

# On Liouville type theorems for the stationary MHD and the Hall-MHD systems in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Dongho Chae\*, Junha Kim<sup>†</sup> and Jörg Wolf<sup>‡</sup>

Department of Mathematics,  
Chung-Ang University  
Seoul 06974, Republic of Korea

## Abstract

In this paper we prove a Liouville type theorem for the stationary MHD and the stationary Hall-MHD systems. Assuming suitable growth condition at infinity for the mean oscillations for the potential functions, we show that the solutions are trivial. These results generalize the previous results obtained by two of the current authors in [6]. To prove our main theorems we use a refined iteration argument.

**AMS Subject Classification Number:** 35Q30, 76D05, 76D03

**keywords:** stationary magnetohydrodynamics equations, Hall-MHD system, Liouville type theorem

## 1 Introduction

We consider the 3D stationary magnetohydrodynamics equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u = -\nabla P + (B \cdot \nabla)B, \\ -\Delta B + (u \cdot \nabla)B = (B \cdot \nabla)u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

which physically describe the steady-state of electrically conducting fluids, for example, plasmas. Here,  $u = u(x) = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$  is the velocity field of the fluid,  $B = B(x) = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$  is the magnetic field, and  $P = P(x)$  is the pressure of the fluid. When  $B \equiv 0$ , the system reduces to the stationary Navier–Stokes system.

As the Liouville type problem for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations has been studied extensively recently (see [1, 3, 4, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20]), there also are many works on the Liouville type problem on the MHD equations (see [16, 22, 23] and references therein). Here, we focus on the results under the assumptions in terms of the potential functions. We let  $\Phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$  and  $\Psi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$  be the potential functions for

---

\*E-mail: dchae@cau.ac.kr

<sup>†</sup>E-mail: jha02@cau.ac.kr

<sup>‡</sup>E-mail: jwolf2603@gmail.com

the vector fields  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $B \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  respectively, if  $\operatorname{div} \Phi = u$  and  $\operatorname{div} \Psi = B$ . In [18], Seregin proved Liouville type theorems for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations under the assumptions for the potential  $\Phi \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and for the velocity  $v \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$  (later in [19], the velocity condition is dropped). After that, Chae and Wolf improved the previous result (see [5]). For the MHD equations, Schulz obtained Liouville theorem in [17] under the conditions similar to those in [18]. Recently, Chae and Wolf in [6] proved the similar theorem but under relaxed conditions than [17]. Moreover, they also obtained similar Liouville type result for the 3D Hall-MHD system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u = -\nabla P + (B \cdot \nabla)B, \\ -\Delta B + (u \cdot \nabla)B = (B \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla \times ((\nabla \times B) \times B), \\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

We note that the system (1.2) is a physically important generalization of (1.1)(see e.g. [2] for preliminary mathematical results and physical motivation of the Hall-MHD system).

Our aim in this paper is to generalize the main results in [5, 6](and hence [17]).

**Theorem 1** *Let  $(u, B, P)$  be a smooth solution to (1.1). Assume that there exist  $\Phi, \Psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$  such that  $\nabla \cdot \Phi = u$ ,  $\nabla \cdot \Psi = B$ , and*

$$\left( \frac{1}{|B(r)|} \int_{B(r)} |\Phi - \Phi_{B(r)}|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left( \frac{1}{|B(r)|} \int_{B(r)} |\Psi - \Psi_{B(r)}|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq Cr^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{s}}, \quad r > 1 \quad (1.3)$$

for some  $3 < s \leq 6$ . Then  $u \equiv B \equiv 0$ .

**Remark 1** *In the case  $B \equiv 0$  Theorem 1 reduces to [5, Theorem 1.1].*

**Theorem 2** *Let  $(u, B, P)$  be a smooth solution to (1.2). Assume that there exist  $\Phi, \Psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$  such that  $\nabla \cdot \Phi = u$ ,  $\nabla \cdot \Psi = B$ , and (1.3) for some  $3 < s \leq 6$ . In addition,*

$$\left( \frac{1}{|B(r)|} \int_{B(r)} |B - B_{B(r)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = o\left(r^{\left(\frac{2s}{3} + 1\right)\left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{p}\right)}\right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.4)$$

for some  $p > 3$ . Then  $u \equiv B \equiv 0$ .

**Remark 2** *When  $s = 6$  and  $p = 6$ , the condition (1.4) reduces to*

$$\frac{1}{r^8} \int_{B(r)} |B - B_{B(r)}|^6 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

and we recover [6, Theorem 1.3].

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and inequalities used throughout this paper. The following lemma is for Caccioppoli type inequalities which are essential for achieving our results. For the proof, we refer to [7, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 1** *Let  $R > 1$  and  $f \in W^{1,2}(B(R); \mathbb{R}^3)$ . For  $0 < \rho < R$ , let  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B(R))$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$  and  $|\nabla\psi| \leq C/(R - \rho)$ . Suppose that there exists  $F \in W^{2,2}(B(R); \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$  with  $\nabla \cdot F = f$  and*

$$\left( \frac{1}{|B(r)|} \int_{B(r)} |F - F_{B(r)}|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq Cr^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{s}}, \quad r > 1$$

for some  $3 < s \leq 6$ . Then it holds

$$\|\psi^2 f\|_{L^2(B(R))}^2 \leq CR^{\frac{11}{6} - \frac{1}{s}} \|\psi \nabla f\|_{L^2(B(R))} + CR^{\frac{11}{3} - \frac{2}{s}} (R - \rho)^{-2} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\|\psi^3 f\|_{L^3(B(R))}^3 \leq CR \|\psi \nabla f\|_{L^2(B(R))}^{\frac{18}{s+6}} + CR^{4 - \frac{3}{s}} (R - \rho)^{-3} + CR((R - \rho)^{-1} \|\psi^2 f\|_{L^2(B(R))})^{\frac{18}{s+6}}. \quad (2.2)$$

To prove Theorem 2, it is important to estimate the Hall term in (1.2),  $\nabla \times ((\nabla \times B) \times B)$ , carefully. Here, we collect some simple inequalities which will help it. For a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and a cut-off function  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ , we use the notations

$$f_E := \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E f dx, \quad f_\varphi := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi dx \right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \varphi dx$$

for  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**Lemma 2** *Let  $R > 1$  and  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B(R))$  satisfy  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  and  $\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B(R))} \geq c|B(R)|$  for some  $c > 0$ . Then for a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset E \subset B(R)$ , we have*

$$\|f - f_\varphi\|_{L^p(E)} \leq \frac{c+1}{c} \|f - f_E\|_{L^p(E)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

**Proof.** Noting that  $f_\varphi - \tau = (f - \tau)_\varphi$  for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\|f - f_\varphi\|_{L^p(E)} \leq \|f - f_E\|_{L^p(E)} + \|(f - f_E)_\varphi\|_{L^p(E)}.$$

Since Hölder's inequality implies that

$$|(f - f_E)_\varphi| \leq \frac{1}{c|B(R)|} \|f - f_E\|_{L^p(E)} \|\varphi\|_{L^{p'}(E)} \leq \frac{|E|^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{c|B(R)|} \|f - f_E\|_{L^p(E)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\|f - f_\varphi\|_{L^p(E)} \leq \left( 1 + \frac{|E|}{c|B(R)|} \right) \|f - f_E\|_{L^p(E)}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3** *Let  $B \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\Psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$  satisfy  $\nabla \cdot \Psi = B$  and (1.3). Let  $R > 1$  and  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B(R))$  satisfy  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\|\varphi\|_{L^1(B(R))} \geq c|B(R)|$ , and  $|\nabla\varphi| \leq C/R$  for some  $c > 0$ ,  $C > 0$ . Then we have*

$$|B_\varphi| \leq \frac{C}{c} R^{-\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{s}}. \quad (2.4)$$

**Proof.** We clearly have that

$$|B_\varphi| \leq \frac{1}{c|B(R)|} \left| \int_{B(R)} B\varphi \, dx \right| = \frac{1}{c|B(R)|} \left| \int_{B(R)} \operatorname{div} (\Psi - \Psi_{B(R)})\varphi \, dx \right|.$$

Since integration by parts yields

$$\frac{1}{c|B(R)|} \left| \int_{B(R)} \operatorname{div} (\Psi - \Psi_{B(R)})\varphi \, dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{c|B(R)|} \int_{B(R)} |\Psi - \Psi_{B(R)}| |\nabla\varphi| \, dx,$$

applying the Hölder's inequality with  $|\nabla\varphi| \leq C/R$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c|B(R)|} \left| \int_{B(R)} \operatorname{div} (\Psi - \Psi_{B(R)})\varphi \, dx \right| &\leq \frac{C}{cR} \left( \frac{1}{|B(R)|} \int_{B(R)} |\Phi - \Phi_{B(R)}| \, dx \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{cR} \left( \frac{1}{|B(R)|} \int_{B(R)} |\Phi - \Phi_{B(R)}|^s \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (1.3) we complete the proof.  $\square$

At the end of this section, we define a family of cut-off functions for simplicity. For  $0 < \tau < \tau'$ , we let  $\zeta = \zeta_{\tau, \tau'} \in C_c^\infty(B(\tau'))$  be a radially non-increasing scalar function such that  $\zeta = 1$  on  $B(\tau)$ ,  $|\nabla\zeta| < 2/(\tau' - \tau)$ , and  $|\nabla^2\zeta| < 4/(\tau' - \tau)^2$ .

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Firstly, we show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) \, dx < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Let  $\varphi$  be a cut-off function in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . We multiply (1.1)<sub>1</sub> and (1.1)<sub>2</sub> by  $u\varphi$  and  $B\varphi$  respectively and integrate over  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then integration by parts with the divergence-free conditions yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2)\varphi \, dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^2 + |B|^2)\Delta\varphi \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^2 + |B|^2)u \cdot \nabla\varphi \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot B)(B \cdot \nabla)\varphi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (P - P_{B(\tau)})u \cdot \nabla\varphi \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\tau$  is any positive number. We set  $R > \rho > 1$  and  $\overline{R} = (R + \rho)/2$  and then take  $\varphi = \zeta_{\rho, \overline{R}}$  in (3.2). From the properties of  $\zeta_{\rho, \overline{R}}$ , Hölder's inequality, and Young's inequality we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(\rho)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) \, dx &\leq C(R - \rho)^{-2} \int_{B(\overline{R}) \setminus B(\rho)} (|u|^2 + |B|^2) \, dx \\ &\quad + C(R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(\overline{R}) \setminus B(\rho)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) \, dx + C(R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(\overline{R})} |P - P_{B(\overline{R})}|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\psi = \zeta_{\overline{R}, R}$ . Since  $\psi = 1$  on  $B(\overline{R})$ , it follows

$$\int_{B(\rho)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) \, dx \leq C(I_1 + I_2 + I_3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &:= (R - \rho)^{-2} \int_{B(R)} (|\psi^2 u|^2 + |\psi^2 B|^2) dx, \\
I_2 &:= (R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(R)} (|\psi^3 u|^3 + |\psi^3 B|^3) dx, \\
I_3 &:= (R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(\bar{R})} |P - P_{B(\bar{R})}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

To estimate  $I_3$  first, we consider the functional  $F \in W^{-1, \frac{3}{2}}(B(\bar{R}))$  such that

$$\langle F, \varphi \rangle = \int_{B(\bar{R})} (\nabla u - u \otimes u + B \otimes B) : \nabla \varphi dx, \quad \varphi \in W_0^{1,3}(B(\bar{R})).$$

Due to that  $(u, B, P)$  solves the equation (1.1), we can verify  $F = -\nabla(P - P_{B(\bar{R})})$  and  $\langle F, \varphi \rangle = 0$  for  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,3}(B(\bar{R}))$  with  $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$ . Thus, we can apply [21, Lemma 2.1.1] and see that

$$\int_{B(\bar{R})} |P - P_{B(\bar{R})}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \leq C \|F\|_{W^{-1, \frac{3}{2}}(B(\bar{R}))}^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

With the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F\|_{W^{-1, \frac{3}{2}}(B(\bar{R}))}^{\frac{3}{2}} &\leq \|\nabla u - u \otimes u + B \otimes B\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B(\bar{R}))}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq CR^{\frac{3}{4}} \left( \int_{B(\bar{R})} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + C \int_{B(\bar{R})} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx
\end{aligned}$$

we can obtain

$$I_3 \leq CR(R - \rho)^{-1} \left( \int_{B(R)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + CI_2.$$

Hence,

$$I_3 \leq \epsilon \int_{B(R)} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C(\epsilon)R^4(R - \rho)^4 + CI_2, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

Before estimating  $I_2$ , we notice that  $\psi = \zeta_{\bar{R}, R}$  satisfies  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$  and  $|\nabla \psi| \leq 4/(R - \rho)$ , thus, with (1.3), Lemma 1 is applicable. We use (2.2) and have

$$\begin{aligned}
(R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(R)} |\psi^3 u|^3 dx &\leq CR(R - \rho)^{-1} \|\psi \nabla u\|_{L^2(B(R))}^{\frac{18}{s+6}} + CR^{4-\frac{3}{s}}(R - \rho)^{-4} \\
&\quad + CR(R - \rho)^{-1} ((R - \rho)^{-1} \|\psi^2 u\|_{L^2(B(R))})^{\frac{18}{s+6}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By  $R > 1$ ,  $R(R - \rho)^{-1} > 1$ , and Young's inequality, it follows

$$(R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(R)} |\psi^3 u|^3 dx \leq \epsilon \|\psi \nabla u\|_{L^2(B(R))}^2 + C(\epsilon)R^{\frac{s+6}{s-3}}(R - \rho)^{-\frac{s+6}{s-3}} + I_1, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

Repeating the above process for  $B$  instead of  $u$ , we can have

$$(R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(R)} |\psi^3 B|^3 dx \leq \epsilon \|\psi \nabla B\|_{L^2(B(R))}^2 + C(\epsilon)R^{\frac{s+6}{s-3}}(R - \rho)^{-\frac{s+6}{s-3}} + I_1, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$I_2 \leq \epsilon \int_{B(R)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx + C(\epsilon)R^{\frac{s+6}{s-3}}(R-\rho)^{-\frac{s+6}{s-3}} + I_1, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

We continue estimating  $I_1$ . Using (2.1) with  $R > 1$ , we clearly have

$$\begin{aligned} (R-\rho)^{-2} \int_{B(R)} |\psi^2 u|^2 dx &\leq CR^{\frac{11}{6}-\frac{1}{s}}(R-\rho)^{-2} \|\psi \nabla u\|_{L^2(B(R))} + CR^{\frac{11}{3}-\frac{2}{s}}(R-\rho)^{-4} \\ &\leq CR^2(R-\rho)^{-2} \|\psi \nabla u\|_{L^2(B(R))} + CR^4(R-\rho)^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Young's inequality,

$$(R-\rho)^{-2} \int_{B(R)} |\psi^2 u|^2 dx \leq \epsilon \|\psi \nabla u\|_{L^2(B(R))}^2 + C(\epsilon)R^4(R-\rho)^{-4}, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

Similarly, we also obtain

$$(R-\rho)^{-2} \int_{B(R)} |\psi^2 B|^2 dx \leq \epsilon \|\psi \nabla B\|_{L^2(B(R))}^2 + C(\epsilon)R^4(R-\rho)^{-4}, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

thus,

$$I_1 \leq \epsilon \int_{B(R)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx + C(\epsilon)R^4(R-\rho)^{-4}, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

Collecting the estimates for  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$ , we have with  $R(R-\rho)^{-1} > 1$  that

$$\int_{B(\rho)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx \leq \epsilon \int_{B(R)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx + C(\epsilon)R^{\frac{s+6}{s-3}}(R-\rho)^{-\frac{s+6}{s-3}}, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

We fix  $\epsilon < 1$ . Then, thanks to the iteration Lemma in [10, V.Lemma 3.1], we can deduce

$$\int_{B(\rho)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx \leq CR^{\frac{s+6}{s-3}}(R-\rho)^{-\frac{s+6}{s-3}}.$$

Taking  $R = 2\rho$  and passing  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , we have (3.1).

Secondly, we show that

$$r^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Let  $R > \rho > R/4 > 1$  and  $\psi = \zeta_{\rho,R} - \zeta_{\rho/4,R/4}$ . Since  $\psi$  satisfies the assumptions for Lemma 1, with the assumption (1.3) we use Lemma 1 and have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(R)} |\psi^3 u|^3 dx &\leq CR \|\psi \nabla u\|_{L^2(B(R))}^{\frac{18}{s+6}} + CR^{4-\frac{3}{s}}(R-\rho)^{-3} \\ &+ CR((R-\rho)^{-2}R^{\frac{11}{6}-\frac{1}{s}} \|\psi \nabla u\|_{L^2(B(R))} + R^{\frac{11}{3}-\frac{2}{s}}(R-\rho)^{-4})^{\frac{9}{s+6}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(R)} |\psi^3 u|^3 dx &\leq CR \|\psi \nabla u\|_{L^2(B(R))}^{\frac{18}{s+6}} + CR^{4-\frac{3}{s}}(R-\rho)^{-3} \\ &+ CR(\|\psi \nabla u\|_{L^2(B(R))}^2 + R^{\frac{11}{3}-\frac{2}{s}}(R-\rho)^{-4})^{\frac{9}{s+6}}. \end{aligned}$$

And by taking  $\rho = 2r$  and  $R = 4r$  for  $r > 1$ , we can deduce that

$$r^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |u|^3 dx \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B(4r) \setminus B(r/2))}^{\frac{18}{s+6}} + Cr^{-\frac{3}{s}}.$$

Note that we can show the above inequality for  $B$ . Thus, we obtain (3.4) due to (3.1).

Thirdly, we show

$$r^{-1} \int_{B(r)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx \leq C, \quad r > 1. \quad (3.5)$$

By direct computation we see that

$$\begin{aligned} r^{-1} \int_{B(r)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} (2^{-j} r)^{-1} \int_{B(2^{-(j-1)}r) \setminus B(2^{-j}r)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx \\ &\leq \sup_{1/2 \leq \rho \leq r/2} \rho^{-1} \int_{B(2\rho) \setminus B(\rho)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx + \int_{B(1)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.4) implies (3.5).

Now, we conclude  $u \equiv B \equiv 0$ . Let  $r > 1$ . Inserting  $\varphi = \zeta_{r,2r}$  into (3.2), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(r)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx &\leq Cr^{-2} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} (|u|^2 + |B|^2) dx \\ + Cr^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx &+ Cr^{-1} \left( \int_{B(2r)} |P - P_{B(2r)}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |u|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hölder's inequality implies

$$r^{-2} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} (|u|^2 + |B|^2) dx \leq Cr^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left( r^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

By (3.5) we have

$$r^{-2} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} (|u|^2 + |B|^2) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also recall that (3.4)

$$r^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

As in the way we estimated  $I_3$ , we can show that

$$\int_{B(2r)} |P - P_{B(2r)}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \leq Cr^{\frac{3}{4}} \left( \int_{B(2r)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + C \int_{B(2r)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx.$$

From (3.1) and (3.5) we see that

$$r^{-1} \int_{B(2r)} |P - P_{B(2r)}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \leq C, \quad r > 1.$$

Hence, it follows

$$r^{-1} \left( \int_{B(2r)} |P - P_{B(2r)}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |u|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq C \left( r^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |u|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

And according to (3.4), we have

$$r^{-1} \left( \int_{B(2r)} |P - P_{B(2r)}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |u|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows that

$$\int_{B(r)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that  $u$  and  $B$  must be constants. Thanks to (3.4), we finally obtain  $u \equiv B \equiv 0$ .

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we use the notation

$$G(r) := \int_{B(r)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx$$

and

$$\Theta(r) := \frac{1}{r^{\frac{2s}{3}+1}(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{p})} \left( \frac{1}{|B(r)|} \int_{B(r)} |B - B_{B(r)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for  $r > 1$ . Notice that by means of the condition (1.4), it holds

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(r) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

We first show (3.1). For a cut-off function  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , as we obtained (3.2) we have from (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) \varphi dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^2 + |B|^2) \Delta \varphi dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^2 + |B|^2) u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot B) (B \cdot \nabla) \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ((\nabla \times B) \times B) \cdot B \times \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (P - P_{B(\tau)}) u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for any  $\tau > 0$ . Let  $R > \rho > 1$  and  $\bar{R} = (R + \rho)/2$  and insert  $\varphi = \zeta_{\rho, \bar{R}}$  into (4.2). Then we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} G(\rho) &\leq C(R - \rho)^{-2} \int_{B(\bar{R}) \setminus B(\rho)} (|u|^2 + |B|^2) dx + C(R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(\bar{R}) \setminus B(\rho)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx \\ &+ C(R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(\bar{R})} |P - P_{B(\bar{R})}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx + C(R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(\bar{R})} |\nabla B| |B|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$I_4 := (R - \rho)^{-1} \int_{B(\bar{R})} |\nabla B| |B|^2 dx.$$



With the notations (4.3) we have that

$$\int_{B(\rho)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx \leq C(I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4).$$

In the proof of Theorem 1, we already showed

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \leq \epsilon G(R) + C(\epsilon) R^{\frac{s+6}{s-3}} (R-\rho)^{-\frac{s+6}{s-3}}, \quad \epsilon > 0. \quad (4.3)$$

We estimate  $I_4$  by considering the cases  $3 < p \leq 4$  and  $p > 4$  separately.

(i)  $3 < p \leq 4$  case:

Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$I_4 \leq (R-\rho)^{-1} \|B\|_{L^p(B(R))}^{\frac{p}{6-p}} \|B\|_{L^6(B(R))}^{\frac{3(4-p)}{6-p}} G(R)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let  $\varphi = \zeta_{R/2, R}$ . Then, since it is satisfied regardless of  $R > 1$  that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\|\varphi\|_{L^1} \geq |B(R)|/8$ ,  $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 4/R$ , we can see by (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(R)} |B|^p dx &\leq C \int_{B(R)} |B - B_\varphi|^p dx + C |B_\varphi|^p |B(R)| \\ &\leq C \int_{B(R)} |B - B_{B(R)}|^p dx + CR^{-\frac{2p}{3} - \frac{p}{s} + 3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows

$$\int_{B(R)} |B|^p dx \leq CR^{(\frac{2s}{3}+1)(\frac{p}{3}-1)+3} \Theta(R)^p + CR^{-\frac{2p}{3} - \frac{p}{s} + 3}. \quad (4.4)$$

Continuously, using  $R^{(\frac{2s}{3}+1)(\frac{p}{3}-1)+3} = R^{6-p} R^{(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)}$  and  $R^{-\frac{2p}{3} - \frac{p}{s} + 3} \leq R^{6-p}$ , we obtain

$$\int_{B(R)} |B|^p dx \leq CR^{6-p} (R^{(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)} \Theta(R)^p + 1).$$

As above we can also have

$$\int_{B(R)} |B|^6 dx \leq C \int_{B(R)} |B - B_{B(R)}|^6 dx + CR^{-1 - \frac{6}{s}}.$$

By Poincaré-Sobolev inequality with  $R^{-1 - \frac{6}{s}} \leq 1$ ,

$$\int_{B(R)} |B|^6 dx \leq C \left( \int_{B(R)} |\nabla B|^2 dx \right)^3 + C. \quad (4.5)$$

Combining the above estimates, we can infer

$$I_4 \leq CR(R-\rho)^{-1} (R^{(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)} \Theta(R)^p + 1)^{\frac{1}{6-p}} (G(R) + 1)^{\frac{3(4-p)}{2(6-p)} + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Noting that

$$\frac{3(4-p)}{2(6-p)} + \frac{1}{2} < 1, \quad p > 3,$$

with the Young's inequality and  $R(R - \rho)^{-1} > 1$  we deduce that

$$I_4 \leq \epsilon G(R) + C(\epsilon) R^{\frac{6-p}{p-3}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{6-p}{p-3}} \left( R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \Theta(R)^p + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p-3}}, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

(ii)  $p \geq 4$  case:

Using Hölder's inequality with  $\psi = \zeta_{\overline{R}, R}$ , we have

$$I_4 \leq (R - \rho)^{-1} \|B\|_{L^p(B(R))}^{\frac{p}{2(p-3)}} \|\psi^3 B\|_{L^3(B(R))}^{\frac{3(p-4)}{2(p-3)}} G(R)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Due to  $p \geq 4$ , we can verify  $R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+1\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)+3} \leq R^{p-2} R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)}$  and  $R^{-\frac{2p}{3}-\frac{p}{s}+3} \leq R^{p-2}$ . Applying it to (4.4) yields

$$\int_{B(R)} |B|^p dx \leq C R^{p-2} \left( R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \Theta(R)^p + 1 \right).$$

On the other hand, recalling the definition of  $I_2$ , we have

$$\int_{B(R)} |\psi^3 B|^3 dx = (R - \rho) I_2.$$

Hence, with the Young's inequality we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq C R^{\frac{p-2}{2(p-3)}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{p-2}{2(p-3)}} \left( R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \Theta(R)^p + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2(p-3)}} G(R)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_2^{\frac{p-4}{2(p-3)}} \\ &\leq C R (R - \rho)^{-1} \left( R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \Theta(R)^p + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} G(R)^{\frac{p-3}{p-2}} + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $(p-3)/(p-2) < 1$ , we use Young's inequality again to have

$$I_4 \leq \epsilon G(R) + C(\epsilon) R^{p-2} (R - \rho)^{-(p-2)} \left( R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \Theta(R)^p + 1 \right) + I_2, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

Therefore, for any case we have

$$I_4 \leq \epsilon G(R) + C(\epsilon) R^\beta (R - \rho)^{-\beta} \left( R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \Theta(R)^p + 1 \right)^\gamma + I_2, \quad \epsilon > 0$$

where

$$\beta := \max \left\{ \frac{6-p}{p-3}, p-2 \right\}, \quad \gamma := \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p-3}, 1 \right\}.$$

Taking  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small and redefining  $\beta$  to satisfy  $\beta \geq (s+6)/(s-3)$  also, we deduce

$$G(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{2} G(R) + C R^\beta (R - \rho)^{-\beta} \left( R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \Theta(R)^p + 1 \right)^\gamma.$$

We set  $r > 1$  and  $r \leq \rho < R \leq 2r$ . Since we clearly have

$$\left( R^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \Theta(R)^p + 1 \right)^\gamma \leq C \left( r^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \sup_{\tau \in [r, 2r]} \Theta(\tau)^p + 1 \right)^\gamma,$$

employing the iteration Lemma in [10, Lemma 3.1], we can see

$$G(\rho) \leq C R^\beta (R - \rho)^{-\beta} \left( r^{\left(\frac{2s}{3}+4\right)\left(\frac{p}{3}-1\right)} \sup_{\tau \in [r, 2r]} \Theta(\tau)^p + 1 \right)^\gamma. \quad (4.6)$$

And by letting  $\rho = r$  and  $R = 2r$ , (4.1) gives that

$$G(r) \leq C \left( r^{(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)} \sup_{\tau \in [r, 2r]} \Theta(\tau)^p + 1 \right)^\gamma \leq Cr^{\gamma(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)} \quad (4.7)$$

for sufficiently large  $r > 1$ . To finish showing (3.1), we estimate  $I_4$  in another way. Using Hölder's inequalities with  $\psi = \zeta_{\bar{R}, R}$ , we can have

$$I_4 \leq (R - \rho)^{-1} \|B\|_{L^p(B(R))}^{\frac{3p}{sp-3s+3p}} \|B\|_{L^6(B(R))}^{\frac{sp-3s-3p+18}{sp-3s+3p}} \|\psi^3 B\|_{L^3(B(R))}^{\frac{sp-3s+6p-18}{sp-3s+3p}} G(R)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We apply  $R^{-\frac{2p}{3}-\frac{p}{2}+3} \leq 1$  to (4.4) and obtain

$$\|B\|_{L^p(B(R))} \leq CR^{(\frac{2s}{3}+1)(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{3}{p}} \Theta(R) + C.$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi^3 B\|_{L^3(B(R))} &\leq CR^{\frac{1}{3}} G(R)^{\frac{3}{s+6}} + CR^{\frac{1}{3}+(\frac{11}{12}-\frac{1}{2s})\frac{6}{s+6}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{6}{s+6}} G(R)^{\frac{3}{2(s+6)}} \\ &\quad + CR^{\frac{4}{3}-\frac{1}{s}} (R - \rho)^{-1} + CR^{\frac{1}{3}+(\frac{11}{6}-\frac{1}{s})\frac{6}{s+6}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{12}{s+6}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then using Young's inequality along with  $R(R - \rho) > 1$  and  $R > 1$ , we deduce

$$\|\psi^3 B\|_{L^3(B(R))} \leq CR^{\frac{1}{3}} G(R)^{\frac{3}{s+6}} + CR^{\frac{1}{3}+\frac{12}{s+6}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{12}{s+6}}.$$

Thus, with (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq C(R - \rho)^{-1} \left( R^{(\frac{2s}{3}+1)(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{3}{p}} \Theta(R) + R^{-\frac{2p}{3}-\frac{p}{s}+3} \right)^{\frac{3p}{sp-3s+3p}} (G(R)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)^{\frac{sp-3s-3p+18}{sp-3s+3p}} \\ &\quad \left( R^{\frac{1}{3}} G(R)^{\frac{3}{s+6}} + R^{\frac{1}{3}+\frac{12}{s+6}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{12}{s+6}} \right)^{\frac{sp-3s+6p-18}{sp-3s+3p}} G(R)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We also set  $r \leq \rho < R \leq 2r$  for  $r > 1$ . By  $R(R - \rho) > 1$  again, we can rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq CR(R - \rho)^{-1} \left( \Theta(R)^{\frac{3p}{sp-3s+3p}} + R^{-\frac{2sp+3p}{3s}} \right) (G(R) + R^{\frac{12}{s+6}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{12}{s+6}}) \\ &\leq CR(R - \rho)^{-1} \left( \sup_{\tau \in [r, 2r]} \Theta(\tau)^{\frac{3p}{sp-3s+3p}} + r^{-\frac{2sp+3p}{3s}} \right) G(2r) + CR^{\frac{s+6}{s-3}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{s+6}{s-3}}. \end{aligned}$$

We used in the last inequality that

$$\sup_{\tau \in [r, 2r]} \Theta(\tau)^{\frac{3p}{sp-3s+3p}} + r^{-\frac{2sp+3p}{3s}} \leq 1$$

which is true for sufficiently large  $r > 1$ . Recalling (4.3) and taking  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we arrive at

$$G(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{2} G(R) + CR^{\frac{s+6}{s-3}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{s+6}{s-3}} + CR(R - \rho)^{-1} \left( \sup_{\tau \in [r, 2r]} \Theta(\tau)^{\frac{3p}{sp-3s+3p}} + r^{-\frac{2sp+3p}{3s}} \right) G(2r).$$

According to the iteration Lemma in [10, Lemma 3.1], it follows that

$$G(\rho) \leq CR^{\frac{s+6}{s-3}} (R - \rho)^{-\frac{s+6}{s-3}} + CR(R - \rho)^{-1} \left( \sup_{\tau \in [r, 2r]} \Theta(\tau)^{\frac{3p}{sp-3s+3p}} + r^{-\frac{2sp+3p}{3s}} \right) G(2r).$$

In particular, for  $\rho = r$  and  $R = 2r$ ,

$$G(r) \leq \kappa \left( \sup_{\tau \in [r, 2r]} \Theta(\tau)^{\frac{3p}{sp-3s+3p}} + r^{-\frac{2sp+3p}{3s}} \right) G(2r) + \kappa \quad (4.8)$$

for some  $\kappa > 0$ . We consider  $r > 1$  sufficiently large such that

$$\kappa \left( \sup_{\tau \in [r, 2r]} \Theta(\tau)^{\frac{3p}{sp-3s+3p}} + r^{-\frac{2sp+3p}{3s}} \right) \leq 2^{-2\gamma(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)}.$$

Then iterating (4.8)  $n$ -times yields

$$G(r) \leq 2^{-2n\gamma(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)} G(2^n r) + \kappa \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{-2j\gamma(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)}.$$

Thus, (4.7) implies that

$$G(r) \leq 2^{-n\gamma(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)} r^{\gamma(\frac{2s}{3}+4)(\frac{p}{3}-1)} + C.$$

After letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we pass  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , which shows (3.1).

Following the way we obtained (3.4) in the proof of Theorem 1, we clearly have from (3.1) the same conclusion, and (3.5) also follows.

Now, we are ready to finish the proof. Let  $r > 1$  and  $\varphi = \zeta_{r, 2r}$ . From (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(r)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx \leq Cr^{-2} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} (|u|^2 + |B|^2) dx \\ & + Cr^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} (|u|^3 + |B|^3) dx + Cr^{-1} \left( \int_{B(2r)} |P - P_{B(2r)}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |u|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ & \quad + Cr^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |B|^2 |\nabla B| dx. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain

$$\int_{B(r)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

it suffices to show that

$$r^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |B|^2 |\nabla B| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using Hölder's inequality yields

$$r^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |B|^2 |\nabla B| dx \leq Cr^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B(2r)} |B|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |\nabla B|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By (4.5) we have

$$r^{-1} \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |B|^2 |\nabla B| dx \leq Cr^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \left( \int_{B(2r)} |\nabla B|^2 dx \right)^3 + 1 \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{B(2r) \setminus B(r)} |\nabla B|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From (3.1) we obtain what we desired. This completes the proof.

### Acknowledgements

Chae's research was partially supported by NRF grants 2021R1A2C1003234, and by the Chung-Ang University research grant in 2019. Wolf has been supported by NRF grants 2017R1E1A1A01074536. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Dongho Chae, *Liouville-type theorems for the forced Euler equations and the Navier–Stokes equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **326** (2014), no. 1, 37–48.
- [2] Dongho Chae, Pierre Degond and Jian-Guo Liu, *Well-posedness for Hall–magnetohydrodynamics*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **31** (2014), no. 3, 555–565.
- [3] Dongho Chae and Tsuyoshi Yoneda, *On the Liouville theorem for the stationary Navier–Stokes equations in a critical space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **405** (2013), no. 2, 706–710.
- [4] Dongho Chae and Jörg Wolf, *On Liouville type theorems for the steady Navier–Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$* , J. Differential Equations **261** (2016), no. 10, 5541–5560.
- [5] Dongho Chae and Jörg Wolf, *On Liouville type theorem for the stationary Navier–Stokes equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **58** (2019), no. 3, Paper No. 111, 11 pp.
- [6] Dongho Chae and Jörg Wolf, *On Liouville type theorems for the stationary MHD and Hall–MHD systems*, J. Differential Equations **295** (2021), 233–248.
- [7] Dongho Chae, Junha Kim, Jörg Wolf, *On Liouville type theorems for the stationary non-Newtonian fluid equations*, arXiv:2107.09867.
- [8] Diego Chamorro, Oscar Jarrin and Pierre-Gilles Lemarié-Rieusset, *Some Liouville theorems for stationary Navier–Stokes equations in Lebesgue and Morrey spaces*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **38** (2021), no. 3, 689–710.
- [9] Giovanni Paolo Galdi, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier–Stokes equations: Steady-state problems*. Second edition. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2011. xiv+1018 pp.
- [10] Mariano Giaquinta, *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 105. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983. vii+297 pp.
- [11] David Gilbarg and Hans F. Weinberger, *Asymptotic properties of steady plane solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations with bounded Dirichlet integral*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **5** (1978), no. 2, 381–404.
- [12] Oscar Jarrin, *Some Liouville theorems for stationary Navier–Stokes equations in Lebesgue and Morrey spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **486** (2020), Article 123871.
- [13] Gabriel Koch, Nikolai Nadirashvili and Gregory A. Seregin, Vladimir Šverák, *Liouville theorems for the Navier–Stokes equations and applications*, Acta Math. **203** (2009), no. 1, 83–105.
- [14] Mikhail Korobkov, Konstantin Pileckas and Remigio Russo, *The Liouville theorem for the steady-state Navier–Stokes problem for axially symmetric 3D solutions in absence of swirl*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **17** (2015), no. 2, 287–293.

- [15] Hideo Kozono, Yutaka Terasawa and Yuta Wakasugi, *A remark on Liouville-type theorems for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations in three space dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), no. 2, 804-818.
- [16] Zhouyu Li and Pengcheng Niu, *Liouville type theorems for the 3D stationary Hall-MHD equations*, ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech. **100** (2020), no. 5, e201900200, 9 pp.
- [17] Simon Schulz, *Liouville type theorem for the stationary equations of magnetohydrodynamics*, Acta Math. Sci. **39** (2019), 491-497.
- [18] Gregory A. Seregin, *Liouville type theorem for stationary Navier–Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity **29** (2016), no. 8, 2191-2195.
- [19] Gregory A. Seregin, *Remarks on Liouville type theorems for steady-state Navier–Stokes equations*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **30** (2019), no. 2, 321-328.
- [20] Gregory A. Seregin and Wendong Wang, *Sufficient conditions on Liouville type theorems for the 3D steady Navier–Stokes equations*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **31** (2020), no. 2, 387-393.
- [21] Hermann Sohr, *The Navier–Stokes equations. An elementary functional analytic approach*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001. x+367 pp.
- [22] Baoquan Yuan and Yamin Xiao, *Liouville-type theorems for the 3D stationary Navier-Stokes, MHD and Hall-MHD equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **491** (2020), no. 2, 124343, 10 pp.
- [23] Zujin Zhang, Xian Yang and Shulin Qiu, *Remarks on Liouville type result for the 3D Hall-MHD system*, J. Partial Differ. Equ. **28** (2015), no. 3, 286-290.