

THE HILBERT L -MATRIX

FRANTIŠEK ŠTAMPACH

ABSTRACT. We analyze spectral properties of the Hilbert L -matrix

$$\left(\frac{1}{\max(m, n) + \nu} \right)_{m, n=0}^{\infty}$$

regarded as an operator L_{ν} acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, for $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\nu \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. The approach is based on a spectral analysis of the inverse of L_{ν} , which is an unbounded Jacobi operator whose spectral properties are deducible in terms of the unit argument ${}_3F_2$ -hypergeometric functions. In particular, we give answers to two open problems concerning the operator norm of L_{ν} published by L. Bouthat and J. Mashreghi in [*Oper. Matrices* 15, No. 1 (2021), 47–58]. In addition, several general aspects concerning the definition of an L -operator, its positivity, and Fredholm determinants are also discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [7], L. Bouthat and J. Mashreghi use the Schur test to deduce sufficient conditions under which the structured matrix

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots \\ a_1 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots \\ a_2 & a_2 & a_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

determines a bounded operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ and derived an upper bound on its operator norm. We adopt the terminology from [7] and refer to these matrices as the L -matrices.

Definition 1. A semi-infinite matrix \mathcal{L} is called L -matrix if its matrix elements are of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{m, n} = a_{\max(m, n)}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where $a = \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a complex sequence. We call a the parameter sequence of \mathcal{L} .

As an interesting example, the authors of [7] studied the norm of a bounded operator L_{ν} determined by the L -matrix \mathcal{L}_{ν} whose parameter sequence reads

$$a_n(\nu) = \frac{1}{n + \nu},$$

for $\nu > 0$. In an obvious analogy to the famous (generalized) Hilbert matrix, which is the Hankel matrix with the (m, n) -th entry equal to $a_{m+n}(\nu)$, matrix \mathcal{L}_{ν} is called the *Hilbert L -matrix*. It is proved in [7] that

$$\|L_{\nu}\| = 4,$$

if $\nu \geq 1/2$. However, for $\nu \in (0, 1/2)$, the value of $\|L_{\nu}\|$ remained indeterminate. Since $\|L_{\nu}\|$ has to dominate the first diagonal element of \mathcal{L}_{ν} , i.e., $\|L_{\nu}\| \geq a_0(\nu) = 1/\nu$, it is clear that, for $\nu \in (0, 1/4)$, $\|L_{\nu}\| > 4$. This let the authors of [7] to formulate two natural questions on the determination of numbers $\nu_0 := \inf\{\nu > 0 \mid \|L_{\nu}\| = 4\}$ and $\|L_{\nu}\|$, for $\nu < 1/2$. In recent paper [8], the authors deduced explicit upper and lower bounds on ν_0 .

The goal of this paper is a detailed spectral analysis of L_{ν} for all admissible real values of the parameter ν , i.e., $\nu \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. In particular, we provide answers to both above

Date: January 25, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B37, 47B36, 33C45, 15A60.

Key words and phrases. L -matrix, Hilbert L -matrix, Jacobi operator, orthogonal polynomials.

questions. An important role is played by the unit argument hypergeometric ${}_3F_2$ -functions; see definition (15) below. We show that ν_0 is the unique positive zero of the function

$$\nu \mapsto {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -1/2, 1/2, 3/2 \\ 1, \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right),$$

which, if evaluated numerically, is approximately $\nu_0 \approx 0.349086$. Further, we prove that, for $\nu \geq \nu_0$, the spectrum of L_ν is purely absolutely continuous and fills the interval $[0, 4]$. However, if $0 < \nu < \nu_0$, a unique and simple eigenvalue greater than 4 appears in the spectrum of L_ν . Clearly, this eigenvalue coincides with $\|L_\nu\|$. More concretely, we prove that

$$\|L_\nu\| = \frac{4}{1 - 4x_0^2(\nu)},$$

where $x_0(\nu)$ is the unique zero of the function

$$x \mapsto {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} x - 1/2, x + 1/2, x + 3/2 \\ 2x + 1, x + \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)$$

located in $(0, 1/2)$. In addition, we show that $\|L_\nu\|$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, \nu_0)$, deduce an asymptotic expansion of $\|L_\nu\|$ for ν small, and obtain bounds on $\|L_\nu\|$.

If $\nu < 0$, spectral properties of L_ν are more delicate. It turns out that still $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(L_\nu) = [0, 4]$ and $\sigma_{\text{p}}(L_\nu)$ can be identified with a set of roots of a transcendental equation. Moreover, $\sigma_{\text{p}}(L_\nu)$ is shown to be always finite but, in contrast to the case $\nu > 0$, $\sigma_{\text{p}}(L_\nu)$ contains a negative eigenvalue, if $\nu < 0$. By a numerical evidence, it seems that $\sigma_{\text{p}}(L_\nu)$ is actually at most a two-point set with a negative eigenvalue and possibly another eigenvalue greater than 4. This can be compared with the spectrum of the classical Hilbert matrix operator H_ν , whose (m, n) -th matrix entry equals $a_{m+n}(\nu)$. Recall that $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_\nu) = [0, \pi]$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, while $\sigma_{\text{p}}(H_\nu)$ is empty, if $\nu \geq 1/2$, contains a unique eigenvalue greater than π , if $\nu \in (0, 1/2)$, contains a negative eigenvalue, if $\nu < 0$, and possibly another eigenvalue greater than π (which is always the case, if $\nu < -1/2$). On the other hand, the spectrum of L_ν is always simple, which is not the case for H_ν ; see [26, Thm. 5] or [14, Thm. 8] for more details.

As far as the literature is concerned, let us mention that L -matrices were encountered in connection with the Hadamard multipliers in function spaces in [20, 21]. Some properties of L -matrices with a lacunary parameter sequence were studied in [6]. The Hilbert L -matrix \mathcal{L}_1 also appears in Choi's paper [9] as the *loyal companion* of the Hilbert matrix. On the other hand, except the aforementioned works, it seems that general algebraic or analytic properties of L -matrices has not been systematically studied yet, also interesting concrete examples of such matrices with a solvable spectral problem are missing to author's best knowledge. Let us remark that (weighted) L -matrices can be find as resolvent operators of certain Jacobi operators that appear in problems of mathematical physics; see, for instance, Example 8 below. This is related to an important fact that the formal inverse of an invertible L -matrix is tridiagonal; see Lemma 4.

Although the main goal of this paper is the spectral analysis of operator L_ν , we briefly discuss some general properties of L -matrices in Section 2 and illustrate them on concrete examples. We take the advantage of a close connection of L -matrices to Jacobi operators and orthogonal polynomials whose properties were extensively studied in the past and which theory is deeply developed. In Subsection 2.1, we show that to any given regular L -matrix (i.e., $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$), one can prescribe a unique densely defined L -operator acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. The main advantage of this definition is that it determines the L -operator also in cases when the standard construction is not applicable, i.e., when $a \notin \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Next, in Subsection 2.2 and 2.3, we characterize positive L -operators, give a sufficient condition for an L -operator L to be of trace class, provide a limit formula for the Fredholm determinant $\det(1 - zL)$, and illustrate these results on an example of the L -operator with exponential parameter sequence. These results, although not needed for the spectral analysis of the Hilbert L -operator L_ν , are of independent interest.

Main results focused on L_ν are derived in Section 3. The spectral analysis of L_ν is worked out via the spectral analysis of the inverse $J_\nu := L_\nu^{-1}$, which turns out to be an unbounded Jacobi operator of a special structure. In Subsection 3.1, it is shown that, in the particular case

$\nu = 1$, Jacobi operator J_1 corresponds to a subfamily of Continuous dual Hahn orthogonal polynomials, which allows an almost immediate spectral analysis of L_1 . The spectral analysis of J_ν , for general $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, is started in Subsection 3.2, where essential formulas for generalized eigenvectors in terms of hypergeometric ${}_3F_2$ -functions are established and their properties are investigated. The analysis continues in Subsection 3.3, where the spectrum of J_ν and its parts are determined and, more generally, formulas for the Weyl m -function and the spectral measure of J_ν are deduced. More detailed analysis of $\sigma_p(J_\nu)$, for $\nu > 0$, which allows to answer the open problems from [7], is done in Subsection 3.4. Corollaries on properties of orthogonal polynomials associated to J_ν are summarized in Subsection 3.5. Finally, in Subsection 3.6, main results on spectral properties of L_ν are formulated as Theorems 37 and 38 and a conjecture is provided concerning the fine structure of $\sigma_p(L_\nu)$ for $\nu < 0$.

Several illustrative and numerical plots are given in Section 4. The paper is concluded by Appendix divided into two parts. First, for reader's convenience, we recall necessary steps of the method of successive approximation [34] that are used in the paper. Second part contains computational details on a derivation of higher order terms in an asymptotic expansion of the root $x_0(\nu)$ for ν small.

Notation: As one can observe above, we distinguish in notation between semi-infinite matrix and an operator acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ by using the capital calligraphic letters for matrices and standard capitals for operators. This is, of course, not needed when dealing with bounded operators only, however, necessary in general. Moreover, we use the following standard notation throughout the paper: \mathbb{N}_0 is the set of non-negative integers; \mathbb{N} is the set of positive integers; $\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is the standard basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$; $\text{Dom } A$, $\text{Ker } A$, and $\text{Ran } A$ is the domain, kernel, and range of an operator A , respectively; $\sigma(A)$, $\sigma_p(A)$, and $\sigma_{ac}(A)$ is the spectrum, point spectrum, and absolutely continuous spectrum of A , respectively.

Other notation, mainly related to special functions, is defined by its first occurrence.

2. L -MATRICES

2.1. An operator associated to a regular L -matrix. We first recall a general method of constructing densely defined operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ with a given matrix representation, see for example [4, Sec. 2.1]. A semi-infinite matrix \mathcal{A} determines a closed and densely defined operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ when its rows and columns can be identified with elements of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. In this case, we can define the so-called minimal operator A_{\min} and the maximal operator A_{\max} associated with the matrix \mathcal{A} .

The minimal operator A_{\min} associated with matrix \mathcal{A} is defined as the operator closure of the auxiliary operator \dot{A} acting on vectors x from the space of finitely supported sequences $\text{Dom } \dot{A} := \text{span}\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ as the matrix multiplication $\dot{A}x := \mathcal{A} \cdot x$, where x is regarded as an infinite column vector. The fact that \dot{A} is always closable can be easily checked. On the other hand, the maximal operator A_{\max} acts again as the matrix multiplication $A_{\max}x := \mathcal{A} \cdot x$ but on vectors x from a maximal domain

$$\text{Dom } A_{\max} := \{x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \mid \mathcal{A} \cdot x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)\}.$$

A_{\max} is always closed.

One has $A_{\min} \subset A_{\max}$. If B is any operator which acts by the matrix multiplication $Bx = \mathcal{A} \cdot x$ and $\text{span}\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subset \text{Dom } B$, then $A_{\min} \subset B \subset A_{\max}$. In this sense, matrix \mathcal{A} determines a unique operator A acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ with $\langle e_m, Ae_n \rangle = \mathcal{A}_{m,n}$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if and only if $A_{\min} = A_{\max}$. Such matrix \mathcal{A} is called *proper*.

Clearly, if \mathcal{A} is an L -matrix, then its rows and columns are square summable if and only if its parameter sequence $a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$.

Definition 2. An L -matrix \mathcal{L} is called *regular*, if its parameter sequence fulfills $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and *singular*, otherwise.

The following claim justifies the terminology used in Definition 2.

Lemma 3. Let \mathcal{L} be an L -matrix with $a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Then

$$\mathcal{L} \text{ is regular} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{Ker } L = \{0\},$$

for any operator L such that $L_{\min} \subset L \subset L_{\max}$.

Proof. Fix L a linear operator with $\text{span}\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subset \text{Dom } L$ and $\mathcal{L}_{m,n} = \langle e_m, Le_n \rangle$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Suppose \mathcal{L} is singular. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $a_n = a_{n+1}$ which means that the n th and $(n+1)$ th column of \mathcal{L} coincide. It follows that $e_n - e_{n+1} \in \text{Ker } L$.

Suppose \mathcal{L} is regular and $x \in \text{Ker } L$. Then the equation $Lx = 0$ means that

$$a_n \sum_{k=0}^n x_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k x_k = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Shifting the index n by one and subtracting the resulting equation from the above one, we obtain

$$(a_{n+1} - a_n) \sum_{k=0}^n x_k = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by assumption, the above equations imply that $x = 0$. \square

A particularly useful observation is that the formal inverse of a regular L -matrix is a tridiagonal matrix with a special structure. Recall that the matrix multiplication $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}$ of infinite matrices \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is well defined, if at least one of the matrices \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{B} is banded. Provided that $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we put

$$b_n := \frac{1}{a_n - a_{n+1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (1)$$

and define the Jacobi matrix

$$\mathcal{J} := \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & -b_0 & & & & \\ -b_0 & b_0 + b_1 & -b_1 & & & \\ & -b_1 & b_1 + b_2 & -b_2 & & \\ & & -b_2 & b_2 + b_3 & -b_3 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Matrix \mathcal{J} turns out to be a formal inverse to regular L -matrix \mathcal{L} .

Lemma 4. *Let \mathcal{L} be a regular L -matrix and \mathcal{J} the Jacobi matrix defined by (1) and (2), then we have:*

- i) $\mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J} \cdot \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{I}$, where \mathcal{I} is the identity matrix.
- ii) If $\mathcal{J} \cdot x = 0$, then $x_n = x_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- iii) \mathcal{J} is proper.

Proof. The proof of (i) is a matter of straightforward matrix multiplication. The verification of (ii) is also elementary. Thus, it suffices to prove claim (iii).

Let us denote by $p = \{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $q = \{q_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ the two solutions of the second order difference equation

$$-b_{n-1}\phi_{n-1} + (b_{n-1} + b_n)\phi_n - b_n\phi_{n+1} = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

determined by the initial conditions $p_0 = 0$, $p_1 = 1$ and $q_0 = 1$, $q_1 = 0$. The matrix \mathcal{J} is proper, if and only if $p \notin \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ or $q \notin \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$; see [5]. Using (1), a straightforward computation shows that

$$p_n = \frac{a_0 - a_n}{a_0 - a_1} \quad \text{and} \quad q_n = \frac{a_n - a_1}{a_0 - a_1},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $p_n + q_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, p and q cannot be both in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. \square

Observations from Lemma 4 can be used to define a unique closed operator associated to a regular L -matrix even when $a \notin \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, i.e., when the standard construction is not applicable. Indeed, since \mathcal{J} is proper by claim (iii) of Lemma 4, it determines the unique operator J acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Moreover, J is invertible since $\text{Ker } J = \{0\}$ by claim (ii) of Lemma 4. This justifies the following definition.

Definition 5. To a given regular L -matrix \mathcal{L} , we associate the L -operator $L := J^{-1}$, where J is the Jacobi operator given by (2).

Remark 6. We also call the L -operator from Definition 5 *regular*, similarly as the L -matrix, to emphasize the (implicit) assumption $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proposition 7. *A regular L -operator is closed and densely defined.*

Proof. As an inverse of a closed operator J , the regular L -operator L is closed. Further, $(\text{Ran } J)^\perp = \text{Ker } J^*$ and, for $x \in \text{Ker } J^*$, one has $\mathcal{J}^* \cdot x = 0$, which implies $x = 0$ by claim (ii) of Lemma 4, where \mathcal{J}^* denotes the Jacobi matrix (2) with complex conjugated entries. It implies that $\text{Dom } L$ is dense in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. \square

Of course, for an L -operator L associated to a regular L -matrix \mathcal{L} , the matrix \mathcal{L} is not the matrix representation of L in the usual sense, which means

$$\mathcal{L}_{m,n} = \langle e_m, L e_n \rangle, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

because the vectors e_n need not belong to $\text{Dom } L$. This is guaranteed when we additionally have the parameter sequence $a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$.

Even if $a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, the L -operator need not be bounded. For example, if $a_n = 1/(n+1)^\alpha$, for $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, then L is unbounded [7, Ex. 2]. A sufficient condition for boundedness of L is $a_n = O(1/n)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$; see [7]. In view of Definition 5, L is bounded if and only if $0 \notin \sigma(J)$. Recall that $0 \notin \sigma_p(J)$ as we know by claim (ii) of Lemma 4.

Note also that the mapping $\mathcal{L} \mapsto L$ between regular L -matrices and L -operators established by Definition 5 is not injective. Indeed, it suffices to note that the matrix elements of \mathcal{J} depend only on the *difference* between two consecutive elements of the parameter sequence a . Hence, an L -matrix with a parameter sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ gives rise to the same L -operator as the L -matrix with the parameter sequence $\{a_n + c\}_{n=0}^\infty$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}$. This ambiguity is removed when the admissible parameter sequences are restricted to the square summable.

Example 8. Consider the parameter sequence $a_n = n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the L -operator L associated to the L -matrix

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & \dots \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

is the inverse of the Jacobi operator J given by the tridiagonal matrix

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Operator J is a discrete analogue to the Neumann Laplacian on the half-line and its spectral analysis is not very difficult [19]. It can be shown that J has simple spectrum

$$\sigma(J) = \sigma_{\text{ac}}(J) = [-4, 0]$$

and

$$\psi_n(\lambda) := U_n \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) - U_{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

is the n th entry of the generalized eigenvector to $\lambda \in [-4, 0]$, where U_n are Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind and $U_{-1} := 0$. In particular, it follows that the spectrum of L is simple and $\sigma(L) = \sigma_{\text{ac}}(L) = (-\infty, -1/4]$.

2.2. Factorization and positivity of regular L -matrices. First, it is useful to observe that, if \mathcal{C} is a bi-diagonal semi-infinite matrix of the form

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & -c_0 & & & \\ & c_1 & -c_1 & & \\ & & c_2 & -c_2 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

then

$$\mathcal{C}^T \cdot \mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} c_0^2 & -c_0^2 & & & \\ -c_0^2 & c_0^2 + c_1^2 & -c_1^2 & & \\ & -c_1^2 & c_1^2 + c_2^2 & -c_2^2 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing this observation with the structure of the Jacobi matrix \mathcal{J} from (2), it is clear that \mathcal{J} decomposes as

$$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{B}^T \cdot \mathcal{B}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{b_0} & -\sqrt{b_0} & & & \\ & \sqrt{b_1} & -\sqrt{b_1} & & \\ & & \sqrt{b_2} & -\sqrt{b_2} & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

provided that $b_n > 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proposition 9. *A real regular L -operator is positive semi-definite if and only if $a_n > a_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. Clearly, an L -operator $L = J^{-1}$ is positive semi-definite if and only if the Jacobi operator J is positive semi-definite. Also $a_n > a_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if and only if $b_n > 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which follows readily from (1). Consequently, we need to show that J given by (2) is positive semi-definite if and only if $b_n > 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Suppose that J is positive semi-definite, i.e., $\langle x, Jx \rangle \geq 0$, for all $x \in \text{Dom } J$. It particularly follows that

$$\det J_n \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $J_n \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ denotes the $n \times n$ section of the matrix \mathcal{J} , i.e., $J_n = P_n J P_n \upharpoonright \text{Ran } P_n$, where P_n is the orthogonal projection onto $\text{span}\{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$. It is a matter of simple linear algebra to verify that

$$\det J_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking into account that $b_n \neq 0$ by definition, the positivity of b_n , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, follows by induction.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $b_n > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Decomposition (4) implies that, for any $x \in \text{span}\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, one has

$$\langle x, Jx \rangle = \|\mathcal{B}x\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Since \mathcal{J} is proper by claim (iii) of Lemma 4, $J = J_{\min}$. Therefore to any $x \in \text{Dom } J$, there exists a sequence of vectors $x_n \in \text{span}\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $Jx_n \rightarrow Jx$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\langle x_n, Jx_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, Jx \rangle$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the inequality $\langle x, Jx \rangle \geq 0$ extends to all $x \in \text{Dom } J$. \square

Remark 10. Note that, if $c_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then matrix \mathcal{C} from (3) is formally invertible with the inverse

$$\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c_0} & \frac{1}{c_1} & \frac{1}{c_2} & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{c_1} & \frac{1}{c_2} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{c_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

This observation yields the following factorization of an L -matrix

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}^T \cdot \mathcal{A},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{a_0 - a_1} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \sqrt{a_1 - a_2} & \sqrt{a_1 - a_2} & 0 & \dots \\ \sqrt{a_2 - a_3} & \sqrt{a_2 - a_3} & \sqrt{a_2 - a_3} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

provided that $a_n > a_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $a_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Matrices of this structure appear in literature as terraced matrices [25] or C -matrices [8]. A decomposition of the Hilbert L -matrix into a product of Cesàro matrices is also mentioned in [9].

2.3. Schatten norms and the Fredholm determinant. First, we prove a simple-to-check sufficient condition for an L -operator to be in the trace class. For $p \geq 1$, we denote by \mathcal{J}_p the p -th Schatten class and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}_p}$ the p -th Schatten norm. In particular, \mathcal{J}_1 is the ideal of trace class operators and $\|A\|_{\mathcal{J}_1} = \text{Tr} |A|$.

Theorem 11. *If a complex sequence a fulfills the condition*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{k} |a_k| < \infty, \quad (5)$$

then the L -matrix given by the parameter sequence a is a matrix representation of a trace class operator L on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Moreover, for any $p \geq 1$, we have the following estimate:

$$\|L\|_{\mathcal{J}_p} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| \left((\mu_+(k))^{p/2} + (\mu_-(k))^{p/2} \right)^{1/p},$$

where

$$\mu_{\pm}(k) = k + \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{k + \frac{1}{4}}.$$

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote by F_k the finite rank operator given by the L -matrix with parameter sequence $f_k = \{\delta_{k,n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $\delta_{k,n} = 1$, if $k = n$, and $\delta_{k,n} = 0$, if $k \neq n$. One readily computes that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the only nonzero eigenvalues of F_k^2 are $\mu_{\pm}(k)$ and these are simple. Consequently, for any $p \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\|F_k\|_{\mathcal{J}_p} = \left((\mu_+(k))^{p/2} + (\mu_-(k))^{p/2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Since $\|F_0\|_{\mathcal{J}_p} = 1$, the last equality remains true also for $k = 0$. Then the assumption (5) guarantees that the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k F_k$$

converges in the trace class norm and the limit is an operator L whose matrix representation coincides with the L -matrix with parameter sequence a . The second claim from the statement follows from the estimate

$$\|L\|_{\mathcal{J}_p} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| \|F_k\|_{\mathcal{J}_p}.$$

□

Remark 12. Let us remark that condition (5) is not necessary. Notice that, if L is a regular L -operator with strictly decreasing parameter sequence a , then $L \geq 0$ by Proposition 9 and hence

$$L \in \mathcal{J}_1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \|L\|_{\mathcal{J}_1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n - a_{\infty}) < \infty,$$

where $a_{\infty} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$. This means that, for example, $a_n = 1/(n+1)^{\alpha}$ is a parameter sequence of a trace class L -operator, for any $\alpha > 1$, however, condition (5) is not fulfilled if $\alpha \in (1, 3/2]$.

Recall that for a trace class operator L , the Fredholm determinant $\det(1 - zL)$, also referred to as the *characteristic function* of L , is a well-defined entire function of z and its zeros coincides with the reciprocal values of non-zero eigenvalues of L together with their multiplicities, see [24, Sec. XIII.17]. Since there exist formulas for the characteristic function of certain Jacobi operators, see [30, 31], and one may also recall the Fredholm formula for the characteristic function of integral operators [27, Thm. 3.10], it is likely that there is a formula for the characteristic function of a trace class L -operator expressed directly in terms of its parameter sequence. Such formula might be useful for spectral analysis of trace class L -operators, however, a more detailed investigation of these aspects should be a subject of a separate study. At this point, we provide only a limit formula for the characteristic function expressed in terms of the family of orthogonal polynomials corresponding to Jacobi matrix (2) and demonstrate its application on an interesting example below.

Let $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ denotes the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials determined by (2), i.e.,

$$p_n(z) := \det(z - J_n), \quad (6)$$

where $J_n := P_n J P_n \upharpoonright \text{Ran } P_n$ and P_n is the orthogonal projection onto $\text{span}\{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$. Alternatively, polynomials p_n are determined recursively by the recurrence

$$p_{n+1}(z) = (z - b_{n-1} - b_n)p_n(z) - b_{n-1}^2 p_{n-1}(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with the initial conditions $p_0(z) = 1$ and $p_1(z) = z - b_0$. Recall (1).

Theorem 13. *Suppose L be a regular trace class L -operator with parameter sequence a . Then*

$$\det(1 - zL) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{j-1} - a_j) \right] (a_{n-1} p_n(z) + a_n b_{n-1} p_{n-1}(z)),$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. First, recall that, if $L \in \mathcal{J}_1$, then

$$\det(1 - zL) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \det(1 - zP_n L P_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \det(1 - zL_n),$$

where L_n denotes the $n \times n$ section of the L -matrix \mathcal{L} , analogously as for J_n . The identity operator 1 acts either on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ or \mathbb{C}^n depending on the context without being explicitly distinguished in the notation. Thus, in the remaining part of the proof, it suffices to verify the identity

$$\det(1 - zL_n) = (-1)^n \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{j-1} - a_j) \right] (a_{n-1} p_n(z) + a_n b_{n-1} p_{n-1}(z)). \quad (7)$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose additionally that $a_{n-1} \neq 0$. This means that L_n is invertible since

$$\det L_n = a_{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{j-1} - a_j). \quad (8)$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$L_n J_n = 1 + \frac{a_n b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} L_n e_n e_n^T,$$

where we denote $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with some abuse of notation. It follows that

$$L_n^{-1} = J_n - \frac{a_n b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} e_n e_n^T. \quad (9)$$

In view of (8) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(1 - zL_n) &= (-1)^n z^n \det(L_n) \det\left(1 - \frac{1}{z} L_n^{-1}\right) \\ &= (-1)^n z^n a_{n-1} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{j-1} - a_j) \right] \det\left(1 - \frac{1}{z} J_n + \frac{a_n b_{n-1}}{z a_{n-1}} e_n e_n^T\right) \end{aligned}$$

Next, by applying the identity

$$\det(A + cd^T) = (1 + d^T A^{-1}c) \det A, \quad (10)$$

which holds true for any $c, d \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and invertible matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$, we see that $\det(1 - zL_n)$ equals

$$(-1)^n z^n a_{n-1} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{j-1} - a_j) \right] \left[1 + \frac{a_n b_{n-1}}{z a_{n-1}} e_n^T \left(1 - \frac{1}{z} J_n \right)^{-1} e_n \right] \det \left(1 - \frac{1}{z} J_n \right),$$

provided that $z^{-1} \notin \sigma(J_n)$. If we also recall the well-know relation between the inverse of an invertible matrix A and the adjugate matrix of A , which particularly implies that

$$e_n^T A e_n = \frac{\det A[n]}{\det A},$$

where $A[n]$ denotes the matrix A whose last column and row are deleted, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \det(1 - zL_n) &= (-z)^n a_{n-1} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{j-1} - a_j) \right] \left[\det \left(1 - \frac{1}{z} J_n \right) + \frac{a_n b_{n-1}}{z a_{n-1}} \det \left(1 - \frac{1}{z} J_{n-1} \right) \right] \\ &= (-1)^n \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_{j-1} - a_j) \right] [a_{n-1} \det(z - J_n) + a_n b_{n-1} \det(z - J_{n-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

If the last expression is written in terms of the orthogonal polynomials (6), we arrive at (7). Clearly, the additional assumptions $a_{n-1} \neq 0$ and $z^{-1} \notin \sigma(J_n)$ imposed during the derivation can be removed and formula (7) extends to all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and arbitrary sequence a . \square

We conclude this section by an interesting example of a trace class L -operator whose characteristic function can be computed with the aid of Theorem 13 in terms of well-known special functions.

Example 14. We investigate the L -operator L with exponential matrix entries:

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q & q^2 & \dots \\ q & q & q^2 & \dots \\ q^2 & q^2 & q^2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., $a_n = q^n$, where $q \in (0, 1)$. By Proposition 9 and Theorem 11, L is a positive semi-definite trace class operator. By (1), we have

$$b_n = \frac{1}{a_{n+1} - a_n} = \frac{q^{-n}}{1 - q}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Define also $b_{-1} := q/(1 - q)$. Our goal is to make use of known asymptotic properties of the q -Chebyshev polynomials studied by Ismail and Mulla in [13] to deduce an asymptotic formula for the orthogonal polynomials $p_n(x) = \det(x - J_n)$, for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then an application of Theorem 13 provides us with the characteristic function of L . To this end, we introduce the rank-one perturbation matrix

$$\tilde{J}_n := J_n + b_{-1} e_0 e_0^T,$$

the corresponding orthogonal polynomials $\tilde{p}_n(x) := \det(x - \tilde{J}_n)$, and also the associated orthogonal polynomials $\tilde{q}_{n-1}(x) := \det(x - \tilde{J}_n[1])$, where $\tilde{J}_n[1]$ is the matrix \tilde{J}_n with deleted first row and column. Recalling once more identity (10), we have

$$p_n(x) = \left(1 + b_{-1} e_0^T (x - \tilde{J}_n e_0)^{-1} e_0 \right) \det(x - \tilde{J}_n) = \tilde{p}_n(x) + b_{-1} \tilde{q}_{n-1}(x), \quad (11)$$

where we have used the identity

$$e_0^T (x - \tilde{J}_n e_0)^{-1} e_0 = \frac{\tilde{q}_{n-1}(x)}{\tilde{p}_n(x)}.$$

By using the three-term recurrences for polynomials \tilde{p}_n and \tilde{q}_n , one can relate them to the q -Chebyshev polynomials defined by the recurrence

$$\theta_{n+1}^{(a)}(x; q) = (2x - aq^n)\theta_n^{(a)}(x; q) - \theta_{n-1}^{(a)}(x; q), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

and initial conditions $\theta_{-1}^{(a)}(x; q) = 0$ and $\theta_0^{(a)}(x; q) = 1$, where a is a real parameter. Namely, one arrives at formulas

$$\tilde{p}_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n q^{-n(n-2)/2}}{(1-q)^n} \theta_n^{((q^{-1/2}-q^{1/2})x)} \left(\frac{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}{2}; q \right) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\tilde{q}_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n q^{-n^2/2}}{(1-q)^n} \theta_n^{((q^{-1/2}-q^{1/2})qx)} \left(\frac{q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}}{2}; q \right). \quad (13)$$

In [13, Thm. 2.1], it was proved that, for $x \notin [-1, 1]$, one has the asymptotic formula

$$\theta_n^{(a)}(x; q) = A^n \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} (-aB)^k}{(B/A; q)_{k+1} (q; q)_k} \right] (1 + o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where A and B are the roots of the quadratic equation $1 - 2xt + t^2 = 0$ such that $|A| \geq |B|$ and $(\alpha; q)_k = (1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha q) \dots (1 - \alpha q^{k-1})$ is the q -Pochhammer symbol. If applied in (12) and (13) and using (11), we compute the asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x) &= \frac{(-1)^n q^{-n(n-1)/2}}{(1-q)^n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2}}{(q; q)_k^2} (1-q)^k (-x)^k \right) [1 + o(1)] \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n q^{-n(n-1)/2}}{(1-q)^n} {}_1\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 0 \\ q \end{matrix} \middle| q; (1-q)x \right) [1 + o(1)], \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the standard notation for the basic hypergeometric function, see [11]. Now, an application of Theorem 13 and simple manipulations yield the desired formula:

$$\det(1 - zL) = {}_1\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 0 \\ q \end{matrix} \middle| q; (1-q)z \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (14)$$

Characteristic function (14) can be identified with the third Jackson q -Bessel function, also known as the Hahn–Exton q -Bessel function, of order zero

$$J_0^{(3)}(z; q) := {}_1\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 0 \\ q \end{matrix} \middle| q; qz^2 \right).$$

Properties of the third Jackson q -Bessel functions were intensively studied in literature [3, 17, 18, 28, 32]. Concerning their zeros, they are not known explicitly similarly to the case of classical Bessel functions. Nevertheless, properties of these zeros, that are readily related to the spectrum of L , were also investigated in separate works; see, for instance, [33, Prop. A.3] for an asymptotic formula for the zeros and [1] for explicit bounds on the zeros.

3. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF THE HILBERT L -OPERATOR

Our main goal is a spectral analysis of the Hilbert L -operator L_ν determined by the parameter sequence

$$a_n(\nu) = \frac{1}{n + \nu}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

for $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$. The inverse $J_\nu := L_\nu^{-1}$ is an unbounded Jacobi operator given by the Jacobi matrix (2), where

$$b_n = b_n(\nu) = \frac{1}{a_n(\nu) - a_{n+1}(\nu)} = (n + \nu)(n + \nu + 1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Operator J_ν is self-adjoint which is a consequence of claim (iii) of Lemma 4. Moreover, J_ν is semi-bounded as shows the following lemma.

Lemma 15. For all $\phi \in \text{span}\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, one has

$$\langle \phi, J_\nu \phi \rangle = \nu |\phi_0|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(n + \nu)\phi_n - (n - 1 + \nu)\phi_{n-1}|^2.$$

In particular, $J_\nu \geq \min(0, \nu)$.

Proof. Suppose $\phi \in \text{span}\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, J_\nu \phi \rangle &= \nu(\nu + 1)|\phi_0|^2 - \nu(\nu + 1)\overline{\phi_0}\phi_1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\phi_n} [-(n - 1 + \nu)(n + \nu)\phi_{n-1} + 2(n + \nu)^2\phi_n - (n + \nu)(n + 1 + \nu)\phi_{n+1}] \\ &= \nu|\phi_0|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + \nu)\overline{\phi_n} [(n + \nu)\phi_n - (n - 1 + \nu)\phi_{n-1}] \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \nu)\overline{\phi_n} [(n + 1 + \nu)\phi_{n+1} - (n + \nu)\phi_n]. \end{aligned}$$

By shifting the index in the last sum, we arrive at the equation from the statement. \square

Further, we investigate spectral properties of J_ν in more detail. In the analysis, the crucial role is played by the unit argument hypergeometric function

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n (a_3)_n}{n! (b_1)_n (b_2)_n}, \quad (15)$$

where $(a)_n = a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. Function (15) is well defined, if $\text{Re}(b_1 + b_2 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3) > 0$ and $b_1, b_2 \notin -\mathbb{N}_0$. The latter condition can be removed by introducing the regularized hypergeometric function

$${}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(b_2)} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right),$$

which is analytic in each parameter a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2 , if $\text{Re}(b_1 + b_2 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3) > 0$.

At first, we show that, in the special case $\nu = 1$, Jacobi operator J_1 corresponds to a subfamily of the Continuous dual Hahn polynomials whose properties are well known. As a result, the spectral analysis of J_1 readily follows. In the general case, however, one cannot make a direct use of existing results although orthogonal polynomials determined by J_ν can be found as a particular case of the five-parameter family studied by Ismail, Letessier, and Valent in [12] within the context of birth and death processes with quadratic rates. However, in our particular setting, the parameters are out of range of the analysis made in [12].

Remark 16. Let us explain the last comment in more detail. If we use the notation of [12] and set

$$a := \nu, \quad b := \nu + 1, \quad \alpha := \nu - 1, \quad \beta := \nu, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta := 0, \quad (16)$$

then polynomials $P_n(x) = P_n(x; a, b, \alpha, \beta, \eta)$ studied in [12] coincide with orthogonal polynomials determined by J_ν up to a multiplicative constant. However, the approach to derive an asymptotic formula for $P_n(x)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, used in [12] does not apply in the particular case of parameters (16), which is not immediately obvious from the paper. The asymptotic analysis relies on an application of the Darboux method to a convenient generating function of the sequence $P_n(x)$, which is, in its turn, found as a solution of a hypergeometric differential equation, see [12, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2)]. In the exceptional case of parameters (16), however, functions $G_1^{\alpha, \beta}$ and $G_2^{\alpha, \beta}$ defined in [12, p. 729] do not form a fundamental system of the respective ODE, see [10, § 15.10] for more details. As a result, the generating function is not given by [12, Eq. (2.6)]. From this point of view, the spectral analysis of J_ν is of independent interest since it complements the picture on the corresponding family of orthogonal polynomials.

3.1. A warm up: Special case $\nu = 1$ and corollaries. Recall that the Continuous dual Hahn polynomials $S_n(x; a, b, c)$ is a three-parameter family of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials listed in the Askey scheme [16, Sec. 9.3]. They are defined by the formula [16, Eq. 9.3.1]

$$S_n(x^2; a, b, c) := (a+b)_n(a+c)_n {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -n, a+ix, a-ix \\ a+b, a+c \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right).$$

In the particular case $a = b = 1/2$, $c = 3/2$, the sequence of polynomials

$$P_n(x) := \frac{1}{n!(n+1)!} S_n\left(x - \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

fulfills the recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} (b_0(1) - x)P_0(x) - b_0(1)P_1(x) &= 0, \\ -b_{n-1}(1)P_{n-1}(x) + (b_{n-1}(1) + b_n(1) - x)P_n(x) - b_n(1)P_{n+1}(x) &= 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which follows from the general three-term recurrence for Continuous dual Hahn polynomials [16, Eq. (9.3.4)]. In other words, the column vector $P(x) = (P_0(x), P_1(x), \dots)^T$ fulfills the generalized eigenvalue equation $J_1 P(x) = xP(x)$. Moreover, we have the orthogonality relation

$$2\pi \int_0^\infty P_m\left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2\right) P_n\left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2\right) \frac{x \sinh(\pi x)}{\cosh^2(\pi x)} \left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2\right) dx = \delta_{m,n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (17)$$

which can be deduced from the general orthogonality relation [16, Eq. (9.3.2)] and well-known special values of the Gamma function, namely [10, Eqs. 5.4.3, 5.4.4].

This means that J_1 is unitarily equivalent to the operator of multiplication by the independent variable on $\mathcal{H} := L^2([1/4, \infty), \rho(x)dx)$, where

$$\rho(x) = \pi x \frac{\sinh(\pi \sqrt{x - 1/4})}{\cosh^2(\pi \sqrt{x - 1/4})},$$

via the unitary mapping $U : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ unambiguously determined by correspondence $U : e_n \mapsto P_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Consequently, $\sigma(J_1) = \sigma_{\text{ac}}(J_1) = [1/4, \infty)$ and the spectrum is simple (which is always the case for self-adjoint Jacobi operators). Since $L_1 = J_1^{-1}$ we immediately obtain the following statement.

Proposition 17. *The spectrum of L_1 is simple, purely absolutely continuous, and equal to the interval $[0, 4]$.*

Last observation gives us also an information about the absolutely continuous spectrum of J_ν , for arbitrary $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$. We will also arrive at the same result by direct means below.

Proposition 18. *For all $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, the absolutely continuous spectrum of L_ν is simple and fills the interval $[0, 4]$.*

Proof. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$ be fixed. Since $L_1 - L_\nu$ is an L -operator with the parameter sequence

$$a_n(1) - a_n(\nu) = \frac{\nu - 1}{(n+1)(n+\nu)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

it is a trace class operator by Theorem 11. The statement now follows from Proposition 17 and the Kato–Rosenblum Theorem, which implies that the absolutely continuous parts of L_1 and L_ν are unitarily equivalent, see [15, Thm. 4.4, p. 540]. \square

3.2. Solutions of the eigenvalue equation J_ν . At the start, we define a sequence of functions that will be of importance in the spectral analysis of J_ν for general $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$. Its definition for the full range of the involved parameters requires a certain cautiousness.

First, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $n + \nu > 0$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$\phi_n(z; \nu) := \Gamma(n + \nu + 1) {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} 1/2 + z, 1/2 + z, 3/2 + z \\ 1 + 2z, n + \nu + 3/2 + z \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right). \quad (18)$$

Clearly, the condition $n + \nu > 0$ is fulfilled for n sufficiently large and, if $\nu > 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. However, we wish to give meaning to the right hand side of (18) also if $n + \nu < 0$. One way to do so, is to define ϕ_n recursively for $n < -\nu$ by using the following recurrence rule.

Lemma 19. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu > 0$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, one has*

$$-(n-1+\nu)(n+\nu)\phi_{n-1}(z;\nu) + \left[2(n+\nu)^2 - \frac{1}{4} + z^2\right]\phi_n(z;\nu) - (n+\nu)(n+1+\nu)\phi_{n+1}(z;\nu) = 0.$$

Proof. We deduce the recurrence formula from a general identity for the ${}_3F_2$ -functions. If we temporarily denote

$$F_i := \frac{1}{\Gamma(b_1 + i)} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1 + i, b_2 \end{matrix} \middle| w\right),$$

then the identity

$$(w-1)F_{-1} = (A_1 + wB_1)F_0 + (A_2 + wB_2)F_1 + wB_3F_2, \quad (19)$$

holds true for

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= b_2 - 2b_1, \\ B_1 &= 3b_1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3, \\ A_2 &= b_1(b_1 - b_2 + 1), \\ B_2 &= (2b_1 + 1)(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 3b_1^2 - 3b_1 - 1 - a_1a_2 - a_1a_3 - a_2a_3, \\ B_3 &= (b_1 - a_1 + 1)(b_1 - a_2 + 1)(b_1 - a_3 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This is one of several contiguous relations for ${}_3F_2$ -functions. Recall that any four distinct contiguous functions ${}_3F_2$, i.e., ${}_3F_2$ -functions whose parameters differ by integers, are linearly related. We do not have an exact reference for the particular identity (19) but it can be verified straightforwardly by comparing coefficients of the same powers of w on both sides of (19); see also [23, § 48].

To obtain the formula from the statement, it suffices to set $w = 1$ and

$$a_1 = a_2 = \frac{1}{2} + z, \quad a_3 = \frac{3}{2} + z, \quad b_1 = n + \nu + \frac{1}{2} + z, \quad b_2 = 1 + 2z$$

in (19) and do simple manipulations. \square

With the aid of Lemma 19, we can extend the definition of $\phi_n(z;\nu)$ recursively for $n < -\nu$ by equation

$$\phi_n(z;\nu) = \frac{2(n+1+\nu)^2 - 1/4 + z^2}{(n+\nu)(n+1+\nu)}\phi_{n+1}(z;\nu) - \frac{n+2+\nu}{n+\nu}\phi_{n+2}(z;\nu).$$

This way, $\phi_n(z;\nu)$ is defined for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and analytic in $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-n, -n-1, \dots\}$.

It is useful to have a relatively simple hypergeometric formula like (18) for $\phi_n(z;\nu)$ rather than the recursive definition. This is possible, however, the range of parameter z is to be restricted to a half-plane. We make use of the Thomae transformation [22, Eq. 7.4.4.2]

$${}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} a, b, c \\ d, e \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = \frac{\Gamma(d+e-a-b-c)}{\Gamma(a)} {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} d-a, e-a, d+e-a-b-c \\ d+e-a-b, d+e-a-c \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right), \quad (20)$$

which holds true if $\operatorname{Re}(d+e-a-b-c) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$. By putting

$$a = \frac{3}{2} + z, \quad b = c = \frac{1}{2} + z, \quad d = 1 + 2z, \quad e = n + \nu + \frac{3}{2} + z,$$

we obtain from (18) the equality

$$\phi_n(z;\nu) = \frac{\Gamma(n+\nu)\Gamma(n+\nu+1)}{\Gamma(z+3/2)} {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} z-1/2, n+\nu, n+\nu \\ n+\nu+z+1/2, n+\nu+z+1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right), \quad (21)$$

provided that $n+\nu > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > -3/2$. However, the condition $n+\nu > 0$ is only necessary for the hypergeometric function ${}_3\tilde{F}_2$ present in definition (18) to be well defined. Note that, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} z > -3/2$ fixed, the right-hand side of (21) is an analytic function in $\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-n, -n-1, \dots\}$. Therefore the right-hand side of (21) has to coincide with the recursively extended function $\phi_n(z;\nu)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-n, -n-1, \dots\}$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} z > -3/2$.

One could observe already from Lemma 19 that functions $\phi_n(z; \nu)$ are almost generalized eigenvectors of the Jacobi operator J_ν , therefore they play a crucial role in the spectral analysis of J_ν . Their selected properties are summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 20. *Let $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$.*

- 1) *The semi-infinite column vector $\phi(z; \nu) = (\phi_0(z; \nu), \phi_1(z; \nu), \dots)^T$ fulfills the equation*

$$J_\nu \phi(z; \nu) = \left(\frac{1}{4} - z^2 \right) \phi(z; \nu) + \chi(z; \nu) e_0,$$

where $\chi(z; \nu) := \nu(\nu - 1) [\phi_{-1}(z; \nu) - \phi_0(z; \nu)]$. In addition, we have the hypergeometric representation

$$\chi(z; \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(z+3/2)} \left[{}_3\tilde{F}_2 \left(\begin{matrix} z-1/2, \nu-1, \nu-1 \\ \nu+z-1/2, \nu+z-1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) - \nu(\nu-1) {}_3\tilde{F}_2 \left(\begin{matrix} z-1/2, \nu \\ \nu+z+1/2, \nu+z+1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \right], \quad (22)$$

provided that $\operatorname{Re} z > -3/2$.

- 2) *For $n \rightarrow \infty$, the asymptotic expansion*

$$\phi_n(z; \nu) = \frac{n^{-z-1/2}}{\Gamma(1+2z)} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right],$$

holds, provided that $-2z \notin \mathbb{N}$.

- 3) *The Wronskian*

$$W(\phi(z; \nu), \phi(-z; \nu)) := -b_n(\nu) [\phi_{n+1}(z; \nu)\phi_n(-z; \nu) - \phi_{n+1}(-z; \nu)\phi_n(z; \nu)]$$

of vectors $\phi(\pm z; \nu)$ reads

$$W(\phi(z; \nu), \phi(-z; \nu)) = \frac{\sin(2\pi z)}{\pi}.$$

Consequently, $\phi(z; \nu)$ and $\phi(-z; \nu)$ are linearly independent if and only if $2z \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 21. More expressions for $\chi(z; \nu)$, even simpler than (22), are given in Proposition 22 below.

Proof of Proposition 20. 1) The first claim follows readily from Lemma 19 and formula (22) is obtained from (21).

2) For $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}/2)$ fixed and n large, we have formula (18), which is in a suitable form for the asymptotic analysis. First, from the very definition of the hypergeometric series (15), we have

$${}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1/2+z, 1/2+z, 3/2+z \\ 1+2z, n+\nu+3/2+z \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Second, the Stirling formula yields

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+\nu+1)}{\Gamma(n+\nu+3/2+z)} = n^{-z-1/2} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right], \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying the two asymptotic formulas in (18), we arrive at the statement.

3) Since both vectors $\phi(\pm z; \nu)$ solve the second order difference equation from Lemma 19 their Wronskian $W(\phi(z; \nu), \phi(-z; \nu))$ is an n -independent constant, which vanishes if and only if $\phi(z; \nu)$ and $\phi(-z; \nu)$ are linearly dependent. Therefore we can compute the Wronskian with the aid of the already proven claim 2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} W(\phi(z; \nu), \phi(-z; \nu)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+\nu)(n+\nu+1) \frac{n^{-z-1/2}(n+1)^{z-1/2} - n^{z-1/2}(n+1)^{-z-1/2}}{\Gamma(1+2z)\Gamma(1-2z)} \\ &= \frac{2z}{\Gamma(1+2z)\Gamma(1-2z)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2z)\Gamma(1-2z)} = \frac{\sin(2\pi z)}{\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

for $2z \notin \mathbb{Z}$, where we have used the multiplication formula [10, Eq. 5.5.3]

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}.$$

By definition, the function $z \mapsto W(\phi(z; \nu), \phi(-z; \nu))$ is entire and hence the obtained formula extends to all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. \square

Function $\chi(z; \nu)$ defined in claim 1) of Proposition 20 will play a role of the characteristic function of J_ν . Three more hypergeometric expressions for $\chi(z; \nu)$, which will be needed below, are given in the next statement.

Proposition 22. *The following hypergeometric representations hold:*

1) For $\nu > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, one has

$$\chi(z; \nu) = \left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(\nu + 1) {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} z - 1/2, z + 1/2, z + 3/2 \\ 2z + 1, z + \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right). \quad (23)$$

2) For $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > -1/2$, one has

$$\chi(z; \nu) = \frac{(z + 1/2)\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\Gamma(z + 1/2)} {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} \nu - 1, \nu + 1, z + 1/2 \\ z + \nu + 1/2, z + \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right). \quad (24)$$

3) For $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > -1/2$, one has

$$\chi(z; \nu) = \frac{(z + 1/2)\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\Gamma(z + 1/2)} {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} \nu, \nu, z + 1/2 \\ z + \nu - 1/2, z + \nu + 3/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right). \quad (25)$$

Proof. 1) First, applying the transformation [22, Eq. 7.4.4.1]

$${}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} a, b, c \\ d, e \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = \frac{\Gamma(d + e - a - b - c)}{\Gamma(d - c)} {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} e - a, e - b, c \\ d + e - a - b, e \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right), \quad (26)$$

which holds true if $\operatorname{Re}(d + e - a - b - c) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(d - c) > 0$, in (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(z; \nu) &= \Gamma(\nu + 1) \left[{}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} z - 1/2, z + 1/2, z + 1/2 \\ 2z + 1, z + \nu - 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\nu - 1) {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} z - 1/2, z + 1/2, z + 1/2 \\ 2z + 1, z + \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) \right] \\ &= \Gamma(\nu + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z - 1/2)_k (z + 1/2)_k^2}{k! \Gamma(2z + 1 + k) \Gamma(z + \nu + 1/2 + k)} \left[z + \nu - \frac{1}{2} + k - (\nu - 1) \right] \\ &= \Gamma(\nu + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z - 1/2)_k (z + 1/2)_k (z + 1/2)_{k+1}}{k! \Gamma(2z + 1 + k) \Gamma(z + \nu + 1/2 + k)}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > -3/2$. This yields formula (23) for $\nu > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > -3/2$. Recall $\chi(z; \nu)$ is analytic in $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$. Since the right-hand side of (23) is a well defined function for all $\nu > 0$ and entire in z , the formula (23) extends to all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by analyticity.

2) If we apply (26) once more this time in (23) with

$$a = z - \frac{1}{2}, \quad b = z + \frac{3}{2}, \quad c = z + \frac{1}{2}, \quad d = 2z + 1, \quad e = z + \nu + \frac{1}{2},$$

we establish (24) for $\nu > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > -1/2$. Since the right-hand side of (24) is analytic in $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, the formula extends by analyticity.

3) Finally, the Thomae transformation (20) used in (23) with

$$a = z + \frac{1}{2}, \quad b = z - \frac{1}{2}, \quad c = z + \frac{3}{2}, \quad d = 2z + 1, \quad e = z + \nu + \frac{1}{2},$$

yields (25) for $\nu > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > -1/2$ which extends again to all $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$ by analyticity. \square

3.3. Spectral analysis of J_ν . With the aid of Proposition 20, we may determine the point spectrum of J_ν .

Proposition 23. *For all $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, we have*

$$\sigma_p(J_\nu) = \left\{ \frac{1}{4} - x^2 \mid \chi(x; \nu) = 0, x > 0 \right\}.$$

In addition, $0 \notin \sigma_p(J_\nu)$.

Proof. We write the spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in the form $\lambda = 1/4 - z^2$, for $z \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$. In fact, without loss of generality, it suffices to consider the following four cases:

$$i) z = iy, y > 0, \quad ii) z = x, x > 0, \quad iii) z = 0, \quad iv) z = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Ad i) By Proposition 20, vectors $\phi(\pm iy; \nu)$ are linearly independent for all $y > 0$ and their linear combination

$$\psi(y; \nu) := \chi(-iy; \nu)\phi(iy; \nu) - \chi(iy; \nu)\phi(-iy; \nu)$$

is a solution of the eigenvalue equation $J_\nu \psi(y; \nu) = (1/4 + y^2)\psi(y; \nu)$ which is determined uniquely up to a multiplicative constant. Vector $\psi(y; \nu)$ is nontrivial since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(y; \nu) &= \nu(\nu - 1) [\phi_{-1}(-iy; \nu)\phi_0(iy; \nu) - \phi_{-1}(iy; \nu)\phi_0(-iy; \nu)] = W(\phi(-iy; \nu), \phi(iy; \nu)) \\ &= \frac{\sinh(2\pi y)}{i\pi} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $y > 0$. In other words, the coefficients $\chi(\pm iy; \nu)$ cannot vanish simultaneously. Moreover, it follows from claim 2) of Proposition 20 that

$$\psi_n(y; \nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\frac{\chi(-iy; \nu)}{\Gamma(1 + 2iy)} n^{-iy} - \frac{\chi(iy; \nu)}{\Gamma(1 - 2iy)} n^{iy} \right] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\psi(y; \nu) \notin \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, for all $y > 0$. Consequently, $(1/4, \infty) \cap \sigma_p(J_\nu) = \emptyset$.

Ad ii) By the asymptotic expansion from claim 2) of Proposition 20, $\phi(x; \nu)$ is non-trivial and square summable for all $x > 0$. Moreover, we have $J_\nu \phi(x; \nu) = (1/4 - x^2)\phi(x; \nu)$ if and only if $\chi(x; \nu) = 0$. Thus, $1/4 - x^2 \in \sigma_p(J_\nu)$, for $x > 0$, if and only if $\chi(x; \nu) = 0$.

Ad iii) Next, we verify that $1/4 \notin \sigma_p(J_\nu)$. Note that Proposition 20 does not provide us with two linearly independent solutions of the difference equation

$$-(n - 1 + \nu)(n + \nu)\phi_{n-1} + \left[2(n + \nu)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right] \phi_n - (n + \nu)(n + 1 + \nu)\phi_{n+1} = 0, \quad (27)$$

but only one $\phi = \phi(0; \nu)$. The second solution of (27) can be identified in terms of the hypergeometric functions, too, namely

$$\xi_n = \Gamma(-n - \nu)\Gamma(-n - \nu + 1) {}_3\tilde{F}_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -1/2, -n - \nu, -n - \nu \\ -n - \nu + 1/2, -n - \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In contrast to ϕ_n , a direct asymptotic analysis of solution ξ_n , for $n \rightarrow \infty$, is a difficult task. However, in order to verify that $1/4 \notin \sigma_p(J_\nu)$, an explicit asymptotic formula is not needed. At this point, it is more straightforward to apply the method of successive approximations to the difference equation (27); see [34].

The method of successive approximations implies that there are two linearly independent solutions of (27) with the asymptotic behavior

$$\phi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_n = \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right], \quad (28)$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$; more details are given in Appendix A.1 for reader's convenience. Now, it is obvious from (28) that there is no nontrivial linear combination of ϕ and ξ , which belongs to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. It means that $1/4 \notin \sigma_p(J_\nu)$.

Ad iv) The claim $0 \notin \sigma_p(J_\nu)$ is a general fact, which follows from Lemma 4. Nevertheless, we can also check that $\chi(1/2; \nu) \neq 0$ by a direct computation. To this end, one uses (22) getting

$$\chi\left(\frac{1}{2}; \nu\right) = \Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu + 1) \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\nu)} - \frac{\nu(\nu - 1)}{\Gamma^2(\nu + 1)} \right] = 1. \quad \square$$

Our next goal is to compute the Weyl m -function of J_ν ,

$$m(\lambda; \nu) := \langle e_0, (J_\nu - \lambda)^{-1} e_0 \rangle,$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, and deduce the spectral measure $\mu_\nu := \langle e_0, E_{J_\nu} e_0 \rangle$, where E_{J_ν} is the projection-valued spectral measure of the self-adjoint operator J_ν . We refer the reader to [2, 29] for general theory of Jacobi operators. In order to deduce a formula for the Weyl m -function,

we make use of the formula for a general formula for the Green kernel of a Jacobi operator, which, if applied to J_ν , reads

$$\langle e_m, (J_\nu - \lambda)^{-1} e_n \rangle = \frac{1}{W(\phi, \psi)} \begin{cases} \psi_m \phi_n, & \text{if } m \leq n, \\ \psi_n \phi_m, & \text{if } n \leq m, \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

where ψ is a generalized solution to the eigenvalue equation $J_\nu \psi = \lambda \psi$, and ϕ is a square summable solution of the recurrence from Lemma 19 with $\lambda = 1/4 - z^2$.

Proposition 24. *Suppose $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, $\lambda = 1/4 - z^2$, and $\operatorname{Re} z > 0, \operatorname{Im} z > 0$. Then we have*

$$m(\lambda; \nu) = \frac{\phi_0(z; \nu)}{\chi(z; \nu)}.$$

Proof. Note that $\operatorname{Im} \lambda < 0$ for $\operatorname{Re} z > 0, \operatorname{Im} z > 0$. It follows from Proposition 20 that the vector

$$\psi(z; \nu) := \chi(-z; \nu) \phi(z; \nu) - \chi(z; \nu) \phi(-z; \nu)$$

solves the eigenvalue equation $J_\nu \psi(z; \nu) = \lambda \psi(z; \nu)$ and also that $\phi(z; \nu)$, the solution of the recurrence from Lemma 19, belongs to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ since we suppose $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$. Notice further that

$$\psi_0(z; \nu) = -W(\phi(z; \nu), \phi(-z; \nu)).$$

Hence

$$W(\phi(z; \nu), \psi(z; \nu)) = -\chi(z; \nu) W(\phi(z; \nu), \phi(-z; \nu)) = \chi(z; \nu) \psi_0(z; \nu),$$

and by general formula (29) for the Green kernel of J_ν , we obtain

$$m(\lambda; \nu) = \frac{\psi_0(z; \nu) \phi_0(z; \nu)}{W(\phi(z; \nu), \psi(z; \nu))} = \frac{\phi_0(z; \nu)}{\chi(z; \nu)}.$$

□

Remark 25. Of course, we can combine Proposition 24 with formula (21) for $\phi_0(z; \nu)$ and any expression from (22), (23), (24), or (25) to express $m(\cdot; \nu)$ as a ratio of hypergeometric functions. For example, for $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > 0, \operatorname{Im} z > 0$, we have

$$m(\lambda; \nu) = \frac{4}{(2z+1)^2} \frac{{}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} z-1/2, \nu, \nu \\ \nu+z+1/2, \nu+z+1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)}{{}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} z+1/2, \nu, \nu \\ \nu+z-1/2, \nu+z+3/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)},$$

where $\lambda = 1/4 - z^2$.

The Weyl m -function coincides with the Cauchy (or Stieltjes) transform of the spectral measure, i.e.,

$$m(\lambda; \nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_\nu(x)}{x - \lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

Recall the spectral measure μ_ν is completely determined by its Cauchy transform $m(\cdot; \nu)$ given on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $\operatorname{supp} \mu_\nu$ coincides with the domain of analyticity of $m(\cdot; \nu)$. By Proposition 24, we have a formula for $m(\lambda; \nu)$ if $\operatorname{Im} \lambda < 0$. By self-adjointness of J_ν , we have the symmetry

$$\overline{m(\lambda; \nu)} = m(\bar{\lambda}; \nu), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

which means that $m(\lambda; \nu)$ is determined by Proposition 24 on the half-plane $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$, too.

Proposition 26. *For all $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, the spectral measure $\mu_\nu = \mu_\nu^d + \mu_\nu^{ac}$, where μ_ν^d and μ_ν^{ac} is the discrete and the absolutely continuous part of μ_ν , respectively.*

1) *Discrete measure μ_ν^d is supported on the set positive zeros of $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ and*

$$\mu_\nu^d = \sum_{\substack{x_0 > 0 \\ \chi(x_0; \nu) = 0}} \frac{\phi_0(x_0; \nu)}{\partial_x \chi(x_0; \nu)} \delta_{1/4 - x_0^2},$$

where δ_{y_0} denotes the unit-mass Dirac measure supported on the one-point set $\{y_0\}$.

2) Absolutely continuous measure μ_ν^{ac} is supported on $[1/4, \infty)$ and its density reads

$$\frac{d\mu_\nu}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2 \right) = \frac{\sinh(2\pi x)}{2\pi^2 |\chi(ix; \nu)|^2},$$

for $x > 0$.

Proof. We write again $\lambda = 1/4 - z^2$ and investigate singular points of $m(\lambda; \nu)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, $\lambda = \lambda(z)$ maps the positive imaginary line onto $(1/4, \infty)$ and the positive real line onto $(-\infty, 1/4)$.

First, by inspection of the formula $m(\lambda; \nu) = \phi_0(z; \nu)/\chi(z; \nu)$, for $z > 0$, one finds that the only singular points of $m(\cdot; \nu)$ located in $(-\infty, 1/4)$ are simple poles determined by zeros of $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ in $(0, \infty)$. Indeed, since $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ is an analytic non-trivial function it has only isolated zeros. Further, observe that $\phi_0(z; \nu)$ and $\chi(z; \nu)$ cannot have a common zero $z > 0$ since otherwise, by Lemma 19, one would have $\phi_n(z; \nu) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is in contradiction with claim 2) of Proposition 20. Next, as we know from Proposition 23, positive zeros of $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ coincide with discrete eigenvalues of J_ν . Their algebraic multiplicities are equal to their orders as poles of the m -function of J_ν [4, Thm. 2.14]. Recall the eigenvalues of J_ν are always simple since the eigenvector is uniquely determined by its first entry and J_ν is self-adjoint. Hence we see that the zeros (if any) of $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ in $(0, \infty)$ are simple. This means that the measure μ_ν is only atomic (or void) in $(-\infty, 1/4)$ and the corresponding weight is determined by the residue of the m -function at x_0 , i.e.,

$$\mu_\nu \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{4} - x_0^2 \right\} \right) = \frac{\phi_0(x_0; \nu)}{\partial_x \chi(x_0; \nu)},$$

provided that $\chi(x_0; \nu) = 0$, $x_0 > 0$.

Next, we show that $m(\cdot; \nu)$ has a branch cut in $(1/4, \infty)$. In fact, we show the jump of $\text{Im } m(\cdot; \nu)$ in $(1/4, \infty)$ is an integrable function and hence determines the density of the absolutely continuous part of μ_ν by means of the Stieltjes–Perron inversion formula [29, Thm. B.2]. Notice that $\lambda(z) \rightarrow 1/4 + x^2$, for $x > 0$, from the lower half-plane $\text{Im } \lambda < 0$ if and only if $z \rightarrow ix$ from the right half-plane $\text{Re } z > 0$. Taking also Proposition 20 into account, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &:= \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 1/4 + x^2 \\ \text{Im } \lambda < 0}} \text{Im } m(\lambda; \nu) = \frac{1}{2i} \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow ix \\ \text{Re } z > 0}} [m(\lambda(z); \nu) - m(\lambda(\bar{z}); \nu)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \left[\frac{\phi_0(ix; \nu)}{\chi(ix; \nu)} - \frac{\phi_0(-ix; \nu)}{\chi(-ix; \nu)} \right] = \frac{W(\phi(-ix; \nu), \phi(ix; \nu))}{2i |\chi(ix; \nu)|^2} = -\frac{\sinh(2\pi x)}{2\pi |\chi(ix; \nu)|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 0$. Note that the function h is continuous in $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, a straightforward application of the Stirling formula in (24) yields

$$|\chi(ix; \nu)|^2 = \frac{\Gamma^2(\nu)\Gamma^2(\nu+1)}{8\pi^3} x^{2-4\nu} e^{3\pi x} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right], \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, $h \in L^1(0, \infty)$. By the Stieltjes–Perron inversion formula, μ_ν is absolutely continuous in $[1/4, \infty)$ and its density is given by the equation

$$\frac{d\mu_\nu}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2 \right) = -\frac{1}{\pi} h(x),$$

for $x > 0$. □

As an immediate corollary of Proposition 26, we have the spectrum of J_ν and its parts.

Corollary 27. *For any $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, the spectrum of J_ν is simple and decomposes as $\sigma(J_\nu) = \sigma_p(J_\nu) \cup \sigma_{ac}(J_\nu)$, where*

$$\sigma_{ac}(J_\nu) = \left[\frac{1}{4}, \infty \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_p(J_\nu) = \left\{ \frac{1}{4} - x^2 \mid \chi(x; \nu) = 0, x > 0 \right\}.$$

Moreover, $\sigma_p(J_\nu)$ is finite (possibly empty).

Proof. The only claim to be proven is the finiteness of $\sigma_p(J_\nu)$. By Proposition 15, $J_\nu \geq \min(0, \nu)$. Hence $\sigma_p(J_\nu) \subset [\min(0, \nu), 1/4]$. This means that the positive zeros of $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$, which determine eigenvalues of J_ν , are located in the compact interval $[0, \sqrt{1/4 - \min(0, \nu)}]$. Since $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ is a non-trivial analytic function the set of these zeros has to be finite. \square

3.4. The point spectrum of J_ν in more detail for $\nu > 0$. As our initial motivation is to determine $\|L_\nu\|$, for $\nu > 0$, we investigate more closely the point spectrum of J_ν . First, we prove an auxiliary result on monotonicity properties of function $\chi(x; \nu)$ in both variables, which will be needed below.

Lemma 28. *The following properties hold:*

i) *The function*

$$\nu \mapsto \frac{\Gamma(x + \nu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \chi(x; \nu)$$

is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, if $x \in [0, 1/2)$, and strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, if $x \in (1/2, \infty]$.

ii) *If $x \geq 0, \nu > 0$, and $x + \nu \geq 1/2$, then $\chi(x; \nu) > 0$. In particular, $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ has no positive zero, if $\nu \geq 1/2$.*

iii) *For all $\nu \in (0, 1/2]$, the function*

$$x \mapsto \frac{\Gamma(x + 1/2)\Gamma^2(x + \nu + 1/2)}{x + 1/2} \chi(x; \nu)$$

is strictly increasing on $[0, 1/2]$.

Proof. i) According to (23), we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(x + \nu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \chi(x; \nu) = \frac{x + 1/2}{\Gamma(2x + 1)} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} x - 1/2, x + 1/2, x + 3/2 \\ 2x + 1, x + \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right),$$

for all $x \geq 0$ and $\nu > 0$. Hence it suffices to prove that the function

$$\nu \mapsto {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} x - 1/2, x + 1/2, x + 3/2 \\ 2x + 1, x + \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)$$

has the monotonicity property of claim 1). By definition (15), we have the series representation

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} x - 1/2, x + 1/2, x + 3/2 \\ 2x + 1, x + \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x - 1/2)_k (x + 1/2)_k (x + 3/2)_k}{k! (2x + 1)_k} \frac{1}{(x + \nu + 1/2)_k}.$$

Now, it suffices to note that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \geq 0$, the function

$$\nu \mapsto \frac{1}{(x + \nu + 1/2)_k}$$

is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ while, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the term

$$\frac{(x - 1/2)_k (x + 1/2)_k (x + 3/2)_k}{k! (2x + 1)_k}$$

is negative, if $x \in [0, 1/2)$, and positive, if $x > 1/2$.

ii) By inspection of the expression

$$\chi(x; \nu) = \frac{(x + 1/2)\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\Gamma(x + 1/2)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu)_k^2 (x + 1/2)_k}{k! \Gamma(x + \nu - 1/2 + k) \Gamma(x + \nu + 3/2 + k)},$$

which follows from (25), we see that each term is positive provided that $x \geq 0$, $\nu > 0$ and $x + \nu > 1/2$. In the limiting case $x + \nu = 1/2$, the first term of the sum vanishes while the remaining terms remain all positive.

iii) By making use of formula (24), we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma(x + 1/2)\Gamma^2(x + \nu + 1/2)}{x + 1/2} \chi(x; \nu) = \Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu - 1)_k (\nu + 1)_k}{k!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} g_j(x; \nu),$$

where

$$g_j(x; \nu) := \frac{x + j + 1/2}{(x + \nu + j + 1/2)^2}.$$

Since, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\nu \in (0, 1/2]$, and $x > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x}(x; \nu) = -\frac{x - \nu + j + 1/2}{(x + \nu + j + 1/2)^3} \leq -\frac{x}{(x + \nu + j + 1/2)^3} < 0,$$

functions $g_j(\cdot; \nu)$ are strictly decreasing for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Noting also that $(\nu - 1)_k < 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu \in (0, 1)$, we obtain the claim. \square

Now, we are in position to prove that, if $\nu > 0$, the point spectrum of J_ν is either empty or a one-point set.

Theorem 29. *The following claims hold:*

1) *The function*

$$\nu \mapsto {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -1/2, 1/2, 3/2 \\ 1, \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)$$

has a unique positive zero ν_0 which is located in $(0, 1/2)$.

2) *We have*

$$\sigma_p(J_\nu) = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } \nu \geq \nu_0, \\ 1/4 - x_0^2(\nu), & \text{if } 0 < \nu < \nu_0, \end{cases}$$

where $x_0(\nu)$ is the unique zero of the function

$$x \mapsto {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} x - 1/2, x + 1/2, x + 3/2 \\ 2x + 1, x + \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)$$

located in $(0, 1/2)$.

3) *Function $x_0 : (0, \nu_0) \rightarrow (0, 1/2) : \nu \mapsto x_0(\nu)$ is real analytic and strictly decreasing.*

Remark 30. Numerically, one has $\nu_0 \approx 0.349086$.

Proof of Theorem 29. 1) It follows from (23) that

$$g(\nu) := {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -1/2, 1/2, 3/2 \\ 1, \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = 2 \frac{\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \chi(0; \nu),$$

for $\nu > 0$. According to claim (i) of Lemma 28, g is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$. Further, one computes readily from (25) that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \nu \chi(0; \nu) = \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(1/2)\Gamma(-1/2)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}} < 0,$$

which means that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0+} g(\nu) = -\infty$. By using formula (25) again, one gets

$$g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix} 1/2, 1/2, 1/2 \\ 0, 2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)_k^3}{(k-1)! k! (k+1)!} > 0.$$

These properties imply that g has a unique positive zero ν_0 , which is located in $(0, 1/2)$.

2) For $x, \nu > 0$, we temporarily denote

$$r(x; \nu) := {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} x - 1/2, x + 1/2, x + 3/2 \\ 2x + 1, x + \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)$$

and

$$s(x; \nu) := \frac{\Gamma(x + 1/2)\Gamma^2(x + \nu + 1/2)}{x + 1/2} \chi(x; \nu).$$

In view of (23), we have

$$s(x; \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(x + 1/2)\Gamma(x + \nu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(2x + 1)} r(x; \nu).$$

Thus, for $\nu > 0$, the set of positive zeros of functions $r(\cdot; \nu)$, $s(\cdot; \nu)$, and $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ coincide. It follows from claim (ii) of Lemma 28 that, if $\nu \geq 1/2$, $r(\cdot; \nu)$ has no positive zero.

Next, suppose $\nu \in (0, 1/2)$. According to claim (iii) of Lemma 28, function $s(\cdot; \nu)$ is strictly increasing on $[0, 1/2]$. Further, observe that

$$s(0; \nu) = \Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)g(\nu) \quad \text{and} \quad s\left(\frac{1}{2}; \nu\right) = \Gamma^2(\nu + 1).$$

Consequently, $s(\cdot; \nu)$ has a unique zero $x_0(\nu) \in (0, 1/2)$ if and only if $g(\nu) < 0$, which is further equivalent to the condition $\nu < \nu_0$. Otherwise, if $\nu \geq \nu_0$, $s(x; \nu) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1/2]$. This proves the assertion concerning zeros of $r(\cdot; \nu)$ from the statement. The claim on the point spectrum of J_ν now follows from Proposition 23.

3) The zero x_0 depends analytically on ν by the analytic Implicit Function Theorem. Next, by differentiating the implicit equation

$$s(x_0(\nu); \nu) = 0$$

with respect to ν , we get

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x_0(\nu); \nu) x'_0(\nu) + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \nu}(x_0(\nu); \nu) = 0 \quad (30)$$

for all $\nu \in (0, \nu_0)$. As we know from claim (iii) of Lemma 28,

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x_0(\nu); \nu) > 0.$$

Similarly, by claim (i) of Lemma 28, we have

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \nu}(x_0(\nu); \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(x + 1/2)\Gamma(x + \nu + 1/2)}{x + 1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{x=x_0(\nu)} \frac{\Gamma(x + \nu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \chi(x; \nu) > 0.$$

Thus, it follows from (30) that $x'_0(\nu) < 0$ for all $\nu \in (0, \nu_0)$. In other words, x_0 is strictly decreasing on $(0, \nu_0)$.

To conclude that x_0 maps $(0, \nu_0)$ onto $(0, 1/2)$, it suffices to check that the limit values

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0^+} x_0(\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \nu_0^-} x_0(\nu) = 0.$$

The latter limit relation follows from the proof of claim 2), where we have observed that $s(0; \nu_0) = 0$ and $s(x; \nu_0) > 0$ for all $x > 0$. Next, the already proven claim 2) together with the min-max principle implies the estimate

$$\frac{1}{4} - x_0^2(\nu) = \inf_{\substack{\phi \in \text{Dom } J_\nu \\ \|\phi\|=1}} \langle \phi, J_\nu \phi \rangle \leq \langle e_0, J_\nu e_0 \rangle = \nu(\nu + 1), \quad (31)$$

for $\nu \in (0, \nu_0)$. Thus, we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \nu(\nu + 1)} \leq x_0(\nu) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

for $\nu \in (0, \nu_0)$, which implies that $x_0(\nu) \rightarrow 1/2$, as $\nu \rightarrow 0^+$. \square

Next, we show that the bound (31) on the bottom of the spectrum of J_ν can be improved when a different choice of the test sequence for the min-max principle is made. The result actually holds true for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$.

Proposition 31. *For $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, one has*

$$\min(0, \nu) \leq \inf \sigma(J_\nu) \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{\nu \psi'(\nu)}\right),$$

where $\psi = \Gamma/\Gamma'$ is the Digamma function.

Proof. The lower bound $\min(0, \nu) \leq \inf \sigma(J_\nu)$ follows immediately from Lemma 15. Further, since $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(J_\nu) = [1/4, \infty)$ by Corollary 27, $\inf \sigma(J_\nu) \leq 1/4$. Thus, it suffices to prove the upper bound $\inf \sigma(J_\nu) \leq 1/(\nu \psi'(\nu))$.

By the min-max principle,

$$\inf \sigma(J_\nu) = \inf_{0 \neq \phi \in \text{Dom } J_\nu} \frac{\langle \phi, J_\nu \phi \rangle}{\|\phi\|^2}.$$

We choose the test sequence ϕ^* with entries

$$\phi_n^* := \frac{1}{n + \nu}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Then $J_\nu \phi^* = e_0$, hence $\langle \phi^*, J_\nu \phi^* \rangle = 1/\nu$. Further, we have

$$\|\phi^*\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + \nu)^2} = \psi'(\nu),$$

where we used the well-known identity [10, Eq. 5.15.1]. The desired estimate now follows from the min-max principle. \square

As we know from claim 3) of Theorem 29, $x_0(\nu) \rightarrow 1/2$, as $\nu \rightarrow 0+$, which is reasonable since $0 \in \sigma_p(J_0)$. However, a more detailed information on the asymptotic behavior of $x_0(\nu)$, for $\nu \rightarrow 0+$, can be computed.

Proposition 32. *For $\nu \rightarrow 0+$, we have the asymptotic expansion*

$$x_0(\nu) = \frac{1}{2} - \nu - \nu^2 - \left(2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6}\right) \nu^3 - \left(5 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \zeta(3)\right) \nu^4 + O(\nu^5), \quad (32)$$

where $x_0(\nu)$ is as in Theorem 29 and $\zeta(3)$ is Apéry's constant.

Proof. We derive the asymptotic expansion of $x_0(\nu)$ up to the quadratic term ν^2 here. The computation of the other two coefficients by ν^3 and ν^4 follows the same procedure but is lengthy, therefore is postponed to Appendix A.2.

In view of formula (22), $x_0(\nu)$ is the zero of function

$$\left(x + \nu - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} x - 1/2, \nu - 1, \nu - 1 \\ \nu + x - 1/2, \nu + x - 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) - \nu(\nu - 1) {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} x - 1/2, \nu, \nu \\ \nu + x + 1/2, \nu + x + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right),$$

located in a left neighborhood of the point $1/2$ for $\nu > 0$ small. We denote $y := x - 1/2$ and

$$h(y; \nu) := (y + \nu)^2 {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} y, \nu - 1, \nu - 1 \\ \nu + y, \nu + y \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) - \nu(\nu - 1) {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} y, \nu, \nu \\ \nu + y + 1, \nu + y + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right). \quad (33)$$

Note that h is analytic in both variables in a neighborhood of zero. Expression (33) is in a suitable form for an asymptotic expansion for ν small. Using definition (15) and separating the first two terms of the first hypergeometric series from (33) and the very first term from the second hypergeometric series in (33), we arrive at the expression

$$\begin{aligned} h(y; \nu) &= (y + \nu)^2 + y(\nu - 1)^2 + y(y + 1)\nu^2 U(y; \nu) - \nu(\nu - 1) - y\nu^3 V(y; \nu) \\ &= y(y + 1) + \nu + y\nu^2 + y(y + 1)\nu^2 U(y; \nu) - y\nu^3 V(y; \nu), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where

$$U(y; \nu) := \frac{(\nu - 1)^2}{(y + \nu + 1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y + 2)_k (\nu + 1)_k^2}{(y + \nu + 2)_k^2 (k + 2)!} \quad (35)$$

and

$$V(y; \nu) := \frac{\nu - 1}{(y + \nu + 1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y + 1)_k (\nu + 1)_k^2}{(y + \nu + 2)_k^2 (k + 1)!}. \quad (36)$$

It is easy to see that both $U(y; \nu)$ and $V(y; \nu)$ are uniformly bounded in y and ν from a neighborhood of zero. Writing the analytic function

$$y_0(\nu) := x_0(\nu) - \frac{1}{2} = y_1 \nu + O(\nu^2),$$

one infers from (34) that

$$0 = h(y_0(\nu); \nu) = (y_1 + 1)\nu + O(\nu^2),$$

as $\nu \rightarrow 0$. Hence $y_1 = -1$, i.e.,

$$y_0(\nu) = -\nu + O(\nu^2), \quad \nu \rightarrow 0.$$

Repeating the same approach for $y_0(\nu)$ expanded up to the second term

$$y_0(\nu) = -\nu + y_2 \nu^2 + O(\nu^3),$$

one obtains

$$0 = h(y_0(\nu); \nu) = (y_2 + 1)\nu^2 + O(\nu^3), \quad \nu \rightarrow 0,$$

which yields $y_2 = -1$. Hence

$$y_0(\nu) = -\nu - \nu^2 + O(\nu^3), \quad \nu \rightarrow 0,$$

getting the first three terms from the expansion to be proved. Two more terms are computed in Appendix A.2. \square

3.5. Applications for orthogonal polynomials. A straightforward application of Proposition 26 yields the orthogonality measure for orthogonal polynomials $p_n(x; \nu)$ corresponding to J_ν , i.e., determined recursively by

$$p_{n+1}(x; \nu) = (x - 2(n + \nu)^2) p_n(x; \nu) - (n + \nu - 1)^2 (n + \nu)^2 p_{n-1}(x; \nu), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and $p_0(x; \nu) = 1$, $p_1(x; \nu) = x - \nu(\nu + 1)$. Recall the respective orthonormal polynomials $\{P_n(\cdot; \nu)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are determined by the generalized eigenvalue equation $J_\nu P(x; \nu) = xP(x; \nu)$, where $P(x; \nu) = (P_0(x; \nu), P_1(x; \nu), \dots)^T$, normalized by $P_0(x; \nu) = 1$. Polynomials $p_n(\cdot; \nu)$ and $P_n(\cdot; \nu)$ are simply related by the formula

$$P_n(x; \nu) := \frac{(-1)^n}{(\nu)_n (\nu + 1)_n} p_n(x; \nu), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (37)$$

Then the identity $e_n = P_n(J_\nu; \nu)e_0$, which holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and can be easily verified by induction, together with the Spectral Theorem implies

$$\delta_{m,n} = \langle e_m, e_n \rangle = \langle P_m(J_\nu; \nu)e_0, P_n(J_\nu; \nu)e_0 \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(x; \nu) P_m(x; \nu) d\langle e_0, E_{J_\nu} e_0 \rangle,$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This well known fact shows that the orthogonality measure for $P_n(\cdot; \nu)$ coincides with the spectral measure $\mu_\nu = \langle e_0, E_{J_\nu} e_0 \rangle$ described in Proposition 26. Taking also into account formula (37), we obtain the orthogonality relation for polynomials $p_n(\cdot; \nu)$.

Theorem 33. *For $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have the orthogonality relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty p_m\left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2; \nu\right) p_n\left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2; \nu\right) \frac{x \sinh(2\pi x)}{|\chi(ix; \nu)|^2} dx \\ & + \sum_{\substack{x_0 > 0 \\ \chi(x_0; \nu) = 0}} \frac{\phi_0(x_0; \nu)}{\partial_x \chi(x_0; \nu)} p_m\left(\frac{1}{4} - x_0^2; \nu\right) p_n\left(\frac{1}{4} - x_0^2; \nu\right) = (\nu)_n^2 (\nu + 1)_n^2 \delta_{m,n}. \end{aligned}$$

If we additionally suppose $\nu > 0$, we have more detailed description of the discrete part of the orthogonality measure due to Theorem 29.

Theorem 34. *Let $\nu > 0$ and $\nu_0, x_0(\nu)$ as defined in Theorem 29. If $\nu \geq \nu_0$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty p_m\left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2; \nu\right) p_n\left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2; \nu\right) \frac{x \sinh(2\pi x)}{|\chi(ix; \nu)|^2} dx = (\nu)_n^2 (\nu + 1)_n^2 \delta_{m,n},$$

while if $\nu < \nu_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty p_m\left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2; \nu\right) p_n\left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2; \nu\right) \frac{x \sinh(2\pi x)}{|\chi(ix; \nu)|^2} dx \\ & + \frac{\phi_0(x_0(\nu); \nu)}{\partial_x \chi(x_0(\nu); \nu)} p_m\left(\frac{1}{4} - x_0^2(\nu); \nu\right) p_n\left(\frac{1}{4} - x_0^2(\nu); \nu\right) = (\nu)_n^2 (\nu + 1)_n^2 \delta_{m,n}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Remark 35. With the aid of formula (24) and identity [10, Eq. 5.4.4]

$$\left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right) \right|^2 = \frac{\pi}{\cosh(\pi x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

one verifies that

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{x \sinh(2\pi x)}{|\chi(ix; 1)|^2} = 2\pi x \left(\frac{1}{4} + x^2\right) \frac{\sinh(\pi x)}{\cosh^2(\pi x)}.$$

It means that, for $\nu = 1$, Theorem 34 simplifies to the well-known orthogonality (17) (recall that $\nu_0 \in (0, 1/2)$).

Remark 36. In view of Proposition 20, orthonormal polynomials $P_n(\cdot; \nu)$ can be expressed in the form

$$P_n\left(\frac{1}{4} - z^2; \nu\right) = \frac{\chi(-z; \nu)\phi_n(z; \nu) - \chi(z; \nu)\phi_n(-z; \nu)}{\chi(-z; \nu)\phi_0(z; \nu) - \chi(z; \nu)\phi_0(-z; \nu)}$$

since then equations $J_\nu P(z; \nu) = zP(z; \nu)$ and $P_0(z; \nu) = 1$ are fulfilled. Noticing also that the denominator coincides with $W(\phi(-z; \nu), \phi(z; \nu))$, we may rewrite the last formula as

$$P_n\left(\frac{1}{4} - z^2; \nu\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(2\pi z)} [\chi(z; \nu)\phi_n(-z; \nu) - \chi(-z; \nu)\phi_n(z; \nu)], \quad (38)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/2)$. However, since polynomial in z , formula (38) extends to all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, if the right-hand side is interpreted as the respective limit value. Then one may combine relations from (18) and (21)–(25) in order to express polynomials $P_n(\cdot, \nu)$ in terms of hypergeometric functions. Such formulas can be useful when deriving various asymptotic formulas for the orthogonal polynomials.

3.6. Spectral properties of the Hilbert L -operator. Since $L_\nu = J_\nu^{-1}$, for $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, spectral properties of L_ν can be readily deduced from previous spectral analysis of J_ν . In this subsection, spectral properties of the Hilbert L -operator L_ν are summarized. Recall function $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ is defined in claim 1) of Proposition 20 and can be expressed in terms of the hypergeometric functions by one of formulas (22)–(25) depending on restrictions of variables.

Theorem 37 (Spectrum of L_ν for general ν). *For all $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, the spectrum of L_ν is simple and $\sigma(L_\nu) = \sigma_{\text{ac}}(L_\nu) \cup \sigma_{\text{p}}(L_\nu)$, where*

$$\sigma_{\text{ac}}(L_\nu) = [0, 4]$$

and

$$\sigma_{\text{p}}(L_\nu) = \left\{ \frac{4}{1 - 4x^2} \mid \chi(x; \nu) = 0, x > 0 \right\}.$$

Moreover, $\sigma_{\text{p}}(L_\nu)$ is finite (possibly empty).

Proof. It follows from the spectral properties of J_ν given in Corollary 27. \square

Theorem 38 (Point spectrum of L_ν for $\nu > 0$). *Let $\nu > 0$ and $\nu_0, x_0(\nu)$ the roots defined by Theorem 29.*

- 1) *If $\nu \geq \nu_0$, $\sigma_{\text{p}}(L_\nu) = \emptyset$, while if $\nu < \nu_0$, $\sigma_{\text{p}}(L_\nu)$ is the one-point set containing*

$$\|L_\nu\| = \frac{4}{1 - 4x_0^2(\nu)}.$$

- 2) *Function $\|L_\nu\| : (0, \nu_0) \rightarrow (4, \infty)$ is real analytic and strictly decreasing.*
 3) *We have the lower bound*

$$\|L_\nu\| \geq \max(4, \nu\psi'(\nu)),$$

where $\psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$ is the Digamma function.

- 4) *For $\nu \rightarrow 0+$, we have the asymptotic expansion*

$$\|L_\nu\| = \frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{\pi^2}{6}\nu + \zeta(3)\nu^2 + O(\nu^3),$$

where $\zeta(3)$ is Apéry's constant.

Proof. Claim 1) follows from claim 2) of Theorem 29 and the fact that

$$\|L_\nu\| = \sup \sigma(L_\nu) = \frac{1}{\inf \sigma(J_\nu)},$$

for $\nu > 0$. Claim 2) is a consequence of claim 3) of Theorem 29. Claim 3) follows from Proposition 31 and the asymptotic expansion from claim 4) can be readily computed using Proposition 32. \square

If $\nu < 0$ and $-\nu \notin \mathbb{N}$, L_ν is no more positive-semidefinite, which follows from Lemma 15. Hence, there exists a negative spectral point of L_ν that must be an eigenvalue according to Theorem 37. In other words, for $\nu < 0$, function $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ has a zero greater than $1/2$. On the other hand, it is not clear whether there is also an eigenvalue of L_ν greater than 4. Actually, the situation seems to be quite delicate since, as indicated by numerical experiments, there is exactly one negative eigenvalue below $[0, 4]$ and none or exactly one eigenvalue above $[0, 4]$ depending on the value of $\nu < 0$. We formulate this claim as the following conjecture. A more detailed numerical demonstration of the phenomenon is given in Section 4.

Conjecture 1. *Suppose $\nu < 0$ and $-\nu \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then $\sigma(L_\nu)$ consists of exactly one negative eigenvalue and none or exactly one eigenvalue of L_ν greater than 4. More precisely, there are numbers $-2 < \nu_3 < \nu_2 < -1 < \nu_1 < 0$ such that*

$$\sigma_p(L_\nu) = \begin{cases} \{\lambda_-(\nu)\}, & \text{for } \nu \in (\nu_3, \nu_2) \cup (\nu_1, 0), \\ \{\lambda_-(\nu), \lambda_+(\nu)\}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_-(\nu) < 0$ and $\lambda_+(\nu) > 4$.

4. ILLUSTRATIVE AND COMPARISON PLOTS

Below, we provide six illustrative and comparison plots concerning spectral properties of J_ν and L_ν . First, a comparison of $\inf \sigma(J_\nu)$ and the upper bound from Proposition 31, for $\nu > 0$, is given in Figure 1.

Second, in Figure 2, we plot the graph of the function

$$\nu \mapsto {}_3\tilde{F}_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1/2, \nu - 1, \nu + 1 \\ \nu + 1/2, \nu + 1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right). \quad (39)$$

Zeros of (39) coincide with zeros of $\chi(0; \nu)$ since the two functions differ by a non-vanishing factor, see (24). As it follows from Theorem 29, function (39) has exactly one positive zero, the number ν_0 . Taking also negative values of ν into account, there is numerical evidence that function (39) possesses exactly 3 more negative zeros $\nu_3 < \nu_2 < \nu_1 < 0$. Numerical values of the zeros are approximately

$$\nu_3 \approx -1.33742, \quad \nu_2 \approx -1.1426, \quad \nu_1 \approx -0.43215, \quad \nu_0 \approx 0.34909.$$

Figure 3 displays positive zeros of $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ as functions of ν . Recall that $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ has no positive zero, if $\nu \geq \nu_0$, has exactly one zero in $(0, 1/2)$, if $\nu \in (0, \nu_0)$, and at least one zero greater than $1/2$, if $\nu < 0$. Based on numerical experiments, we may be more precise when $\nu < 0$. It seems that, for $\nu < 0$, $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ has exactly one zero, denoted by $x_1(\nu)$, which is greater than $1/2$, and possibly one zero $x_0(\nu)$ located in $(0, 1/2)$. As shown in Figure 3, $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$ has exactly one zero $x_1(\nu)$ (greater than $1/2$), when $\nu \in (\nu_3, \nu_2) \cup (\nu_1, 0)$. For the remaining values of $\nu < 0$, $\nu \notin -\mathbb{N}$, both positive zeros $x_0(\nu)$ and $x_1(\nu)$ are present.

The observations on positive zeros of $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$, for $\nu < \nu_0$, have immediate consequences on eigenvalues of J_ν due to the characterization of $\sigma_p(J_\nu)$ from Proposition 23. This is illustrated by Figure 4, where the positive eigenvalue of J_ν is denoted by $\mu_+(\nu) \in (0, 1/4)$ and the negative eigenvalue by $\mu_-(\nu)$. The corresponding consequences on $\sigma_p(L_\nu)$ are illustrated by Figure 5, where the notation of Conjecture 1 is used. Finally, the graph of function $\nu \mapsto \|L_\nu\|$, computed as $\|L_\nu\| = \max(-\lambda_-(\nu), \lambda_+(\nu), 4)$, is plotted in Figure 6.




FIGURE 1. A comparison of $\inf \sigma(J_\nu)$ and the upper bound from Proposition 31, for $0 < \nu < 0.4$.








FIGURE 2. Function (39) and its zeros.

FIGURE 3. Positive zeros of function $\chi(\cdot; \nu)$.FIGURE 4. Eigenvalues of J_ν as functions of ν .

FIGURE 5. Eigenvalues of L_ν as function of ν .FIGURE 6. The norm of L_ν as function of ν .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge gratefully partial support from grant No. 20-17749X of the Czech Science Foundation.

APPENDIX

A.1. The method of successive approximations. The full description of the method of successive approximations is explained in [34]. We recall only a very particular case, which applies to difference equation (27) of our interest.

We consider the difference equation in the form

$$\phi_{n+2} + a_n \phi_{n+1} + b_n \phi_n = 0, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where coefficients a_n and b_n have the asymptotic behavior

$$a_n = \alpha_0 + \frac{\alpha_1}{n} + \frac{\alpha_2}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = \beta_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{n} + \frac{\beta_2}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right),$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Further, three more conditions are to be assumed:

- a) The quadratic polynomial $x^2 + \alpha_0 x + \beta_0$ has a double root x_0 .
- b) $2\beta_1 = \alpha_0 \alpha_1$.
- c) The quadratic polynomial $y(y-1)x_0^2 + (\alpha_1 y + \alpha_2)x_0 + \beta_2$ has a double root y_0 .

Then equation (A.1) has two linearly independent solutions ϕ and ξ of asymptotic behavior:

$$\phi_n = x_0^n n^{y_0} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_n = \log(n) x_0^n n^{y_0} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right], \quad (\text{A.2})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If we write equation (27) into the form of (A.1), we get the coefficients

$$a_n = \frac{1 - 8(n+1+\nu)^2}{4(n+1+\nu)(n+2+\nu)} = -2 + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{15+8\nu}{4n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$b_n = \frac{n+\nu}{n+2+\nu} = 1 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{4+2\nu}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Further, one readily verifies that conditions a), b), c) are satisfied with $x_0 = 1$ and $y_0 = -1/2$. Consequently, asymptotic formulas from (A.2) become (28).

A.2. Higher order terms in asymptotic expansion (32). We complete the proof of Proposition 32 by computing the two coefficients in expansion (32) by ν^3 and ν^4 . To this end, we need to expand function (33) in powers of ν up to order four. This means to compute the first two terms in the expansion of function (35) and the leading term in (36). A lengthy but straightforward computation yields

$$U(y; \nu) = U(y; 0) + \partial_\nu U(y; 0) \nu + O(\nu^2), \quad \nu \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$U(y; 0) = \frac{1}{(y+1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k+2)(k+1)(y+2)_k},$$

$$\partial_\nu U(y; 0) = \frac{2}{y+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k+2)(k+1)(y+2)_k} \left[-\frac{y+2}{(y+1)^2} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j(y+1+j)} \right]$$

and similarly

$$V(y; \nu) = V(y; 0) + O(\nu), \quad \nu \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$V(y; 0) = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k+1)(k+y+1)^2(y+1)_k}.$$

Moreover, one verifies that both remainders in $O(\nu^2)$ and $O(\nu)$ are uniform in y from a neighborhood of zero.

Plugging the zero

$$y_0(\nu) = -\nu - \nu^2 + y_3 \nu^3 + O(\nu^4)$$

into function

$$h(y; \nu) = y(y+1) + \nu + y [1 + (y+1)U(y; 0)] \nu^2 - y [V(y; 0) - (y+1)\partial_\nu U(y; 0)] \nu^3 + y O(\nu^4),$$

dividing both sides of equation $h(y; \nu) = 0$ by ν^3 , and sending $\nu \rightarrow 0$ yields the equality

$$1 - U(0; 0) + y_3 = 0.$$

Thus, coefficient y_3 can be expressed in the form

$$y_3 = -1 + U(0, 0) = -1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)k^2} = -2 + \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Repeating the same, this time with

$$y_0(\nu) = -\nu - \nu^2 + (-1 + U(0; 0))\nu^3 + y_4\nu^4 + O(\nu^5),$$

the coefficient at $h(y_0(\nu); \nu)$ by ν^4 provides us with the equation for the coefficient y_4 , which yields

$$y_4 = -2 + 2U(0; 0) + \partial_\nu U(0; 0) - \partial_y U(0; 0) - V(0; 0).$$

Unknown coefficients from the right-hand side can be expressed, for example, as follows:

$$\partial_\nu U(0; 0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(k+1)k^2} \left[-2 + \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{1}{j(j-1)} \right] = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3} = -2\zeta(3),$$

$$\partial_y U(0; 0) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)k^2} \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j} \right] = 1 - 2\zeta(3)$$

and

$$V(0; 0) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3} = -\zeta(3).$$

Altogether, we obtain

$$y_4 = -5 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \zeta(3),$$

which yields the desired expansion

$$y_0(\nu) = -\nu - \nu^2 - \left(2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6}\right)\nu^3 - \left(5 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \zeta(3)\right)\nu^4 + O(\nu^5),$$

for $\nu \rightarrow 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ABREU, L. D., BUSTOZ, J., AND CARDOSO, J. L. The roots of the third Jackson q -Bessel function. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 67 (2003), 4241–4248.
- [2] AKHIEZER, N. I. *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*. Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1965. Translated by N. Kemmer.
- [3] ANNABY, M. H., AND MANSOUR, Z. S. On the zeros of the second and third Jackson q -Bessel functions and their associated q -Hankel transforms. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 147, 1 (2009), 47–67.
- [4] BECKERMANN, B. Complex Jacobi matrices. vol. 127. 2001, pp. 17–65. Numerical analysis 2000, Vol. V, Quadrature and orthogonal polynomials.
- [5] BECKERMANN, B., AND CASTRO SMIRNOVA, M. On the determinacy of complex Jacobi matrices. *Math. Scand.* 95, 2 (2004), 285–298.
- [6] BOUTHAT, L., AND MASHREGHI, J. L -matrices with lacunary coefficients. *Oper. Matrices* 15, 3 (2021), 1045–1053.
- [7] BOUTHAT, L., AND MASHREGHI, J. The norm of an infinite L -matrix. *Oper. Matrices* 15, 1 (2021), 47–58.
- [8] BOUTHAT, L., AND MASHREGHI, J. The critical point and the p -norm of the Hilbert L -matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 634 (2022), 1–14.
- [9] CHOI, M. D. Tricks or treats with the Hilbert matrix. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 90, 5 (1983), 301–312.
- [10] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.0.27 of 2020-06-15. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- [11] GASPER, G., AND RAHMAN, M. *Basic hypergeometric series*, second ed., vol. 96 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. With a foreword by Richard Askey.
- [12] ISMAIL, M. E. H., LETESSIER, J., AND VALENT, G. Quadratic birth and death processes and associated continuous dual Hahn polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 20, 3 (1989), 727–737.
- [13] ISMAIL, M. E. H., AND MULLA, F. S. On the generalized Chebyshev polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 18, 1 (1987), 243–258.

- [14] KALVODA, T., AND ŠTŮVÍČEK, P. A family of explicitly diagonalizable weighted Hankel matrices generalizing the Hilbert matrix. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 64, 5 (2016), 870–884.
- [15] KATO, T. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [16] KOEKOEK, R., LESKY, P. A., AND SWARTTOUW, R. F. *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q -analogues*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. With a foreword by Tom H. Koornwinder.
- [17] KOELINK, H. T., AND SWARTTOUW, R. F. On the zeros of the Hahn-Exton q -Bessel function and associated q -Lommel polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 186, 3 (1994), 690–710.
- [18] KOELINK, H. T., AND VAN ASSCHE, W. Orthogonal polynomials and Laurent polynomials related to the Hahn-Exton q -Bessel function. *Constr. Approx.* 11, 4 (1995), 477–512.
- [19] KREJČÍŘÍK, D., LAPTEV, A., AND ŠTAMPACH, F. Spectral enclosures and stability for non-self-adjoint discrete Schrödinger operators on the half-line. *Submitted*, arXiv:2111.08265 (2021).
- [20] MASHREGHI, J. *Representation theorems in Hardy spaces*, vol. 74 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [21] MASHREGHI, J., AND RANSFORD, T. Linear polynomial approximation schemes in Banach holomorphic function spaces. *Anal. Math. Phys.* 9, 2 (2019), 899–905.
- [22] PRUDNIKOV, A. P., BRYCHKOV, Y. A., AND MARICHEV, O. I. *Integrals and series. Vol. 3*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1990. More special functions, Translated from the Russian by G. G. Gould.
- [23] RAINVILLE, E. D. *Special functions*, first ed. Chelsea Publishing Co., Bronx, N.Y., 1971.
- [24] REED, M., AND SIMON, B. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978.
- [25] RHALY, JR., H. C. Terraced matrices. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 21, 4 (1989), 399–406.
- [26] ROSENBLUM, M. On the Hilbert matrix. II. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (1958), 581–585.
- [27] SIMON, B. *Trace ideals and their applications*, vol. 35 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979.
- [28] SWARTTOUW, R. F. *The Hahn-Exton q -Bessel function*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1992. Thesis (Dr.)—Technische Universiteit Delft (The Netherlands).
- [29] TESCHL, G. *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices*, vol. 72 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [30] ŠTAMPACH, F. The characteristic function for complex doubly infinite Jacobi matrices. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 88, 4 (2017), 501–534.
- [31] ŠTAMPACH, F., AND ŠTŮVÍČEK, P. The characteristic function for Jacobi matrices with applications. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438, 11 (2013), 4130–4155.
- [32] ŠTAMPACH, F., AND ŠTŮVÍČEK, P. The Hahn-Exton q -Bessel function as the characteristic function of a Jacobi matrix. *Spec. Matrices 1* (2013), 131–147.
- [33] ŠTAMPACH, F., AND ŠTŮVÍČEK, P. The Nevanlinna parametrization for q -Lommel polynomials in the indeterminate case. *J. Approx. Theory* 201 (2016), 48–72.
- [34] WONG, R., AND LI, H. Asymptotic expansions for second-order linear difference equations. vol. 41. 1992, pp. 65–94. *Asymptotic methods in analysis and combinatorics*.

(František Štampach) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF NUCLEAR SCIENCES AND PHYSICAL ENGINEERING, CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, TROJANOVA 13, 12000 PRAHA 2, CZECH REPUBLIC
Email address: stampfra@fjfi.cvut.cz