L_p JOHN ELLIPSOIDS FOR LOG-CONCAVE FUNCTIONS

FANGWEI CHEN¹, JIANBO FANG¹, MIAO LUO², CONGLI YANG²

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to develop the L_p John ellipsoid for the geometry of log-concave functions. Using the results of the L_p Minkowski theory for log-concave function established in [26], we characterize the L_p John ellipsoid for log-concave function, and establish some inequalities of the L_p John ellipsoid for log-concave function. Finally, the analog of Ball's volume ratio inequality for the L_p John ellipsoid of log-concave function is established.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , among all ellipsoids contained in K, there exists a unique ellipsoid JK with the maximum volume, this ellipsoid is called the John's ellipsoid of K. It plays an important role in convex geometry and Banach space geometry (see, e.g., [12–14, 32, 34, 38, 39, 48]). One of the most important results concerning the John ellipsoid is the Ball's volume-ratio inequality, which states that: if K is an origin symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\frac{V(K)}{V(JK)} \le \frac{2^n}{\omega_n},$$

with equality if and only K is a parallelotope. Here V(K) denotes the *n*-dimensional volume and $\omega_n = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}$ denotes the volume of a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

In 1990's, the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory was firstly initiated by Lutwak (see [43, 44]), during the last two decades, it has achieved great development and expanded rapidly (see, e.g., [21, 28, 36, 37, 42, 45, 47, 54–58]). The L_p extension of the John ellipsoid is given by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [46].

Given a smooth convex body $K \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that contains the origin in its interior. Let $f_p(K, \cdot)$ be the L_p curvature function of K, p > 0, find

$$\min_{\phi \in SL(n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f_p(\phi K, \cdot) dS(u), \qquad (1.1)$$

where S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . The minimum is actually attained at some $\phi_p \in SL(n)$, and defines an ellipsoid E_pK , which ϕ_p maps it into the unit ball B, that is, $\phi_p E_p K = B$. The ellipsoid is unique and is called the volume-normalized L_p John ellipsoid of K. The equivalent ways to state the

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 52A20, 52A40, 52A38.

Key words and phrases. Log-concave functions; Minkowski's first inequality; L_p John ellipsoid; Ball's volume ratio.

The work is supported in part by CNSF (Grant No. 11561012, 11861004, 11861024), Guizhou Foundation for Science and Technology (Grant No. [2019] 1055, [2019]1228), Science and technology top talent support program of Guizhou Eduction Department (Grant No. [2017]069).

above problem is given by the following two Optimization Problems [46]: Given a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n that contains the origin in it interior, find an ellipsoid E, amongst all origin-centered ellipsoids, which solves the following constrained maximization problem:

$$\max\left(\frac{V(E)}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \overline{V}_p(K, E) \le 1.$$
(1.2)

A maximal ellipsoid will be called an S_p solution for K. The dual problem is to find E such that

min
$$\overline{V}_p(K, E)$$
 subject to $\left(\frac{V(E)}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \ge 1.$ (1.3)

A minimal ellipsoid will be called an \overline{S}_p solution for K. Where

$$\overline{V}_p(K,E) = \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\frac{h_E}{h_K} \right)^p d\overline{V}_K \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > 0.$$

is the normalized L_p mixed volume of K and E. More details about the solution of the two problems S_p , \overline{S}_p and related inequalities see [46]. The Orlicz extension of the John ellipsoid is done by Zou and Xiong [59]. Recently, the study of the geometry of log-concave functions in the field of convex geometry has emerged, with a quite natural idea is to replace the volume of a convex body by the integral of a log-concave function. To establish functional version of the problems from the convex geometric analysis of convex body has attracted a lot of authors interest (see, e.g., [1-4, 7, 16-20, 22, 24, 35, 41, 52]). Also an extension of the John ellipsoids to the case of log-concave functions has attracted a lot of authors interest, for example, in [5], the authors extend the notion of John's ellipsoid to the setting of integrable log-concave functions and obtain integral ratio of a log-concave function and establish the reverse functional affine isoperimetric inequality. The extension of the LYZ ellipsoid to the log-concave functions is done by Fang and Zhou [27]. The Löwner ellipsoid function for log-concave function is invested by Li, Schütt and Werner [40]. Extensive research has been devoted to extend the concepts and inequalities from convex bodies to the setting of log-concave functions (see, e.g., [25, 29]). In fact, it was observed that the Prékopa-Leindler inequality is the functional analog of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality (see e.g., [15, 30, 49]) for convex bodies. Much progress has been made see [6, 8, 10, 23].

Let f be a log-concave functions of \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f = e^{-u}$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a convex function. We always consider in this paper that a log-concave function f is integrable and such that f is nondegenerate, i.e., the interior of the support of f is non-empty, $int(suppf) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f dx < \infty$.

Let $f = e^{-u}$, $g = e^{-v}$ be log-concave functions, for any real α , $\beta > 0$, the Asplund sum and scalar multiplication of two log-concave functions are defined as,

$$\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g := e^{-w}, \quad \text{where } w^* = \alpha u^* + \beta v^*.$$
 (1.4)

Here w^* denotes as usual the Fenchel conjugate of the convex function ω . Correspond to the volume V(K) of a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n , the total mass J(f) of a log-concave function f in \mathbb{R}^n is firstly considered in [24]. The functional counterpart of Minkowski's first inequality and related isoperimetric inequalities are established. The L_p extension of the Asplund sum and scalar multiplication of two log-concave functions are discussed in [26], the functional L_p Minkowski's first inequality and the functional L_p Minkowski problem also been discussed.

Our main goals in this paper are to discuss the functional L_p John ellipsoid, based on the L_p Asplund sum and L_p scalar mutiplication of two log-concave functions. Owing to the functional L_p Minkowski's first variation of f and g, we focus on the following:

Problem S_p . Given a log-concave function $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$, find a Gaussian function γ_{ϕ} which solves the following constrained maximization problem:

$$\max\left(\frac{J(\gamma_{\phi})}{c_n}\right) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) \le 1. \tag{1.5}$$

Where $c_n = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $\phi \in GL(n)$, $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^2}{2}}$ is the Gaussian function. $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})$ is the normalized first variation of the total mass J(f) with respect to the L_p Asplund sum.

In section 3, we prove that there exists a unique Gaussian function which solves the Problem S_p . The unique Gaussian function which solves the problem S_p is called the L_p John ellipsoid for the log-concave function f, and denoted by $E_p f$. Moreover, we characterize a Gaussian function which is the solution of the problem S_p .

In section 4, we focus on the continuity of the L_p John ellipsoid, we prove that the L_p John ellipsoid $E_p f$ is continuous with respect to f and p. By the L_p Minkowski's first inequality for log-concave function, we prove that the total mass of the L_p John ellipsoid $E_p f$ is no more than the total mass of f. In the end of this section, we show the similar Ball's volume ration inequality is also holds for log-concave function.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Convex bodies. In this paper, we work in *n*-dimensional Euclidean space, \mathbb{R}^n , endowed with the usual scalar product $\langle x, y \rangle$ and norm ||x||. Let $B^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x|| \leq 1\}$ denote the standard unit ball and $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x|| = 1\}$ denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the class of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and \mathcal{K}^n_o be the subclass of convex bodies K whose relative interior int(K) is nonempty. For $i \leq n$, let \mathcal{H}^i be the *i*-dimensional Hausdorff measure, we indicate by $V(K) = \mathcal{H}^n(K)$ the *n*-dimensional volume.

Let $h_K(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be the support function of K; i.e., for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$h_K(x) = \max\left\{ \langle x, y \rangle : y \in K \right\}.$$

Let $n_K(x)$ be the unit outer normal at $x \in \partial K$, then $h_K(n_K(x)) = \langle n_K(x), x \rangle$. It is shown that the sublinear support function characterizes a convex body and, conversely, every sublinear function on \mathbb{R}^n is the support function of a nonempty compact convex set. By the definition of the support function, if $\phi \in GL(n)$, then the support function of the image $\phi K := \{\phi y : y \in K\}$ is given by

$$h_{\phi K}(x) = h_K(\phi^t x),$$

where ϕ^t denotes the transpose of ϕ . Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ be a convex body that contains the origin in its interior, the polar body K° is defined by

$$K^{\circ} = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, x \rangle \le 1, \text{ for all } x \in K \right\}.$$

Obviously, for $\phi \in GL(n)$, then $(\phi K)^{\circ} = \phi^{-t} K^{\circ}$. The gauge function $\|\cdot\|_{K}$ is defined by

$$||x||_{K} = \min\left\{a \ge 0 : x \in \alpha K\right\} = \max_{y \in K^{\circ}} \langle x, y \rangle = h_{K^{\circ}}(x).$$

It is clear that

$$||x||_K = 1$$
 whenever $x \in \partial K$.

Recall that the L_p $(p \ge 1)$ Minkowski combination of convex bodies K and L is defined as

$$h_{K+_p\epsilon \cdot L}(x)^p = h_K(x)^p + \epsilon h_L(x)^p.$$
(2.1)

One of the most important inequality related to the L_p Brunn-Minkowski combination of convex bodies K and L is

$$V(K +_p L)^{\frac{p}{n}} \ge V(K)^{\frac{p}{n}} + V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}},$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilation of each other. The L_p surface area measure of K is defined by

$$dS_p(K,\cdot) = h_K^{1-p} dS(K,\cdot), \qquad (2.2)$$

where $dS(K, \cdot)$ is the classical surface area measure, which is given by

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{V(K + \epsilon L) - V(K)}{\epsilon} = \int_{S^{n-1}} h_Q(u) dS(K, u) dS(K, u$$

It is easy to say that for $\lambda > 0$, $S_p(\lambda K, \cdot) = \lambda^{n-p} S_p(K, \cdot)$. If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, then K has a curvature function, then $f_p(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$, the L_p -curvature function of K, is defined by

$$f_p(K, \cdot) = h_K^{1-p} f(K, \cdot),$$

where $f(K, \cdot)$ is the curvature function, $f(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined as the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$f(K,\cdot) = \frac{dS(K,\cdot)}{dS},$$

and dS is the standard Lebesgue measure on S^{n-1} .

For quick reference about the definition and notations in convex geometry, good references are Gardner [31], Gruber [33], Schneider [53].

2.2. Functional setting. In the following, we discuss in the functional setting in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex function, that is $u((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)u(x) + tu(y)$ for $t \in (0,1)$. We set $dom(u) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) \in \mathbb{R}\}$, by the convexity of u, dom(u) is a convex set in \mathbb{R}^n . We say that u is proper if $dom(u) \neq \emptyset$, and u is of class \mathcal{C}^2_+ if it is twice differentiable on int(dom(u)), with a positive definite Hessian matrix. Recall that the Fenchel conjugate of u is the convex function defined by

$$u^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle - u(x) \right\}.$$
(2.3)

It is obvious that $u(x) + u^*(y) \ge \langle x, y \rangle$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there is an equality if and only if $x \in dom(u)$ and y is in the subdifferential of u at x, that means

$$u^*(\nabla u(x)) + u(x) = \langle x, \nabla u(x) \rangle.$$
(2.4)

The convex function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower semi-continuous, if the subset $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) > t\}$ is an open set for any $t \in (-\infty, +\infty]$. Moreover, if u is a lower semi-continuous convex function, then also u^* is a lower semi-continuous convex function, and $u^{**} = u$.

The infimal convolution of functions u and v from \mathbb{R}^n to $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is defined by

$$u \Box v(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ u(x - y) + v(y) \}.$$
 (2.5)

The right scalar multiplication by a nonnegative real number α :

$$(u\alpha)(x) := \begin{cases} \alpha u(\frac{x}{\alpha}), & if \ \alpha > 0; \\ I_{\{0\}}, & if \ \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

The following results below gather some elementary properties of u, the Fenchel conjugate and the infimal convolution, which can be found in [24, 50].

Lemma 2.1. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, then there exist constants a and b, with a > 0, such that, for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$u(x) \ge a \|x\| + b. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover u^* is proper, and satisfies $u^*(y) > -\infty$, $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex function. Then:

- (1) $(u\Box v)^* = u^* + v^*;$
- (2) $(u\alpha)^*(x) = \alpha u^*(\frac{x}{\alpha}), \quad \alpha > 0;$
- (3) $dom(u\Box v) = dom(u) + dom(v);$

(4) it holds $u^*(0) = -\inf(u)$, in particular if u is proper, then $u^*(y) > -\infty$; $\inf(u) > -\infty$ implies u^* is proper.

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is called log-concave if for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and 0 < t < 1, we have

$$f((1-t)x + ty) \ge f^{1-t}(x)f^t(y).$$

If f is a strictly positive log-concave function on \mathbb{R}^n , then there exist a convex function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that $f = e^{-u}$. Following the notations in paper [24], let

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{+\infty\} | \text{ proper, convex, } \lim_{|x| \to +\infty} u(x) = +\infty \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} | f = e^{-u}, u \in \mathcal{L} \right\}.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be a log-concave, according to a series of papers by Artstein-Avidan and Milman [9], Rotem [51], the support function of $f = e^{-u}$ is defined as,

$$h_f(x) = (-\log f(x))^* = u^*(x).$$
 (2.8)

Here the u^* is the Fenchel conjugate of u. The definition of h_f is a proper generalization of the support function h_K , in fact, one can easily checks $h_{\chi_K} = h_K$. Obviously, the support function h_f shares the most of the important properties of h_K .

The polar function of $f = e^{-u}$ is defined by $f^{\circ} = e^{-u^*}$. Specifically,

$$f^{\circ}(y) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{e^{-\langle x, y \rangle}}{f(x)} \right\},$$

it follows that, f° is also a log-concave function.

Let $\phi \in GL(n)$, we always write $f \circ \phi(x) = \phi f(x) = f(\phi x)$. The following proposition shows that h_f is GL(n) covariant which is proved in [27].

Proposition 2.3. Let $f \in A$. For $\phi \in GL(n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$h_{\phi f}(x) = h_f(\phi^{-t}x).$$

Moreover, for the polar function of f,

$$(\phi f)^{\circ} = \phi^{-t} f^{\circ}.$$

The class of log-concave functions \mathcal{A} can be endowed with an algebraic structure which extends in a natural way as the usual of the Minkowski's structure on \mathcal{K}^n . For example, the Asplund sum of two log-concave functions is corresponded to the classical Minkowski sum of two convex bodies. See [24] for more about the Asplund sum and the related inequalities of the total mass of the log-concave function in \mathcal{A} which correspond to the convex bodies in \mathcal{K}^n . In very recently, the L_p Asplund sum of log-concave functions are studied by author Fang, Xing and Ye [26]. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \{ e^{-u} : u \in \mathcal{L}_0 \} \subset \mathcal{A}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \{ u \in \mathcal{L} : u \ge 0, (u^*)^* = u \text{ and } u(o) = 0 \}.$$

Clearly, if $u \in \mathcal{L}_0$, then u has its minimum attained at the origin o.

Definition 2.1 ([26]). Let $f = e^{-u}$, $g = e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, and $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$. The L_p $(p \ge 1)$ Asplund sum and multiplication of f and g is defined as

$$\alpha \cdot_p f \oplus_p \beta \cdot_p g = e^{-[(u \cdot_p \alpha) \Box_p (v \cdot_p \beta)]}, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$(u \cdot_p \alpha) \Box_p (v \cdot_p \beta) = \left[\left(\alpha (u^*)^p + \beta (v^*)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^*.$$

The L_p Asplund sum is an extension of the Asplund sum on \mathcal{A}_0 . Specially, when p = 1, it reduces to the Asplund sum of two functions on \mathcal{A}_0 , that is

$$\left(\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g\right)(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} f\left(\frac{x-y}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} g\left(\frac{y}{\beta}\right)^{\beta}.$$
 (2.10)

Moreover, when $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$, we have $(\alpha \cdot_p f \oplus_p \beta \cdot_p g)(x) = g\left(\frac{x}{\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{\beta^{\frac{1}{p}}}$; when

 $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta = 0$, then $(\alpha \cdot_p f \oplus \beta \cdot_p g)(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}}$; finally, when $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we set $\left(\alpha \cdot_p f \oplus_p \beta \cdot_p g\right) = I_{\{0\}}$. We say that the L_p Asplund sum for log-concave functions is closely related to the L_p Minkowski sum for convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . For examples, $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$, let

$$\chi_K(x) = e^{-I_K(x)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in K; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin K, \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

where I_K is the indicator function of K, and it is a lower semi-continuous convex function,

$$I_K(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in K; \\ \infty, & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases}$$
(2.12)

The characteristic function χ_K is log-concave functions with $u = I_K$ belongs to \mathcal{L} , $u^* = h_K$ belongs to \mathcal{L} if $0 \in int(K)$, for $p \in [1, +\infty)$, the function

$$((I_K) \cdot_p \alpha) \Box_p ((I_L) \cdot_p \beta) = \left[(\alpha (I_K^*)^p + \beta (I_L^*)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= \left[(\alpha h_K^p + \beta h_L^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= I_{\alpha \cdot_p K + p\beta \cdot_p L}.$$

Then $\alpha \cdot \chi_K \oplus \beta \cdot \chi_L = e^{-[I_K \cdot p \alpha \Box_p I_L \cdot p \beta]} = \chi_{\alpha \cdot p K + p \beta \cdot p L}$.

The following Proposition assert that the L_p Asplund sum of log-concave functions is closed in \mathcal{A}_0 .

Proposition 2.4 ([26]). Let f and g belong both to the same class \mathcal{A}_0 , and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Then $f \cdot_p \alpha \oplus_p \beta \cdot_p g$ belongs to \mathcal{A}_0 .

The total mass function of f is defined as

$$J(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx.$$
(2.13)

Clearly, when $f = \chi_K$, J(f) = V(K). Similar to the integral expression of mixed volume V(K, L), for $f = e^{-u}$ and $g = e^{-v}$ in \mathcal{A}_0 , the quantity $\delta J(f, g)$, which is called as the first variation of J at f along g is defined by (see [24])

$$\delta J(f,g) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{J(f \oplus t \cdot g) - J(f)}{t}$$

It has been shown that $\delta J(f,g)$ has the following integral expression,

$$\delta J(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_g d\mu(f,x), \qquad (2.14)$$

where $\mu(f, x)$ is the surface area measure of f on \mathbb{R}^n and is given by

$$u(f,x) = (\nabla u(x))_{\sharp} f(\mathcal{H}^n), \qquad (2.15)$$

here ∇u is the gradient of u in \mathbb{R}^n , that means, for any Borel function $g \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)d\mu(f,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(\nabla u(x))e^{-u(x)}dx.$$
(2.16)

Specially, if take f = g in (2.14), then

$$\delta J(f,f) = nJ(f) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \log f dx = J(nf + f \log f).$$
(2.17)

In the following sections we write $J(nf + f \log f)$ in terms of $J(f^{\diamond})$ for simplicity.

The L_p surface area measure of f, denoted by $\mu_p(f, \cdot)$ is given in [26].

Definition 2.2 ([26]). Let $f = e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ be a log-concave function, the L_p surface area measure of f, denoted as $\mu_p(f, \cdot)$, is the Boreal measure on Ω such that

$$\int_{\Omega} g(y) d\mu_p(f, y) = \int_{\{x \in dom(u): \nabla u(x) \in \Omega\}} g(\nabla u(x)) (h_f(\nabla u(x)))^{1-p} f(x) dx, \quad (2.18)$$

holds for every Borel function g such that $g \in L^1(\mu_p(f, \cdot))$.

Similarly, the first variation of the total mass at f along g with respect to the L_p Asplund sum is defined as,

Definition 2.3 ([26]). Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_0$. For $p \ge 1$, the first variation of the total mass of f along g with respect to the L_p Asplund sum is defined by

$$\delta J_p(f,g) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{J(f \oplus_p t \cdot_p g) - J(f)}{t}, \qquad (2.19)$$

whenever the limit exists.

The following integral expression of $\delta J_p(f,g)$ is L_p extension of (2.14) which is established in [26]. Specially, if take $f = e^{-I_K(x)}$ and $g = e^{-I_L(x)}$, where I_K and I_L are the indicator function of K and L. So J(f) = V(K) and J(g) = V(L), then we have $\delta J(f,g) = V_p(K,L)$.

Theorem 2.5 ([26]). Let $f = e^{-u} \in A_0$ and $g = e^{-v} \in A_0$. For $p \ge 1$, assume that g is an admissible p-perturbation for f. In addition, suppose that there exists a constant k > 0 such that

$$det(\nabla^2(h_f)^p) \le k(h_f)^{n(p-1)}det(\nabla^2 h_f),$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{o\}$. Then

$$\delta J_p(f,g) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (h_g)^p d\mu_p(f,x).$$
 (2.20)

Note that the support function of the log-concave function is nondecreasing, it's easy to get that if $g_1 \leq g_2$, then

$$\delta J_p(f,g_1) \le \delta J_p(f,g_2).$$

In the following, we normalize the $\delta J_p(f,g)$. For $f = e^{-u}$, $g = e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) = \left(\frac{p \cdot \delta J_p(f,g)}{J(f^\diamond)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{h_g}{h_f}\right)^p h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$
(2.21)

Note that $\frac{h_f d\mu(f,x)}{J(f^\circ)}$ is a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . For $p = \infty$ define

$$\overline{\delta}J_{\infty}(f,g) = \max\left\{\frac{h_g(x)}{h_f(x)} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\right\}.$$
(2.22)

Unless $\frac{h_g(x)}{h_f(x)}$ is a constant on \mathbb{R}^n , by the Jensen's inequality, it follows that $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) < \overline{\delta}J_q(f,g)$, for $1 \leq p < q < \infty$. For $p = \infty$, we have $\lim_{p \to \infty} \overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) = \overline{\delta}J_\infty(f,g)$. Moreover, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose
$$f = e^{-u}$$
, $g = e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $1 \le p < q < \infty$. Then
 $\overline{\delta}J_1(f,g) \le \overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) \le \overline{\delta}J_q(f,g) \le \overline{\delta}J_\infty(f,g)$. (2.23)

In order to establish the continuity of the L_p John ellipsoid for log-concave function in section 4, we give the following Lemma of the $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g)$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $f = e^{-u}$, $g = e^{-v}$, $g_0 = e^{-v_0} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, then $\left|\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) - \overline{\delta}J_p(f,g_0)\right| \le \frac{\|h_g - h_{g_0}\|_{\infty}}{\min\{|h_f| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}},$ (2.24)

for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the ∞ norms.

Proof. First suppose that $p < \infty$, by (2.21) and the triangle inequality for L_p norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g) - \overline{\delta}J_p(f,g_0)\right| &\leq \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\frac{h_g}{h_f} - \frac{h_{g_0}}{h_f}\right|^p h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|h_f|^p} h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \|h_g - h_{g_0}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{\|h_g - h_{g_0}\|_{\infty}}{\min\{|h_f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}}. \end{aligned}$$

The third inequality we use the fact that $\frac{h_f d\mu(f,x)}{J(f^\circ)}$ is a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . For $p \to \infty$, the continuous of the L_p norm with p shows that (2.24) holds for $p = \infty$ as well.

The following Lemma shows some Properties of $\delta J_p(f,g)$ and its normalizer.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that
$$f = e^{-u}$$
, $g = e^{-v} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, then
(1) $\delta J_p(f, f) = \frac{1}{p}J(f^\diamond)$.
(2) $\overline{\delta}J_p(f, f) = 1$.
(3) $\delta J_p(f, \lambda \cdot_p g) = \lambda \delta J_p(f, g)$, for $\lambda > 0$.
(4) $\overline{\delta}J_p(f, \lambda \cdot_p g) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}\overline{\delta}J_p(f, g)$, for $\lambda > 0$.
(5) $\delta J_p(\phi f, g) = |\det \phi|^{-1}\delta J_p(f, \phi^{-1}g)$, for all $\phi \in GL(n)$.
(6) $\overline{\delta}J_p(\phi f, g) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f, \phi^{-1}g)$, for all $\phi \in GL(n)$.

Proof. By formula (2.20) and Definition 2.3, it immediately gives (1) and (2).

In order to prove (3), by Definition 2.1, we have $h_{\lambda \cdot pf} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} h_f$. So we have $\delta J_p(f, \lambda \cdot pg) = \lambda \delta J_p(f, g)$. By (3), it yields (4) directly.

By the integral formula of the first variation (2.20), and note that $\nabla_x(\phi u) = \phi^t \nabla_{\phi x} u$, we have

$$\delta J_p(\phi f, g) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_g^p(x) d\mu_p(\phi f, x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_g^p(\nabla_x(\phi u)) h_{\phi f}^{1-p}(\nabla_x(\phi u)) e^{-\phi u} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\phi^{-1}g}^p(\nabla_{\phi x} u) h_f^{1-p}(\nabla_{\phi x} u) e^{-u(\phi x)} dx$$

$$= |\det \phi^{-1}| \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\phi^{-1}g}^p(\nabla u) h_f^{1-p}(\nabla u) e^{-u} dx$$

$$= |\det \phi|^{-1} \delta J_p(f, \phi^{-1}g).$$

On other hand, note that $J((\phi f)^{\diamond}) = |\det \phi|^{-1}J(f^{\diamond})$ and together with (5), it follows that $\overline{\delta}J_p(\phi f,g) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\phi^{-1}g)$. So we complete the proof. \Box

The following result will been used in the next section (see [52]).

Proposition 2.9 ([52]). Let D be a relatively open convex sets, and f_1, f_2, \cdots , be a sequence of finite convex functions on D. Suppose that the real number $f_1(x), f_2(x), \cdots$, is bounded for each $x \in D$. It is then possible to selected a subsequence of f_1, f_2, \cdots , which converges uniformly on closed bounded subset of D to some finite convex function f.

3. L_p John Ellipsoid for log-concave functions

Let $\gamma = e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}}$ be the standard Gaussian function. In the following, we set

$$\gamma_{\phi}(x) = e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^2}{2}},$$

where $\phi \in GL(n)$. It is worth noting that the Gaussian function γ_{ϕ} plays an important role in the study of the extremal problem of log-concave functions as the ellipsoids do for the study of the extremal problems of convex bodies. In fact, it is the unique function of \mathcal{A} which is self-dual, that is

$$f = e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}} \Longleftrightarrow f^o = f.$$

Now, Let us consider the following optimization problem.

The L_p optimization problem S_p $(p \ge 1)$ for log-concave functions f: Given a log-concave function $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$, find a Gaussian function γ_{ϕ} which solves the following constrained maximization problem:

$$\max\left(\frac{J(\gamma_{\phi})}{c_n}\right) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) \le 1. \tag{3.1}$$

The dual L_p optimization problem \overline{S}_p $(p \ge 1)$ for log-concave functions f: Given a log-concave function $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$, find a Gaussian function $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$ which solves the following constrained minimization problem:

$$\min \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \frac{J(\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})}{c_n} \ge 1.$$
(3.2)

Lemma 3.1. These above optimization problems for log-cocave functional are equivalent to:

(1) The problem S_p is equivalent to:

$$\max\left(\frac{J(\gamma_{\phi})}{c_n}\right) \quad subject \ to \quad \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) = 1.$$

(2) The dual problem \overline{S}_p is equivalent to:

$$\min \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}) \qquad subject \ to \quad \frac{J(\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})}{c_n} = 1.$$

Proof. (1) Assume that $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^2}{2}}$ is the solution of the problem S_p , if $\frac{J(\gamma_{\phi})}{c_n}$ obtains the maximum, but $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) \neq 1$, assume that $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi}) < 1$, then let

$$\overline{\gamma}_{\phi} = \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi}.$$

Then $h_{\overline{\gamma}_{\phi}} = \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})} h_{\gamma_{\phi}}$. Moreover, we have

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\overline{\gamma}_{\phi}) = \left[\frac{1}{J(f^{\diamond})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^p_{\overline{\gamma}_{\phi}} d\mu_p(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ = \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})} \left[\frac{1}{J(f^{\diamond})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^p_{\gamma_{\phi}} d\mu_p(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ = 1.$$

On the other hand, since $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi x\|^2}{2}}$, by the Definition 2.1 of the L_p multiplication, a simple computation shows that, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$J\left(\lambda^{p} \cdot_{p} \gamma_{\phi}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\lambda \frac{\|\phi(x/\lambda)\|^{2}}{2}} dx = \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}} J(\gamma_{\phi}).$$
(3.3)

Note that $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})^{-\frac{n}{2}} > 1$, then we have

$$J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi}\right) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})^{-\frac{n}{2}}J(\gamma_{\phi}) \ge J(\gamma_{\phi}).$$

This means that γ_{ϕ} is not a solution to the problem S_p , which is contract with our assumption, so we complete the proof of the first one. The similar with the proof of Problem $\overline{S_p}$, so we complete the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$. If γ_{ϕ} is a Gaussian function that is an solution for the problem S_p of f, then

$$\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_\phi)}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_\phi$$

is an solution for problem \overline{S}_p of f. Conversely, if $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$ is a Gaussian function that is an solution for the \overline{S}_p problem of f, then

$$\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$$

is an solution for problem S_p of f.

Proof. Let $\gamma_T = e^{-\frac{||T_X||}{2}}$, where $T \in GL(n)$, and satisfies $J(\gamma_T) \ge c_n$. By Lemma 2.8, it obviously has $\overline{\delta}J_p\left(f, \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f, \gamma_T)^p} \cdot_p \gamma_T\right) = 1$. Since γ_{ϕ} is an S_p solution for f, then

$$J(\gamma_{\phi}) \ge J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_T)^p} \cdot_p \gamma_T\right).$$

By (3.3) it shows

$$J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_T)^p} \cdot_p \gamma_T\right) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_T)^{-\frac{n}{2}}J(\gamma_T).$$
(3.4)

According to Lemma 3.1, we have $\overline{\delta}J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi}) = 1$. Then

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_T) \ge \left(\frac{J(\gamma_T)}{J(\gamma_\phi)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \ge \left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_\phi)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} = \overline{\delta}J_p\left(f, \left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_\phi)}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_\phi\right)$$

On the other hand, since $J\left(\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\phi})}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi}\right) = c_n$, it implies that the ellipsoid $\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\phi})}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi}$ is a solution to Problem \overline{S}_p . We complete the first assertion's proof.

Let $\gamma_{\overline{T}} = e^{-\frac{\|\overline{T}x\|^2}{2}}, \ \overline{T} \in GL(n)$, such that $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{T}}) \leq 1$. Then we have $J\left(\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\overline{T}})}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{T}}\right) \geq c_n$.

Since $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$ is solution of \overline{S}_p , we have

$$\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\overline{T}})}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{T}}) = \overline{\delta}J_p\left(f,\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\overline{T}})}\right)^{\frac{2p}{n}} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{T}}\right) \ge \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}).$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have $J(\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}) = c_n$. Hence the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$\frac{J(\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})^{\frac{n}{2}}} \ge \frac{J(\gamma_{\overline{T}})}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{T}})^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

By formula (3.4) again, it means

$$J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}\right) \ge J\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{T}})^p} \cdot_p \gamma_{\overline{T}}\right) \ge J(\gamma_{\overline{T}}).$$

On the other hand, since

$$\overline{\delta}J_p\left(f,\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})^p}\cdot_p\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}\right) = 1.$$

This completes the proof.

		L	
		L	
_	_	J	

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Then there exist a solution, $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$, satisfies the Optimization Problem \overline{S}_p .

Proof. By the definition of the optimization problem \overline{S}_p , let $\phi \in GL(n)$, if γ_{ϕ} is Gaussian function subject to $J(\gamma_{\phi}) = c_n$, then we have $\phi \in SL(n)$. The existence of the solution of the Problem \overline{S}_p is equivalent to find the $\phi_0 \in SL(n)$ which solves the following. Let $\phi \in SL(n)$, choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small so that for all $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$, $I + \epsilon \phi$ is invertible. For $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ define $\phi_{\epsilon} \in SL(n)$ by

$$\phi_{\epsilon} = \frac{I + \epsilon \phi}{\det(I + \epsilon \phi)^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

here I is the identity matrix and $|det\phi_{\epsilon}| = 1$. Then find ϕ_0 such that

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi_\epsilon}) = 0.$$
(3.5)

It is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \left(\frac{\|\phi_{\epsilon}^{-t}x\|^2}{2} \right)^p d\mu_p(f,x) = 0.$$

Since the norm of the vector and t^p are continuous functions, it grants there exists a solution of the above equation. So there exists a $\phi \in SL(n)$ such that $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})$.

We say that the γ_{ϕ} is the solution of the L_p functional optimization problem S_p , and we rewrite it as the γ_f . The following Corollary are obviously.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$, γ_f be the solution of the optimization problem S_p , then

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma_f) = 1. \tag{3.6}$$

By Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, it guarantees that there exists a unique solution for the optimization problem \overline{S}_p .

Theorem 3.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Then problem \overline{S}_p has a unique solution. Moreover a Gaussian function $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$ solves \overline{S}_p if and only if it satisfies

$$\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}(y) = \frac{n}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x,y\rangle|^2 h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x), \qquad (3.7)$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.5, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.6. Let $f = e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\overline{\phi} \in GL(n)$. If the Gaussian function $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$ solves the optimization functional problem \overline{S}_p , then

$$\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}(y) = \frac{n}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x,y\rangle|^2 h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x).$$

Proof. By the SL(n) invariance of the $\delta J_p(f,g)$, we may assume that $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}} = \gamma$ is the solution of problem \overline{S}_p . Let $\phi \in SL(n)$, choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small so that for all $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$, $I + \epsilon \phi$ is invertible. For $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ define $\phi_{\epsilon} \in SL(n)$ by

$$\phi_{\epsilon} = \frac{I + \epsilon \phi}{\det(I + \epsilon \phi)^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

here I is the identity matrix and $|det\phi_{\epsilon}| = 1$. Then

$$\delta J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi_0}) \leq \delta J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi_\epsilon}),$$

for all $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$. That means

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}) = 0.$$
(3.8)

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.3, we have $\gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}^{o} = \phi_{\epsilon}^{-t}\gamma$. Then $h_{\gamma_{\phi_{\epsilon}}^{o}}(x) = h_{\phi_{\epsilon}^{-t}\gamma}(x) = h_{\gamma}(\phi_{\epsilon}x)$. By the definition of $\delta J_p(f,g)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^p_{\gamma^o_{\phi}}(x)d\mu_p(f,x) = 0$$

It is equivalent to the following

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \left(\frac{\|\phi_{\epsilon}x\|^2}{2}\right)^p d\mu_p(f,x) = 0$$

Or equivalently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \Big(det(I+\epsilon\phi)^{-\frac{2p}{n}} \big(\langle x \cdot x \rangle + 2\epsilon \langle x \cdot \phi x \rangle + \epsilon^2 \langle \phi x \cdot \phi x \rangle \big)^p \Big) d\mu_p(f,x) = 0.$$

Since $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0} \det(I + \epsilon \phi) = trace(\phi)$, by a simple computation, we have,

$$trace(\phi)\delta J_p(f,\gamma) = \frac{n}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, \phi x \rangle \left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}\right)^{p-1} d\mu_p(f,x)$$

Choosing an appropriate ϕ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ gives

$$\delta_{i,j}\delta J_p(f,\gamma) = \frac{n}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, e_j \rangle h_{\gamma}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x),$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Koronecker symbols. Which in turn gives

$$||y||^{2} \delta J_{p}(f,\gamma) = \frac{n}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\langle x,y \rangle|^{2} h_{\gamma}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_{p}(f,x).$$
(3.9)

That means

$$\delta J_p(f,\gamma)h_\gamma(y) = \frac{n}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 h_\gamma^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x).$$
(3.10)

So we have

$$\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\overline{\phi}})h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}(y) = \frac{n}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle x,y\rangle|^2 h_{\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}^o}^{p-1}(x) d\mu_p(f,x).$$

We complete the proof.

Now we prove Theorem 3.5.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Lemma 3.6 grants that if $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$ is and \overline{S}_p solution of f, then the above formula holds.

Coversely, without loss of generality, we may prove that (3.7) holds when $\gamma_{\overline{\phi}} = \gamma$, that is $\overline{\phi} = I$. Then for any $\phi_1 \in GL(n)$, we shall prove that if $J(\gamma_{\phi_1}) = c_n$ for some $\phi_1 \in GL(n)$,

$$\delta J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi_1}) \ge \delta J_p(f, \gamma),$$

with equality if and only if $\gamma_{\phi_1} = \gamma$. Equivalently, we shall prove that if ϕ_1 is a positive definite symmetric matric with $|\phi_1| = 1$, and note that $\gamma_{\phi_1} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi_1 x\|}{2}}$ then

$$\frac{1}{p\delta J_p(f,\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\gamma\phi_1}^p(x) d\mu_p(f,x) \ge 1,$$

or equivanently,

$$\frac{1}{p\delta J_p(f,\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|^2}{\|x\|^2}\right)^p \left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}\right)^p d\mu_p(f,x) \ge 1,$$

with equality if and only $\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|} = 1$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Write $\phi_1^{-t} = OTO^t$, where $T = diag(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and O is an orthogonal matrix. To establish our inequality we need to show that if ϕ_1 is a positive definite symmetric matrix with det $\phi_1 = 1$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|}\right) \left(\frac{\|x\|}{2}\right)^p d\mu_p(f,x) \ge 0.$$

On the other hand, since $\nabla(\phi u) = \phi^t \nabla_{\phi x} u$ for each $\phi \in GL(n)$, and $(\phi u)^* = \phi^{-t} u^*$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\left(\frac{\|Tx\|^{2}}{\|x\|^{2}}\right)^{p} \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(Of, x) \\
= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\frac{\|TO^{t}\nabla_{Ox}u\|}{\|O^{t}\nabla_{Ox}u\|} \left(\frac{\|O^{t}\nabla_{Ox}u\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} \left(u^{*}(O^{-t}O^{t}\nabla_{Ox}u)\right)^{1-p} e^{-Ou} dx \\
= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\frac{\|TO^{t}\nabla u\|}{\|\nabla u\|} \left(\frac{\|\nabla u\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} \left(u^{*}(\nabla u)\right)^{1-p} e^{-u} dx \qquad (3.11) \\
= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\frac{\|TO^{t}x\|}{\|x\|} \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(f, x).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\left(\frac{\|\phi_{1}^{-t}x\|^{2}}{\|x\|^{2}}\right)^{p} \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(f,x) \\ &= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\frac{\|TO^{t}x\|}{\|x\|} \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(f,x) \\ &= 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\left(\frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}\right) \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(Of,x) \\ &= p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\lambda_{i}^{2}\right) \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(Of,x) \\ &\geq p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \log \lambda_{i}^{2}\right] \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{p} d\mu_{p}(Of,x) \\ &= 2p \delta J_{p}(Of,\gamma) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \lambda_{i} = 0. \end{split}$$
(3.12)

Where $x_i = \langle \frac{x}{\|x\|} \cdot e_i \rangle$. Then we have

$$\left[\frac{1}{p\delta J_p(f,\gamma)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}h_{\gamma_{\phi_1}}^p(x)d\mu_p(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\geq exp\left[\frac{2}{\delta J_p(f,\gamma)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\log\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|}\left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}\right)^pd\mu_p(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\geq 1.$$

$$(3.13)$$

The first inequality in (3.13) is a consequence of the Jensen's inequality, with equality holds if and only if there exist a constant c > 0 such that $\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|} = c$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, note that from the strict concave of the log-concave function that equality in (3.12) is possible only if $x_1 \cdots x_n \neq 0$ which implies $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus $||Tx|| = \lambda_i$ when $x_i \neq 0$. Now equality in (3.13) would force $\frac{\|\phi_1^{-t}x\|}{\|x\|} = c$ or equivalently $||T^{-t}x|| = c$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so that $\lambda_i = c$ for all *i*. This together with the fact $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n = 1$ shows that equality in (3.13) would imply T = I and hence $\phi_1 = I$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Then problem S_p has a unique solution. Moreover a Gaussian function γ_{ϕ} solves S_p if and only if it satisfies

$$\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\phi})}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}x)(y) = \frac{n}{4p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\langle x,y\rangle|^2h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}y)^{p-1}d\mu_p(f,x).$$
(3.14)

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. By computation shows $\alpha^p \cdot_p e^{-v} = e^{-\alpha v(\frac{x}{\alpha})}$, then $\alpha^p \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi} = \gamma_{\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\alpha}}}$. So we obtain $h_{(\alpha^p \cdot_p \gamma_{\phi})^{\circ}} = h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}x)$.

Together with Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\left(\frac{c_n}{J(\gamma_{\phi})}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}\delta J_p(f,\gamma_{\phi})h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}y) = \frac{n}{4p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\langle x,y\rangle|^2h_{\gamma}(\sqrt{\alpha}\phi^{-t}y)^{p-1}d\mu_p(f,x).$$

Now we define L_p John ellipsoid for log-concave functions.

Definition 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ be log-concave function, the unique Gaussian function that solves the constrained maximization problem

$$\max J(\phi\gamma) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \overline{\delta}J_p(f,\phi\gamma) \le 1, \tag{3.15}$$

is called the L_p John ellipsoid of f and denoted by $E_p f$. The unique Gaussian function that solves the constrained minimization problem

$$\min \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \phi \gamma) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \frac{J(\phi \gamma)}{c_n} = 1, \tag{3.16}$$

is called the normalized L_p John ellipsoid of f and denoted by $\overline{E}_p f$.

Specially, if we take $f = e^{-\frac{\|x\|_K^2}{2}}$ for $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, since the Gaussian function γ_{ϕ} can be viewed as $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|x\|_E^2}{2}}$, where E is the origin-centered ellipsoid. Then Definition 3.1 deduce the definition of L_p John ellipsoid defined in [46].

Since $\overline{\delta}J_p(\phi f, g) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f, \phi^{-1}g)$ for $\phi \in GL(n)$, then we have the following result.

Proposition 3.8. Let $f \in A_0$. Then

$$E_p(Tf) = T(E_p f). aga{3.17}$$

Proof. By the definition of problem S_p , set $E_p f = \gamma_{\phi}$, since $\overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_{\phi}) = 1$. By the Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(Tf, T\gamma_\phi) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f, T^{-1}T\gamma_\phi) = \overline{\delta}J_p(f, \gamma_\phi) = 1.$$
(3.18)

By the uniqueness of the problem S_p , we have $E_p(Tf) = T(E_pf)$. So we complete the proof.

If we take $f = \gamma$, then we have $E_p \gamma = \gamma$. Moreover by Proposition 3.8, we have the following.

Corollary 3.9. Let $\gamma_{\phi} = e^{-\frac{\|\phi_{\pi}\|^2}{2}}$, for $\phi \in GL(n)$, then $E_p(\gamma_{\phi}) = \gamma_{\phi}$.

4. Continuity

In this section, we will show that the family of L_p John ellipsoids for logconcave functions is continuous in $p \in [1, \infty)$. First let $f = e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, note that if $\overline{E}_p f$ is a solution of problem \overline{S}_p , then there exist a $\overline{\phi} \in SL(n)$ such that $\overline{E}_p f = \gamma_{\overline{\phi}} = e^{-\frac{\|\overline{\phi}x\|^2}{2}}$. **Theorem 4.1.** Let f and $\{f_i\}$ in \mathcal{A}_0 , such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} f_i = f$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \overline{E}_p f_i = \overline{E}_p f. \tag{4.1}$$

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following Theorem. First we prove that $d\mu_p(f_i, x) \to d\mu_p(f, x)$

Lemma 4.2. Let $f = e^{-u}$, $f_i = e^{-u_i} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, if $f_i \to f$, then $d\mu_p(f_i, x) \to d\mu_p(f, x)$.

Proof. We only need to prove that, for any function $g \in L^1(\mu_p(f, \cdot))$,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\mu_p(f_i, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\mu_p(f, x).$$
(4.2)

Set $a = \max\{|g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, $b = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ $b_i = \max\{|f_i(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, $c_i = \max\{|h_{f_i}(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, $c = \max\{|h_f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. Note that f and $f_i \in \mathcal{A}_0$ are integrable, and $h_{f_i} \to h_f$ whenever $f_i \to f$, then there exist an $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f_i - f| < \frac{\epsilon}{2ac_i^p}$ for $i \ge N_1$, and $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|h_{f_i} - h_f| < \frac{\epsilon}{2ab\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c^j c_i^{p-1-j}}$ for $i \ge N_2$. Since $d\mu_p(f, x) = h_f^{1-p} f dx$, so we can choose $i \ge \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, then

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g d\mu_p(f_i, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g d\mu_p(f, x) \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| g h_{f_i}^p f_i - g h_f^p f \right| dx, \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| g h_{f_i}^p \right| |f_i - f| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| g f \right| |h_{f_i}^p - h_f^p| dx. \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{split}$$

So we complete the proof.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose $f = e^{-u}$, $f_i = e^{-u_i}$, $g = r^{-v}$, $g_j = e^{-v_j} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f_i \to f$, $g_i \to g$, then

$$\lim_{i,j\to\infty} \delta J_p(f_i,g_j) = \delta J_p(f,g).$$
(4.3)

Proof. Since $f_i \to f, g_i \to g$, by the Definition of $\delta J_p(f,g)$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d\mu_{p}(f_{i}, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g}^{p} d\mu_{p}(f, x) \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d\mu_{p}(f_{i}, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{g_{i}}^{p} d\mu_{p}(f, x) \right| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |h_{g_{i}}^{p} - h_{g}^{p}| d\mu_{p}(f, x).$$
(4.4)

Note that h_g and h_{g_i} are bounded, set $c_i = \max\{|g_i(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ and $c_0 = \max\{|g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, then c_i , c_0 are bounded. Let $c = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} c_i^j c_0^{p-1-j}$ and $|h_{g_i} - h_g| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2c}$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{g_i}^p - h_g^p| d\mu_p(f, x) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(4.5)

By Lemma 4.2, we can show that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{g_i}^p d\mu_p(f_i, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{g_i}^p d\mu_p(f, x)\right| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(4.6)

So together with formulas (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) we can choose unified N such that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{g_i}^p d\mu_p(f_i, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_g^p d\mu_p(f, x)\right| \le \epsilon$$

We complete the proof.

Now we give a proof of Theorem 4.1

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Theorem 4.3, and $J(f_i^\diamond) \to J(f^\diamond)$ when $i \to \infty$, then we have

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \delta J_p(f_i, E_p f_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \min_{J(\gamma_\phi) = c_n} \delta J_p(f_i, \gamma_\phi)$$
$$= \min_{J(\gamma_\phi) = c_n} \lim_{i \to \infty} \overline{\delta} J_p(f_i, \gamma_\phi)$$
$$= \min_{J(\gamma_\phi) = c_n} \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_\phi)$$
$$= \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \overline{E}_p f).$$

We complete the proof.

Note that $\overline{E}_p f$ is bounded for $p \in [0, \infty]$. Thus in order to establish the continuity of $\overline{E}_p f$ in $p \in [0, \infty]$, (2.24) shows that the $\overline{\delta}J_p(K, \cdot)$ is continuity of $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Lemma 4.4. If $p_0 \in [1, \infty]$, then

$$\lim_{p \to p_0} \overline{\delta} J_p(f, \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}) = \overline{\delta} J_{p_0}(f, \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}).$$
(4.7)

for some $\overline{\phi} \in SL(n)$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $f = e^{-u} \in A_0$, and $1 \le p \le q < \infty$, $E_p f$ be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$J(E_{\infty}f) \le J(E_qf) \le J(E_pf) \le J(E_1f).$$
(4.8)

Proof. The definition of $\overline{\delta}J_p(f,g)$, together with Jensenn's inequality, for $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, we have

$$\overline{\delta}J_p(f,\gamma) = \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{h_\gamma}{h_f}\right)^p h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$\leq \left[\frac{1}{J(f^\diamond)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{h_\gamma}{h_f}\right)^q h_f d\mu(f,x)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$= \overline{\delta}J_q(f,\gamma).$$

By Definition 3.1, we have

 $E_q f = \max\left\{E'f: \overline{\delta}J_q(f, Ef) \le 1\right\} \le \max\left\{E'f: \overline{\delta}J_q(f, Ef) \le 1\right\} = E_p f.$

This implies $J(E_q f) \leq J(E_p f)$. For $p \to \infty$, by the definition of (2.22), and the continuity of $p \in [1, \infty]$, we have $E_{\infty} f = \lim_{p \to \infty} E_p f$, we complete the proof. \Box

Theorem 4.6. Let $f = e^{-u} \in A_0$, such that J(f) > 0. Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $E_p f$ be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$J(E_p f) \le J(f). \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. By the definition 3.1, we have

$$1 = \overline{\delta} J_p(f, E_p f)^p = \frac{p \cdot \delta J_p(f, E_p f)}{J(f^\diamond)},$$

The L_p Minkowski inequality for log-concave functions (see [26]) says that

$$\frac{J(f^{\diamond})}{p} \ge \delta J_p(f, f) + J(f) \log \frac{J(E_p f)}{J(f)}.$$

This means that

$$J(f)\log\frac{J(E_pf)}{J(f)} \le 0.$$

Sine J(f) > 0, then $\log \frac{J(E_p f)}{J(f)} \le 0$. That means $J(E_p f) < J(f)$.

т		1
н		1
н		1
		_

The functional Blaschke-Santaló inequality, proved for even functions in [11], and given in full generality in [6] says that for log-concave function $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$, the following inequality holds $P(f) < P(\gamma)$,

that is

$$J(f)J(f^o) \le J(\gamma)^2 = (2\pi)^n.$$

So combine with the Theorem 4.6, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.7. Let $f = e^{-u} \in A_0$, such that J(f) > 0. Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $E_p f$ be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$J(E_p f)J(E_p f^o) \le c_n^2. \tag{4.10}$$

where $c_n = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

In the following, denoting by Δ_n and B_{∞}^n the regular simplex centered at the origin and the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^n . To establish the L_p Ball's ratio inequality for log-concave function, we need the following results, more details see [5].

Theorem 4.8 ([5]). Let $f \in A_0$, Ef be the functional John ellipsoid of f, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(Ef)} \le \frac{J(g_c)}{J(Eg_c)},\tag{4.11}$$

where $g_c(x) = e^{-\|x\|_{\Delta_n-c}}$ for any $c \in \Delta_n$. Furthermore, there is equality if and only if $\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\infty}} = Tg_c$ for some affine map T and some $c \in \Delta_n$. If we assume f to be even, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(Ef)} \le \frac{J(g)}{J(Eg)},\tag{4.12}$$

where $g(x) = e^{-\|x\|_{B_{\infty}^{n}}}$, with equality if and only if $\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\infty}} = Tg$ for some linear map $T \in GL(n)$.

Moreover, by compute the right hand of the above formulas, it gives

Lemma 4.9 ([5]). Let $f \in A_0$, Ef be the functional John ellipsoid of f, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(Ef)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\Delta_n|}{|E\Delta_n|},\tag{4.13}$$

If we assume f to be even, then

$$I.rat(f) \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|B_{\infty}^{n}|}{|E_{B_{\infty}^{n}}|}.$$
(4.14)

Now we give the Ball's volume ration inequality for log-concave function.

Theorem 4.10. Let $f = e^{-u} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, such that J(f) > 0. Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $E_p f$ be the solution of constrained maximization problem, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{n^{\frac{n-2}{n}} (n+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} e}{(n!)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \omega_n}.$$
(4.15)

If f is even then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{2^n}{\omega_n}.$$
(4.16)

where ω_n is the volume of ball B^n .

Proof. By Theorem 4.5, and the fact $E_{\infty}f = Ef$, then we have

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{J(f)}{J(Ef)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\Delta_n|}{|J\Delta_n|}.$$

On the other hand, note that the volume of \triangle_n is given by $|\triangle_n| = \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}n!}$, and the inradius of \triangle_n is given by $r_{\triangle_n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n(n+1)}}$. Then by a simple computation gives

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\Delta_n|}{|J\Delta_n|} = \frac{n^{\frac{n-2}{n}} (n+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} e}{(n!)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \omega_n}.$$

If f is even, then

$$\frac{J(f)}{J(E_p f)} \le \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|B_{\infty}^n|}{|JB_{\infty}^n|} = \frac{e}{n} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{2^n}{\omega_n}.$$

So we complete the proof.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to strongly thank the anonymous referee for the very valuable comments and helpful suggestions that directly lead to improve the original manuscript.

REFERENCES

- D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, A reverse Rogers-Shephard inequality for log-concave functions, J. Geom. Anal. 29 (2019), 299–315.
- D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, J. Bernués, and B. Merino, An extension of Berwald's inequality and its relation to Zhang's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 486 (2020), 123875.
- D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, B. Merino, C. Jiménez, and R. Villa, Roger-Shephard inequality for log-concave functions, J. Funct. Anal. 271 (2016), 3269–3299.
- John's ellipsoid and the integral ratio of a log-concave function, J. Geom. Anal. 28 (2018), 1182–1201.
- D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, B. Merino, C. Jiménez, and R. Villa, John's Ellipsoid and the Integral Ratio of a Log-Concave Function, J. Geom. Anal. 28 (2018), 1182–1201.
- A. Artstein-Avidan, B. Klartag, and V. Milman, The santaló point of a function, and a function form of the Santaló inequality, Mthematika 51 (2004), 33–48.
- S. Artstein-Avidan, D.I. Florentin, and A. Segal, Functional Brunn-Minkowski inequalities induced by polarity, Adv. Math. 364 (2020), 107006.
- 8. S. Artstein-Avidan and V. Milman, The concept of duality in convex analysis, and the characterization of the Legendre transform, Ann. Math. 169 (2009), no. 2, 661–674.
- 9. _____, A characterization of the support map, Adv. Math. 223(1) (2010), 379–391.
- S. Avidan, B. Klartag, C. Schütt, and E. Werner, Functional affine-isoperimetry and an inverse logarithmic Sobolev inequality, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 4181–4204.
- K. Ball, Isometric Problems in l_p and Section of Convex Sets, Ph.D dissertation, Cambridge, 1986.
- Volumes of sections of cubes and related problems. In: Lindenstrauss J., Milman V.D. (eds) Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1367, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- 13. _____, Volume ratios and a reverse isoperimetric inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2)44 (1991), 351–359.
- 14. ____, Ellipsoids of maximal volume in convex bodies, Geom. Dedicata (2)41 (1992), 241–250.
- H. Brascamp and E. Lieb, On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to diffusion equation, J. Funct. Anal. 22 (1976), 366–389.
- U. Caglar, M. Fradelizi, O. Guédon, J. Lehec, C. Schüett, and E. Werner, Functional versions of L_p-affine surface area and entropy inequalities, Int. Math. Res. Not. 4 (2016), 1223–1250.
- U. Caglar and E. Werner, Divergence for s-concave and log concave functions, Adv. Math. 257 (2014), 219–247.
- Mixed f-divergence and inequalities for log concave functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 110(2) (2015), 271–290.
- U. Caglar and D. Ye, Affine isoperimetric inequaities in the functional Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory, Adv. Appl. Math. 81 (2016), 78–114.
- 20. ____, Affine isoperimetric inequalities in the functional Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory, Adv. Appl. Math. 81 (2016), 78–114.
- K. Chou and X. Wang, The L_p-Minkowski problem and the Minkowski problem in centroaffine geometry, Adv. Math. 205 (2006), 33–83.
- A. Colesanti, Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for variational functionals and related problems, Adv. Math. 257 (2005), 219–247.
- 23. ____, Functional inequality related to the Rogers-Shephard inequality, Mathematika 53 (2006), 81–101.
- A. Colesanti and I. Fragalà, The first variation of the total mass of log-concave functions and related inequalities, Adv. Math. 244 (2013), 708–749.
- 25. D. Erasusquin and B. Klartag, Moment measure, J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015), 3834–3866.

- N. Fang, S. Xing, and D. Ye, Geometry of log-concave functions: the L_p Asplund sum and the L_p Minkowski problem, arXiv preprint arXiv: 2006. 16959 (2020).
- N. Fang and J. Zhou, LYZ ellipsoid and Petty projection body for log-concave function, Adv. Math. 340 (2018), 914–959.
- B. Fleury, O. Guédon, and G. Paouris, A stability result for mean width of L_p-centrodi bodies, Adv. Math. 214 (2007), 865–877.
- M. Fradelizi and M. Meyer, Some functional forms of Blaschke-Santaló inequality, Math. Z. 256 (2007), 379–395.
- 30. R. Gardner, The Brunn-Minkowski inequality, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (2002), 355–405.
- 31. ____, Geometric Tomography, 2nd edition, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 58, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- A. Giannopoulos and V. Milman, Extremal problem and isotropic position of convex bodies, Israel. J. Math. 117 (2000), 29–60.
- 33. P. Gruber, Convex and Discrete Geometry, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- 34. _____, John and Loewner ellipsoids, Discrete Comput. Geom. 46 (2011), 776–788.
- D. Gutiérrez, A reverse Rogers Shephard inequality for log-concave functions, J. Geom. Anal. 29 (2019), 299–315.
- C. Haberl, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *The even Orlicz Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math. 224 (2010), 2485–2510.
- 37. C. Haberl and F. Schuster, General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom. 83 (2009), 1–26.
- B. Klartag, On John-type ellipsoids, Geometric Aspects of Fnctional Analysis. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1850 (2004), 149–158.
- 39. D. Lewis, Ellipsoid defined by Banach ideal norms, Mathematika 26 (1979), 18–29.
- B. Li, C. Schütt, and E. Werner, The Löwner Function of a Log-Concave Function, J. Geom. Anal. (2019), 1–34.
- Y. Lin, Affine Orlicz Pólya-Szegö for log-concave functions, J. Funct. Anal. 273 (2017), 3295–3326.
- 42. M. Ludwig, General affine surface areas, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 2346–2360.
- E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey Theory I: Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, J. Differential Geom. 38 (1993), 131–150.
- 44. ____, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey Theory II: Affine and geominimal surface area, Adv. Math. 118 (1996), 224–194.
- E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom. 56 (2000), 111–132.
- 46. _____, L_p John ellipsoids, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **90** (2005), 497–520.
- G. Paouris and E. Werner, Relative entropy of cone measures and L_p centroid bodies, Proc. Landon Math. Soc. 104 (2012), 253–286.
- 48. G. Pisier, *The colume of convex bodies and banach space geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- A. Prékopa, New proof for the basic theorem of logconcave measures, Alkalmaz. Mat. Lapok 1 (1975), 385–389.
- 50. T. Rockafellar, Convex analysis, Princeton Press, Princeton, 1970.
- L. Rotem, On the mean width of log-concave functions, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2050, Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- 52. _____, Support functions and mean width for α -concave functions, Adv. Math. 243 (2013), 168–186.
- 53. R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- C. Schütt and E. Werner, Surface bodies and p-affine surface area, Adv. Math. 187 (2004), 98–145.
- 55. A. Stancu, The discrete planar L_0 -Minkwoski problem, Adv. Math. 167 (2002), 160–174.

- 56. ____, Centro-affine invariants fot smooth conve bodies, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 10 (2012), 2289–2320.
- 57. E. Werner, Renyi Divergence and L_p affine surface area for convex bodies, Adv. Math. 230 (2012), 1040–1059.
- 58. E. Werner and D. Ye, New L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), 762–780.
- 59. D. Zou and G. Xiong, Orlicz-John ellipsoids, Adv. Math. 265 (2014), 132–168.

 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, GUIZHOU UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, GUIYANG, GUIZHOU 550025, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. *Email address*: cfw-yy@126.com *Email address*: 16995239@qq.com

2. School of Mathematical Sciences, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang, Guizhou 550025, People's Republic of China

 $Email \ address: \verb"lm975318@126.com"$

Email address: yangcongli@gznu.edu.cn