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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to develop the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid for the geometry of log-concave functions. Using the results of the $L_{p}$ Minkowski theory for log-concave function established in [26], we characterize the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid for log-concave function, and establish some inequalities of the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid for log-concave function. Finally, the analog of Ball's volume ratio inequality for the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid of log-concave function is established.


## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, among all ellipsoids contained in $K$, there exists a unique ellipsoid $J K$ with the maximum volume, this ellipsoid is called the John's ellipsoid of $K$. It plays an important role in convex geometry and Banach space geometry (see, e.g., $[12-14,32,34,38,39,48]$ ). One of the most important results concerning the John ellipsoid is the Ball's volume-ratio inequality, which states that: if $K$ is an origin symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then

$$
\frac{V(K)}{V(J K)} \leq \frac{2^{n}}{\omega_{n}}
$$

with equality if and only $K$ is a parallelotope. Here $V(K)$ denotes the $n$ dimensional volume and $\omega_{n}=\frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}$ denotes the volume of a unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

In 1990's, the $L_{p}$ Brunn-Minkowski theory was firstly initiated by Lutwak (see [43, 44]), during the last two decades, it has achieved great development and expanded rapidly (see, e.g., [21, 28, 36, 37, 42, 45, 47, 54-58]). The $L_{p}$ extension of the John ellipsoid is given by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [46].

Given a smooth convex body $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that contains the origin in its interior. Let $f_{p}(K, \cdot)$ be the $L_{p}$ curvature function of $K, p>0$, find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\phi \in S L(n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p}(\phi K, \cdot) d S(u) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{n-1}$ denotes the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The minimum is actually attained at some $\phi_{p} \in S L(n)$, and defines an ellipsoid $E_{p} K$, which $\phi_{p}$ maps it into the unit ball $B$, that is, $\phi_{p} E_{p} K=B$. The ellipsoid is unique and is called the volume-normalized $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid of $K$. The equivalent ways to state the

[^0]above problem is given by the following two Optimization Problems [46]: Given a convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ that contains the origin in it interior, find an ellipsoid $E$, amongst all origin-centered ellipsoids, which solves the following constrained maximization problem:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\frac{V(E)}{\omega_{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad \text { subject to } \bar{V}_{p}(K, E) \leq 1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

A maximal ellipsoid will be called an $S_{p}$ solution for $K$. The dual problem is to find $E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \bar{V}_{p}(K, E) \quad \text { subject to }\left(\frac{V(E)}{\omega_{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A minimal ellipsoid will be called an $\bar{S}_{p}$ solution for $K$. Where

$$
\bar{V}_{p}(K, E)=\left[\int_{S^{n-1}}\left(\frac{h_{E}}{h_{K}}\right)^{p} d \bar{V}_{K}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p>0
$$

is the normalized $L_{p}$ mixed volume of $K$ and $E$. More details about the solution of the two problems $S_{p}, \bar{S}_{p}$ and related inequalities see [46]. The Orlicz extension of the John ellipsoid is done by Zou and Xiong [59]. Recently, the study of the geometry of log-concave functions in the field of convex geometry has emerged, with a quite natural idea is to replace the volume of a convex body by the integral of a log-concave function. To establish functional version of the problems from the convex geometric analysis of convex body has attracted a lot of authors interest (see, e.g., $[1-4,7,16-20,22,24,35,41,52]$ ). Also an extension of the John ellipsoids to the case of log-concave functions has attracted a lot of authors interest, for example, in [5], the authors extend the notion of John's ellipsoid to the setting of integrable log-concave functions and obtain integral ratio of a log-concave function and establish the reverse functional affine isoperimetric inequality. The extension of the LYZ ellipsoid to the log-concave functions is done by Fang and Zhou [27]. The Löwner ellipsoid function for log-concave function is invested by Li, Schütt and Werner [40]. Extensive research has been devoted to extend the concepts and inequalities from convex bodies to the setting of log-concave functions (see, e.g., $[25,29]$ ). In fact, it was observed that the Prékopa-Leindler inequality is the functional analog of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality (see e.g., [15, 30, 49]) for convex bodies. Much progress has been made see [6, 8, 10, 23].

Let $f$ be a log-concave functions of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f=e^{-u}
$$

where $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is a convex function. We always consider in this paper that a $\log$-concave function $f$ is integrable and such that $f$ is nondegenerate, i.e., the interior of the support of $f$ is non-empty, $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{supp} f) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $0<\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f d x<\infty$.

Let $f=e^{-u}, g=e^{-v}$ be log-concave functions, for any real $\alpha, \beta>0$, the Asplund sum and scalar multiplication of two log-concave functions are defined as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g:=e^{-w}, \quad \text { where } w^{*}=\alpha u^{*}+\beta v^{*} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $w^{*}$ denotes as usual the Fenchel conjugate of the convex function $\omega$. Correspond to the volume $V(K)$ of a convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the total mass $J(f)$ of a log-concave function $f$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is firstly considered in [24]. The functional counterpart of Minkowski's first inequality and related isoperimetric inequalities are established. The $L_{p}$ extension of the Asplund sum and scalar multiplication of two log-concave functions are discussed in [26], the functional $L_{p}$ Minkowski's first inequality and the functional $L_{p}$ Minkowski problem also been discussed.

Our main goals in this paper are to discuss the functional $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid, based on the $L_{p}$ Asplund sum and $L_{p}$ scalar mutiplication of two log-concave functions. Owing to the functional $L_{p}$ Minkowski's first variation of $f$ and $g$, we focus on the following:

Problem $S_{p}$. Given a log-concave function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, find a Gaussian function $\gamma_{\phi}$ which solves the following constrained maximization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\frac{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}{c_{n}}\right) \quad \text { subject to } \quad \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right) \leq 1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $c_{n}=(2 \pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $\phi \in G L(n), \gamma_{\phi}=e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^{2}}{2}}$ is the Gaussian function. $\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)$ is the normalized first variation of the total mass $J(f)$ with respect to the $L_{p}$ Asplund sum.

In section 3, we prove that there exists a unique Gaussian function which solves the Problem $S_{p}$. The unique Gaussian function which solves the problem $S_{p}$ is called the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid for the log-concave function $f$, and denoted by $E_{p} f$. Moreover, we characterize a Gaussian function which is the solution of the problem $S_{p}$.

In section 4, we focus on the continuity of the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid, we prove that the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid $E_{p} f$ is continuous with respect to $f$ and $p$. By the $L_{p}$ Minkowski's first inequality for log-concave function, we prove that the total mass of the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid $E_{p} f$ is no more than the total mass of $f$. In the end of this section, we show the similar Ball's volume ration inequality is also holds for log-concave function.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Convex bodies. In this paper, we work in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space, $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, endowed with the usual scalar product $\langle x, y\rangle$ and norm $\|x\|$. Let $B^{n}=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n}:\|x\| \leq 1\right\}$ denote the standard unit ball and $S^{n-1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\|x\|=1\right\}$ denote the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $\mathcal{K}^{n}$ denote the class of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ be the subclass of convex bodies $K$ whose relative interior $\operatorname{int}(K)$ is nonempty. For $i \leq n$, let $\mathcal{H}^{i}$ be the $i$-dimensional Hausdorff measure, we indicate by $V(K)=\mathcal{H}^{n}(K)$ the $n$-dimensional volume.

Let $h_{K}(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the support function of $K$; i.e., for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
h_{K}(x)=\max \{\langle x, y\rangle: y \in K\} .
$$

Let $n_{K}(x)$ be the unit outer normal at $x \in \partial K$, then $h_{K}\left(n_{K}(x)\right)=\left\langle n_{K}(x), x\right\rangle$. It is shown that the sublinear support function characterizes a convex body and, conversely, every sublinear function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the support function of a nonempty
compact convex set. By the definition of the support function, if $\phi \in G L(n)$, then the support function of the image $\phi K:=\{\phi y: y \in K\}$ is given by

$$
h_{\phi K}(x)=h_{K}\left(\phi^{t} x\right),
$$

where $\phi^{t}$ denotes the transpose of $\phi$. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ be a convex body that contains the origin in its interior, the polar body $K^{\circ}$ is defined by

$$
K^{\circ}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\langle y, x\rangle \leq 1, \text { for all } x \in K\right\}
$$

Obviously, for $\phi \in G L(n)$, then $(\phi K)^{\circ}=\phi^{-t} K^{\circ}$. The gauge function $\|\cdot\|_{K}$ is defined by

$$
\|x\|_{K}=\min \{a \geq 0: x \in \alpha K\}=\max _{y \in K^{\circ}}\langle x, y\rangle=h_{K^{\circ}}(x)
$$

It is clear that

$$
\|x\|_{K}=1 \quad \text { whenever } \quad x \in \partial K
$$

Recall that the $L_{p}(p \geq 1)$ Minkowski combination of convex bodies $K$ and $L$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{K+{ }_{p} \epsilon \cdot L}(x)^{p}=h_{K}(x)^{p}+\epsilon h_{L}(x)^{p} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of the most important inequality related to the $L_{p}$ Brunn-Minkowski combination of convex bodies $K$ and $L$ is

$$
V\left(K+{ }_{p} L\right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \geq V(K)^{\frac{p}{n}}+V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}},
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilation of each other. The $L_{p}$ surface area measure of $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d S_{p}(K, \cdot)=h_{K}^{1-p} d S(K, \cdot) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d S(K, \cdot)$ is the classical surface area measure, which is given by

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{V(K+\epsilon L)-V(K)}{\epsilon}=\int_{S^{n-1}} h_{Q}(u) d S(K, u) .
$$

It is easy to say that for $\lambda>0, S_{p}(\lambda K, \cdot)=\lambda^{n-p} S_{p}(K, \cdot)$. If $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, then $K$ has a curvature function, then $f_{p}(K, \cdot): S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the $L_{p}$-curvature function of $K$, is defined by

$$
f_{p}(K, \cdot)=h_{K}^{1-p} f(K, \cdot),
$$

where $f(K, \cdot)$ is the curvature function, $f(K, \cdot): S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined as the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$
f(K, \cdot)=\frac{d S(K, \cdot)}{d S}
$$

and $d S$ is the standard Lebesgue measure on $S^{n-1}$.
For quick reference about the definition and notations in convex geometry, good references are Gardner [31], Gruber [33], Schneider [53].
2.2. Functional setting. In the following, we discuss in the functional setting in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be a convex function, that is $u((1-t) x+t y) \leq$ $(1-t) u(x)+t u(y)$ for $t \in(0,1)$. We set $\operatorname{dom}(u)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: u(x) \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$, by the convexity of $u, \operatorname{dom}(u)$ is a convex set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We say that $u$ is proper if $\operatorname{dom}(u) \neq \emptyset$, and $u$ is of class $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{2}$ if it is twice differentiable on $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom}(u))$, with a positive definite Hessian matrix. Recall that the Fenchel conjugate of $u$ is the convex function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*}(y)=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\{\langle x, y\rangle-u(x)\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that $u(x)+u^{*}(y) \geq\langle x, y\rangle$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there is an equality if and only if $x \in \operatorname{dom}(u)$ and $y$ is in the subdifferential of $u$ at $x$, that means

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*}(\nabla u(x))+u(x)=\langle x, \nabla u(x)\rangle . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convex function $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is lower semi-continuous, if the subset $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: u(x)>t\right\}$ is an open set for any $t \in(-\infty,+\infty]$. Moreover, if $u$ is a lower semi-continuous convex function, then also $u^{*}$ is a lower semi-continuous convex function, and $u^{* *}=u$.

The infimal convolution of functions $u$ and $v$ from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \square v(x)=\inf _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\{u(x-y)+v(y)\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right scalar multiplication by a nonnegative real number $\alpha$ :

$$
(u \alpha)(x):= \begin{cases}\alpha u\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right), & \text { if } \alpha>0  \tag{2.6}\\ I_{\{0\}}, & \text { if } \alpha=0\end{cases}
$$

The following results below gather some elementary properties of $u$, the Fenchel conjugate and the infimal convolution, which can be found in [24,50].

Lemma 2.1. Let $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$, then there exist constants $a$ and $b$, with $a>0$, such that, for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \geq a\|x\|+b \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $u^{*}$ is proper, and satisfies $u^{*}(y)>-\infty, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Proposition 2.2. Let $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be a convex function. Then:
(1) $(u \square v)^{*}=u^{*}+v^{*}$;
(2) $(u \alpha)^{*}(x)=\alpha u^{*}\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right), \quad \alpha>0$;
(3) $\operatorname{dom}(u \square v)=\operatorname{dom}(u)+\operatorname{dom}(v)$;
(4) it holds $u^{*}(0)=-\inf (u)$, in particular if $u$ is proper, then $u^{*}(y)>-\infty$; $\inf (u)>-\infty$ implies $u^{*}$ is proper.

A function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is called $\log$-concave if for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $0<t<1$, we have

$$
f((1-t) x+t y) \geq f^{1-t}(x) f^{t}(y)
$$

If $f$ is a strictly positive log-concave function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then there exist a convex function $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ such that $f=e^{-u}$. Following the notations in paper [24], let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}=\left\{u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \cup\{+\infty\} \mid \text { proper, convex, } \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} u(x)=+\infty\right\} \\
\mathcal{A}=\left\{f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f=e^{-u}, u \in \mathcal{L}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be a log-concave, according to a series of papers by Artstein-Avidan and Milman [9], Rotem [51], the support function of $f=e^{-u}$ is defined as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{f}(x)=(-\log f(x))^{*}=u^{*}(x) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $u^{*}$ is the Fenchel conjugate of $u$. The definition of $h_{f}$ is a proper generalization of the support function $h_{K}$, in fact, one can easily checks $h_{\chi_{K}}=h_{K}$. Obviously, the support function $h_{f}$ shares the most of the important properties of $h_{K}$.

The polar function of $f=e^{-u}$ is defined by $f^{\circ}=e^{-u^{*}}$. Specifically,

$$
f^{\circ}(y)=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\{\frac{e^{-\langle x, y\rangle}}{f(x)}\right\},
$$

it follows that, $f^{\circ}$ is also a log-concave function.
Let $\phi \in G L(n)$, we always write $f \circ \phi(x)=\phi f(x)=f(\phi x)$. The following proposition shows that $h_{f}$ is $G L(n)$ covariant which is proved in [27].
Proposition 2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. For $\phi \in G L(n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then

$$
h_{\phi f}(x)=h_{f}\left(\phi^{-t} x\right) .
$$

Moreover, for the polar function of $f$,

$$
(\phi f)^{\circ}=\phi^{-t} f^{\circ} .
$$

The class of log-concave functions $\mathcal{A}$ can be endowed with an algebraic structure which extends in a natural way as the usual of the Minkowski's structure on $\mathcal{K}^{n}$. For example, the Asplund sum of two log-concave functions is corresponded to the classical Minkowski sum of two convex bodies. See [24] for more about the Asplund sum and the related inequalities of the total mass of the log-concave function in $\mathcal{A}$ which correspond to the convex bodies in $\mathcal{K}^{n}$. In very recently, the $L_{p}$ Asplund sum of log-concave functions are studied by author Fang, Xing and Ye [26]. Let

$$
\mathcal{A}_{0}=\left\{e^{-u}: u \in \mathcal{L}_{0}\right\} \subset \mathcal{A}
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{L}_{0}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{L}: u \geq 0,\left(u^{*}\right)^{*}=u \text { and } u(o)=0\right\}
$$

Clearly, if $u \in \mathcal{L}_{0}$, then $u$ has its minimum attained at the origin $o$.
Definition 2.1 ( [26]). Let $f=e^{-u}, g=e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. The $L_{p}(p \geq 1)$ Asplund sum and multiplication of $f$ and $g$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \cdot{ }_{p} f \oplus_{p} \beta \cdot \cdot_{p} g=e^{-\left[(u \cdot p \alpha) \square_{p}(v \cdot p \beta)\right]} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
(u \cdot p \alpha) \square_{p}\left(v \cdot_{p} \beta\right)=\left[\left(\alpha\left(u^{*}\right)^{p}+\beta\left(v^{*}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right]^{*} .
$$

The $L_{p}$ Asplund sum is an extension of the Asplund sum on $\mathcal{A}_{0}$. Specially, when $p=1$, it reduces to the Asplund sum of two functions on $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g)(x)=\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f\left(\frac{x-y}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} g\left(\frac{y}{\beta}\right)^{\beta} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, when $\alpha=0$ and $\beta>0$, we have $\left(\alpha \cdot{ }_{p} f \oplus_{p} \beta \cdot{ }_{p} g\right)(x)=g\left(\frac{x}{\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}}$; when $\alpha>0$ and $\beta=0$, then $\left(\alpha \cdot{ }_{p} f \oplus \beta \cdot{ }_{p} g\right)(x)=f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}}$; finally, when $\alpha=\beta=0$, we set $\left(\alpha \cdot{ }_{p} f \oplus_{p} \beta \cdot{ }_{p} g\right)=I_{\{0\}}$. We say that the $L_{p}$ Asplund sum for log-concave functions is closely related to the $L_{p}$ Minkowski sum for convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For examples, $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^{n}$, let

$$
\chi_{K}(x)=e^{-I_{K}(x)}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1, & \text { if } & x \in K  \tag{2.11}\\
0, & \text { if } & x \notin K,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $I_{K}$ is the indicator function of $K$, and it is a lower semi-continuous convex function,

$$
I_{K}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0, & \text { if } & x \in K  \tag{2.12}\\
\infty, & \text { if } & x \notin K
\end{array}\right.
$$

The characteristic function $\chi_{K}$ is log-concave functions with $u=I_{K}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}, u^{*}=h_{K}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}$ if $0 \in \operatorname{int}(K)$, for $p \in[1,+\infty)$, the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(I_{K}\right) \cdot{ }_{p} \alpha\right) \square_{p}\left(\left(I_{L}\right) \cdot{ }_{p} \beta\right) & =\left[\left(\alpha\left(I_{K}^{*}\right)^{p}+\beta\left(I_{L}^{*}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right]^{*} \\
& =\left[\left(\alpha h_{K}^{p}+\beta h_{L}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right]^{*} \\
& =I_{\alpha \cdot p} K+{ }_{p} \beta \cdot{ }_{p} L .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\alpha \cdot \chi_{K} \oplus \beta \cdot \chi_{L}=e^{-\left[I_{K}{ }^{\cdot} \alpha \square_{p} I_{L} \cdot p \beta\right]}=\chi_{\alpha \cdot{ }_{p} K+{ }_{p} \beta \cdot{ }_{p} L .}$.
The following Proposition assert that the $L_{p}$ Asplund sum of log-concave functions is closed in $\mathcal{A}_{0}$.
Proposition 2.4 ( [26]). Let $f$ and $g$ belong both to the same class $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Then $f \cdot p \alpha \oplus_{p} \beta \cdot p g$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{0}$.

The total mass function of $f$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) d x \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, when $f=\chi_{K}, J(f)=V(K)$. Similar to the integral expression of mixed volume $V(K, L)$, for $f=e^{-u}$ and $g=e^{-v}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, the quantity $\delta J(f, g)$, which is called as the first variation of $J$ at $f$ along $g$ is defined by (see [24])

$$
\delta J(f, g)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{J(f \oplus t \cdot g)-J(f)}{t} .
$$

It has been shown that $\delta J(f, g)$ has the following integral expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta J(f, g)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g} d \mu(f, x), \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(f, x)$ is the surface area measure of $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(f, x)=(\nabla u(x))_{\sharp} f\left(\mathcal{H}^{n}\right), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\nabla u$ is the gradient of $u$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, that means, for any Borel function $g \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(x) d \mu(f, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(\nabla u(x)) e^{-u(x)} d x \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specially, if take $f=g$ in (2.14), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta J(f, f)=n J(f)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f \log f d x=J(n f+f \log f) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following sections we write $J(n f+f \log f)$ in terms of $J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)$ for simplicity.
The $L_{p}$ surface area measure of $f$, denoted by $\mu_{p}(f, \cdot)$ is given in [26].
Definition 2.2 ( [26]). Let $f=e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$ be a log-concave function, the $L_{p}$ surface area measure of $f$, denoted as $\mu_{p}(f, \cdot)$, is the Boreal measure on $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} g(y) d \mu_{p}(f, y)=\int_{\{x \in \operatorname{dom}(u): \nabla u(x) \in \Omega\}} g(\nabla u(x))\left(h_{f}(\nabla u(x))\right)^{1-p} f(x) d x \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every Borel function $g$ such that $g \in L^{1}\left(\mu_{p}(f, \cdot)\right)$.
Similarly, the first variation of the total mass at $f$ along $g$ with respect to the $L_{p}$ Asplund sum is defined as,
Definition 2.3 ( [26]). Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$. For $p \geq 1$, the first variation of the total mass of $f$ along $g$ with respect to the $L_{p}$ Asplund sum is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta J_{p}(f, g)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{J\left(f \oplus_{p} t \cdot_{p} g\right)-J(f)}{t}, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the limit exists.
The following integral expression of $\delta J_{p}(f, g)$ is $L_{p}$ extension of (2.14) which is established in [26]. Specially, if take $f=e^{-I_{K}(x)}$ and $g=e^{-I_{L}(x)}$, where $I_{K}$ and $I_{L}$ are the indicator function of $K$ and $L$. So $J(f)=V(K)$ and $J(g)=V(L)$, then we have $\delta J(f, g)=V_{p}(K, L)$.

Theorem 2.5 ( [26]). Let $f=e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$ and $g=e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$. For $p \geq 1$, assume that $g$ is an admissible p-perturbation for $f$. In addition, suppose that there exists a constant $k>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(h_{f}\right)^{p}\right) \leq k\left(h_{f}\right)^{n(p-1)} \operatorname{det}\left(\nabla^{2} h_{f}\right),
$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{o\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta J_{p}(f, g)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(h_{g}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the support function of the log-concave function is nondecreasing, it's easy to get that if $g_{1} \leq g_{2}$, then

$$
\delta J_{p}\left(f, g_{1}\right) \leq \delta J_{p}\left(f, g_{2}\right)
$$

In the following, we normalize the $\delta J_{p}(f, g)$. For $f=e^{-u}, g=e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, and $1 \leq p<\infty$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, g)=\left(\frac{p \cdot \delta J_{p}(f, g)}{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left[\frac{1}{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{h_{g}}{h_{f}}\right)^{p} h_{f} d \mu(f, x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\frac{h_{f} d \mu(f, x)}{J\left(f^{\circ}\right)}$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $p=\infty$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} J_{\infty}(f, g)=\max \left\{\frac{h_{g}(x)}{h_{f}(x)}: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unless $\frac{h_{g}(x)}{h_{f}(x)}$ is a constant on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, by the Jensen's inequality, it follows that $\bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, g)<\bar{\delta} J_{q}(f, g)$, for $1 \leq p<q<\infty$. For $p=\infty$, we have $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, g)=$ $\bar{\delta} J_{\infty}(f, g)$. Moreover, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose $f=e^{-u}, g=e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}, 1 \leq p<q<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} J_{1}(f, g) \leq \bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, g) \leq \bar{\delta} J_{q}(f, g) \leq \bar{\delta} J_{\infty}(f, g) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to establish the continuity of the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid for log-concave function in section 4 , we give the following Lemma of the $\bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, g)$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $f=e^{-u}, g=e^{-v}, g_{0}=e^{-v_{0}} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, g)-\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, g_{0}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\left\|h_{g}-h_{g_{0}}\right\|_{\infty}}{\min \left\{\left|h_{f}\right|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p \in[1, \infty]$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the $\infty$ norms.
Proof. First suppose that $p<\infty$, by (2.21) and the triangle inequality for $L_{p}$ norms, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, g)-\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, g_{0}\right)\right| & \leq\left[\frac{1}{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\frac{h_{g}}{h_{f}}-\frac{h_{g_{0}}}{h_{f}}\right|^{p} h_{f} d \mu(f, x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq\left[\frac{1}{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{\left|h_{f}\right|^{p}} h_{f} d \mu(f, x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|h_{g}-h_{g_{0}}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \frac{\left\|h_{g}-h_{g_{0}}\right\|_{\infty}}{\min \left\{\left|h_{f}(x)\right|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The third inequality we use the fact that $\frac{h_{f} d \mu(f, x)}{J\left(f^{\circ}\right)}$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $p \rightarrow \infty$, the continuous of the $L_{p}$ norm with $p$ shows that (2.24) holds for $p=\infty$ as well.

The following Lemma shows some Properties of $\delta J_{p}(f, g)$ and its normalizer.
Lemma 2.8. Suppose that $f=e^{-u}, g=e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, then
(1) $\delta J_{p}(f, f)=\frac{1}{p} J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)$.
(2) $\bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, f)=1$.
(3) $\delta J_{p}\left(f, \lambda{ }_{p} g\right)=\lambda \delta J_{p}(f, g)$, for $\lambda>0$.
(4) $\bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, \lambda \cdot p g)=\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} \bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, g)$, for $\lambda>0$.
(5) $\delta J_{p}(\phi f, g)=|\operatorname{det} \phi|^{-1} \delta J_{p}\left(f, \phi^{-1} g\right)$, for all $\phi \in G L(n)$.
(6) $\bar{\delta} J_{p}(\phi f, g)=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \phi^{-1} g\right)$, for all $\phi \in G L(n)$.

Proof. By formula (2.20) and Definition 2.3, it immediately gives (1) and (2).
In order to prove (3), by Definition 2.1, we have $h_{\lambda \cdot p}=\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} h_{f}$. So we have $\delta J_{p}\left(f, \lambda \cdot{ }_{p} g\right)=\lambda \delta J_{p}(f, g)$. By (3), it yields (4) directly.

By the integral formula of the first variation (2.20), and note that $\nabla_{x}(\phi u)=$ $\phi^{t} \nabla_{\phi x} u$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta J_{p}(\phi f, g) & =\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g}^{p}(x) d \mu_{p}(\phi f, x) \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g}^{p}\left(\nabla_{x}(\phi u)\right) h_{\phi f}^{1-p}\left(\nabla_{x}(\phi u)\right) e^{-\phi u} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\phi^{-1} g}^{p}\left(\nabla_{\phi x} u\right) h_{f}^{1-p}\left(\nabla_{\phi x} u\right) e^{-u(\phi x)} d x \\
& =\left|\operatorname{det} \phi^{-1}\right| \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\phi^{-1} g}^{p}(\nabla u) h_{f}^{1-p}(\nabla u) e^{-u} d x \\
& =|\operatorname{det} \phi|^{-1} \delta J_{p}\left(f, \phi^{-1} g\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On other hand, note that $J\left((\phi f)^{\diamond}\right)=|\operatorname{det} \phi|^{-1} J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)$ and together with (5), it follows that $\bar{\delta} J_{p}(\phi f, g)=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \phi^{-1} g\right)$. So we complete the proof.

The following result will been used in the next section (see [52]).
Proposition 2.9 ( [52]). Let $D$ be a relatively open convex sets, and $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots$, be a sequence of finite convex functions on $D$. Suppose that the real number $f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), \cdots$, is bounded for each $x \in D$. It is then possible to selected a subsequence of $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots$, which converges uniformly on closed bounded subset of $D$ to some finite convex function $f$.

## 3. $L_{p}$ John ElLipsoid for log-concave functions

Let $\gamma=e^{-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}}$ be the standard Gaussian function. In the following, we set

$$
\gamma_{\phi}(x)=e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^{2}}{2}},
$$

where $\phi \in G L(n)$. It is worth noting that the Gaussian function $\gamma_{\phi}$ plays an important role in the study of the extremal problem of log-concave functions as the ellipsoids do for the study of the extremal problems of convex bodies. In fact, it is the unique function of $\mathcal{A}$ which is self-dual, that is

$$
f=e^{-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}} \Longleftrightarrow f^{o}=f
$$

Now, Let us consider the following optimization problem.
The $L_{p}$ optimization problem $S_{p}(p \geq 1)$ for log-concave functions $f$ : Given a log-concave function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, find a Gaussian function $\gamma_{\phi}$ which solves the following constrained maximization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\frac{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}{c_{n}}\right) \quad \text { subject to } \quad \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right) \leq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual $L_{p}$ optimization problem $\bar{S}_{p}(p \geq 1)$ for log-concave functions $f$ : Given a log-concave function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, find a Gaussian function $\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}$ which solves the following constrained minimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right) \quad \text { subject to } \quad \frac{J\left(\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)}{c_{n}} \geq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. These above optimization problems for log-cocave functional are equivalent to:
(1) The problem $S_{p}$ is equivalent to:

$$
\max \left(\frac{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}{c_{n}}\right) \quad \text { subject to } \quad \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)=1
$$

(2) The dual problem $\bar{S}_{p}$ is equivalent to:

$$
\min \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right) \quad \text { subject to } \quad \frac{J\left(\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)}{c_{n}}=1
$$

Proof. (1) Assume that $\gamma_{\phi}=e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^{2}}{2}}$ is the solution of the problem $S_{p}$, if $\frac{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}{c_{n}}$ obtains the maximum, but $\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right) \neq 1$, assume that $\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)<1$, then let

$$
\bar{\gamma}_{\phi}=\frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)^{p}} \cdot p \gamma_{\phi}
$$

Then $h_{\bar{\gamma}_{\phi}}=\frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)} h_{\gamma_{\phi}}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \bar{\gamma}_{\phi}\right) & =\left[\frac{1}{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\bar{\gamma}_{\phi}}^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)}\left[\frac{1}{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\gamma_{\phi}}^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since $\gamma_{\phi}=e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^{2}}{2}}$, by the Definition 2.1 of the $L_{p}$ multiplication, a simple computation shows that, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\lambda^{p} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\phi}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\lambda \frac{\|\phi(x / \lambda)\|^{2}}{2}} d x=\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}} J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}>1$, then we have

$$
J\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)^{p}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\phi}\right)=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right) \geq J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)
$$

This means that $\gamma_{\phi}$ is not a solution to the problem $S_{p}$, which is contract with our assumption, so we complete the proof of the first one. The similar with the proof of Problem $\overline{S_{p}}$, so we complete the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$. If $\gamma_{\phi}$ is a Gaussian function that is an solution for the problem $S_{p}$ of $f$, then

$$
\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{n}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\phi}
$$

is an solution for problem $\bar{S}_{p}$ of $f$. Conversely, if $\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}$ is a Gaussian function that is an solution for the $\bar{S}_{p}$ problem of $f$, then

$$
\frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)^{p}} \cdot p \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}
$$

is an solution for problem $S_{p}$ of $f$.
Proof. Let $\gamma_{T}=e^{-\frac{\|T x\|}{2}}$, where $T \in G L(n)$, and satisfies $J\left(\gamma_{T}\right) \geq c_{n}$. By Lemma 2.8, it obviously has $\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{T}\right)^{p}} \cdot p \gamma_{T}\right)=1$. Since $\gamma_{\phi}$ is an $S_{p}$ solution for $f$, then

$$
J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right) \geq J\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{T}\right)^{p}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{T}\right)
$$

By (3.3) it shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{T}\right)^{p}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{T}\right)=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{T}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} J\left(\gamma_{T}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemma 3.1, we have $\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)=1$. Then

$$
\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{T}\right) \geq\left(\frac{J\left(\gamma_{T}\right)}{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \geq\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f,\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{n}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\phi}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, since $J\left(\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{n}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\phi}\right)=c_{n}$, it implies that the ellipsoid $\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{n}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\phi}$ is a solution to Problem $\bar{S}_{p}$. We complete the first assertion's proof.

Let $\gamma_{\bar{T}}=e^{-\frac{\|\bar{T} x\|^{2}}{2}}, \bar{T} \in G L(n)$, such that $\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{T}}\right) \leq 1$. Then we have

$$
J\left(\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\bar{T}}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{n}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\bar{T}}\right) \geq c_{n} .
$$

Since $\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}$ is solution of $\bar{S}_{p}$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\bar{T}}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{T}}\right)=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f,\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\bar{T}}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{n}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\bar{T}}\right) \geq \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)
$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have $J\left(\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)=c_{n}$. Hence the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{J\left(\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \geq \frac{J\left(\gamma_{\bar{T}}\right)}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{T}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} .
$$

By formula (3.4) again, it means

$$
J\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)^{p}} \cdot p \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right) \geq J\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{T}}\right)^{p}} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\bar{T}}\right) \geq J\left(\gamma_{\bar{T}}\right)
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \frac{1}{\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)^{p}} \cdot p \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)=1
$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$. Then there exist a solution, $\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}$, satisfies the Optimization Problem $\bar{S}_{p}$.

Proof. By the definition of the optimization problem $\bar{S}_{p}$, let $\phi \in G L(n)$, if $\gamma_{\phi}$ is Gaussian function subject to $J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)=c_{n}$, then we have $\phi \in S L(n)$. The existence of the solution of the Problem $\bar{S}_{p}$ is equivalent to find the $\phi_{0} \in S L(n)$ which solves the following. Let $\phi \in S L(n)$, choose $\epsilon_{0}>0$ sufficiently small so that for all $\epsilon \in\left(-\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{0}\right), I+\epsilon \phi$ is invertible. For $\epsilon \in\left(-\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{0}\right)$ define $\phi_{\epsilon} \in S L(n)$ by

$$
\phi_{\epsilon}=\frac{I+\epsilon \phi}{\operatorname{det}(I+\epsilon \phi)^{\frac{1}{n}}},
$$

here $I$ is the identity matrix and $\left|\operatorname{det} \phi_{\epsilon}\right|=1$. Then find $\phi_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is equivalent to

$$
\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{d}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}\left(\frac{\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}^{-t} x\right\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x)=0
$$

Since the norm of the vector and $t^{p}$ are continuous functions, it grants there exists a solution of the above equation. So there exists a $\phi \in S L(n)$ such that $\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)$.

We say that the $\gamma_{\phi}$ is the solution of the $L_{p}$ functional optimization problem $S_{p}$, and we rewrite it as the $\gamma_{f}$. The following Corollary are obviously.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}, \gamma_{f}$ be the solution of the optimization problem $S_{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{f}\right)=1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, it guarantees that there exists a unique solution for the optimization problem $\bar{S}_{p}$.
Theorem 3.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$. Then problem $\bar{S}_{p}$ has a unique solution. Moreover a Gaussian function $\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}$ solves $\bar{S}_{p}$ if and only if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right) h_{\gamma \frac{o}{\phi}}(y)=\frac{n}{4 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2} h_{\gamma \bar{\alpha}}^{p-1}(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
In order to prove Theorem 3.5, we need the following Lemma.
Lemma 3.6. Let $f=e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$ and $\bar{\phi} \in G L(n)$. If the Gaussian function $\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}$ solves the optimization functional problem $\bar{S}_{p}$, then

$$
\delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right) h_{\gamma_{\frac{o}{\phi}}}(y)=\frac{n}{4 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2} h_{\gamma_{\bar{\circ}}}^{p-1}(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x) .
$$

Proof. By the $S L(n)$ invariance of the $\delta J_{p}(f, g)$, we may assume that $\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}=\gamma$ is the solution of problem $\bar{S}_{p}$. Let $\phi \in S L(n)$, choose $\epsilon_{0}>0$ sufficiently small so that for all $\epsilon \in\left(-\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{0}\right), I+\epsilon \phi$ is invertible. For $\epsilon \in\left(-\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{0}\right)$ define $\phi_{\epsilon} \in S L(n)$ by

$$
\phi_{\epsilon}=\frac{I+\epsilon \phi}{\operatorname{det}(I+\epsilon \phi)^{\frac{1}{n}}}
$$

here $I$ is the identity matrix and $\left|\operatorname{det} \phi_{\epsilon}\right|=1$. Then

$$
\delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi_{0}}\right) \leq \delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}\right)
$$

for all $\epsilon \in\left(-\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{0}\right)$. That means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}\right)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.3, we have $\gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}^{o}=\phi_{\epsilon}^{-t} \gamma$. Then $h_{\gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}}(x)=$ $h_{\phi_{\epsilon}^{-}}{ }_{\gamma}(x)=h_{\gamma}\left(\phi_{\epsilon} x\right)$. By the definition of $\delta J_{p}(f, g)$, we have

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\gamma \frac{o}{\phi}}^{p}(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x)=0
$$

It is equivalent to the following

$$
\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{d}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}\left(\frac{\left\|\phi_{\epsilon} x\right\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x)=0 .
$$

Or equivalently,

$$
\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{d}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}\left(\operatorname{det}(I+\epsilon \phi)^{-\frac{2 p}{n}}\left(\langle x \cdot x\rangle+2 \epsilon\langle x \cdot \phi x\rangle+\epsilon^{2}\langle\phi x \cdot \phi x\rangle\right)^{p}\right) d \mu_{p}(f, x)=0 .
$$

Since $\left.\frac{d}{d \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \operatorname{det}(I+\epsilon \phi)=\operatorname{trace}(\phi)$, by a simple computation, we have,

$$
\operatorname{trace}(\phi) \delta J_{p}(f, \gamma)=\frac{n}{2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle x, \phi x\rangle\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p-1} d \mu_{p}(f, x) .
$$

Choosing an appropriate $\phi$ for each $i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ gives

$$
\delta_{i, j} \delta J_{p}(f, \gamma)=\frac{n}{2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle x, e_{j}\right\rangle h_{\gamma}^{p-1}(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x)
$$

where $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ is an otrhonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\delta_{i, j}$ is the Koronecker symbols. Which in turn gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|^{2} \delta J_{p}(f, \gamma)=\frac{n}{2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2} h_{\gamma}^{p-1}(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

That means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta J_{p}(f, \gamma) h_{\gamma}(y)=\frac{n}{4 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2} h_{\gamma}^{p-1}(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have

$$
\delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right) h_{\gamma_{\bar{o}}}(y)=\frac{n}{4 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2} h_{\gamma \frac{\rho}{\phi}}^{p-1}(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x) .
$$

We complete the proof.

Now we prove Theorem 3.5.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Lemma 3.6 grants that if $\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}$ is and $\bar{S}_{p}$ solution of $f$, then the above formula holds.

Covnersely, without loss of generality, we may prove that (3.7) holds when $\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}=\gamma$, that is $\bar{\phi}=I$. Then for any $\phi_{1} \in G L(n)$, we shall prove that if $J\left(\gamma_{\phi_{1}}\right)=c_{n}$ for some $\phi_{1} \in G L(n)$,

$$
\delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi_{1}}\right) \geq \delta J_{p}(f, \gamma)
$$

with equality if and only if $\gamma_{\phi_{1}}=\gamma$. Equivalently, we shall prove that if $\phi_{1}$ is a positive definite symmetric matric with $\left|\phi_{1}\right|=1$, and note that $\gamma_{\phi_{1}}=e^{-\frac{\left\|\phi_{1} x\right\|}{2}}$ then

$$
\frac{1}{p \delta J_{p}(f, \gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\gamma_{\phi_{1}}}^{p}(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x) \geq 1,
$$

or equivanently,

$$
\frac{1}{p \delta J_{p}(f, \gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{\left\|\phi_{1}^{-t} x\right\|^{2}}{\|x\|^{2}}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x) \geq 1
$$

with equality if and only $\frac{\left\|\phi_{1}^{-t} x\right\|}{\|x\|}=1$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Write $\phi_{1}^{-t}=O T O^{t}$, where $T=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ and $O$ is an orthogonal matrix. To establish our inequality we need to show that if $\phi_{1}$ is a positive definite symmetric matrix with $\operatorname{det} \phi_{1}=1$, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \left(\frac{\left\|\phi_{1}^{-t} x\right\|}{\|x\|}\right)\left(\frac{\|x\|}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x) \geq 0 .
$$

On the other hand, since $\nabla(\phi u)=\phi^{t} \nabla_{\phi x} u$ for each $\phi \in G L(n)$, and $(\phi u)^{*}=$ $\phi^{-t} u^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} & \log \left(\frac{\|T x\|^{2}}{\|x\|^{2}}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(O f, x) \\
& =2 p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \frac{\left\|T O^{t} \nabla_{O x} u\right\|}{\left\|O^{t} \nabla_{O x} u\right\|}\left(\frac{\left\|O^{t} \nabla_{O x} u\right\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p}\left(u^{*}\left(O^{-t} O^{t} \nabla_{O x} u\right)\right)^{1-p} e^{-O u} d x \\
& =2 p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \frac{\left\|T O^{t} \nabla u\right\|}{\|\nabla u\|}\left(\frac{\|\nabla u\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p}\left(u^{*}(\nabla u)\right)^{1-p} e^{-u} d x  \tag{3.11}\\
& =2 p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \frac{\left\|T O^{t} x\right\|}{\|x\|}\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \left(\frac{\left\|\phi_{1}^{-t} x\right\|^{2}}{\|x\|^{2}}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x) \\
&=2 p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \frac{\left\|T O^{t} x\right\|}{\|x\|}\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x) \\
&=2 p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \left(\frac{\|T x\|}{\|x\|}\right)\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(O f, x) \\
& \quad=p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \lambda_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(O f, x)  \tag{3.12}\\
& \quad \geq p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \log \lambda_{i}^{2}\right]\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(O f, x) \\
& \quad=2 p \delta J_{p}(O f, \gamma) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \lambda_{i}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Where $x_{i}=\left\langle\frac{x}{\|x\|} \cdot e_{i}\right\rangle$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\frac{1}{p \delta J_{p}(f, \gamma)}\right.} & \left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\gamma_{\phi_{1}}}^{p}(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \geq \exp \left[\frac{2}{\delta J_{p}(f, \gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log \frac{\left\|\phi_{1}^{-t} x\right\|}{\|x\|}\left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

The first inequality in (3.13) is a consequence of the Jensen's inequality, with equality holds if and only if there exist a constant $c>0$ such that $\frac{\left\|\phi_{1}^{-t} x\right\|}{\|x\|}=c$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Moreover, note that from the strict concave of the log-concave function that equality in (3.12) is possible only if $x_{1} \cdots x_{n} \neq 0$ which implies $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n}$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Thus $\|T x\|=\lambda_{i}$ when $x_{i} \neq 0$. Now equality in (3.13) would force $\frac{\left\|\phi_{1}^{-t} x\right\|}{\|x\|}=c$ or equivalently $\left\|T^{-t} x\right\|=c$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, so that $\lambda_{i}=c$ for all $i$. This together with the fact $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=1$ shows that equality in (3.13) would imply $T=I$ and hence $\phi_{1}=I$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$. Then problem $S_{p}$ has a unique solution. Moreover a Gaussian function $\gamma_{\phi}$ solves $S_{p}$ if and only if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right) h_{\gamma}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \phi^{-t} x\right)(y)=\frac{n}{4 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2} h_{\gamma}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \phi^{-t} y\right)^{p-1} d \mu_{p}(f, x) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Proof. By computation shows $\alpha^{p} \cdot{ }_{p} e^{-v}=e^{-\alpha v\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)}$, then $\alpha^{p} \cdot{ }_{p} \gamma_{\phi}=\gamma_{\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\alpha}}}$. So we obtain $h_{\left(\alpha^{p}{ }_{p} \gamma_{\phi}\right)^{o}}=h_{\gamma}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \phi^{-t} x\right)$.

Together with Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.5 we have

$$
\left(\frac{c_{n}}{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \delta J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right) h_{\gamma}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \phi^{-t} y\right)=\frac{n}{4 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2} h_{\gamma}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \phi^{-t} y\right)^{p-1} d \mu_{p}(f, x)
$$

Now we define $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid for log-concave functions.
Definition 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$ be $\log$-concave function, the unique Gaussian function that solves the constrained maximization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max J(\phi \gamma) \quad \text { subject to } \quad \bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, \phi \gamma) \leq 1 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid of $f$ and denoted by $E_{p} f$. The unique Gaussian function that solves the constrained minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, \phi \gamma) \quad \text { subject to } \quad \frac{J(\phi \gamma)}{c_{n}}=1 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the normalized $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid of $f$ and denoted by $\bar{E}_{p} f$.
Specially, if we take $f=e^{-\frac{\|x\|_{K}^{2}}{2}}$ for $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, since the Gaussian function $\gamma_{\phi}$ can be viewed as $\gamma_{\phi}=e^{-\frac{\|x\|_{E}^{2}}{2}}$, where $E$ is the origin-centered ellipsoid. Then Definition 3.1 deduce the definition of $L_{p}$ John ellipsoid defined in [46].

Since $\bar{\delta} J_{p}(\phi f, g)=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \phi^{-1} g\right)$ for $\phi \in G L(n)$, then we have the following result.

Proposition 3.8. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}(T f)=T\left(E_{p} f\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the definition of problem $S_{p}$, set $E_{p} f=\gamma_{\phi}$, since $\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)=1$. By the Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(T f, T \gamma_{\phi}\right)=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, T^{-1} T \gamma_{\phi}\right)=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right)=1 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the uniqueness of the problem $S_{p}$, we have $E_{p}(T f)=T\left(E_{p} f\right)$. So we complete the proof.

If we take $f=\gamma$, then we have $E_{p} \gamma=\gamma$. Moreover by Proposition 3.8, we have the following.
Corollary 3.9. Let $\gamma_{\phi}=e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^{2}}{2}}$, for $\phi \in G L(n)$, then

$$
E_{p}\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)=\gamma_{\phi} .
$$

## 4. Continuity

In this section, we will show that the family of $L_{p}$ John ellipsoids for logconcave functions is continuous in $p \in[1, \infty)$. First let $f=e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, note that if $\bar{E}_{p} f$ is a solution of problem $\bar{S}_{p}$, then there exist a $\bar{\phi} \in S L(n)$ such that $\bar{E}_{p} f=\gamma_{\bar{\phi}}=e^{-\frac{\|\bar{\phi} x\|^{2}}{2}}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, such that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{i}=f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \bar{E}_{p} f_{i}=\bar{E}_{p} f \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following Theorem.
First we prove that $d \mu_{p}\left(f_{i}, x\right) \rightarrow d \mu_{p}(f, x)$
Lemma 4.2. Let $f=e^{-u}, f_{i}=e^{-u_{i}} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, if $f_{i} \rightarrow f$, then $d \mu_{p}\left(f_{i}, x\right) \rightarrow d \mu_{p}(f, x)$.
Proof. We only need to prove that, for any function $g \in L^{1}\left(\mu_{p}(f, \cdot)\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(x) d \mu_{p}\left(f_{i}, x\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(x) d \mu_{p}(f, x) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $a=\max \left\{|g(x)|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}, b=\max \left\{|f(x)|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\} b_{i}=\max \left\{\left|f_{i}(x)\right|:\right.$ $\left.x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}, c_{i}=\max \left\{\left|h_{f_{i}}(x)\right|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}, c=\max \left\{\left|h_{f}(x)\right|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$. Note that $f$ and $f_{i} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$ are integrable, and $h_{f_{i}} \rightarrow h_{f}$ whenever $f_{i} \rightarrow f$, then there exist an $N_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left|f_{i}-f\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2 a c_{i}^{p}}$ for $i \geq N_{1}$, and $N_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left|h_{f_{i}}-h_{f}\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2 a b \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c^{j} c_{i}^{p-1-j}}$ for $i \geq N_{2}$. Since $d \mu_{p}(f, x)=h_{f}^{1-p} f d x$, so we can choose $i \geq \max \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g d \mu_{p}\left(f_{i}, x\right) & -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g d \mu_{p}(f, x)\left|\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\right| g h_{f_{i}}^{p} f_{i}-g h_{f}^{p} f \mid d x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|g h_{f_{i}}^{p}\right|\left|f_{i}-f\right| d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|g f|\left|h_{f_{i}}^{p}-h_{f}^{p}\right| d x \\
& \leq \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

So we complete the proof.
Theorem 4.3. Suppose $f=e^{-u}, f_{i}=e^{-u_{i}}, g=r^{-v}, g_{j}=e^{-v_{j}} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f_{i} \rightarrow f, g_{i} \rightarrow g$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty} \delta J_{p}\left(f_{i}, g_{j}\right)=\delta J_{p}(f, g) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f_{i} \rightarrow f, g_{i} \rightarrow g$, by the Definition of $\delta J_{p}(f, g)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d \mu_{p}\left(f_{i}, x\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g}^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x)\right|  \tag{4.4}\\
& \leq\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d \mu_{p}\left(f_{i}, x\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x)\right|+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|h_{g_{i}}^{p}-h_{g}^{p}\right| d \mu_{p}(f, x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $h_{g}$ and $h_{g_{i}}$ are bounded, set $c_{i}=\max \left\{\left|g_{i}(x)\right|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$ and $c_{0}=$ $\max \left\{|g(x)|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$, then $c_{i}, c_{0}$ are bounded. Let $c=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} c_{i}^{j} c_{0}^{p-1-j}$ and $\left|h_{g_{i}}-h_{g}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2 c}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|h_{g_{i}}^{p}-h_{g}^{p}\right| d \mu_{p}(f, x) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.2, we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d \mu_{p}\left(f_{i}, x\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x)\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So together with formulas (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) we can choose unified $N$ such that

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d \mu_{p}\left(f_{i}, x\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g}^{p} d \mu_{p}(f, x)\right| \leq \epsilon
$$

We complete the proof.
Now we give a proof of Theorem 4.1
Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Theorem 4.3, and $J\left(f_{i}^{\diamond}\right) \rightarrow J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)$ when $i \rightarrow \infty$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f_{i}, \bar{E}_{p} f_{i}\right) & =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \min _{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)=c_{n}} \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f_{i}, \gamma_{\phi}\right) \\
& =\min _{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)=c_{n}} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f_{i}, \gamma_{\phi}\right) \\
& =\min _{J\left(\gamma_{\phi}\right)=c_{n}} \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\phi}\right) \\
& =\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \bar{E}_{p} f\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We complete the proof.
Note that $\bar{E}_{p} f$ is bounded for $p \in[0, \infty]$. Thus in order to establish the continuity of $\bar{E}_{p} f$ in $p \in[0, \infty]$, $(2.24)$ shows that the $\bar{\delta} J_{p}(K, \cdot)$ is continuity of $p \in[1, \infty]$.
Lemma 4.4. If $p_{0} \in[1, \infty]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p \rightarrow p_{0}} \bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right)=\bar{\delta} J_{p_{0}}\left(f, \gamma_{\bar{\phi}}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\bar{\phi} \in S L(n)$.
Theorem 4.5. Let $f=e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, and $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty, E_{p} f$ be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(E_{\infty} f\right) \leq J\left(E_{q} f\right) \leq J\left(E_{p} f\right) \leq J\left(E_{1} f\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The definition of $\bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, g)$, together with Jensenn's inequality, for $1 \leq p \leq$ $q<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta} J_{p}(f, \gamma) & =\left[\frac{1}{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{h_{\gamma}}{h_{f}}\right)^{p} h_{f} d \mu(f, x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq\left[\frac{1}{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{h_{\gamma}}{h_{f}}\right)^{q} h_{f} d \mu(f, x)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\bar{\delta} J_{q}(f, \gamma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Definition 3.1, we have

$$
E_{q} f=\max \left\{E^{\prime} f: \bar{\delta} J_{q}(f, E f) \leq 1\right\} \leq \max \left\{E^{\prime} f: \bar{\delta} J_{q}(f, E f) \leq 1\right\}=E_{p} f
$$

This implies $J\left(E_{q} f\right) \leq J\left(E_{p} f\right)$. For $p \rightarrow \infty$, by the definition of (2.22), and the continuity of $p \in[1, \infty]$, we have $E_{\infty} f=\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} E_{p} f$, we complete the proof.

Theorem 4.6. Let $f=e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, such that $J(f)>0$. Let $1 \leq p<\infty, E_{p} f$ be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(E_{p} f\right) \leq J(f) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the definition 3.1, we have

$$
1=\bar{\delta} J_{p}\left(f, E_{p} f\right)^{p}=\frac{p \cdot \delta J_{p}\left(f, E_{p} f\right)}{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)} .
$$

The $L_{p}$ Minkowski inequality for log-concave functions (see [26]) says that

$$
\frac{J\left(f^{\diamond}\right)}{p} \geq \delta J_{p}(f, f)+J(f) \log \frac{J\left(E_{p} f\right)}{J(f)}
$$

This means that

$$
J(f) \log \frac{J\left(E_{p} f\right)}{J(f)} \leq 0
$$

Sine $J(f)>0$, then $\log \frac{J\left(E_{p} f\right)}{J(f)} \leq 0$. That means

$$
J\left(E_{p} f\right) \leq J(f)
$$

The functional Blaschke-Santaló inequality, proved for even functions in [11], and given in full generality in [6] says that for log-concave function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, the following inequality holds

$$
P(f) \leq P(\gamma)
$$

that is

$$
J(f) J\left(f^{o}\right) \leq J(\gamma)^{2}=(2 \pi)^{n}
$$

So combine with the Theorem 4.6, we have the following Theorem.
Theorem 4.7. Let $f=e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, such that $J(f)>0$. Let $1 \leq p<\infty, E_{p} f$ be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(E_{p} f\right) J\left(E_{p} f^{o}\right) \leq c_{n}^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n}=(2 \pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}$.
In the following, denoting by $\triangle_{n}$ and $B_{\infty}^{n}$ the regular simplex centered at the origin and the unit cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. To establish the $L_{p}$ Ball's ratio inequality for log-concave function, we need the following results, more details see [5].
Theorem 4.8 ([5]). Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, Ef be the functional John ellipsoid of $f$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J(f)}{J(E f)} \leq \frac{J\left(g_{c}\right)}{J\left(E g_{c}\right)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{c}(x)=e^{-\|x\| \Delta_{n}-c}$ for any $c \in \triangle_{n}$. Furthermore, there is equality if and only if $\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\infty}}=T g_{c}$ for some affine map $T$ and some $c \in \triangle_{n}$. If we assume $f$ to be even, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J(f)}{J(E f)} \leq \frac{J(g)}{J(E g)} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(x)=e^{-\|x\|_{B \infty}^{n}}$, with equality if and only if $\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\infty}}=$ Tg for some linear map $T \in G L(n)$.

Moreover, by compute the right hand of the above formulas, it gives
Lemma 4.9 ( [5]). Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, Ef be the functional John ellipsoid of $f$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J(f)}{J(E f)} \leq \frac{e}{n}(n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\left|\triangle_{n}\right|}{\left|E \triangle_{n}\right|} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume $f$ to be even, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \cdot \operatorname{rat}(f) \leq \frac{e}{n}(n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\left|B_{\infty}^{n}\right|}{\left|E_{B_{\infty}^{n}}\right|} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we give the Ball's volume ration inequality for log-concave function.
Theorem 4.10. Let $f=e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$, such that $J(f)>0$. Let $1 \leq p<\infty, E_{p} f$ be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J(f)}{J\left(E_{p} f\right)} \leq \frac{n^{\frac{n-2}{n}}(n+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} e}{(n!)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \omega_{n}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is even then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J(f)}{J\left(E_{p} f\right)} \leq \frac{e}{n}(n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{2^{n}}{\omega_{n}} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of ball $B^{n}$.
Proof. By Theorem 4.5, and the fact $E_{\infty} f=E f$, then we have

$$
\frac{J(f)}{J\left(E_{p} f\right)} \leq \frac{J(f)}{J(E f)} \leq \frac{e}{n}(n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\left|\triangle_{n}\right|}{\left|J \triangle_{n}\right|}
$$

On the other hand, note that the volume of $\triangle_{n}$ is given by $\left|\triangle_{n}\right|=\frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{2^{\frac{n}{n}} n!}$, and the inradius of $\triangle_{n}$ is given by $r_{\triangle_{n}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 n(n+1)}}$. Then by a simple computation gives

$$
\frac{J(f)}{J\left(E_{p} f\right)} \leq \frac{e}{n}(n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\left|\triangle_{n}\right|}{\left|J \triangle_{n}\right|}=\frac{n^{\frac{n-2}{n}}(n+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} e}{(n!)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \omega_{n}}
$$

If $f$ is even, then

$$
\frac{J(f)}{J\left(E_{p} f\right)} \leq \frac{e}{n}(n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\left|B_{\infty}^{n}\right|}{\left|J B_{\infty}^{n}\right|}=\frac{e}{n}(n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{2^{n}}{\omega_{n}}
$$

So we complete the proof.
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