Stein, E.M. and Weiss, G.: Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton University Press 1971; Russian transl.: Moscow, 1974.
- 12. Valdivia, M.: A representation of the space O_M , Math.Z. 177 (4), 463-478 (1981).
- 13. Yosida, K.: Functional Analysis, Sixth Ed., Springer, 1980.