LOCAL COMPLEMENTATION UNDER FREE CONSTRUCTIONS

ANTONIO AVILÉS, GONZALO MARTÍNEZ-CERVANTES, AND ABRAHAM RUEDA ZOCA

ABSTRACT. We study how local complementation behaves under certain free constructions in Functional Analysis, including free Lipschitz spaces and free Banach lattices.

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of freely generated structures is widespread in different fields of mathematics. Ignoring technicalities for a moment, the basic idea is that if we have two categories $Cat_2 \subset Cat_1$, and an object M of Cat_1 , a free object generated by M in Cat_2 is an object F(M) together with a morphism $\delta: M \longrightarrow F(M)$ in Cat_1 with the universal property: For every object X in Cat_2 and every morphism $f: M \longrightarrow X$ in Cat_1 there exists a unique morphism $T_f: F(M) \longrightarrow X$ in Cat_2 such that $T_f \circ \delta = f$.

The most elementary example is when Cat_1 is the category of sets, and Cat_2 are vector spaces. A free vector space generated by a set M is just a vector space that contains M as a basis. The free universal property expresses the idea that linear maps on a vector space are determined by arbitrary maps defined on the basis.

In this paper we will be interested in two free constructions in the realm of functional analysis. One of them is when considering Cat_1 to be the category of metric spaces and Lipschitz functions and Cat_2 the category of Banach spaces and bounded linear operators. The so-called Lipschitz free Banach spaces $\mathcal{F}(M)$ have been a popular subject of research after the seminal paper of Godefroy and Kalton [10], cf. [9]. The second example is when Cat_1 is the category of Banach spaces and Cat_2 is the category of Banach lattices, a construction introduced in [1] generalizing [5]. In all these categories, morphisms f have a

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B07, 46B20, 46B40, 46B42.

Key words and phrases. Free structures; Local complementation; Banach lattice; Free Banach lattice; Free Lipschitz spaces.

Research partially supported by Fundación Séneca [20797/PI/18] and by project MTM2017-86182-P (Government of Spain, AEI/FEDER, EU). The research of G. Martínez-Cervantes was co-financed by the European Social Fund and the Youth European Initiative under Fundación Séneca [21319/PDGI/19]. José David Rodríguez Abellán was supported by project 20262/FPI/17. Fundación Séneca. Región de Murcia (Spain). The research of Abraham Rueda Zoca was supported by Juan de la Cierva-Formación fellowship FJC2019-039973, by MTM2017-86182-P (Government of Spain, AEI/FEDER, EU), by MICINN (Spain) Grant PGC2018-093794-B-I00 (MCIU, AEI, FEDER, UE), by Junta de Andalucía Grant A-FQM-484-UGR18 and by Junta de Andalucía Grant FQM-0185.

natural norm ||f|| that is required to be preserved in the definitions of free object.

When free objects exist, they are easily seen to be essentially unique, and to induce a functor, so that to every morphism $f: M \longrightarrow N$ in Cat_1 , we associate a morphism $F(f): F(M) \longrightarrow F(N)$ in Cat_2 that is characterized by $F(f) \circ \delta_M = \delta_N \circ f$. A natural question is what kind of properties of f are transferred to F(f).

For example, if f is an inclusion (i.e. an isomorphism onto its range), is F(f) also an inclusion? Informally speaking, does $N \subset M$ imply $F(N) \subset F(M)$? In the case of free Lipschitz spaces, the answer is affirmative when \mathbb{R} is the scalar field because $F(M)^* = Lip(M)$ and because every Lipschitz-function $f: N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ extends to a Lipschitz function $\tilde{f}: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the same Lipschitz norm [18, Theorem 1.33].

In the case of free Banach lattices, this happens to be a delicate question recently addressed by Oikhberg, Taylor, Tradacete and Troitsky^{*}. A stronger property than being an inclusion is having a left inverse. This property is obviously preserved: If $g \circ f = id_M$, then $F(g) \circ F(f) = id_{F(M)}$. In the Banach space setting, having a left inverse means that the range is a complemented subspace. In this paper we will investigate the preservation under free constructions of local complementation. This is an important weakening of complementation, arising from the *local theory* of Banach spaces, where we are concerned with what happens at the level of finite-dimensional subspaces controlled by a uniform constant.

Definition 1.1. Let $Y \subset X$ be Banach spaces and $\lambda \geq 1$. We say that Y is locally λ complemented in X if for every finite-dimensional subspace V of X and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there
exists an operator $T: V \longrightarrow Y$ so that T(v) = v for every $v \in V \cap Y$ and $||T|| \leq \lambda + \varepsilon$.

Perhaps the most remarkable fact related to this notion is the principle of local reflexivity that asserts that every Banach space is locally 1-complemented in its bidual (due to Lindenstrauss and Rosenthal [16], see e.g. [6, Theorem 9.15]). A more general fact is that local λ -complementation can be characterized by the existence of an operator $S: X \longrightarrow Y^{**}$ of norm at most λ that extends the canonical inclusion $Y \longrightarrow Y^{**}$, also by the existence of a right inverse for the dual operator $X^* \longrightarrow Y^*$, and also by the existence of λ -bounded extensions of operators into dual Banach spaces: every $T: Y \longrightarrow Z^*$ extends to $\tilde{T}: X \longrightarrow Z^*$ with $\|\tilde{T}\| \leq \lambda \|T\|$. We may refer to the works of Fakhoury [7] and Kalton [14] as fundamental early references on the theory. An open problem posed by Kalton [15] is whether local complementation is equivalent to the existence of a Lipschitz retraction $r: X \longrightarrow Y$. We consider a natural notion of Lipschitz local complementation (and some variants) and we show that it is equivalent to usual local complementation, cf. Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

Another main result (Corollary 4.2) is that local complementation is preserved by suitable free functors: If N is a locally complemented subspace of a Banach space M, then F[N]

^{*}Result announced in a talk given by M. Taylor on October 16th, 2020, at the Banach spaces webinars.

is locally complemented in F[M]. This gives in particular a sufficient condition for the preservation of isomorphic embeddings. A more precise characterization of when F[N] is locally complemented in F[M] is given in Theorem 4.1. These results apply to the free Banach lattice generated by a Banach space as well as to the free Lipschiz space of a Banach space. In the latter case, we are recovering a result of Fakhoury [7] that for Banach spaces $N \subset M$, the adjoint $M^* \longrightarrow N^*$ admits a right inverse operator if and only if the restriction between the spaces of Lipschitz maps $Lip_0(M) \longrightarrow Lip_0(N)$ admits a right inverse operator. Remember that $Lip_0(X) = \mathcal{F}(X)^*$.

We will treat the different free constructions from a unified perspective, by considering two categories Cat_1 and Cat_2 with some hypotheses. The category Cat_2 could be Banach spaces or Banach lattices but also the *p*-convex Banach lattices for which a free functor has been studied in [13]. For the category Cat_1 only Banach spaces and metric spaces with Lipschitz functions correspond to cases existing in the literature, but it could be any intermediate category like metric spaces with a scalar multiplication, or things of the like. Despite our efforts to make Cat_1 general enough to include the class of distributive lattices, in order to apply our main results to free Banach lattices generated by a lattice (see [3] for a definition), it turned out that this class require a different treatment since several difficulties arise; we refer the reader to [2] for a detailed study of this case.

Another important result on local complementation is the Theorem of Sims and Yost [11, Lemma III.4.4]: given any Banach space X and any subspace Y of X there exists a locally complemented subspace Z of X such that $Y \subseteq Z$ and dens(Z) = dens(Y), where dens denotes the density character. An anologous fact in the Lipschitz category has been recently proved in [12]. A consequence of our results is that, under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1, objects of the form F[X] with X a nonseparable Banach space have plenty of locally complemented subspaces of the form F[Y] with $Y \subset X$ separable.

2. CATEGORICAL FRAMEWORK

We first discuss how to set a unified framework to treat free Lipschitz spaces and free Banach lattices at once. Marcel de Jeu [8] makes an attempt at that, but his approach does not fit our needs because we must impose some technical requirements that are too clumsy to be expressed in a purely categorical language. Instead, we incorporate a little bit of universal algebra or model theory inspired by the idea of continuous logic, cf. [4]. We will not enter into deep waters with this, we will just introduce in a self-contained way a notion that is good for us, that we have called a metric-algebraic category and is rather simple.

For a category Cat, we denote by Obj(Cat) the class of objects in Cat, whereas Hom(Cat) denotes the class of morphisms in Cat. A metric-algebraic category Cat is one whose objects and morphisms can be described in the following way.

Objects of the category Cat will be of the form $(X, d, \{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I})$ where d is a metric on the set X and σ_i is an n_i -ary operation on X for some $n_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. Remember that this

means a function $\sigma_i \colon X^{n_i} \longrightarrow X$. The category is fully determined if we give the set I, the function $i \mapsto n_i$, and a set of axioms that determines whether a given $(X, d, \{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I})$ is an actual object of Cat or not.

The morphisms between $(X, d, \{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I})$ and $(Y, d', \{\tau_i\}_{i \in I})$ will be the functions $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ that are Lipschitz and preserve the operations. That is,

$$||T|| := \sup\left\{\frac{d'(Tx, Ty)}{d(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y\right\} < +\infty,$$
$$T(\sigma_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i})) = \tau_i(Tx_1, \dots, Tx_{n_i}) \text{ for all } (x_1, \dots, x_{n_i}) \in X^{n_i}.$$

Notice that, by the first property, a morphism T in such a category always has an associated norm ||T||. One example would be the category Metric of metric spaces with a distinguised point 0 and Lipschitz functions, which can be seen in the form

(M, 0),

where the element 0 is viewed as 0-ary operation. Banach spaces constitute also a metricalgebraic category, because a Banach space can be viewed in the form

$$(X, d, 0, +, \{r \cdot\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}})$$

where d is the distance induced by the norm, the element 0 is viewed as 0-ary operation, and r represents the 1-ary operation of scalar multiplication by r. This is not the only possibility, we can also describe a Banach space declaring all possible linear combinations as distinct operations, together with any set of axioms equivalent to the usual ones:

$$(X, d, \{\sigma_{r_1, \dots, r_n}\}_{r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}})$$

where $\sigma_{r_1,\ldots,r_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ will mean $r_1x_1 + r_2x_2 + \cdots + r_nx_n$. We will denote by Banach the metric-algebraic category of Banach spaces, represented by any set of linear operations and axioms that we like. By adding the lattice operations, Banach lattices also constitute a metric-algebraic category BLattices, whose morphisms are the bounded operators that commute with \vee and \wedge .

If Cat_1 and Cat_2 are two metric-algebraic categories, $Cat_2 \subseteq Cat_1$ will mean that the list of operations and axioms that defines Cat_2 contains the list that defines Cat_1 . On these grounds every object in Cat_2 can be naturally viewed as an object of Cat_1 by ignoring some operations. That is the explanation for the notation $Cat_2 \subseteq Cat_1$. For example,

$\mathsf{BLattices} \subseteq \mathsf{Banach} \subseteq \mathsf{Metric.}$

In our context, the notion of free object can be formulated as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let Cat_1 , Cat_2 be two metric-algebraic categories with $Cat_2 \subseteq Cat_1$. Let X be an object in Cat_1 . A *free object* generated by X in Cat_2 is a pair $(\delta_X, F[X])$, where $F[X] \in Obj(Cat_2)$ and $\delta_X \colon X \longrightarrow F[X]$ is a morphism in Cat_1 with the property that for every $Z \in \mathsf{Cat}_2$ and every morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Z$ in Cat_1 there exists a unique morphism $T_f: F[X] \longrightarrow Z$ in Cat_2 such that $||T_f|| = ||f||$ and $T_f \circ \delta_X = f$.



If we had two free objects $(\delta_X, F[X])$ and $(\delta'_X, F'[X])$ generated by X then the universal property of the definition would induce two inverse isomorphisms between F[X] and F'[X]that commute with the δ 's. This means that the free object is unique up to isomorphisms in Cat_2 (see, e.g., the comment below [8, Definition 2.1]). If we choose a representative $(\delta_X, F[X])$ for each X, the association $X \mapsto F[X]$ defines a functor: if $X, Y \in \mathsf{Obj}(\mathsf{Cat}_1)$ and $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ is a morphism in Cat_1 , then f induces a morphism $F[f] := T_{\delta_X \circ f} :$ $F[Y] \longrightarrow F[X]$, characterized by the fact that $F[f] \circ \delta_Y = \delta_X \circ f$.

3. Local complementation relative to a category Cat_1

We look now at local complementation in a more general framework, when we relativize to some metric-algebraic category $Cat_1 \supseteq Banach$. When $Cat_1 = Banach$, what Lemma 3.1 states are the different characterizations of local complementation in Banach spaces mentioned in the introduction. The other case that we may have in mind is when $Cat_1 = Metric$, so that morphisms become Lipschitz maps instead of operators.

We need an extra hypothesis. A metric-algebraic category Cat_1 will be called *nice* if whenever $f: A \longrightarrow B$ is a morphism in Cat_1 and $D \subset B$ is an object of Cat_1 with the operations inherited from B, then $f^{-1}(D)$ is also an object of Cat_1 with the operations inherited from A.

Theorem 3.1. Let Cat_1 be a nice metric-algebraic category with $Banach \subseteq Cat_1$. Let X be a Banach space, $Y \subseteq X$ be a subspace, $\lambda \ge 1$ and set $i: Y \to X$ the canonical inclusion. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (1) For every dual Banach space Z^* and every morphism $f: Y \longrightarrow Z^*$ in Cat_1 there exists a morphism $h: X \longrightarrow Z^*$ in Cat_1 extending f with $||h|| \le \lambda ||f||$.
- (2) There is a morphism $h: X \longrightarrow Y^{**}$ in Cat_1 with $||h|| \leq \lambda$ extending the canonical inclusion $j: Y \longrightarrow Y^{**}$.
- (3) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every finite set $G \subseteq X$, there exists $V \in \text{Obj}(\mathsf{Cat}_1)$ with $G \subset V \subset X$ and a morphism $\varphi \colon V \longrightarrow Y$ in Cat_1 with $\|\varphi\| \leq \lambda(1+\varepsilon)$ so that $\varphi(e) = e$ holds for every $e \in V \cap Y$.
- (4) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every finite set $G \subseteq X$, there exists $V \in \text{Obj}(\mathsf{Cat}_1)$ with $G \subset V \subset X$ and a morphism $\varphi : V \longrightarrow Y$ in Cat_1 with $\|\varphi\| \le \lambda(1+\varepsilon)$ so that $\|\varphi(e) e\| < \varepsilon$ holds for every $e \in V \cap Y$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). It is immediate taking $Z^* = Y^{**}$ and f = j.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. By the Principle of Local Reflexivity [6, Theorem 9.15] there exists an operator $P: span(h(G)) \longrightarrow Y$ so that

- P(e) = e holds for every $e \in span(h(G)) \cap Y$ and,
- $||P|| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$.

Since Cat_1 is nice, we can take $V = h^{-1}(span(h(G)))$. Define $\varphi \colon V \longrightarrow Y$, by the formula $\varphi(v) = P(h(v))$. This is a morphisms in Cat_1 since h is so and P is an operator (remember that $\mathsf{Banach} \subseteq \mathsf{Cat}_1$). Given $e \in V \cap Y$, we have h(e) = j(e), and this implies that

$$\varphi(e) = P(h(e)) = P(j(e)) = e$$

Moreover, by definition of φ we have

$$\|\varphi\| \le \|P\| \cdot \|h\| \le (1+\varepsilon)\lambda.$$

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ is obvious. $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let

$$\mathcal{G} := \{ (G, \varepsilon) : G \subseteq X \text{ is finite and } 1 > \varepsilon > 0 \},\$$

which is a directed set under the order

$$(G,\varepsilon) \leq (H,\delta) \Leftrightarrow G \subseteq H \text{ and } \delta \leq \varepsilon.$$

For every $(G, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{G}$, our hypothesis provides $V_{(G,\varepsilon)}$ in Cat_1 with $G \subseteq V_{(G,\varepsilon)} \subseteq X$ and $\varphi_{(G,\varepsilon)} \colon V_{(G,\varepsilon)} \longrightarrow Y$ a morphism in Cat_1 so that $\|\varphi_{(G,\varepsilon)}(e) - e\| < \varepsilon$ holds for every $e \in V_{(G,\varepsilon)} \cap Y$ and $\|\varphi_{(G,\varepsilon)}\| \leq \lambda(1+\varepsilon)$.

Now, given any dual Banach space Z^* and any morphism $f: Y \longrightarrow Z^*$, define for every $(G, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{G}$ the mapping $f_{(G,\varepsilon)} := f \circ \varphi_{(G,\varepsilon)} \colon V_{(G,\varepsilon)} \longrightarrow Z^*$. Consider $h_{(G,\varepsilon)} \colon X \longrightarrow Z^*$ by the equation

$$h_{(G,\varepsilon)}(x) := \begin{cases} f_{(G,\varepsilon)}(x) & x \in V_{(G,\varepsilon)}, \\ 0 & x \notin V_{(G,\varepsilon)}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $||h_{(G,\varepsilon)}(x)|| \leq ||f_{(G,\varepsilon)}|| ||x|| \leq ||f|| ||\varphi_{(G,\varepsilon)}|| ||x|| \leq \lambda(1+\varepsilon) ||f|| ||x|| \leq 2\lambda ||f|| ||x||$ for every $x \in X$. Therefore, $(h_{(G,\varepsilon)})_{(G,\varepsilon)\in\mathcal{G}}$ defines a net in the compact space

$$\prod_{x \in X} (2\lambda \|f\| \|x\| B_{Z^*}, w^*).$$

We take a cluster point $h: X \to Z^*$ of this net.

Claim 1. h extends f.

Pick $y \in Y$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $G = \{y\}$. For all $(H, \delta) \ge (G, \varepsilon)$ we will have

 $\|h_{(H,\delta)}(y) - f(y)\| = \|f_{(H,\delta)}(y) - f(y)\| = \|f(\varphi_{(H,\delta)}(y)) - f(y))\| \le \|f\| \|\varphi_{(H,\delta)}(y) - y\| \le \|f\| \varepsilon.$ We conclude that h(y) = f(y).

Claim 2. *h* is a Lipschitz function with $||h|| \leq \lambda ||f||$.

Fix $x, y \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If we consider $G = \{x, y\}$, then for every $(H, \delta) \ge (G, \varepsilon)$ we will have

$$\|h_{(H,\delta)}(x) - h_{(H,\delta)}(y)\| = \|f_{(H,\delta)}(x) - f_{(H,\delta)}(y)\| \le \|f\| \|\varphi_{(V,\varepsilon)}\| \|x - y\| \le \lambda(1+\varepsilon) \|x - y\|.$$

We must be careful here taking limits because the norm is not continuous in the weak^{*} topology. But if we take any $z \in B_Z$ we will have

$$\left(h_{(H,\delta)}(x) - h_{(H,\delta)}(y)\right)(z) \le \lambda(1+\varepsilon) \|x - y\|,$$

for all $(H, \delta) \geq (G, \varepsilon)$. And from this we get that

$$(h(x) - h(y))(z) \le \lambda(1 + \varepsilon) ||x - y||$$

for all $z \in B_Z$ and all ε , so we get $||h(x) - h(y)|| \le \lambda ||x - y||$ as desired.

Claim 3. h is a morphism in Cat₁.

We already showed that h is Lipschitz, so it only remains to check that h preserves the operations that define category Cat_1 . So we have to check a formula of the form

$$h(\sigma(y_1,\ldots,y_m))=\tau(h(y_1),\ldots,h(y_m)).$$

At this point, remember that we are assuming $\mathsf{Banach} \subseteq \mathsf{Cat}_1$, so the operation that we are dealing with is just some kind of linear combination. Therefore, what we have are some fixed scalars r_1, \ldots, r_m and we must see that

$$h(r_1y_1 + \dots + r_my_m) = r_1h(y_1) + \dots + r_mh(y_m).$$

We fix $G = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m, r_1y_1 + \cdots + r_my_m\}$ and some $\varepsilon > 0$. For all $(H, \delta) \ge (G, \varepsilon)$ we will have

$$h_{(H,\delta)}(r_1y_1 + \dots + r_my_m) = f_{(H,\delta)}(r_1y_1 + \dots + r_my_m)$$

= $r_1f_{(H,\delta)}(y_1) + \dots + r_mf_{(H,\delta)}(y_m)$
= $r_1h_{(H,\delta)}(y_1) + \dots + r_mh_{(H,\delta)}(y_m).$

Since linear combinations are weak^{*}-continuous, we get the desired result.

After this result it would be natural to introduce a definition: When $Y \subseteq X$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, we will say that Y is Cat₁-locally λ -complemented in X, and we will say that Y is Cat₁-locally complemented in X when there is $\lambda \geq 1$ so that Y is Cat₁-locally λ -complemented in X.

By looking at condition (2), we observe that if $Cat_1 \subseteq Cat'_1$, then there are less morphisms in Cat'_1 and therefore Cat_1 -locally λ -complemented implies Cat'_1 -locally λ -complemented. The strongest possible notion would be Banach-local complementation (just called local complementation of Banach spaces) while the weakest notion would be Metric-local complementation, that is also natural to call Lipchitz local complementation. But there is no point in making all these distinctions because of the following result.

Theorem 3.2. For $Y \subseteq X$ Banach spaces, Y is locally λ -complemented in X if and only if Y is Lipschitz locally λ -complemented in X. Therefore, all notions of Cat₁-local complementation are equivalent, for all nice metric-algebraic categories Cat₁ \supseteq Banach.

Proof. We assume that Y is Metric-locally λ -complemented, and we must prove that Y is Banach-locally λ -complemented in X. Let $Lip_0(X)$ be the Banach space of all Lipschitz functions $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that vanish at 0, with the Lipschitz norm. By [15, Proposition 2.11], there exists an operator $P: Lip_0(X) \longrightarrow X^*$ with ||P|| = 1 and such that $P(f)|_Y = f|_Y$ holds for every $f \in Lip_0(X)$ with $f|_Y$ linear. By Theorem 3.1(2) we have a λ -Lipschitz function $h: X \longrightarrow Y^{**}$ that extends the canonical inclusion $Y \subset Y^{**}$. Define $\phi: Y^{***} \longrightarrow$ $Lip_0(X)$ as $\phi(y^{***})(x) = y^{***}(hx)$. First of all, we check that ϕ is an operator with $||\phi|| \leq \lambda$ whose range is in fact inside $Lip_0(X)$. Linearity is trivial, and

$$\|\phi(y^{***})(x) - \phi(y^{***})(z)\| = \|y^{***}(hx - hz)\| \le \|y^{***}\|\lambda\|x - z\|$$

which shows that $\|\phi(y^{***})\| \leq \lambda \|y^{***}\|$. Now, we consider $\psi : Y^* \longrightarrow X^*$ given by $\psi = P \circ \phi|_{Y^*}$, that is an operator with $\|\psi\| \leq \lambda$. We claim that $\psi^*|_X : X \longrightarrow Y^{**}$ is the operator from Theorem 3.1(2) that we are looking for. We just need to check that $\psi^*(y) = j(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. That is, $\psi^*(y)(y^*) = y^*(y)$ for all $y^* \in Y^*$. But

$$\psi^*(y)(y^*) = \psi(y^*)(y) = P(\phi(y^*))(y) = P(e_{y^*} \circ h)(y),$$

where $e_{y^*}: Y^{**} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the evaluation function on y^* . Notice that $(e_{y^*} \circ h)(z) = y^*(z)$ for all $z \in Y$, because h extends the canonical inclusion $Y \subset Y^{**}$. In particular, $(e_{y^*} \circ h)|_Y$ is linear, so by the properties defining P, $P(e_{y^*} \circ h)|_Y = (e_{y^*} \circ h)|_Y$, so $P(e_{y^*} \circ h)(y) = y^*(y)$ and this concludes the proof. \Box

Remark 3.3. The previous theorem improves [15, Proposition 3.21], where it is proved that, given two Banach spaces, the existence of a Lipschitz retraction $r: X \longrightarrow Y$ implies that Y is locally complemented in X.

Remark 3.4. Other categories Cat_1 that satisfy the hypothesis Theorem 3.1 would be metric spaces with a fragment of Banach space structure. For example, metric spaces endowed with an associative multiplication by positive scalars, with morphisms being Lipschitz functions that respect that positive scalar multiplication.

4. Local complementation under a free functor

Let $Cat_2 \subseteq Banach$. Given a Banach space $Z \in Obj(Cat_2)$, we can consider its bidual space Z^{**} . We may wonder if we can add in some way the remaining operations to Z^{**} in order to make it an object of Cat_2 , and in such a way that the restriction of those operations to Z respect the original structure of Z in Cat_2 (in other words, the canonical inclusion $j: Z \longrightarrow Z^{**}$ is a morphism of Cat_2). If we can do this for all $Z \in Obj(Cat_2)$, then we will say that Cat_2 is closed under biduals. Examples of this would be the categories of Banach spaces, Banach lattices, or p-convex Banach lattices (see [17, Proposition 1.d.4] for the latest assertion). **Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that we have metric-algebraic categories

$$\mathsf{Cat}_2 \subseteq \mathsf{Banach} \subseteq \mathsf{Cat}_1,$$

 Cat_1 is nice, Cat_2 is closed under biduals, and there exists $F: Cat_1 \longrightarrow Cat_2$ a free-object functor. For every morphism $i: N \longrightarrow M$ of Cat_1 the following are equivalent:

- (1) $F[i]: F[N] \longrightarrow F[M]$ is an isomorphic embedding with locally complemented range.
- (2) For every dual Banach space Z^* that admits a Cat₂-structure and every morphism $f: N \longrightarrow Z^*$ in Cat₁ there exists a morphism $h: M \longrightarrow Z^*$ in Cat₁ with $h \circ i = f$.
- (3) There exists a morphism $h: M \longrightarrow F[N]^{**}$ in Cat_1 such that $j \circ \delta_N = h \circ i$, where $j: F[N] \longrightarrow F[N]^{**}$ is the canonical inclusion.
- (4) There is a Cat_2 -morphism $T \colon F[M] \longrightarrow F[N]^{**}$ such that $T \circ F[i] = j$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). We can view Z^* as an object of Cat_2 . By definition of F[N] we have a Cat_2 -morphism $T_f \colon F[N] \longrightarrow Z^*$ such that $T_f \circ \delta_N = f$. Let R be the range of F[i]and let $u \colon R \longrightarrow F[N]$ be the inverse isomorphism of $F[i] \colon F[N] \longrightarrow R$, which is an operator and in particular a Cat_1 -morphism. Since R is locally complemented in F[M], there exists $\phi \colon F[M] \longrightarrow Z^*$ in Cat_1 so that $\phi(y) = T_f(u(y))$ holds for every $y \in R$. We define $h \colon M \longrightarrow Z^*$ as $h = \phi \circ \delta_M$. Then,

$$h \circ i = \phi \circ \delta_M \circ i = \phi \circ F[i] \circ \delta_N = T_f \circ \delta_N = f$$

as desired.

(2)
$$\Rightarrow$$
(3) is immediate taking $Z^* = F[N]^{**}$ and $f = j \circ \delta_N$ in condition (2)

(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4). Take $T_h: F[M] \longrightarrow F[N]^{**}$ be the Cat₂-morphism induced by h by the universal property of the free object. We have that

$$T_h \circ F[i] \circ \delta_N = T_h \circ \delta_M \circ i = h \circ i = j \circ \delta_N.$$

Applying now the uniqueness part of the universal property defining free objects, there is a unique Cat_2 -morphism $T: F[N] \to F[N]^{**}$ that satisfies $T \circ \delta_N = j \circ \delta_N$. Since j and $T_h \circ F[i]$ both satisfy this, we have that $T_h \circ F[i] = j$.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$. First, notice that for every $x \in F[N]$, $||x|| = ||TF[i](x)|| \le ||T|| ||F[i](x)||$ and this shows that F[i] is an isomorphic embedding of Banach spaces. For the local complementation, just apply condition (2) of Theorem 3.1 in the category of Banach spaces, as T is in particular an operator.

Corollary 4.2. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 4.1, suppose that $N \subset M$ are Banach spaces. If N is Cat₁-locally complemented in M, then F[N] is locally complemented in F[M]. More precisely, if $i: N \to M$ is the inclusion, then $F[i]: F[N] \longrightarrow F[M]$ is an isomorphic embedding with locally complemented range.

Proof. if N is Cat₁-complemented in M, then from condition (2) in Theorem 3.1 we get condition (2) in Theorem 4.1 which in turn implies condition (1).

The previous Corollary asserts that, given a Banach space X and a subspace $Y \subseteq X$, if Y is locally complemented in X then F[Y] is locally complemented in F[X]. As we mentioned in the introduction, in the Lipschitz-free case, this is a consequence of a result of Fakhoury. In the Banach lattice context, we get that if Y is locally complemented in X, then FBL[Y] is locally complemented in FBL[X] through the natural "inclusion". And the same applies for the p-convex free Banach lattice.

References

- A. AVILÉS, J. RODRÍGUEZ AND P. TRADACETE, The free Banach lattice generated by a Banach space, J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018), 2955–2977.
- [2] A. AVILÉS, G. MARTÍNEZ-CERVANTES, J. D. RODRÍGUEZ ABELLÁN AND A. RUEDA ZOCA, *Lattice embeddings in free Banach lattices over lattices*. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.11358.
- [3] A. AVILÉS AND J. D. RODRÍGUEZ ABELLÁN, The free Banach lattice generated by a lattice, Positivity 23 (2019), 581–597.
- [4] I. BEN YAACOV, A. BERENSTEIN, C. W. HENSON, A. USVYATSOV, Model theory for metric structures, Model theory with applications to algebra and analysis. Vol. 2, 315–427, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 350, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [5] B. DE PAGTER AND A. W. WICKSTEAD, Free and projective Banach lattices, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 145 (2015), 105–143.
- [6] M. FABIAN, M. HABALA, P. HÁJEK, V. MONTESINOS SANTALUCÍA, J. PELANT AND V. ZIZLER, Functional Analysis and Infinite-Dimensional Spaces, Springer, 2000.
- [7] H. FAKHOURY, Sélections linéaires associées au théorème de Hahn-Banach, J. Funct. Anal. 11 (1972) 436–452.
- [8] M. DE JEU, Free vector lattices and free vector lattice algebras. Available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.00902.pdf.
- [9] G. GODEFROY, A survey on Lipschitz-free Banach spaces, Comment. Math. 55 (2015), no. 2, 89–118.
- [10] G. GODEFROY, N.J. KALTON, Lipschitz-free Banach spaces, Studia Math. 159 (2003), 121-141.
- [11] P. HARMAND, D. WERNER AND W. WERNER, *M*-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras, Lecture Notes in Math. 1547, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1993.
- [12] P. HÁJEK, A. QUILIS, Lipschitz retractions and complementation properties of Banach spaces. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.13554
- [13] H. JARDÓN-SÁNCHEZ, N. J. LAUTSEN, M. A. TAYLOR, P. TRADACETE AND V. G. TROITSKY, Free Banach lattices under convexity conditions, preprint. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.03510v2
- [14] N. J. KALTON, Locally complemented subspaces and \mathcal{L}_p -Spaces for 0 , Math. Nachr. 115 (1984), 71–97.
- [15] N. J. KALTON, The nonlinear geometry of Banach spaces, Rev. Mat. Comp. 21 (2008), 7–60.
- [16] J. LINDENSTRAUSS AND H.P. ROSENTHAL, The \mathcal{L}_p -spaces, Israel J. Math. 7 (1969), 325–349.
- [17] J. LINDENSTRAUSS AND L. TZAFRIRI, Classical Banach Spaces II, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [18] N. WEAVER, Lipschitz algebras, 2nd ed., World Scientific Publishing Co., River Edge, NJ, 2018.

(Avilés) UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CAMPUS DE ESPINARDO 30100 MURCIA, SPAIN ORCID: 0000-0003-0291-3113 *Email address*: avileslo@um.es

(Martínez-Cervantes) UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CAMPUS DE ESPINARDO 30100 MURCIA, SPAIN ORCID: 0000-0002-5927-5215 Email address: gonzalo.martinez2@um.es

(Rueda Zoca) UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CAMPUS DE ESPINARDO 30100 MURCIA, SPAIN

ORCID: 0000-0003-0718-1353

Email address: abraham.rueda@um.es *URL*: https://arzenglish.wordpress.com