Logarithmic expansion of many-body wavepackets in random potentials

Arindam Mallick$^{1, *}$ and Sergej Flach$^{1, †}$

$^1$Center for Theoretical Physics of Complex Systems, Institute for Basic Science(IBS), Daejeon, Korea, 34126

Anderson localization confines the wave function of a quantum particle in a one-dimensional random potential to a volume of the order of the localization length $\xi$. Nonlinear add-ons to the wave dynamics mimic many-body interactions on a mean field level, and result in escape from the Anderson cage and in unlimited subdiffusion of the interacting cloud. We address quantum corrections to that subdiffusion by i) using the ultrafast unitary Floquet dynamics of discrete-time quantum walks, ii) an interaction strength ramping to speed up the subdiffusion, and iii) an action quantization of the nonlinear terms. We observe the saturation of the cloud expansion of $N$ particles to a volume $\sim N\xi$. We predict and observe a universal intermediate logarithmic expansion regime which connects the mean field diffusion with the final quantum saturation regime and is entirely controlled by particle number $N$. The temporal window of that regime grows exponentially with the localization length $\xi$.

Introduction.— Single particle quantum dynamics in a one-dimensional space with uncorrelated disorder results in Anderson localization (AL), i.e. confinement to a finite localization volume of the order of the localization length $\xi [1]$. The evolution of an initially localized quantum wave packet will consist of an (almost ballistic) expansion up to the volume $\sim \xi [2]$ with a subsequent halt and exponential wavefunction localization in the tails [3]. Experimental verifications of AL with Bose-Einstein condensates of ultracold atomic gases loaded onto optical potentials were reported harvesting on the halt of the wave packet expansion [4].

Many-body interactions alter the picture. Full scale computations of temporal evolutions are restricted to two or three interacting particles only, with the complexity quickly increasing due to the Hilbert space dimension proliferation [5–23]. Increasing the number of particles is predicted to result in a slow subdiffusive expansion [24], which adds to the computational challenge. The same slow subdiffusion limits experimental studies with condensates due to finite coherence times [25]. Treating infinite particle numbers with mean-field approximations results in nonlinear add-ons to the wave dynamics which stem from the two-body interactions. Nonlinear wave packet expansion was investigated both analytically and numerically over vast time scales [26–31]. It allows to obtain the details of the subdiffusion process, with expansion times which are many orders of magnitude larger than comparable experimental simulations [32]. Remarkably, the subdiffusive expansion of a nonlinear wave packet appears to show no signatures of halt [32]. Contrary, for quantum clouds with $N$ particles we expect the expansion to stop when the cloud reaches size of the order of $N\xi$ since each particle can occupy its own localization volume $\sim \xi$ and is only exponentially weakly interacting with other particles.

Here we want to explore the long time wave packet expansion of an interacting many body cloud and to establish its slowing down from (sub)diffusion to a complete halt. To achieve that challenging goal, we have to choose proper platforms and approximations. We use a Floquet platform of discrete time quantum walks which exhibit AL [33]. Nonlinear add-ons show subdiffusive cloud expansion up to record large evolution times [34]. We further adapt the use of a time-dependent ramping of the interaction strength which allows to speed up subdiffusion to normal diffusion [35]. Finally we quantize the actions in the nonlinear add-ons similar to the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization approach. As a result, we are able to simulate the cloud expansion for tens and hundreds of interacting particles. We carefully choose the localization length $\xi$ and the number of interacting particles in order to observe the slowing down and halt processes within the accessible time window due to computational restrictions. We succeed in observing a slowing down of the expansion into a universal intermediate logarithmic growth regime which connects the (sub)diffusion with the final saturation regime. We derive the anaytical details of this novel logarithmic regime.

DTQW.— The single-particle linear discrete time quantum walk (DTQW) is a Floquet evolution of a two-level system $\{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}$ on a chain. The system state at time $t + 1$ follows from that at time $t$ by the following unitary map:

$$\psi_{n,\sigma}(t + 1) = \cos \theta \psi_{n-1,\sigma}(t) + e^{i\phi_n(t)} \sin \theta \psi_{n-1,\bar{\sigma}}(t),$$

$$\psi_{n,\bar{\sigma}}(t + 1) = -e^{-i\phi_n(t)} \sin \theta \psi_{n+1,\sigma}(t) + \cos \theta \psi_{n+1,\bar{\sigma}}(t),$$

where $n$ counts the lattice sites, and $\theta$ is the mixing angle in the $\{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}$ space which controls the kinetic energy of an excitation [33]. The uncorrelated random onsite disorder in the phase $\phi_n \equiv \xi_n \in [-\pi, \pi]$ results in Anderson localization and controls the localization length $\xi = [-\ln(\cos \theta)]^{-1} [33]$. Note that $\xi(\theta \to 0) \to \infty$ and $\xi(\theta \to \pi/2) \to 0$. DTQW were introduced as a quantum version of classical random walks [36]. They serve as a
single particle version of a quantum cellular automaton [37, 38]. DTQW became a useful tool to study various systems and phenomena such as relativistic particles, artificial gauge fields [39, 40], various topological phases [41–43], percolation problems [44, 45], localization phenomena [46], and implementation of quantum information tasks [47–49], among others. DTQW were implemented experimentally using NMR devices [50], optical devices [51, 52], in the IBM quantum computer [53], and in a trapped ion quantum computer [54].

Nonlinearity was introduced in DTQW in a number of publications [34, 55–59]. We follow Ref.[34] by making the phase \( \phi_n(t) \) a continuous function of the local norm \( \rho_n(t) = |\psi_{n,\sigma}(t)|^2 + |\psi_{n,\bar{\sigma}}(t)|^2 \):

\[
\phi_n = \gamma \rho_n + \zeta_n .
\]

Both linear and nonlinear DTQW preserve the total norm \( A = \sum_n \rho_n \). We will evolve the DTQW starting with one and the same localized initial condition \( \langle \psi_{n,\sigma}(0) | \psi_{n,\sigma}(0) \rangle = \delta_{n,0} (1,t)/\sqrt{2} \) with \( A = 1 \). For \( \gamma = 0 \) the corresponding linear DTQW results in a short expansion and final halt of the wave packet spreading due to Anderson localization. Instead, for \( \gamma \neq 0 \) the wave packet continues its expansion beyond the limits set by Anderson localization [34]. Its root mean square (rms) grows indefinitely in a subdiffusive manner \( r(t) \sim t^{1/6} \) [34].

**Ramping.**— The subdiffusive expansion is a rather slow process since it is characterized by a time-dependent diffusion constant which is a function of the wave packet norm density \( \rho \sim 1/r \); \( D = D(\gamma \rho) \) [60]. The density \( \rho \) is decaying in time while the wave packet expands, thus effectively slowing down the diffusion. Since we intend to observe the impact of a yet to be introduced quantum slowing down correction, we are facing a challenging computational task. Remarkably, there is a reported way to speed up the subdiffusive process by choosing a proper ramping of the strength of nonlinear interaction \( \gamma(t) \). Such a ramping intends to compensate for the decrease of the density \( \rho \) through a proper increase of the interaction strength \( \gamma \). That ramping can be in principle realized in experiments with ultracold atoms through a time-dependent magnetic field which controls the two-body scattering length in a vicinity to Feshbach resonances [61–64]. We follow the ramping protocol from Ref. [35] and choose \( \gamma(t) = \gamma t^{\nu} \):

\[
\phi_n(t) = \gamma t^{\nu} \rho_n(t) + \zeta_n .
\]

In a one dimensional diffusive process for an initially localized wavepacket, its variance grows linearly in time: \( r^2 = D t \). For the ramping case normal diffusion is reached when \( D \) is constant (stationary) implying that the product \( (\gamma t^{\nu}) \) inside the wave packet stays approximately constant. Since \( \rho \sim 1/r \) and \( r \sim \sqrt{t} \) for normal diffusion, we conclude that the ramping exponent \( \nu = 1/2 \) ensures normal diffusion of the wave packet (see also [35]). Further increase of the ramping exponent beyond \( 1/2 \) does not modify the obtained normal diffusion [60]. Smaller ramping exponents gradually slow down the spreading into subdiffusion. Our numerical results in Fig. 1 confirm the above considerations. For what follows we will use \( \nu = 1 \) and \( \gamma = 30 \).

**Quantization.**— We arrived at the final and central part of our complex evolution design which intends to model a finite number of interacting quantum particles. For that we quantize the density \( \rho_n \) using a step function to arrive at

\[
\phi_n(t) = \frac{\gamma t^{\nu}}{N} \left[ N \rho_n(t) \right] + \zeta_n ,
\]

where \( \left[ N \rho_n(t) \right] \) is the largest possible integer less than or equal to \( N \rho_n(t) \). The parameter \( N \geq 1 \) serves as the analog of the number of particles in a quantum many-body system. Note that the total number of particles \( \sum_n N \rho_n(t) = N \) is conserved. For \( N \to \infty \) we recover the continuous density dependence of the phase (4). The inset of Fig. 2 shows the computed dependence \( \log r \) versus \( \log t \) for \( N = 24 \), \( \gamma = 30 \) and \( \nu = 1 \) and a variety of different angles \( \theta \) which control the single particle localization length \( \xi = -[\ln(\cos \theta)]^{-1} \). The wave packet initially expands diffusively and shows clear signatures of saturation and halt at larger evolution times. The rms value \( r \) at saturation can be expected to be of the order of the number of particles \( N \) times the volume of a one-particle Anderson localized wave packet \( \psi_l \). That single particle volume will depend on the localization length \( \xi \) and we will assess these details further below. Interestingly the inset in Fig. 2 appears to predict that the saturation and halt will happen at earlier times the smaller the angle \( \theta \).
and therefore the larger the localization length $\xi$. However, a replot of the same data with $r$ on a linear scale in the main part of Fig. 2 shows that what appeared to be a saturation and halt on logarithmic rms scales, turns into a logarithmic expansion regime of the quantized wave packet:

$$r(t) = r_0 + D_{qn} \log_{10}(t)$$

(6)

where $r_0 \sim t^{\alpha}$ is some cut-off which stems from the earlier normal diffusion. The smaller $\theta$ and the larger $\xi$, the earlier the logarithmic expansion set in, and the further it extends in time. To further substantiate this finding, we compute the local derivatives of the curves from the main Fig. 2 and plot them in Fig. 3. We find that all derivatives show a plateau like structure in the regime of logarithmic expansion, with slope value $D_{qn} \approx 20$, almost independently of $\theta$ and $\xi$. Let us measure the true saturation time $T_f$. For that we find the largest slope position and value in Fig. 3. We then use the linear fit (6) and extend it to larger times until the rms reaches the assumed final localization volume $V_{loc}$. The read off time is identified as $T_f$:

$$T_f = 10^{(V_{loc} - r_0)/D_{qn}}.$$  

(7)

To estimate $V_{loc}$ we assume that it is proportional to the number of particles $N$ and the single particle localization volume $v_1 \approx r_1 + 1$ where $r_1 \sim \xi$ is the saturated rms of a single particle:

$$V_{loc} = N f (r_1 + 1).$$  

(8)

Note that the correction by one integer in (8) accounts for the case of small localization length $\xi \ll 1$ when $r_1 \ll 1$ but the volume is approximately one lattice site. We plot the value of $r_1$ as a function of $\theta$ in the inset of Fig. 4. The proportionality factor $f$ can be assumed to be of order one. To get a number, we use the data for $\theta = 0.45\pi$ in Fig. 2 to read off $V_{loc} \approx 70$ and arrive at the value $f \approx 2$ which we will use for all other curve analysis as well. The outcome is shown by the blue (top) curve in Fig. 4. We find that $T_f(\theta)$ is expected to have a minimum at around $\theta = 0.45\pi$, while it is growing substantially when deviating to larger and smaller values of $\theta$.

**Derivation.**— In order to explain the observed logarithmic expansion and the subsequent halt, we assume that the localization length $\xi \gg 1$ and thus $r_1 \gg 1$. In order to enter the logarithmic expansion regime, the wave packet density must be small such that at a given time most of the wave packet sites the quantization condition (5) yields one particle i.e. $|N\rho| = 0$. Note that at the same time $r(t) > r_1$. For a large time the dynamics in a localization volume $v_1$ will be following the linear DTQW dynamics. The local norm on any of the two levels in a two-level system will fluctuate following an exponential (Gibbs) distribution with chemical potential $\mu = 2r$. The fluctuations are restricted to norm redistributions within the finite volume $v_1 \approx r_1$ and have an

![FIG. 2. Average of $r$ versus log $t$ position for various values of $\theta$ (numbers in legend, increasing from top to bottom) and $N = 24$. The average is taken over 177 random realizations. Inset: the same plot but in log-log scale. Averages are now taken for log $r$.](image1)

![FIG. 3. Derivative of the curves in Fig. 2 versus log $t$.](image2)

![FIG. 4. $T_f$ versus $\theta$. Blue top curve data are extracted from the data in Fig. 2 following Eq.(7). For the fit details see the text. Orange bottom curve—result of theoretical analysis. Inset: the saturated rms $r_l$ for a single particle as a function of $\theta$.](image3)
upper limit $\rho_{max} = c r_l / r(t) > 1/N$ with the constant $c$ being of order one and to be fixed below. Then the norm $\rho_n$ on the entire two-level system will fluctuate according to the distribution

$$P(\rho_n) = \mu^2 \rho_n e^{-\mu \rho_n}, \ 0 \leq \rho_n \leq \rho_{max}. \quad (9)$$

There is a small but finite probability $\varphi$ that $1/N < \rho_n < \rho_{max}$:

$$\varphi(r) = \int_{1/N}^{\rho_{max}} Pd\rho_n \approx e^{-2r/N} - e^{-2cr_l}. \quad (10)$$

The average time for that to happen is $T \approx 1/\varphi$. Once the rare event takes place, the quantized nonlinearity (5) effectively changes the disorder potential within the considered localization volume. At the edge of the wave packet, that leads to an expansion into a newly accessible localization volume:

$$dr \over dt = r_{lt}(r), \ r(t) = Ncr_l + \frac{N}{2} \ln \left(1 - e^{-2r_{lt}/Ncr_l}\right). \quad (11)$$

It follows that $r(t \to \infty) \to Ncr_l$ and with (8) we conclude $c \equiv f$. We are now in position to obtain the logarithmic expansion law, which is derived from (11):

$$r(t) = \frac{N}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2r_l}{N}\right) + \frac{N}{2} \ln (t), \ t \ll \frac{N e^{2f r_l}}{2r_l}. \quad (12)$$

The logarithmic expansion is universal in the sense that the slope $N/2$ depends only on the particle number, but not on the particularities of the disorder, nonlinearity, and ramping. In our numerical computations in Fig.3 we used $N = 24$. The observed slope $\approx 20$ was measured on logarithmic time scales in base 10 and matches reasonably well with the theoretical prediction $N/2 \ln 10 = 27.6$. Finally we can attempt to estimate the saturation time $T_f$ from (11). For that we compute the time at which the exponent in the rhs in (11) is of order one. The result reads

$$T_f = \frac{N e^{2f r_l}}{2r_l}. \quad (13)$$

It follows that the saturation time diverges exponentially with large $r_l$ in the limit of infinite localization length $\theta \to 0$. At the same time the saturation time diverges as $1/r_l$ in the limit of small localization length $\theta \to \pi/2$. Therefore there must be a minimum saturation time $T_f$ at some value of $\theta$. The full dependence of $T_f(\theta)$ is plotted in Fig.4. We find that the minimum saturation time is obtained for $\theta \approx 0.48\pi$ which is reasonably close to the numerically observed value $\approx 0.45\pi$. Note that the absolute values of the theoretical estimate of $T_f$ are much lower than the computational results. The reason is that our theoretical approach is qualitative when it comes to fitting, and operates on logarithmic scales. Changing $V_{loc}$ from 70 to around 80 changes $f$ from 2 to 2.3. Additional replacement of $r_l$ by $r_l + 1$ results in a magnitude shift of $T_f$ upwards by three orders of magnitude. Despite of that qualitative character of the theory, it is capable to reproduce the universal log law, and the minimum in $T_f$.

**Conclusion.**— Nonlinear wave packets spread subdiffusively in a disordered environment. Despite many efforts to observe a slowing down of the subdiffusion, all computational evidence points to unlimited subdiffusion. Quantum systems with many particles and conserved particle number were expected to be sufficient for a slowing down from subdiffusion and final halt of spreading for finite particle numbers. In this work we demonstrate this effect using a rough action quantization of a nonlinear wave propagation. To actually reach the desired time scales, we chose highly efficient unitary maps (discrete time quantum walks) and nonlinear interaction strength ramping for computational speed up. We succeeded with observing the halt of expansion. In addition, we discovered a novel intermediate logarithmic expansion regime whose time window grows with increasing localization length. In that regime the wave packet size growth speed on logarithmic time scales depends only on the total particle number in the packet.
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