

Remarks on the geodesically completeness and the smoothing effect on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes

Kouichi Taira*

Abstract

In this note, we study a geometric property of asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes and an analytic property of the Klein-Gordon operator. Precisely, our first main results show that asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes are geodesically complete under a null non-trapping condition. Secondly, we prove that Sobolev index of a real principal type estimate used in the previous work is actually optimal.

1 Introduction

Let g_0 be a Minkowski metric on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and g_0^{-1} be its dual metric:

$$g_0 = -dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_{n+1}^2, \quad g_0^{-1} = -\partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 + \dots + \partial_{x_{n+1}}^2 = (g_0^{ij})_{i,j=1}^n,$$

where we denote

$$x = (t, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

that is, t is the time variable and y is the space variable in the spacetime. We denote $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and introduce the function space

$$S^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) := \{a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \mid |\partial_x^\alpha a(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{k-|\alpha|}\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 1. A Lorentzian metric g on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is called asymptotically Minkowski if the inverse matrix $g^{-1}(x) = (g^{jk}(x))_{j,k=1}^n$ of $g(x)$ satisfies $g^{jk} - g_0^{jk} \in S^{-\mu}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for some $\mu > 0$.

The Feynman propagator, which is an inverse of $-\square_g$ and satisfies a certain wavefront condition, is a fundamental object in Quantum field theory. In [5], [6], [9], [10] and [20], the Feynman propagator is constructed on various spacetimes including asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes. In [19], it is proved that on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes, the (anti-)Feynman propagator constructed in [9] and [10] coincides with the outgoing resolvent of the Klein-Gordon operator. Such identity should hold since for the exact

*e-mail:ktaira@fc.ritsumei.ac.jp

Minkowski spacetime, the Feynman propagator is defined by the outgoing resolvent in physics literatures. See also the review article [11].

In this short note, we give supplementary results on the geometry and the property of the Klein-Gordon operator on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes: One is a result on the completeness of asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes and the other is a result on the optimality of the local smoothing estimate used in [19].

1.1 First result

It is a classical question how the essential self-adjointness of the pseudodifferential operator is related to the completeness of the associated Hamilton flow ([17, Vol II], [2]). In the case of the Laplace operator P on a semi-Riemannian manifold M , this corresponds to a relation between the essential self-adjointness of P and the geodesically completeness of M . It is well-known that on the geodesically complete Riemannian manifold, the Laplace operator is essentially self-adjoint on C_c^∞ . In [2] and references therein, such a relation is studied for more general Schrödinger type operators on complete Riemannian manifolds.

In [3], it is shown that the completeness of the Hamilton flow is equivalent to the essential self-adjointness on generic closed Lorentzian surfaces. The authors in [3] conjecture that the completeness of the Hamilton flow implies the essential self-adjointness for non-elliptic operators on closed manifold. In [19], this conjecture is solved for general real principal type operators on one-dimensional torus. As a related result, in [13], the author gives an example of a Lorentzian manifold (M, g) which is geodesically complete and globally hyperbolic, but the Laplace operator associated with g is not essentially self-adjoint.

Recently, it is shown in [20] and [16] that the wave operator on an asymptotically Minkowski spacetime is essentially self-adjoint on C_c^∞ under a null non-trapping condition. However, on this manifold, a relation to the geodesically completeness was not revealed. In this note, we show that a null non-trapping condition implies the completeness on this manifold, including timelike and spacelike completeness.

We introduce a non-trapping condition which is a bit weaker than the condition in [9], [10], [16] and [19] in the sense that the completeness of the null geodesics are not assumed here.

Definition 2. [1, Definition 11.17] Let (M, g) be a Lorentzian manifold. We say that a maximally extended geodesic is forward (resp. backward) non-trapping if for each $t_0 \in (-T_0, T_1)$, $\gamma|_{(t_0, T_1)}$ (resp. $\gamma|_{(-T_0, t_0]}$) fails to have compact closure. We say that γ is non-trapping if γ is both forward and backward non-trapping. The Lorentzian manifold (M, g) is called null non-trapping (or null disprisoning) if all non-constant maximally extended null geodesic is non-trapping.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g) is asymptotically Minkowski and null non-trapping in the sense of Definition 2. Then (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g) is geodesically complete.*

1.2 Second result

Next, we consider the exact Minkowski spacetime (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g_0) and the operator

$$P = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_y.$$

It is well-known that P is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. We denote the unique self-adjoint extension of P by its same symbol. In [19, Proposition 3.2], it is proved that the resolvent $(P - i)^{-1}$ has the following mapping property:

$$(P - i)^{-1} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

This mapping property plays a crucial role for the proof of the limiting absorption principle in [19] on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes. On the other hand, the radial estimate ([4, Propositions A.3, A.4], [19, Theorem A.3], [20, (2), (3)]) and the propagation of singularities imply

$$(P - i)^{-1} : \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon} L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Namely, (1.2) states that if f has an additional decay (compared with the case (1.1)), then its image $(P - i)^{-1}f$ gains a better regularity. So a natural question is whether the Sobolev index $\frac{1}{2}$ in (1.1) is optimal or not. In this note, we give an affirmative answer to this question.

Theorem 1.2. *For the Minkowski spacetime (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g_0) , for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that $(P - i)^{-1}f \notin H_{loc}^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$.*

Usual real principal type estimates are used for proving existence of a local solution ([12, Theorem 26.1.7]). The estimates like (1.1) and (1.2) might be regarded as global versions of the real principal type estimates. Theorem (1.2) states that a global analogue of the usual real principal type estimates with Sobolev index 1 does not hold if we impose $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ only. We note that Sobolev index $\frac{1}{2}$ in (1.1) is same as the local smoothing effects for time-dependent Schrödinger equations (see also [19, §1.2]).

1.3 Organization and notation

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the proof of the geodesically completeness is given. In Section 3, we show the optimality of the mapping property (1.1). Moreover, in the Appendix, we give a short proof of (1.1) and (1.2) on the exact Minkowski spacetime.

We fix some notations. We denote $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We use the Sobolev spaces: $H^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \langle D \rangle^{-k} L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote the Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^m by $\mathcal{F}f(y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{-iy \cdot \eta} f(y) dy$. For a Banach space X , we denote the norm of X by $\|\cdot\|_X$. If X is a Hilbert space, we write the inner metric of X by $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$ is linear with respect to the right variable. For a Lorentzian manifold (M, g) , we say that $v \in T_p M$ is a null vector if $g_p(v, v) = 0$.

2 Geodesically completeness of the Asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes

2.1 Completeness of trapped geodesics

In this subsection, we discuss completeness of non-null trapped geodesics. The following lemma is possibly well-known, however, the author cannot find a suitable reference. Hence we give a proof here.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose a manifold M satisfies the second axiom of countability. Suppose that a Lorentzian manifold (M, g) is null non-trapping and $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ is a maximally extended forward (resp. backward) trapped non-null geodesic, that is, $g(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)) \neq 0$ and $\gamma([0, b)) \subset K$ (resp. $\gamma((a, 0]) \subset K$) with a compact set $K \subset M$. Then γ is forward complete (resp. backward complete) in the sense that $b = \infty$ (resp. $a = \infty$).*

Proof. We consider the forward case only. Since M satisfies the second axiom of countability, there exists a complete Riemannian metric on M (see [15]). We fix a complete Riemannian metric h on M . Let

$$SM = \{(x, v) \in TM \mid h(v, v) = 1\}$$

be the sphere bundle associated with h . Since γ is trapped, there exists $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma([0, b)) \subset K$ for a compact set $K \subset M$.

Set

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{h(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \gamma'(t) \in S_{\gamma(t)}M.$$

Let φ_t be the geodesic flow on TM and $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ be the natural projection. Since $(\gamma(t), v(t)) \in SM|_K := SM \cap \pi^{-1}(K)$ and $SM|_K$ is compact, there exist a sequence $\{t_i\}_i$ and $(q, v) \in SM|_K$ such that $t_i \rightarrow b$ and $(\gamma(t_i), v(t_i)) \rightarrow (q, v) \in SM$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. We shall show that v is not null. We suppose v is a null vector. Then the null non-trapping condition implies the existence of $T > 0$ such that $\varphi_t(q, v)$ exists for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $\varphi_T(q, v) \notin SM|_K$. By the continuous dependence of initial datas of ODE, we have $\varphi_T(\gamma(t_i), v(t_i)) \rightarrow \varphi_T(q, v)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $\varphi_T(\gamma(t_i), v(t_i)) \notin SM|_K$. This contradicts to

$$\varphi_T(\gamma(t_i), v(t_i)) = \varphi_{\frac{T}{h(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))^{\frac{1}{2}}}}(\gamma(t_i), \gamma'(t_i)) \in SM|_K.$$

Thus it follows that v is a not null vector.

Suppose γ is not forward complete. Then we have $b < \infty$ and

$$0 \neq g(v, v) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g(v(t_i), v(t_i)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))}{h(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))}.$$

Notice that $g(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))$ is non zero constant. Thus we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i)) = \frac{g(\gamma'(t_i), \gamma'(t_i))}{g(v, v)} < \infty$$

Since $\gamma([0, b)) \subset K$ and h is complete Riemannian metric, it contradicts to $b < \infty$ and to [8, Proposition 2.1]. \square

2.2 Completeness of non-trapping orbits

In the following of this section, we assume g is an asymptotically Minkowski metric on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We set $p(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j$. Let $(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi))$ denote the solution to the Hamilton equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} y(t, x, \xi) = \partial_{\xi} p(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi)), & \begin{cases} y(0, x, \xi) = x, \\ \eta(0, x, \xi) = \xi. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

It is well-known that $t \mapsto y(t, x, \xi)$ is a geodesic on the Lorentzian manifold (M, g) with the initial value x and the initial velocity $g(x)^{-1}\xi$. The following lemma follows from a direct calculation. See [16, Lemma A.1] for a proof.

Lemma 2.2. *There exist $M > 0$ and $R_0 > 1$ such that*

$$H_p^2(|x|^2) \geq M|\xi|^2$$

for any $(x, \xi) \in \{(y, \eta) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n \mid |y| > R_0, |\eta| \neq 0\}$.

We also mention a result on an extension of solutions to the Hamilton equation.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Suppose that the solution $(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi))$ to (2.1) exists for a time interval (T_0, T_1) and that there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$|\eta(t, x, \xi)| \leq C \quad \text{for } t \in (T_0, T_1).$$

Then the solution $(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi))$ can be extended to a time interval beyond (T_0, T_1) .

Proof. We note $|\partial_\xi p(x, \xi)| \leq C'|\xi|$ with a constant $C' > 0$. By the assumption and the Hamilton equation, we have $|y(t, x, \xi)| \leq |x| + CC'|t|$. Thus $(y(t, x, \xi), \eta(t, x, \xi))$ stays a fixed compact set for $t \in (T_0, T_1)$. A standard theory of ODE gives our conclusion. \square

Now we show that every non-trapping orbits are complete on the asymptotically Minkowski spacetiems. The following proposition is proved by a slight modification of the proof in [16], which follows the strategy in [14].

Proposition 2.4. *Fix $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\xi_0 \neq 0$ and suppose that (x_0, ξ_0) is forward (resp. backward) non-trapping in the sense that there exists $T \in (0, \infty]$ (resp. $[-\infty, 0)$) such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T, t < T} |y(t, x_0, \xi_0)| = \infty \quad (\text{resp. } \lim_{t \rightarrow T, t > T} |y(t, x_0, \xi_0)| = \infty)$$

Then, there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \leq |\eta(t, x_0, \xi_0)| \leq C_2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < T \quad (\text{resp. } -T < t \leq 0).$$

Moreover, it follows that $|T| = \infty$ and that the orbit $(y(t, x_0, \xi_0), \eta(t, x_0, \xi_0))$ is forward (resp. backward) complete.

Proof. We consider the forward case only. We write $y(t) = y(t, x_0, \xi_0)$ and $\eta(t) = \eta(t, x_0, \xi_0)$. Let R_0 be as in Lemma 2.2, and we let $R_1 \geq R_0$ which is determined later. We first note that by the forward non-trapping condition and Lemma 2.2, there exists $0 \leq t_0 < T$ such that for $t_0 \leq t < T$, we have

$$|y(t)| \geq R_1, \quad \frac{d}{dt}|y(t)|^2 \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that there are $0 < s_0 < t_0 < T$ such that $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|y(t)|^2 > 0$ for $t \geq s_0$, and $\frac{d}{dt}|y(t_0)|^2 > 0$. Then for all $t_0 \leq t < T$, the condition (2.2) is satisfied.

Take a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_x p(x, \xi)| \leq C_0 |x|^{-1-\mu} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1.$$

We write $\eta_0 = |\eta(t_0)|$ and $T_1 := \sup\{s \in [t_0, T] \mid \frac{1}{2}\eta_0 \leq |\eta(t)|\}$. By Lemma 2.2 and (2.2), we have

$$|y(t)|^2 \geq R_1^2 + \frac{M\eta_0^2}{8}(t - t_0)^2 \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t < T_1.$$

Since $R_1 \geq 1$, the Hamilton equation gives $|\eta'(t)| \leq C_0 |y(t)|^{-1-\mu} |\eta(t)|^2$ for $t_0 \leq t < T_1$ and hence

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} |\eta(t)|^{-1} \right| \leq C_0 \left(R_1^2 + \frac{M\eta_0^2}{8}(t - t_0)^2 \right)^{-\frac{1+\mu}{2}} \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t < T_1.$$

Thus, for $t_0 \leq t < T_1$, we obtain

$$|\eta_0^{-1} - |\eta(t)|^{-1}| \leq C_0 \int_{t_0}^t \left(R_1^2 + \frac{M\eta_0^2}{8}(s - t_0)^2 \right)^{-\frac{1+\mu}{2}} ds \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}C_0 C_\mu}{R_1^\mu M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \eta_0^{-1}$$

where $C_\mu = \int_0^\infty (1 + s^2)^{-\frac{1+\mu}{2}} ds$. Taking R_1 large enough, we have $\frac{2}{3}\eta_0 \leq |\eta(t)| \leq \frac{4}{3}\eta_0$ for $t_0 \leq t < T_1$. By the definition of T_1 , we obtain $T_1 = T$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.3 and the inequality $\frac{2}{3}\eta_0 \leq |\eta(t)| \leq \frac{4}{3}\eta_0$ for $t_0 \leq t < T = T_1$, we conclude $T = \infty$. \square

2.3 Proof of the first main result

Now we prove our first main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.4, any non-trapping geodesics are complete. Due to the null non-trapping condition, it suffices to prove that all trapped non-null geodesics are complete. The completeness of trapped non-null geodesics follow from Lemma 2.1. This completes the proof. \square

3 Optimality for the local smoothing estimate

In this section, we assume that $g = g_0$ is the Minkowski metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n$.

3.1 An explicit formula of the resolvent $(P - i)^{-1}$

We recall $P = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_y$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . First, we calculate an explicit formula of the resolvent $(P - i)^{-1}$. A similar formula also appears in the proof of [4, Theorem C.1]. To do this, at first, we shall calculate the imaginary part of the symbol of $\sqrt{-\Delta_y - i}$. Set

$$A := \sqrt{-\Delta_y + i} = A_1 - iA_2 \quad A_1, A_2 : \text{self-adjoint}$$

with $A_2 \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.1. For $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set $a = \sqrt{|\eta|^2 - i} = r e^{i\theta}$ with $\text{Im } a \leq 0$. Then we have

$$r = (|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \text{Im } a = -\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{|\eta|^4 + 1} + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

In particular, $C^{-1}\langle \eta \rangle \leq |a| \leq C\langle \eta \rangle$ and $C^{-1}\langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \leq |\text{Im } a| \leq C\langle \eta \rangle^{-1}$ with a constant $C > 0$.

Proof. Since $a^2 = |\eta|^2 - i$, we have $r^2 = |a|^2 = |a^2| = ||\eta|^2 - i| = (|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which implies $r = (|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Moreover, it follows that $r^2 \cos 2\theta + i r^2 \sin 2\theta = r^2 e^{2i\theta} = |\eta|^2 - i$ and

$$\cos 2\theta = \frac{|\eta|^2}{(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \sin 2\theta = \frac{-1}{(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \sin^2 \theta = \frac{1}{2(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\eta|^2}.$$

This calculation with $\text{Im } a \leq 0$ implies

$$\text{Im } a = r \sin \theta = -\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\eta|^4 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\eta|^2}.$$

□

We write

$$a_1 = \text{Re } a, \quad a_2 = -\text{Im } a, \quad A_1 = a_1(D_\eta), \quad A_2 = a_2(D_\eta).$$

Since $C^{-1}\langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \leq |\text{Im } a| \leq C\langle \eta \rangle^{-1}$, we have

$$C^{-1}\|u\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} \leq \|A_2^{-k}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$ with a constant $C > 0$.

Now we calculate an expression of the resolvent $(P - i)^{-1}$.

Proposition 3.2. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P - i)^{-1}f(t, y) &= \frac{i}{2}A^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-i(t-s)A} f(s, y) ds - \frac{i}{2}A^{-1} \int_{+\infty}^t e^{i(t-s)A} f(s, y) ds \\ &= \frac{i}{2}A^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i|t-s|A} f(s, y) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Set $Rf(t, y) = \frac{i}{2}A^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i|t-s|A} f(s, y) ds$. Since P is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, it suffices to prove that $(P - i)Rf = f$ for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and that R is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. The identity $(P - i)Rf = f$ follows from a direct calculation. Hence we shall prove that R is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Set $a_2(\eta) = -\text{Im } a$. Using the Fourier transform in the y -variable and a scaling, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,y}^{n+1})} &= \|\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} Rf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|a^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|t-s|a_2} |\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(s, \eta)| ds\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|a_2^{-\frac{3}{2}} a^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|t-s|} |\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(\frac{s}{a_2}, \eta)| ds\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|e^{-|t|}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_t)} \|a_2^{-\frac{3}{2}} a^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(\frac{t}{a_2}, \eta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|e^{-|t|}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_t)} \|a_2^{-1} a^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(t, \eta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{t,\eta}^{n+1})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the Young inequality in the third line and set

$$C = \frac{\|e^{-|t|}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_t)}}{2} \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} |a_2(\eta)^{-1} a(\eta)^{-1}|,$$

which is finite by virtue of Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof. \square

3.2 Proof of the second result

In this subsection, we shall prove Theorem 1.2. To do this, it suffices to find $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that

$$\langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} u \notin L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}).$$

where we set $u := (P - i)^{-1} f$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the dual variable of y .

Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty((\frac{1}{4}, 1) : [0, 1])$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; [0, 1])$ satisfying $\|\chi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ and

$$\chi(t) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \quad \psi(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |\eta| \leq 1.$$

We denote the Fourier transform from the variable η to the variable y by $\mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1}$ and set

$$g(t, \eta) := \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2} - \varepsilon} \chi\left(\frac{t}{\langle \eta \rangle}\right) e^{it a_1(\eta)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad f(t, y) = \mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} g(t, y), \quad u := (P - i)^{-1} f.$$

We shall show that

$$\varphi(y) \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} u \notin L^2\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n\right). \quad (3.3)$$

with $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$ which is determined as follows: Let $M > 0$ be a constant satisfying $|\partial_\eta a_1(\eta)| \leq M$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Take $\varphi, \varphi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; [0, 1])$ such that $\varphi_1(y) = \varphi_1(-y)$ and

$$\varphi(y) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad |y| \leq M, \quad \varphi_1(y) = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad |y| \leq \frac{M}{8}, \quad \text{supp } \varphi_1 \subset \{|y| \leq \frac{M}{4}\}.$$

As is seen below, $e^{-itA_1} u$ has no oscillation terms and is easy to handle. In order to remove the oscillation factor e^{itA_1} , we will use Egorov's theorem and an elliptic estimate in the microlocal analysis. Precisely, we shall prove

$$\varphi_1 \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u \notin L^2\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n\right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|\varphi_1 \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u\|_{L^2\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n\right)} \leq C \|\varphi \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} u\|_{L^2\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n\right)} + C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \quad (3.5)$$

which ensure (3.3). Thus it remains to prove (3.4) and (3.5).

Proof of (3.4)

First, we deal with (3.4). Since $t - s \leq 0$ for $t \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $s \in \text{supp } \chi(\frac{\cdot}{\langle \eta \rangle})$, it follows from (3.2) that for $t \leq \frac{1}{4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta}(\langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u)(t, \eta) &= \frac{i}{2} \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} a(\eta)^{-1} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-|t-s|a_2(\eta)} \chi\left(\frac{s}{\langle \eta \rangle}\right) ds \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2} + 1} a(\eta)^{-1} \int_{\langle \eta \rangle^{-1}t}^{+\infty} e^{-|\langle \eta \rangle^{-1}t-s| \langle \eta \rangle a_2(\eta)} \chi(s) ds =: b_t(t, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\varphi_1(y)(\langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u)(t, y) = \varphi_1(y)(\mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t)(y)$. By Lemma 3.1, b_t satisfies

$$|\partial_\eta^\alpha b_t(\eta)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \quad C^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} \leq |b_t(\eta)| \leq C \langle \eta \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}} \quad (3.6)$$

uniformly in $t \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $b_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (3.6) uniformly in t . Then we have $\varphi_1 \mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$ for each t .*

Proof. By the Plancherel theorem, we have $\mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$. Thus it suffice to prove $(1 - \varphi_1) \mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$. By integrating by parts, it turns out that $\mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t(y)$ is rapidly decreasing and smooth away from $y = 0$. Since $\varphi_1(y) = 1$ near $y = 0$, then we obtain $(1 - \varphi_1) \mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} b_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$. \square

Now we suppose $\varphi_1(y) \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u \in L^2((0, \frac{1}{4})_t \times \mathbb{R}_y^n)$. Then we have

$$\varphi_1(y) \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$$

for almost everywhere $t \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$. This contradicts to the lemma above. We complete the proof of (3.4).

Proof of (3.5)

Next, we prove (3.5). We briefly recall the notion of pseudodifferential operators. For precise treatment, see [12, §18]. We define the Weyl quantization of a symbol a by

$$\text{Op}(a)u(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{i(y-y') \cdot \eta} a\left(\frac{y+y'}{2}, \xi\right) u(y') dy' d\eta.$$

We note that if a is real-valued, then $\text{Op}(a)$ is formally self-adjoint and if $a \in S^0$, then $\text{Op}(a)$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, we call $a \in S^k$ if

$$|\partial_y^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle \eta \rangle^{k-|\beta|}.$$

We define $\{a, b\} := H_a b := \partial_\eta a \cdot \partial_y b - \partial_y a \cdot \partial_\eta b$. For $a \in S^{k_1}$ and $b \in S^{k_2}$, we have

$$\text{Op}(a)\text{Op}(b) = \text{Op}(ab) + \text{Op}S^{k_1+k_2-1}, \quad [\text{Op}(a), i\text{Op}(b)] = \text{Op}(\{a, b\}) + \text{Op}S^{k_1+k_2-2} \quad (3.7)$$

We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.4 (Egorov's theorem). *Set $a_t(y, \eta) := \varphi(y - t\partial_\eta a_1(\eta))$. Then*

$$e^{-itA_1} \varphi(y) e^{itA_1} + R_t = \text{Op}(a_t),$$

where $R_t \in B(H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is an operator locally uniformly bounded in t .

Proof. Using $(e^{itA_1} \text{Op}(a_t) e^{-itA_1})'|_{t=0} = \varphi(y)$, we have $\text{Op}(a_t) = e^{-itA_1} \varphi(y) e^{itA_1} + R_t$, where

$$R_t = \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)A_1} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \text{Op}(a_s) + [A_1, i\text{Op}(a_s)] \right) e^{i(t-s)A_1} ds = \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)A_1} L_s e^{i(t-s)A_1} ds$$

and $L_s = \frac{d}{ds} \text{Op}(a_s) + [A_1, i\text{Op}(a_s)]$. The formula (3.7) implies that $L_s \in \text{Op}S^{-1}$ is locally uniformly bounded in t . Since e^{-itA_1} preserves $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that $R_t \in B(H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is locally uniformly bounded in t . \square

Lemma 3.5 (Elliptic parametrix). *For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4}$, we have*

$$(\text{supp } \varphi_1) \times \mathbb{R}^n \subset \{(y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid \varphi(y - t\partial_\eta a_1(\eta)) = 1\}, \quad (3.8)$$

that is, $\varphi(y - t\partial_\eta a_1(\eta))$ is elliptic on $\text{supp } \varphi \times \mathbb{R}^n$ in the phase space. Moreover, there exist $c_t \in S^0$ and $r_t \in S^{-1}$ which are locally uniformly bounded in t such that

$$\varphi_1(y) = \text{Op}(c_t) \text{Op}(a_t) + \text{Op}(r_t) \quad (3.9)$$

where we recall $a_t(y, \eta) := \varphi(y - t\partial_\eta a_1(\eta))$.

Proof. For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $|y| \leq \frac{M}{4}$, we have

$$|y - t\partial_\eta a(\eta)| \leq |y| + |t| |\partial_\eta a(\eta)| \leq \frac{M}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot M < M.$$

which implies (3.8). We note that the smooth function $c_t(y, \eta) = \varphi_1(y)/a_t(y, \eta)$ is well-defined by the support condition (3.8). Since $a_t \in S^0$ locally uniformly bounded in t , c_t is also locally uniformly bounded in t . The composition formula (3.7) gives (3.9) with a symbol $r_t \in S^{-1}$ locally uniformly bounded in t . \square

Now we prove (3.5). Set

$$C_1 := \sup_{t \in (0, \frac{1}{4})} \max(\|\text{Op}(c_t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|R_t\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|\text{Op}(r_t)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

For $t \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$, by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_1 \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} &\leq C_1 \|\text{Op}(a_t) \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} e^{-itA_1} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} + C_1 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|\varphi \langle D_\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + (C_1^2 + C_1) \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the unitarity of e^{-itA_1} . Integrating this inequality in t , we obtain (3.5).

A A short proof for smoothing effects on the Minkowski spacetimes

In this appendix, we give a short proof for (1.1) and (1.2) using the explicit formula (3.2).

Lemma A.1. *Set*

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i|t-s|A} f(s) ds.$$

Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle D_y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}, \quad \|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$$

Proof. The second inequality immediately follows from Hölder's inequality. Thus we shall show the first inequality. We write $\hat{f}(t, \eta) = \mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} f(t, \eta)$ and $a_2 := -\text{Im } a = -\text{Im } \sqrt{|\eta|^2 - i}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta}$ denotes the Fourier transform from the variable y to the variable η . Fourier transforming in $y \rightarrow \eta$ and using Young's inequality, we have

$$|\hat{I}(t, \eta)| \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(t-s)a_2} |\hat{f}(s)| ds \right| \leq \|e^{-ta_2}\|_{L_t^2} \|\hat{f}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^2} \leq C \|a_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^2}$$

This calculation gives $\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \hat{I}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^2} \leq \|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\|_{L_t^2} \|\hat{I}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^\infty} \leq C \|a_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(t, \eta)\|_{L_t^2}$. Plancherel's theorem and (3.1) imply

$$\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|a_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle D_y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_t^2 L_y^2}.$$

□

This lemma and the formula (3.2) immediately imply

$$\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (P - i)^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|A^{-1} \langle D_y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle D_y \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; [0, 1])$ such that $\psi(s) = 1$ on $|s| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\text{supp } g \subset \{|s| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$. Set $\varphi(D_x) = \psi(P/(-\Delta_x + 1))$. Since P is elliptic on the essential support of $1 - \varphi(D_x)$, we have $\|(1 - \varphi(D_x)) \langle D_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} (P - i)^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$. Moreover, since $D_t \sim D_y$ on the essential support of $\varphi(D_x)$, we have

$$\|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \varphi(D_x) \langle D_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} (P - i)^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq C \|\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \varphi(D_x) \langle D_y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} (P - i)^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}.$$

Combining these inequalities with (A.1), we obtain (1.1).

The mapping property (1.2) immediately follows from the formulae (3.2),

$$\partial_t (P - i)^{-1} f(t, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-i(t-s)A} f(s, y) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{+\infty}^t e^{i(t-s)A} f(s, y) ds,$$

and the second inequality in Lemma A.1. See also the proof of [4, Theorem C.1].

References

- [1] J. K. Beem, P. E. Ehrlich, K. L. Easley, *Global Lorentzian geometry*. Second edition. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 202. Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, 1996.
- [2] M. Braverman, O. Milatovich, M. Shubin, Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger-type operators on manifolds, *Russian Math. Surveys* 57 (4) (2002) 641–692.
- [3] Yves Colin de Verdière, C. Bihan, On essential-selfadjointness of differential operators on closed manifolds, to appear in *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math*, arXiv:2004.06937.
- [4] D. N. Dang, M. Wrochna, Complex powers of the wave operator and the spectral action on Lorentzian scattering spaces, preprint, (2020), arXiv:2012.00712.
- [5] J. Dereziński, D. Siemssen, Feynman propagators on static spacetimes, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 30, (2018), 1850006.
- [6] J. Dereziński, D. Siemssen, An evolution equation approach to the Klein-Gordon operator on curved spacetime, *Pure Appl. Anal.* 1, 215–261, (2019).
- [7] J. Dereziński, D. Siemssen, An Evolution Equation Approach to Linear Quantum Field Theory, preprint, (2019), arXiv:1912.10692.
- [8] M. Sánchez, An Introduction to the Completeness of Compact Semi-Riemannian Manifolds, *Sémin. Théor. Spectr. Géom.*, 13, Univ. Grenoble I, Saint-Martind’Hères, 1995, 37–53.
- [9] C. Gérard, M. Wrochna, The massive Feynman propagator on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes, *Amer. J. Math.* **141**, (2019), 1501–1546.
- [10] C. Gérard, M. Wrochna, The massive Feynman propagator on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes II, *Int. Math. Res. Notices.* **2020**, (2020), 6856–6870.
- [11] C. Gérard and M. Wrochna. The Feynman problem for the Klein-Gordon equation. arXiv:2003.14404, 2020.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Vol. I-IV. Springer Verlag, 1983–1985.
- [13] W. Kamiński, Non self-adjointness of the Klein-Gordon operator on globally hyperbolic and geodesically complete manifold. An example, preprint, arXiv:1904.03691.
- [14] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, C. Rolvung, L. Vega: Variable coefficient Schrödinger flows for ultrahyperbolic operators. *Adv. Math.* Vol. 196, No. 2, pp. 373–486 (2005) .
- [15] K. Nomizu, H. Ozeki, The existence of complete Riemannian metrics, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1961), 889–891.
- [16] S. Nakamura, K. Taira, Essential self-adjointness of real principal type operators, to appear in *Ann. Henri Lebesgue*, arXiv:1912.05711.

- [17] M. Reed, B. Simon, *The Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I–IV*. Academic Press, 1972–1980.
- [18] K. Taira, Equivalence of classical and quantum completeness for real principal type operators on the circle, arXiv:2004.07547.
- [19] K. Taira, Limiting absorption principle and equivalence of Feynman propagators on asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes, arXiv:2012.07946.
- [20] A. Vasy: Essential self-adjointness of the wave operator and the limiting absorption principle on Lorentzian scattering spaces. *J. Spectr. Theory.* **10**, (2020), 439–461.