

**WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR THE MAXIMAL
FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED TO A CRITICAL RADIUS
FUNCTION ON VARIABLE LEBESGUE SPACES**

A. CABRAL

ABSTRACT. In this work we obtain boundedness on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces of some maximal functions that come from the localized analysis considering a critical radius function. This analysis appears naturally in the context of the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$ in \mathbb{R}^d , where V a non-negative potential satisfying some specific reverse Hölder condition. We consider new classes of weights that locally behave as the Muckenhoupt class for Lebesgue spaces with variable exponents considered in [6] and actually include them. Also, due to the setting of variable exponents and the notion of locality considered, we propose a new method of extension of local weights.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARES

A critical radius function ρ is a an application that assigns to each x in \mathbb{R}^d a positive number in a way that its variation at different points is somehow controlled by a power of the distance between them.

More specifically, we call a *critical radius function* to any positive function ρ with the property that there exist constants c_ρ , $N_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$(1) \quad c_\rho^{-1} \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-N_0} \leq \rho(y) \leq c_\rho \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\frac{N_0}{N_0+1}},$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Clearly, if ρ is such a function, so it is $\beta\rho$ for any $\beta > 0$.

A trivial example of critical radius functions are the constant functions. So is function $\frac{1}{1+|x|}$ (see Section 6).

This kind of function appears naturally in the harmonic analysis related to a Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$ in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$, where V is a non-negative, non-identically zero and satisfies a reverse Hölder condition for $q > d/2$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(y)^q dy\right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B V(y) dy,$$

for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Given a critical radius function ρ , a ball $B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ will be called *critical* if $r = \rho(x)$. We denote by \mathcal{B}_ρ the family of *sub-critical* balls, i.e.

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{B}_\rho = \{B(x, r) : x \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } r \leq \rho(x)\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 42B25,42B35; Secondary: 35J10.

Key words and phrases. critical radius function, maximal function, variable Lebesgue spaces, weights.

This research is partially supported by grants from Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) and Universidad Nacional del Nordeste (UNNE), Argentina.

One of the operators we are interested in is the local maximal operator M_ρ defined for $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as

$$(3) \quad M_\rho^{\text{loc}} f(x) = \sup_{r \leq \rho(x)} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| dy.$$

When $\rho \equiv \infty$, the operator M_ρ^{loc} coincides with the maximal Hardy–Littlewood function and in this case the problem for weighted variable exponent was completely solved in [3] and [6]. On the other hand, for the case of a general ρ and p constant, in [2] the authors prove that M_ρ^{loc} is bounded on $L^p(w)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ where w belongs to a suitable class larger than classical A_p Muckenhoupt weights.

In addition to the localized maximal operator presented in (3), we are interested in the following maximal operators

$$(4) \quad M_\rho^\theta f(x) = \sup_{r > 0} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-\theta} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

for $\theta > 0$ and $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The boundedness in $L^p(w)$ with appropriate weights of these operators was duly studied in [1].

In this work we deal determine the weights for which the operators M_ρ^{loc} and M_ρ^θ , $\theta > 0$, preserve the $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ spaces, known as weighted variable Lebesgue spaces.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the rest of this section we present some facts about the critical radius function. In Section 2 we gather together some basic results about variable Lebesgue spaces that will be used later. Section 3 is devoted to present the classes of weights involved in this work and some properties of them that will be useful. In Section 4 we state and prove the main results of this work finding the behavior of maximal operators we have already talked about, and finally we present some applications to the context of the Schrödinger operator in Section 5.

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise indicated, we will use C and c to denote constants, which are not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

A useful tool is that whenever ρ satisfies (1), it is possible to cover \mathbb{R}^d by a countable family of critical balls in a way that any fixed dilation of the covering has the bounded overlapping property. More precisely,

Proposition 1 (See [10]). *There exists a sequence of points $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R}^d such that family $B_k = B(x_k, \rho(x_k))$ satisfies*

- i) $\cup_k B_k = \mathbb{R}^d$;
- ii) for every $\beta \geq 1$ there exist constants C and N_1 such that, $\sum_k \chi_{\beta B_k} \leq C \beta^{N_1}$.

Given a ball $B_0 \notin \mathcal{B}_\rho$ we shall also need a particular covering by sub-critical balls with centers inside B_0 as the following lemma shows.

Proposition 2. *Let $B_0 = B(x_0, r)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\rho(x_0) < r \leq \beta \rho(x_0)$, where $\beta > 1$. Then, there exists a covering of B_0 by balls $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^N$ such that*

- i) $\frac{1}{2}P_j \cap \frac{1}{2}P_k = \emptyset$, for $k \neq j$;
- ii) $\sum_{i=1}^N \chi_{P_i} \leq C$, where C depends only on d and N depends on β and the constants in (1).

Proof. First observe that for $x \in 2B_0$, by (1) we have

$$(5) \quad \rho(x) \geq c_\rho^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{-N_0} \rho(x_0) = \delta_0 > 0.$$

Consider the family of sets

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ S \subset B_0 : B\left(x, \frac{\delta_0}{8}\right) \cap B\left(y, \frac{\delta_0}{8}\right) = \emptyset, \quad \forall x, y \in S, x \neq y \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ since $\{x_0\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Observe that if \mathcal{C} be a chain in \mathcal{F} endowed with the order of inclusion, then $V = \cup_{S \in \mathcal{C}} S$ is an upper bound of \mathcal{C} . Therefore, there exists a maximal element S_{\max} in \mathcal{F} .

Denote by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N the elements of S_{\max} and $P_i = B(x_i, \frac{\delta_0}{4})$. It is clear then that $\frac{1}{2}P_j \cap \frac{1}{2}P_k = \emptyset$, for $k \neq j$. Also, property (i) implies that N is bounded by $C_1 \beta^{d(N_0+1)}$, with C_1 depending only on the dimension d and c_ρ .

We shall see that $B_0 \subset \cup_{i=1}^N P_i$ and the overlapping of the balls P_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, is bounded.

Suppose there exists $y \in B_0$ such that $y \notin \cup_{i=1}^N P_i$, which means $|y - x_i| \geq \frac{\delta_0}{4}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Now see that $B(y, \delta_0/8) \cap B(x_i, \delta_0/8)$ is empty. In fact, suppose $z \in B(y, \delta_0/8) \cap B(x_i, \delta_0/8)$, then

$$|y - x_i| \leq |y - z| + |z - x_i| < \frac{\delta_0}{8} + \frac{\delta_0}{8} = \frac{\delta_0}{4},$$

which is a contradiction. So, $S_{\max} \cup \{y\}$ belongs to \mathcal{F} and this means the contradiction that S_{\max} is not a maximal element of \mathcal{F} .

Now we see that overlapping of the balls P_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, is finite and depends only on d .

Suppose that m is such that $\cap_{i=1}^m B(y_i, \delta_0/4) \neq \emptyset$ for some points $y_i \in S_{\max}$. Then, we have

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^m B\left(y_i, \frac{\delta_0}{8}\right) \subset B\left(y_1, \frac{5}{8}\delta_0\right).$$

Now we use the fact that the balls $\{B(y_i, \delta_0/8)\}_{i=1}^m$ are disjoint to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} m|B(y_1, \delta_0/8)| &= \sum_{i=1}^m |B(y_i, \delta_0/8)| \\ &= |\cup_{i=1}^m B(y_i, \delta_0/8)| \\ &\leq |5B(y_1, \delta_0/8)|, \end{aligned}$$

thus $m \leq 5^d$. □

2. VARIABLE LEBESGUE SPACES

We begin with some definitions related to the variable Lebesgue spaces. Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ be a measurable function. Given a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we define

$$p_A^- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in A} p(x), \quad p_A^+ := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in A} p(x).$$

For simplicity we denote $p^+ = p_{\mathbb{R}^d}^+$ and $p^- = p_{\mathbb{R}^d}^-$. Given $p(\cdot)$, the conjugate exponent $p'(\cdot)$ is defined pointwise

$$\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1,$$

where we let $p'(x) = \infty$ if $p(x) = 1$.

By $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we will denote the collection of all measurable functions $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ and by $\mathcal{P}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the set of $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $p^+ < \infty$.

Given $p \in \mathcal{P}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we say that a measurable function f belongs to $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if for some $\lambda > 0$

$$\varrho(f/\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

A Luxemburg norm can be defined in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by taking

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \varrho(f/\lambda) \leq 1\}.$$

These spaces are special cases of Musielik-Orlicz spaces (see [14]), and generalize the classical Lebesgue spaces. For more information see, for example [12, 4, 8].

We will denote with $L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of functions f such that $f\chi_B \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

The following conditions on the exponent arise related with the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see, for example, [7], [4] or [8]). We will say that $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $p \in \mathcal{P}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and if there are constants $C > 0$ and p_∞ such that

$$(6) \quad |p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{\log(e + 1/|x - y|)}, \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$(7) \quad |p(x) - p_\infty| \leq \frac{C}{\log(e + |x|)}, \quad \text{with } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The functions in $\mathcal{P}^{\text{log}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are generally called log–Hölder continuous.

With this definition of $\|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}$ we have the following generalization of Hölder's inequality and an equivalent expression for the norm (see, for example, [8]).

Lemma 1. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, for every $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)||g(x)| dx \leq 2\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then,*

$$\frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f||g| dx \leq 2\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

For a weight w mean a locally integrable function such that $0 < w(x) < \infty$ almost everywhere.

Given a weight w and $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there are two possible ways to define the weighted variable Lebesgue space. One way is to treat $w dx$ as a measure, and define the weighted variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ with respect to this measure. This approach is in one respect a natural generalization of $L^p(w)$ and was adopted by Diening and Hästö in [9].

We adopt a different approach, following the spirit of [6], we replace the weight w by w^p , that is, we consider the weight w acts as a multiplier. Given a weight w and $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define the weighted variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ to be the set of all measurable functions f such that $fw \in L^{p(\cdot)}$, and we write $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(w)} =$

$\|f\|_{p(\cdot),w} = \|fw\|_{p(\cdot)}$. Thus, we say that an operator T is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ if $\|(Tf)w\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C\|fw\|_{p(\cdot)}$ for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$.

In the rest of this section we present a simple and convenient method to pass from local to global results in variable exponent spaces. The idea is simply to generalize the following two properties of the Lebesgue norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^q} = \left(\sum_k \|\chi_{\Omega_k} f\|_{L^q}^q \right)^{1/q},$$

$$\sum_k \|\chi_{\Omega_k} f\|_{L^q} \|\chi_{\Omega_k} g\|_{L^{q'}} \leq \|f\|_{L^q} \|g\|_{L^{q'}},$$

for a partition of \mathbb{R}^d into measurable sets $(\Omega_k)_k$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$.

This technique was studied and developed in [8] (see also [11]). Although these estimate cannot generalize for arbitrary choices of the $(\Omega_k)_k$ sets, as shown in Theorem 7.3.22 they hold when $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(\Omega_k)_k$ is a locally N -finite family of balls (or cubes).

A family \mathcal{U} of measurable sets $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called to be locally N -finite, if

$$\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \chi_U \leq N$$

almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^d .

Remark 1. It is clear from Proposition 1 that, given $\beta \geq 1$, the family of balls $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta B_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is locally N -finite with $N = C\beta^{N_1}$.

The following theorem is nothing but a deep insight of the proof of Theorem 7.3.22 en [8]. Here for the sake of convenience, we supply the proof.

Theorem 1. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and \mathcal{B} a locally N -finite family of balls. Then,*

$$\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \|\chi_B f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq CN^2 \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|g\|_{p'(\cdot)},$$

for every $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Since $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it is easy to see that $p' \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ also implies that

$$(8) \quad |B| \approx \|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B\|_{p'(\cdot)},$$

for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Denote

$$T_{p(\cdot)}^{\mathcal{B}} f = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B \frac{\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \chi_B}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)}}.$$

Hence, from Corollary 7.3.21 in [8] (and its proof), it follows that

$$\|T_{p(\cdot)}^{\mathcal{B}} f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq CN \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_{p'(\cdot)}^{\mathcal{B}} g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq CN \|g\|_{p'(\cdot)},$$

for every $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Therefore, from (8) and Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \|\chi_B f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B g\|_{p'(\cdot)} &\leq C \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |B| \frac{\|\chi_B f\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)}} \frac{\|\chi_B g\|_{p'(\cdot)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p'(\cdot)}} \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B \frac{\|\chi_B f\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)}} \frac{\|\chi_B g\|_{p'(\cdot)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p'(\cdot)}} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T_{p(\cdot)}^{\mathcal{B}} f(x) T_{p'(\cdot)}^{\mathcal{B}} g(x) dx \\
&\leq C \|T_{p(\cdot)}^{\mathcal{B}} f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|T_{p'(\cdot)}^{\mathcal{B}} g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\
&\leq CN^2 \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|g\|_{p'(\cdot)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us now give a result that will be very useful in what follows.

Theorem 2. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and w a weight. Then, for every locally N -finite family of balls \mathcal{B} we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B \frac{\|f \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot), w} \leq CN^2 \|f\|_{p(\cdot), w},$$

for every $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$. Moreover,

$$\left\| \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B f \right\|_{p(\cdot), w} \approx \left\| \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B \frac{\|f \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot), w}.$$

Proof. For convenience, we shall denote

$$T_{p(\cdot), w}^{\mathcal{B}} f = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B \frac{\|f \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}}.$$

Using the norm conjugate formula, Hölder's inequality and Theorem 1 we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B f \right\|_{p(\cdot), w} &\leq 2 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B |f| \right) w |g| dx \\
&\leq 2 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \int_B w |f| |g| dx \\
&\leq 4 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \|\chi_B w f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\
&= 4 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \left\| \chi_B w \frac{\|\chi_B f\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\
&\leq 4 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \left\| \chi_B w T_{p(\cdot), w}^{\mathcal{B}} f \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\
&\leq 4CN^2 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \|w T_{p(\cdot), w}^{\mathcal{B}} f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\
&= 4CN^2 \|w T_{p(\cdot), w}^{\mathcal{B}} f\|_{p(\cdot)} \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\
&\leq 4CN^2 \left\| \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B \frac{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot), w}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same tools, we also estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B \frac{\|f \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot), w} &\leq 2 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B \frac{\|f \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right) w |g| dx \\
&\leq 2 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\|f \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \int_B |g| w dx \\
&\leq 4 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\|f \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \|\chi_B g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \|\chi_B w\|_{p(\cdot)} \\
&= 4 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \|w f \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\
&\leq 4CN^2 \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \|w f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\
&= 4CN^2 \|f\|_{p(\cdot), w} \sup_{\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1} \|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\
&\leq 4CN^2 \|f\|_{p(\cdot), w}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. WEIGHTS

For our results we will need to assume that the maximal operator is bounded on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces. The corresponding results a the boundedness of the maximal operator on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces have been established in different settings by several authors, see for instance [3, 6, 8]. Following [6], if we replace the weight w by w^p in the definition of weights of Muckenhoupt, the

condition A_p take the form

$$\sup_B |B|^{-1} \|w\chi_B\|_p \|w^{-1}\chi_B\|_{p'} < \infty,$$

where the supreme is taken on all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 < p < \infty$. This condition result equivalent to the norm inequality

$$\|(Mf)w\|_p \leq C\|fw\|_p.$$

This way of defining the weights A_p immediately generalizes to the variable Lebesgue spaces. Given an exponent $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a weight w , we say that $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ if

$$(9) \quad [w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}} = \sup_B |B|^{-1} \|w\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \|w^{-1}\chi_B\|_{p'(\cdot)} < \infty,$$

where the supreme is taken on all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

The following result can be found in [6] (see also [3]).

Theorem 3. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p^- > 1$. Then the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ if and only if $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$.*

Following the above and the ideas in [1] and [2] we define some classes of weights associated to a critical radius function ρ . Given a critical radius function ρ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we shall consider two families of weights. We introduce the $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$ class of weights as those w satisfying (9) for every ball $B \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$. That is to say, the weights w such that

$$(10) \quad [w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}} = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_\rho} |B|^{-1} \|w\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \|w^{-1}\chi_B\|_{p'(\cdot)} < \infty.$$

Also, given $\theta > 0$, we will say that $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \theta}$ if the inequality

$$(11) \quad \|w\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \|w^{-1}\chi_B\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq C|B| \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)}\right)^\theta,$$

holds for all balls $B = B(x, r)$. We denote $A_{p(\cdot)}^\rho = \cup_{\theta \geq 0} A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \theta}$. It is clear then that $A_{p(\cdot)} \subset A_{p(\cdot)}^\rho \subset A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$.

In the rest of this section we prove some basic properties of the weights in $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$ class.

Lemma 3. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$, then there exists a constant C depending on $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}}$ such that, given any ball $B \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and any measurable set $E \subset B$,*

$$\|\chi_B w\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \|\chi_E w\|_{p(\cdot)} \frac{|B|}{|E|}.$$

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $E \subset B$. Then by Hölder's inequality and the $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$ condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_E(x) w(x) \chi_B(x) w^{-1}(x) dx \\ &\leq 2 \|\chi_E w\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B w^{-1}\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\ &\leq 2 [w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}} |B| \|\chi_E w\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B w\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\beta > 1$, then $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\beta\rho, \text{loc}} = A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$. Moreover, the constant $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\beta\rho, \text{loc}}}$ depends only on the constants in (1), β and $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to check that $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}} \subset A_{p(\cdot)}^{\beta\rho, \text{loc}}$ since the other contention always hold for $\beta \geq 1$. Let $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$ and $B_0 = B(x_0, r)$ with $r \leq \beta\rho(x_0)$. It is sufficient to suppose that $r > \rho(x_0)$

Consider the ball $B_1 = B(x_1, \frac{r}{2})$ with $B_1 \subset B_0$. We must prove that there exists a constant \tilde{C}_1 such that

$$\|w\chi_{B_0}\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \tilde{C}_1 \|w\chi_{B_1}\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Let $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^N$ the covering of B_0 provided by Proposition 2, with $P_j = B(y_j, \frac{\delta_0}{4})$ and $\delta_0 = c_\rho^{-1} (1 + 2r/\rho(x_0))^{-N_0} \rho(x_0)$.

Therefore, for any j and k such that $P_j \cap P_k \neq \emptyset$, we have that $P_j \subset 4P_k$. Then,

$$\|w\chi_{P_j}\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \|w\chi_{4P_k}\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 2^{2d+1} [w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}} \|w\chi_{P_k}\|_{p(\cdot)} = C_w \|w\chi_{P_k}\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

where in the last inequality we have used the Lemma 3 since the radius of $4P_k$ is $\delta_0 \leq \rho(y_k)$, which in turn holds because $y_k \in 2B_0$ and (5).

If P_{j_1} denotes a member of the family such that $x_1 \in P_{j_1}$, we have

$$(12) \quad \|w\chi_{B_0}\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|w\chi_{P_j}\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C_w^N \sum_{i=1}^N \|w\chi_{P_{j_1}}\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq NC_w^N \|w\chi_{B_1}\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

since $P_{j_1} \subset B_1$.

Analogously, we obtain

$$(13) \quad \|w^{-1}\chi_{B_0}\|_{p'(\cdot)} \lesssim \|w^{-1}\chi_{B_1}\|_{p'(\cdot)}.$$

Finally, if k is the least integer such that $2^k \geq \beta$ and we denote by $\tilde{B}_0 = B(x_0, \frac{r}{2^k})$, it is clear that $\tilde{B}_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$. Then, repeating several times, (12) and (13), we have

$$\|w\chi_{B_0}\|_{p(\cdot)} \|w^{-1}\chi_{B_0}\|_{p'(\cdot)} \lesssim \|w\chi_{\tilde{B}_0}\|_{p(\cdot)} \|w^{-1}\chi_{\tilde{B}_0}\|_{p'(\cdot)} \lesssim |\tilde{B}_0| \lesssim |B_0|.$$

□

Remark 2. Notice that, even when all the classes $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\beta\rho, \text{loc}}$ are the same, in general, the membership constant increases with β .

The next proposition is important in this paper because it gives us a way to extend local weights in the setting of variable exponents.

Proposition 4. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $Q = B(x_0, \beta\rho(x_0))$, with $\beta > 1$. If $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$, then $\tilde{w} = w\chi_Q \in A_{p(\cdot)}$. Moreover, the constant $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}}$ depends only of the constants in (1), β and $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}}$.*

Proof. Let $B = B(x, r)$ a ball in \mathbb{R}^d . We need to estimate

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \|\chi_B \tilde{w}\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B \tilde{w}^{-1}\|_{p'(\cdot)}.$$

It is clear that if $B \cap Q = \emptyset$ there is nothing to prove.

Suppose that $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. We distinguish two cases. Let's start by assuming that $|Q| \leq |B|$. In that case

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_B \tilde{w}\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B \tilde{w}^{-1}\|_{p'(\cdot)} &= \|\chi_B \chi_Q w\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B \chi_Q w^{-1}\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\ &\leq \|\chi_Q w\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_Q w^{-1}\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\ &\leq [w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\beta\rho, \text{loc}}} |Q| \\ &\leq [w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\beta\rho, \text{loc}}} |B|, \end{aligned}$$

and the constant $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\beta\rho, \text{loc}}}$ depends only of the constants in (1), β and $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}}$ as proved in Proposition 3.

Suppose now that $|Q| > |B|$, then $\beta\rho(x_0) > r$. Let $y \in B \cap Q$. From (1) to obtain

$$(14) \quad \rho(x_0) \leq c_\rho \rho(y) \left(1 + \frac{|y - x_0|}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{N_0} \leq c_\rho (1 + \beta)^{N_0} \rho(y),$$

and so

$$(15) \quad \frac{\rho(x_0)}{\rho(y)} \leq c_\rho (1 + \beta)^{N_0}.$$

Taking into account (15) and the fact that $\beta\rho(x_0) > r$, from (1) to obtain

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho(y) &\leq c_\rho \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|y - x|}{\rho(y)}\right)^{N_0} \leq c_\rho \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{\beta\rho(x_0)}{\rho(y)}\right)^{N_0} \\ &\leq c_\rho (1 + c_\rho \beta (1 + \beta)^{N_0})^{N_0} \rho(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (14) and (16), it follows that $r < \beta\rho(x_0) \leq \gamma\rho(x)$, with $\gamma = c_\rho^2 \beta (1 + \beta)^{N_0} (1 + c_\rho \beta (1 + \beta)^{N_0})^{N_0}$, and so $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma\rho}$.

Therefore, from the Proposition 3, $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^{\gamma\rho, \text{loc}}$ and $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\gamma\rho, \text{loc}}} \leq C_{w, \gamma}$, where $C_{w, \gamma}$ only depends on γ and $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}}$, and in this particular case, $C_{w, \gamma}$ only depends on ρ , β and $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}}$.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_B \tilde{w}\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B \tilde{w}^{-1}\|_{p'(\cdot)} &= \|\chi_B \chi_Q w\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B \chi_Q w^{-1}\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\ &\leq \|\chi_B w\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_B w^{-1}\|_{p'(\cdot)} \\ &\leq [w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\gamma\rho, \text{loc}}} |B| \\ &\lesssim C_{w, \gamma} |B|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ and the constant $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}}$ depends only of the constants in (1), β and $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}}$. □

4. MAIN THEOREMS

We are now in a position of stating one of our main theorems related to weighted norm inequalities for M_ρ^{loc} .

Theorem 4. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p^- > 1$. Then, M_ρ^{loc} is bounded in $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ if and only if $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$.*

Proof. Let us note that, following standard arguments, the condition $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$ is necessary for the boundedness of M_ρ^{loc} in $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$.

Let us now consider $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$. Let $\{Q_j\}_j$ be covering of critical balls given by Proposition 1 and set $\tilde{Q}_j = \beta Q_j$, with $\beta = c_\rho 2^{\frac{N_0}{N_0+1}} + 1$.

Let us observe that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r \leq \rho(x)$, there is k such that $x \in Q_k$, then $B(x, r) \subset B(x, \rho(x)) \subset \tilde{Q}_k$ since by (1), $\rho(x) \leq c_\rho 2^{\frac{N_0}{N_0+1}} \rho(x_k)$.

Therefore, for all $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$M_\rho^{\text{loc}} f(x) \leq M(f \chi_{\tilde{Q}_k})(x) \leq \sum_j \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}(x) M(f \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j})(x).$$

By Proposition 4, we obtain that for each j , $w_j = w \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j} \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ with a constant independent of j .

Hence, from Theorem 2 (twice) and Theorem 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_\rho^{\text{loc}}\|_{p(\cdot), w} &\lesssim \left\| \sum_j \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j} M(f \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}) \right\|_{p(\cdot), w} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sum_j \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j} \frac{\|M(f \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}) \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot), w} \\ &= \left\| \sum_j \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j} \frac{\|M(f \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j})\|_{p(\cdot), w_j}}{\|\chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot), w} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sum_j \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j} \frac{\|f \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}\|_{p(\cdot), w_j}}{\|\chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot), w} \\ &= \left\| \sum_j \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j} \frac{\|f \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot), w} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{p(\cdot), w}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3. While this paper was in preparation we found the article [15]. In it, the authors define local weights and prove the weighted inequality for local Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on the Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent.

Our approach here has two differences. On one side, in our case the notion of locality is given by the critical radius function ρ , while they consider cubes with $|Q| \leq 1$ (i.e. $\rho \equiv 1$). On the other hand, both in the definition of $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ and in that of the weights $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$, they treat $w dx$ as a measure and not as a multiplier.

That is why the methods used there turn out to be quite different from ours.

Our second result yields weighted inequalities concerning the operators M_ρ^θ .

Theorem 5. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p^- > 1$. Then, a weight $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^\rho$ if and only if there exists $\theta > 0$ such that M_ρ^θ is bounded over $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$.*

Proof. First suppose that there exists $\theta > 0$ such that M_ρ^θ is bounded over $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$.

Fix a ball $B = B(x_0, r)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$. For $x \in B$, using (1) we have

$$\rho(x_0) \leq c_\rho \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x - x_0|}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{N_0} < c_\rho \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{N_0}.$$

Then, for any $x \in B$,

$$(17) \quad 1 + \frac{2r}{\rho(x)} \leq 1 + c_\rho \frac{2r}{\rho(x_0)} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{N_0} \leq c_\rho \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{N_0+1}.$$

Given $\eta > 0$, define the function $A_B^\eta f$ as

$$A_B^\eta f(x) = \frac{\psi_\eta(B)}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy \chi_B(x)$$

with $\psi_\eta(B) = \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{-\eta}$.

Then, taking into account (17), for $\eta = \theta(N_0 + 1)$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_B^\eta f(x) &= \frac{\psi_\eta(B)}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy \chi_B(x) \\ &\leq 2^\eta c_\rho^\theta \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-\theta} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq 2^{d+\eta} c_\rho^\theta \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-\theta} \frac{1}{|B(x, 2r)|} \int_{B(x, 2r)} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq 2^{d+\eta} c_\rho^\theta M_\rho^\theta f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2 (exchanging the roles of $p(\cdot)$ and $p'(\cdot)$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \|w^{-1} \chi_B\|_{p'(\cdot)} &\leq 2 \|w \chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \sup_{\|g\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1} \int_B |g(y)| w^{-1}(y) dy \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\|g\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1} \left\| w \chi_B \int_B |g| w^{-1} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \\ &= 2 (\psi_\eta(B))^{-1} |B| \sup_{\|g\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1} \left\| w \chi_B \frac{\psi_\eta(B)}{|B|} \int_B |g| w^{-1} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \\ &= 2 (\psi_\eta(B))^{-1} |B| \sup_{\|g\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1} \|A_B^\eta(g w^{-1}) w\|_{p(\cdot)} \\ &\leq 2^{d+\eta+1} c_\rho^\theta (\psi_\eta(B))^{-1} |B| \sup_{\|g\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1} \|M_\rho^\theta(g w^{-1}) w\|_{p(\cdot)} \\ &\lesssim (\psi_\eta(B))^{-1} |B| \sup_{\|g\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1} \|g\|_{p(\cdot)} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^\eta |B|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^\rho$.

Suppose now that $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^\rho$. Let $\theta \geq 0$ that we shall determine later. Observe that

$$M_\rho^\theta f \leq M_{\rho,1}^\theta f + M_{\rho,2}^\theta f,$$

where

$$M_{\rho,1}^\theta f(x) = \sup_{r \leq \rho(x)} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-\theta} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f|,$$

and

$$M_{\rho,2}^\theta f(x) = \sup_{r > \rho(x)} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-\theta} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f|.$$

Therefore, we have to check that $M_{\rho,1}^\theta$ and $M_{\rho,2}^\theta$ are bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$.

Since for every $\theta \geq 0$, $M_{\rho,1}^\theta \leq M_\rho^{\text{loc}}$ and $A_{p(\cdot)}^\rho \subset A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho, \text{loc}}$ the boundedness of $M_{\rho,1}^\theta$ follows from Theorem 4.

Let $\{B_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a covering provided by Proposition 1. Now, for $x \in B_k = B(x_k, \rho(x_k))$ we call $R_j = \{r : 2^{j-1}\rho(x) < r \leq 2^j\rho(x)\}$, and then we use (1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\rho,2}^\theta f(x) &\leq \sup_{j \geq 1} \sup_{r \in R_j} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-\theta} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy \\ (18) \quad &\leq 2^{d+\theta} \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{2^{-j(\theta+d)}}{|B(x, \rho(x))|} \int_{B(x, 2^j \rho(x))} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq c \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{2^{-j(\theta+d)}}{|B_k|} \int_{c_j B_k} |f(y)| dy, \end{aligned}$$

with $c = c_\rho^d 2^{d(1+N_0)+\theta}$ and $c_j = 2^j c_\rho 2^{\frac{N_0}{N_0+1}} + 1$.

On the other hand, since $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^\rho$, there exist σ and C such that

$$(19) \quad \|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot), w} \|\chi_B\|_{p'(\cdot), w^{-1}} \leq C |B| \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)}\right)^\sigma,$$

for every ball $B = B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

We denote $B_k^j = c_j B_k$. From Hölder inequality, (19) and (18), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\rho,2}^\theta f(x) &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi_{B_k} \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{2^{-j(\theta+d)}}{|B_k|} \int_{B_k^j} |f(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi_{B_k} \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{2^{-j(\theta+d)}}{|B_k|} \|\chi_{B_k^j} f\|_{p(\cdot), w} \|\chi_{B_k^j}\|_{p'(\cdot), w^{-1}} \\ (20) \quad &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi_{B_k} \sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{2^{-j(\theta+d)}}{|B_k|} \frac{\|\chi_{B_k^j} f\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_{B_k^j}\|_{p(\cdot), w}} |B_k^j| c_j^\sigma \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi_{B_k} \sup_{j \geq 1} 2^{-j(\theta-\sigma)} \frac{\|\chi_{B_k^j} f\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_{B_k^j}\|_{p(\cdot), w}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-j(\theta-\sigma)} \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi_{B_k^j} \frac{\|\chi_{B_k^j} f\|_{p(\cdot), w}}{\|\chi_{B_k^j}\|_{p(\cdot), w}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|M_{\rho,2}^\theta f\|_{p(\cdot),w} &\leq C \left\| \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-j(\theta-\sigma)} \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi_{B_k^j} \frac{\|\chi_{B_k^j} f\|_{p(\cdot),w}}{\|\chi_{B_k^j}\|_{p(\cdot),w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot),w} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-j(\theta-\sigma)} \left\| \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi_{B_k^j} \frac{\|\chi_{B_k^j} f\|_{p(\cdot),w}}{\|\chi_{B_k^j}\|_{p(\cdot),w}} \right\|_{p(\cdot),w} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-j(\theta-\sigma)} c_j^{2N_1} \|f\|_{p(\cdot),w} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{p(\cdot),w} \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-j(\theta-\sigma-2N_1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last series converges taking $\theta > \sigma + 2N_1$, with N_1 is the constant appearing in Proposition 1 (see also Remark 1). \square

5. APPLICATIONS IN A SCHRÖDINGER SETTING

In this section we consider a Schrödinger operator in \mathbb{R}^d with $d \geq 3$,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V,$$

where $V \geq 0$, not identically zero, is a function that satisfies for $q > d/2$, the reverse Hölder inequality

$$(21) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(y)^q dy \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B V(y) dy,$$

for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The set of functions with the last property is usually denoted by RH_q .

Remark 4. By Hölder inequality we can get that $RH_q \subset RH_p$, for $q \geq p > 1$. One remarkable feature about the RH_q class is that, if $V \in RH_q$ for some $q > 1$, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$, which depends only on d and the constant C in (21), such that $V \in RH_{q+\epsilon}$. Therefore, it is equivalent consider in our hypotheses $q > d/2$ or $q \geq d/2$.

For a given potential $V \in RH_q$, with $q > d/2$, as in [16], we consider the auxiliary function ρ_V defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as

$$\rho_V(x) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} V \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Under the above conditions on V we have $0 < \rho(x) < \infty$. In particular, $\rho(x) \sim 1$ with $V = C$ and $\rho(x) \sim \frac{1}{1+|x|}$ with $V = |x|^2$ (see [13]).

Furthermore, according to [16, Lemma 1.4], if $V \in RH_{q/2}$ the associated function ρ_V verifies (1).

Thus, if for f in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we consider the operators

$$(22) \quad M_{\rho_V}^{\text{loc}} f(x) = \sup_{r \leq \rho_V(x)} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

and

$$(23) \quad M_{\rho_V}^\theta f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho_V(x)}\right)^{-\theta} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

for $\theta > 0$, as a consequence of the Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 we get the following theorems. In them, classes $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho_V, \text{loc}}$ and $A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho_V}$ refer to those defined in (10) and (11) respectively for $\rho = \rho_V$.

Theorem 6. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p^- > 1$ and $V \in RH_q$ with $q > d/2$. Then, $M_{\rho_V}^{\text{loc}}$ is bounded in $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ if and only if $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho_V, \text{loc}}$.*

Theorem 7. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{log}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p^- > 1$ and $V \in RH_q$ with $q > d/2$. Then, a weight $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}^{\rho_V}$ if and only if there exists $\theta > 0$ such that $M_{\rho_V}^\theta$ is bounded over $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Bongioanni, B., Cabral, A. and Harboure, E. *Extrapolation for Classes of Weights Related to a Family of Operators and Applications*. Potential Anal., 38(4): 1207–1232, 2013.
- [2] Bongioanni B., Harboure E. and Salinas O. *Classes of weights related to Schrödinger operators*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 373(2): 563–579, 2011.
- [3] Cruz-Uribe, D., Diening, L. and Hästö, P. *The maximal operator on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces*. Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal., 14(3): 361–374, 2011.
- [4] Cruz-Uribe, D. and Fiorenza A. *Variable Lebesgue Spaces*. Foundations and harmonic analysis. Series: Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. IX, 312 p, 2013.
- [5] Cruz-Uribe, D., Fiorenza, A. and Neugebauer, C.J. *The maximal function on variable L^p spaces*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 28:223–238, 2003.
- [6] Cruz-Uribe, D., Fiorenza, A. and Neugebauer, C.J. *Weighted norm inequalities for the maximal operator on variable Lebesgue spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 394(2), 744–760, 2012.
- [7] Diening, L. *Maximal function on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$* . Math. Inequal. Appl., 7(2): 245–254, 2004.
- [8] Diening, L., Harjulehto, P., Hästö, P. and Růžička, M. *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*. Lecture Notes in Math. 2017, Springer, 2011.
- [9] Diening, L. and Hästö, P. *Muckenhoupt weights in variable exponent spaces*. Preprint, 2010.
- [10] Dziubański, J. and Zienkiewicz, J. *Hardy spaces H^1 associated to Schrödinger operators with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality*. Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 41(4): 592–618, 1991.
- [11] Hästö, P. *Local to global results in variable exponent spaces*. Math. Res. Letters, 16: 263–278, 2009.
- [12] Kováčik, O. and Rákosník, J. *On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$* . Czechoslovak Math. J., 15(2): 279–296, 1999.
- [13] Martinez, M. T. *Extremal spaces related to Schrödinger operators with potentials satisfying a reverse Hölder inequality*. Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina, 41(1): 43–61, 2004.
- [14] Musielak, J. *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1034. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [15] Nogayama, T. and Sawano, Y. *Local Muckenhoupt class for variable exponents*. J. Inequal. Appl. 70 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-021-02601-2>
- [16] Shen, Z. *L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 45(2):513–546, 1995.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA – FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES – UNNE AND INSTITUTO DE MODELADO E INNOVACIÓN TECNOLÓGICA, CONICET–UNNE, CORRIENTES, ARGENTINA.

Email address: enrique.cabral@comunidad.unne.edu.ar