## $L_p$ JOHN ELLIPSOIDS FOR LOG-CONCAVE FUNCTIONS

FANGWEI CHEN<sup>1</sup>, JIANBO FANG<sup>1</sup>, MIAO LUO<sup>2</sup>, CONGLI YANG<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to develop the  $L_p$  John ellipsoid for the geometry of log-concave functions. Using the results of the  $L_p$  Minkowski theory for log-concave function established in [26], we characterize the  $L_p$  John ellipsoid for log-concave function, and establish some inequalities of the  $L_p$ John ellipsoid for log-concave function. Finally, the analog of Ball's volume ratio inequality for the  $L_p$  John ellipsoid of log-concave function is established.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , among all ellipsoids contained in K, there exists a unique ellipsoid JK with the maximum volume, this ellipsoid is called the John's ellipsoid of K. It plays an important role in convex geometry and Banach space geometry (see, e.g., [12–14, 32, 34, 38, 39, 48]). One of the most important results concerning the John ellipsoid is the Ball's volume-ratio inequality, which states that: if K is an origin symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\frac{V(K)}{V(JK)} \le \frac{2^n}{\omega_n},$$

with equality if and only K is a parallelotope. Here V(K) denotes the *n*-dimensional volume and  $\omega_n = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}$  denotes the volume of a unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In 1990's, the  $L_p$  Brunn-Minkowski theory was firstly initiated by Lutwak (see [43, 44]), during the last two decades, it has achieved great development and expanded rapidly (see, e.g., [21, 28, 36, 37, 42, 45, 47, 54–58]). The  $L_p$  extension of the John ellipsoid is given by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [46].

Given a smooth convex body  $K \in \mathbb{R}^n$  that contains the origin in its interior. Let  $f_p(K, \cdot)$  be the  $L_p$  curvature function of K, p > 0, find

$$\min_{\phi \in SL(n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f_p(\phi K, \cdot) dS(u), \qquad (1.1)$$

where  $S^{n-1}$  denotes the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The minimum is actually attained at some  $\phi_p \in SL(n)$ , and defines an ellipsoid  $E_pK$ , which  $\phi_p$  maps it into the unit ball B, that is,  $\phi_p E_p K = B$ . The ellipsoid is unique and is called the volume-normalized  $L_p$  John ellipsoid of K. The equivalent ways to state the

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 52A20, 52A40, 52A38.

Key words and phrases. Log-concave functions; Minkowski's first inequality;  $L_p$  John ellipsoid; Ball's volume ratio.

The work is supported in part by CNSF (Grant No. 11561012, 11861004, 11861024), Guizhou Foundation for Science and Technology (Grant No. [2019] 1055, [2019]1228), Science and technology top talent support program of Guizhou Eduction Department (Grant No. [2017]069).

above problem is given by the following two Optimization Problems [46]: Given a convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that contains the origin in it interior, find an ellipsoid E, amongst all origin-centered ellipsoids, which solves the following constrained maximization problem:

$$\max\left(\frac{V(E)}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \overline{V}_p(K, E) \le 1.$$
(1.2)

A maximal ellipsoid will be called an  $S_p$  solution for K. The dual problem is to find E such that

min 
$$\overline{V}_p(K, E)$$
 subject to  $\left(\frac{V(E)}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \ge 1.$  (1.3)

A minimal ellipsoid will be called an  $\overline{S}_p$  solution for K. Where

$$\overline{V}_p(K,E) = \left[ \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_E}{h_K} \right)^p d\overline{V}_K \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > 0.$$

is the normalized  $L_p$  mixed volume of K and E. More details about the solution of the two problems  $S_p$ ,  $\overline{S}_p$  and related inequalities see [46]. The Orlicz extension of the John ellipsoid is done by Zou and Xiong [59]. Recently, the study of the geometry of log-concave functions in the field of convex geometry has emerged, with a quite natural idea is to replace the volume of a convex body by the integral of a log-concave function. To establish functional version of the problems from the convex geometric analysis of convex body has attracted a lot of authors interest (see, e.g., [1-4, 7, 16-20, 22, 24, 35, 41, 52]). Also an extension of the John ellipsoids to the case of log-concave functions has attracted a lot of authors interest, for example, in [5], the authors extend the notion of John's ellipsoid to the setting of integrable log-concave functions and obtain integral ratio of a log-concave function and establish the reverse functional affine isoperimetric inequality. The extension of the LYZ ellipsoid to the log-concave functions is done by Fang and Zhou [27]. The Löwner ellipsoid function for log-concave function is invested by Li, Schütt and Werner [40]. Extensive research has been devoted to extend the concepts and inequalities from convex bodies to the setting of log-concave functions (see, e.g., [25, 29]). In fact, it was observed that the Prékopa-Leindler inequality is the functional analog of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality (see e.g., [15, 30, 49]) for convex bodies. Much progress has been made see [6, 8, 10, 23].

Let f be a log-concave functions of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f = e^{-u}$$

where  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is a convex function. We always consider in this paper that a log-concave function f is integrable and such that f is nondegenerate, i.e., the interior of the support of f is non-empty,  $int(suppf) \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f dx < \infty$ .

Let  $f = e^{-u}$ ,  $g = e^{-v}$  be log-concave functions, for any real  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , the Asplund sum and scalar multiplication of two log-concave functions are defined as,

$$\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g := e^{-w}, \quad \text{where } w^* = \alpha u^* + \beta v^*.$$
 (1.4)

Here  $w^*$  denotes as usual the Fenchel conjugate of the convex function  $\omega$ . Correspond to the volume V(K) of a convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the total mass J(f) of a log-concave function f in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is firstly considered in [24]. The functional counterpart of Minkowski's first inequality and related isoperimetric inequalities are established. The  $L_p$  extension of the Asplund sum and scalar multiplication of two log-concave functions are discussed in [26], the functional  $L_p$  Minkowski's first inequality and the functional  $L_p$  Minkowski problem also been discussed.

Our main goals in this paper are to discuss the functional  $L_p$  John ellipsoid, based on the  $L_p$  Asplund sum and  $L_p$  scalar mutiplication of two log-concave functions. Owing to the functional  $L_p$  Minkowski's first variation of f and g, we focus on the following:

**Problem**  $S_p$ . Given a log-concave function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , find a Gaussian function  $\gamma_{\phi}$  which solves the following constrained maximization problem:

$$\max\left(\frac{J(\gamma_{\phi})}{c_n}\right) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) \le 1. \tag{1.5}$$

Where  $c_n = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}$  and  $\phi \in GL(n)$ ,  $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^2}{2}}$  is the Gaussian function.  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})$  is the normalized first variation of the total mass J(f) with respect to the  $L_p$  Asplund sum.

In section 3, we prove that there exists a unique Gaussian function which solves the Problem  $S_p$ . The unique Gaussian function which solves the problem  $S_p$  is called the  $L_p$  John ellipsoid for the log-concave function f, and denoted by  $E_p f$ . Moreover, we characterize a Gaussian function which is the solution of the problem  $S_p$ .

In section 4, we focus on the continuity of the  $L_p$  John ellipsoid, we prove that the  $L_p$  John ellipsoid  $E_p f$  is continuous with respect to f and p. By the  $L_p$  Minkowski's first inequality for log-concave function, we prove that the total mass of the  $L_p$  John ellipsoid  $E_p f$  is no more than the total mass of f. In the end of this section, we show the similar Ball's volume ration inequality is also holds for log-concave function.

### 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Convex bodies. In this paper, we work in *n*-dimensional Euclidean space,  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , endowed with the usual scalar product  $\langle x, y \rangle$  and norm ||x||. Let  $B^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x|| \leq 1\}$  denote the standard unit ball and  $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x|| = 1\}$ denote the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}^n$  denote the class of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{K}^n_o$  be the subclass of convex bodies K whose relative interior int(K) is nonempty. For  $i \leq n$ , let  $\mathcal{H}^i$  be the *i*-dimensional Hausdorff measure, we indicate by  $V(K) = \mathcal{H}^n(K)$  the *n*-dimensional volume.

Let  $h_K(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be the support function of K; i.e., for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$h_K(x) = \max\left\{ \langle x, y \rangle : y \in K \right\}.$$

Let  $n_K(x)$  be the unit outer normal at  $x \in \partial K$ , then  $h_K(n_K(x)) = \langle n_K(x), x \rangle$ . It is shown that the sublinear support function characterizes a convex body and, conversely, every sublinear function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the support function of a nonempty compact convex set. By the definition of the support function, if  $\phi \in GL(n)$ , then the support function of the image  $\phi K := \{\phi y : y \in K\}$  is given by

$$h_{\phi K}(x) = h_K(\phi^t x),$$

where  $\phi^t$  denotes the transpose of  $\phi$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$  be a convex body that contains the origin in its interior, the polar body  $K^\circ$  is defined by

$$K^{\circ} = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, x \rangle \le 1, \text{ for all } x \in K \right\}.$$

Obviously, for  $\phi \in GL(n)$ , then  $(\phi K)^{\circ} = \phi^{-t} K^{\circ}$ . The gauge function  $\|\cdot\|_{K}$  is defined by

$$||x||_{K} = \min\left\{a \ge 0 : x \in \alpha K\right\} = \max_{y \in K^{\circ}} \langle x, y \rangle = h_{K^{\circ}}(x).$$

It is clear that

$$||x||_K = 1$$
 whenever  $x \in \partial K$ .

Recall that the  $L_p$   $(p \ge 1)$  Minkowski combination of convex bodies K and L is defined as

$$h_{K+_p\epsilon \cdot L}(x)^p = h_K(x)^p + \epsilon h_L(x)^p.$$
(2.1)

One of the most important inequality related to the  $L_p$  Brunn-Minkowski combination of convex bodies K and L is

$$V(K +_p L)^{\frac{p}{n}} \ge V(K)^{\frac{p}{n}} + V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}},$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilation of each other. The  $L_p$  surface area measure of K is defined by

$$dS_p(K,\cdot) = h_K^{1-p} dS(K,\cdot), \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $dS(K, \cdot)$  is the classical surface area measure, which is given by

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{V(K + \epsilon L) - V(K)}{\epsilon} = \int_{S^{n-1}} h_Q(u) dS(K, u) dS(K, u$$

It is easy to say that for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $S_p(\lambda K, \cdot) = \lambda^{n-p} S_p(K, \cdot)$ . If  $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ , then K has a curvature function, then  $f_p(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ , the  $L_p$ -curvature function of K, is defined by

$$f_p(K, \cdot) = h_K^{1-p} f(K, \cdot),$$

where  $f(K, \cdot)$  is the curvature function,  $f(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  defined as the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$f(K,\cdot) = \frac{dS(K,\cdot)}{dS},$$

and dS is the standard Lebesgue measure on  $S^{n-1}$ .

For quick reference about the definition and notations in convex geometry, good references are Gardner [31], Gruber [33], Schneider [53].

2.2. Functional setting. In the following, we discuss in the functional setting in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a convex function, that is  $u((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)u(x) + tu(y)$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ . We set  $dom(u) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , by the convexity of u, dom(u) is a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that u is proper if  $dom(u) \neq \emptyset$ , and u is of class  $\mathcal{C}^2_+$  if it is twice differentiable on int(dom(u)), with a positive definite Hessian matrix. Recall that the Fenchel conjugate of u is the convex function defined by

$$u^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle - u(x) \right\}.$$
(2.3)

It is obvious that  $u(x) + u^*(y) \ge \langle x, y \rangle$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there is an equality if and only if  $x \in dom(u)$  and y is in the subdifferential of u at x, that means

$$u^*(\nabla u(x)) + u(x) = \langle x, \nabla u(x) \rangle.$$
(2.4)

The convex function  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is lower semi-continuous, if the subset  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) > t\}$  is an open set for any  $t \in (-\infty, +\infty]$ . Moreover, if u is a lower semi-continuous convex function, then also  $u^*$  is a lower semi-continuous convex function, and  $u^{**} = u$ .

The infimal convolution of functions u and v from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is defined by

$$u \Box v(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ u(x - y) + v(y) \}.$$
 (2.5)

The right scalar multiplication by a nonnegative real number  $\alpha$ :

$$(u\alpha)(x) := \begin{cases} \alpha u(\frac{x}{\alpha}), & if \ \alpha > 0; \\ I_{\{0\}}, & if \ \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

The following results below gather some elementary properties of u, the Fenchel conjugate and the infimal convolution, which can be found in [24, 50].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , then there exist constants a and b, with a > 0, such that, for  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$u(x) \ge a \|x\| + b. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover  $u^*$  is proper, and satisfies  $u^*(y) > -\infty$ ,  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a convex function. Then:

- (1)  $(u\Box v)^* = u^* + v^*;$
- (2)  $(u\alpha)^*(x) = \alpha u^*(\frac{x}{\alpha}), \quad \alpha > 0;$
- (3)  $dom(u\Box v) = dom(u) + dom(v);$

(4) it holds  $u^*(0) = -\inf(u)$ , in particular if u is proper, then  $u^*(y) > -\infty$ ;  $\inf(u) > -\infty$  implies  $u^*$  is proper.

A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is called log-concave if for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and 0 < t < 1, we have

$$f((1-t)x + ty) \ge f^{1-t}(x)f^t(y).$$

If f is a strictly positive log-concave function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then there exist a convex function  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such that  $f = e^{-u}$ . Following the notations in paper [24], let

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{+\infty\} | \text{ proper, convex, } \lim_{|x| \to +\infty} u(x) = +\infty \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} | f = e^{-u}, u \in \mathcal{L} \right\}.$$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be a log-concave, according to a series of papers by Artstein-Avidan and Milman [9], Rotem [51], the support function of  $f = e^{-u}$  is defined as,

$$h_f(x) = (-\log f(x))^* = u^*(x).$$
 (2.8)

Here the  $u^*$  is the Fenchel conjugate of u. The definition of  $h_f$  is a proper generalization of the support function  $h_K$ , in fact, one can easily checks  $h_{\chi_K} = h_K$ . Obviously, the support function  $h_f$  shares the most of the important properties of  $h_K$ .

The polar function of  $f = e^{-u}$  is defined by  $f^{\circ} = e^{-u^*}$ . Specifically,

$$f^{\circ}(y) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{e^{-\langle x, y \rangle}}{f(x)} \right\},$$

it follows that,  $f^{\circ}$  is also a log-concave function.

Let  $\phi \in GL(n)$ , we always write  $f \circ \phi(x) = \phi f(x) = f(\phi x)$ . The following proposition shows that  $h_f$  is GL(n) covariant which is proved in [27].

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $f \in A$ . For  $\phi \in GL(n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$h_{\phi f}(x) = h_f(\phi^{-t}x).$$

Moreover, for the polar function of f,

$$(\phi f)^{\circ} = \phi^{-t} f^{\circ}.$$

The class of log-concave functions  $\mathcal{A}$  can be endowed with an algebraic structure which extends in a natural way as the usual of the Minkowski's structure on  $\mathcal{K}^n$ . For example, the Asplund sum of two log-concave functions is corresponded to the classical Minkowski sum of two convex bodies. See [24] for more about the Asplund sum and the related inequalities of the total mass of the log-concave function in  $\mathcal{A}$  which correspond to the convex bodies in  $\mathcal{K}^n$ . In very recently, the  $L_p$  Asplund sum of log-concave functions are studied by author Fang, Xing and Ye [26]. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \{ e^{-u} : u \in \mathcal{L}_0 \} \subset \mathcal{A}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \{ u \in \mathcal{L} : u \ge 0, (u^*)^* = u \text{ and } u(o) = 0 \}.$$

Clearly, if  $u \in \mathcal{L}_0$ , then u has its minimum attained at the origin o.

**Definition 2.1** ([26]). Let  $f = e^{-u}$ ,  $g = e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$ . The  $L_p$   $(p \ge 1)$  Asplund sum and multiplication of f and g is defined as

$$\alpha \cdot_p f \oplus_p \beta \cdot_p g = e^{-[(u \cdot_p \alpha) \Box_p (v \cdot_p \beta)]}, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$(u \cdot_p \alpha) \Box_p (v \cdot_p \beta) = \left[ \left( \alpha (u^*)^p + \beta (v^*)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^*.$$

The  $L_p$  Asplund sum is an extension of the Asplund sum on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Specially, when p = 1, it reduces to the Asplund sum of two functions on  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , that is

$$\left(\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g\right)(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} f\left(\frac{x-y}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} g\left(\frac{y}{\beta}\right)^{\beta}.$$
 (2.10)

Moreover, when  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ , we have  $(\alpha \cdot_p f \oplus_p \beta \cdot_p g)(x) = g\left(\frac{x}{\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ ; when

 $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then  $(\alpha \cdot_p f \oplus \beta \cdot_p g)(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ ; finally, when  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , we set  $\left(\alpha \cdot_p f \oplus_p \beta \cdot_p g\right) = I_{\{0\}}$ . We say that the  $L_p$  Asplund sum for log-concave functions is closely related to the  $L_p$  Minkowski sum for convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For examples,  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ , let

$$\chi_K(x) = e^{-I_K(x)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in K; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin K, \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

where  $I_K$  is the indicator function of K, and it is a lower semi-continuous convex function,

$$I_K(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in K; \\ \infty, & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases}$$
(2.12)

The characteristic function  $\chi_K$  is log-concave functions with  $u = I_K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $u^* = h_K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$  if  $0 \in int(K)$ , for  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ , the function

$$((I_K) \cdot_p \alpha) \Box_p ((I_L) \cdot_p \beta) = \left[ (\alpha (I_K^*)^p + \beta (I_L^*)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= \left[ (\alpha h_K^p + \beta h_L^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= I_{\alpha \cdot_p K + p\beta \cdot_p L}.$$

Then  $\alpha \cdot \chi_K \oplus \beta \cdot \chi_L = e^{-[I_K \cdot p \alpha \Box_p I_L \cdot p \beta]} = \chi_{\alpha \cdot p K + p \beta \cdot p L}$ .

The following Proposition assert that the  $L_p$  Asplund sum of log-concave functions is closed in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

**Proposition 2.4** ([26]). Let f and g belong both to the same class  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ . Then  $f \cdot_p \alpha \oplus_p \beta \cdot_p g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .

The total mass function of f is defined as

$$J(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx.$$
(2.13)

Clearly, when  $f = \chi_K$ , J(f) = V(K). Similar to the integral expression of mixed volume V(K, L), for  $f = e^{-u}$  and  $g = e^{-v}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , the quantity  $\delta J(f, g)$ , which is called as the first variation of J at f along g is defined by (see [24])

$$\delta J(f,g) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{J(f \oplus t \cdot g) - J(f)}{t}$$

It has been shown that  $\delta J(f,g)$  has the following integral expression,

$$\delta J(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_g d\mu(f,x), \qquad (2.14)$$

where  $\mu(f, x)$  is the surface area measure of f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and is given by

$$u(f,x) = (\nabla u(x))_{\sharp} f(\mathcal{H}^n), \qquad (2.15)$$

here  $\nabla u$  is the gradient of u in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that means, for any Borel function  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)d\mu(f,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(\nabla u(x))e^{-u(x)}dx.$$
(2.16)

Specially, if take f = g in (2.14), then

$$\delta J(f,f) = nJ(f) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \log f dx = J(nf + f \log f).$$
(2.17)

In the following sections we write  $J(nf + f \log f)$  in terms of  $J(f^{\diamond})$  for simplicity.

The  $L_p$  surface area measure of f, denoted by  $\mu_p(f, \cdot)$  is given in [26].

**Definition 2.2** ([26]). Let  $f = e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_0$  be a log-concave function, the  $L_p$  surface area measure of f, denoted as  $\mu_p(f, \cdot)$ , is the Boreal measure on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} g(y) d\mu_p(f, y) = \int_{\{x \in dom(u): \nabla u(x) \in \Omega\}} g(\nabla u(x)) (h_f(\nabla u(x)))^{1-p} f(x) dx, \quad (2.18)$$

holds for every Borel function g such that  $g \in L^1(\mu_p(f, \cdot))$ .

Similarly, the first variation of the total mass at f along g with respect to the  $L_p$  Asplund sum is defined as,

**Definition 2.3** ([26]). Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . For  $p \ge 1$ , the first variation of the total mass of f along g with respect to the  $L_p$  Asplund sum is defined by

$$\delta J_p(f,g) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{J(f \oplus_p t \cdot_p g) - J(f)}{t}, \qquad (2.19)$$

whenever the limit exists.

The following integral expression of  $\delta J_p(f,g)$  is  $L_p$  extension of (2.14) which is established in [26]. Specially, if take  $f = e^{-I_K(x)}$  and  $g = e^{-I_L(x)}$ , where  $I_K$  and  $I_L$  are the indicator function of K and L. So J(f) = V(K) and J(g) = V(L), then we have  $\delta J(f,g) = V_p(K,L)$ .

**Theorem 2.5** ([26]). Let  $f = e^{-u} \in A_0$  and  $g = e^{-v} \in A_0$ . For  $p \ge 1$ , assume that g is an admissible p-perturbation for f. In addition, suppose that there exists a constant k > 0 such that

$$det(\nabla^2(h_f)^p) \le k(h_f)^{n(p-1)}det(\nabla^2 h_f),$$

holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{o\}$ . Then

$$\delta J_p(f,g) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (h_g)^p d\mu_p(f,x).$$
 (2.20)

Note that the support function of the log-concave function is nondecreasing, it's easy to get that if  $g_1 \leq g_2$ , then

$$\delta J_p(f,g_1) \le \delta J_p(f,g_2).$$

In the following, we normalize the  $\delta J_p(f,g)$ . For  $f = e^{-u}$ ,  $g = e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we define

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) = \left(\frac{p \cdot \delta J_p(f,g)}{J(f^\diamond)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{h_g}{h_f}\right)^p h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$
(2.21)

Note that  $\frac{h_f d\mu(f,x)}{J(f^\circ)}$  is a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $p = \infty$  define

$$\overline{\delta}J_{\infty}(f,g) = \max\left\{\frac{h_g(x)}{h_f(x)} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\right\}.$$
(2.22)

Unless  $\frac{h_g(x)}{h_f(x)}$  is a constant on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , by the Jensen's inequality, it follows that  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) < \overline{\delta}J_q(f,g)$ , for  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . For  $p = \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{p \to \infty} \overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) = \overline{\delta}J_\infty(f,g)$ . Moreover, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose 
$$f = e^{-u}$$
,  $g = e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,  $1 \le p < q < \infty$ . Then  
 $\overline{\delta}J_1(f,g) \le \overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) \le \overline{\delta}J_q(f,g) \le \overline{\delta}J_\infty(f,g)$ . (2.23)

In order to establish the continuity of the  $L_p$  John ellipsoid for log-concave function in section 4, we give the following Lemma of the  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g)$ .

Lemma 2.7. Let  $f = e^{-u}$ ,  $g = e^{-v}$ ,  $g_0 = e^{-v_0} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , then  $\left|\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) - \overline{\delta}J_p(f,g_0)\right| \le \frac{\|h_g - h_{g_0}\|_{\infty}}{\min\{|h_f| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}},$  (2.24)

for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  denotes the  $\infty$  norms.

*Proof.* First suppose that  $p < \infty$ , by (2.21) and the triangle inequality for  $L_p$  norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) - \overline{\delta}J_p(f,g_0)\right| &\leq \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\frac{h_g}{h_f} - \frac{h_{g_0}}{h_f}\right|^p h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|h_f|^p} h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \|h_g - h_{g_0}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{\|h_g - h_{g_0}\|_{\infty}}{\min\{|h_f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}}. \end{aligned}$$

The third inequality we use the fact that  $\frac{h_f d\mu(f,x)}{J(f^\circ)}$  is a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $p \to \infty$ , the continuous of the  $L_p$  norm with p shows that (2.24) holds for  $p = \infty$  as well.

The following Lemma shows some Properties of  $\delta J_p(f,g)$  and its normalizer.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that 
$$f = e^{-u}$$
,  $g = e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , then  
(1)  $\delta J_p(f, f) = \frac{1}{p}J(f^\diamond)$ .  
(2)  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f, f) = 1$ .  
(3)  $\delta J_p(f, \lambda \cdot_p g) = \lambda \delta J_p(f, g)$ , for  $\lambda > 0$ .  
(4)  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f, \lambda \cdot_p g) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}\overline{\delta}J_p(f, g)$ , for  $\lambda > 0$ .  
(5)  $\delta J_p(\phi f, g) = |\det \phi|^{-1}\delta J_p(f, \phi^{-1}g)$ , for all  $\phi \in GL(n)$ .  
(6)  $\overline{\delta}J_p(\phi f, g) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f, \phi^{-1}g)$ , for all  $\phi \in GL(n)$ .

*Proof.* By formula (2.20) and Definition 2.3, it immediately gives (1) and (2).

In order to prove (3), by Definition 2.1, we have  $h_{\lambda \cdot pf} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} h_f$ . So we have  $\delta J_p(f, \lambda \cdot pg) = \lambda \delta J_p(f, g)$ . By (3), it yields (4) directly.

By the integral formula of the first variation (2.20), and note that  $\nabla_x(\phi u) = \phi^t \nabla_{\phi x} u$ , we have

$$\delta J_p(\phi f, g) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_g^p(x) d\mu_p(\phi f, x)$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_g^p(\nabla_x(\phi u)) h_{\phi f}^{1-p}(\nabla_x(\phi u)) e^{-\phi u} dx$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\phi^{-1}g}^p(\nabla_{\phi x} u) h_f^{1-p}(\nabla_{\phi x} u) e^{-u(\phi x)} dx$$
  

$$= |\det \phi^{-1}| \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\phi^{-1}g}^p(\nabla u) h_f^{1-p}(\nabla u) e^{-u} dx$$
  

$$= |\det \phi|^{-1} \delta J_p(f, \phi^{-1}g).$$

On other hand, note that  $J((\phi f)^{\diamond}) = |\det \phi|^{-1}J(f^{\diamond})$  and together with (5), it follows that  $\overline{\delta}J_p(\phi f,g) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\phi^{-1}g)$ . So we complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

The following result will been used in the next section (see [52]).

**Proposition 2.9** ([52]). Let D be a relatively open convex sets, and  $f_1, f_2, \cdots$ , be a sequence of finite convex functions on D. Suppose that the real number  $f_1(x), f_2(x), \cdots$ , is bounded for each  $x \in D$ . It is then possible to selected a subsequence of  $f_1, f_2, \cdots$ , which converges uniformly on closed bounded subset of D to some finite convex function f.

## 3. $L_p$ John Ellipsoid for log-concave functions

Let  $\gamma = e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}}$  be the standard Gaussian function. In the following, we set

$$\gamma_{\phi}(x) = e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^2}{2}},$$

where  $\phi \in GL(n)$ . It is worth noting that the Gaussian function  $\gamma_{\phi}$  plays an important role in the study of the extremal problem of log-concave functions as the ellipsoids do for the study of the extremal problems of convex bodies. In fact, it is the unique function of  $\mathcal{A}$  which is self-dual, that is

$$f = e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}} \Longleftrightarrow f^o = f.$$

Now, Let us consider the following optimization problem.

The  $L_p$  optimization problem  $S_p$   $(p \ge 1)$  for log-concave functions f: Given a log-concave function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , find a Gaussian function  $\gamma_{\phi}$  which solves the following constrained maximization problem:

$$\max\left(\frac{J(\gamma_{\phi})}{c_n}\right) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) \le 1. \tag{3.1}$$

The dual  $L_p$  optimization problem  $\overline{S}_p$   $(p \ge 1)$  for log-concave functions f: Given a log-concave function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , find a Gaussian function  $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$  which solves the following constrained minimization problem:

$$\min \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \frac{J(\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})}{c_n} \ge 1.$$
(3.2)

**Lemma 3.1.** These above optimization problems for log-cocave functional are equivalent to:

(1) The problem  $S_p$  is equivalent to:

$$\max\left(\frac{J(\gamma_{\phi})}{c_n}\right) \quad subject \ to \quad \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) = 1.$$

(2) The dual problem  $\overline{S}_p$  is equivalent to:

$$\min \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}) \qquad subject \ to \quad \frac{J(\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})}{c_n} = 1.$$

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^2}{2}}$  is the solution of the problem  $S_p$ , if  $\frac{J(\gamma_{\phi})}{c_n}$  obtains the maximum, but  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) \neq 1$ , assume that  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) < 1$ , then let

$$\overline{\gamma}_{\phi} = \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi}.$$

Then  $h_{\overline{\gamma}_{\phi}} = \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})} h_{\gamma_{\phi}}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\overline{\gamma}_{\phi}) = \left[\frac{1}{J(f^{\diamond})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^p_{\overline{\gamma}_{\phi}} d\mu_p(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ = \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})} \left[\frac{1}{J(f^{\diamond})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^p_{\gamma_{\phi}} d\mu_p(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ = 1.$$

On the other hand, since  $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^2}{2}}$ , by the Definition 2.1 of the  $L_p$  multiplication, a simple computation shows that, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$J\left(\lambda^{p} \cdot_{p} \gamma_{\phi}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\lambda \frac{\|\phi(x/\lambda)\|^{2}}{2}} dx = \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}} J(\gamma_{\phi}).$$
(3.3)

Note that  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})^{-\frac{n}{2}} > 1$ , then we have

$$J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi}\right) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})^{-\frac{n}{2}}J(\gamma_{\phi}) \ge J(\gamma_{\phi}).$$

This means that  $\gamma_{\phi}$  is not a solution to the problem  $S_p$ , which is contract with our assumption, so we complete the proof of the first one. The similar with the proof of Problem  $\overline{S_p}$ , so we complete the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . If  $\gamma_{\phi}$  is a Gaussian function that is an solution for the problem  $S_p$  of f, then

$$\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_\phi)}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_\phi$$

is an solution for problem  $\overline{S}_p$  of f. Conversely, if  $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$  is a Gaussian function that is an solution for the  $\overline{S}_p$  problem of f, then

$$\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$$

is an solution for problem  $S_p$  of f.

Proof. Let  $\gamma_T = e^{-\frac{||T_X||}{2}}$ , where  $T \in GL(n)$ , and satisfies  $J(\gamma_T) \ge c_n$ . By Lemma 2.8, it obviously has  $\overline{\delta}J_p\left(f, \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f, \gamma_T)^p} \cdot_p \gamma_T\right) = 1$ . Since  $\gamma_{\phi}$  is an  $S_p$  solution for f, then

$$J(\gamma_{\phi}) \ge J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_T)^p} \cdot_p \gamma_T\right).$$

By (3.3) it shows

$$J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_T)^p} \cdot_p \gamma_T\right) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_T)^{-\frac{n}{2}}J(\gamma_T).$$
(3.4)

According to Lemma 3.1, we have  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi}) = 1$ . Then

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_T) \ge \left(\frac{J(\gamma_T)}{J(\gamma_\phi)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \ge \left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_\phi)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} = \overline{\delta}J_p\left(f, \left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_\phi)}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_\phi\right)$$

On the other hand, since  $J\left(\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\phi})}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi}\right) = c_n$ , it implies that the ellipsoid  $\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\phi})}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi}$  is a solution to Problem  $\overline{S}_p$ . We complete the first assertion's proof.

Let  $\gamma_{\overline{T}} = e^{-\frac{\|\overline{T}x\|^2}{2}}, \ \overline{T} \in GL(n)$ , such that  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{T}}) \leq 1$ . Then we have  $J\left(\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\overline{T}})}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{T}}\right) \geq c_n$ .

Since  $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$  is solution of  $\overline{S}_p$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\overline{T}})}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{T}}) = \overline{\delta}J_p\left(f,\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\overline{T}})}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{T}}\right) \ge \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}).$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have  $J(\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}) = c_n$ . Hence the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$\frac{J(\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})^{\frac{n}{2}}} \ge \frac{J(\gamma_{\overline{T}})}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{T}})^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

By formula (3.4) again, it means

$$J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}\right) \ge J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{T}})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{T}}\right) \ge J(\gamma_{\overline{T}}).$$

On the other hand, since

$$\overline{\delta}J_p\left(f,\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})^p}\cdot_p\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}\right) = 1.$$

This completes the proof.

		L	
		L	
_	_	J	

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Then there exist a solution,  $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$ , satisfies the Optimization Problem  $\overline{S}_p$ .

Proof. By the definition of the optimization problem  $\overline{S}_p$ , let  $\phi \in GL(n)$ , if  $\gamma_{\phi}$  is Gaussian function subject to  $J(\gamma_{\phi}) = c_n$ , then we have  $\phi \in SL(n)$ . The existence of the solution of the Problem  $\overline{S}_p$  is equivalent to find the  $\phi_0 \in SL(n)$  which solves the following. Let  $\phi \in SL(n)$ , choose  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  sufficiently small so that for all  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ ,  $I + \epsilon \phi$  is invertible. For  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$  define  $\phi_{\epsilon} \in SL(n)$  by

$$\phi_{\epsilon} = \frac{I + \epsilon \phi}{\det(I + \epsilon \phi)^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

here I is the identity matrix and  $|det\phi_{\epsilon}| = 1$ . Then find  $\phi_0$  such that

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi_\epsilon}) = 0.$$
(3.5)

It is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \left( \frac{\|\phi_{\epsilon}^{-t}x\|^2}{2} \right)^p d\mu_p(f,x) = 0.$$

Since the norm of the vector and  $t^p$  are continuous functions, it grants there exists a solution of the above equation. So there exists a  $\phi \in SL(n)$  such that  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})$ .

We say that the  $\gamma_{\phi}$  is the solution of the  $L_p$  functional optimization problem  $S_p$ , and we rewrite it as the  $\gamma_f$ . The following Corollary are obviously.

**Corollary 3.4.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,  $\gamma_f$  be the solution of the optimization problem  $S_p$ , then

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_f) = 1. \tag{3.6}$$

By Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, it guarantees that there exists a unique solution for the optimization problem  $\overline{S}_p$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Then problem  $\overline{S}_p$  has a unique solution. Moreover a Gaussian function  $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$  solves  $\overline{S}_p$  if and only if it satisfies

$$\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}(y) = \frac{n}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x,y\rangle|^2 h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x), \qquad (3.7)$$

for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

In order to prove Theorem 3.5, we need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $f = e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\overline{\phi} \in GL(n)$ . If the Gaussian function  $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$  solves the optimization functional problem  $\overline{S}_p$ , then

$$\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}(y) = \frac{n}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x,y\rangle|^2 h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x).$$

*Proof.* By the SL(n) invariance of the  $\delta J_p(f,g)$ , we may assume that  $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}} = \gamma$  is the solution of problem  $\overline{S}_p$ . Let  $\phi \in SL(n)$ , choose  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  sufficiently small so that for all  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ ,  $I + \epsilon \phi$  is invertible. For  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$  define  $\phi_{\epsilon} \in SL(n)$  by

$$\phi_{\epsilon} = \frac{I + \epsilon \phi}{\det(I + \epsilon \phi)^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

here I is the identity matrix and  $|det\phi_{\epsilon}| = 1$ . Then

$$\delta J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi_0}) \leq \delta J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi_\epsilon}),$$

for all  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ . That means

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}) = 0.$$
(3.8)

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.3, we have  $\gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}^{o} = \phi_{\epsilon}^{-t}\gamma$ . Then  $h_{\gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}^{o}}(x) = h_{\phi_{\epsilon}^{-t}\gamma}(x) = h_{\gamma}(\phi_{\epsilon}x)$ . By the definition of  $\delta J_p(f,g)$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^p_{\gamma^o_{\phi}}(x)d\mu_p(f,x) = 0$$

It is equivalent to the following

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \left(\frac{\|\phi_{\epsilon}x\|^2}{2}\right)^p d\mu_p(f,x) = 0$$

Or equivalently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \Big( det(I+\epsilon\phi)^{-\frac{2p}{n}} \big( \langle x \cdot x \rangle + 2\epsilon \langle x \cdot \phi x \rangle + \epsilon^2 \langle \phi x \cdot \phi x \rangle \big)^p \Big) d\mu_p(f,x) = 0.$$

Since  $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0} \det(I + \epsilon \phi) = trace(\phi)$ , by a simple computation, we have,

$$trace(\phi)\delta J_p(f,\gamma) = \frac{n}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, \phi x \rangle \left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}\right)^{p-1} d\mu_p(f,x)$$

Choosing an appropriate  $\phi$  for each  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  gives

$$\delta_{i,j}\delta J_p(f,\gamma) = \frac{n}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, e_j \rangle h_{\gamma}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x),$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\delta_{i,j}$  is the Koronecker symbols. Which in turn gives

$$||y||^{2} \delta J_{p}(f,\gamma) = \frac{n}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\langle x,y \rangle|^{2} h_{\gamma}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_{p}(f,x).$$
(3.9)

That means

$$\delta J_p(f,\gamma)h_\gamma(y) = \frac{n}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 h_\gamma^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x).$$
(3.10)

So we have

$$\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}(y) = \frac{n}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x,y\rangle|^2 h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x).$$

We complete the proof.

Now we prove Theorem 3.5.

*Proof of Theorem 3.5.* Lemma 3.6 grants that if  $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$  is and  $\overline{S}_p$  solution of f, then the above formula holds.

Coversely, without loss of generality, we may prove that (3.7) holds when  $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}} = \gamma$ , that is  $\overline{\phi} = I$ . Then for any  $\phi_1 \in GL(n)$ , we shall prove that if  $J(\gamma_{\phi_1}) = c_n$  for some  $\phi_1 \in GL(n)$ ,

$$\delta J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi_1}) \ge \delta J_p(f, \gamma),$$

with equality if and only if  $\gamma_{\phi_1} = \gamma$ . Equivalently, we shall prove that if  $\phi_1$  is a positive definite symmetric matric with  $|\phi_1| = 1$ , and note that  $\gamma_{\phi_1} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi_1 x\|}{2}}$  then

$$\frac{1}{p\delta J_p(f,\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^p_{\gamma\phi_1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x) \ge 1,$$

or equivanently,

$$\frac{1}{p\delta J_p(f,\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|^2}{\|x\|^2}\right)^p \left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}\right)^p d\mu_p(f,x) \ge 1,$$

with equality if and only  $\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|} = 1$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Write  $\phi_1^{-t} = OTO^t$ , where  $T = diag(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and O is an orthogonal matrix. To establish our inequality we need to show that if  $\phi_1$  is a positive definite symmetric matrix with det  $\phi_1 = 1$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|}\right) \left(\frac{\|x\|}{2}\right)^p d\mu_p(f,x) \ge 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $\nabla(\phi u) = \phi^t \nabla_{\phi x} u$  for each  $\phi \in GL(n)$ , and  $(\phi u)^* = \phi^{-t} u^*$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\left(\frac{\|Tx\|^{2}}{\|x\|^{2}}\right)^{p} \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(Of, x) \\
= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\frac{\|TO^{t}\nabla_{Ox}u\|}{\|O^{t}\nabla_{Ox}u\|} \left(\frac{\|O^{t}\nabla_{Ox}u\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} \left(u^{*}(O^{-t}O^{t}\nabla_{Ox}u)\right)^{1-p} e^{-Ou} dx \\
= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\frac{\|TO^{t}\nabla u\|}{\|\nabla u\|} \left(\frac{\|\nabla u\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} \left(u^{*}(\nabla u)\right)^{1-p} e^{-u} dx \qquad (3.11) \\
= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\frac{\|TO^{t}x\|}{\|x\|} \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(f, x).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\left(\frac{\|\phi_{1}^{-t}x\|^{2}}{\|x\|^{2}}\right)^{p} \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(f,x) \\ &= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\frac{\|TO^{t}x\|}{\|x\|} \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(f,x) \\ &= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\left(\frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}\right) \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(Of,x) \\ &= p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\lambda_{i}^{2}\right) \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(Of,x) \\ &\geq p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \log \lambda_{i}^{2}\right] \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(Of,x) \\ &= 2p \delta J_{p}(Of,\gamma) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \lambda_{i} = 0. \end{split}$$
(3.12)

Where  $x_i = \langle \frac{x}{\|x\|} \cdot e_i \rangle$ . Then we have

$$\left[\frac{1}{p\delta J_p(f,\gamma)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}h_{\gamma_{\phi_1}}^p(x)d\mu_p(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\geq exp\left[\frac{2}{\delta J_p(f,\gamma)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\log\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|}\left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}\right)^pd\mu_p(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\geq 1.$$

$$(3.13)$$

The first inequality in (3.13) is a consequence of the Jensen's inequality, with equality holds if and only if there exist a constant c > 0 such that  $\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|} = c$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Moreover, note that from the strict concave of the log-concave function that equality in (3.12) is possible only if  $x_1 \cdots x_n \neq 0$  which implies  $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus  $||Tx|| = \lambda_i$  when  $x_i \neq 0$ . Now equality in (3.13) would force  $\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|} = c$  or equivalently  $||T^{-t}x|| = c$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , so that  $\lambda_i = c$  for all *i*. This together with the fact  $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n = 1$  shows that equality in (3.13) would imply T = I and hence  $\phi_1 = I$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Then problem  $S_p$  has a unique solution. Moreover a Gaussian function  $\gamma_{\phi}$  solves  $S_p$  if and only if it satisfies

$$\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\phi})}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}x)(y) = \frac{n}{4p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\langle x,y\rangle|^2h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}y)^{p-1}d\mu_p(f,x).$$
(3.14)

for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* By computation shows  $\alpha^p \cdot_p e^{-v} = e^{-\alpha v(\frac{x}{\alpha})}$ , then  $\alpha^p \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi} = \gamma_{\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\alpha}}}$ . So we obtain  $h_{(\alpha^p \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi})^{\circ}} = h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}x)$ .

Together with Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\phi})}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}y) = \frac{n}{4p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\langle x,y\rangle|^2h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}y)^{p-1}d\mu_p(f,x).$$

Now we define  $L_p$  John ellipsoid for log-concave functions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$  be log-concave function, the unique Gaussian function that solves the constrained maximization problem

$$\max J(\phi\gamma) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\phi\gamma) \le 1, \tag{3.15}$$

is called the  $L_p$  John ellipsoid of f and denoted by  $E_p f$ . The unique Gaussian function that solves the constrained minimization problem

$$\min \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \phi \gamma) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \frac{J(\phi \gamma)}{c_n} = 1, \tag{3.16}$$

is called the normalized  $L_p$  John ellipsoid of f and denoted by  $\overline{E}_p f$ .

Specially, if we take  $f = e^{-\frac{\|x\|_K^2}{2}}$  for  $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ , since the Gaussian function  $\gamma_{\phi}$  can be viewed as  $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|x\|_E^2}{2}}$ , where E is the origin-centered ellipsoid. Then Definition 3.1 deduce the definition of  $L_p$  John ellipsoid defined in [46].

Since  $\overline{\delta}J_p(\phi f, g) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f, \phi^{-1}g)$  for  $\phi \in GL(n)$ , then we have the following result.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let  $f \in A_0$ . Then

$$E_p(Tf) = T(E_p f). aga{3.17}$$

*Proof.* By the definition of problem  $S_p$ , set  $E_p f = \gamma_{\phi}$ , since  $\overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi}) = 1$ . By the Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(Tf, T\gamma_\phi) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f, T^{-1}T\gamma_\phi) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f, \gamma_\phi) = 1.$$
(3.18)

By the uniqueness of the problem  $S_p$ , we have  $E_p(Tf) = T(E_pf)$ . So we complete the proof.

If we take  $f = \gamma$ , then we have  $E_p \gamma = \gamma$ . Moreover by Proposition 3.8, we have the following.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi_{\pi}\|^2}{2}}$ , for  $\phi \in GL(n)$ , then  $E_p(\gamma_{\phi}) = \gamma_{\phi}$ .

# 4. Continuity

In this section, we will show that the family of  $L_p$  John ellipsoids for logconcave functions is continuous in  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . First let  $f = e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , note that if  $\overline{E}_p f$  is a solution of problem  $\overline{S}_p$ , then there exist a  $\overline{\phi} \in SL(n)$  such that  $\overline{E}_p f = \gamma_{\overline{\phi}} = e^{-\frac{\|\overline{\phi}x\|^2}{2}}$ . **Theorem 4.1.** Let f and  $\{f_i\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , such that  $\lim_{i\to\infty} f_i = f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \overline{E}_p f_i = \overline{E}_p f. \tag{4.1}$$

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following Theorem. First we prove that  $d\mu_p(f_i, x) \to d\mu_p(f, x)$ 

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $f = e^{-u}$ ,  $f_i = e^{-u_i} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , if  $f_i \to f$ , then  $d\mu_p(f_i, x) \to d\mu_p(f, x)$ .

*Proof.* We only need to prove that, for any function  $g \in L^1(\mu_p(f, \cdot))$ ,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\mu_p(f_i, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\mu_p(f, x).$$
(4.2)

Set  $a = \max\{|g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ ,  $b = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$   $b_i = \max\{|f_i(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ ,  $c_i = \max\{|h_{f_i}(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ ,  $c = \max\{|h_f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ . Note that f and  $f_i \in \mathcal{A}_0$  are integrable, and  $h_{f_i} \to h_f$  whenever  $f_i \to f$ , then there exist an  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_i - f| < \frac{\epsilon}{2ac_i^p}$  for  $i \ge N_1$ , and  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|h_{f_i} - h_f| < \frac{\epsilon}{2ab\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c^j c_i^{p-1-j}}$  for  $i \ge N_2$ . Since  $d\mu_p(f, x) = h_f^{1-p} f dx$ , so we can choose  $i \ge \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ , then

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g d\mu_p(f_i, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g d\mu_p(f, x) \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| g h_{f_i}^p f_i - g h_f^p f \right| dx, \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| g h_{f_i}^p \right| |f_i - f| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| g f \right| |h_{f_i}^p - h_f^p| dx. \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{split}$$

So we complete the proof.

**Theorem 4.3.** Suppose  $f = e^{-u}$ ,  $f_i = e^{-u_i}$ ,  $g = r^{-v}$ ,  $g_j = e^{-v_j} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , where  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $f_i \to f$ ,  $g_i \to g$ , then

$$\lim_{i,j\to\infty} \delta J_p(f_i,g_j) = \delta J_p(f,g).$$
(4.3)

*Proof.* Since  $f_i \to f, g_i \to g$ , by the Definition of  $\delta J_p(f,g)$ , we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d\mu_{p}(f_{i}, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g}^{p} d\mu_{p}(f, x) \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d\mu_{p}(f_{i}, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d\mu_{p}(f, x) \right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |h_{g_{i}}^{p} - h_{g}^{p}| d\mu_{p}(f, x).$$
(4.4)

Note that  $h_g$  and  $h_{g_i}$  are bounded, set  $c_i = \max\{|g_i(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  and  $c_0 = \max\{|g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ , then  $c_i$ ,  $c_0$  are bounded. Let  $c = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} c_i^j c_0^{p-1-j}$  and  $|h_{g_i} - h_g| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2c}$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{g_i}^p - h_g^p| d\mu_p(f, x) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(4.5)

By Lemma 4.2, we can show that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{g_i}^p d\mu_p(f_i, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{g_i}^p d\mu_p(f, x)\right| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(4.6)

So together with formulas (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) we can choose unified N such that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{g_i}^p d\mu_p(f_i, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_g^p d\mu_p(f, x)\right| \le \epsilon$$

We complete the proof.

Now we give a proof of Theorem 4.1

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Theorem 4.3, and  $J(f_i^\diamond) \to J(f^\diamond)$  when  $i \to \infty$ , then we have

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \delta J_p(f_i, E_p f_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \min_{J(\gamma_\phi) = c_n} \delta J_p(f_i, \gamma_\phi)$$
$$= \min_{J(\gamma_\phi) = c_n} \lim_{i \to \infty} \overline{\delta} J_p(f_i, \gamma_\phi)$$
$$= \min_{J(\gamma_\phi) = c_n} \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_\phi)$$
$$= \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \overline{E}_p f).$$

We complete the proof.

Note that  $\overline{E}_p f$  is bounded for  $p \in [0, \infty]$ . Thus in order to establish the continuity of  $\overline{E}_p f$  in  $p \in [0, \infty]$ , (2.24) shows that the  $\overline{\delta}J_p(K, \cdot)$  is continuity of  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** If  $p_0 \in [1, \infty]$ , then

$$\lim_{p \to p_0} \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}) = \overline{\delta} J_{p_0}(f, \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}).$$
(4.7)

for some  $\overline{\phi} \in SL(n)$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $f = e^{-u} \in A_0$ , and  $1 \le p \le q < \infty$ ,  $E_p f$  be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$J(E_{\infty}f) \le J(E_qf) \le J(E_pf) \le J(E_1f).$$
(4.8)

*Proof.* The definition of  $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g)$ , together with Jensenn's inequality, for  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ , we have

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma) = \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{h_\gamma}{h_f}\right)^p h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$\leq \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{h_\gamma}{h_f}\right)^q h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$= \overline{\delta}J_q(f,\gamma).$$

By Definition 3.1, we have

 $E_q f = \max\left\{E'f: \overline{\delta}J_q(f, Ef) \le 1\right\} \le \max\left\{E'f: \overline{\delta}J_q(f, Ef) \le 1\right\} = E_p f.$ 

This implies  $J(E_q f) \leq J(E_p f)$ . For  $p \to \infty$ , by the definition of (2.22), and the continuity of  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we have  $E_{\infty} f = \lim_{p \to \infty} E_p f$ , we complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $f = e^{-u} \in A_0$ , such that J(f) > 0. Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $E_p f$  be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$J(E_p f) \le J(f). \tag{4.9}$$

*Proof.* By the definition 3.1, we have

$$1 = \overline{\delta} J_p(f, E_p f)^p = \frac{p \cdot \delta J_p(f, E_p f)}{J(f^\diamond)},$$

The  $L_p$  Minkowski inequality for log-concave functions (see [26]) says that

$$\frac{J(f^{\diamond})}{p} \ge \delta J_p(f, f) + J(f) \log \frac{J(E_p f)}{J(f)}.$$

This means that

$$J(f)\log\frac{J(E_pf)}{J(f)} \le 0.$$

Sine J(f) > 0, then  $\log \frac{J(E_p f)}{J(f)} \le 0$ . That means  $J(E_p f) < J(f)$ .

т		1
н		1
н		1
		_

The functional Blaschke-Santaló inequality, proved for even functions in [11], and given in full generality in [6] says that for log-concave function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , the following inequality holds  $P(f) < P(\gamma)$ ,

that is

$$J(f)J(f^o) \le J(\gamma)^2 = (2\pi)^n.$$

So combine with the Theorem 4.6, we have the following Theorem.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $f = e^{-u} \in A_0$ , such that J(f) > 0. Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $E_p f$  be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$J(E_p f)J(E_p f^o) \le c_n^2. \tag{4.10}$$

where  $c_n = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ .

In the following, denoting by  $\Delta_n$  and  $B_{\infty}^n$  the regular simplex centered at the origin and the unit cube in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To establish the  $L_p$  Ball's ratio inequality for log-concave function, we need the following results, more details see [5].

**Theorem 4.8** ([5]). Let  $f \in A_0$ , Ef be the functional John ellipsoid of f, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(Ef)} \le \frac{J(g_c)}{J(Eg_c)},\tag{4.11}$$

where  $g_c(x) = e^{-\|x\|_{\Delta_n-c}}$  for any  $c \in \Delta_n$ . Furthermore, there is equality if and only if  $\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\infty}} = Tg_c$  for some affine map T and some  $c \in \Delta_n$ . If we assume f to be even, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(Ef)} \le \frac{J(g)}{J(Eg)},\tag{4.12}$$

where  $g(x) = e^{-\|x\|_{B_{\infty}^{n}}}$ , with equality if and only if  $\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\infty}} = Tg$  for some linear map  $T \in GL(n)$ .

Moreover, by compute the right hand of the above formulas, it gives

**Lemma 4.9** ([5]). Let  $f \in A_0$ , Ef be the functional John ellipsoid of f, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(Ef)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\Delta_n|}{|E\Delta_n|},\tag{4.13}$$

If we assume f to be even, then

$$I.rat(f) \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|B_{\infty}^{n}|}{|E_{B_{\infty}^{n}}|}.$$
(4.14)

Now we give the Ball's volume ration inequality for log-concave function.

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $f = e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , such that J(f) > 0. Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $E_p f$  be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{n^{\frac{n-2}{n}} (n+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} e}{(n!)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \omega_n}.$$
(4.15)

If f is even then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{2^n}{\omega_n}.$$
(4.16)

where  $\omega_n$  is the volume of ball  $B^n$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.5, and the fact  $E_{\infty}f = Ef$ , then we have

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{J(f)}{J(Ef)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\Delta_n|}{|J\Delta_n|}.$$

On the other hand, note that the volume of  $\triangle_n$  is given by  $|\triangle_n| = \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}n!}$ , and the inradius of  $\triangle_n$  is given by  $r_{\triangle_n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n(n+1)}}$ . Then by a simple computation gives

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\Delta_n|}{|J\Delta_n|} = \frac{n^{\frac{n-2}{n}} (n+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} e}{(n!)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \omega_n}.$$

If f is even, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|B_{\infty}^n|}{|JB_{\infty}^n|} = \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{2^n}{\omega_n}.$$

So we complete the proof.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to strongly thank the anonymous referee for the very valuable comments and helpful suggestions that directly lead to improve the original manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, A reverse Rogers-Shephard inequality for log-concave functions, J. Geom. Anal. 29 (2019), 299–315.
- D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, J. Bernués, and B. Merino, An extension of Berwald's inequality and its relation to Zhang's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 486 (2020), 123875.
- D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, B. Merino, C. Jiménez, and R. Villa, Roger-Shephard inequality for log-concave functions, J. Funct. Anal. 271 (2016), 3269–3299.
- John's ellipsoid and the integral ratio of a log-concave function, J. Geom. Anal. 28 (2018), 1182–1201.
- D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, B. Merino, C. Jiménez, and R. Villa, John's Ellipsoid and the Integral Ratio of a Log-Concave Function, J. Geom. Anal. 28 (2018), 1182–1201.
- A. Artstein-Avidan, B. Klartag, and V. Milman, The santaló point of a function, and a function form of the Santaló inequality, Mthematika 51 (2004), 33–48.
- S. Artstein-Avidan, D.I. Florentin, and A. Segal, Functional Brunn-Minkowski inequalities induced by polarity, Adv. Math. 364 (2020), 107006.
- 8. S. Artstein-Avidan and V. Milman, The concept of duality in convex analysis, and the characterization of the Legendre transform, Ann. Math. 169 (2009), no. 2, 661–674.
- 9. \_\_\_\_\_, A characterization of the support map, Adv. Math. 223(1) (2010), 379–391.
- S. Avidan, B. Klartag, C. Schütt, and E. Werner, Functional affine-isoperimetry and an inverse logarithmic Sobolev inequality, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 4181–4204.
- K. Ball, Isometric Problems in l<sub>p</sub> and Section of Convex Sets, Ph.D dissertation, Cambridge, 1986.
- Volumes of sections of cubes and related problems. In: Lindenstrauss J., Milman V.D. (eds) Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1367, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- 13. \_\_\_\_\_, Volume ratios and a reverse isoperimetric inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2)44 (1991), 351–359.
- 14. \_\_\_\_, Ellipsoids of maximal volume in convex bodies, Geom. Dedicata (2)41 (1992), 241–250.
- H. Brascamp and E. Lieb, On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to diffusion equation, J. Funct. Anal. 22 (1976), 366–389.
- U. Caglar, M. Fradelizi, O. Guédon, J. Lehec, C. Schüett, and E. Werner, Functional versions of L<sub>p</sub>-affine surface area and entropy inequalities, Int. Math. Res. Not. 4 (2016), 1223–1250.
- U. Caglar and E. Werner, Divergence for s-concave and log concave functions, Adv. Math. 257 (2014), 219–247.
- Mixed f-divergence and inequalities for log concave functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 110(2) (2015), 271–290.
- U. Caglar and D. Ye, Affine isoperimetric inequaities in the functional Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory, Adv. Appl. Math. 81 (2016), 78–114.
- 20. \_\_\_\_, Affine isoperimetric inequalities in the functional Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory, Adv. Appl. Math. 81 (2016), 78–114.
- K. Chou and X. Wang, The L<sub>p</sub>-Minkowski problem and the Minkowski problem in centroaffine geometry, Adv. Math. 205 (2006), 33–83.
- A. Colesanti, Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for variational functionals and related problems, Adv. Math. 257 (2005), 219–247.
- 23. \_\_\_\_, Functional inequality related to the Rogers-Shephard inequality, Mathematika 53 (2006), 81–101.
- A. Colesanti and I. Fragalà, The first variation of the total mass of log-concave functions and related inequalities, Adv. Math. 244 (2013), 708–749.
- 25. D. Erasusquin and B. Klartag, Moment measure, J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015), 3834–3866.

- N. Fang, S. Xing, and D. Ye, Geometry of log-concave functions: the L<sub>p</sub> Asplund sum and the L<sub>p</sub> Minkowski problem, arXiv preprint arXiv: 2006. 16959 (2020).
- N. Fang and J. Zhou, LYZ ellipsoid and Petty projection body for log-concave function, Adv. Math. 340 (2018), 914–959.
- B. Fleury, O. Guédon, and G. Paouris, A stability result for mean width of L<sub>p</sub>-centrodi bodies, Adv. Math. 214 (2007), 865–877.
- M. Fradelizi and M. Meyer, Some functional forms of Blaschke-Santaló inequality, Math. Z. 256 (2007), 379–395.
- 30. R. Gardner, The Brunn-Minkowski inequality, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (2002), 355–405.
- 31. \_\_\_\_, Geometric Tomography, 2nd edition, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 58, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- A. Giannopoulos and V. Milman, Extremal problem and isotropic position of convex bodies, Israel. J. Math. 117 (2000), 29–60.
- 33. P. Gruber, Convex and Discrete Geometry, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- 34. \_\_\_\_\_, John and Loewner ellipsoids, Discrete Comput. Geom. 46 (2011), 776–788.
- D. Gutiérrez, A reverse Rogers Shephard inequality for log-concave functions, J. Geom. Anal. 29 (2019), 299–315.
- C. Haberl, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *The even Orlicz Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math. 224 (2010), 2485–2510.
- 37. C. Haberl and F. Schuster, General  $L_p$  affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom. 83 (2009), 1–26.
- B. Klartag, On John-type ellipsoids, Geometric Aspects of Fnctional Analysis. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1850 (2004), 149–158.
- 39. D. Lewis, Ellipsoid defined by Banach ideal norms, Mathematika 26 (1979), 18–29.
- B. Li, C. Schütt, and E. Werner, The Löwner Function of a Log-Concave Function, J. Geom. Anal. (2019), 1–34.
- Y. Lin, Affine Orlicz Pólya-Szegö for log-concave functions, J. Funct. Anal. 273 (2017), 3295–3326.
- 42. M. Ludwig, General affine surface areas, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 2346–2360.
- E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey Theory I: Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, J. Differential Geom. 38 (1993), 131–150.
- 44. \_\_\_\_, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey Theory II: Affine and geominimal surface area, Adv. Math. 118 (1996), 224–194.
- E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, L<sub>p</sub> affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom. 56 (2000), 111–132.
- 46. \_\_\_\_\_, L<sub>p</sub> John ellipsoids, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **90** (2005), 497–520.
- G. Paouris and E. Werner, Relative entropy of cone measures and L<sub>p</sub> centroid bodies, Proc. Landon Math. Soc. 104 (2012), 253–286.
- 48. G. Pisier, *The colume of convex bodies and banach space geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- A. Prékopa, New proof for the basic theorem of logconcave measures, Alkalmaz. Mat. Lapok 1 (1975), 385–389.
- 50. T. Rockafellar, Convex analysis, Princeton Press, Princeton, 1970.
- L. Rotem, On the mean width of log-concave functions, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2050, Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- 52. \_\_\_\_\_, Support functions and mean width for  $\alpha$ -concave functions, Adv. Math. 243 (2013), 168–186.
- 53. R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- C. Schütt and E. Werner, Surface bodies and p-affine surface area, Adv. Math. 187 (2004), 98–145.
- 55. A. Stancu, The discrete planar  $L_0$ -Minkwoski problem, Adv. Math. 167 (2002), 160–174.

- 56. \_\_\_\_, Centro-affine invariants fot smooth conve bodies, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 10 (2012), 2289–2320.
- 57. E. Werner, Renyi Divergence and  $L_p$  affine surface area for convex bodies, Adv. Math. 230 (2012), 1040–1059.
- 58. E. Werner and D. Ye, New  $L_p$  affine isoperimetric inequalities, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), 762–780.
- 59. D. Zou and G. Xiong, Orlicz-John ellipsoids, Adv. Math. 265 (2014), 132–168.

 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, GUIZHOU UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, GUIYANG, GUIZHOU 550025, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. *Email address*: cfw-yy@126.com *Email address*: 16995239@qq.com

2. School of Mathematical Sciences, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang, Guizhou 550025, People's Republic of China

 $Email \ address: \verb"lm975318@126.com"$ 

Email address: yangcongli@gznu.edu.cn