

On asymptotic stability of the 3D Boussinesq equations without thermal conduction

Lihua Dong

Department of Mathematics,
Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China
Donglhmath@smail.nju.edu.cn

Yongzhong Sun

Department of Mathematics,
Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China
sunyz@nju.edu.cn

November 12, 2021

Abstract: We investigate the asymptotic stability of solution to Boussinesq equations without thermal conduction with the initial data near a specific stationary solution in the three-dimensional domain $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, 1)$. It is shown that the solution starting from a small perturbation to the stationary solution converges to it with explicit algebraic rates as time tends to infinity.

Keywords: Boussinesq equations, stationary solution, asymptotic stability, decay rates.

1 Introduction

In the mathematical study of fluid dynamics, the Boussinesq approximation amounts to consider the motion of fluid with density variations so small that it can be neglected in all terms except the one involving the gravity force. Note that the fluctuation of temperature is inversely proportional to that of the density. By using such an approximation, the Boussinesq system for incompressible and viscous fluid without thermal conduction reads as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} - \Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla p = \vartheta \mathbf{e}_3, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \\ \partial_t \vartheta + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \vartheta = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here the unknowns \mathbf{v} , p and ϑ are the velocity, pressure and temperature of the fluid respectively. We assume that the viscosity coefficient and gravity constant are 1, which is irrelative in the following analysis. We refer to [23, 24, 25] for detailed introduction on physical background of this and related models.

Due to rich physical background and mathematical features, in recent years there are many works on the Boussinesq equations without thermal conduction from the point of view of rigorous mathematical analysis. In the two-dimensional case, the global existence, uniqueness and regularity of smooth solution have been investigated by many authors under different settings, see [1, 7, 8, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. Moreover, there are some recent works on the asymptotic stability of certain stationary solution to (1.1), see [9, 10, 11, 26, 27]. However, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no result on the asymptotic behavior in the three-dimensional case. In [8], R. Danchin and M. Paicu have obtained the global solution to (1.1) under the smallness assumption on the initial data. H. Abidi et al. [2] and T. Hmidi et al. [17] have shown global existence of large solution to (1.1) in the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 with axisymmetric initial data. Finally, we refer the reader to [14, 28] for works on the stability of certain stationary solution to the inviscid Boussinesq equations.

Here we assume that the fluid occupies the three-dimensional domain $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and supplement system (1.1) with initial conditions

$$\mathbf{v}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{x}), \vartheta(0, \mathbf{x}) = \vartheta_0(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

together with the following slip-boundary conditions.

$$(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n})(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, (\mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{v})\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, t > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Here we use $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ to denote the spatial variable in \mathbb{R}^3 , while \mathbf{n} and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ are the unit outward normal and tangential direction to $\partial\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{z = 0, 1\}$ respectively. The stress tensor $\mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla^\top \mathbf{v})$ is the symmetric part of $\nabla \mathbf{v}$. Since the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is flat, it follows that (1.3) are equivalent to

$$\partial_3 v_1(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \partial_3 v_2(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, v_3(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega, t > 0. \quad (1.4)$$

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the asymptotic stability of the specific stationary solution $(\mathbf{v}_s, p_s, \vartheta_s)$ (see (1.5) below) to (1.1) in the three-dimensional domain $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, 1)$. We remark that if a stationary solution $\vartheta_s(z)$ satisfies $\vartheta'_s(z_0) < 0$ for some $z_0 \in [0, 1]$, which implies that fluid with higher temperature lies below the one with lower temperature, then it is unstable—the Rayleigh–Bénard instability happens, see [4, 9, 12], among others. Hence, in the present work we focus on the opposite case $\vartheta'_s(z) > 0$ for all $z \in [0, 1]$, which implies that fluid with lower temperature lies below the fluid with higher temperature. Specifically, we choose

$$\mathbf{v}_s = 0, p_s = \frac{1}{2}z^2, \vartheta_s = z, z \in [0, 1]. \quad (1.5)$$

In the following Theorem 1.1, we show the solution to (1.1) converges to the specific stationary solution (1.5) with explicit rates as long as the initial data is close to it in high order Sobolev spaces.

By introducing the perturbation

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_s, q = p - p_s, \theta = \vartheta - \vartheta_s,$$

system (1.1) is transformed into

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla q = \theta \mathbf{e}_3, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \\ \partial_t \theta + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = -u_3. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

The corresponding initial and boundary conditions for system (1.6) are read as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}), \theta(0, \mathbf{x}) = \theta_0(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_3(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \partial_3 u_1(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \partial_3 u_2(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

We eliminate the pressure ∇q by taking curl(= $\nabla \times$) on both side of (1.6)₁ to reformulate it in terms of the vorticity $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$ as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\omega} - \Delta \boldsymbol{\omega} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = (\partial_2 \theta, -\partial_1 \theta, 0), \\ \partial_t \theta + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = -u_3, \\ \mathbf{u} = \nabla \times (-\Delta)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\omega}, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

together with the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} (\boldsymbol{\omega}, \theta)(0, \mathbf{x}) = (\boldsymbol{\omega}_0, \theta_0)(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \omega_1(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \omega_2(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \partial_3 \omega_3(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

Here for simplicity we use $(-\Delta)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ (see Remark 2.1 below) to denote the stream functions $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3)$ which satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \varphi_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \varphi_2|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \partial_3 \varphi_3|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

Also note that $\mathbf{u} = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \times \mathbf{u} = \boldsymbol{\omega}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \partial_3 u_1|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_3 u_2|_{\partial\Omega} = u_3|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

Now we state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $m \geq 31$ be an integer. Assume that $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 = 0$ and*

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 = (\omega_{10}, \omega_{20}, \omega_{30}) \in \mathcal{W}^m \cap W^{7,1}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30} \in L^2 \cap L^1, \theta_0 \in \mathfrak{D}^{m+1} \cap W^{10,1}. \quad (1.13)$$

There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ depending only on m such that if

$$\|\theta_0\|_{W^{10,1}} + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{m+1}} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{W^{7,1}} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^1} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad (1.14)$$

then (1.8)–(1.9) admits a unique global smooth solution

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \in C([0, \infty); \mathcal{W}^m) \cap L^2([0, \infty); \mathcal{W}^{m+1}), \theta \in C([0, \infty); \mathfrak{D}^{m+1})$$

satisfying

$$\|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2, \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^5} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \|\omega_h(t)\|_{H^3} + \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^3} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \\ \|\nabla_h \omega_h(t)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla_h^2 \theta(t)\|_{H^1} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \|\omega_3(t)\|_{H^3} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \|\omega_3(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2}, \\ \|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_3 \theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\omega_h(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.1 For $t > 0$, let $\langle t \rangle = \max\{1, t\}$. Throughout this paper, $\nabla_h = (\partial_1, \partial_2)$, $\omega_h = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$, $u_h = (u_1, u_2)$ and $v_h = (v_1, v_2)$. Going back to the original initial-boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3), we have for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vartheta(t) - z\|_{H^5} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla_h(\vartheta(t) - z)\|_{H^3} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \\ \|\nabla_h^2(\vartheta(t) - z)\|_{H^1} + \|v_3(t)\|_{H^3} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \|v_h(t)\|_{H^4} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \\ \|\vartheta(t) - z\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_3(\vartheta(t) - z)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \quad \|\nabla_h(\vartheta(t) - z)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that compared with the two-dimensional case in [11], solutions to the 3D system (1.1)–(1.3) have better decay rates.

Since system (1.1) has no thermal conduction, the action of buoyancy plays the key role in stabilization. As it is well-known, to obtain uniform estimates of solutions to (1.8)–(1.9) in higher order Sobolev spaces, one has to show L^1 estimate of $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}$ in time. It turns out that the crucial step is to give decay estimates for θ . By decoupling (1.8), we find that θ satisfies

$$\partial_{tt}\theta - \Delta\partial_t\theta - \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_1\theta - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_2\theta = f_2,$$

where f_2 is defined in (3.33). Hence θ exhibits dissipation in the horizontal direction. In fact, we obtain the time decay rate of $\|\partial_3\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ is at most $\langle t \rangle^{-1}$ while $\|\nabla_h\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ is $\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}$, which in turn implies the decay rate of $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ is $\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. Similar to [11], our method to prove Theorem 1.1 is to show decay estimates for the linearized system by spectral analysis and then construct suitable energy functionals to the nonlinear system.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give some necessary results that will be used later.

First we introduce some necessary notations used in this paper. For $f \cdot g \in L^1(\Omega)$, $\langle f, g \rangle$ denotes their $L^2(\Omega)$ inner product. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, the inhomogeneous Sobolev space with derivatives up to order m belonging to $L^p(\Omega)$ is denoted by $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$. The standard norm (with standard modification when $p = \infty$) in $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is given by $\|f\|_{W^{m,p}}^p := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p}^p$. Especially, we use $H^m(\Omega)$ to denote the $L^2(\Omega)$ -based Sobolev spaces $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$. For $f \in H^m$, the corresponding norm of H^m is defined by $\|f\|_{H^m} = \|f\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|f\|_{L^2}$, where \dot{H}^m is the homogeneous Sobolev space with the m th-order derivatives belonging to $L^2(\Omega)$. Throughout this paper, $\widehat{\Omega}$ denotes the frequency space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}$. We use $L^p(\widehat{\Omega})$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) to denote the standard Lebesgue space of measurable p -integrable function $g(\xi, \eta, k)$, $(\xi, \eta, k) \in \widehat{\Omega}$, with the norm $\|g\|_{L^p(\widehat{\Omega})}^p = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(\xi, \eta, k)|^p d\xi d\eta$. For simplicity, we use $L^p, W^{m,p}, \widehat{L}^p, \dot{H}^m$ and H^m to stand for $L^p(\Omega), W^{m,p}(\Omega), L^p(\widehat{\Omega}), \dot{H}^m(\Omega)$ and $H^m(\Omega)$, respectively. Finally, notation $A \lesssim B$ mean that there is an uniform constant C such that $A \leq CB$. $A \sim B$ denotes $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2.1 Mathematical setting and Fourier expansion

In the present paper, we assume that the initial data $(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0, \theta_0)$ satisfies

$$\omega_{10} \in H^m, \quad \partial_3^n \omega_{10}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \text{for } n = 0, 2, \dots, 2[(m-1)/2], \quad (2.1)$$

$$\omega_{20} \in H^m, \quad \partial_3^n \omega_{20}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \text{for } n = 0, 2, \dots, 2[(m-1)/2], \quad (2.2)$$

$$\omega_{30} \in H^m, \quad \partial_3^n \omega_{30}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 3, \dots, 2[m/2] - 1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\theta_0 \in H^{m+1}, \quad \partial_3^n \theta_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \text{for } n = 0, 2, \dots, 2[m/2]. \quad (2.4)$$

We remark that the special mathematical settings (2.1)–(2.4) on initial data are motivated by [5, 6, 10, 11]. From (1.8)₂ and $u_3(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we obtain the transport equation

$$\partial_t \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} + u_1(t) \partial_1 \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} + u_2(t) \partial_2 \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Then $\theta_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ implies $\theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Taking ∂_3 on $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ gives

$$\partial_3^2 u_3(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = -\partial_3 \partial_1 u_1(t)|_{\partial\Omega} - \partial_3 \partial_2 u_2(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where we use the fact that $\partial_3 u_1(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\partial_3 u_2(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. By using (1.8)₁ and (1.9)₂,

$$\partial_3^2 \omega_1(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_3^2 \omega_2(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_3^3 \omega_3(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

According to (2.5) and the incompressible condition for \mathbf{u} , we find that

$$\partial_3^3 u_1(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_3^3 u_2(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_3^4 u_3(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Furthermore, taking ∂_3^2 on (1.8)₂ and restricting to the boundary yield

$$\partial_t \partial_3^2 \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} + u_1(t) \partial_1 \partial_3^2 \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} + u_2(t) \partial_2 \partial_3^2 \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} + 2\partial_3 u_3(t) \partial_3^2 \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Applying Lagrangian coordinates, we get $\partial_3^2 \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ from $\partial_3^2 \theta_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Similarly, from $\partial_3^{2n} \theta_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ for $n = 2, 4, \dots$, we find that the sufficiently smooth function $(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \theta)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3^{2n} \theta(t)|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0, \quad \partial_3^{2n} \omega_1(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_3^{2n} \omega_2(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_3^{2n+1} \omega_3(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ \partial_3^{2n+3} u_1(t)|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0, \quad \partial_3^{2n+3} u_2(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_3^{2n+4} u_3(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

According to this fact, we introduce the functional spaces as follows. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$\mathfrak{D}^{m,p} := \{f \in W^{m,p} : \partial_3^n f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad n = 0, 2, \dots, 2[(m-1)/2]\}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\mathfrak{N}^{m,p} := \{f \in W^{m,p} : \partial_3^n f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad n = 1, 3, \dots, 2[m/2] - 1\}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\mathcal{W}^{m,p} := \{\mathbf{g} \in W^{m,p} : \mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathfrak{D}^{m,p} \times \mathfrak{D}^{m,p} \times \mathfrak{N}^{m,p}\}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{m,p} := \{\mathbf{g} \in W^{m,p} : \mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathfrak{N}^{m,p} \times \mathfrak{N}^{m,p} \times \mathfrak{D}^{m,p}\}. \quad (2.10)$$

Here $\mathfrak{D}^m = \mathfrak{D}^{m,2}$, $\mathfrak{N}^m = \mathfrak{N}^{m,2}$, $\mathcal{W}^m = \mathcal{W}^{m,2}$ and $\mathcal{V}^m = \mathcal{V}^{m,2}$.

The Fourier expansion for a function $f \in \mathfrak{D}^m$ reads as

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{3}{4}}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f}_o(\xi, \eta, k) e^{ix\xi} e^{iy\eta} d\xi d\eta \sin k\pi z, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\widehat{f}_o(\xi, \eta, k) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{3}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^1 f(x, y, z) e^{-ix\xi} e^{-iy\eta} \sin k\pi z dz dx dy, \text{ for } (\xi, \eta, k) \in \widehat{\Omega},$$

while for $f \in \mathfrak{N}^m$,

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{3}{4}}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f}_e(\xi, \eta, k) e^{ix\xi} e^{iy\eta} d\xi d\eta \cos k\pi z, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\widehat{f}_e(\xi, \eta, k) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{3}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^1 f(x, y, z) e^{-ix\xi} e^{-iy\eta} \cos k\pi z dz dx dy, \text{ for } (\xi, \eta, k) \in \widehat{\Omega}.$$

We note that $f \in \mathfrak{D}^m$ (or \mathfrak{N}^m) implies $\partial_3 f \in \mathfrak{N}^{m-1}$ (or \mathfrak{D}^{m-1}) and

$$\widehat{(\partial_3 f)}_e(\xi, \eta, k) = -k\pi \widehat{f}_o(\xi, \eta, k) \quad \left(\widehat{(\partial_3 f)}_o(\xi, \eta, k) = k\pi \widehat{f}_e(\xi, \eta, k) \right).$$

For notation convenience, we use \widehat{f} (or $\mathcal{F}(f)$) to denote \widehat{f}_o or \widehat{f}_e , which makes no confusion once $f \in \mathfrak{D}^m$ or \mathfrak{N}^m is given. Accordingly,

$$\|f\|_{H^m} \sim \|(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \widehat{f}(\cdot)\|_{\widehat{L}^2}, \quad \|f\|_{\dot{H}^m} \sim \| |\cdot|^m \widehat{f}(\cdot) \|_{\widehat{L}^2}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}^m \text{ or } \mathfrak{N}^m. \quad (2.13)$$

For simplicity, $\|(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \widehat{f}(\cdot)\|_{\widehat{L}^2}$ is denoted by $\|\widehat{f}(\cdot)\|_{\widehat{H}^m}$ in some places in this paper. Furthermore,

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{f}\|_{\widehat{L}^1}, \quad \|\widehat{f}\|_{\widehat{L}^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1}, \quad \|\widehat{f}\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{m,1}}, \quad m \geq 4, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\|(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \widehat{f}\|_{\widehat{L}^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{m,1}}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}^{m,1} \text{ or } \mathfrak{N}^{m,1}. \quad (2.15)$$

In addition, Λ^α for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\Lambda^\alpha f}(\xi, \eta, k) = (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k), \quad (\xi, \eta, k) \in \widehat{\Omega}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}^m \text{ or } \mathfrak{N}^m.$$

2.2 Basic lemmas

We begin with the following estimates on multiplication of two functions in Sobolev spaces, see ([3, 24]), among others.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- *If $f, g \in H^m \cap L^\infty$, then*

$$\|fg\|_{H^m} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^m} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{H^m}. \quad (2.16)$$

- *If $f \in H^m \cap W^{1,\infty}$, $g \in H^{m-1} \cap L^\infty$, then for $m \geq 1$ and any $|\alpha| \leq m$,*

$$\|\partial^\alpha(fg) - f\partial^\alpha g\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{H^{m-1}} + \|f\|_{H^m} \|g\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (2.17)$$

The second inequality in the following lemma follows from first classical interpolation inequality. Here we state results without proof, see ([3]), among others.

Lemma 2.2 Let $m, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) Let $m_1 \leq m \leq m_2$. If $f \in H^{m_2}$, then

$$\|f\|_{H^m} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{m_1}}^s \|f\|_{H^{m_2}}^{1-s}, \text{ with } m = sm_1 + (1-s)m_2, 0 \leq s \leq 1. \quad (2.18)$$

(ii) If $f, g \in H^m$, then

$$\|fg\|_{W^{m,1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^m} \|g\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{H^m}. \quad (2.19)$$

This is an important lemma which follows from a direct calculation.

Lemma 2.3 Let $\mu, \nu > 0$ be two constants such that $\beta \leq 1 + \nu$. Then

$$\int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{\langle t-\tau \rangle^\mu \langle \tau \rangle^{1+\nu}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\mu}, \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\nu} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\nu}. \quad (2.20)$$

Next we consider the following Laplace equation with Neumann boundary condition.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\psi = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \partial_3\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

Lemma 2.4 Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. Assume that $f \in \mathfrak{N}^m(\Omega)$ and $\Lambda^{-1}f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique solution (up to a constant) ψ to (2.21) such that $\nabla\psi \in \mathcal{V}^{m+1}$. Moreover,

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{m+1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1}f\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.22)$$

Proof. We search the solution in the form of

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{3}{4}}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{\psi}(\xi, \eta, k) e^{ix\xi} e^{iy\eta} d\xi d\eta \cos k\pi z.$$

It is enough to take

$$\widehat{\psi}(\xi, \eta, k) = (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-1} \widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k), \text{ for } (\xi, \eta, k) \in \widehat{\Omega}.$$

Estimate (2.22) follows from (2.13) directly. \square

Similar result holds for the Dirichlet problem. More precisely, we have

Lemma 2.5 Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer and $f \in \mathfrak{D}^m(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique solution $\psi \in \mathfrak{D}^{m+2}$ to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\psi = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

such that

$$\|\psi\|_{H^{m+2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^m}. \quad (2.24)$$

Remark 2.1 For notation convenience, we use $(-\Delta)^{-1}f$ to denote $(-\Delta_N)^{-1}f$ or $(-\Delta_D)^{-1}f$, which is the solution to the Neumann (2.22) or Dirichlet (2.23) problem respectively.

Based on Lemma 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain from (1.10) and (1.11) that

Lemma 2.6 Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\omega \in \mathcal{W}^m$, $\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3 \in L^2$, $\nabla \cdot \omega = 0$ in Ω . Then $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}^{m+1}$ and

$$\|u_3\|_{H^{m+1}} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m} \lesssim \|\omega\|_{H^m}, \|u_1\|_{H^{m+1}} + \|u_2\|_{H^{m+1}} \lesssim \|\omega\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.25)$$

3 Nonlinear stability

The local existence result of (1.8)–(1.9) can be proved by using the classical methods, see [5, 6, 24], among others. Here we omit the details for reasons of brevity.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer. Assume that $\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \in \mathcal{W}^m, \theta_0 \in \mathfrak{D}^{m+1}$ and $\Lambda^{-1}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{30} \in L^2$. There exists $T^* \in (0, +\infty]$ such that (1.8)–(1.9) admits a unique solution*

$$(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \Lambda^{-1}\boldsymbol{\omega}_3, \theta) \in C([0, T^*]; \mathcal{W}^m) \times C([0, T^*]; L^2) \times C([0, T^*]; \mathfrak{D}^{m+1}). \quad (3.1)$$

Remark 3.1 From (2.6) and (2.25) in Lemma 2.6, it follows that

$$u_1 \in C([0, T^*]; \mathfrak{N}^{m+1}), u_2 \in C([0, T^*]; \mathfrak{N}^{m+1}), u_3 \in C([0, T^*]; \mathfrak{D}^{m+1}). \quad (3.2)$$

Based on Proposition 3.1, we shall prove uniform-in-time estimates of the solution $(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \theta)$. From (1.8)₁, we find that $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ satisfies the classical heat type equation with inhomogeneous terms. Now we decouple system (1.8) to get the equation for θ . Taking the time derivative on (1.8)₂ gives

$$\partial_{tt}\theta + \partial_t u_3 = \partial_t(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta).$$

Using (1.8)₁ and

$$\partial_t u_3 = \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_t \omega_2 - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_t \omega_1, \quad -\Delta u_3 = \partial_t \Delta \theta + \Delta(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{tt}\theta - \Delta \partial_t \theta - \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_t \theta - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_t \theta \\ &= -\partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_t \theta + \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2) - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1) \\ & \quad + \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2) - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1) + \Delta(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

To obtain the integral form and decay estimates for θ , we need analyze linearized equations for temperature in the following subsection.

3.1 Analysis of linearized equations for temperature

To analyze (3.3), we turn to consider the following inhomogeneous equation in Ω ,

$$\partial_{tt}\phi - \Delta \partial_t \phi - \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_t \phi - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_t \phi = F, \quad (3.4)$$

together with the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \phi(0, \mathbf{x}) = \phi_0(\mathbf{x}), \partial_t \phi(0, \mathbf{x}) = \phi_1(\mathbf{x}), \\ \phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

To solve the initial-boundary problem (3.4)–(3.5), for $t > 0$ we define operators $\mathcal{L}_1(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}_2(t)$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{L}_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}(-\Delta-\chi)} + e^{-\frac{t}{2}(-\Delta+\chi)} \right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2(t) = \frac{1}{\chi} \left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}(-\Delta-\chi)} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}(-\Delta+\chi)} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Here

$$\chi = \sqrt{(-\Delta)^2 + 4(\partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_1 + \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_2)}.$$

Lemma 3.1 *Assume that $\phi_0 \in H^2 \cap H_0^1$, $\phi_1 \in L^2$ and $F \in L_{loc}^1(0, \infty; L^2)$. Then the solution to (3.4) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t, x, y, z) &= \mathcal{L}_1(t)\phi_0(x, y, z) + \mathcal{L}_2(t) \left(\frac{1}{2}(-\Delta)\phi_0(x, y, z) + \phi_1(x, y, z) \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_2(t-\tau)F(\tau, x, y, z)d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\Delta \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(-\Delta)^2 - \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_1 - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_2 \right] \phi(t, x, y, z) \\ &= \left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(-\Delta)^2 + 4(\partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_1 + \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_2)} \right) \\ &\cdot \left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(-\Delta)^2 + 4(\partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_1 + \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_2)} \right) \phi(t, x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\psi_+(t, x, y, z) = \left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\chi \right) \phi(t, x, y, z), \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\psi_-(t, x, y, z) = \left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\chi \right) \phi(t, x, y, z). \quad (3.10)$$

Then

$$\left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\chi \right) \psi_+(t, x, y, z) = F(t, x, y, z), \quad \left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\chi \right) \psi_-(t, x, y, z) = F(t, x, y, z).$$

From Duhamel's principle, it follows that

$$\psi_+(t, x, y, z) = e^{t(\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\chi)} \psi_+(0, x, y, z) + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)(\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\chi)} F(\tau, x, y, z) d\tau, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\psi_-(t, x, y, z) = e^{t(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\chi)} \psi_-(0, x, y, z) + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\chi)} F(\tau, x, y, z) d\tau. \quad (3.12)$$

Furthermore, according to (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\psi_+(0, x, y, z) = \phi_1(x, y, z) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi_0(x, y, z) - \frac{1}{2}\phi_0(x, y, z), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\psi_-(0, x, y, z) = \phi_1(x, y, z) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi_0(x, y, z) + \frac{1}{2}\phi_0(x, y, z). \quad (3.14)$$

Substituting (3.13) and (3.14) into (3.11) and (3.12) respectively, then we use the fact that $\phi = \frac{\psi_+ - \psi_-}{\chi}$ to obtain

$$\phi(t, x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}(-\Delta + \chi)} + e^{-\frac{t}{2}(-\Delta - \chi)} \right) \phi_0(x, y, z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\chi} \left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}(-\Delta-\chi)} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}(-\Delta+\chi)} \right) \left(\phi_0(x, y, z) + \frac{1}{2}(-\Delta)\phi_1(x, y, z) \right) \\
& + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\chi} \left(e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}(-\Delta-\chi)} - e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}(-\Delta+\chi)} \right) F(\tau, x, y, z) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.2 Performing the Fourier transform to (3.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\phi}(t, \xi, \eta, k) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 + \sigma)t} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 - \sigma)t} \right) \widehat{\phi}_0(\xi, \eta, k) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 - \sigma)t} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 + \sigma)t} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) \widehat{\phi}_0(\xi, \eta, k) + \widehat{\phi}_1(\xi, \eta, k) \right) \\
& + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 - \sigma)(t-\tau)} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 + \sigma)(t-\tau)} \right) \widehat{F}(\tau, \xi, \eta, k) d\tau. \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\sigma = \sqrt{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2 - \frac{4(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2}}. \tag{3.16}$$

The following lemma inspired by [11, 13] plays an important role in the proof of decay estimates for $\mathcal{L}_1(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}_2(t)$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $g \in \mathfrak{D}^{8,1}$ and

$$\widehat{G}(\xi, \eta, k, t) = e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} \widehat{g}(\xi, \eta, k), \quad (\xi, \eta, k) \in \widehat{\Omega}.$$

Then

$$\|\widehat{G}(t)\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|g\|_{W^{6,1}}, \quad \|\widehat{\nabla_h G}(t)\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|g\|_{W^{8,1}}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\|\widehat{G}(t)\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_{W^{3,1}}, \quad \|\widehat{\nabla_h G}(t)\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|g\|_{W^{5,1}}. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{G}(t)\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1} &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} |\widehat{g}(\xi, \eta, k)| d\xi d\eta \\
&\lesssim \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^3 \widehat{g}(\xi, \eta, k)\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\infty} \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^{-3} d\xi d\eta d\zeta \\
&\lesssim \|g\|_{W^{6,1}} \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^{-3} d\xi d\eta d\zeta.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying spherical coordinates

$$\xi = r \sin \beta \cos \psi, \quad \pi \leq r < +\infty; \quad \eta = r \sin \beta \sin \psi, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq \pi; \quad \zeta = r \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \psi \leq 2\pi,$$

then

$$\int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^{-3} d\xi d\eta d\zeta \lesssim \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \beta}{r^2} t} r^{-4} \sin \beta d\beta d\psi dr.$$

The last integral is decomposed into

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\frac{(j-1)\pi}{4}}^{\frac{j\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \beta}{r^2} t} r^{-4} \sin \beta d\beta d\psi dr = \sum_{j=1}^4 Q_j. \quad (3.19)$$

It is enough to consider, say

$$Q_2 = \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \beta}{r^2} t} r^{-4} \sin \beta d\beta d\psi dr,$$

$$Q_4 = \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\frac{3\pi}{4}}^{\pi} e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \beta}{r^2} t} r^{-4} \sin \beta d\beta d\psi dr.$$

Next, one gets

$$Q_2 \lesssim 1, Q_4 \lesssim 1, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \leq |\sin \beta| \leq 1 \text{ for all } \beta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right].$$

Then

$$Q_2 \lesssim \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{2r^2}} r^{-4} d\beta d\psi dr$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{2r^2}} \frac{t}{2r^2} \frac{2r^2}{t} r^{-4} d\beta d\psi dr \lesssim t^{-1}.$$

Hence, $Q_2 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. Using change of variable $\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{2}r} \sin \beta$ gives

$$Q_4 \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\frac{3\pi}{4}}^{\pi} e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \beta}{2r^2} t} r^{-3} d\beta d\psi dr$$

$$\lesssim t^{-1} \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{2}r}} e^{-\gamma^2} r^{-2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2r^2 \gamma^2}{t}}} d\gamma dr$$

$$\lesssim t^{-1} \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r^2} dr \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\gamma^2} d\gamma \lesssim t^{-1},$$

where we use $e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \beta}{2r^2} t} \frac{\sin \beta}{r} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 1$. Then $Q_4 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. By (3.19),

$$\int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^{-3} d\xi d\eta d\zeta \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}. \quad (3.20)$$

Thus

$$\|\widehat{G}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|g\|_{W^{6,1}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\widehat{\nabla_h G}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} |\widehat{g}(\xi, \eta, k)| d\xi d\eta \\
& \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) |\widehat{g}(\xi, \eta, k)| d\xi d\eta \\
& \lesssim \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^4 \widehat{g}(\xi, \eta, k)\|_{\widehat{L}^\infty} \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^{-3} d\xi d\eta d\zeta \\
& \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_{W^{8,1}} \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^{-3} d\xi d\eta d\zeta.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\|\widehat{\nabla_h G}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \|g\|_{W^{5,1}}$, we deduce from (3.20) that

$$\|\widehat{\nabla_h G}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|g\|_{W^{8,1}}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\widehat{G}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} |\widehat{g}(\xi, \eta, k)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\
& \lesssim \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^3 \widehat{g}^2(\xi, \eta, k)\|_{\widehat{L}^\infty} \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^{-3} d\xi d\eta d\zeta \\
& \lesssim \|g\|_{W^{3,1}}^2 \int_{\pi}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{2(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)^{-3} d\xi d\eta d\zeta.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\widehat{G}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_{W^{3,1}}.$$

Other estimate in (3.18) can be obtained in the similar way. \square

Based on Lemma 3.2, we now proceed to give decay estimates of $\mathcal{L}_1(t)$, $\mathcal{L}_2(t)$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $f \in \mathfrak{D}^{8,1}$. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_2(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|f\|_{W^{6,1}}, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\partial_3 \mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_3 \mathcal{L}_2(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|f\|_{W^{7,1}}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\partial_t \mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_t \mathcal{L}_2(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} \|f\|_{W^{6,1}}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\nabla_h \mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\mathcal{F}(\nabla_h \mathcal{L}_2(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{W^{8,1}}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} + \|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_2(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{W^{3,1}}, \quad (3.25)$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\partial_t \mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} + \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_t \mathcal{L}_2(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{W^{4,1}}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\nabla_h \mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} + \|\mathcal{F}(\nabla_h \mathcal{L}_2(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|f\|_{W^{5,1}}, \quad (3.27)$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\nabla_h^2 \mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} + \|\mathcal{F}(\nabla_h^2 \mathcal{L}_2(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{W^{7,1}}. \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. Performing the Fourier transform to (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 + \sigma)t} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 - \sigma)t} \right), \quad (3.29)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 - \sigma)t} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 + \sigma)t} \right), \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$\sigma = \sqrt{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2 - \frac{4(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2}}.$$

Let

$$\lambda_+ = \frac{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) + \sigma}{2}, \quad \lambda_- = \frac{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) - \sigma}{2}.$$

We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{\lambda_+ t} + e^{\lambda_- t}), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_2(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} (e^{\lambda_+ t} - e^{\lambda_- t}), \\ \partial_t \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_+ e^{\lambda_+ t} + \lambda_- e^{\lambda_- t}), \quad \partial_t \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_2(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} (\lambda_+ e^{\lambda_+ t} - \lambda_- e^{\lambda_- t}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_+ - \lambda_- = \sigma$.

Since $k \geq 1$, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $\lambda_+ - \lambda_- \geq \lambda_0 > 0$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_+ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 - \sigma) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 - \sqrt{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2 - \frac{4(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{2(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^3}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} \leq \lambda_+ \leq -\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2}, \\ -(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) \leq \lambda_- \leq -\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_+ - \lambda_- < \xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2.$$

For $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1(t) &\lesssim e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t}, \\ 0 \leq \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_2(t) &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda_0} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} + \frac{1}{\lambda_0} e^{-\frac{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)}{2} t} \lesssim e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t}, \\ \left| \partial_t \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1(t) \right| &\lesssim \frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} + (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2}{2} t}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \widehat{\partial_t \mathcal{L}_2(t)} \right| \lesssim \frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} + (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2}{2} t}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_1(t)f)(\xi, \eta, k) &= \widehat{\mathcal{L}_1(t)} \widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 + \sigma)t} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2 - \sigma)t} \right) \widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k). \end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that $0 < \widehat{\mathcal{L}_1(t)} \lesssim e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t}$ and applying Lemma 3.2,

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} |\widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k)| d\xi d\eta \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|f\|_{W^{6,1}}.$$

Moreover, we use Lemma 3.2 to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(\nabla_h \mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k)| d\xi d\eta \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) |\widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k)| d\xi d\eta \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{2(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) |\widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k)| d\xi d\eta \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{W^{8,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.14) and $k \geq 1$, one infers that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_t \mathcal{L}_1(t)f)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^2} e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2} t} |\widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k)| d\xi d\eta \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2}{2} t} |\widehat{f}(\xi, \eta, k)| d\xi d\eta \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} \|f\|_{W^{6,1}} + e^{-t} \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) \widehat{f}\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} \|f\|_{W^{6,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Other estimates in (3.21)–(3.28) can be obtained in a similar way. \square

Remark 3.3 From Lemma 3.3, we observe that one derivative in the horizontal direction improves $\frac{1}{2}$ -order of decay rate.

3.2 Integral form of solutions

Now we transform (1.8) into

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega - \Delta \omega = \mathbf{f}_1, \\ \partial_{tt} \theta - \Delta \partial_t \theta - \partial_1 (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_1 \theta - \partial_2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_2 \theta = f_2, \end{cases} \quad (3.31)$$

with

$$\mathbf{f}_1 = -\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\partial_2 \theta, -\partial_1 \theta, 0), \quad (3.32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= -\partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_t \theta + \Delta(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta) + \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2) \\ &\quad - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1) + \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2) - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

From (2.6) and $\mathbf{f}_1 = (f_{11}, f_{12}, f_{13})$, we check that

$$f_{11} \in \mathfrak{D}^{m-1}, f_{12} \in \mathfrak{D}^{m-1}, f_{13} \in \mathfrak{N}^{m-1}, f_2 \in \mathfrak{D}^{m-2}.$$

Thus, by Duhamel's principle and Lemma 3.1,

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}(t, x, y, z) = e^{t\Delta} \boldsymbol{\omega}_0(x, y, z) + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbf{f}_1(\tau, x, y, z) d\tau, \quad (3.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t, x, y, z) &= \mathcal{L}_1(t) \theta_0(x, y, z) + \mathcal{L}_2(t) \left(\frac{1}{2}(-\Delta) \theta_0(x, y, z) + \theta_1(x, y, z) \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_2(t-\tau) f_2(\tau, x, y, z) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 = (\omega_{10}, \omega_{20}, \omega_{30}), \quad (3.36)$$

$$\theta_1(x, y, z) = \partial_t \theta(0, x, y, z) = -\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \theta_0 + u_{30}, \quad (3.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_0 &= \mathbf{u}(0, x, y, z) = (u_{10}, u_{20}, u_{30}) \\ &= (\partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1} \omega_{30} - \partial_3(-\Delta)^{-1} \omega_{20}, \partial_3(-\Delta)^{-1} \omega_{10} - \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1} \omega_{30}, \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1} \omega_{20} - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1} \omega_{10}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

With the help of the integral form of $(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \theta)$ (3.34)–(3.35), we focus on energy estimates and decay estimates of solutions to (1.8)–(1.9) in the following subsection.

3.3 Energy estimates and decay estimates of nonlinear equations

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \{ \|\theta(\tau)\|_{H^{m+1}} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3(\tau)\|_{L^2} \}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \{ \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|\nabla_h \theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|\omega_h(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\quad + \langle \tau \rangle^2 \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \langle \tau \rangle (\|\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_3 \theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}) \}, \\ \mathcal{E}_3(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left\{ \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{H^5} + \langle \tau \rangle (\|\nabla_h \theta(\tau)\|_{H^3} + \|\omega_h(\tau)\|_{H^3}) + \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u_h(\tau)\|_{H^4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|\nabla_h^2 \theta(\tau)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla_h \omega_h(\tau)\|_{H^1} + \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^3}) + \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_4(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left\{ \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|\partial_\tau \theta(\tau)\|_{H^1} + \|\partial_\tau \boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^1}) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_0 &= \|\theta_0\|_{W^{10,1}} + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{m+1}} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{W^{7,1}} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^1} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^2}, \\ \mathcal{E}(t) &= \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \mathcal{E}_2(t) + \mathcal{E}_3(t) + \mathcal{E}_4(t). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4 *Let $m \geq 2$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^2(t). \quad (3.39)$$

Proof. Testing (1.8)₁ by $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and testing (1.8)₂ by $-\Delta\theta$ give

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} (\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^2}^2), \quad (3.40)$$

where we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \partial_2\theta, \omega_1 \rangle + \langle -\partial_1\theta, \omega_2 \rangle + \langle -u_3, -\Delta\theta \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial_2\theta, \omega_1 \rangle + \langle -\partial_1\theta, \omega_2 \rangle + \langle -\partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2 + \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_1, -\Delta\theta \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \partial_t \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega}, \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle + \langle \partial^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega}), \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle - \langle \partial^m (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}), \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle - \langle \Delta \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega}, \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial^m \partial_2 \theta, \partial^m \omega_1 \rangle + \langle -\partial^m \partial_1 \theta, \partial^m \omega_2 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

and

$$\langle \partial_t \partial^m \nabla \theta, \partial^m \nabla \theta \rangle + \langle \partial^m \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta), \partial^m \nabla \theta \rangle = \langle -\partial^m \nabla u_3, \partial^m \nabla \theta \rangle. \quad (3.42)$$

Summing up (3.41) and (3.42) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}}^2) + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}}^2 = B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= -\langle \partial^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega}), \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle, \\ B_2 &= \langle \partial^m (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}), \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle, \\ B_3 &= -\langle \partial^m \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta), \partial^m \nabla \theta \rangle, \\ B_4 &= \langle \partial^m \partial_2 \theta, \partial^m \omega_1 \rangle + \langle -\partial^m \partial_1 \theta, \partial^m \omega_2 \rangle + \langle -\partial^m \nabla u_3, \partial^m \nabla \theta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By the commutator estimate (2.17) in Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_1| &\lesssim |\langle \partial^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega}, \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \|\langle \partial^m \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle_{L^2}\| \|\partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\dot{H}^m}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

where we use the fact that

$$\langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega}, \partial^m \boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle = 0.$$

From (2.16) in Lemma 2.1, one gets

$$|B_2| \lesssim \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{\dot{H}^m}. \quad (3.44)$$

For B_3 , we use similar argument to obtain

$$|B_3| \lesssim |\langle \partial^m \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta) - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial^m \nabla \theta, \partial^m \nabla \theta \rangle|$$

$$\lesssim \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m} \|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}}^2, \quad (3.45)$$

where we use

$$\langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial^m \nabla \theta, \partial^m \nabla \theta \rangle = 0.$$

Using the fact $u_3 = \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2 - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_1$ and then integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_4 &= -\langle \partial^m \nabla \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2, \partial^m \nabla \theta \rangle + \langle \partial^m \nabla \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_1, \partial^m \nabla \theta \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \partial^m \partial_2 \theta, \partial^m \omega_1 \rangle + \langle -\partial^m \partial_1 \theta, \partial^m \omega_2 \rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Summing up (3.43)–(3.46), then we apply Young's inequality and Lemma 2.6 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^m}^2) + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 \leq C \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{m+1}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^m} \\ &+ C (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty}) (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2) + C \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m} \|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}} \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty}) (\|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}}^2) \\ &\quad + 2C^2 \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{H^m}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

where C is a constant. Recall that

$$\partial_t \omega_3 - \Delta \omega_3 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3 - \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3 = 0.$$

Then taking inner product with $(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3$ yields

$$\langle \partial_t \omega_3, (-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3 \rangle - \langle \Delta \omega_3, (-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3, (-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3 \rangle - \langle \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3, (-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3 \rangle = 0.$$

By using the fact that $\varphi_3 = (-\Delta_N)^{-1}\omega_3$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \varphi_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \varphi_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (-\Delta \varphi_3), \varphi_3 \rangle - \langle \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3, \varphi_3 \rangle = 0. \quad (3.48)$$

Integrating by parts and applying Lemma 2.4 give

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (-\Delta \varphi_3), \varphi_3 \rangle - \langle \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3, \varphi_3 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u} \Delta \varphi_3, \nabla \varphi_3 \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{\omega} u_3, \nabla \varphi_3 \rangle \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \varphi_3\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \varphi_3\|_{L^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \varphi_3\|_{L^2} \|u_3\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

One deduces from (3.48) and (3.49) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_3\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.50)$$

Combining (3.40), (3.47) and (3.50) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 \\ &\lesssim (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{m+1}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^m} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2) (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3\|_{L^2}^2). \tag{3.51}
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.51) in time from 0 to t gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\theta(t)\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 d\tau \\
& \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_{30}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& + \int_0^t \langle\tau\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle\tau\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}) d\tau \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta(\tau)\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& + \int_0^t \langle\tau\rangle^{-2} \langle\tau\rangle^2 \|\nabla\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 d\tau \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta(\tau)\|_{H^{m+1}}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \int_0^t \langle\tau\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\tau \mathcal{E}_2(t) \mathcal{E}_1^2(t) + \int_0^t \langle\tau\rangle^{-2} d\tau \mathcal{E}_2^2(t) \mathcal{E}_1^2(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^3(t) + \mathcal{E}^4(t).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. \square

Lemma 3.5 *Let $m \geq 31$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}_2(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t). \tag{3.52}$$

Proof. From (3.15), (3.35)–(3.38) and Lemma 3.1–3.3, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{\nabla_h \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\
& \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^4 \widehat{\theta}_1(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^\infty} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{W^{10,1}} + I_1 + \cdots + I_7, \\
& \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{W^{7,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{W^{10,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{H^m} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_{30}\|_{L^2}) \\
& \quad + I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6 + I_7, \tag{3.53}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau, \quad I_2 = \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \partial_\tau \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau, \\
I_3 &= \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{10,1}} d\tau, \quad I_4 = \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_1(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau, \\
I_5 &= \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_2(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau, \quad I_6 = \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_1(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau, \\
I_7 &= \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_2(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

According to the interpolation inequality (2.18) together with (2.19) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\|\partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta\|_{W^{8,1}} \lesssim \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^8} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{H^8} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}$$

$$\lesssim \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^{16}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{H^{24}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.54)$$

From (1.8)₁, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{H^{24}} &\lesssim \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{23}} + \|\partial_t \Lambda^{-1} \omega_3\|_{L^2} + \|\theta\|_{H^{24}} \\ &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{25}} + \|\theta\|_{H^{24}} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{24}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{24}} \\ &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{25}} + \|\theta\|_{H^{24}} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{25}}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3\|_{L^2} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{25}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

Using (2.20) in Lemma 2.3, (3.54) and (3.55), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{H^{10}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^{25}}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \|\theta\|_{H^{24}}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^{25}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \right) \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^{25}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} d\tau \mathcal{E}_4(t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\tau \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}(t) \mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{2}{3}}(t) \mathcal{E}_3(t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\tau \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{2}{3}}(t) \mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{2}{3}}(t) \mathcal{E}_3(t) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

From the interpolation inequality (2.18) and (2.19) in Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_t \theta\|_{W^{8,1}} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \nabla \theta\|_{H^8} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^8} \|\partial_t \nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \nabla \theta\|_{H^{16}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t \nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{16}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t \nabla \theta\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

By applying (1.8)₂ and (2.25) in Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \nabla \theta\|_{H^{16}} &\lesssim \|u_3\|_{H^{17}} + \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta\|_{H^{17}} \\ &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{16}} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{17}} \|\theta\|_{H^{18}} \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{16}} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{16}} \|\theta\|_{H^{18}} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3\|_{L^2} \|\theta\|_{H^{18}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

Replacing $\|\partial_t \nabla \theta\|_{H^{16}}$ by (3.58), we use (2.20) in Lemma 2.3 and (3.57) to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^{16}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{16}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{H^{18}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\partial_\tau \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{H^{18}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\partial_\tau \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{8}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{8}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^{16}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_\tau \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\tau \mathcal{E}_3(t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\tau \mathcal{E}_3(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t) \mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{15}{8}} d\tau \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{E}_4(t) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \quad (3.59)$$

Applying the interpolation inequality (2.18) and (2.19) in Lemma 2.2 give

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta\|_{W^{10,1}} &\lesssim \|u_h\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{10}} + \|u_h\|_{H^{10}} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|u_3\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 \theta\|_{H^{10}} + \|u_3\|_{H^{10}} \|\partial_3 \theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_h\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{31}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{4}} + \|u_h\|_{H^{30}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|u_3\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 \theta\|_{H^{20}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|u_3\|_{H^{30}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\partial_3 \theta\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for $m \geq 31$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u_h(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h \theta(\tau)\|_{H^{31}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla_h \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|u_h(\tau)\|_{H^{30}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_h(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\nabla_h \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 \theta(\tau)\|_{H^{20}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^{30}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|u_3(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\tau \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{7}{4}}(t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{4}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{5}{3}}(t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}(t) \right) + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} d\tau \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t) \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_1(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2)\|_{W^{6,1}} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2\|_{W^{7,1}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^8} \|\omega_2\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\omega_2\|_{H^8} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{24}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\omega_2\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\omega_2\|_{H^{23}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\omega_2\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^{24}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\omega_2(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\omega_2(\tau)\|_{H^{23}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\omega_2(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\tau \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{5}{3}}(t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{7}{4}}(t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{4}}(t) \right) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

Similarly for I_5 ,

$$I_5 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \quad (3.62)$$

For I_6 , we have

$$\|\partial_1(\omega \cdot \nabla u_2)\|_{W^{6,1}} \lesssim \|\omega \cdot \nabla u_2\|_{W^{7,1}} \lesssim \|\omega\|_{H^8} \|u_2\|_{L^2} + \|\omega\|_{L^2} \|u_2\|_{H^8}$$

$$\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^3}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^{23}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u_2\|_{L^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^2} \|u_2\|_{H^{24}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\omega_2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^{32}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u_2(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|u_2(\tau)\|_{H^{24}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_2(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\tau \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{7}{4}}(t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{4}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{5}{3}}(t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}(t) \right) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

Similarly for I_7 ,

$$I_7 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \quad (3.64)$$

Substituting (3.56) and (3.59)–(3.64) into (3.53) gives

$$\|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{\nabla_h \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \quad (3.65)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_3 \theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\widehat{\theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\widehat{\partial_3 \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{W^{6,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|\theta_0\|_{W^{9,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|\theta_0\|_{H^m} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^2}) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{7,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \partial_\tau \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{7,1}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{9,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_1(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{5,1}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_2(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{5,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_1(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{5,1}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_2(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{5,1}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In the similar way,

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_3 \theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{\theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\widehat{\partial_3 \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)). \quad (3.66)$$

Now we turn to $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. From (3.34) and (3.36), one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_1(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\widehat{\omega}_1(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\ &\lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} \widehat{\omega}_{10}\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \widehat{\nabla u_1}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \mathbf{u} \cdot \widehat{\nabla \omega_1}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \widehat{\partial_2 \theta}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau \\ &\lesssim e^{-t} \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_0\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \widehat{\nabla \omega_1}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} \omega_2(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\widehat{\nabla}_h \theta(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau \\
& \lesssim e^{-t} \|\omega_0\|_{H^2} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \omega_1(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\omega(\tau) \cdot \nabla u_1(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \\
& \quad + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right), \tag{3.67}
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (2.20), (2.14), (3.65) and the fact $k \geq 1$. Moreover,

$$\|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1\|_{H^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^3} \|\omega_1\|_{H^3} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^3} \|\omega_1\|_{H^3}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \omega_1(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau & \lesssim \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle \|\omega_1(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\
& \lesssim \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} d\tau \mathcal{E}_3(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \tag{3.68}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\omega(\tau) \cdot \nabla u_1(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \tag{3.69}$$

By plugging (3.68) and (3.69) into (3.67),

$$\|\omega_1(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{\omega}_1(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \tag{3.70}$$

Using the method analogous to $\|\omega_1(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ used above, one gets

$$\|\omega_2(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{\omega}_2(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \tag{3.71}$$

From (3.34), it follows that

$$\widehat{\omega}_3(t, \xi, \eta, k) = e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} \omega_{30}(\xi, \eta, k) + \int_0^t e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \widehat{f_{13}}(\tau, \xi, \eta, k) d\tau, \tag{3.72}$$

where $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} = \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega}_{30}\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\
& + \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} u_3(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\
& - \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} \omega_3(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\
& := U_1 + U_2 + U_3. \tag{3.73}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$U_1 \lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega}_{30}\|_{\widehat{L}^1}$$

$$\lesssim \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega}_{30}\|_{\widehat{L}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)t} d\xi d\eta d\zeta \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^1}. \quad (3.74)$$

From (2.14), one deduces that

$$U_1 \lesssim \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega}_{30}\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{H^2}. \quad (3.75)$$

According to (3.74)–(3.75), we have

$$U_1 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}_0. \quad (3.76)$$

Using the fact $\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3 = \operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\omega} u_3)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &\lesssim \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} u_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau) u_3(\tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

On the other hand,

$$U_2 \lesssim \int_0^t \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} u_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau \lesssim \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau) u_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau. \quad (3.78)$$

Combining (3.77) with (3.78) yields

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau) u_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.79)$$

In the similar way,

$$\begin{aligned} U_3 &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.80)$$

By substituting (3.76), (3.79) and (3.80) into (3.73),

$$\|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)). \quad (3.81)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\omega_3(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\ &\lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega}_{30}\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\ &+ \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} d\tau.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_3(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\widehat{\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} (\|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_{30}\|_{L^1} + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_{30}\|_{H^2}) + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-2} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)u_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-2} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} \mathcal{E}_0 + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.82)$$

From (1.8)₃, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3 - \partial_3(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2, \quad u_2 = \partial_3(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_1 - \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3, \\ u_3 &= \partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2 - \partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|u_1(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{u_1}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3)(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_3(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2)(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1}. \quad (3.83)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3)(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} &\lesssim \left\| \frac{i\eta}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2} \widehat{\omega_3}(t) \right\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \left\| (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega_3}(t) \right\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \\ &\lesssim \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1}, \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad k \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.84)$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\partial_3(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2)(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \left\| \frac{k}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2} \widehat{\omega_2}(t) \right\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \|\widehat{\omega_2}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1}, \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (3.85)$$

By using (3.71), (3.81) and (3.83)–(3.85),

$$\|u_1(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\widehat{\omega_2}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t)). \quad (3.86)$$

In the similar way,

$$\|\nabla u_1(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\widehat{\omega_2}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t)), \quad (3.87)$$

$$\|u_2(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \lesssim \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\widehat{\omega_1}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t)), \quad (3.88)$$

$$\|u_3(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \lesssim \|\widehat{\omega_1}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} + \|\widehat{\omega_2}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t)). \quad (3.89)$$

Finally, we finish the proof of Lemma 3.5. \square

Lemma 3.6 *Let $m \geq 31$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}_3(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t). \quad (3.90)$$

Proof. From (3.35)–(3.38) and Lemma 3.1–3.3, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h^2 \theta(t)\|_{H^1} &= \|\widehat{\nabla_h^2 \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^1} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{W^{7,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{W^{10,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{H^m} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^2}) \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \partial_\tau \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{10,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_1(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_2(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_1(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_2(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.91)$$

Similar to the L^∞ -estimate of $\nabla_h \theta$ in Lemma 3.5,

$$\|\nabla_h^2 \theta(t)\|_{H^1} = \|\widehat{\nabla_h^2 \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \quad (3.92)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^3} &= \|\widehat{\nabla_h \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{W^{7,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|\theta_0\|_{W^{10,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|\theta_0\|_{H^m} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^2}) \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \partial_\tau \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{10,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_1(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_2(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_1(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-1} \|\partial_2(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.93)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^5} + \|\partial_3 \theta(t)\|_{H^4} &= \|\widehat{\theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^5} + \|\widehat{\partial_3 \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{W^{7,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{W^{10,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{H^m} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^2}) \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \partial_\tau \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{10,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t-\tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau. \tag{3.94}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the L^∞ -estimate of $\nabla_h \theta$ in Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left(\langle \tau \rangle \|\nabla_h \theta(\tau)\|_{H^3} + \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{H^5} + \langle \tau \rangle \|\partial_3 \theta(\tau)\|_{H^4} \right) \\
& \lesssim \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left(\langle \tau \rangle \|\widehat{\nabla_h \theta}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} + \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{\theta}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{H}^5} + \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{\partial_3 \theta}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \right) \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t). \tag{3.95}
\end{aligned}$$

To prove L^2 -estimate of ω_1 , we use (2.20), (2.14), (3.95) and the fact $k \geq 1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\omega_1(t)\|_{H^3} = \|\widehat{\omega_1}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} \\
& \lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} \widehat{\omega_{10}}\|_{\widehat{H}^3} + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \widehat{\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} d\tau + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \widehat{\partial_2 \theta}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} d\tau \\
& \lesssim e^{-t} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^3} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\partial_1 \theta(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\
& \lesssim e^{-t} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^3} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \omega_1(\tau)\|_{W^{5,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau) \cdot \nabla u_1(\tau)\|_{W^{5,1}} d\tau \\
& + \langle t \rangle^{-1} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \tag{3.96}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1\|_{W^{5,1}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^5} \|\nabla \omega_1\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \omega_1\|_{H^5} \\
& \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^5} \|\nabla \omega_1\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \omega_1\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \omega_1\|_{\dot{H}^{10}}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.97}
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \omega_1(\tau)\|_{W^{5,1}} d\tau \lesssim \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^5} \langle \tau \rangle \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \|\nabla \omega_1(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \omega_1(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \omega_1(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{10}}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
& \lesssim \left(\langle t \rangle^{-1} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \right) \mathcal{E}^2(t) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \tag{3.98}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau) \cdot \nabla u_1(\tau)\|_{W^{5,1}} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \quad (3.99)$$

From (3.96) and (3.98)–(3.99), we deduce that

$$\|\omega_1(t)\|_{H^3} = \|\widehat{\omega}_1(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \quad (3.100)$$

Similar to the H^3 -estimate of ω_1 ,

$$\|\omega_2(t)\|_{H^3} = \|\widehat{\omega}_2(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \quad (3.101)$$

By using (3.91),

$$\|\nabla_h \omega_1(t)\|_{H^1} = \|\widehat{\nabla_h \omega_1}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \quad (3.102)$$

$$\|\nabla_h \omega_2(t)\|_{H^1} = \|\widehat{\nabla_h \omega_2}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \quad (3.103)$$

Furthermore, for $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} &= \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1} \omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega_{30}}\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \\ &:= P_1 + P_2 + P_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.104)$$

For P_1 , we have $P_1 \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^2}$ and

$$P_1 \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2)t} d\xi d\eta d\zeta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}}\|_{\widehat{L}^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^1}. \quad (3.105)$$

This implies that

$$P_1 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \mathcal{E}_0. \quad (3.106)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &\lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} u_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^\infty} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau) u_3(\tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.107)$$

Also, we have

$$P_2 \lesssim \int_0^t \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} u_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \lesssim \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau) u_3(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \lesssim \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau. \quad (3.108)$$

From (3.107)–(3.108), it follows that

$$P_2 \lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \quad (3.109)$$

Similarly,

$$P_3 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \quad (3.110)$$

By substituting (3.106) and (3.109)–(3.110) into (3.104),

$$\|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)). \quad (3.111)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} &= \|\widehat{\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega_{30}}\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \\ &+ \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} u_3(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{u} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} \omega_3(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Here $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 0$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} &= \|\widehat{\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \mathcal{E}_0 + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)). \quad (3.112) \end{aligned}$$

In the similar way,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_3(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} &= \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \mathcal{E}_0 \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)), \quad (3.113) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_3(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2} &= \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) \widehat{\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} \mathcal{E}_0 \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)). \quad (3.114) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\|\omega_3(t)\|_{\dot{H}^3} = \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \widehat{\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{11}{4}} \mathcal{E}_0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{11}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{\frac{1}{2}H^3} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{\frac{1}{2}H^5} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{11}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{8}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{5}{8}} \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{\frac{1}{2}H^3} d\tau \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{\frac{1}{2}H^5} \\
& \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{11}{8}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.115}$$

From (3.112)–(3.115), it follows that

$$\|\omega_3(t)\|_{H^3} = \|\widehat{\omega}_3(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)). \tag{3.116}$$

According to (1.8)₃, one gets

$$\|u_1(t)\|_{H^4} = \|\widehat{u}_1(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3)(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} + \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_3(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2)(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4}. \tag{3.117}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}(\partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_3)(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} & = \left\| \frac{i\eta}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2} \widehat{\omega}_3(t) \right\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \\
& \lesssim \|\widehat{\Lambda^{-1}\omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} + \|\widehat{\omega}_3(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^3}, \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, k \geq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.118}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}(\partial_3(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2)(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} & = \left\| \frac{k}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2} \widehat{\omega}_2(t) \right\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \\
& \lesssim \|\widehat{\omega}_2(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^3}, \quad (\xi, \eta, k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, k \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.119}$$

By substituting (3.118)–(3.119) into (3.117) and combining (3.101), (3.111), (3.116),

$$\|u_1(t)\|_{H^4} = \|\widehat{u}_1(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \tag{3.120}$$

In the similar way,

$$\|u_2(t)\|_{H^4} = \|\widehat{u}_2(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \tag{3.121}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_3(t)\|_{H^3} & = \|\widehat{u}_3(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_2)(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} + \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_2(-\Delta)^{-1}\omega_1)(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \\
& \lesssim \|\widehat{\partial_1\omega_2}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^1} + \|\widehat{\partial_2\omega_1}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.122}$$

From (3.102)–(3.103),

$$\|u_3(t)\|_{H^3} = \|\widehat{u}_3(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^3} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right).$$

Thus, we conclude the proof of Lemma 3.6. \square

Lemma 3.7 *Let $m \geq 31$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}_3(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t). \tag{3.123}$$

Proof. Using the fact that $\mathcal{L}_2(0) = 0$, one deduces from (3.35) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \theta(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \partial_t \mathcal{L}_1(t) \theta_0(\mathbf{x}) + \partial_t \mathcal{L}_2(t) \left(\frac{1}{2} (-\Delta) \theta_0(\mathbf{x}) + \partial_t \theta(0, \mathbf{x}) \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \partial_\tau \mathcal{L}_2(t - \tau) f_2(\tau, \mathbf{x}) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.124)$$

By applying Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \theta(t)\|_{H^4} &= \|\widehat{\partial_t \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{W^{7,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{W^{10,1}} + \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|\theta_0\|_{H^m} (\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_0\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^2}) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \partial_\tau \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{8,1}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau) \cdot \nabla \theta(\tau)\|_{W^{10,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_1(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_2(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_1(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_2(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1)(\tau)\|_{W^{6,1}} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.125)$$

Similar to the L^∞ -estimate of $\nabla_h \theta$ in Lemma 3.5,

$$\|\partial_t \theta(t)\|_{H^4} = \|\widehat{\partial_t \theta}(t)\|_{\widehat{H}^4} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \quad (3.126)$$

From (3.31)₁, we take time derivative to obtain

$$\partial_{tt} \omega_1 - \Delta \partial_t \omega_1 = \partial_2 \partial_t \theta + \partial_t (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1) - \partial_t (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1). \quad (3.127)$$

$$\partial_{tt} \omega_2 - \Delta \partial_t \omega_2 = -\partial_1 \partial_t \theta + \partial_t (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2) - \partial_t (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2). \quad (3.128)$$

By the Duhamel's principle

$$\partial_t \omega_1(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{t\Delta} \partial_t \omega_1(0, \mathbf{x}) + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} (\partial_2 \partial_\tau \theta + \partial_\tau (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1) - \partial_\tau (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1))(\tau, \mathbf{x}) d\tau, \quad (3.129)$$

$$\partial_t \omega_2(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{t\Delta} \partial_t \omega_2(0, \mathbf{x}) + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} (-\partial_1 \partial_\tau \theta + \partial_\tau (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_2) - \partial_\tau (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_2))(\tau, \mathbf{x}) d\tau. \quad (3.130)$$

Moreover,

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{\omega}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \Delta \boldsymbol{\omega}_0(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega}_0(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}) + (\partial_2 \theta_0(\mathbf{x}), -\partial_1 \theta_0(\mathbf{x}), 0). \quad (3.131)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \omega_1(t)\|_{L^2} &= \|\widehat{\partial_t \omega_1}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} \widehat{\partial_t \omega_1}(0)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \partial_\tau (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1})(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \partial_\tau (\widehat{\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1})(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} \widehat{\partial_2 \partial_\tau \theta}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \\
& \lesssim e^{-t} \|\partial_t \omega_1(0, \mathbf{x})\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\partial_\tau (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\partial_\tau (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_1)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|\partial_2 \partial_\tau \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& := M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4. \tag{3.132}
\end{aligned}$$

By using (3.131),

$$M_1 \lesssim e^{-t} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2). \tag{3.133}$$

For M_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1)\|_{L^2} & \lesssim \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_1\|_{L^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \partial_t u_1\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u_1\|_{H^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \partial_t u_1\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 & \lesssim \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_\tau \boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u_1(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_\tau u_1(\tau)\|_{H^1} d\tau \\
& \lesssim \left(\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} + \langle t \rangle^{-2} \right) \mathcal{E}^2(t) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \tag{3.134}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_3 & \lesssim \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_\tau \mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\omega_1(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\partial_\tau \omega_1(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_\tau \omega_1(\tau)\|_{\widehat{H}^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
& \lesssim \left(\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \right) \mathcal{E}^2(t) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \tag{3.135}
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.126), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_4 & \lesssim \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_\tau \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \tag{3.136}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (3.133)–(3.136) into (3.132) yields

$$\|\partial_t \omega_1(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\widehat{\partial_t \omega_1}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \tag{3.137}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\partial_t \omega_2(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\widehat{\partial_t \omega_2}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \tag{3.138}$$

Note that

$$\omega_3(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{t\Delta}\omega_{30}(\mathbf{x}) + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} ((\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3)(\tau, \mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3)(\tau, \mathbf{x})) d\tau. \quad (3.139)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \omega_3(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \Delta e^{t\Delta}\omega_{30}(\mathbf{x}) + \int_0^t \Delta e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} ((\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3)(\tau, \mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3)(\tau, \mathbf{x})) d\tau \\ &\quad + (\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3)(t, \mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3)(t, \mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.140)$$

For $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{-1} \omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} &= \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_t \Lambda^{-1} \omega_3)(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) \widehat{\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}}\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} + \|(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \\ &:= Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + Y_4 + Y_5. \end{aligned} \quad (3.141)$$

For Y_1 , one gets $Y_1 \lesssim \|\omega_{30}\|_{H^1}$ and

$$Y_1 \lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) \widehat{\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}}\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{7}{4}} \|\Lambda^{-1} \omega_{30}\|_{L^1}.$$

This implies that

$$Y_1 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \mathcal{E}_0. \quad (3.142)$$

Note that

$$Y_2 \lesssim \int_0^t \|(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3)(\tau)\|_{H^2} d\tau. \quad (3.143)$$

Moreover,

$$Y_2 \lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \|(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3)(\tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u_3(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau. \quad (3.144)$$

Combining (3.143) with (3.144) gives

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2 &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^2} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.145)$$

In the similar way,

$$Y_3 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \quad (3.146)$$

For Y_4 , we have $Y_4 \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\omega} u_3(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{E}^2(t)$. Then

$$Y_4 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_{H^2} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(t)\|_{H^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{5}{2}} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \quad (3.147)$$

Similarly for Y_5 ,

$$Y_5 \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} \mathcal{E}^2(t). \quad (3.148)$$

Plugging (3.142) and (3.145)–(3.148) into (3.141) yields

$$\|\partial_t \Lambda^{-1} \omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\mathcal{F}(\partial_t \Lambda^{-1} \omega_3)(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} (\mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)). \quad (3.149)$$

Now we turn to estimate L^2 -estimate of $\partial_t \omega_3$. For $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} &= \|\widehat{\partial_t \omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)t} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) \widehat{\omega_{30}}\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \\ &+ \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \|e^{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2)(t-\tau)} (\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \pi^2 k^2) \widehat{\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3}(\tau)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} d\tau \\ &+ \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} + \|\widehat{\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} &= \|\widehat{\partial_t \omega_3}(t)\|_{\widehat{L}^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} \mathcal{E}_0 \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\ &+ \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_{H^2} \|\nabla u_3(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} \mathcal{E}_0 + \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} \langle \tau \rangle \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{9}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(\tau)\|_{H^3} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \|\omega_3(\tau)\|_{H^3} d\tau \\ &+ \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle \|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_{H^2} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u_3(t)\|_{L^2} + \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{H^2} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{5}{4}} \|\nabla \omega_3(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}^2(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.150)$$

By using (3.138), (3.149) and (3.150),

$$\|\partial_t u_1\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|\partial_t \omega_h\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t \Lambda^{-1} \omega_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right).$$

In the similar way,

$$\|\partial_t u_2\|_{H^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right).$$

By using (3.137)–(3.138),

$$\|\partial_t u_3\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|\partial_t \omega_2\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t \omega_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2 + \mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right).$$

Thus, the proof of Lemma 3.7 is completed. \square

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We now apply the bootstrap argument. According to Lemma 3.4–3.7, there exists a constant $C_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq C_0 (\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0^2) + C_0 \left(\mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{7}{3}}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{5}{2}}(t) \right). \quad (3.151)$$

Assume that

$$\|\theta_0\|_{W^{10,1}} + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{m+1}} + \|\omega_0\|_{W^{7,1}} + \|\omega_0\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_{3_0}\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{-1}\omega_{3_0}\|_{L^1} \leq \epsilon_0 \quad (3.152)$$

with $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ to be determined later. Then by the definition of $E_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, there exists $C_1 \geq 2C_0$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}(0) = \mathcal{E}_1(0) + \mathcal{E}_2(0) + \mathcal{E}_3(0) + \mathcal{E}_4(0) \leq C_1 \mathcal{E}_0 \leq C_1 \epsilon_0. \quad (3.153)$$

From Proposition 3.1, there exists $0 < T < T^*$ such that

$$(\omega, \Lambda^{-1}\omega_3, \theta) \in C([0, T]; H^m) \times C([0, T]; L^2) \times C([0, T]; H^{m+1}).$$

Now we assume that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq 4C_1 \epsilon_0 \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.154)$$

By using (3.151)–(3.152) and the bootstrap hypothesis (3.154),

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq 2C_0 \mathcal{E}_0 + 3C_0 \mathcal{E}^2(t) \leq C_1 \epsilon_0 + 48C_0 C_1^2 \epsilon_0^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.155)$$

Then taking ϵ_0 so small that $48C_0 C_1 \epsilon_0 \leq 1$,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq 2C_1 \epsilon_0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.156)$$

This means the bootstrap is closed. Furthermore, we prolong the solution and then repeat the argument for all time. Finally, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgement

The research is supported by NSFC under grants No. 12071211, 11771206.

References

- [1] ABIDI, H., HMIDI, T.: On the global well-posedness for Boussinesq system. *J. Differ. Equ.* **233**(1), 199–220, 2007
- [2] ABIDI, H., HMIDI, KERAANI, S.: On the global regularity of axisymmetric Navier-Stokes-Boussinesq system. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **29**(3), 737–756, 2011
- [3] ADAMS, R.A., FOURNIER, J.F.: *Sobolev spaces. 2nd edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), Vol. 140.* Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003
- [4] BERGÉ, P., DUBOIS, M.: Rayleigh-Bénard Convection. *Contemp. Phys.* **25**(6), 535–582, 1984
- [5] CASTRO, Á., CÓRDOBA, D., LEAR, D.: Global existence of quasi-stratified solutions for the confined IPM equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **232**(1), 437–471, 2019

- [6] CASTRO, Á., CÓRDOBA, D., LEAR, D.: The asymptotic stability of stratified solutions for the 2D Boussinesq equations with a velocity damping term. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **29**(7), 1227–1277, 2019
- [7] CHAE, D.: Global regularity for the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity terms. *Adv. Math.* **203**(2), 497–513, 2006
- [8] DANCHIN, R., PAICU, M.: Les théorèmes de Leray et de Fujita-Kato pour le système de Boussinesq partiellement visqueux. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* **136**(2), 261–309, 2008
- [9] DOERING, C.R., WU, J., ZHAO, K., ZHENG, X.: Long time behavior of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations without buoyancy diffusion. *Phys. D.* **376-377**, 144–159, 2018
- [10] DONG, L.: On stability of Boussinesq equations without thermal conduction. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **72**(3), 128, 2021
- [11] DONG, L., SUN, Y., Asymptotic stability of the 2D Boussinesq equations without thermal conduction, submitted.
- [12] DRAZIN, P.G.: *Introduction to hydrodynamic stability*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, 2002
- [13] ELGINDI, T.M.: On the asymptotic stability of stationary solutions of the inviscid incompressible porous medium equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **225**(2), 573–599, 2017
- [14] ELGINDI, T.M. and WIDMAYER, K., Sharp decay estimates for an anisotropic linear semigroup and applications to the surface quasi-geostrophic and inviscid Boussinesq systems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **47**(6), 4672–4684, 2015
- [15] HE, L.: Smoothing estimates of 2d incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in bounded domains with applications. *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**(7), 3430–3464, 2012
- [16] HMIDI, T., KERAANI, S.: On the global well-posedness of the two-dimensional Boussinesq system with a zero diffusivity. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **12**(4), 461–480, 2007
- [17] HMIDI, T., ROUSSET, F.: Global well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes-Boussinesq system with axisymmetric data, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **27**(5), 1227–1246, 2010
- [18] HOU, T., LI, C.: Global well-posedness of the viscous Boussinesq equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **12**(1), 1–12, 2005
- [19] HU, W., KUKAVICA, I., ZIANE, M.: On the regularity for the Boussinesq equations in a bounded domain. *J. Math. Phys.* **54**(8), 081507, 10, 2013
- [20] JU, N.: Global regularity and long-time behavior of the solutions to the 2D Boussinesq equations without diffusivity in a bounded domain. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **19**(1), 105–121, 2017
- [21] KUKAVICA, I., WANG, W.: Long time behavior of solutions to the 2D Boussinesq equations with zero diffusivity. *J. Dyn. Differ. Equ.* **32**(4), 2061–2077, 2020

- [22] LAI, M., PAN, R., ZHAO, K.: Initial boundary value problem for two-dimensional viscous Boussinesq equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **199**(3), 739–760, 2011
- [23] MAJDA, A.J.: *Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean. Courant Lect. Notes Math. Vol. 9*, AMS/CIMS, 2003
- [24] MAJDA, A.J., BERTOZZI, A. L.: *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002
- [25] PEDLOSKY, J.: *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987
- [26] TAO, L., WU, J., ZHAO K., ZHENG, X.: Stability near hydrostatic equilibrium to the 2D Boussinesq equations without thermal diffusion. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **237**(2), 585-630, 2020
- [27] WAN, R.: Global existence and long time behavior of smooth solution for the 2D Boussinesq-Navier-Stokes equations. preprint
- [28] WIDMAYER, K., Convergence to stratified flow for an inviscid 3D Boussinesq system. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **16**(6), 1713–1728, 2018