

ON A GENERALIZATION OF SMILEY'S THEOREM

XIAO CHEN, JIAN-JIAN JIANG, AND XIAOLIN LI[†]

ABSTRACT. In this short article, we mainly prove that, for any bounded operator A of the form $S + N$ on a complex Hilbert space, where S is a normal operator and N is a nilpotent operator commuting with S , if a bounded operator B lies in the collection of bounded linear operators that are in the k -centralizer of every bounded linear operator in the l -centralizer of A , where $k \leq l$ is two arbitrary positive integers, then B must belong to the operator norm closure of the algebra generated by A and identity operator. This result generalizes a matrix commutator theorem proved by M. F. Smiley. For this aim, Smiley-type operators are defined and studied. Furthermore, we also give a generalization of Fuglede's theorem as a byproduct.

2020 MSC numbers: 47B02, 47B47, 47B40, 47B15

Keywords: Smiley-type operator, s -centralizer, Hilbert space, spectral operator, unilateral shift operator

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1960, M. F. Smiley in [12] proved the following important and interesting theorem.

Classical Smiley's Theorem: *Let \mathbb{F} be an algebraically closed field and the characteristic is 0 or at least n , and let $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ be the ring of all n by n matrices with elements in \mathbb{F} . Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ be such that for some positive integer s , $\text{ad}_A^s(X) = 0$ for X in $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ implies that $\text{ad}_X^s(B) = 0$. Then B is a polynomial in A with coefficients in \mathbb{F} . Here ad will be defined below.*

After Smiley, in [9], D. W. Robinson proved the above theorem is also valid in case \mathbb{F} is not algebraically closed, and had given a final and complete form of Smiley's theorem for matrix algebras(cf. [9] or [10, pp.114-115]). In this paper, we will be devoted to generalize Smiley's theorem to infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space.

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space over complex number field \mathbb{C} , and $B(\mathfrak{H})$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded operators on \mathfrak{H} . On $B(\mathfrak{H})$, we can define a Lie product $[X, Y] := XY - YX$ for any two operators $X, Y \in B(\mathfrak{H})$. So $B(\mathfrak{H})$ can be viewed as a Lie algebra. For any $T \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, the adjoint operator of T is denoted by T^* . The algebra generated by a subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq B(\mathfrak{H})$, denoted by $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$, means the smallest algebra containing \mathcal{S} .

Denote by $\sigma(A)$ the spectrum of $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$.

Xiao Chen is supported by the NSF of China (Grants 11701327).

Jian-Jian Jiang is supported by the NSF of China (Grants 11801290).

[†] the corresponding author: lixiaolin26@163.com.

For any operator $Z \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, we can define the corresponding **left (respectively, right) multiplier** on $B(\mathfrak{H})$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_Z(X) := ZX \text{ (respectively, } \mathcal{R}_Z(X) := XZ), \text{ for all } X \in B(\mathfrak{H}).$$

For any $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, the map $\text{ad}_A : B(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{H})$ determined by the formula

$$\text{ad}_A(X) := [A, X], \quad \forall X \in B(\mathfrak{H})$$

is a linear operator on $B(\mathfrak{H})$. The map which to every operator A assigns the operator ad_A is called the **adjoint representation** of $B(\mathfrak{H})$. For any positive integer s , we denote by ad_A^s the s -multiple composition of the operator ad_A , that is,

$$\text{ad}_A^s(X) := [A, [A, [A, [A, \dots [A, X] \dots]]]],$$

where $[A, \cdot]$ is repeated s times.

Denote by \mathbb{N}^+ be the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ of all positive integers.

If \mathcal{B} is a subset of $B(\mathfrak{H})$, we denote by \mathcal{B}' the **commutant** of \mathcal{B} , i.e. $\mathcal{B}' := \{Y \in B(\mathfrak{H}) \mid XY = YX, \forall X \in \mathcal{B}\}$. The **double commutant** \mathcal{B}'' of \mathcal{B} is $(\mathcal{B}')'$. Similarly, the **3-commutant** \mathcal{B}''' of \mathcal{B} is $(\mathcal{B}'')'$, and the **s -commutant** \mathcal{B}^s of \mathcal{B} is $(\mathcal{B}^{s-1})'$ for any positive integer $s \geq 4$. Also, for any $s \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we define **s -centralizer** of \mathcal{B} by $C_s(\mathcal{B}) = \{X \in B(\mathfrak{H}) \mid \text{ad}_Y^s(X) = 0, \forall Y \in \mathcal{B}\}$. These concepts also appeared in some more early references, such as [6, Chapter 4] and [10, pp. 113]. In particular, when \mathcal{B} is a singleton set $\{Z\}$ in $B(\mathfrak{H})$, we can abbreviate $C_s(\{Z\})$ as $C_s(Z)$. Note that $C_1(Z) = C'(Z)$.

For any operator A in $B(\mathfrak{H})$, we denote by $\text{Pol}(A)$ the ring of all polynomials in A with coefficients in \mathbb{C} .

If \mathfrak{H}_n is a n -dimensional complex Hilbert space, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n , for an arbitrary positive integer n , then it is clear that $B(\mathfrak{H}_n)$ equals to the matrix Lie algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. For $B(\mathfrak{H}_n)$, using the terminologies above, we can rewrite the classical Smiley's theorem over a special field \mathbb{C} as follows (cf. [10, pp.113–115]):

For any positive integer s and $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, one has $C_s(C_s(A)) \subseteq \text{Pol}(A)$.

Definition 1.1. *A operator $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ is called **(k, l) -type Smiley operator** if there exist two positive integers $k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $C_k(C_l(A)) \subseteq VN(A)$ where $VN(A)$ is the von Neumann algebra in $B(\mathfrak{H})$ generated by A and the identity operator $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ on \mathfrak{H} . In addition, a (k, l) -type Smiley operator is said to be **proper** if $C_k(C_l(A))$ is contained in the subalgebra $\text{Pol}(A)$ of $VN(A)$.*

Obviously, by the classical Smiley's theorem, we can see that, *every n by n matrix is a proper (s, s) -type Smiley operator on \mathbb{C}^n for all positive integer $s \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Interestingly, von Neumann's double commutant theorem (see [6, Theorem 4.1.5]) actually tells us that every $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ is $(1, 1)$ -type Smiley operator. Then the following question arises naturally.*

Which operators on a Hilbert space are (k, l) -type Smiley operators for some given positive integers $k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+$?

In the present article, we will partially answer this question for spectral operators on a complex Hilbert space.

Loosely speaking, a spectral operator is an operator which has a spectral reduction, that is, it can be reduced by a family of spectral projections. These projection is also known as the resolution of the identity or the spectral resolution of the given operator. It has been observed in [2] that the spectral reduction is just the Jordan canonical form in classical matrix theory. In other words, every matrix is a spectral operator. Besides, another famous example of a spectral operator is a normal Hilbert space operator which has spectral measures and spectral resolution (cf. [13, Section 4.3]). Here we use an equivalent formulation of spectral operators.

Definition 1.2. [4, Theorem 5, Chapter XV] *A operator $T \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ is called **spectral operator** if it has a unique decomposition $T = S + N$ of a bounded **scalar type operator** S and a **quasi-nilpotent operator** N commuting with S . Here a scalar type operator S means S has a unique spectral resolution E for which $S = \int_{\sigma(S)} z \, dE(z)$, and a quasi-nilpotent operator N is an operator with a zero spectrum. Note that T and S has the same spectrum and the same spectral resolution.*

Clearly, the most common quasi-nilpotent operator is the nilpotent operator, the k th-power of which is the zero operator for some positive integer k . Moreover, in [14], J. Wermer had shown that the scalar type operators in a Hilbert space are those operators which are similar to normal operators. Hence, we mainly consider a special and big family of spectral operators, denoted by

$$\mathcal{S}_0(\mathfrak{H}) := \{T \in B(\mathfrak{H}) \mid T \text{ is spectral operator with the **canonical decomposition** } T = S + N, \text{ where } S \text{ is required to be a normal operator and } N \text{ is required to be a nilpotent operator commuting with } S.\}.$$

It can be apparently seen that the operators in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathfrak{H})$ is the most direct generalization of classical matrices to the infinite dimensional case, since the Jordan canonical form of a matrix is a sum of a diagonal matrix and a nilpotent matrix. This is just the reason why we here mainly consider the subclass $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathfrak{H})$ of the operators in $B(\mathfrak{H})$.

For more details about spectral operators, the readers can refer to the good survey [3] and the famous book [4].

The following proposition is the key result of the paper.

Proposition 1.3. *Every operator in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathfrak{H})$ is a (s, s) -type Smiley operator for every positive integer s .*

Since both $C_k(C_l(A)) \subseteq C_l(C_l(A))$ and $C_k(C_l(A)) \subseteq C_k(C_k(A))$ hold for every element $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, and any two positive integers k, l satisfying $k \leq l$, the following main theorem, as a direct consequence of Proposition 1.3, is immediately obtained.

Theorem 1.4. *Every operator in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathfrak{H})$ is a (k, l) -type Smiley operator for any two positive integers k and l satisfying $k \leq l$.*

In Section 2, we prove some essential lemmas including a generalization of Fuglede's theorem, and apply them to prove Theorem 1.4. In Section 3, we give an example of Smiley operator, which is provided by a kind of non-spectral operator.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Before proving our main theorem, we need to list some vital lemmas.

The proof of the first lemma now follows from similar lines of argument as that of [12, Lemma 1]. For completeness, we present the argument here.

Lemma 2.1. *If A is a bounded normal operator on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , then, for any $X \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, we have $\text{ad}_A^s(X) = 0$ for some positive integer s implies that $\text{ad}_A(X) = 0$.*

Proof: Since $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ is a normal operator, there exists a unique spectral resolution E such that $A = \int_{\sigma(A)} z dE(z)$. Due to $[A, E(\Omega)] = 0$ for all Borel sets Ω of $\sigma(A)$, the Jacobi identity for Lie product shows that $\text{ad}_A \text{ad}_{E(\Omega)}(X) = \text{ad}_{E(\Omega)} \text{ad}_A(X)$ for all X on $B(\mathfrak{H})$. So, since it is well known that $T \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ commutes with both A and A^* if and only if $TE(\Omega) = E(\Omega)T$ for all Borel sets Ω of $\sigma(A)$ (cf. [6, Chapter II, Theorem 2.5.5]), it follows from the classical Fuglede's theorem [13, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.10] that $\text{ad}_A^s(X) = [\text{ad}_A^{s-1}(X), A] = 0$ gives

$$\text{ad}_A^{s-1} \text{ad}_{E(\Omega)}(X) = \text{ad}_{E(\Omega)} \text{ad}_A^{s-1}(X) = [\text{ad}_A^{s-1}(X), E(\Omega)] = 0.$$

By induction, we have $\text{ad}_A \text{ad}_{E(\Omega)}(X) = 0$ from which $\text{ad}_{E(\Omega)}^2(X) = 0$ follows at once. But $\text{ad}_{E(\Omega)}^2(X) = E(\Omega)(E(\Omega)X - XE(\Omega)) - (E(\Omega)X - XE(\Omega))E(\Omega) = 0$ yields $E(\Omega)X = XE(\Omega)$ upon right and left multiplication by $E(\Omega)$. Thus $\text{ad}_{E(\Omega)}(X) = 0$ for all spectral projections $E(\Omega)$ and consequently $\text{ad}_A(X) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

The following result can be viewed as a generalization of the classical Fuglede's theorem [13, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.10].

Lemma 2.2. *For any $A \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathfrak{H})$ with the canonical decomposition $A = S + N$, one has $\text{ad}_A(X) = 0$ if and only if $\text{ad}_S(X) = 0$, if and only if $\text{ad}_{A^*}(X) = 0$, if and only if $\text{ad}_{S^*}(X) = 0$ for all $X \in B(\mathfrak{H})$.*

Proof: Because of Fuglede's theorem and $SN = NS$, we only need to prove that $\text{ad}_A(X) = 0$ implies $\text{ad}_S(X) = 0$ for all $X \in B(\mathfrak{H})$. Since N is a nilpotent operator, there exists a positive integer k such that $N^k = 0$, and so we get

$$\text{ad}_N^{2k}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{2k} (-1)^{2k-j} \binom{2k}{j} N^j X N^{2k-j} = 0,$$

where all $\binom{2k}{j}$ are the combination numbers (cf. [11] or [8, p. 776]). Note that $\text{ad}_A(X) = 0$ implies $(\mathcal{R}_S - \mathcal{L}_S)(X) = (\mathcal{L}_N - \mathcal{R}_N)(X)$. So, for the given positive integer k above, we have

$$\text{ad}_S^{2k}(X) = (\mathcal{R}_S - \mathcal{L}_S)^{2k}(X) = (\mathcal{L}_N - \mathcal{R}_N)^{2k}(X) = \text{ad}_N^{2k}(X) = 0.$$

Since S is a normal operator, by the Lemma 2.1, we have $\text{ad}_S(X) = 0$, which completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 2.2, we directly get the result below.

Corollary 2.3. *If A is an operator in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathfrak{H})$, then $\langle A, \text{id}_{\mathfrak{H}} \rangle'' = \langle A, A^*, \text{id}_{\mathfrak{H}} \rangle''$.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator. For any $X \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, one has that $\text{ad}_A(X) = 0$ implies both of $\text{ad}_A(\Re X) = 0$ and $\text{ad}_A(\Im X) = 0$, where the real part $\Re X = \frac{X+X^*}{2}$ and the imaginary part $\Im X = \frac{X-X^*}{2i}$ are both self-adjoint operators.*

Proof: Before proving this lemma, we first list two well-known facts.

Fact 1: *if A and B are both two self-adjoint operators on \mathfrak{H} , then $(\text{ad}_A(B))^* = -\text{ad}_A(B)$. It follows that $(\text{ad}_A(B))^* = (AB - BA)^* = B^*A^* - A^*B^* = BA - AB = -\text{ad}_A(B)$.*

Fact 2: *if $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ and $A^* = -A$, then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator B such that $A = iB$, and B is just $\Im A$. For clarifying **Fact 2**, we set $A_1 = \Re A$ and $\Im A = A_2$. Then $A^* = A_1 - iA_2$. So $A + A^* = A_1 + iA_2 + A_1 - iA_2 = 2A_1 = 0$, i.e., $A_1 = 0$. Hence $A = iA_2$. Uniqueness is obvious.*

Now, let us come to prove this lemma. Because A is a self-adjoint operator, by **Fact 1**, we know that $(\text{ad}_A(\Re X))^* = -\text{ad}_A(\Re X)$ and $(\text{ad}_A(\Im X))^* = -\text{ad}_A(\Im X)$. Hence, by **Fact 2**, there exists two self-adjoint operators Y_R and Y_I such that $\text{ad}_A(\Re X) = iY_R$ and $\text{ad}_A(\Im X) = iY_I$. Then $\text{ad}_A(X) = \text{ad}_A(\Re X) + i\text{ad}_A(\Im X) = iY_R - Y_I$, which means that $\Re(\text{ad}_A(X)) = -Y_I$ and $\Im(\text{ad}_A(X)) = Y_R$. This shows that, if $\text{ad}_A(X) = 0$, then $\text{ad}_A(\Re X) = iY_R = i\Im(\text{ad}_A(X)) = 0$ and $\text{ad}_A(\Im X) = iY_I = -i\Re(\text{ad}_A(X)) = 0$. This lemma is obtained. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The argument at the end of Section 1 implies that it is sufficient for us to prove Proposition 1.3 which yields Theorem 1.4.

Case $s = 1$. von Neumann's double commutant theorem tells us that every $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ is $(1, 1)$ -type Smiley operator, that is, $C_1(C_1(A)) \subseteq VN(A)$.

Case $s \geq 1$. We first prove the following **Claim**.

Claim: *for any normal operator $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, one has $C_s(C_s(A)) \subseteq C_1(C_1(A))$ for any positive integer $s \geq 1$. This implies that every normal operator $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ is (s, s) -type Smiley operator for any $s \in \mathbb{N}^+$.*

Indeed, for any $X \in C_1(A)$, that is, $\text{ad}_A(X) = 0$, Lemma 2.2 shows that $\text{ad}_{A^*}(X) = 0$. Now we write $A = \Re A + i\Im A$, where the real part $\Re A = \frac{A+A^*}{2}$ and the imaginary part $\Im A = \frac{A-A^*}{2i}$ are both self-adjoint operators. So $\text{ad}_{\Re A}(X) = \text{ad}_{\Im A}(X) = 0$. Similarly, Setting $X_1 = \Re X$ and $X_2 = \Im X$, by Lemma 2.4, we have $\text{ad}_{\Re A}(X_i) = \text{ad}_{\Im A}(X_i) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2$). Hence, we have that, $\text{ad}_A(X_i) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2$), and then $\text{ad}_A^s(X_i) = 0$ for all X_i . Now, for any $B \in C_s(C_s(A))$, it is apparent that $\text{ad}_{X_i}^s(B) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2$), and hence $\text{ad}_{X_i}(B) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) by Lemma 2.1. Consequently, it follows that $\text{ad}_X(B) = 0$. The argument above implies that $B \in C_1(C_1(A))$. The **Claim** is proved.

Next, we suppose that A is an operator in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathfrak{H})$ with the canonical decomposition $A = S + N$. For any positive integer $s \geq 1$ and $B \in C_s(C_s(A))$, we have that, if X lies in $C_s(S)$, i.e., $\text{ad}_S^s(X) = 0$, then by Lemma 2.1 $\text{ad}_S(X) = 0$, i.e., $X \in C_1(S)$. Consequently, by Lemma 2.2 we have $\text{ad}_A(X) = 0$, which implies $\text{ad}_A^s(X) = 0$. Hence $\text{ad}_X^s(B) = 0$ since B is an element in $C_s(C_s(A))$. So we have $B \in C_s(C_s(S))$. Since S is a normal operator, the above **Claim** shows that $B \in C_1(C_1(S))$, that is, $\text{ad}_S(X) = 0$ implies $\text{ad}_X(B) = 0$ for

any bounded operator X . This shows that, if X belongs to $C_1(A)$, then $X \in C_1(S)$ by Lemma 2.2, and so $B \in C_1(X)$. Hence it follows that $B \in C_1(C_1(A))$. Finally, because of **Case** $s = 1$, the proof of Proposition 1.3 is done, and consequently Theorem 1.4 holds.

Remark 2.5. *Let A be a bounded normal operator on \mathfrak{H} . By Proposition 1.3, we know that every operator $B \in C_s(C_s(A))$ for some positive integer s lies in $VN(A)$. In other words, the operator B is determined by A . More precisely, by Borel functional calculus (cf. [6, pp.72]), we can further see that there exist $f \in B_\infty(\sigma(A))$ such that $B = f(A)$, where $B_\infty(\sigma(A))$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded Borel measurable complex valued functions on $\sigma(A)$.*

In particular, if A be a compact self-adjoint operator on \mathfrak{H} , then A has the canonical spectral decomposition (also known as diagonalization) $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i P_i$ (see [1, Theorem 5.1, Chapter II]). Applying the classical Smiley's theorem to the finite dimensional range space of every P_i , we can show that $B = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(A) \chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}(A)$, where g_i be some polynomial and $\chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}$ is the characteristics function of the singleton set $\{\lambda_i\}$.

3. AN EXAMPLE OF NON-SPECTRAL OPERATORS

In this section, as an example of non-spectral Smiley operators, we prove that every infinite dimensional unilateral operator is a proper (k, l) -type Smiley operator, where $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $k \leq l$.

Definition 3.1. [1, Proposition 2.10, Chapter II] *Let $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^+)$ be the separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, which consists of all complex number sequences (x_1, x_2, \dots) satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < +\infty$. The unilateral shift operator A on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^+)$ is the operator in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^+)$ defined by $A(e_n) = e_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, equivalently, $A(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ for any sequence $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^+)$. Note that $\sigma(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is the closed unit circle in the complex plane (cf. [1, Proposition 6.5, Chapter VII]). So the unilateral shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^+)$ is obviously not quasi-nilpotent operator.*

Proposition 3.2. [5, Corollary of Problem 147] *The unilateral shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^+)$ does not have any non-trivial reducing subspace, and so is not a spectral operator.*

Proposition 3.3. *The unilateral shift operator A on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^+)$ is a proper (k, l) -type Smiley operator, where $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $k \leq l$.*

Proof: Since both $C_k(C_l(A)) \subseteq C_l(C_l(A))$ and $C_k(C_l(A)) \subseteq C_k(C_k(A))$ hold for every element $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, and any two positive integers k, l satisfying $k \leq l$, it suffices to prove that $C_2(C_2(A)) \subseteq \text{Pol}(A)$.

Taking an arbitrary sequence $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)^T \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^+)$ and an infinite dimensional complex matrix $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}^+}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ad}_A(X)\xi &= (AX - XA)\xi \\
(3.1) \quad &= \left(0, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{1j}\xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{2j}\xi_j, \dots \right)^T - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{1,j+1}\xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{2,j+1}\xi_j, \dots \right)^T \\
&= \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{1,j+1}\xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{1j} - x_{2,j+1})\xi_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{kj} - x_{k+1,j+1})\xi_j, \dots \right)^T
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad \text{ad}_A^2(X)\xi &= [A(AX - XA) - (AX - XA)A]\xi \\
&= \left(0, -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{1,j+1}\xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{1j} - x_{2,j+1})\xi_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{kj} - x_{k+1,j+1})\xi_j, \dots \right)^T \\
&\quad - \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{1,j+2}\xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{1,j+1} - x_{2,j+2})\xi_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{k-1,j+1} - x_{k,j+2})\xi_j, \dots \right)^T \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{1,j+2}\xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-2x_{1,j+1} + x_{2,j+2})\xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{1j} - 2x_{2,j+1} + x_{3,j+2})\xi_j, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{2j} - 2x_{3,j+1} + x_{4,j+2})\xi_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_{k-2,j} - 2x_{k-1,j+1} + x_{k,j+2})\xi_j, \dots \right)^T
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\text{ad}_A^2(X)\xi = 0$, by the arbitrariness of ξ , we have that, every matrix X in $C_2(A)$ has the form of

$$\begin{pmatrix}
x_{11} & x_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\
x_{21} & x_{22} & 2x_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\
x_{31} & x_{32} & 2x_{22} - x_{11} & 3x_{12} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\
x_{41} & x_{42} & 2x_{32} - x_{21} & 3x_{22} - 2x_{11} & 4x_{12} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\
x_{51} & x_{52} & 2x_{42} - x_{31} & 3x_{32} - 2x_{21} & 4x_{22} - 3x_{11} & 5x_{12} & \dots & 0 & \dots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
x_{k,1} & x_{k,2} & 2x_{k-1,2} - x_{k-2,1} & 3x_{k-2,2} - 2x_{k-3,1} & 4x_{k-3,2} - 3x_{k-4,1} & 5x_{k-4,2} - 4x_{k-5,1} & \dots & kx_{12} & \dots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{pmatrix}.$$

In the following, we compute $C_2(C_2(A))$. Since

$$(X\xi)(1) = x_{11}\xi_1 + x_{12}\xi_2$$

and

$$(X\xi)(k) = x_{k1}\xi_1 + x_{k2}\xi_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} [(j+1)x_{k-j,2} - jx_{k-j-1,1}]\xi_{j+2} + kx_{12}\xi_{k+1} \quad (k \geq 2),$$

setting $(B\psi)(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{kj}\psi_j$ for all $\psi = \{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, we have

(3.3)

$$(BX\xi)(k) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \beta_{kl} \left\{ x_{l1}\xi_1 + x_{l2}\xi_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-2} [(j+1)x_{l-j,2} - jx_{l-j-1,1}]\xi_{j+2} + lx_{12}\xi_{l+1} \right\},$$

and

(3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} (XB\xi)(k) &= x_{k1}(B\xi)(1) + x_{k2}(B\xi)(2) + \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} [(l+1)x_{k-l,2} - lx_{k-l-1,1}](B\xi)(l+2) \\ &\quad + kx_{12}(B\xi)(k+1) \\ &= x_{k1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{1j}\xi_j \right) + x_{k2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{2j}\xi_j \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} [(l+1)x_{k-l,2} - lx_{k-l-1,1}] \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{l+2,j}\xi_j \right) + kx_{12} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{k+1,j}\xi_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x_{k1}\beta_{1j} + x_{k2}\beta_{2j} + \sum_{l=3}^k [(l-1)x_{k-l+2,2} - (l-2)x_{k-l+1,1}]\beta_{l,j} + kx_{12}\beta_{k+1,j} \right\} \xi_j. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it follows that

(3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} (XB - BX)\xi(k) &= \left\{ x_{k1}\beta_{11} + x_{k2}\beta_{21} + \sum_{l=3}^k [(l-1)x_{k-l+2,2} - (l-2)x_{k-l+1,1}]\beta_{l,1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + kx_{12}\beta_{k+1,1} - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \beta_{kl}x_{l1} \right\} \xi_1 + \left\{ x_{k1}\beta_{12} + x_{k2}\beta_{22} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{l=3}^k [(l-1)x_{k-l+2,2} - (l-2)x_{k-l+1,1}]\beta_{l,2} + kx_{12}\beta_{k+1,2} - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \beta_{kl}x_{l2} \right\} \xi_2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \left\{ x_{k1}\beta_{1j} + x_{k2}\beta_{2j} + \sum_{l=3}^k [(l-1)x_{k-l+2,2} - (l-2)x_{k-l+1,1}]\beta_{l,j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + kx_{12}\beta_{k+1,j} - (j-1)\beta_{k,j-1}x_{l2} + \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} \beta_{kl}[(j-1)x_{l-j+2,2} - (j-2)x_{l-j+1,1}] \right\} \xi_j \end{aligned}$$

Considering a special case when $x_{11} = 1, x_{ij} = 0 (i \neq 1, j \neq 1)$, we have

(3.6)

$$X\psi = (\psi_1, 0, -\psi_3, -2\psi_4, \dots, -(k-2)\psi_k, \dots)^T,$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad (XB - BX)\xi = \left(\beta_{12}\xi_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (j-1)\beta_{1,j}\xi_j, -\beta_{21}\xi_1 \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (j-2)\beta_{2,j}\xi_j, -2\beta_{31}\xi_1 - \beta_{32}\xi_2, \dots, (1-k)\beta_{k1}\xi_1 - (k-2)\beta_{k2}\xi_2, \dots \right)^T.$$

Hence we have

$$(3.8) \quad (X(XB - BX))\xi = \left(\beta_{12}\xi_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (j-1)\beta_{1,j}\xi_j, \right. \\ \left. 0, 2\beta_{31}\xi_1 + \beta_{32}\xi_2, \dots, (1-k)(2-k)\beta_{k1}\xi_1 + (k-2)^2\beta_{k2}\xi_2, \dots \right)^T,$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad ((XB - BX)X)\xi = \left(\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (j-1)(2-j)\beta_{1,j}\xi_j, \right. \\ \left. -\beta_{21}\xi_1 - \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} (j-2)^2\beta_{2,j}\xi_j, -2\beta_{31}\xi_1, \dots, (1-k)\beta_{k1}\xi_1, \dots \right)^T.$$

If $X(XB - BX) = (XB - BX)X$ holds, then, by (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\beta_{1k} = 0(k \neq 1), \beta_{2k} = 0(k \neq 2), \beta_{k1} = 0(k \neq 1), \beta_{k2} = 0(k \neq 2).$$

Next we consider another case when $x_{12} = 1, x_{ij} = 0(i \neq 1, j \neq 2)$, from the similar procedures as above, we can obtain

$$\beta_{kj} = 0(k \neq j), \beta_{k+1,k+1} - \beta_{k,k} = \beta_{k,k} - \beta_{k-1,k-1} (k \geq 2).$$

That is B is an infinite dimensional bounded diagonal operator with the matrix form $\text{diag}(\beta_{11}, \beta_{22}, \dots)$ satisfying $\beta_{k+1,k+1} - \beta_{k,k} = \beta_{k,k} - \beta_{k-1,k-1}$ ($k \geq 2$). Since all diagonal entries in a bounded diagonal operators are uniformly bounded (see [5, Problem 61] or [1, Exercise 8, Section II.1]), it is immediately known that

$$\beta_{k+1,k+1} - \beta_{k,k} = \beta_{k,k} - \beta_{k-1,k-1} = 0 (k \geq 2).$$

So we can set $\beta_{k,k} = \lambda$ where λ is an arbitrary complex number, and then $B = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^+)}$, which says that

$$C_2(C_2(A)) = \mathbb{C}I \subseteq \text{Pol}(A).$$

The proof is done now. □

REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **96**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [2] N. Dunford, The reduction problem in spectral theory. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Cambridge, Mass.*, **2**(1950), 115–122. *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I.*, 1952.
- [3] N. Dunford, A survey of the theory of spectral operators, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **64**(1958), 217–275.
- [4] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part III. Spectral operators*, Reprint of the 1971 original, Wiley Classics Library, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [5] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **19**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [6] G. J. Murphy, *C*-algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1990.
- [7] V. Prasolov, *Problems and Theorems in Linear Algebra*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **134**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [8] D. W. Robinson, A Note on k-Commutative Matrices, *J. Math. Phys.*, **2**(1961), 776–777.
- [9] D. W. Robinson, On matrix commutators of higher order, *Canad. J. math.*, **17** (1965), 527–532.
- [10] D. W. Robinson, From Pebbles to Commutators, *BYU Studies Quarterly*, **16**(1)(1976), Article 11. Available at: <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/byusq/vol16/iss1/11>.
- [11] W. E. Roth, On k-commutative matrices. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **39**(3)(1936), 483–495.
- [12] M. F. Smiley, Matrix commutators, *Canad. J. Math.*, **13**(1961), 353–355.
- [13] P. Soltan, *A primer on Hilbert space operators*, Compact Textbooks in Mathematics, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [14] J. Wermer, Commuting spectral measures on Hilbert space, *Pacific J. math.*, **4** (1954), 355–361.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, WEIHAI 264209, P.R. CHINA

Email address: chenxiao@sdu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, NINGDE NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NINGDE 352100, P.R. CHINA

Email address: j.j.jiang@foxmail.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, WEIHAI 264209, P.R. CHINA

Email address: lixiaolin26@163.com