

Brazilian Portuguese Speech Recognition Using Wav2vec 2.0

Lucas Rafael Stefanel Gris¹[0000-0002-2099-5004]*, Edresson Casanova²[0000-0003-0160-7173], Frederico Santos de Oliveira³, Anderson da Silva Soares³[0000-0002-2967-6077], Arnaldo Candido Junior¹[0000-0002-5647-0891]

¹ Federal University of Technology - Paraná, Medianeira, Brazil

² University of São Paulo, São Carlos, Brazil

³ Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Brazil

Abstract. Deep learning techniques have been shown to be efficient in various tasks, especially in the development of speech recognition systems, that is, systems that aim to transcribe a sentence in audio in a sequence of words. Despite the progress in the area, speech recognition can still be considered difficult, especially for languages lacking available data, as Brazilian Portuguese. In this sense, this work presents the development of an public Automatic Speech Recognition system using only open available audio data, from the fine-tuning of the Wav2vec 2.0 XLSR-53 model pre-trained in many languages over Brazilian Portuguese data. The final model presents a Word Error Rate of 11.95% (Common Voice Dataset). This corresponds to 13% less than the best open Automatic Speech Recognition model for Brazilian Portuguese available according to our best knowledge, which is a promising result for the language. In general, this work validates the use of self-supervising learning techniques, in special, the use of the Wav2vec 2.0 architecture in the development of robust systems, even for languages having few available data.

Keywords: speech recognition · Wav2vec 2.0 · Brazilian Portuguese.

1 Introduction

Speech is one of the most natural ways of human communication, and the development of systems, known as Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) Systems, capable of transcribing speech automatically have shown great importance and applicability in various scenarios, as in personal assistants, tools for customer attendance and other products [15,9,26].

The task to transcribe speech can be understood as a mapping of an acoustic signal containing speech to a corresponding sequence of symbols intended by the speaker [9]. The research in the field started with the recognition of spoken

* Corresponding author: gris at alunos utfpr dot edu dot br

digits [7] and has shown great advances recently with the use of end-to-end deep learning models, specially for the English language.

ASR systems can be built using different learning approaches. The most common is probably the supervised version, where a dataset containing speech data with its respective labels is used to train a model with the objective to predict transcriptions of a given audio. ASR can be trained using unsupervised techniques as well, and this can be an option where there is a lack of available data. Usually supervised learning requires aligned labels with the respect to each speech audio at different levels, such as phones, words or sentences. Unsupervised learning can use unaligned text and speech as part of the training process.

Besides these two approaches, there are another options. In special, self-supervised learning, has gaining interest. This technique usually consists of using the speech audio for training a model that is able to learn representations from the samples themselves. These representations can be learned by predicting or reconstructing missing and masked parts of each sample or by solving a contrastive task, where the model needs to differentiate distinct samples from false examples [4].

Despite its progress in the area, the development of robust ASR models for languages other than English can still be considered a difficult task, mainly because state-of-the-art (SOTA) models usually needs many hours of annotated speech for training to achieve good results [2,22]. This can be a challenge for some languages, such as Brazilian Portuguese, that has just a fraction of open resources available, if compared to the English language [17,18].

To overcome these challenges, one could manually transcribe the amount of data needed to produce robust models, which is an expensive and time-consuming process. Another option is the use of modern techniques such as self-supervised learning, which has shown great results in the development of models where there is a lack of available labeled data. Self supervised learning allows the learning of representations for posterior use in a fine-tuning process using less labeled data in a supervised task [5].

Wav2vec 2.0 [5] is a modern deep learning architecture that employs self supervised learning for speech representation learning through speech masking and contrastive task solving during pre-training, followed by a supervised fine-tuning on transcribed speech. The authors shows that Wav2vec 2.0 allows the training of a robust ASR model in English using only 10 minutes of labeled data, which outperformed several SOTA models. This demonstrates a good alternative for languages with few resources available.

Although Wav2vec 2.0 achieves good results in English with few labeled data, it is not clear if the same results could be obtained when using the same pre-trained model in other languages. In other words, it is possible that monolingual training could led to poor results when using this model for a language different than the original. Fortunately, [6] pre-trained a Wav2vec 2.0 model using 53k hours of speech audio of 53 different languages, including Brazilian Portuguese. Besides masking and contrastive learning, this model jointly learned quantized representations across multiple languages, producing a cross-lingual model that

significantly outperforms monolingual pre-training and enables a single multi-lingual speech recognition model which is competitive to the individual ones.

This work uses an XLSR-53 model pre-trained in many languages to build an ASR for Brazilian Portuguese, using only open available data. The presented model is public available⁴.

This work is organized as follows: Section 2 explains the Wav2vec series architectures, Section 3 provide details about the best available open model for ASR in Brazilian Portuguese. Then, Section 4 discusses the proposed method and Section 5 demonstrates the obtained results. Sections 6 and 7 discusses some comparisons with the best open Brazilian Portuguese ASR model and the original Wav2vec 2.0 models in English, respectively. Finally, Section 8 presents some conclusions.

2 Wav2vec

Wav2vec [24] is a convolutional neural network that uses unsupervised learning to create speech representations from raw audio speech. After training, this representations can be fed into ASR models as features in a supervised way. The topology is composed by two stacked neural networks. The encoder is a function $f : X \mapsto Z$, which embeds the raw audio X with x_i frames in latent representations Z . Whereas the context network is a function $g : Z \mapsto C$ that combines multiple time-steps to obtain contextual representations C . The model is trained using a loss function $\mathcal{L}_k = -\sum_{i=1}^{T-k} (\log \sigma(\mathbf{z}_{i+k}^\top h_k(\mathbf{c}_i)) + \lambda_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}} \sim p_n} \mathbb{E} [\log \sigma(-\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^\top h_k(\mathbf{c}_i))])$, where h_k is an affine transformation $h_k(\mathbf{c}_i) = W_k \mathbf{c}_i + \mathbf{b}_k$. The loss function has the objective to distinguish a sample z_{i+k} that is k time-steps in the future (T timesteps in total), from \tilde{z} false examples.

Wav2vec classic demonstrated promising results using few labelled data, but the Vq-wav2vec[4] architecture achieved better results. Vq-wav2vec is an improvement of Wav2vec classic that uses a quantization module that learns vector quantized (VQ) representations. This architecture incorporates some aspects of self-supervised learning and motivated the next topology of the Wav2vec series, the Wav2vec 2.0.

Wav2vec 2.0 is the most recent architecture of the Wav2vec series, and is based on concepts of Wav2vec classic and Vq-wav2vec. In Wav2vec 2.0, the learning is divided into two main steps called pre-training and fine-tuning. During pre-training, the model solves a contrastive task to differentiate true quantized latent representations from a set of distractors, which allows the model to learn audio representations from speech. After pre-training, a projection is added to the last layer of the model containing the respective vocabulary and the model is trained in a supervised way using aligned labeled speech.

Wav2vec 2.0 is an end-to-end model inspired on the previous works from [24] and [4]. As in Vq-wav2vec, the model is pre-trained in a self-supervised way using the raw audio to learn discrete representations of speech, but it has

⁴ <https://huggingface.co/lgris/wav2vec2-large-xlsr-open-brazilian-portuguese>

an simpler architecture when compared to the Vq-wav2vec. The Wav2vec 2.0 model is based on the idea of taking discrete speech representations directly as input to the Transformer (called Context Network), solving the ASR as an end-to-end task [5], whereas in Vq-wav2vec a BERT[8] model was trained using discrete representations, and then used as input to a separated acoustic model.

The architecture of the Wav2vec 2.0 is presented in Figure 1. Similarly to original model, Wav2vec 2.0 architecture is composed by a multi-layer convolutional encoder $f : X \mapsto Z$ which maps raw speech X in latent speech representations z_1, \dots, z_T in T time-steps. The convolutional blocks of the encoder consists of causal convolutions followed by layer normalization [3] and the GELU activation function [12]. The output of the encoder is then provided to the context network $g : Z \mapsto C$ which maps the latent representations Z in contextualized representations c_1, \dots, c_T .




Fig. 1. Wav2vec 2.0 architecture. [5]

The context network follows the Transformer architecture. In this network, the positional encoding is replaced by a convolutional layer with GELU activation function and layer normalization that acts as a relative positional embedding.

The output of the encoder z is discretized by product quantization [14] to a finite set of speech representations $Q = q_1, \dots, q_t$ by a quantization module $h : Z \mapsto Q$ during the pre-training phase. The Wav2vec 2.0 also uses the Gumbel-Softmax activation function to allow choosing discrete codebook entries (V) in a fully differentiable way during training, which are stored in data structures called codebooks (G).

In a similar form to BERT, during pre-training, some time-steps are masked in the output of the encoder. The masking has the goal to allow the model to predict masked parts. The inputs of the quantization module are not masked.

During the pre-training phase, the model has the objective to learn the speech representations solving a contrastive task \mathcal{L}_m . This corresponds to the task of

identifying a true quantized representation q_t from a set of false examples in a masked time-step context. Besides the contrastive function, the loss function consists of an additional diversity loss \mathcal{L}_d that acts as an entropy function, proportional to an hyper-parameter α . This allows to control the choosing of the quantized codebook representations in a more balanced way [5]. The objective function during pre-training is presented in Equation 1. The contrastive and diversity functions are shown in equations 2 and 3, respectively. In Equation 1, sim is defined as the cosine similarity between context representations and quantized latent speech representations and k controls the contrastive loss temperature.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_m + \alpha \mathcal{L}_d \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -\log \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(\mathbf{c}_t, \mathbf{q}_t) / \kappa)}{\sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \sim \mathbf{Q}_t} \exp(\text{sim}(\mathbf{c}_t, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}) / \kappa)} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_d = \frac{1}{GV} \sum_{g=1}^G -H(\bar{p}_g) = \frac{1}{GV} \sum_{g=1}^G \sum_{v=1}^V \bar{p}_{g,v} \log \bar{p}_{g,v} \quad (3)$$

After pre-training, a projection of n targets is added to the output of the context network and the model is fine-tuned in a supervised task for speech recognition [5]. The model is trained using the Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) [10] as loss function. SpecAugment [19] is also used in this phase, promoting a better generalization to the ASR model.

The authors proposed a series of experiments using two versions of the model, BASE and LARGE (the LARGE has more parameters in the context network) and varying the datasets used to pre-train and fine-tune the model. [5] showed that is possible to build ASR models even with few labelled data available. The experiments with 10 minutes of labeled data showed a Word Error Rate (WER) of 4.8/8.2% in both the test sets of LibriSpeech, namely clean and other.

The Wav2vec 2.0 can be used in other languages besides English. [6] made available a model called XLSR-53, pre-trained in many languages. The XLSR-53 follows the LARGE architecture of Wav2vec 2.0 and is trained in a dataset containing 53k hours from the Common Voice, MLS (Multilingual LibriSpeech) [20] and BABEL datasets. The results of XLSR-53 suggests that cross-lingual pre-training significantly outperforms monolingual pre-training.

3 ASRs for Brazilian Portuguese

Despite the development of new technologies among ASR researches, there are still few work related to speech recognition for Brazilian Portuguese. One possible explanation is the limitation of open labeled data available and the lack of models capable of generalizing well in this condition. However, new methods to overcome this limitation has been created. Besides, new datasets are being made available free for use, for instance, MLS and CETUC [1]. These datasets and methods open new possibilities for the development of ASR models for Brazilian Portuguese.

In the context of open ASRs for Brazilian Portuguese, the works of [21] and [22] can be highlighted as important recent advances. [21] compiled a dataset in Portuguese composed by various freely available datasets (Sid, VoxForge, LapsBM) and the proprietary dataset CSLU Spoltech [25]. The Spoltech dataset contains 8,080 sentences and 477 speakers, the Sid contains 5,777 sentences and 72 speakers, the VoxForge contains 4,090 sentences and more than 111 speakers and LapsBM contains 700 sentences and 35 speakers.

The end-to-end model proposed by [21] is based on a simple architecture containing Bidirectional LSTM[13] layers. The audios are pre-processed and the MFCC[16,23] (Mel-frequency Cepstral Coefficients) are extracted to be fed as input to the model. The author obtained an WER of 25,13% in the proposed test set.

More recently, [22] proposed a better version of the previous dataset and trained a topology based on the DeepSpeech 2 [2], containing two convolutional layers and five bidirectional recurrent layers. The authors merged the CETUC dataset with the previous one, which allows the training of deeper models, and trained KenLM[11] based Language Models (LMs) for post-processing the transcriptions. [22] obtained 25,45% in the proposed test set.

These works represents an important contribution to this paper. Many of the datasets and a language model used by [22] were also used in this work.

4 Methods

This section discusses the proposed methods for the fine-tuning of the Wav2vec 2.0 model for Brazilian Portuguese.

We used various open datasets to train the final model. Preliminary experiments were conducted to verify the Wav2vec 2.0 capability of generalization in each dataset, which demonstrated promising results in all tested training sets. The final model was trained with a dataset containing all the available data in Brazilian Portuguese.

4.1 Datasets

The compiled dataset is very similar to the base presented by [22]. Besides the data used by these authors, the MLS and Common Voice (CV) was also added to compile the final dataset for training.

For each gathered dataset, we used all the audios in that dataset for training. The exception was Common Voice, in which we used only part of the audios for training. The reason for this is that two Common Voice subsets, namely test and development, were used as our testing and validation sets, respectively.

The sets of validation and test of Common Voice were selected because they are closer to real use cases and also because they simplify comparing our results with other works. These data were collect by volunteers in the Web and contains a wide variety of speakers and audio characteristics.

The majority of audios have short durations (between 1 to 10 secs). Only the MLS has audios with long durations. Figure 2 shows the histograms of durations of each dataset.




Fig. 2. Histograms showing durations in seconds for each datasets: (a) CETUC (b) Common Voice (c) LAPSMB (d) MLS (e) VOXFORGE.

Regarding quantity of speakers, Common Voice is the dataset that contains the greatest amount: 1,120 in total. In contrast, MLS has only 62 speakers. It is expected that training in data with more variety of speakers led to best results if compared to less variety.

The MLS also presents a possible problem: the audio of this dataset is composed by book readings, and some texts of LibriVox have some spellings of other

epochs, for instance, words with greek and roman influence (*phono*, *pharmacia*, *theatro*, among others). Most of these words underwent changes with more recent spelling reforms for the Brazilian Portuguese, but some texts were not updated to the new rules. This may decrease the model performance slightly.

The datasets proposed are:

- CETUC [1]: contains approximately 145 hours of Brazilian Portuguese speech distributed among 50 male and 50 female speakers, each pronouncing approximately 1,000 phonetically balanced sentences selected from the CETEN-Folha⁵ corpus.
- LAPSMB 1.4⁶ is a dataset used by the Fala Brasil group to benchmark ASR systems in Brazilian Portuguese. Contains 35 speakers (10 females), each one pronouncing 20 unique sentences, totalling 700 utterances in Brazilian Portuguese. The audios were recorded in 22.05 kHz without environment control;
- VoxForge⁷: is a project with the goal to build open datasets for acoustic models. The corpus contains approximately 100 speakers and 4,130 utterances of Brazilian Portuguese, with sample rates varying from 16kHz to 44.1kHz.
- Common Voice 6.1: is a project proposed by Mozilla Foundation with the goal to create a wide open dataset in different languages to train ASR models. In this project, volunteers donate and validate speech using the official site⁸. The set in Portuguese (mostly Brazilian variant) used in this work is the 6.1 version (pt_63h_2020-12-11) that contains about 50 validated hours and 1,120 unique speakers;
- Multilingual LibriSpeech [20]: a massive dataset available in many languages. The MLS is based on audiobook recordings in public domain like LibriVox⁹. The dataset contains a total of 6k hours of transcribed data in many languages. The set in Portuguese used in this work¹⁰ (mostly Brazilian variant) has approximately 284 hours of speech, obtained from 55 audiobooks read by 62 speakers.

5 Results

The final compiled dataset has 325 hours of speech, approximately. This amount can be considered appropriate, considering Wav2vec 2.0 reaches optimal results in English for experiments based on 100 and 960 hours of audio. As presented in Figure 3, the majority of the dataset is composed by the CETUC dataset, followed by MLS and Common Voice. The VoxForge and LapsBM datasets represented less than 3% of the total. In special, LapsBM corresponds to less than

⁵ <https://www.linguateca.pt/cetenfolha/>

⁶ “Falabrasil – UFPA” (<https://github.com/falabrasil/gitlab-resources>)

⁷ <http://www.voxforge.org/>

⁸ <https://commonvoice.mozilla.org/pt>

⁹ <https://librivox.org/>

¹⁰ <http://www.openslr.org/94/>

1% of the final dataset. This means that this dataset might make little contribution to the model training. In future experiments, this set can be used as test set, it can be more interesting the along Common Voice. Another important aspect is the duration of the audios: the MLS dataset corresponds to approximately 50% of the final dataset (Figure 3(b)).




Fig. 3. Compiled Dataset Composition: (a) by audios, (b) by hours.

Some preliminary experiments were conducted in a NVIDIA TITAN V 12GB. The final model was training in a NVIDIA TESLA V100 32GB. Fine-tuning parameters were defined using the same configurations of the 100-hour experiment proposed by the original authors and is available at the official fairseq¹¹ repository. Parameters include: a maximum update of 80k, a learning rate of 3×10^{-5} , a max quantity of tokens of 1,280,000 (which defines the batch size automatically) and a update frequency of 24 steps. The model trained for a total of 108 epochs in approximately 8 days.

The validation WER and the validation and training loss of the final experiment is presented in Figure 4. As showed in 4(a), the model training converged during the first epochs, as expected, right after the Transformer is unfreeze (after 10k updates, by default). It is important to note that the model present subtle improvements in the posterior updates, and also the learning rate depends on the total of defined updates.

It is also import to consider that the model could reach a local optimum. Considering the total duration of the dataset, it is possible that an experiment with 320k updates (as proposed by the original authors in a dataset of 1000 hours) can led to better results.

¹¹ <https://github.com/pytorch/fairseq/tree/master/examples/wav2vec>




Fig. 4. Model performance over steps: (a) training loss, (b) validation loss, (c) validation WER

During the validation, the best model is saved considering the WER obtained in the validation set. The best model was then tested against the test set. Table 1 presents the test results for the best trained model. The lowest WER obtained was 11.95% in the Common Voice test set using the 5-gram language model trained by [22]. Without a language model, the WER was 12.69%. Both results can be considered promising in the context of the development of ASR models given the SOTA for Brazilian Portuguese.

Table 1. Lowest WERs on Common Voice test set.

Test	WER
Without LM	12.69%
Using LM 5-gram-char [22]	11.95%

The obtained errors were more common less frequent words, foreign words and proper names. Table 2 presents some errors made by the model. Errors that does not change the meaning of the sentence also occur, for instance, the swapping of letters with similar pronunciation and omission of “s” on the word ends that denote plural forms . Another frequent error is the junction of two or

more words in a unique word. Most of these errors can be fixed with a proper language model.

Table 2. Incorrect transcriptions without language model. The incorrect words are highlighted.

Text	Transcription
É comum os usuários confundirem software livre com software livre	É comum os usuares confunder em softwerlivr com softwerlivre
Ele fez tanto ghostwriting que ele começa a se sentir como um fantasma também	Ele fez tanto golstraitn que ele começou a se sentir como um fantasma também
Arnold apresentou um gráfico mostrando quantas cegonhas ele havia contado nos últimos dez anos	Arnold apresentou um gráfico mostrando quantas segonhas ele havia contado nos últimos dez anos
Mais cedo ou mais tarde eles descobrirão como ler esses hieróglifos	Mais sedo ou mais tarde eles descobriram como de esses ierogrôficos
Viver juntos compartilhar objetivos e ter um bom relacionamento	E ver juntos signafica viver juntos ou fartilhar objetivos ter um bom relacionamentoo
Da mesma forma uma patente pode impedir que concorrentes desenvolvam produtos similares	Da mesma forma uma patente pode impedir que concorrentes desenvolva produtos similares
Duas mulheres e uma menina levantam com troféus	Duas mulheres e uma menina levantam com trofés
Esse acrobata de circo deve ter um sistema vestibular bem treinado pensou o espectador	Esse acrobata de cirko deve ter um sistema vestibular bemtreinado pensou o espectador
Durante a exposição o tribunal pode fazer quaisquer perguntas ou esclarecimentos que considere apropriados	Durante a exposição o tribunal pode fazer quaisquer perguntas ou esclarecimentos que considere apropriado

6 Comparison with Brazilian Portuguese models

The results from [22] are interesting, considering that the model training was made entirely in a end-to-end supervised form. However, the result of 25.45% of WER is above of the WER of this work. Although the results are not directly comparable, since author’s test set differ from this work test set, the obtained result suggests that our model achieves SOTA performance for the Brazilian Portuguese, considering public available models. The best model in this work obtained a WER of only 12.79%, without language model, in the Common Voice test set, a challenge dataset that contains noise and low quality recordings.

In a general form, our results suggests that the unsupervised or self-supervised techniques can be more better alternatives for the development of ASR models

for Brazilian Portuguese, specially while the pure supervised techniques need a great quantity of labeled data to generalize as well as the English ones.

We believe that our results were superior from the work of [22] for two main reasons. First, we used Wav2vec 2.0, a more modern neural architecture. Second, we trained over more data, since recently several public access dataset were released.

7 Comparison with English Wav2vec models

Various experiments of fine-tuning were made by [5]. These experiments varied the architecture used and the problem domain (characters or phonemes transcription). Besides, the authors made different experiments varying the dataset used in both pre-training and fine-tuning phases.

Considering the work of [5], the experiments that are more similar to our work are the fine-tunings with 100 and 960 hours of audio using the LARGE Wav2vec topology pre-trained on either LibriVox or Librispeech. The best model was pre-trained using the LibriVox dataset and fine-tuned using 960h of speech, obtaining a WER of 2% and 4% on the LibriSpeech test clean and test other sets. However, for a better comparison, a test using the Common Voice dataset is more desirable.

Table 3 compares the result obtained in this work with SOTA version of Wav2vec, specifically, two pre-trained versions on the English Common Voice test set. Table 3 also shows the result of the test using the best obtained model by the authors. The results shows that the model of this work presents a superior performance if compared to the original model for English, against the Common Voice dataset. Besides the size and variety of speakers of the English version be considerable higher than the Portuguese one, there are other explanations for this result: one is that our model were trained over more noisy audios and has an advantage on Common Voice, which also presents noise; another hypotheses is the superior performance of the XLSR-53 model, that was trained using 53 different languages, whilst the original version was trained only using English speech.

Therefore, it is important to note the difference in the experiments of [5], which were fine-tuned using high quality audios. We believe relevant to include real data or perform some data augmentation for a better generalization in different scenarios.

Table 3. Comparison with English Language Results using no Language Model. LARGE models were tested in English and XLSR-53 model (this work), were tested in Portuguese.

Topology	Pre-trained Dataset	Fine-tuning Dataset	WER (Common Voice)
LARGE	LibriVox (60k hours)	Libri-Light + Librispeech (100h)	29.83
		Libri-Light + Librispeech (960h)	23.00
	LibriSpeech (960h)	LibriSpeech (100h)	37.82
XLSR-53 (this work)	MLS, CommonVoice, BABEL (56h)	CETUC, MLS, CV, VF, LapsBM (325h)	12.69

8 Conclusions

In these work we presented a model for Automatic Speech Recognition for the Brazilian Portuguese Language. According to our best knowledge, the model achieves state-of-the-art results among the open available models for the target language. Our model obtained WERs of 12.69% and 11.95% using no language model or a 5-gram-char language model, respectively. The results are competitive to both to English and Portuguese open models.

As future work, we plan to increase the model performance using new datasets available for Portuguese. We also plan to perform more detailed experiments using different language models and applying data-augmentation techniques such as noise insertion, to improve performance in non controlled environments.

References

- Alencar, V., Alcaim, A.: Lsf and lpc-derived features for large vocabulary distributed continuous speech recognition in brazilian portuguese. In: 2008 42nd Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers. pp. 1237–1241. IEEE (2008)
- Amodei, D., Ananthanarayanan, S., Anubhai, R., Bai, J., Battenberg, E., Case, C., Casper, J., Catanzaro, B., Cheng, Q., Chen, G., et al.: Deep speech 2: End-to-end speech recognition in english and mandarin. In: International conference on machine learning. pp. 173–182. PMLR (2016)
- Ba, J.L., Kiros, J.R., Hinton, G.E.: Layer normalization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.06450 (2016)
- Baevski, A., Schneider, S., Auli, M.: vq-wav2vec: Self-supervised learning of discrete speech representations. In: International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR) (2020), <https://openreview.net/pdf?id=rylwJxrYDS>
- Baevski, A., Zhou, Y., Mohamed, A., Auli, M.: wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations. In: Larochelle, H., Ranzato, M., Hadsell, R., Balcan, M.F., Lin, H. (eds.) Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. vol. 33, pp. 12449–12460. Curran Associates, Inc. (2020), <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2020/file/92d1e1eb1cd6f9fba3227870bb6d7f07-Paper.pdf>

6. Conneau, A., Khandelwal, K., Goyal, N., Chaudhary, V., Wenzek, G., Guzmán, F., Grave, É., Ott, M., Zettlemoyer, L., Stoyanov, V.: Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In: Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 8440–8451 (2020)
7. Davis, K.H., Biddulph, R., Balashek, S.: Automatic recognition of spoken digits. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **24**(6), 637–642 (1952)
8. Devlin, J., Chang, M.W., Lee, K., Toutanova, K.: Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 (2018)
9. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., Courville, A.: Deep learning. MIT press (2016)
10. Graves, A., Fernández, S., Gomez, F., Schmidhuber, J.: Connectionist temporal classification: labelling unsegmented sequence data with recurrent neural networks. In: Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on Machine learning. pp. 369–376 (2006)
11. Heafield, K.: Kenlm: Faster and smaller language model queries. In: Proceedings of the sixth workshop on statistical machine translation. pp. 187–197 (2011)
12. Hendrycks, D., Gimpel, K.: Gaussian error linear units (gelus) (2020)
13. Hochreiter, S., Schmidhuber, J.: Long short-term memory. *Neural computation* **9**(8), 1735–1780 (1997)
14. Jegou, H., Douze, M., Schmid, C.: Product quantization for nearest neighbor search. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* **33**(1), 117–128 (2010)
15. Karpagavalli, S., Chandra, E.: A review on automatic speech recognition architecture and approaches. *International Journal of Signal Processing, Image Processing and Pattern Recognition* **9**(4), 393–404 (2016)
16. Mohamed, A.r.: Deep Neural Network Acoustic Models for ASR. Ph.D. thesis, University of Toronto (2014)
17. Neto, N., Patrick, C., Klautau, A., Trancoso, I.: Free tools and resources for brazilian portuguese speech recognition. *Journal of the Brazilian Computer Society* **17**(1), 53–68 (2011)
18. Neto, N., Silva, P., Klautau, A., Adami, A.: Spoltech and ogi-22 baseline systems for speech recognition in brazilian portuguese. In: International Conference on Computational Processing of the Portuguese Language. pp. 256–259. Springer (2008)
19. Park, D.S., Chan, W., Zhang, Y., Chiu, C.C., Zoph, B., Cubuk, E.D., Le, Q.V.: SpecAugment: A simple data augmentation method for automatic speech recognition. *Interspeech 2019* (Sep 2019). <https://doi.org/10.21437/interspeech.2019-2680>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2019-2680>
20. Pratap, V., Xu, Q., Sriram, A., Synnaeve, G., Collobert, R.: Mls: A large-scale multilingual dataset for speech research. *Interspeech 2020* (Oct 2020). <https://doi.org/10.21437/interspeech.2020-2826>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2020-2826>
21. Quintanilha, I.M.: End-to-end speech recognition applied to brazilian portuguese using deep learning. MSc dissertation (2017)
22. Quintanilha, I.M., Netto, S.L., Biscainho, L.W.P.: An open-source end-to-end asr system for brazilian portuguese using dnns built from newly assembled corpora. *Journal of Communication and Information Systems* **35**(1), 230–242 (2020)
23. Sahidullah, M., Saha, G.: Design, analysis and experimental evaluation of block based transformation in mfcc computation for speaker recognition. *Speech Communication* **54**(4), 543–565 (2012).

- <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.specom.2011.11.004>, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167639311001622>
24. Schneider, S., Baevski, A., Collobert, R., Auli, M.: wav2vec: Unsupervised pre-training for speech recognition. In: INTERSPEECH (2019)
 25. Schramm, M., Freitas, L., Zanuz, A., Barone, D.: Cslu: Spoltech brazilian portuguese version 1.0 ldc2006s16 (2006)
 26. Yu, D., Deng, L.: Automatic Speech Recognition. Springer (2016)