# Mass Propagation for Electromagnetic Schrödinger Evolutions

Juan Antonio Barceló<sup>1</sup>, Biagio Cassano<sup>2</sup> and Luca Fanelli<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ETSI de Caminos, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain; juanantonio.barcelo@upm.es

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Via Edoardo Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy; biagio.cassano@uniba.it

<sup>3</sup> Ikerbasque & Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad del País Vasco/Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea (UPV/EHU), Barrio Sarriena s/n, 48940, Leioa, Spain; luca.fanelli@ehu.es

October 13, 2021

#### Abstract

We investigate the validity of gaussian lower bounds for solutions to an electromagnetic Schrödinger equation with a bounded time-dependent complex electric potential and a time-independent vector magnetic potential. We prove that, if a suitable geometric condition is satisfied by the vector potential, then positive masses inside of a bounded region at a single time propagate outside the region, provided a suitable average in space-time cylinders is taken.

### 1 Introduction

The study of an electromagnetic Schrödinger equation of the form

$$\partial_t u = i(\Delta_A + V)u,\tag{1}$$

where  $u = u(x,t) : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ , and

$$V = V(x,t) \colon \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C},$$
  
$$\Delta_A := \nabla_A^2, \quad \nabla_A := \nabla - iA, \quad A = A(x) \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

has been catching the interest of the applied mathematics community for several years. The free Schrödinger equation (i.e. equation (1) with  $A \equiv V \equiv 0$ ) is a somehow canonical example in PDE's, due to its deep connection with Fourier Analysis, which is clear by the solution formula for a datum  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 

$$u(x,t) := e^{it\Delta} f(x) = (2\pi i t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \mathcal{F}\left(e^{i\frac{|\cdot|^2}{4t}}f\right)\left(\frac{x}{2t}\right), \qquad \text{where } \mathcal{F}g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot y}g(y)\,dy. \tag{2}$$

A natural question, arising in Fourier Analysis, is concerned with the fastest possible simultaneous decay which a function f and its Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  can enjoy, without being null. It is well known that Gaussians are the sharpest objects in this sense, as stated by the *Hardy's Uncertainty Principle*:

if  $f(x) = O\left(e^{-|x|^2/\beta^2}\right)$  and its Fourier transform  $\hat{f}(\xi) = O\left(e^{-4|\xi|^2/\alpha^2}\right)$ , then

$$\begin{split} &\alpha\beta <4 \Rightarrow f\equiv 0\\ &\alpha\beta =4 \Rightarrow f \text{ is a constant multiple of } e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{\beta^2}}. \end{split}$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A23, 35J10, 35Q41

Keywords. Schrödinger equation, Uncertainty Principle, Carleman Inequalities, Magnetic Potentials

Formula (2) gives the intuition for the following PDE's version of the above Uncertainty Principle, which answers the analogous question about the fastest possible decay of a solutions of the Schrödinger Equation at two distinct times:

$$\begin{aligned} if \ u(x,0) &= O\left(e^{-|x|^2/\beta^2}\right) \ and \ u(x,T) := e^{iT\Delta}u(x,0) = O\left(e^{-|x|^2/\alpha^2}\right), \ then \\ \alpha\beta &< 4T \Rightarrow u \equiv 0 \\ \alpha\beta &= 4T \Rightarrow u(x,0) \ is \ a \ constant \ multiple \ of \ e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{i}{4T}\right)|x|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding  $L^2$ -versions of the previous results were proved in [7] and affirm the following:

$$\begin{split} e^{|x|^2/\beta^2}f \in L^2, \ e^{4|\xi|^2/\alpha^2}\hat{f} \in L^2, \ \alpha\beta \leq 4 \Rightarrow f \equiv 0 \\ e^{|x|^2/\beta^2}u(x,0) \in L^2, \ e^{|x|^2/\alpha^2}e^{iT\Delta}u(x,0) \in L^2, \ \alpha\beta \leq 4T \Rightarrow u \equiv 0. \end{split}$$

We address the reader to [3, 19, 23, 24] as standard references about this topic. If an electromagnetic field is present (i.e. A and V are not null in (1)), it is usually difficult to exploit the Fourier Transform, in particular when the coefficients A, V are rough. In the recent years, Escauriaza, Kenig, Ponce, and Vega in the sequel of papers [8–13], and with Cowling in [6] developed purely real analytical methods to handle the above problems, which permits to obtain sharp answers also in the case  $V \neq 0$ . Some analogous results have also been obtained by the authors of the present manuscript in [2,4,5], in the presence of a non-trivial magnetic field. The interested reader can also see [17, 18, 21], where analogous phenomena are considered for discrete Schrödinger evolutions. We refer to the recent survey [16] for more details and references to further results.

More recently, Agirre and Vega in [1], inspired by the techniques of the above mentioned papers and motivated by the results in [22], answered to a similar question for the Schrödinger Equation, when the decay is assumed at only one time, instead of two. The main contribution in [1] is to prove the following: if a positive mass is present, for solutions of (1) with  $A \equiv 0$  and V bounded, inside of some region (a ball) at one time, then one also observes this mass outside the region, if a suitable time average is taken. This fact can be mathematically translated into a gaussian lower bound for solutions in suitable space-time cylinders. The arguments by Agirre and Vega are purely real analytical, and rely on suitable Carleman estimates.

As we saw in [2, 4, 5], the presence of a magnetic field can produce interesting phenomena in this setting. In particular, since the fields lines are closed curves, the dispersive phenomenon and the space decay of solutions can be strongly influenced by a non-null magnetic potential, and a mathematical investigation about the relevant quantities related to A has been performed, starting by [14, 15]. In view of these considerations, the purpose of this note is to complete the results in [1], by considering the more general model in which  $A \neq 0$ .

Given  $A = (A^1, \ldots, A^n) \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  a real vector field (magnetic potential), we denote by  $B \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ the magnetic field, namely the antisymmetric gradient of A, given by

$$B(x) = D_x A(x) - D_x A^t(x), \qquad B_{jk}(x) = \partial_{x_j} A^k(x) - \partial_{x_k} A^j(x).$$
(3)

In dimension n = 3, B is identified with the vector field curl A, by the elementary properties of antisymmetric matrices. We are now ready to state the last result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $n \geq 3$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,1]; H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  be a solution of (1), and assume that

$$\|V\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])} = M_V < +\infty, \tag{4}$$

$$\int_0^1 A(sx) \, ds \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{5}$$

$$\|x^t B\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} =: M_B < +\infty.$$
(6)

Assume moreover that there exists a fixed vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$v^t B(x) = 0, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (7)

Finally assume that there exist  $R_0, M_u > 0$ , such that  $R_1 > 4(R_0 + 1)$  and

$$\int_{|x| \le R_0} |u(x,0)|^2 dx = M_u^2,$$
(8)

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} \int_{|x| \le R_1} \left( |u(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla_A u(x,t)|^2 \right) dx =: E_u^2 < +\infty.$$
(9)

Then, there exist  $t^* = t^*(R_0, R_1, M_V, M_B, M_u, E_u) > 0$  and  $C = C(M_B) > 0$  such that

$$M_u^2 \le C \frac{e^{C\frac{\rho^2}{t}}}{t} \int_{t/4}^{3t} \int_{||y|-\rho-\rho\frac{s}{t}|<4(\rho+1)\sqrt{t}} \left(|u(y,s)|^2 + s|\nabla_A u(y,s)|^2\right) \, dyds, \qquad R_0 \le \rho \le R_1, \tag{10}$$

for any  $t \in (0, t^*)$ .

Remark 1.1. Notice that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are gauge invariant. As for condition (5), it has to be understood as a necessary local integrability condition, in order to get the freedom to choose the so called *Crönstrom gauge* (see Section 2.1 below). In particular, condition (5) is not satisfied in the case of homogeneous vector potentials A of degree -1, which is the case when the Hamiltonian  $\Delta_A$  is scaling invariant. A well known example is given by the *Aharonov-Bohm*-type potential  $A(x) = \lambda(0, \ldots, 0, -x_n, x_{n-1})/(x_{n-1}^2 + x_n^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , for which the validity of Theorem 1.1 is still an open question.

Remark 1.2. The choice of the time interval [0,1] does not lead the generality of the results. Indeed,  $v \in C([0,T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is solution to (1) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T]$  if and only if  $u \colon \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $u(x,t) = T^{\frac{n}{4}}v(\sqrt{T}x, Tt)$  is solution to

$$\partial_t u = i(\Delta_{A_T} u + V_T(x, t)u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1],$$

where

$$A_T(x) = \sqrt{T}A(\sqrt{T}x), \quad V_T(x) = TV(\sqrt{T}x, Tt).$$

Remark 1.3. Due to assumption (7), which is crucial in the proof of the main theorem, the case n = 2 is not included in the result. Indeed, there does not exist any non-null anti-symmetric  $2 \times 2$ -matrix with a fixed null vector. For explicit examples in dimension  $n \ge 3$ , we refer to [2]. This leaves an interesting open question about the validity of Theorem 1.1 in 2D, were our arguments do not work; we conjecture it should be possible to produce explicit counterexamples to the result.

We complement Theorem 1.1 with the following results of uniqueness for solutions to (1). They are immediate consequences of Theorem 1.1 so their proof will be omitted.

**Corollary 1.1.** In the assumptions of Theorem (1.1), let  $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,1]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$  be a solution of (1).

• If there exist  $(R_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, R_j \to +\infty$  such that for all  $j\in\mathbb{N}$ 

$$\lim_{t \to 0} C \frac{e^{C\frac{R_j^2}{t}}}{t} \int_{t/4}^{3t} \int_{||y| - R_j(1+s/t)| < 4(R_j+1)\sqrt{t}} \left( |u(y,s)|^2 + s \left| \nabla u(y,s) \right|^2 \right) dy ds = 0$$

then  $u \equiv 0$ ;

• if there exists  $(t_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (0,t^*), t_j \to 0$  such that for all  $j\in\mathbb{N}$ 

$$\lim_{\rho \to +\infty} C \frac{e^{C\frac{\nu}{t_j}}}{t_j} \int_{t_j/4}^{3t_j} \int_{||y|-\rho(1+s/t_j)|<4(\rho+1)\sqrt{t_j}} \left( |u(y,s)|^2 + s \left|\nabla u(y,s)\right|^2 \right) dy ds = 0,$$

then  $u \equiv 0$ .

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### Acknowledgments

Juan Antonio Barceló acknowledges the support of Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades of the Spanish goverment through grant MTM2017-85934-C3-3-P. Biagio Cassano is member of GNAMPA (INDAM) and he is supported by Fondo Sociale Europeo – Programma Operativo Nazionale Ricerca e Innovazione 2014-2020, progetto PON: progetto AIM1892920-attività 2, linea 2.1.

# 2 Preliminaries

We start with a preliminary section, in which we show the fundamental tools for the proof of our main theorem.

It is useful to generalise (11) to consider a general time-dependent magnetic potential  $A = (A^1, \ldots, A^n)$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ : the magnetic field  $B : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1] \to M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  is then given by

$$B(x,t) = D_x A(x,t) - D_x A^t(x,t), \qquad B_{jk}(x,t) = \partial_{x_j} A^k(x,t) - \partial_{x_k} A^j(x,t).$$
(11)

#### 2.1 Crönstrom gauge

Equation (1) is invariant under gauge transformations, namely if u solves (1), then, given  $\varphi = \varphi(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $\tilde{u} = e^{-i\varphi}u$  is a solution to

$$\partial_t \widetilde{u} = i \left( \Delta_{\widetilde{A}} \widetilde{u} + V(x, t) \widetilde{u} \right),$$

where  $A = A - \nabla \varphi$ . This invariance is useful since it allows us to fix the most appropriate gauge, to simplify the computations. As in [2], we use here the *Crönstrom gauge* (also called *transversal* or *Poincaré* gauge), which is given by the following condition

$$x \cdot \hat{A}(x) = 0$$
 for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . (12)

If A satisfies (5), it is always possible, via gauge transformation, to reduce to the case in which (12) holds, as the following classical result by [20] shows.

**Lemma 2.1** ([20]). Let  $n \ge 2$ ,  $A = A(x) = (A^1, \ldots, A^n) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $B := DA - DA^t$ , and  $\Psi(x) := x^t B(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that

$$\int_0^1 \Psi(sx) \, ds \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \int_0^1 A(sx) \, ds \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{13}$$

and denote by

$$\widetilde{A}(x) := -\int_0^1 \Psi(sx) \, ds,\tag{14}$$

$$\varphi(x) := x \cdot \int_0^1 A(sx) \, ds \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(15)

Then  $B = D\widetilde{A} - D\widetilde{A}^t$ ,  $\widetilde{A} = A - \nabla \varphi$  and (12) holds true.

See [2, Lemma 2.2] for the details.

### 2.2 Appell Transformation

We generate a family of solutions to (1) by means of the following pseudoconformal transformation (Appell).

**Lemma 2.2** ([2], Lemma 2.7). Let  $A = (A^1(y, s), \ldots, A^n(y, s)) : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , V = V(y, s),  $F = F(y, s) : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $u = u(y, s) : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$  be a solution to

$$\partial_s u = i \left( \Delta_A u + V(y, s) u + F(y, s) \right) \quad in \ \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1]$$
(16)

and define, for any a, b > 0, the function

$$\widetilde{u}(x,t) := \left(\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{a(1-t)+bt}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} u\left(\frac{x\sqrt{ab}}{a(1-t)+bt}, \frac{tb}{a(1-t)+bt}\right) e^{\frac{(a-b)|x|^2}{4t(a(1-t)+bt)}}.$$
(17)

Then  $\widetilde{u}$  is a solution to

$$\partial_t \widetilde{u} = i \left( \Delta_{\widetilde{A}} \widetilde{u} + \frac{(a-b)\widetilde{A} \cdot x}{(a(1-t)+bt)} \widetilde{u} + \widetilde{V}(x,t)\widetilde{u} + \widetilde{F}(x,t) \right) \quad in \ \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1],$$
(18)

where

$$\widetilde{A}(x,t) = \frac{\sqrt{ab}}{a(1-t)+bt} A\left(\frac{x\sqrt{ab}}{a(1-t)+bt}, \frac{tb}{a(1-t)+bt}\right)$$
(19)

$$\widetilde{V}(x,t) = \frac{ab}{(a(1-t)+bt)^2} V\left(\frac{x\sqrt{ab}}{a(1-t)+bt}, \frac{tb}{a(1-t)+bt}\right)$$
(20)

$$\widetilde{F}(x,t) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{a(1-t)+bt}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+2} F\left(\frac{x\sqrt{ab}}{a(1-t)+bt}, \frac{tb}{a(1-t)+bt}\right) e^{\frac{(a-b)|x|^2}{4i(a(1-t)+bt)}}.$$
(21)

#### 2.3 Carleman estimate

We now show the main tool, which is a suitable Carleman estimate for the purely magnetic Schrödinger group  $i\partial_t + \Delta_A$ . Here we adapt [8, Lemma 3.1] to allow the presence of a magnetic potential.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $n \geq 3$ , R > 1 and  $\varphi : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  a smooth function. Let  $A = A(x,t) : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $B := D_x A - D_x A^t : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1] \to M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ , and assume that there exists a fixed vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$x \cdot A_t(x,t) = 0, \quad v \cdot A_t(x,t) = 0, \quad v^t B(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0,1],$$
(22)

$$\|x^{\iota}B\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n}\times[0,1])} < +\infty.$$
(23)

Then, there exists  $c = c(\|\varphi'\|_{\infty}, \|\varphi''\|_{\infty}, \|x^tB\|_{\infty}) > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\tau^{3/2}}{cR^2} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)v \right|^2} g \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])} \le \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)v \right|^2} (i\partial_t + \Delta_A) g \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])} \tag{24}$$

for all  $\tau \geq cR^2$  and for all  $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])$  with

$$\operatorname{supp} g \subset \left\{ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1] : \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)v \right| \ge 1 \right\}.$$
(25)

Remark 2.1. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, after using the Appell Transformation, we are reduced to an equation with a time-dependent magnetic potential. This motivates the necessity to prove the Carleman estimate (24) for A = A(t, x) satisfying the conditions in (22).

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Without loss of generality, we may assume (22) with  $v = e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Denoting by  $f = e^{\tau |\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1|^2}g$ , an explicit computation shows that

$$e^{\tau |\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1|^2} (i\partial_t + \Delta_A)g = S_\tau f - 4\tau A_\tau f,$$

where  $S_{\tau}$  and  $A_{\tau}$  are respectively the symmetric and anti-symmetric operators

$$S_{\tau} = i\partial_t + \Delta_A + \frac{4\tau^2}{R^2} \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi e_1 \right|^2,$$
  
$$A_{\tau} = \frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{x}{R} + \varphi e_1 \right) \cdot \nabla_A + \frac{n}{2R^2} + \frac{i\varphi'}{2} \left( \frac{x_1}{R} + \varphi \right).$$

We hence have

$$\|e^{\tau |\frac{x}{R} + \varphi e_1|^2} (i\partial_t + \Delta)g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \ge -4\tau \langle [S_\tau, A_\tau]f, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}.$$

An explicit computation (see [2, Lemma 4.1]) shows that

2

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi e_1 \right|^2} (i\partial_t + \Delta) g \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{32\tau^3}{R^4} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi e_1 \right|^2 |f|^2 \, dx dt + \frac{8\tau}{R^2} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla_A f|^2 \, dx dt \\ &+ 2\tau \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \left( \frac{x_1}{R} + \varphi \right) \varphi'' + \varphi'^2 \right] |f|^2 \, dx dt + \frac{8\tau}{R} \Im \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi'(e_1 \cdot \nabla_A) f \overline{f} \, dx dt \\ &- \frac{8\tau}{R} \Im \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \left( \frac{x}{R} + \varphi e_1 \right)^t B \overline{\nabla_A f} \, dx dt - \frac{4\tau}{R} \Im \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{x}{R} + \varphi e_1 \right) \cdot A_t |f|^2 \, dx dt. \end{aligned}$$
(26)

By (22), the last term at right hand side vanishes. Thanks to (22) and (23) we estimate

$$-\frac{8\tau}{R}\Im\int_{0}^{1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}f\left(\frac{x}{R}+\varphi e_{1}\right)^{t}B\overline{\nabla_{A}f}\,dxdt = -\frac{8\tau}{R^{2}}\Im\int_{0}^{1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}fx^{t}B\overline{\nabla_{A}f}\,dxdt$$

$$\geq -\frac{4\tau}{R^{2}}\|x^{t}B\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^{2}\int_{0}^{1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f|^{2}\,dxdt - \frac{4\tau}{R^{2}}\int_{0}^{1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla_{A}f|^{2}\,dxdt.$$

$$(27)$$

Also, we have

$$\frac{8\tau}{R}\Im\int_{0}^{1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\varphi'(e_{1}\cdot\nabla_{A})f\overline{f}\,dxdt \ge -4\tau\|\varphi'\|_{L^{\infty}([0,1])}^{2}\int_{0}^{1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f|^{2}\,dxdt - \frac{4\tau}{R^{2}}\int_{0}^{1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla_{A}f|^{2}\,dxdt.$$
(28)

From (26), since R > 1 and thanks to (25), (27) and (28), we have

$$\begin{split} & \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi e_1 \right|^2} (i\partial_t + \Delta) g \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \\ & \geq \left[ \frac{32\tau^3}{R^4} - 2\tau \left( \|\varphi''\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} + \|\varphi'\|_{L^\infty([0,1])}^2 + 2\|x^t B\|_\infty^2 \right) \right] \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi e_1 \right| |f|^2 \, dx dt. \end{split}$$

The coefficient of the first term at right hand side is bigger than  $\sigma^3/c^2R^4$ , if  $\sigma \ge cR^2$  for a suitable  $c = c(\|\varphi'\|_{\infty}, \|\varphi''\|_{\infty}, \|x^tB\|_{\infty}) > 0$ , therefore (24) follows thanks to (25).

# 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof is an adaptation of the proof of [1, Theorem 2.1], taking into account the presence of a magnetic potential. In the following, without loss of generality, we assume that  $v = e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Reduction to the Crönstrom gauge**. We start by a gauge transformation. By Lemma 2.1, thanks to assumption (5) and denoting by

$$\varphi(x) := x \cdot \int_0^1 A(sx) \, ds, \quad \widetilde{A}(x) := A(x) - \nabla \varphi(x)$$

we have that  $B = DA - DA^t = D\widetilde{A} - D\widetilde{A}^t$ , and for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$\widetilde{A}(x) = -\int_{0}^{1} (sx)^{t} B(sx) \, ds, \tag{29}$$

$$x \cdot \hat{A}(x) = 0. \tag{30}$$

Moreover, from (7) and (29) we see that

$$e_1 \cdot \widetilde{A}(x) = 0, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (31)

Let  $\widetilde{u} := e^{-i\varphi}u$ . Then (cfr. Section 2.1)  $\widetilde{u}$  is solution to

$$\partial_t \widetilde{u} = i \left( \Delta_{\widetilde{A}} \widetilde{u} + V(x, t) \widetilde{u} \right) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1]$$
(32)

and the conditions in (8) and (9) are true replacing u, A with  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{A}$ .

**Appell Transformation**. We now apply Lemma 2.2 to solutions of (32). To lighten the notations, in the following we will omit the tildes and just denote  $\tilde{u}$ ,  $\tilde{A}$  by u and A in (32). We choose

$$a, b > 0, \quad \gamma := \frac{a}{b},$$

in such a way that

$$\gamma > \gamma^* := \max\left(1, \frac{2}{R_0}, \frac{64E_u^2(1+M_V)}{M_u^2}, \frac{4}{R_1 - 4R_0}, \sqrt{\frac{M_V M_u}{2^{12}E_u}}, \frac{2^8 E_u}{\sqrt{cR_0 M_u}}\right)^2,\tag{33}$$

where c is defined later in (44). Let

$$v(x,t) := \alpha(t)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{4}\beta(t)|x|^2} u(\alpha(t)x, s(t)), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1],$$
(34)

with

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)\sqrt{\gamma} + t/\sqrt{\gamma}}, \quad \beta(t) = \frac{1}{1-t+t/\gamma} - \frac{1}{\gamma(1-t)+t}, \quad s(t) = \frac{t}{\gamma(1-t)+t}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.2, v is solution to

$$\partial_t v = i \left( \Delta_{\widetilde{A}} v + \widetilde{V}(x, t) v \right) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1]$$
(35)

for  $\widetilde{A}$  and  $\widetilde{V}$  defined by

$$\widetilde{A}(x,t) := \alpha(t) A(\alpha(t)x), \quad \widetilde{V}(x,t) := (\alpha(t))^2 V(\alpha(t)x, s(t)).$$
(36)

We remark that, since A is in the Crönstrom gauge, then  $\widetilde{A}$  is in the Crönstrom gauge too. Also, we have that  $\|\widetilde{V}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])} = \gamma M_V < +\infty$ . In addition, by (31) and (36), we see that

$$e_1 \cdot \widetilde{A}(x,t) = e_1 \cdot \widetilde{A}_t(x,t) = 0, \quad \text{for a.e. } (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1].$$
(37)

Conclusion of the proof. Let us denote

$$R := R_0 \sqrt{\gamma},\tag{38}$$

so that from (33) we have R > 2. We define the following auxiliary functions:

$$\theta_R, \eta \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \theta_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \le R \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \ge R+1, \end{cases} \quad \eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \ge 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \le 3/2. \end{cases}$$

such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$|\theta_R| \le 1, \quad |\nabla \theta_R(x)| \le 1, \quad |\Delta \theta_R(x)| \le 2, \tag{39}$$

$$|\eta(x)| \le 1, \quad |\nabla\eta(x)| \le 2, \quad |\Delta\eta(x)| \le 4.$$

$$\tag{40}$$

Moreover, let

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1]), \quad \varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } t \in [3/8, 5/8] \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [0, 1/4] \cup [3/4, 1], \end{cases}$$

such that for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ 

$$|\varphi(t)| \le 4, \quad |\varphi'(t)| \le 32.$$
 (41)

We let

$$g(x,t) = \theta_R(x) \eta \left(\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1\right) v(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1].$$
(42)

We observe that  $\operatorname{supp} g$  is compact and

$$\operatorname{supp} g \subset \left\{ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0,1] \, \middle| \, |x| \le R+1, \, \frac{3}{2} \le \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|, \, t \in \left[ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right] \right\},\tag{43}$$

indeed for  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{4}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ , g(x, t) is non vanishing if  $\frac{3}{2} \leq \frac{|x|}{R} \leq \frac{R+1}{R}$ , that is in contraddiction with R > 2 given by (33). Thanks to Lemma 2.3 which can be applied under our assumptions, there exists  $c = c(\|\varphi'\|_{\infty}, \|\varphi''\|_{\infty}, M_B) > 0$  such that for all  $\tau \geq cR^2$ 

$$\frac{\tau^{3/2}}{cR^2} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} g(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])} \le \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} (i\partial_t + \Delta_{\widetilde{A}})g(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}.$$
(44)

In the following we estimate from above and from below the quantities in (44).

We estimate from below the left hand side of (44): since  $\left|\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1\right| \ge 2$  and  $g = \theta_R v$  on  $\{|x| \le R+1\} \times [3/8, 5/8]$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_{1} \right|^{2}} g(x,t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times [0,1])}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_{1} \right|^{2}} |g(x,t)|^{2} dx dt \\ &\geq e^{8\tau} \int_{3/8}^{5/8} \int_{|x| \le R+1} |\theta_{R}(x)v(x,t)|^{2} dx dt \\ &= e^{8\tau} \int_{3/8}^{5/8} \int_{|x| \le R+1} \alpha(t)^{n} |\theta_{R}(x)u(\alpha(t)x,s(t))|^{2} dx dt. \end{split}$$
(45)

It is convenient to perform the following change of variables in the integral at right hand side of (45):

$$y = \alpha(t)x, \quad s(t) = \frac{t}{\gamma(1-t)+t}.$$
(46)

It is useful to observe that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \le \alpha(t) \le \frac{4}{\sqrt{\gamma}}, \quad \text{for all } t \in \left[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8}\right], \tag{47}$$

$$\frac{\gamma}{8} \le \frac{dt}{ds}(s) = \frac{\gamma}{(1+s\gamma-s)^2} \le \gamma, \quad \text{for all } s \in \left[\frac{3}{5\gamma+3}, \frac{5}{3\gamma+5}\right] = s\left(\left[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8}\right]\right). \tag{48}$$

From (45) and (48) we conclude that

$$\left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} g(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \ge e^{8\tau} \frac{\gamma}{8} \int_{\frac{3}{5\gamma+3}}^{\frac{5}{3\gamma+5}} \int_{|y| \le \alpha(t(s))(R+1)} \left| \theta_R\left(\frac{y}{\alpha(t(s))}\right) u(y,s) \right|^2 dy ds.$$

Clearly then

$$\left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} g(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \ge e^{8\tau} \frac{\gamma}{8} \int_{\frac{3}{5\gamma+3}}^{\frac{5}{3\gamma+5}} \int_{|y| \le \alpha(t(s))(R+1)} \left| \theta_R \left( \frac{y}{\alpha(t(s))} \right) \, u(y,0) \right|^2 dy ds + e^{8\tau} \frac{\gamma}{8} E, \quad (49)$$
with

with

$$E = \int_{\frac{3}{5\gamma+5}}^{\frac{5}{3\gamma+5}} \int_{|y| \le \alpha(t(s))(R+1)} \theta_R^2 \left(\frac{y}{\alpha(t(s))}\right) \left(|u(y,s)|^2 - |u(y,0)|^2\right) dy ds.$$

We estimate from below the first term at right hand side in (49): thanks to (33) we have  $|[\frac{3}{5\gamma+3}, \frac{5}{3\gamma+5}]| > 1/(4\gamma)$  and thanks to (8) and (47) we conclude

$$e^{8\tau} \frac{\gamma}{8} \int_{\frac{3}{5\gamma+5}}^{\frac{3}{5\gamma+5}} \int_{|y| \le \alpha(t(s))(R+1)} \left| \theta_R \left( \frac{y}{\alpha(t(s))} \right) u(y,0) \right|^2 dy ds$$
  

$$\ge e^{8\tau} \frac{\gamma}{8} \int_{\frac{3}{5\gamma+5}}^{\frac{5}{3\gamma+5}} \int_{|y| \le \alpha(t(s))R} |u(y,0)|^2 dy ds \ge \frac{e^{8\tau}}{32} \int_{|y| \le R/\sqrt{\gamma}} |u(y,0)|^2 dy$$
(50)  

$$= \frac{e^{8\tau}}{32} M_u^2.$$

To estimate E, we observe that from (1) we get that

$$\frac{d}{dt}|u|^2 = -2\Im(\operatorname{div}(u\overline{\nabla_A u}) + V|u|^2),$$

that gives

$$|u(y,s)|^2 - |u(y,0)|^2 = -2\Im \int_0^s \left( \operatorname{div} \left( u(y,s') \cdot \overline{\nabla_A u(y,s')} \right) + V(y,s') |u(y,s')|^2 \right) ds'.$$

So

$$|E| \leq 2 \left| \int_{\frac{3}{5\gamma+5}}^{\frac{5}{3\gamma+5}} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{|y|\leq\alpha(t(s))(R+1)} \theta_{R}^{2} \left(\frac{y}{\alpha(t(s))}\right) \operatorname{div}\left(u(y,s') \cdot \overline{\nabla_{A}u(y,s')}\right) dyds'ds \right|$$

$$+ 2 \left| \int_{\frac{3}{5\gamma+5}}^{\frac{5}{3\gamma+5}} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{|y|\leq\alpha(t(s))(R+1)} \theta_{R}^{2} \left(\frac{y}{\alpha(t(s))}\right) V(y,s')|u(y,s')|^{2} dyds'ds \right| = E_{1} + E_{2}.$$

$$(51)$$

To estimate  $E_1$  we integrate by parts: there is no boundary contribution thanks to the choice of  $\theta_R$ . Thanks to (33), (39) and (47) we have

$$E_{1} \leq 4 \left| \int_{\frac{3}{5\gamma+3}}^{\frac{5}{5\gamma+5}} \frac{1}{\alpha(t(s))} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{|y| \leq \alpha(t(s))(R+1)} (\theta_{R} \nabla \theta_{R}) \left( \frac{y}{\alpha(t(s))} \right) u(y,s') \cdot \overline{\nabla_{A}u(y,s')} dyds'ds \right|$$

$$\leq 4\sqrt{\gamma} \int_{\frac{3}{5\gamma+3}}^{\frac{5}{3\gamma+5}} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{|y| \leq 4(R+1)/\sqrt{\gamma}} \left| u(y,s') \overline{\nabla_{A}u(y,s')} \right| dyds'ds$$

$$\leq 4\sqrt{\gamma} \left( \frac{5}{3\gamma+5} - \frac{3}{5\gamma+3} \right) \int_{0}^{\frac{5}{3\gamma+5}} \int_{|y| \leq 4(R+1)/\sqrt{\gamma}} \left| u(y,s') \overline{\nabla_{A}u(y,s')} \right| dyds'$$

$$\leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{5}{3\gamma+5} \sup_{s' \in [0,1]} \int_{|y| \leq 4(R+1)/\sqrt{\gamma}} \left| u(y,s') \overline{\nabla_{A}u(y,s')} \right| dy$$

$$\leq \frac{16}{\gamma^{3/2}} \sup_{s' \in [0,1]} \int_{|y| \leq 4(R+1)/\sqrt{\gamma}} \left| u(y,s') \overline{\nabla_{A}u(y,s')} \right| dy$$

$$\leq \frac{8}{\gamma^{3/2}} \sup_{s' \in [0,1]} \int_{|y| \leq R_{1}} \left( \left| u(y,s') \right|^{2} + \left| \nabla_{A}u(y,s') \right|^{2} \right) dy = \frac{8}{\gamma^{3/2}} E_{u}^{2}.$$
(52)

To estimate  $E_2$ , we reason as above and thanks to (4), (9) and (33) we get

$$E_{2} \leq \frac{4M_{V}}{\gamma} \int_{0}^{\frac{5}{3\gamma+5}} \int_{|y| \leq 4(R+1)/\sqrt{\gamma}} |u(y,s')|^{2} dy ds'$$
  
$$\leq \frac{8M_{V}}{\gamma^{2}} \sup_{s' \in [0,1]} \int_{|y| \leq 4(R+1)/\sqrt{\gamma}} |u(y,s')|^{2} dy \leq \frac{8M_{V}E_{u}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}.$$
(53)

Thanks to (33), from (49)-(53) we conclude that

$$\left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} g(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \ge \frac{e^{8\tau}}{2^6} M_u^2.$$
(54)

We estimate from above the right hand side of (44): from (35) and (42) we have

$$\begin{split} (i\partial_t + \Delta_{\widetilde{A}})g(x,t) &= -\widetilde{V}(x,t)g(x,t) \\ &+ \theta_R(x) \Big[ i\varphi'(t)\partial_{x_1}\eta \left(\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1\right)v(x,t) + \frac{1}{R^2} \left(\Delta\eta \left(\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1\right)\right)v(x,t) + \frac{2}{R}\nabla\eta \left(\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1\right) \cdot \nabla_{\widetilde{A}}v(x,t) \Big] \\ &+ \eta \left(\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1\right) \left[ (\Delta\theta_R(x))v(x,t) + 2\nabla\theta_R(x) \cdot \nabla_{\widetilde{A}}v(x,t) \right] \\ &+ \frac{2}{R}\nabla\theta_R(x) \cdot \nabla\eta \left(\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1\right)v(x,t) \\ &=: F_1(x,t) + F_2(x,t) + F_3(x,t) + F_4(x,t). \end{split}$$

Consequently,

$$\left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} (i\partial_t + \Delta_{\widetilde{A}}) g(x, t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1])} \le \sum_{i=1}^4 \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} F_i(x, t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1])}.$$
(55)

We estimate separately the terms at right hand side of the previous inequality. It is useful to observe that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \le \alpha(t) \le \frac{4}{\sqrt{\gamma}}, \quad 0 \le \beta(t) \le \frac{1}{1 - t + \gamma^{-1}t} \le 4, \quad \text{for all } t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right], \tag{56}$$

Thanks to (4), (33), (36), (43) and (56), we have  $\|\widetilde{V}\|_{L^{\infty}(\text{supp }g)} \leq 16M_V/\gamma$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_{1} \right|^{2}} F_{1}(x,t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times [0,1])} &\leq \frac{16M_{V}}{\gamma} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_{1} \right|^{2}} g(x,t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times [0,1])} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{16}E_{u}}{M_{u}} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_{1} \right|^{2}} g(x,t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times [0,1])}. \end{aligned}$$
(57)

Observe that in the support of  $F_2$  we have  $\left|\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1\right| \le 2$ , and thanks to (33), (39)–(41) and (43) we estimate

$$\left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} F_2(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \le 2^{14} e^{8\tau} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{|x| \le R+1} \left( |v(x,t)|^2 + \frac{1}{R^2} |\nabla_{\widetilde{A}} v|^2 \right) dx dt = F_{21} + F_{22}.$$
(58)

We use again the change of variables in (46): we observe that

$$\frac{\gamma}{16} \le \frac{dt}{ds}(s) \le \gamma, \quad \text{for all } s \in \left[\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}, \frac{3}{\gamma+3}\right] = s\left(\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]\right). \tag{59}$$

Thanks to (59), we have that

$$F_{21} \le 2^{14} e^{8\tau} \gamma \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{|y| \le \alpha(t(s))(R+1)} |u(y,s)|^2 dy ds.$$
(60)

Thanks to (34), (56) and (59),

$$F_{22} = 2^{14} e^{8\tau} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{|x| \le R+1} \frac{\alpha(t)^n}{R^2} \left| \alpha(t) (\nabla_A u) (\alpha(t)x, s(t)) - \frac{i}{2} \beta(t)x \, u(\alpha(t)x, s(t)) \right|^2 dx dt$$
  
$$\leq 2^{14} e^{8\tau} \gamma \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{|y| \le \alpha(t(s))(R+1)} \frac{1}{R^2} \left| \alpha(t(s)) \nabla_A u(y, s) - \frac{i\beta(t(s))y}{2\alpha(t(s))} u(y, s) \right|^2 dy ds$$
  
$$\leq 2^{14} e^{8\tau} \gamma \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{|y| \le \alpha(t(s))(R+1)} \left( \frac{32}{\gamma R^2} |\nabla_A u(y, s)|^2 + 8 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{R} \right)^2 |u(y, s)|^2 \right) dy ds.$$

Thanks to (33), from the last inequality we conclude that

$$F_{22} \le 2^{19} e^{8\tau} \gamma \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{|y| \le \alpha(t(s))(R+1)} \left( |u(y,s)|^2 + |\nabla_A u(y,s)|^2 \right) dy ds.$$
(61)

From (9), (33), (60), (61) and since  $|[\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}, \frac{3}{\gamma+3}]| \leq \frac{4}{\gamma}$ , we get

$$\left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} F_2(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \le 2^{22} e^{8\tau} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \int_{|y| \le R_1} \left( |u(y,s)|^2 + |\nabla_A u(y,s)|^2 \right) dy \le 2^{22} e^{8\tau} E_u^2.$$
(62)

We treat now the term in  $F_3$ . We observe that in its support we have  $R \leq |x| \leq R+1$  and  $|\frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1| \leq 6$  thanks to (41) and since R > 2. Thanks to (39) and (40) we have

$$\left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} F_3(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \le 8e^{72\tau} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{R \le |x| \le R+1} (|v(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla_{\widetilde{A}} v(x,t)|^2) dx dt =: F_{31} + F_{32}.$$

Using again the change of coordinates (46) and reasoning as in the estimate (60), we have

$$F_{31} \le 8e^{72\tau} \gamma \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{R \le \frac{|y|}{\alpha(t(s))} \le R+1} |u(y,s)|^2 dy ds.$$
(63)

Since  $R^2 = R_0^2 \gamma$ , R > 2, thanks to (34), (56), (59) and reasoning as in the estimate (61) we get

$$F_{32} \leq 8e^{72\tau} \gamma^2 R_0^2 \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{R \leq \frac{|y|}{\alpha(t(s))} \leq R+1} \left( \frac{32}{\gamma R^2} |\nabla_A u(y,s)|^2 + 8\left(1 + \frac{1}{R}\right)^2 |u(y,s)|^2 \right) dyds$$

$$\leq 2^8 e^{72\tau} \gamma^2 R_0^2 \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{R \leq \frac{|y|}{\alpha(t(s))} \leq R+1} \left( |u(y,s)|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla_A u(y,s)|^2 \right) dyds.$$
(64)

From (63) and (64), we have

$$\left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} F_3(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])}^2 \le 2^9 e^{72\tau} \gamma^2 R_0^2 \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{R \le \frac{|y|}{\alpha(t(s))} \le R+1} \left( |u(y,s)|^2 + \gamma^{-1} |\nabla_A u(y,s)|^2 \right) dy ds.$$

The length of the above space integration region is  $\alpha(t(s))$ . In order to write it in terms of  $\gamma$ , we see by (46) and (56), and since  $\alpha(t(s))\sqrt{\gamma} = 1 + s(\gamma - 1)$  that

$$\left\{ (y,s) \left| \alpha(t(s))R \le |y| \le \alpha(t(s))(R+1), s \in \left[\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}, \frac{3}{\gamma+3}\right] \right\} \subset \left\{ (y,s) \left| \left| |y| - R_0 - R_0 s \gamma \right| \le \frac{4(R_0+1)}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \right\},$$

therefore

$$\left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_{1} \right|^{2}} F_{3}(x,t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times [0,1])}^{2} \\ \leq 2^{9} e^{72\tau} \gamma^{2} R_{0}^{2} \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{||y|-R_{0}-R_{0}s\gamma| < \frac{4(R_{0}+1)}{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \left( |u(y,s)|^{2} + \gamma^{-1} |\nabla_{A}u(y,s)|^{2} \right) dy ds.$$

$$(65)$$

Finally, we treat the term in  $F_4$ : we reason analogously as done in the estimates of the terms in  $F_3$  and  $F_4$ . Thanks to (9), (33), (39) and (40) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_{1} \right|^{2}} F_{4}(x,t) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times [0,1])}^{2} \\ &\leq 4e^{8\tau} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \int_{R \leq |x| \leq R+1} |v(x,t)|^{2} \, dxdt \leq 4e^{8\tau} \gamma \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{R \leq \frac{|y|}{\alpha(t(s))} \leq R+1} |u(y,s)|^{2} \, dyds \qquad (66) \\ &\leq 2^{4}e^{8\tau} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \int_{|y| \leq R_{1}} |u(y,s)|^{2} \, dyds \leq 2^{4}e^{8\tau} E_{u}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering (44), (55), (57), (62), (65) and (66), we conclude that

$$\frac{\tau^{3/2}}{cR^2} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} g(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])} \leq \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} (i\partial_t + \Delta_{\widetilde{A}})g(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])} \\
\leq \frac{2^{16}E_u}{M_u} \left\| e^{\tau \left| \frac{x}{R} + \varphi(t)e_1 \right|^2} g(x,t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1])} + 2^{12}e^{4\tau}E_u \\
+ \left( 2^9 e^{72\tau} \gamma^2 R_0^2 \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{||y|-R_0-R_0s\gamma| < \frac{4(R_0+1)}{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \left( |u(y,s)|^2 + \gamma^{-1} |\nabla_A u(y,s)|^2 \right) dy ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(67)

We set  $\tau := 64cR^2$ . Thanks to (33), we have

$$\frac{2^{16}E_u}{M_u} \le \frac{\tau^{3/2}}{2cR^2}.$$

By (54), from (67) we get

$$2^{5}e^{4\tau}\sqrt{c}RM_{u} = \frac{\tau^{\frac{3}{2}}}{cR^{2}}\frac{e^{4\tau}M_{u}}{2^{4}}$$

$$\leq 2^{12}e^{4\tau}E_{u} + \left(2^{9}e^{72\tau}\gamma^{2}R_{0}^{2}\int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}}\int_{||y|-R_{0}-R_{0}s\gamma|<\frac{4(R_{0}+1)}{\sqrt{\gamma}}}\left(|u(y,s)|^{2}+\gamma^{-1}|\nabla_{A}u(y,s)|^{2}\right)dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(68)

Thanks to (33), we have

$$2^{12}e^{4\tau}E_u \le 2^4e^{4\tau}\sqrt{c}RM_u,$$

so we conclude that

$$2^{8}e^{8\tau}cR^{2}M_{u}^{2} \leq 2^{9}e^{72\tau}\gamma^{2}R_{0}^{2}\int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}}\int_{||y|-R_{0}-R_{0}s\gamma|<\frac{4(R_{0}+1)}{\sqrt{\gamma}}}\left(|u(y,s)|^{2}+\gamma^{-1}|\nabla_{A}u(y,s)|^{2}\right)dyds,$$

that is to say

$$M_u^2 \le \frac{2e^{2^{12}cR_0^2\gamma}}{c}\gamma \int_{\frac{1}{3\gamma+1}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma+3}} \int_{||y|-R_0-R_0s\gamma|<\frac{4(R_0+1)}{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \left(|u(y,s)|^2 + \gamma^{-1}|\nabla_A u(y,s)|^2\right) dyds.$$

Consequently, for  $C = C(M_B) = \max(2^{12}c, 2c^{-1}) > 0$  we have

$$M_{u}^{2} \leq C e^{CR_{0}^{2}\gamma} \gamma \int_{\frac{1}{4\gamma}}^{\frac{3}{\gamma}} \int_{||y|-R_{0}-R_{0}s\gamma| < \frac{4(R_{0}+1)}{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \left( |u(y,s)|^{2} + \gamma^{-1} |\nabla_{A}u(y,s)|^{2} \right) dy ds.$$
(69)

We let  $t := \gamma^{-1}$ : from (69) we get that (10) holds for all  $0 < t < t^* := (\gamma^*)^{-1}$  and  $\rho = R_0$ . In order to complete the proof for any  $\rho \in [R_0, R_1]$ , it is sufficient to repeat the same argument as above, choosing  $R = \rho \sqrt{\gamma}$  in (38).

# References

- AGIRRE, M., AND VEGA, L., Some lower bounds for solutions of Schrödinger evolutions, SIAM Journ. Math. Anal. 51 (2019), 3324–3336.
- [2] BARCELO, J. A., FANELLI, L., GUTIERREZ, S., RUIZ, A., VILELA, M.C., Hardy Uncertainty Principle and unique continuation properties of covariant Schrodinger flows, J. Funct. Anal. 264 (2013), 2386–2415.
- BONAMI, A., AND DEMANGE, B., A survey on uncertainty principles related to quadratic forms. *Collect.* Math. 2006, Vol. Extra, 1–36.
- [4] CASSANO, B., AND FANELLI, L., Sharp hardy uncertainty principle and gaussian profiles of covariant Schrödinger evolutions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367 (2015), 2213–2233.
- [5] CASSANO, B., AND FANELLI, L., Gaussian decay of harmonic oscillators and related models, *Journ. Math. Anal. Appl.* 456 (2017), 214–228.
- [6] COWLING, M., ESCAURIAZA, L., KENIG, C., PONCE, G., AND VEGA, L., The Hardy Uncertainty Principle Revisited, *Indiana U. Math. J.* 59 (2010), no. 6, 2007–2026.
- [7] COWLING, M., AND PRICE, J.F., Generalizations of Heisenberg inequality, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 992 (1983), 443–449.
- [8] ESCAURIAZA, L., KENIG, C., PONCE, G., AND VEGA, L., On Uniqueness Properties of Solutions of Schrödinger Equations, Comm. PDE. 31 (2006), no. 12, 1811–1823.
- [9] ESCAURIAZA, L., KENIG, C., PONCE, G., AND VEGA, L., Convexity properties of solutions to the free Schrödinger equation with Gaussian decay, *Math. Res. Lett.* 15 (2008), no. 5, 957–971.

- [10] ESCAURIAZA, L., KENIG, C., PONCE, G., AND VEGA, L., Hardy's uncertainty principle, convexity and Schrödinger evolutions, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 10 (2008), no. 4, 883–907.
- [11] ESCAURIAZA, L., KENIG, C., PONCE, G., AND VEGA, L., Tor the magnetic case  $A \neq 0$  he sharp Hardy uncertainty principle for Schrödinger evolutions, *Duke Math. J.* **155** (2010), no. 1, 163–187.
- [12] ESCAURIAZA, L., KENIG, C., PONCE, G., AND VEGA, L., Uncertainty principle of Morgan type and Schrödinger evolutions, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 83 (2011), no. 1, 187–207.
- [13] ESCAURIAZA, L., KENIG, C., PONCE, G., AND VEGA, L., Uniqueness properties of solutions to Schrödinger equations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (2012), 415–442
- [14] FANELLI, L., Non-trapping magnetic fields and Morrey-Campanato estimates for Schrödinger operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 357 (2009), 1–14.
- [15] FANELLI, L., AND VEGA, L., Magnetic virial identities, weak dispersion and Strichartz inequalities, Math. Ann. 344 (2009), no. 2, 249–278.
- [16] FERNÁNDEZ-BERTOLIN, A., AND MALINNIKOVA, E., Dynamical versions of Hardy's uncertainty principle: A survey. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 58(3) (2021), 357-375.
- [17] BERTOLIN FERNÁNDEZ, A., AND VEGA, L., Uniqueness properties for discrete equations and Carleman estimates, Journal of Functional Analysis 272.11 (2017): 4853-4869.
- [18] FERNÁNDEZ-BERTOLIN, A., RONCAL, L., RÜLAND, A., AND STAN, D., Discrete Carleman estimates and three balls inequalities, (2020) arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.00491.
- [19] FOLLAND, G.B., SITARAM, A., The Uncertainty Principle: A Mathematical Survey, J. Fourier Anal. and Appl. 3, (1997), no. 3, 207–238.
- [20] IWATSUKA, A., Spectral representation for Schrödinger operators with magnetic vector potentials, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 22, (1982), no. 2, 223–242.
- [21] JAMING, P., LYUBARSKII, Y., MALINNIKOVA, E., AND PERFEKT, K., Uniqueness for discrete Schrödinger evolutions, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 34 (2018), no. 3, 949–966.
- [22] NAZAROV, F., Local estimates for exponential polynomials and their applications to inequalities of the uncertainty principle type, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **5** (1994), 663–717.
- [23] SITARAM, A., SUNDARI, M., AND THANGAVELU, S., Uncertainty principles on certain Lie groups, Proc., Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 105 (1995), 135–151.
- [24] STEIN, E.M., AND SHAKARCHI, R., Princeton Lecture in Analysis II. Complex Analysis, Princeton University Press.