

THREE-DIMENSIONAL REP-TILES

RYAN BLAIR, ZOE MARLEY AND ILIANNA RICHARDS

ABSTRACT. A 3D rep-tile is a compact 3-manifold X in \mathbb{R}^3 that can be decomposed into finitely many pieces, each of which are similar to X , and all of which are congruent to each other. In this paper we classify all 3D rep-tiles up to homeomorphism. In particular, we show that a 3-manifold is homeomorphic to a 3D rep-tile if and only if it is the exterior of a connected graph in S^3 .

1. INTRODUCTION

A set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior is an n -dimensional k -index rep-tile if there are sets X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k with disjoint interiors and with $X = \cup_{i=1}^k X_i$ that are mutually congruent and similar to X . Rep-tiles have been well-studied since 1963, when they were introduced by Gardner and Golomb [11], [12]. Much of the study of rep-tiles has focused on 2-dimensional rep-tiles from the perspective of fractal geometry. There are robust methods for constructing rep-tiles [4]. However, these methods usually result in rep-tiles that are not piecewise linear manifolds. Various authors have explored the basic topological properties of rep-tiles. For example, a rep-tile X has a *hole* if the complement of the closure of some component of the interior of X has a bounded component. John Conway asked if there exists a 2-dimensional rep-tile with a hole, and Grömbaum answered this question in the affirmative by providing a 36-index rep-tile example [9] (also see [14]). The topology of 2-dimensional rep-tiles is limited. In particular, Bandt and Wang [3] and Luo et al. [17] proved that if the interior of a 2-dimensional rep-tile is connected, then the rep-tile is a topological disk.

In this paper we apply 3-manifold techniques to study the topology of 3-dimensional rep-tiles. To this end, we restrict to *3D rep-tiles*, 3-dimensional k -index rep-tiles that are piecewise linear embeddings of compact connected 3-manifolds in \mathbb{R}^3 with $k > 1$. Examples of 3D rep-tiles that are homeomorphic to the 3-ball are prevalent. For example, a cube is an 8-index 3D rep-tile homeomorphic to a 3-ball, see Figure 2. Additionally, various authors have investigated which tetrahedra are 3D rep-tiles [16], [18], [15], [13]. However, examples homeomorphic to other 3-manifolds have been more challenging to generate. In 1998,

Goodman-Strauss asked if there exists a 3D rep-tile with a hole. This was answered by Van Ophysen, who generated an example homeomorphic to a solid torus [19]. See Figure 1 for an example of a 3D rep-tile homeomorphic to a solid torus. Furthermore, a description of an infinite family of 3D rep-tiles homeomorphic to any genus n handlebody is given in [19]. In [5], the authors use a computer search to generate an 8-index 3D rep-tile homeomorphic to a solid torus. This was the unique 8-index 3D rep-tile not homeomorphic to the 3-ball among the one million examples they generated.

In this paper we classify all 3D rep-tiles up to homeomorphism. In particular, we give a method of constructing a 3D rep-tile of any suitable homeomorphism type.

Theorem 1.1. *A set X in \mathbb{R}^3 is a 3D rep-tile if and only if X is homeomorphic to the exterior of a finite connected graph in S^3 .*

The concept of self-affine tile is related to that of rep-tile. A self-affine tile is defined by a finite collection of contractions (not necessarily similarities) that are affine translates of a single linear contraction. In [8], the authors generate the first examples of 3-dimensional self-affine tiles which are topological 3-manifolds with boundary. In particular, they show that every handlebody is homeomorphic to a 3-dimensional self-affine tile. However, the embeddings they generate are not piecewise linear and not 3D rep-tiles. They conjecture that all 3-dimensional self-affine tiles which are topological 3-manifolds are homeomorphic to handlebodies. We wonder if the examples of rep-tiles which are not homeomorphic to handlebodies generated in the current paper can be modified to provide counterexamples to the conjecture posed in [8].

Rep-tiles are a model for topological self-replication in that a rep-tile is an object that can be decomposed into finitely many copies each of which is homeomorphic to the original. Previous models of self-replication in low-dimensional topology have focused on idempotents (i.e. morphisms with the property that $f \circ f = f$) in the appropriate topological category. Such idempotents are manifolds that can be decomposed along embedded surfaces into two copies of themselves. In particular, idempotents have been classified in the Temperley-Lieb category [1], the tangle category [7], and the $(2+1)$ -cobordism category [6]. Models based on idempotents inherently model 1-to-2 self-replication. In contrast, 3D rep-tiles model 1-to-many self-replication. See Figure 2.

In Section 2 we introduce useful 3-manifold and lattice terminology. In Section 3 we prove the backward direction of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we prove the forward direction of Theorem 1.1.




FIGURE 1. An example of a polycube and a 3D rep-tile homeomorphic to $D^2 \times S^1$. It is constructed by removing the two cubes corresponding to $[-1, 0] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 2]$ from $[-2, 2] \times [-2, 2] \times [0, 2]$ and then adding two cubes corresponding to $[-1, 0] \times [-1, 0] \times [2, 4]$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin with a discussion of useful classes of manifolds and submanifolds. A *handlebody* is a compact orientable 3-manifold with boundary homeomorphic to the closed regular neighborhood of a connected finite graph embedded in a 3-manifold. The genus of the boundary of a handlebody uniquely determines the homeomorphism class of the handlebody. We say a handlebody is genus g if its boundary is homeomorphic to a genus g surface.

Definition 2.1. *Given manifold M with submanifold N , the exterior of N in M is $E_M(N) := M \setminus \eta(N)$ where $\eta(N)$ is an open tubular neighborhood of N in M . When M is understood to be S^3 , we will write the exterior as $E(N)$.*




FIGURE 2. Above: A cube as an idempotent in the (2+1)-cobordism category modeling 1-to-2 self-replication. Below: A cube as a 3-dimensional 8-index rep-tile modeling 1-to-8 self-replication.

Here we introduce terminology related to cubic lattices in \mathbb{R}^3 that will be relevant to our construction. We will denote the standard embedding of the unit cubic lattice in \mathbb{R}^3 as

$$\mathcal{Z}^3 = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\{i\} \times \{j\} \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\{i\} \times \mathbb{R} \times \{j\}) \cup (\mathbb{R} \times \{i\} \times \{j\}).$$

Suppose $\lambda > 0$ and let $f_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the scaling function given by $f(x) = \lambda x$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda^3 = f(\mathcal{Z}^3)$.

Sometimes it will be useful to refer to the 3-complex structure induced by each of the cubic lattices mentioned above on \mathbb{R}^3 (i.e. the decomposition \mathbb{R}^3 into the union of vertices, edges, squares and cubes). Given the lattice \mathcal{Z}_λ^3 , the corresponding 3-complex structure on \mathbb{R}^3 will be denoted $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Z}_\lambda^3)$. Ultimately, our construction of 3D rep-tiles will be based on polycubes. A *polycube* is a subset of \mathbb{R}^3 that is similar to a finite union of 3-cells in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Z}^3)$. See Figure 1 for an example of a polycube consisting of 32 cubes and homeomorphic to $D^2 \times S^1$.

3. GRAPH EXTERIORS AS 3D REP-TILES

In [2] Adams shows that for any 3-dimensional compact submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^3 with a single boundary component, a 3-ball can be decomposed into four congruent tiles, each homeomorphic to M . The following theorem generalizes Adams' results and then applies the generalization

to 3D rep-tiles. In particular, the following proof implies that for any 3-dimensional compact submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^3 with a single boundary component, a cube can be decomposed into two congruent tiles such that each is a polycube and each is homeomorphic to M . For example, two copies of the polycube in Figure 1 tile the $4 \times 4 \times 4$ cube. We can see this by rotating the polycube in Figure 1 by π about the line that passes through the points A and B . This observation implies that this polycube is a rep-tile since each of its 32 cubes can be decomposed into a total of 64 polycubes which are all congruent to each other and similar to the original.

Theorem 3.1. *If M is the exterior of a connected graph in S^3 , then M is homeomorphic to a 3D rep-tile.*

Proof. Let $M = E(\Gamma)$ for some connected graph Γ embedded in S^3 . We begin by showing that $E(\Gamma)$ is homeomorphic to a *cube-with-holes* (i.e. the exterior of a collection of properly embedded arcs in a cube). Perform edge contractions on Γ to produce an embedded graph Γ_1 with a single vertex and n edges. Note that $E(\Gamma)$ is homeomorphic to $E(\Gamma_1)$. See Figure 3 for an example of an embedded graph in S^3 with a single vertex. Let V be a 3-ball corresponding to a small closed regular neighborhood of the vertex of Γ_1 . Let B be the 3-ball $S^3 \setminus \text{int}(V)$. The 3-ball B meets in Γ_1 in a collection of n properly embedded arcs $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. Note that $E_B(\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)$ is homeomorphic to $E(\Gamma_1)$. Label the boundary of each arc by $\partial\alpha_i = \{a_i, b_i\}$. Identify the three ball B with the unit cube $C = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ in \mathbb{R}^3 via a homeomorphism that takes the set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ to the interior of the square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \{0\}$ and takes the set $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ to the interior of the square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \{1\}$. See Figure 4. Through an abuse of notation, we continue to refer to the image of the arcs properly embedded in C as $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. Thus, $E_C(\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i) \cong E_B(\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)$.




FIGURE 3. A graph with a single vertex embedded in S^3 .

Next, we show the cube-with-holes we just generated is homeomorphic to a polycube. Note that for every positive integer m , $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{1}{m}}^3)$




FIGURE 4. Arcs α_1 and α_2 properly embedded in the cube C such that $E_C(\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2)$ is homeomorphic to the exterior of the graph in Figure 3.

induces a cell structure on C . Moreover, by choosing m sufficiently large and possibly performing a small proper isotopy of $\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$, we can assume $\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ is disjoint from the $\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{1}{m}}^3$ and, if A is the union of all 3-cells in C with non-trivial intersection with $\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$, then A is isotopic to a closed tubular neighborhood of $\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ in C . Let $i(A)$ denote the interior of all cells in C with non trivial intersection with $\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. Then $i(A)$ is isotopic to an open tubular neighborhood of $\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ in C and $C \setminus i(A)$ is a polycube homeomorphic to $E_C(\cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i) \cong E(\Gamma)$. See Figure 5.

We now modify $C \setminus i(A)$ to generate a polycube homeomorphic to $C \setminus i(A)$ which tiles the cube using two congruent copies. Let $C_1 = [0, 1] \times [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$, $C_2 = [-1, 0] \times [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$ and $C_3 = [-1, 0] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Note that $(C \setminus i(A)) \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ is again a polycube homeomorphic to $E(\Gamma)$. Let $r : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a rotation of π about the line parameterized by $\langle t, 0, 1 \rangle$. Let $X = (C \setminus i(A)) \cup (C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3) \cup r(A)$. The polycube X is homeomorphic to $(C \setminus i(A)) \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ since it is the boundary connected sum of $(C \setminus i(A)) \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ with a collection of n 3-balls. See Figure 6. Hence, $X \cong E(\Gamma)$. By construction, $X \cup r(X) = [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \times [0, 2]$ and $\text{int}(r(X)) \cap$




FIGURE 5. The exterior of the graph in Figure 3 represented as a polycube.

$\text{int}(X) = \emptyset$. Hence, two congruent copies of X with disjoint interiors tile the cube $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \times [0, 2]$. Since X is a polycube consisting of $4m^3$ cubes of side length $\frac{1}{m}$, then X is an 3-dimensional $8m^3$ -index rep-tile.

□

4. CLASSIFICATION

In order to show that every 3D rep-tile is homeomorphic to the exterior of a connected graph, we will require the following reimbedding theorem due to Fox.

Theorem 4.1. [10] *Every compact connected 3-dimensional sub-manifold X of S^3 can be reimbedded in S^3 so that the exterior of the image of X is a union of handlebodies, i.e. regular neighborhoods of embedded graphs.*

The idea behind the following proof is to show that if a 3D rep-tile $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$ has two boundary components, then a “minimal” boundary component over all X_i must also be a boundary component for X . This leads to a contradiction.

Theorem 4.2. *If X is a 3D rep-tile, then ∂X is connected.*




FIGURE 6. A 3D rep-tile homeomorphic to the exterior of the graph in Figure 3.

Proof. Suppose X is a 3D rep-tile such that ∂X is not connected. Then $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$ such that, X_i is congruent to X_j for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $\text{int}(X_i) \cap \text{int}(X_j) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, and X_1 is similar to X . Moreover, each X_i has at least two connected boundary components.

Note that every closed connected surface in \mathbb{R}^3 separates. Hence, any such surface G is the boundary of some finite volume region $R_G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Let F be a connected boundary component of some X_m such that R_F has the least volume over all finite volume regions bounded by any connected boundary component of any X_i .

Suppose the interior of R_F has nontrivial intersection with X . Then there exists some X_n embedded in R_F . Since X_n has at least two boundary components and R_F has one boundary component, then $X_n \neq R_F$. So, some boundary component of X_n bounds a finite volume region which is a proper subset of R_F and has volume strictly less than R_F . This is a contradiction to the minimality of the volume of R_F . Thus, the interior of R_F is disjoint from X . This implies that F is a boundary component of X .

However, if $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$ is a rep-tile and v is the volume of R_F , then the least volume of any finite volume region bounded by a connected boundary component of X is kv . Since F is a boundary component of X , then $kv = v$. Since $v > 0$, then $k = 1$, a contradiction. Hence, ∂X must be connected. \square

Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 immediately imply the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Every 3D rep-tile is homeomorphic to the exterior of a connected graph.*

Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorems 3.1 and 4.3.

Acknowledgements. All three authors were partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1916494. The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1821254. We would like to thank Florence Newberger for helpful conversations and Chaim Goodman-Strauss for helpful comments on an early draft.

REFERENCES

- [1] Samson Abramsky, *Temperley-lieb algebra: from knot theory to logic and computation via quantum mechanics*, Mathematics of quantum computation and quantum technology, 2007, pp. 515–558.
- [2] Colin C. Adams, *Knotted tilings*, The mathematics of long-range aperiodic order (Waterloo, ON, 1995), 1997, pp. 1–8. MR1460017
- [3] C. Bandt and Y. Wang, *Disk-like self-affine tiles in \mathbb{R}^2* , Discrete Comput. Geom. **26** (2001), no. 4, 591–601. MR1863811
- [4] Christoph Bandt, *Self-similar sets. V. Integer matrices and fractal tilings of \mathbf{R}^n* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), no. 2, 549–562. MR1036982
- [5] Christoph Bandt and Dmitry Mekhontsev, *Computer geometry: rep-tiles with a hole*, Math. Intelligencer **42** (2020), no. 1, 1–5. MR4068835
- [6] Ryan Blair and Ricky Lee, *Self-replicating 3-manifolds*, arXiv:2107.04528.
- [7] Ryan Blair and Joshua Sack, *Idempotents in tangle categories split*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **28** (2019), no. 5, 1950025, 9. MR3943699
- [8] Gregory R. Conner and Jörg M. Thuswaldner, *Self-affine manifolds*, Adv. Math. **289** (2016), 725–783. MR3439698
- [9] HT Croft, KJ Falconer, and RK Guy, *Unsolved problems in geometry*, Springer, 1991 (English).
- [10] Ralph H. Fox, *On the imbedding of polyhedra in 3-space*, Ann. of Math. (2) **49** (1948), 462–470. MR26326
- [11] Martin Gardner, *On rep-tiles, polygons that can make larger and smaller copies of themselves*, Scientific Amer. **208** (1963), 154–164.
- [12] S. W. Golomb, *Replicating figures in the plane*, Math.Gaz. **48** (1964), 403–412.
- [13] Herman Haverkort, *No acute tetrahedron is an 8-reptile*, Discrete Math. **341** (2018), no. 4, 1131–1135. MR3764364
- [14] Francis Jordan and Sze-Man Ngai, *Reptiles with holes*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **48** (2005), no. 3, 651–671. MR2171191
- [15] Jan Kynčl and Zuzana Patáková, *On the nonexistence of k -reptile simplices in \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{R}^4* , Electron. J. Combin. **24** (2017), no. 3, Paper No. 3.1, 44. MR3691518
- [16] Anwei Liu and Barry Joe, *On the shape of tetrahedra from bisection*, Math. Comp. **63** (1994), no. 207, 141–154. MR1240660
- [17] Jun Luo, Hui Rao, and Bo Tan, *Topological structure of self-similar sets*, Fractals **10** (2002), no. 2, 223–227. MR1910665

- [18] Jiří Matoušek and Zuzana Safernová, *On the nonexistence of k -reptile tetrahedra*, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **46** (2011), no. 3, 599–609. MR2826971
- [19] G. van Ophuysen, *Problem 19*, *Tagungsbericht* **20** (1997).