

DERIVATION OF THE KINETIC WAVE EQUATION FOR QUADRATIC DISPERSIVE PROBLEMS IN THE INHOMOGENEOUS SETTING

IOAKEIM AMPATZOGLOU, CHARLES COLLOT, AND PIERRE GERMAIN

ABSTRACT. We examine the validity of the kinetic description of wave turbulence for a model quadratic equation. We focus on the space-inhomogeneous case, which had not been treated earlier; the space-homogeneous case is a simple variant. We determine nonlinearities for which the kinetic description holds, or might fail, up to an arbitrarily small polynomial loss of the kinetic time scale. More precisely, we focus on the convergence of the Dyson series, which is an expansion of the solution in terms of the random data.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. The equation, the data, and the singular limit	2
1.2. Background	4
1.3. Statement of the main result	5
1.4. Strategy of the proof	5
1.5. Failure of convergence on the kinetic time scale for $m(0) = 1$ and $\omega(0) = 0$	6
1.6. Difficulties: the belt and the inhomogeneous setting	7
1.7. Application to some physical examples	7
Acknowledgements	8
2. Notations	9
2.1. Probability space	9
2.2. Fourier transform	9
2.3. Bourgain spaces	9
2.4. Wigner transform and space correlation	9
3. The initial data	10
3.1. The general ansatz	10
3.2. The envelope ansatz	11
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1	12
5. Comparison to the kinetic wave equation	13
5.1. Expanding the kinetic equation	13
5.2. Expanding the solution u	14
5.3. Expanding the correlations	15
5.4. Conclusion	28
6. Graph analysis for the diagrammatic expansion of the solution	29
6.1. Graphical representation of the Dyson series	31
6.2. Solving time constraints	33
6.3. Paired graphs	36
6.4. Solving the frequency constraints	39
7. The belt counter example	43
8. Estimates on the expansion	48
8.1. The L^2 estimate	49
8.2. The $X^{s,b}$ estimate	59

9. Control of the linearized operator	62
9.1. Estimate on the trace	64
10. Control of the error	67
10.1. The equation satisfied by the error	67
10.2. Bound on the error term E_N	67
10.3. The bilinear $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ estimate	68
Appendix A. $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ spaces	69
Appendix B. Elementary bounds	70
References	72

1. Introduction

Understanding the behavior of large physical systems is a fundamental problem of mathematical physics. With the size of the system being extremely large, deterministic prediction of its behavior is practically impossible, and one resorts to an average description. Kinetic theory provides a mesoscopic framework to study the qualitative properties of large systems and obtain a statistically accurate prediction of their evolution in time.

In systems of many nonlinear interacting waves, the effective equation is the kinetic wave equation (KWE) which describes the energy dynamics of systems where many waves interact in a weakly nonlinear way following a dispersive time reversible dynamics. All rigorous results so far have focused on the case where the equation is set on the torus, with space-homogeneous data, resulting in a homogeneous kinetic equation in the limit.

In this paper, we derive rigorously, up to an arbitrarily small polynomial loss of the kinetic time scale, an inhomogeneous (transport) kinetic wave equation. This is achieved by considering data whose spatial correlation exhibit a two-scale structure. The inhomogeneous kinetic wave equation approximates the average Wigner transform of the solution as the number of interacting waves goes to infinity and the strength of the nonlinearity goes to zero. We also provide examples of equations for which the kinetic limit might not hold.

1.1. The equation, the data, and the singular limit. Recall the notation for the Fourier multiplier p :

$$\widehat{p(D)f} = p(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

We consider the following Schrödinger equations for complex fields in \mathbb{R}^d with quadratic nonlinearities¹:

$$i\partial_t u + \omega(D)u = \lambda M(Mu + M\bar{u})^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where

- $\omega(\xi) = \omega_0 + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$, $\omega_0 \geq 0$, is the dispersion relation,
- $M = m(\epsilon D)$, where m is a smooth, real valued even function,
- $\lambda > 0$ encodes the size of nonlinear effects.

(the scaling laws for the dispersion relation and the multiplier are natural in the limit we will be considering).

This equation derives from the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(u) = \int \frac{1}{2} |\sqrt{\omega(D)}u|^2 + \frac{8\lambda}{3} (\Re M u)^3.$$

As we will see, the value of ω, m at zero will be key for the validity of the kinetic wave equation.

¹This also includes equations of the form $i\partial_t u + \omega(D)u = \lambda M(u + \bar{u})^2$ by a change of variables.

It is a convenient model for our purposes: on the one hand, it will retain all the difficulties related to the derivation of a kinetic wave equation, from a quadratic equation, in the inhomogeneous case; and on the other hand, it will avoid further technicalities related to specific equations of physical interest (quasilinearity of the equations, singularity of the dispersion relations, vectorial nature of the unknown...).

The initial data will be chosen to be a random Gaussian field

$$u(t=0, x) = u_0(x) = \int a(x, \xi) e^{i \frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \cdot x} dW(\xi) \quad (1.2)$$

where $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and dW is a Wiener integral, characterized by its covariance

$$\mathbb{E} [\overline{u_0(x)} u_0(x')] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{a(x, \xi)} a(x', \xi) e^{-i \frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \cdot (x-x')} d\xi.$$

We will come back to this definition later, suffice it to say for the time being that this Gaussian field exhibits random behavior at scale $\sim \epsilon$, with an envelope at a scale ~ 1 . More precisely,

$$\text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{E} [\overline{u_0(x)} u_0(x')] = Q\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}, \frac{x-x'}{\epsilon}\right) + O(\epsilon),$$

where Q is a smooth, decaying function. It is convenient at this point to introduce the rescaled Wigner transform

$$W^\epsilon[u](x, v) = \epsilon^{-d} \mathbb{E} \int \overline{u(x + \frac{z}{2})} u(x - \frac{z}{2}) e^{i \frac{v}{\epsilon} \cdot z} dz.$$

Roughly speaking, it provides a measure of the amount of energy of u (in L^2) localized in phase space at position x and frequency v/ϵ . In particular, it is such that

$$\text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad W^\epsilon[u_0](x, v) \rightarrow |a(x, v)|^2 = \rho_0(x, v). \quad (1.3)$$

Our aim is to show that

$$\text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad W^\epsilon[u(t)](x, v) \rightarrow \rho(t, x, v),$$

where ρ solves the kinetic wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x \rho = \frac{8\pi}{T_{kin}} \mathcal{C}[\rho(x)] \\ \rho(t=0) = \rho_0, \end{cases} \quad \text{where } T_{kin} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \epsilon^2} \quad (\text{KWE})$$

The collision operator \mathcal{C} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}[\rho](t, x, v) = & m^2 \int \left[\delta(\Sigma_-) \delta(\Omega_-) m_1^2 m_2^2 \rho \rho_1 \rho_2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 2\delta(\Sigma_+) \delta(\Omega_+) m_1^2 m_2^2 \rho \rho_1 \rho_2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \right] dv_1 dv_2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

$$\begin{cases} \Sigma_- = v - v_1 - v_2 & \Omega_- = \omega(v) - \omega(v_1) - \omega(v_2) & \rho = \rho(v) & m = m(v) \\ \Sigma_+ = v + v_1 - v_2 & \Omega_+ = \omega(v) + \omega(v_1) - \omega(v_2), & \rho_i = \rho(v_i) & m_i = m(v_i), \quad i \in \{1, 2\}, \end{cases}$$

This equation displays two (singular) time scales:

- ϵ , the transport time scale, since $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ is the group velocity for solutions of the linear Schrödinger equation localized at frequency $\sim \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. In other words, ϵ is the time over which such solutions travel a distance ~ 1 , which implies that, for $t \gg \epsilon$, one expects the solution to spread and nonlinear interactions to be damped.
- T_{kin} , the characteristic time scale for the mixing in frequency space occurring through the collision operator \mathcal{C} . Notice the dependence in λ^2 - as opposed to λ appearing in front of the nonlinearity of (1.1) - which is characteristic of square-root cancellations caused by randomness.

- Of particular relevance is of course the regime where both time scales agree, $T_{kin} = \epsilon$, or in other words $\lambda = \epsilon^{-3/2}$.

Other important time scales are

- ϵ^2 , the linear time-scale. Notice that resonances only become relevant if $t \gg \epsilon^2$.
- λ^{-1} , the nonlinear time-scale, after which nonlinear effects become relevant.

1.2. Background.

1.2.1. Derivation of the kinetic wave equation. The kinetic wave equation was first introduced by Peierls [33] in his work on solid state physics, and independently by Hasselmann [25, 26] who worked on water waves. Later, Zakharov and collaborators [39, 40] revisited the topic and provided a broad framework applying to various Hamiltonian systems satisfying weak nonlinearity, high frequency, phase randomness assumptions. Nowadays, the kinetic theory of waves, known as wave turbulence theory, is fundamental to the study of nonlinear waves, having applications e.g. in plasma theory [12], oceanography [27, 24] and crystal thermodynamics [36]. For more information regarding this broad research field and its applications, see e.g. Nazarenko [31], Newell-Rumpf [32].

The first rigorous result regarding derivation of the homogeneous (KWE) was obtained in the pioneering work of Lukkarinen and Spohn [30] who were able to reach the kinetic timescale for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) at statistical equilibrium which led to a linearized version of the kinetic wave equation (see also [17]). The key idea in [30] is employing Feynmann diagrams to obtain control of the correlations and has inspired most of the subsequent works.

For the cubic NLS, the derivation of the homogeneous kinetic wave equation for random data out of statistical equilibrium was first addressed in [9] using Strichartz estimates to control the error term. Later, in [10, 11], two of the authors of this paper, inspired by the ideas of [30] (construction of an approximate solution, control of the higher order terms via Feynmann diagrams) estimated the error in Bourgain spaces instead of Strichartz spaces and were able reach the kinetic timescale up to arbitrarily small polynomial loss. At the same time, a similar result was obtained independently by Deng and Hani [14]. Recently Deng and Hani [13], based on the expansion of the solution, have reached the kinetic timescale for the cubic NLS which would provide a full derivation of the homogeneous (KWE) for the NLS.

However, as pointed out in [39], the NLS describes the behavior of a narrow wave packet envelope for most nonlinear wave systems. Most physical systems actually involve to leading order three-wave interactions: for instance, long-wave perturbations of the acoustic type (which can exist in most media) or three-wave packets in nonlinear media. Recently, based on the Liouville equation of the density function, Staffilani and Tran [37] reached the kinetic timescale for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov (ZK) equation and derived the homogeneous kinetic equation.

Regarding the inhomogeneous (KWE) and its connection to nonlinear waves, Spohn [36] discusses emergence of a phonon Boltzmann equation for the Wigner transform of the solution to the Klein-Gordon equation. However, up to our knowledge, there are not fully rigorous results justifying a derivation of an inhomogeneous kinetic wave equation from dispersive dynamics. This inspired us to pursue a rigorous derivation of an inhomogeneous kinetic wave equation for weakly interacting quadratic nonlinear waves.

1.2.2. Derivation of related kinetic models. The kinetic wave equation is to phonons, or linear waves, what the Boltzmann equation is to classical particles. The Boltzmann equation was rigorously derived for hard spheres in the foundational work of Lanford [29] who used finite and infinite particle hierarchies and showed propagation of convergence in time in the Boltzmann-Grad limit [22, 23]. Under initial chaotic assumption the infinite hierarchy reduces to the Boltzmann equation. Later, King [28] derived the equation for short range potentials. This program was recently put in full rigor by Gallagher-Saint-Raymond-Texier [19]. Short range potentials were also discussed in

[34]. A few articles deal with the derivation of kinetic models for higher order interactions [1, 2], mixtures [3] and quantum particles [4, 5, 6]. The derivation of the Boltzmann equation is closely related to the derivation of the kinetic wave equation, but possibly more challenging, since dispersive equations can be thought of as an intermediary step between a quantum mechanical model with a large number of particles, and kinetic theory.

Another direction of research focuses on linear dispersive models with random potential, from which one can derive the linear Boltzmann equation for short times [35], and the heat equation for longer times [15, 16].

Finally, [18, 8] investigate the possibility of deriving Hamiltonian models for NLS with deterministic data in the infinite volume, or big box, limit.

1.3. Statement of the main result. We now state the main result of this paper, regarding the well-posedness of equation (1.1) and its approximation by the corresponding kinetic wave equation.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $K > 0$. Consider Equation (1.1) with initial data (1.2) and*

- *either $\omega_0 = \epsilon^{-2}$*
- *or $\omega_0 = 0$ and $m(0) = 0$.*

Then there exist $\epsilon^ > 0$ and $K' > 0$ such that for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$ and for any $0 < T < \min\{\epsilon, \epsilon^K T_{kin}\}$, there exists a set E of probability $\mathbb{P}(E) > 1 - \epsilon^K$ such that for any initial data in E , there exists a unique solution u to (1.1) in $[0, T]$. Moreover, the solution u is approximated by the solution ρ of the corresponding kinetic wave equation in the following sense:*

For any $t \in [0, T]$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\rho}(t, \xi, v) - \widehat{W}_E^\epsilon[u](t, \xi, v)| dv \lesssim \epsilon^{K'} \left(\frac{T}{T_{kin}} + \frac{T}{\epsilon} \right),$$

where

$$W^\epsilon[u](x, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_E \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{u\left(x + \frac{\epsilon y}{2}\right)} u\left(x - \frac{\epsilon y}{2}\right) e^{iv \cdot y} dy \right],$$

E is the exceptional set of existence obtained above and ρ solves (KWE) with initial data (1.3).

Remark 1.2. Our result adapts for $\omega_0 = c\epsilon^{-2}$, $c > 0$. Our result is also valid for the focusing case since we work on the weakly nonlinear regime. Moreover, it has a clear homogeneous counterpart for the Fourier modes of the solution if one sets equation (1.1) on the torus instead of \mathbb{R}^d .

1.4. Strategy of the proof. The heart of the proof is based on building a sufficiently good approximation of the solution and representing it as a Dyson's series. The iterative scheme we adopt to approximate our solution is given by:

$$\begin{cases} u^0 = e^{-it\omega(D)} u_0, \\ i\partial_t u^n + \omega(D)u^n = \lambda \sum_{j+k=n-1} M(Mu^j + \overline{Mu^j})(Mu^k + \overline{Mu^k}), \quad n \geq 1 \\ u^n(t=0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Formally, the Dyson series representation of the solution is given by $u = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n$, but convergence questions and control of the error are delicate and will be studied thoroughly in the rest of the paper. To efficiently achieve that, we will represent the Dyson series by binary Feynmann graphs as will be discussed in Section 5.

The solution u is written as the sum of the approximate solution (truncated Dyson series) and the error term:

$$u = u^{app} + u^{err}, \quad \text{where} \quad u^{app} = \chi\left(\frac{t}{2T}\right) \sum_{n=0}^N u^n,$$

where χ is a C_0^∞ cut-off function such that $\chi = 1$ for $|t| < 1$ and $\chi = 0$ for $|t| > 2$. The error u^{err} satisfies the equation

$$i\partial_t u^{err} + \omega(D)u^{err} = \lambda[\mathfrak{L}_N(u^{err}) + \mathfrak{B}(u^{err}) + E_N], \quad (1.6)$$

where \mathfrak{L}_N is the linearized operator around u^{app} given by

$$\mathfrak{L}_N(w) = 8\Re u^{app}\Re w,$$

the bilinear operator \mathfrak{B} is given by

$$\mathfrak{B}(w) = 4(\Re w)^2,$$

and the error term E_N is given by

$$E_N = 4 \sum_{\substack{j+k \geq N \\ j,k=0,\dots,N}} \Re u^j \Re u^k.$$

The terms on the right hand side of (1.6) are estimated in Proposition 9.1, Proposition 10.2 and Proposition 10.1 respectively. Comparison to the kinetic wave equation is discussed in Section 4 and convergence to it will be proved combining the results obtained there with Proposition 8.1.

1.5. Failure of convergence on the kinetic time scale for $m(0) = 1$ and $\omega(0) = 0$. We believe that the kinetic wave equation might fail to describe solutions to

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = (u + \bar{u})^2 \quad (1.7)$$

on the time scale T_{kin} , since an inflation at low frequencies would happen before. Note that the kinetic equation (1.4) is even not well defined, as the mass of the unit ball for the measure $\delta(\Sigma_+)\delta(\Omega_+)dv_1dv_2 = \delta(v+v_1-v_2)\delta(2v.(v-v_2))$ diverges as $v \rightarrow 0$. Hence our convergence result of Subsection 1.3 would be sharp in the sense that at the origin in Fourier, either a cancellation of nonlinear effects $m(0) = 0$, or a dampening due to a non-zero dispersion relation $\omega(0) = c_0\epsilon^{-2}$, $c_0 > 0$, would be needed to ensure the validity of the kinetic description.

We recall that (see Section 6) the Dyson series (1.5) can be represented as a sum over Feynman interaction diagrams, and that their L^2 norm can be represented as a sum over paired graphs:

$$u^n = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n} u_G, \quad \mathbb{E} \|u^n(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \sum_{G' \in \mathcal{G}_n^p} \mathcal{F}_t(G') \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.8)$$

Our second result is that the second series above is not absolutely convergent on the kinetic time scale. This itself does not imply the divergence of $\mathbb{E} \|u^n(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$ as cancellations could occur, see Remark 1.5 and Subsection 1.6 for a discussion.

Proposition 1.3. *For all $d \geq 2$, there exists a Schwartz function $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that for any $\kappa > 0$, the following holds true for the initial datum of the form (1.2) in the range:*

$$\epsilon^{2-\kappa} \leq t \leq \epsilon^{1+\kappa}. \quad (1.9)$$

There exists $n^(d, \kappa)$, such that for all $n \geq n^*$, there exists a paired graph $G^* \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}^p$ as defined in Subsection 6.3 for equation 1.7, two constants $C, C' > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$:*

$$C(\lambda t)^{4n} \epsilon^{2d} t^{-d} \leq \mathcal{F}_t(G^*) \leq C'(\lambda t)^{4n} \epsilon^{2d} t^{-d}. \quad (1.10)$$

Remark 1.4. The kinetic equation can a priori only be reached provided that its time scale T_{kin} is shorter than the transport time scale ϵ and that the regime is weakly nonlinear $\epsilon^2 \ll \lambda^{-1}$. The sum of the absolute values of the terms in the second series in (1.8) diverges at a time before T_{kin} , since the nonlinear time scale λ^{-1} at which the estimate (1.10) becomes singular is shorter than T_{kin} .

Remark 1.5. We believe that neither does the first series in (1.8) absolutely converge on the kinetic time scale, that is, $\mathbb{E}\|u_G(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$ diverges as (1.10) for some $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$. In [11] the last two authors were able to show such result, for a similar counter-example graph for a cubic nonlinearity for a different time scale. The proof showed no cancellation occurred from other pairings for the same interaction diagram G . We believe the same strategy could be applied here. This would not imply the actual divergence of u^n , but would indicate that cancellations with another interaction diagram G' are required, see Subsection 1.6 for a discussion.

1.6. Difficulties: the belt and the inhomogeneous setting. The main thrust of this paper is to provide a derivation of the *inhomogeneous* kinetic wave equation up to the kinetic time scale, with a loss of an arbitrarily small power, while previous rigorous works all address the homogeneous problem.

A first difficulty is linked to the use of the Wigner transform, which leads to technical complications compared to Fourier series, which suffice for the homogeneous problem.

A second difficulty is linked to the range of available time-scales: with the scaling defined above, only time scales less than ϵ are of interest for the inhomogeneous problem set on \mathbb{R}^d : past this time scale, waves will have dispersed, since the data is localized at frequency $O(\epsilon^{-1})$, corresponding to a group velocity $O(\epsilon^{-1})$.

Over such small time scales, the *belt* family of diagrams, which first appeared in [14, 11] in the context of cubic problems (nonlinear Schrödinger equation - NLS), becomes a possible obstruction to the convergence of the Dyson series. For (NLS), it was shown in the aforementioned works that the belt diagrams would lead to a failure of convergence if only self-correlations of diagrams are considered. But a deeper analysis in [13] shows that surprising cancellations between diagrams occur for (NLS), at least for time scales close to 1.

Considering quadratic problems leads to new perspectives on the belt diagrams. We chose the most simple dispersion relation, namely $\omega(\xi) = \omega_0 + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$, which can be obtained by Taylor expanding any smooth dispersion relation at a point; note that a linear term in ξ can be removed by using translation invariance in space. As for the nonlinearity, $(\Re u)^2$ has the advantage of being Hamiltonian, and containing the three types of interactions: $u \cdot u \rightarrow u$, $\bar{u} \cdot u \rightarrow u$, and $\bar{u} \cdot \bar{u} \rightarrow u$.

In case $\omega_0 = 0$, a direct analog of the cubic belt example exists for the interaction $u \cdot \bar{u} \rightarrow u$. The underlying kinetic equation presents a singular kernel, which may be the sign that this belt diagram represents a true physical instability, and is not canceled by other diagrams, as was the case for NLS. Still in contrast with NLS, these belt diagrams can be dampened, and convergence of the Dyson series restored, if the structure of the nonlinear term is appropriate, namely if it provides a cancellation at output frequency 0. Under this condition, it is possible to rely on the machinery developed in [30, 10].

In the case $\omega_0 = \epsilon^{-2}$, the belt example ceases to be an obstacle to the convergence of the Dyson series. This made us hopeful that convergence could be proved - which was indeed the case, but a completely new argument is needed. Namely, none of the tools used to understand the combinatorics of Feynman graphs, and to derive bounds for them, seemed to apply. We introduced a new point of view.; compared to [30, 10], it is more intrinsic in that it does not assume a given ordering of intermediate times in the graph. We believe that it will prove a valuable tool to analyze Feynman graphs.

1.7. Application to some physical examples. This paper focuses on model equations to simplify the exposition, and identifies stable and unstable regimes in the weakly turbulent regime (weak nonlinearity, scale separation, and data with decorrelated phases) as stated in Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 6.1.

Quadratic interactions occurring in our model problem are of three types $u \cdot u \rightarrow u$, $u \cdot \bar{u} \rightarrow u$, and $\bar{u} \cdot \bar{u} \rightarrow u$, with obvious notations. As for the dispersion relation, it is of the type $\omega(\xi) = \omega_0 + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$.

(a further requirement is that ω_0 is either 0, or comparable to ϵ^{-2} , but we will gloss over this in the following).

Our results can be summarized as follows:

- Interactions of the type $u \cdot u \rightarrow u$ and $\bar{u} \cdot \bar{u} \rightarrow u$ are stable on the kinetic time scale. This means that the Dyson series converges on the kinetic time scale (up to an arbitrarily small power), and that the average behavior is dictated by the kinetic wave equation.
- For interactions of the type $u \cdot \bar{u} \rightarrow u$, stability on the kinetic time scale holds if either $\omega_0 \neq 0$, or the quadratic nonlinearity exhibits a cancellation at zero frequency.
- Finally, for interactions of the type $u \cdot \bar{u} \rightarrow u$, if $\omega_0 = 0$ and the quadratic nonlinearity does not contain a cancellation, the series fails to converge on the kinetic time scale.

It is natural to conjecture that these three bullet points remain true for quadratic nonlinear dispersive equations with a scalar unknown function, and a dispersion relation $\omega(\xi)$ with $\omega(0) = \omega_0$. We review below some classical examples.

The *Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation* is given by

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^{-1} \partial_y^2 u + \partial_x^3 u + u \partial_x u = 0.$$

Since it only contains interactions $u \cdot u \rightarrow u$, it should be stable in the weakly turbulent regime. For the closely related Zakharov-Kuznetsov model, the kinetic time scale was reached in [37].

The *beta-plane equation*

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \partial_x \Delta^{-1} \omega, \quad u = \nabla^\perp \Delta^{-1} \omega$$

modeling planetary flows, falls into the same category: only $u \cdot u \rightarrow u$ interactions occur.

The *(generalized) nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*

$$\partial_t^2 u - \omega(D)u = u^2,$$

becomes, after setting $v = \partial_t u - i\sqrt{\omega(D)}u$,

$$\partial_t v + i\omega(D)v = \left(\frac{\bar{v} - v}{i\sqrt{\omega(D)}} \right)^2.$$

As discussed above, the stability condition is $\omega_0 \neq 0$; but quadratic resonances should also exist, which is not the case if $\omega(D) = \omega_0 - \Delta$. In connection with the kinetic limit, this equation was considered by Spohn on the lattice [36], where similar considerations apply.

Water waves equation have a more intricate structure. In a proper set of coordinates, the unknown becomes a scalar function u , which satisfies the following equation

$$i\partial_t u + |D|^\alpha u = T_{m_{++}}(u, u) + T_{m_{+-}}(u, \bar{u}) + T_{m_{--}}(\bar{u}, \bar{u}).$$

Here, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ for gravity waves, and $\frac{3}{2}$ for capillary waves, T_m stands for the pseudo-product operator with symbol $m(\xi, \eta)$, and cubic and higher-order terms were omitted. We refer to [20, 21] for exact formulas and more precise definitions. In the light of our discussion above, the condition for stability becomes the vanishing of m_{--} if the output frequency is zero - and one checks that this is indeed the case!

This brief discussion only addressed some equations with a scalar unknown, excluding most examples from plasma physics and fluid mechanics, for which some of our ideas probably also apply.

Acknowledgements. While working on this project, IA was supported by the Simons collaborative grant on weak turbulence. CC was supported by the ERC-2014-CoG 646650 SingWave. PG was supported by the NSF grant DMS-1501019, by the Simons collaborative grant on weak turbulence, and by the Center for Stability, Instability and Turbulence (NYUAD).

2. Notations

2.1. Probability space. The underlying probability space is denoted Ω , the probability measure \mathbb{P} , and the expectation \mathbb{E} .

2.2. Fourier transform. For f a function on \mathbb{R}^d , we denote

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

so that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

With this convention, the Fourier transform is an isometry on L^2 , and furthermore $\widehat{\widehat{f}g} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}$.

If F is a function of two variables, $F(x, v)$, we denote \widehat{F} for the Fourier transform with respect to the first one:

$$\widehat{F}(\xi, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, v) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Given a function $f(t, x)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote its space-time Fourier transform as:

$$\widetilde{f}(\tau, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x) e^{-i(t\tau + x \cdot \xi)} dx dt.$$

2.3. Bourgain spaces. We will use the scaled Sobolev spaces with norm

$$\|f\|_{H_\epsilon^s} = \|\langle \epsilon D \rangle^s f\|_{L^2},$$

and their associated Bourgain spaces $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}} = \|e^{-it\Delta_\omega} u(t)\|_{H_t^b H_{\epsilon,x}^s} = \|\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau + \omega(\xi) \rangle^b \widetilde{u}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

More details regarding Bourgain spaces are given in Appendix A.

For $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we now define C_ϵ^n to be the cuboid of side ϵ^{-1} and center $\epsilon^{-1}n$. The characteristic function of this cube is denoted $\mathbf{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}$, and enables us to define the projection operators

$$Q_\epsilon^n = \mathbf{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}(D).$$

These operators are bounded on L^p spaces, $1 < p < \infty$ and provide decompositions of the identity:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} Q_\epsilon^n = \text{Id}.$$

2.4. Wigner transform and space correlation. To derive the kinetic wave equation we use the framework of the averaged Wigner transforms. It is defined for random fields, either in Fourier or in physical space, by

$$W[u](x, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{u\left(x + \frac{z}{2}\right)} u\left(x - \frac{z}{2}\right) e^{iv \cdot z} dz = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \overline{\widehat{u}\left(v - \frac{\xi}{2}\right)} \widehat{u}\left(v + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) d\xi.$$

With this normalization, there holds for deterministic fields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W[u](x, v) dv = |u(x)|^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W[u](x, v) dx = |\widehat{u}(v)|^2.$$

The problem we consider enjoys a separation of scale between the fluctuations and the envelope, whose typical space scales are respectively ϵ and 1. This leads us to defining the rescaled Wigner transform

$$\begin{aligned} W^\epsilon[u](x, v) &= \epsilon^{-d} W[u] \left(x, \frac{v}{\epsilon} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{u \left(x + \frac{\epsilon y}{2} \right)} u \left(x - \frac{\epsilon y}{2} \right) e^{iv \cdot y} dy \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\widehat{W}^\epsilon[u](\xi, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \epsilon^{-d} \mathbb{E} \left(\overline{\widehat{u} \left(\frac{v}{\epsilon} - \frac{\xi}{2} \right)} \widehat{u} \left(\frac{v}{\epsilon} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right) \right)$$

or equivalently

$$\text{or } \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}(\xi)} \widehat{u}(\xi') \right] = (2\pi)^{d/2} \epsilon^d \widehat{W}^\epsilon[u] \left(\xi' - \xi, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi + \xi') \right).$$

The space correlation is encoded by the correlation function:

$$\mathbb{E}(\overline{u(x)} u(x')) = Q^\epsilon \left(\frac{x+x'}{2}, \frac{x-x'}{\epsilon} \right), \quad Q^\epsilon(x, y) = \mathbb{E} \left(\overline{u(x + \frac{\epsilon y}{2})} u(x - \frac{\epsilon y}{2}) \right),$$

so that one has the relation (where \mathcal{F}_y stands for the Fourier transformation with respect to y):

$$W^\epsilon(x, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \mathcal{F}_y^* Q^\epsilon(x, y).$$

3. The initial data

3.1. The general ansatz. We consider initial data of the form (1.2) where $a : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and W is a complex Wiener process. We will assume throughout that

$$\widehat{a}(\eta, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty.$$

Making use of the Ito formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\int f(x) dW(x) \overline{\int g(x) dW(x)} \right] &= \int f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\int f(x) dW(x) \int g(x) dW(x) \right] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we find that the pointwise correlation is given, in physical space, by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{u_0(x)} u_0(x') \right] &= \int \overline{a(x, \xi)} a(x', \xi) e^{-i \frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \cdot (x-x')} d\xi \\ \mathbb{E} [u_0(x) u_0(x')] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and in Fourier space by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u_0}(\xi)} \widehat{u_0}(\xi') \right] &= \int \overline{\widehat{a}(\xi - \frac{\eta}{\epsilon}, \eta)} \widehat{a}(\xi' - \frac{\eta}{\epsilon}, \eta) d\eta \\ \mathbb{E} [\widehat{u_0}(\xi) \widehat{u_0}(\xi')] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{a} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty$, note that the above is zero unless $|\xi - \xi'| \lesssim 1$ and $|\xi|, |\xi'| \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.

Correlation function The initial correlation function is Q_0^ϵ , defined by

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{u_0(x)} u_0(x')] = Q_0^\epsilon \left(\frac{x+x'}{2}, \frac{x-x'}{\epsilon} \right).$$

It can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} Q^\epsilon(x, y) &= \int \overline{a(x + \frac{\epsilon y}{2}, \xi)} a(x - \frac{\epsilon y}{2}, \xi) e^{-i\xi \cdot y} d\xi \\ &= \int |a(x, \xi)|^2 e^{-i\xi \cdot y} d\xi + O(\epsilon) \\ &= (2\pi)^{d/2} \mathcal{F}(|a|^2(x, \cdot))(y) + O(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant in O is $\lesssim \|a\|_{L_x^\infty W_\xi^{1,\infty}} + \sup_{x,x'} \frac{\|\bar{a}(x, \cdot) - a(x', \cdot)\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{|x-x'|}$.

Wigner transform Turning to the rescaled Wigner transform,

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^\epsilon(x, v) &= W^\epsilon[u_0](x, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint \overline{a(x + \frac{\epsilon y}{2}, \xi)} a(x - \frac{\epsilon y}{2}, \xi) e^{i(v-\xi) \cdot y} dy d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint |a(x, \xi)|^2 e^{i(v-\xi) \cdot y} dy d\xi + O(\epsilon) \\ &= |a(x, v)|^2 + O(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant in O is $\lesssim \left(\|a\|_{L_x^\infty W_\xi^{s,\infty}} + \sup_{x,x'} \frac{\|a(x, \cdot) - a(x', \cdot)\|_{W^{s,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{|x-x'|} \right)$ for $s > d$.

Taking the Fourier transform in the first variable,

$$\widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\xi, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int \widehat{a}\left(\eta - \frac{\xi}{2}, v - \epsilon\eta\right) \widehat{a}\left(\eta + \frac{\xi}{2}, v - \epsilon\eta\right) d\eta. \quad (3.1)$$

We learn from this formula (and the fact that $\widehat{a} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty$) that there exists a compact set K such that $\text{Supp}(\widehat{W}_0^\epsilon) \subset K$ for all ϵ , and that, uniformly in ϵ , for any α and β ,

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_v^\beta \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\xi, v)| \lesssim_{\alpha,\beta} 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Finally, note that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{u}_0(\xi) \widehat{u}_0(\xi') \right] = (2\pi)^{d/2} \epsilon^d \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi' - \xi, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi + \xi') \right). \quad (3.3)$$

3.2. The envelope ansatz. Let

$$u_0(x) = A(x) h_\epsilon(x),$$

where $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and h_ϵ is a stationary Gaussian field:

$$h_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} H(\xi) e^{i\frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \cdot x} dW(\xi).$$

This is obviously a particular case of the general ansatz, for which

$$a(x, \xi) = A(x) H(\xi)$$

The correlation for the translation invariant field h reads

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{h_\epsilon(x)} h_\epsilon(x')] = \int |H(\xi)|^2 e^{-i\frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \cdot (x-x')} d\xi = 2\pi |\widehat{H}|^2 \left(\frac{x-x'}{\epsilon} \right),$$

so that for the initial condition there holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\overline{u_0(x)}u_0(x')] \\ &= 2\pi \left| A\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}\right) \right|^2 \widehat{|H|^2}\left(\frac{x-x'}{\epsilon}\right) + 2\pi \left(\overline{A(x)}A(x') - \left| A\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}\right) \right|^2 \right) \widehat{|H|^2}\left(\frac{x-x'}{\epsilon}\right) \\ &= 2\pi \left| A\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}\right) \right|^2 \widehat{|H|^2}\left(\frac{x-x'}{\epsilon}\right) + O(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant in O is $\lesssim \|A\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \|\frac{\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|H|^2)(y)}{\langle y \rangle}\|_{L^\infty}$. It is then also of the form

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{u_0(x)}u_0(x')] = Q\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}, \frac{x-x'}{\epsilon}\right) + O(\epsilon)$$

where now Q factorizes as:

$$Q(x, y) = 2\pi |A(x)|^2 \widehat{|H|^2}(y).$$

Finally,

$$W_0^\epsilon(x, v) := |A(x)|^2 |H(v)|^2 + O(\epsilon)$$

where the implicit constant in O is $\lesssim \|A\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \|y \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|H|^2)\|_{L^1}$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Using the results obtained in the rest of the paper, we are able to prove our main result namely Theorem 1.1.

Proof of the first part of Theorem 1.1 For the existence part, we aim to apply Banach's fixed point theorem in $B_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}(0, \rho)$, where $s > \frac{d}{2} - 1$ and $\rho > 0$ to be fixed to the mapping

$$\Phi : u \rightarrow \chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t \chi\left(\frac{s}{T}\right) e^{i\frac{\Delta\omega}{2}(t-s)} \lambda[\mathfrak{L}(u) + \mathfrak{B}(u) + E_N](s) ds.$$

By Proposition 10.1, the error term can be made smaller than ϵ^L in $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ by choosing N sufficiently large. This leads to choosing $\rho = 2\epsilon^L$. Moreover, by Proposition 9.1 the linear operator \mathfrak{L} has an operator norm less than one. Similarly, by Proposition 10.2, the bilinear term \mathfrak{B} acts as a contraction on $B_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}(0, \rho)$. Therefore, the contraction mapping principle gives a fixed point u^{err} of Φ which by definition is the solution of (1.6). Moreover u^{err} satisfies the bound $\|u^{err}\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}} \lesssim \epsilon^L$.

In order to apply the above results, we had to exclude an exceptional set E of probability less than ϵ^K . The total set to exclude thus will be of probability $C\epsilon^K$ and one can easily check from the proof that C can be taken to be 1.

Proof of the second part of Theorem 1.1 Let E the exceptional set obtained in the first part of the proof. Forgetting for a moment about the set E , by Proposition 5.3 it suffices to control

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^{-d/2} \epsilon^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (h.o.t.) dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\sum_{\substack{i+j \geq 4 \\ i,j \leq N}} \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{u}^i(\xi^-) \widehat{u}^j(\xi^+) \right] + \sum_{i=0}^N \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{u}^i(\xi^-) \widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^+) + \overline{\widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^i(\xi^+) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^-) \widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^+) \right] \right] dv, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in time, where we use the notation $\xi^- = \frac{v}{\epsilon} - \frac{\xi}{2}$, $\xi^+ = \frac{v}{\epsilon} + \frac{\xi}{2}$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and translation invariance, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h.o.t. &\lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{1}_E \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \geq 4 \\ i,j \leq N}} \|u^i(t)\|_{L^2} \|u^j(t)\|_{L^2} + \|u^{err}(t)\|_{L^2} \sum_{i=0}^N \|u^i(t)\|_{L^2} + \|u^{err}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \right] \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-k} \left(\frac{t}{T_{kin}} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

after using estimate (8.2) from Proposition 8.1 and the bound for u^{err} in $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ (hence in $L_t^\infty L_x^2$). This concludes the proof of the main theorem, except that we need to take into account the characteristic function $\mathbb{1}_E$ in the main term. But one can check that the random variable $|\hat{u}^1(\xi)|^2$ enjoys better integrability properties: this is achieved by raising it to a high power, and taking the expectation. Therefore, the error resulting from $\mathbb{1}_E$ is at most $O(\epsilon^\eta)$.

5. Comparison to the kinetic wave equation

The aim of this section is to provide a heuristic derivation of the kinetic wave equation, by comparing the first terms in the expansion of the kinetic equation on the one hand, and in the expansion of the correlation (Wigner transform) of the solution of the Hamiltonian problem on the other. Without loss of generality, we present the derivation for the case $m(0) = 0$. We can also assume that $\omega_0 = 0$. This heuristic derivation will ultimately be justified by a control of the remainder in the expansions, which is the main achievement of the present article.

In order to slightly simplify notations, we will work under the standing assumption that

$$\epsilon^2 < t < \epsilon,$$

which is the relevant time scale for the phenomena we want to observe.

5.1. Expanding the kinetic equation. We consider the kinetic equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x \rho = \frac{8\pi}{T_{kin}} \mathcal{C}[\rho] \\ \rho(t=0) = W_0^\epsilon \end{cases}, \quad T_{kin} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \epsilon^2} \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}[\rho](t, x, v) = & m^2 \int \left[\delta(\Sigma_-) \delta(\Omega_-) m_1^2 m_2^2 \rho \rho_1 \rho_2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 2\delta(\Sigma_+) \delta(\Omega_+) m_1^2 m_2^2 \rho \rho_1 \rho_2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \right] dv_1 dv_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Sigma_- = v - v_1 - v_2 & \Omega_- = |v|^2 - |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2 & \rho_i = \rho(t, x, v_i) \\ \Sigma_+ = v + v_1 - v_2 & \Omega_+ = |v|^2 + |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2 & m_i = m(v_i) \end{cases}$$

Define $r(t, x, v) = \rho(t, x + \frac{t}{\epsilon} v, v)$. Then r satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t r = & \frac{8\pi}{T_{kin}} m^2 \int \delta(\Sigma_-) \delta(\Omega_-) m_1^2 m_2^2 (r_1 r_2 - r r_1 - r r_2) dv_1 dv_2 \\ & + \frac{16\pi}{T_{kin}} m^2 \int \delta(\Sigma_+) \delta(\Omega_+) m_1^2 m_2^2 (r_1 r_2 + r r_1 - r r_2) dv_1 dv_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $r_i = r(t, x + \frac{t}{\epsilon}(v - v_i), v_i)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Taking the spatial Fourier transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \widehat{r} &= \frac{8\pi}{T_{kin}} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_-) \delta(\Omega_-) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ &\quad \left(e^{i\frac{t}{\epsilon}\alpha_0} \widehat{r}(t, \eta_1, v_1) \widehat{r}(t, \eta_2, v_2) - e^{i\frac{t}{\epsilon}\alpha_1} \widehat{r}(t, \eta_1, v) \widehat{r}(\eta_2, v_2) - e^{i\frac{t}{\epsilon}\alpha_2} \widehat{r}(t, \eta_1, v_1) \widehat{r}(\eta_2, v) \right) dv_{1,2} d\eta_{1,2} \\ &+ \frac{16\pi}{T_{kin}} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_+) \delta(\Omega_+) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ &\quad \left(e^{i\frac{t}{\epsilon}\alpha_0} \widehat{r}(t, \eta_1, v_1) \widehat{r}(t, \eta_2, v_2) + e^{i\frac{t}{\epsilon}\alpha_1} \widehat{r}(t, \eta_1, v) \widehat{r}(\eta_2, v_2) - e^{i\frac{t}{\epsilon}\alpha_2} \widehat{r}(t, \eta_1, v_1) \widehat{r}(t, \eta_2, v) \right) dv_{1,2} d\eta_{1,2} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 \\ \alpha_1 &= v \cdot \xi - v \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 \\ \alpha_2 &= v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v \cdot \eta_2 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above, and using that $\widehat{r}(t, \xi, v) = e^{it\xi \cdot \frac{v}{\epsilon}} \widehat{\rho}(t, \xi, v)$, $r(t=0) = \rho(t=0) = W_0^\epsilon$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\rho}(t, \xi, v) - \widehat{\rho}_0(\xi, v) &= \frac{4(2\pi)^{1-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-it\xi \cdot \frac{v}{\epsilon}}}{T_{kin}} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_-) \delta(\Omega_-) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ &\quad \left[\left(\int_0^t e^{i\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}\alpha_0} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) - \left(\int_0^t e^{i\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}\alpha_1} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\int_0^t e^{i\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}\alpha_2} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v) \right] dv_{1,2} d\eta_{1,2} \\ &+ \frac{8(2\pi)^{1-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-it\xi \cdot \frac{v}{\epsilon}}}{T_{kin}} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_+) \delta(\Omega_+) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ &\quad \left[\left(\int_0^t e^{i\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}\alpha_0} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) + \left(\int_0^t e^{i\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}\alpha_1} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\int_0^t e^{i\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}\alpha_2} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v) \right] dv_{1,2} d\eta_{1,2} \\ &+ O\left(\frac{t}{T_{kin}}\right)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

5.2. Expanding the solution u . We consider the quadratic dispersive equation (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \frac{\Delta}{2} u = M\lambda(Mu + M\bar{u})^2, \\ u(t=0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

with initial data u_0 is given by (1.2). We write the solution as

$$u = u^{app} + u^{err} = \sum_{n=0}^N u^n + u^{err}, \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u^0 &= e^{-it\frac{\Delta}{2}} u_0, \\ \begin{cases} i\partial_t u^n + \frac{\Delta}{2} u^n = \lambda \sum_{j+k=n-1} M(Mu^j + M\bar{u}^j)(Mu^k + M\bar{u}^k), & n \geq 1 \\ u^n(t=0) = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this section we will focus on the first three iterates. Taking the Fourier transform, and using the identity $\widehat{v}(\xi) = \widehat{v}(-\xi)$ we obtain expressions with respect to the initial data for the linear term

$$\widehat{u}^0(\xi) = e^{-it\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi), \quad (5.4)$$

the bilinear term

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}^1(\xi) = & \frac{-i\lambda m(\epsilon\xi)}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-it\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} \int_0^t \int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2} m(\epsilon\xi_1)m(\epsilon\xi_2) \left(e^{is_1\Omega_{0,-1,-2}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_1)\widehat{u}_0(\xi_2) + e^{is_1\Omega_{0,1,2}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1)\widehat{u}_0(-\xi_2)} \right. \\ & \left. + 2e^{is_1\Omega_{0,1,-2}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1)}\widehat{u}_0(\xi_2) \right) d\xi_{1,2} ds_1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

and, finally, the trilinear term

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}^2(\xi) = & -\frac{2\lambda^2 m(\epsilon\xi)}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-it\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2 \\ \xi_2=\xi'_1+\xi'_2}} m(\epsilon\xi_1)m^2(\epsilon\xi_2)m(\epsilon\xi'_1)m(\epsilon\xi'_2) e^{is_1\Omega_{0,-1,-2}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_1) \\ & \left(e^{is_0\Omega_{2,-1',-2'}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_1)\widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) + e^{is_0\Omega_{2,1',2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_2)} + 2e^{is_0\Omega_{2,1',-2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)}\widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) \right) d\xi'_{1,2} d\xi_1 ds_{0,1} \\ & - \frac{2\lambda^2 m(\epsilon\xi)}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-it\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2 \\ \xi_2=\xi'_1+\xi'_2}} m(\epsilon\xi_1)m^2(\epsilon\xi_2)m(\epsilon\xi'_1)m(\epsilon\xi'_2) e^{is_1\Omega_{0,1,-2}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1)} \\ & \left(e^{is_0\Omega_{2,-1',-2'}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_1)\widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) + e^{is_0\Omega_{2,1',2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_2)} + 2e^{is_0\Omega_{2,1',-2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)}\widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) \right) d\xi'_{1,2} d\xi_1 ds_{0,1} \\ & + \frac{2\lambda^2 m(\epsilon\xi)}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-it\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2 \\ \xi_2=\xi'_1+\xi'_2}} m(\epsilon\xi_1)m^2(\epsilon\xi_2)m(\epsilon\xi'_1)m(\epsilon\xi'_2) e^{is_1\Omega_{0,1,2}} \widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1) \\ & \left(e^{is_0\Omega_{-2,-1',-2'}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_1)\widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) + e^{is_0\Omega_{-2,1',2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_2)} + 2e^{is_0\Omega_{-2,1',-2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)}\widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) \right) d\xi'_{1,2} d\xi_1 ds_{0,1} \\ & + \frac{2\lambda^2 m(\epsilon\xi)}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-it\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2 \\ \xi_2=\xi'_1+\xi'_2}} m(\epsilon\xi_1)m^2(\epsilon\xi_2)m(\epsilon\xi'_1)m(\epsilon\xi'_2) e^{is_1\Omega_{0,-1,2}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_1) \\ & \left(e^{is_0\Omega_{-2,-1',-2'}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_1)\widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) + e^{is_0\Omega_{-2,1',2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_2)} + 2e^{is_0\Omega_{-2,1',-2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)}\widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) \right) d\xi'_{1,2} d\xi_1 ds_{0,1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Above, we denote $\Omega_{0,-1,-2} = \frac{1}{2}(|\xi|^2 - |\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_2|^2)$, $\Omega_{0,1,-2} = \frac{1}{2}(|\xi|^2 + |\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_2|^2)$, etc...

5.3. Expanding the correlations. Recall that the rescaled Wigner transform is given by

$$\widehat{W}^\epsilon[u](\xi, v) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \epsilon^{-d} \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}(\xi^+) \right], \quad (5.7)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \xi^- = \frac{v}{\epsilon} - \frac{\xi}{2} \\ \xi^+ = \frac{v}{\epsilon} + \frac{\xi}{2} \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \xi = \xi^+ - \xi^- \\ v = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi^+ + \xi^-). \end{cases}$$

We will also make use of the formula

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}(\xi_1)} \widehat{u}(\xi_2) \right] = (2\pi)^{d/2} \epsilon^d \widehat{W}^\epsilon \left[\xi_2 - \xi_1, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi_1 + \xi_2) \right]. \quad (5.8)$$

Inserting the expansion (5.3) in the definition of $\widehat{W}^\epsilon[u]$,

$$(2\pi)^{d/2} \epsilon^d \widehat{W}^\epsilon[u](\xi, v) = \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^0(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^0(\xi^+) \right] \quad (5.9)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^1(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^0(\xi^+) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^0(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^1(\xi^+) \right] \quad (5.10)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^1(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^1(\xi^+) \right] \quad (5.11)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^2(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^0(\xi^+) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^0(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^2(\xi^+) \right] \quad (5.12)$$

$$+ h.o.t. \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$h.o.t = \sum_{i+j \geq 3} \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^i(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^j(\xi^+) \right] + \sum_{i=0}^N \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^i(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^+) + \overline{\widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^i(\xi^+) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^+) \right] \quad (5.14)$$

$$= \sum_{i+j \geq 4} \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^i(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^j(\xi^+) \right] + \sum_{i=0}^N \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^i(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^+) + \overline{\widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^i(\xi^+) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^{err}(\xi^+) \right], \quad (5.15)$$

where to obtain (5.15) we used the fact that there is cancellation for $i+j=3$ due to Wick's formula.

The linear-linear term (5.9). By (5.4), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}^0(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}^0(\xi^+) \right] = e^{-i\frac{t}{2}(|\xi^+|^2 - |\xi^-|^2)} \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi^+) \right] = e^{-it\xi \cdot \frac{v}{\epsilon}} (2\pi)^{d/2} \epsilon^d \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\xi, v) \quad (5.16)$$

The linear-bilinear term (5.10). It vanishes by Wick's formula.

The bilinear-bilinear term (5.11). It will be convenient to write u^1 under the form

$$\widehat{u}^1(t, \xi^+) = -\frac{i\lambda m(\epsilon\xi^+)}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-it\frac{|\xi^+|^2}{2}} \int_0^t \int_{\xi^+ = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2} m(\epsilon\xi'_1) m(\epsilon\xi'_2) \left(e^{is'_1\Omega_{+, -1', -2'}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_1) \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) + e^{is'_1\Omega_{+, 1', 2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_2)} + 2e^{is'_1\Omega_{+, 1', -2'}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) \right) d\xi'_{1,2} ds'_1,$$

where $\Omega_{+, -1', -2'} = \frac{1}{2}(|\xi^+|^2 - |\xi'_1|^2 - |\xi'_2|^2)$, etc... and

$$\overline{\widehat{u}^1(t, \xi^-)} = \frac{i\lambda m(\epsilon\xi^-)}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{it\frac{|\xi^-|^2}{2}} \int_0^t \int_{\xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2} m(\epsilon\xi_1) m(\epsilon\xi_2) \left(e^{-is_1\Omega_{-, -1, -2}} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi_1)} \overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi_2)} + e^{-is_1\Omega_{-, 1, 2}} \widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1) \widehat{u}_0(-\xi_2) + 2e^{-is_1\Omega_{-, 1, -2}} \widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1) \overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi_2)} \right) d\xi_{1,2} ds_1.$$

Using these formulas, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2\pi)^d \lambda^{-2} e^{it\xi \cdot \frac{v}{\epsilon}} \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{u}^1(t, \xi^-) \widehat{u}^1(t, \xi^+) \right] \\
&= m(\epsilon \xi^+) m(\epsilon \xi^-) \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 \\ \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi'_1) m(\epsilon \xi'_2) \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+, -1', -2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-, -1, -2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi_1) \widehat{u}_0(\xi_2)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_1) \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2) \right] d\xi_{1,2} d\xi'_{1,2} \\
&+ m(\epsilon \xi^+) m(\epsilon \xi^-) \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 \\ \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi'_1) m(\epsilon \xi'_2) \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+, 1', 2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-, 1, 2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1) \widehat{u}_0(-\xi_2) \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1) \widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_2)} \right] d\xi_{1,2} d\xi'_{1,2} \\
&+ 4m(\epsilon \xi^+) m(\epsilon \xi^-) \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 \\ \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi'_1) m(\epsilon \xi'_2) \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+, 1', -2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-, 1, -2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1) \overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi_2) \widehat{u}_0(-\xi'_1) \widehat{u}_0(\xi'_2)} \right] d\xi_{1,2} d\xi'_{1,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Wick's formula, this is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) m(\epsilon \xi^-) \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 \\ \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi'_1) m(\epsilon \xi'_2) \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+, -1', -2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-, -1, -2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \\
&\quad \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi'_1 - \xi_1, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi'_1 + \xi_1) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi'_2 - \xi_2, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi'_2 + \xi_2) \right) d\xi_{1,2} d\xi'_{1,2} \quad (5.17)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ 2(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) m(\epsilon \xi^-) \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 \\ \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi'_1) m(\epsilon \xi'_2) \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+, 1', 2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-, 1, 2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \\
&\quad \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi'_1 - \xi_1, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (-\xi_1 - \xi'_1) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi'_2 - \xi_2, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (-\xi_2 - \xi'_2) \right) d\xi_{1,2} d\xi'_{1,2} \quad (5.18)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ 4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) m(\epsilon \xi^-) \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 \\ \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi'_1) m(\epsilon \xi'_2) \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+, 1', -2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-, 1, -2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \\
&\quad \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi'_1 - \xi_1, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (-\xi_1 - \xi'_1) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi'_2 - \xi_2, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi'_2 + \xi_2) \right) d\xi_{1,2} d\xi'_{1,2} \quad (5.19)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ 4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) m(\epsilon \xi^-) \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 \\ \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi'_1) m(\epsilon \xi'_2) \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+, 1', -2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-, 1, -2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \\
&\quad \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(-\xi_1 - \xi_2, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_2 - \xi_1) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi'_1 + \xi'_2, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi'_2 - \xi'_1) \right) d\xi_{1,2} d\xi'_{1,2} \quad (5.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Term (5.17) We perform the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \eta_1 = \xi'_1 - \xi_1 \\ v_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi'_1 + \xi_1) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \eta_2 = \xi'_2 - \xi_2 \\ v_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi'_2 + \xi_2), \end{cases}$$

which is of Jacobian ϵ^d , when restricted to the domain on integration. By our choice of data, $|\eta_i|, |v_i| = O(1)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Moreover,

$$\xi = \xi^+ - \xi^- = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 - \xi_1 - \xi_2 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 = O(1)$$

and

$$v = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi^+ + \xi^-) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi'_1 + \xi'_2 + \xi_1 + \xi_2) = v_1 + v_2 = O(1)$$

This change of variables leads to the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.17) &= 2(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m\left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\xi\right) m\left(v - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\xi\right) \int_{\substack{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2 \\ v=v_1+v_2}} \prod_{i \in \{1,2\}} m\left(v_i \pm \frac{\epsilon}{2}\eta_i\right) \\
&\quad \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,-1',-2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,-1,-2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} \\
&= 2(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m^2(v) \int_{\substack{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2 \\ v=v_1+v_2}} m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\
&\quad \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,-1',-2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,-1,-2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the resonance moduli expressed in the new variables are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{+,-1',-2'} &= \frac{1}{2}(|\xi^+|^2 - |\xi'_1|^2 - |\xi'_2|^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v}{\epsilon} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v_1}{\epsilon} + \frac{\eta_1}{2} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v_2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\eta_2}{2} \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2}(|v|^2 - |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}(v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2) + \frac{1}{8}(|\xi|^2 - |\eta_1|^2 - |\eta_2|^2),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{-,-1,-2} &= \frac{1}{2}(|\xi^-|^2 - |\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_2|^2) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v}{\epsilon} - \frac{\xi}{2} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v_1}{\epsilon} - \frac{\eta_1}{2} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v_2}{\epsilon} - \frac{\eta_2}{2} \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2}(|v|^2 - |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2) - \frac{1}{2\epsilon}(v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2) + \frac{1}{8}(|\xi|^2 - |\eta_1|^2 - |\eta_2|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_{+,-1',-2'} + \Omega_{-,-1,-2} = \frac{\Omega_{0,-1,-2}}{\epsilon^2} + \gamma_0 \\ \Omega_{+,-1',-2'} - \Omega_{-,-1,-2} = \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon} \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \Omega_{0,-1,-2} = |v|^2 - |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2 \\ \alpha_0 = v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 \\ \gamma_0 = O(1) \end{cases}$$

Changing variables $\tau = \frac{s_1+s'_1}{2}$, $\sigma = \frac{s_1-s'_1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,-1',-2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,-1,-2})} ds_1 ds'_1 &= 2 \int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}} \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{i\sigma \left(\frac{\Omega_{0,-1,-2}}{\epsilon^2} + \gamma_0 \right)} d\sigma d\tau, \quad \theta = \min\{\tau, t - \tau\} \\
&= 4 \int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}} \frac{\sin(\theta \left(\frac{\Omega_{0,-1,-2}}{\epsilon^2} + \gamma_0 \right))}{\frac{\Omega_{0,-1,-2}}{\epsilon^2} + \gamma_0} d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

We will now rely on the

Lemma 5.1 (Dirichlet kernel). *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty$ be such that $\|\partial_x^k f\|_\infty \lesssim 1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\int \frac{\sin(\lambda x)}{x} f(x) dx = \pi f(0) + O(\lambda^{-M})$$

Proof. For a cutoff function χ , decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{\sin(\lambda x)}{x} f(x) dx &= \int \frac{\sin(\lambda x)}{x} f(x) [1 - \chi(\sqrt{\lambda} x)] dx + \int \frac{\sin(\lambda x)}{x} f(0) \chi(\sqrt{\lambda} x) dx \\ &\quad + \int \frac{\sin(\lambda x)}{x} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{f(n)(0)}{n!} x^n \chi(\sqrt{\lambda} x) dx + \int \frac{\sin(\lambda x)}{x} \left[f(x) - \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{f(n)(0)}{n!} x^n \right] \chi(\sqrt{\lambda} x) dx \\ &= I + II + III + IV. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts, one sees that I and III decay faster than any power of λ . A direct estimate gives $|IV| \lesssim \lambda^{-N/2}$. Finally, the leading contribution is given by II , and the constant is provided by the identity (Dirichlet integral) $\int \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \pi$. \square

This lemma can be expressed as the formula

$$\frac{\sin(\lambda x)}{x} = \pi \delta + O((1 + \lambda)^{-N}) \quad (5.21)$$

(which is understood by duality with a smooth, rapidly decaying function, whose derivatives are pointwise $O(1)$). Coming back to the expression involving resonance moduli, and denoting $Z = \Omega_{0,-1,-2} + \epsilon^2 \gamma_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,-1',-2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,-1,-2})} ds_1 ds'_1 &= 4\epsilon^2 \int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}} \frac{\sin(\frac{\theta}{\epsilon^2} Z)}{Z} d\tau \\ &= 4\pi\epsilon^2 \delta(Z) \int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}} d\tau + O\left(\epsilon^2 \int_0^t (1 + \frac{\theta}{\epsilon^2})^{-N} d\tau\right) \end{aligned}$$

(notice that, since $t < \epsilon$, the function $e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}}$ has all its derivatives $\lesssim 1$, which makes the application of (5.21) legitimate - this is not true close to a critical point of $\Omega_{+,-1',-2'}$, but we shall gloss over this technical point).

Since $Z = \Omega_{0,-1,-2} + O(\epsilon)$, the above is

$$\dots = 4\pi\epsilon^2 \delta(\Omega_{0,-1,-2}) \int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}} d\tau + O(\epsilon^4),$$

which finally leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (5.17) &= 4(2\pi)^{d+1} \epsilon^{d+2} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_{0,-1,-2}) \delta(\Omega_{0,-1,-2}) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ &\quad \left(\int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2} + \epsilon^{d+4}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

where $\alpha_0 = v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2$, $\Sigma_{0,-1,-2} = v - v_1 - v_2$ and $\Omega_{0,-1,-2} = |v|^2 - |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2$

Term (5.18) We perform the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \eta_1 = \xi'_1 - \xi_1 \\ v_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(-\xi_1 - \xi'_1) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \eta_2 = \xi'_2 - \xi_2 \\ v_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(-\xi_2 - \xi'_2), \end{cases}$$

which gives the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \xi^+ - \xi^- = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 - \xi_1 - \xi_2 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \\ v &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi^+ + \xi^-) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi'_1 + \xi'_2 + \xi_1 + \xi_2) = -v_1 - v_2. \end{aligned}$$

With these new integration variables,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.18) &= 2(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \right) m \left(v - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \right) \int_{\substack{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2 \\ v=-v_1-v_2}} \prod_{i \in \{1,2\}} m \left(-v_i \pm \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_i \right) \\
&\quad \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,1',2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,+1,+2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} \\
&= 2(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m^2(v) \int_{\substack{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2 \\ v=-v_1-v_2}} m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\
&\quad \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,1',2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,+1,+2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2})
\end{aligned}$$

The resonance moduli above are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{+,1',2'} &= \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v|^2 + |v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (v \cdot \xi + v_1 \cdot \eta_1 + v_2 \cdot \eta_2) + \frac{1}{8} (|\xi|^2 + |\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2) \\
\Omega_{-,1,2} &= \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v|^2 + |v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2) - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (v \cdot \xi + v_1 \cdot \eta_1 + v_2 \cdot \eta_2) + \frac{1}{8} (|\xi|^2 + |\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)
\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as for (5.17), this term will give no contribution besides $O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2} + \epsilon^{d+4})$, since it contains a factor $\delta(\Omega_{0,1,2})$, and $\Omega_{0,1,2}$ only vanishes at a point.

Term (5.19) We perform the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \eta_1 = \xi'_1 - \xi_1 \\ v_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(-\xi_1 - \xi'_1) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \eta_2 = \xi'_2 - \xi_2 \\ v_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi'_2 + \xi_2), \end{cases}$$

which gives the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi &= \xi^+ - \xi^- = \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 - \xi_1 - \xi_2 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \\
v &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi^+ + \xi^-) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi'_1 + \xi'_2 + \xi_1 + \xi_2) = -v_1 + v_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.19) &= 4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \right) m \left(v - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \right) \int_{\substack{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2 \\ v=-v_1+v_2}} \prod_{i \in \{1,2\}} m \left((-1)^i v_i \pm \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_i \right) \\
&\quad \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,1',-2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,1,-2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} \\
&= 4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m^2(v) \int_{\substack{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2 \\ v=-v_1+v_2}} m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\
&\quad \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,1',-2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,1,-2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{cases} \xi'_1 = -\frac{v_1}{\epsilon} + \frac{\eta_1}{2} \\ \xi'_2 = \frac{v_2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\eta_2}{2} \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \xi_1 = -\frac{\eta_1}{2} - \frac{v_1}{\epsilon} \\ \xi_2 = \frac{v_2}{\epsilon} - \frac{\eta_2}{2}, \end{cases}$$

the corresponding resonance moduli are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{+,1',-2'} &= \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v|^2 + |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2) + \frac{1}{8} (|\xi|^2 + |\eta_1|^2 - |\eta_2|^2) \\
\Omega_{-,1,-2} &= \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v|^2 + |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2) - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2) + \frac{1}{8} (|\xi|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_1|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument to that used for (5.17), we obtain

$$(5.19) = 8(2\pi)^{d+1} \epsilon^{d+2} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_{0,1,-2}) \delta(\Omega_{0,1,-2}) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ \left(\int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2} + \epsilon^{d+4}). \quad (5.23)$$

where $\alpha_0 = v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2$, $\Sigma_{0,1,-2} = v + v_1 - v_2$ and $\Omega_{0,1,-2} = |v|^2 + |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2$.

Term (5.20) This term is degenerate and we cannot take advantage of any oscillations. However as we will see it will become negligible in the limit. It can be equivalently written as

$$(5.20) = 4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) m(\epsilon \xi^-) \int \int m(\epsilon \xi_1) m(\epsilon (\xi^- - \xi_1)) m(\epsilon \xi'_1) m(\epsilon (\xi^+ - \xi'_1)) \\ \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,1',-2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,1,-2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(-\xi^-, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi^- - 2\xi_1) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi^+, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi^+ - 2\xi'_1) \right) d\xi_1 d\xi'_1$$

so performing the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi^- - 2\xi_1) \\ v_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi^+ - 2\xi'_1) \end{cases}$$

which is of Jacobian ϵ^{2d} , we take

$$(5.20) = 4(2\pi)^d m(\epsilon \xi^+) m(\epsilon \xi^-) \int \int m \left(\frac{\epsilon \xi^-}{2} - v_1 \right) m \left(\frac{\epsilon \xi^-}{2} + v_1 \right) m \left(\frac{\epsilon \xi^+}{2} - v_2 \right) m \left(\frac{\epsilon \xi^+}{2} + v_2 \right) \\ \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{i(s'_1 \Omega_{+,1',-2'} - s_1 \Omega_{-,1,-2})} ds_1 ds'_1 \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(-\xi^-, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\xi^+, v_2) dv_1 dv_2$$

Notice that by (3.2), the above expression is non zero only when $|\xi^-|, |\xi^+| = O(1)$ or equivalently when $|v| = O(\epsilon)$. Therefore, when (5.20) is integrated against v it will produce a term of order $O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2})$.

The trilinear-linear term (5.12) By definition of \widehat{u}^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2\pi)^d \lambda^{-2} e^{it\xi \cdot \frac{v}{\epsilon}} \mathbb{E}[\widehat{u}^0(\xi^-) \widehat{u}^2(\xi^+)] \\
&= -4m(\epsilon\xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon\xi_1) m^2(\epsilon\xi_2) m(\epsilon\xi_3) m(\epsilon\xi_4) e^{i(s_1\Omega_{+, -1, -2} + s_0\Omega_{2, 3, -4})} \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_1) \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi_3)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_4) \right] d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1 \\
&+ 4m(\epsilon\xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon\xi_1) m^2(\epsilon\xi_2) m(\epsilon\xi_3) m(\epsilon\xi_4) e^{i(s_1\Omega_{+, -1, 2} + s_0\Omega_{-2, 3, -4})} \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_1) \overline{\widehat{u}_0(-\xi_3)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_4) \right] d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1 \\
&- 2m(\epsilon\xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon\xi_1) m^2(\epsilon\xi_2) m(\epsilon\xi_3) m(\epsilon\xi_4) e^{i(s_1\Omega_{+, 1, -2} + s_0\Omega_{2, -3, -4})} \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1) \widehat{u}_0(\xi_3) \widehat{u}_0(\xi_4) \right] d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1 \\
&+ 2m(\epsilon\xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon\xi_1) m^2(\epsilon\xi_2) m(\epsilon\xi_3) m(\epsilon\xi_4) e^{is_1(\Omega_{+, 1, 2} + s_0\Omega_{-2, -3, -4})} \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\overline{\widehat{u}_0(\xi^-)} \widehat{u}_0(-\xi_1) \widehat{u}_0(\xi_3) \widehat{u}_0(\xi_4) \right] d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1.
\end{aligned}$$

By Wick's formula and symmetry, this is

$$\begin{aligned} \dots = & -4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m^2(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi_3) m(\epsilon \xi_4) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_+, -1, -2 + s_0 \Omega_{2,3,-4})} \\ & \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_4 - \xi^-, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_4 + \xi^-) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_1 + \xi_3, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_1 - \xi_3) \right) d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1 \quad (5.24) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m^2(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi_3) m(\epsilon \xi_4) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_+, -1, -2 + s_0 \Omega_{2,3,-4})} \\ & \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_1 - \xi^-, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_1 + \xi^-) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_3 + \xi_4, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_4 - \xi_3) \right) d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1 \quad (5.25) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & +4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m^2(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi_3) m(\epsilon \xi_4) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_+, -1, 2 + s_0 \Omega_{-2,3,-4})} \\ & \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_4 - \xi^-, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_4 + \xi^-) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_1 + \xi_3, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_1 - \xi_3) \right) d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1 \quad (5.26) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & +4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m^2(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi_3) m(\epsilon \xi_4) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_+, -1, 2 + s_0 \Omega_{-2,3,-4})} \\ & \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_1 - \xi^-, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_1 + \xi^-) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_3 + \xi_4, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_4 - \xi_3) \right) d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1 \quad (5.27) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m^2(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi_3) m(\epsilon \xi_4) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_+, 1, -2 + s_0 \Omega_{2,-3,-4})} \\ & \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_4 - \xi^-, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_4 + \xi^-) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_3 + \xi_1, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_3 - \xi_1) \right) d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1 \quad (5.28) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & +4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^{2d} m(\epsilon \xi^+) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\substack{\xi^+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4}} m(\epsilon \xi_1) m^2(\epsilon \xi_2) m(\epsilon \xi_3) m(\epsilon \xi_4) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_+, 1, 2 + s_0 \Omega_{-2,-3,-4})} \\ & \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_4 - \xi^-, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_4 + \xi^-) \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\xi_3 + \xi_1, \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_3 - \xi_1) \right) d\xi_{1,3,4} ds_0 ds_1 \quad (5.29) \end{aligned}$$

Term (5.24) We perform the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \eta_1 = \xi_4 - \xi^- \\ \eta_2 = \xi_1 + \xi_3 \\ v_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_1 - \xi_3) \end{cases} \quad (5.30)$$

which is of Jacobian ϵ^d . In these new variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \xi^+ - \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 - \xi^- = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \\ \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_4 + \xi^-) &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\eta_1 + 2\xi^-) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\eta_1 + \frac{2v}{\epsilon} - \xi) = v - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.24) &= -4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \right) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\xi = \eta_1 + \eta_2} m \left(v_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2 \right) m \left(-v_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2 \right) \\ & m^2 \left(v - v_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_1 \right) m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\eta_1 - \eta_2) \right) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_+, -1, -2 + s_0 \Omega_{2,3,-4})} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\eta_1, v - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2 \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon (\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_2 ds_0 ds_1 \end{aligned}$$

The resonance moduli expressed in the new variables are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{+,-1,-2} &= \frac{1}{2} (|\xi^+|^2 - |\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_2|^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v}{\epsilon} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v_2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\eta_2}{2} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v - v_2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\eta_1}{2} \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v|^2 - |v_2|^2 - |v - v_2|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (v \cdot \xi - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 - (v - v_2) \cdot \eta_1) + \frac{1}{8} (|\xi|^2 - |\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_1|^2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{2,3,-4} &= \frac{1}{2} (|\xi_2|^2 + |\xi_3|^2 - |\xi_4|^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v - v_2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\eta_1}{2} \right|^2 + \left| -\frac{v_2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\eta_2}{2} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{v}{\epsilon} + \frac{\eta_1 - \eta_2}{2} \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v - v_2|^2 + |v_2|^2 - |v|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} ((v - v_2) \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 - v \cdot (\eta_1 - \eta_2)) + \frac{1}{8} (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_1 - \eta_2|^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Their sum and difference are

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_{+,-1,-2} + \Omega_{2,3,-4} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon} + \gamma_1 \\ \Omega_{+,-1,-2} - \Omega_{2,3,-4} = \frac{\Omega_1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\beta}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_1 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \Omega_1 = |v|^2 - |v_2|^2 - |v - v_2|^2 \\ \alpha_1 = v \cdot \xi - v \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 \\ \tilde{\beta}_1, \gamma_1, \tilde{\gamma}_1 = O(1). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, performing the change of variables $\tau = \frac{s_0 + s_1}{2}$, $\sigma = \frac{s_1 - s_0}{2}$, we obtain

$$\int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} e^{i(s_1 \Omega_{+,-1,-2} + s_0 \Omega_{2,3,-4})} ds_0 ds_1 = 2 \int_0^t \int_0^\theta e^{i\tau(\frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon} + \gamma_1)} e^{i\sigma(\frac{\Omega_1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_1}{\epsilon} + \tilde{\gamma}_1)} d\sigma d\tau, \quad \theta = \min\{\tau, t - \tau\}$$

At this point, we will resort here to the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *For a compactly supported function f such that $\|\partial_x^k f\| \lesssim_k 1$, for any $\lambda > 0$, and for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\int \int_0^\lambda e^{i\sigma x} d\sigma f(x) dx = 2\pi(\mathbb{P}_+ f)(0) + O_N(\lambda^{-N}),$$

where \mathbb{P}_+ is the projector on positive frequencies, in other words the Fourier multiplier with symbol $\mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}$.

Proof. Since the Fourier transform of $\int_0^\lambda e^{i\sigma x} d\sigma$ is $\sqrt{2\pi} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\lambda]}$, and by self-adjointness of \mathbb{P}_+ ,

$$\int \int_0^\lambda e^{i\sigma x} d\sigma f(x) dx = \int \mathbb{P}_+ \left[\int_{-\lambda}^\lambda e^{i\sigma x} d\sigma \right] f(x) dx = \int \int_{-\lambda}^\lambda e^{i\sigma x} d\sigma \mathbb{P}_+ f(x) dx.$$

The desired conclusion now follows by Lemma 5.1. □

The conclusion of this lemma can be written somewhat formally as

$$\int_0^\lambda e^{i\sigma x} d\sigma = 2\pi \delta_+(x) + O(\lambda^{-N}),$$

where δ_+ is the distribution defined by $\langle \delta_+, f \rangle = (\mathbb{P}_+ f)(0)$. For the expression that we are trying to approximate, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} e^{i(s_1 \Omega_{+, -1, -2} + s_0 \Omega_{2, 3, -4})} ds_0 ds_1 &= 2 \int_0^t e^{i\tau(\frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon} + \gamma_1)} \epsilon^2 \left[2\pi \delta_+(\Omega_1 + \epsilon \tilde{\beta}_1 + \epsilon^2 \tilde{\gamma}_1) + O((1 + \frac{\theta}{\epsilon^2})^{-N}) \right] d\tau \\ &= 4\pi \epsilon^2 \int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon}} \delta_+(\Omega_1) d\sigma + O(\epsilon^4). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.24) &= -8(2\pi)^{d+1} \epsilon^{d+2} m^2(v) \int_{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2} \int_0^t m^2(v_2) m^2(v-v_2) e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon}} d\tau \delta_+(\Omega_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_2 \\ &\quad + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2} + \epsilon^{d+4}) \end{aligned}$$

Setting $v_1 = v - v_2$, or equivalently adding $\delta(\Sigma_{0, -1, -2}) = \delta(v - v_1 - v_2)$ to the above integrand, this expression can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (5.24) &= -8(2\pi)^{d+1} m^2(v) \epsilon^{d+2} \int \delta(\xi + \eta_1 + \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_{0, -1, -2}) \delta_+(\Omega_{0, -1, -2}) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ &\quad \int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon}} d\tau \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2} + \epsilon^{d+4}) \end{aligned}$$

The other term in (5.12) will give, by a similar calculation projection to positive frequencies. So adding those two, we obtain the same term without any projection. This gives a combined contribution of

$$\begin{aligned} &-8(2\pi)^{d+1} \epsilon^{d+2} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_{0, -1, -2}) \delta(\Omega_{0, -1, -2}) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ &\quad \int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon}} d\tau \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2} + \epsilon^{d+4}). \end{aligned}$$

Term (5.25) As we will see this terms is degenerate and will vanish in the limit. For the term (5.25) we perform the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \eta_1 = \xi_1 - \xi^- \\ \eta_2 = \xi_3 + \xi_4 \\ v_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi_4 - \xi_3) \end{cases} \quad (5.31)$$

which is of Jacobian ϵ^d . In these new variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \xi^+ - \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 - \xi^- = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \\ \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\xi_1 + \xi^-) &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\eta_1 + 2\xi^-) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\eta_1 + \frac{2v}{\epsilon} - \xi) = v - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.25) &= -4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m\left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\xi\right) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2} m\left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)\right) m^2(\epsilon\eta_2) m\left(v_2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\eta_2\right) m\left(v_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\eta_2\right) \\ &\quad e^{i(s_1 \Omega_{+, -1, -2} + s_0 \Omega_{2, 3, -4})} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon\left(\eta_1, v - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\eta_2\right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_2 ds_{0,1} \\ &= O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2}), \end{aligned}$$

since $|\eta_2| = O(1)$.

Term (5.26) We perform the change of variables (5.31) which yields

$$(5.26) = 4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \right) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2} m \left(v_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2 \right) m \left(-v_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2 \right) \\ m^2 \left(v - v_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_1 \right) m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\eta_1 - \eta_2) \right) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_{+, -1, 2} + s_0 \Omega_{-, 2, 3, -4})} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\eta_1, v - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2 \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon (\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_2 ds_{0,1},$$

where the resonance moduli are

$$\Omega_{+, -1, 2} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v|^2 - |v_2|^2 + |v - v_2|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (v \cdot \xi - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 + (v - v_2) \cdot \eta_1) + \frac{1}{8} (|\xi|^2 - |\eta_2|^2 + |\eta_1|^2) \\ \Omega_{-, 2, 3, -4} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (-|v - v_2|^2 + |v_2|^2 - |v|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (-(v - v_2) \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 - (\eta_1 - \eta_2) \cdot v) \\ + \frac{1}{8} (|\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_1|^2 - |\eta_1 - \eta_2|^2).$$

Their sum and difference are

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_{+, -1, 2} + \Omega_{-, 2, 3, -4} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon} + \gamma_2 \\ \Omega_{+, -1, 2} - \Omega_{-, 2, 3, -4} = \frac{\Omega_2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_2}{\epsilon} + \tilde{\gamma}_2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \Omega_2 = |v|^2 - |v_2|^2 + |v_2 - v|^2 \\ \alpha_1 = v \cdot \xi - v \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 \\ \gamma_2, \tilde{\beta}_2, \tilde{\gamma}_2 = O(1) \end{cases}$$

By a similar argument to the one used for (5.24), we obtain, after adding with the symmetric term in (5.12), a contribution of

$$8(2\pi)^{d+1} \epsilon^{d+2} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_{0,1,-2}) \delta(\Omega_{0,1,-2}) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ \int_0^t \left(e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon (\eta_1, v) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon (\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2} + \epsilon^{d+4})$$

where $\Sigma_{0,1,-2} = v + v_1 - v_2$ and $\Omega_{0,1,-2} = |v|^2 + |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2$.

Term (5.27). This term is degenerate and gives a contribution $O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2})$ similarly to (5.25).

Term (5.28) We perform the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \eta_1 = \xi_3 + \xi_1 \\ \eta_2 = \xi_4 - \xi^- \\ v_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_3 - \xi_1) \end{cases} \quad (5.32)$$

which is of Jacobian ϵ^d . In these new variables,

$$\xi = \xi^+ - \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi^- = \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 - \xi^- = \eta_1 + \eta_2 \\ \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\xi_4 + \xi^-) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\eta_2 + 2\xi^-) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\eta_2 + \frac{2v}{\epsilon} - \xi) = v - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_1.$$

Therefore,

$$(5.28) = -4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \right) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2} m \left(v_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_1 \right) m \left(-v_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_1 \right) \\ m^2 \left(v + v_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2 \right) m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\eta_2 - \eta_1) \right) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_{+, 1, -2} + s_0 \Omega_{2, -3, -4})} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon (\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\eta_2, v - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_1 \right) d\eta_{1,2} dv_1 ds_{0,1}.$$

The resonance moduli are given by

$$\Omega_{+, 1, -2} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v|^2 + |v_1|^2 - |v + v_1|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (v \cdot \xi - v_2 \cdot \eta_1 - (v + v_1) \cdot \eta_2) + \frac{1}{8} (|\xi|^2 + |\eta_1|^2 - |\eta_2|^2) \\ \Omega_{2, -3, -4} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v + v_1|^2 - |v_1|^2 - |v|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} ((v + v_1) \cdot \eta_2 - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v \cdot (\eta_2 - \eta_1)) + \frac{1}{8} (|\eta_2|^2 - |\eta_1|^2 - |\eta_2 - \eta_1|^2),$$

with sum and difference

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_{+,1,-2} + \Omega_{2,-3,-4} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\epsilon} + \gamma_3 \\ \Omega_{+,1,-2} - \Omega_{2,-3,-4} = \frac{\Omega_3}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\tilde{\beta}_3 + \tilde{\gamma}_3 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \Omega_3 = |v|^2 + |v_1|^2 - |v + v_1|^2 \\ \alpha_2 = v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v \cdot \eta_2 \\ \gamma_3, \tilde{\beta}_3, \tilde{\gamma}_3 = O(1). \end{cases}$$

By a similar argument to the above, we obtain a combined contribution with the symmetric term in (5.12)

$$\begin{aligned} & - 8(2\pi)^{d+1} \epsilon^{d+2} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_{0,1,-2}) \delta(\Omega_{0,1,-2}) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\ & \quad \int_0^t \left(e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_2}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v) d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} + O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2} + \epsilon^{d+4}). \end{aligned}$$

Term (5.29) We perform the change of variables (5.32), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} (5.29) &= 4(2\pi)^d \epsilon^d m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi \right) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_{\xi=\eta_1+\eta_2} m \left(v_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_1 \right) m \left(-v_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_1 \right) \\ & \quad m^2 \left(v + v_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2 \right) m \left(v + \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\eta_2 - \eta_1) \right) e^{i(s_1 \Omega_{+,1,2} + i s_0 \Omega_{-2,-3,-4})} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\eta_1, v - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_2 \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) d\eta_{1,2} dv_2 ds_0 ds_1, \end{aligned}$$

with resonance moduli

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{+,1,2} &= \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v|^2 + |v_2|^2 + |v + v_1|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (v \cdot \xi - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 + (v + v_2) \cdot \eta_1) + \frac{1}{8} (|\xi|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 + |\eta_1|^2) \\ \Omega_{-2,-3,-4} &= -\frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (|v + v_2|^2 + |v_2|^2 + |v_1|^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (-(v + v_2) \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 - v(\eta_1 - \eta_2)) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{8} (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 + |\eta_1 - \eta_2|^2). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_{+,1,2} + \Omega_{-2,-3,-4} = \frac{\alpha_4}{\epsilon} + \gamma_4 \\ \Omega_{+,1,2} - \Omega_{-2,-3,-4} = \frac{\Omega}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_4}{\epsilon} + \tilde{\gamma}_4 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \Omega_{0,1,2} = |v|^2 + |v_2|^2 + |v + v_2|^2 \\ \alpha_4 = (v - v_2) \cdot \eta_2 = v \cdot \xi - 2v_2 \cdot \eta_2 - v \cdot (\eta_1 - \eta_2) \\ \gamma_4, \tilde{\beta}_4, \tilde{\gamma}_4 = O(1) \end{cases}$$

By the same argument as above, this term will give no contribution besides $O(\epsilon^3 t + \epsilon^4 t + \epsilon^{d+2} \min\{t, \epsilon^2\})$, since it will have a factor $\delta(\Omega_{0,1,2})$.

Combining all the above, and using the fact that $t \ll \epsilon$, $T_{kin} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \epsilon^2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{W}^\epsilon[u](\xi, v) &= \frac{4(2\pi)^{1-\frac{d}{2}}}{T_{kin}} e^{-it\xi \cdot \frac{v}{\epsilon}} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_{0,-1,-2}) \delta(\Omega_{0,-1,-2}) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\
&\quad \times \left[\left(\int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) - \left(\int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_2}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v) \right] d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} \\
&+ \frac{8(2\pi)^{1-\frac{d}{2}}}{T_{kin}} e^{-it\xi \cdot \frac{v}{\epsilon}} m^2(v) \int \delta(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \delta(\Sigma_{0,1,-2}) \delta(\Omega_{0,1,-2}) m^2(v_1) m^2(v_2) \\
&\quad \times \left[\left(\int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_0}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) + \left(\int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_1}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\int_0^t e^{i\tau \frac{\alpha_2}{\epsilon}} d\tau \right) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_1, v_1) \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_2, v) \right] d\eta_{1,2} dv_{1,2} \\
&+ \lambda^2 \epsilon^{-d} \times (5.20) + O(\lambda^2 \epsilon^4) + (2\pi)^{-d/2} \epsilon^{-d} \times (h.o.t.) \tag{5.33}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} T_{kin} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \epsilon^2} \\ \Sigma_{0,-1,-2} = v - v_1 - v_2 \\ \Sigma_{0,1,-2} = v + v_1 - v_2 \\ \Omega_{0,-1,-2} = |v|^2 - |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2 \\ \Omega_{0,1,-2} = |v|^2 + |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2 \\ \alpha_0 = v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2 \\ \alpha_1 = v \cdot \xi - v_1 \cdot \eta_1 - v \cdot \eta_2 \\ \alpha_2 = v \cdot \xi - v \cdot \eta_1 - v_2 \cdot \eta_2, \end{cases}$$

and the higher order terms are given by (5.15).

5.4. Conclusion. Combining (5.2) and (5.33), we obtain that

$$\widehat{\rho}(t, \xi, v) - \widehat{\rho}_0(\xi, v) \sim \frac{t}{T_{kin}} + O\left(\frac{t}{T_{kin}}\right)^2, \tag{5.34}$$

$$\widehat{W}^\epsilon[u](t, \xi, v) - \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon[u](\xi, v) \sim \frac{t}{T_{kin}} + \lambda^2 \epsilon^{-d} \times (5.20) + O(\lambda^2 \epsilon^4) + h.o.t., \tag{5.35}$$

thus, since integrating (5.20) gives a contribution $O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2})$ and $t \ll \epsilon$, we obtain

$$\int |\widehat{\rho}(t, \xi, v) - \widehat{W}^\epsilon[u](t, \xi, v)| dv = O\left(\frac{t}{T_{kin}}\right)^2 + O(\lambda^2 \epsilon^4) + (2\pi)^{-d/2} \epsilon^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (h.o.t.) dv$$

This implies that (KWE) is the correct asymptotic model to describe the correlations if

- $\epsilon^2 < t < \epsilon$ (which was the standing assumption in this section)
- $t \ll T_{kin}$ (ensures the remainder terms in the expansion of ρ are smaller than the leading term)
- $\frac{t}{T_{kin}} \gg \lambda^2 \epsilon^4 \iff t \gg \epsilon^2$ (ensures that the remainder terms in the expansion of W^ϵ are smaller than the leading term; this is ignoring the higher order terms, which are $O((t/T_{kin})^2)$, as will be proved in later sections).

Overall, it suffices that

$$\epsilon^2 \ll t \ll \min(\epsilon, T_{kin}).$$

We conclude the following result:

Proposition 5.3. *In the regime $\epsilon^2 \ll t \ll \min(\epsilon, T_{kin})$ there holds*

$$\int |\widehat{\rho}(t, \xi, v) - \widehat{W}^\epsilon[u](t, \xi, v)| dv = O\left(\frac{t}{T_{kin}}\right)^2 + O(\lambda^2 \epsilon^4) + (2\pi)^{-d/2} \epsilon^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (h.o.t.) dv \quad (5.36)$$

where the higher order terms are given by (5.15).

Proof. Again, we prove the result for $m(0) = 0$ and $\omega_0 = 0$. By (5.34)-(5.35) and the fact that $\widehat{\rho}_0(\xi, v) = \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon[u](\xi, v)$, we have

$$|\widehat{\rho}(t, \xi, v) - \widehat{W}^\epsilon[u](t, \xi, v)| = O\left(\frac{t}{T_{kin}}\right)^2 + \lambda^2 \epsilon^{-d} \times (5.20) + O(\lambda^2 \epsilon^4) + (2\pi)^{-d/2} \epsilon^{-d} \times (h.o.t.)$$

Integrating in v and using the fact that $\int (5.20) dv = O(t^2 \epsilon^{d+2})$ (which was seen when analyzing this term) and $t \ll \epsilon$, we obtain (5.36). \square

The aim of the rest of the paper is to estimate the higher order terms and show they are smaller than the leading term.

6. Graph analysis for the diagrammatic expansion of the solution

Proceeding as in [10] (based on [30]), we perform a diagrammatic expansion and write u^n as a sum over Feynman graphs. There are numerous differences between the framework developed in that paper, and the one needed in the present manuscript. First, the equation here is quadratic, instead of cubic, resulting in a binary instead of a ternary tree; second, waves of arbitrary parities might interact; third, the problem being set on the whole space \mathbb{R}^d certain sums are replaced by integrals and new "slow" variables η appear. Most importantly, we handle the time constraints in a completely novel way, in order to deal with dispersion relations which are nonzero at the origin $\omega(\xi) = \epsilon^{-2} + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$, resulting in the introduction of new and different tools for graph analysis.

The main result from this graphical expansion is the following: the expectation in probability of Lebesgue, Sobolev and Bourgain norms for the approximating series $\sum u^n$ can be computed as a sum of oscillatory integrals in large dimensions. In this sum, each oscillatory integral is completely described by an associated graph. Moreover, the oscillatory phases in each oscillatory integral can be divided between those of *degree zero*, those of *degree one and linear*, and those of *degree one and quadratic*, according to their dependance on *interaction free variables*. This distinction will be useful later on.

For the expectation of the L^2 norm, the outcome of this analysis is the following. All objects mentioned in the Proposition below are defined rigorously afterwards in the rest of this Section.

Proposition 6.1. *For each $n \geq 0$, the following holds true. There exists a finite set \mathcal{G}_n^p of paired graphs of depth n and, for each $t \geq 0$, a function $\mathcal{F}_t : \mathcal{G}_n^p \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ such that:*

$$\mathbb{E} \|u^n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n^p} \mathcal{F}_t(G). \quad (6.1)$$

For each $G \in \mathcal{G}_n^p$, there holds the formula:

$$\mathcal{F}_t(G) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \int_{\underline{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{d(n+1)}} \int_{\underline{\xi}^f \in \mathbb{R}^{d(n+1)}} \int_{\underline{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{2n}} \Delta_t(\underline{s}) d\underline{\xi}^f d\underline{\eta} d\underline{s} \\ M_G(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i,j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j})) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} e^{-is_v \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \rho^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}} \quad (6.2)$$

where we wrote $\eta_{i,j}$ instead of $\eta_{\{i,j\}}$ to simplify notations² and where we used the notations:

- $\underline{\eta} = (\eta_{i,j})_{\{i,j\} \in P} \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{n+1}$ are the slow free variables.
- $\mathbb{R}_0^{d(n+1)} = \{\underline{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{d(n+1)}, \sum \eta_{i,j} = 0\}$.
- $\mathcal{V}_i = \{v_1, \dots, v_{2n}\}$ gathers the interaction vertices, ordered according to the integration order.
- $\underline{s} = (s_v)_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{2n}$ gathers intermediate time slices.
- $P = P(G)$ is a pairing, a partition of $\{1, 2n+2\}$ into pairs $\{i, j\}$ uniquely determined by G .
- $\underline{\xi}^f = (\xi_1^f, \dots, \xi_{n+1}^f) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{n+1}$ are the interaction free variables.
- Δ_t is the indicatrix function of a set: the set of intermediate time slices \underline{s} satisfying the time constraints of the graph.
- $M_G(\underline{\xi})$ encodes the effects of the Fourier multiplier m :

$$M_G(\underline{\xi}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n+2} m(\epsilon \xi_{0,i}) \prod_{k=1}^{2n} m^2(\epsilon \tilde{\xi}_k)$$

- $\rho^+(v) \subset \mathcal{V}_i$ is the set containing v and the vertices up on the right of v in the graph. It is such that for $1 \leq k < k' \leq 2n$, $v_k \notin \rho^+(v_{k'})$.

There exist two sets of degree zero vertices \mathcal{V}^0 and degree one vertices \mathcal{V}^1 such that $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}^0 \cup \mathcal{V}^1$ and $\#\mathcal{V}^0 = \#\mathcal{V}^1 = n$. The set \mathcal{V}^1 can be further decomposed into linear and quadratic vertices $\mathcal{V}^1 = \mathcal{V}_l^1 \cup \mathcal{V}_q^1$ with $\mathcal{V}_l^1 \cap \mathcal{V}_q^1 = \emptyset$. There exist indices $1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_n \leq 2n$ such that $\mathcal{V}^1 = \{v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_n}\}$.

For each $1 \leq k \leq 2n$, $\tilde{\xi}_k$ is the frequency on top of v_k , given by:

$$\tilde{\xi}_k = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, k_i \geq k} \tilde{c}_{k,j} \xi_j^f + \sum_{\{i',j'\} \in P, i' < j'} \tilde{c}_{k,i',j'} \eta_{i',j'} \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{c}_{k,j}, \tilde{c}_{k,i',j'} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

(i) For every $\{i, j\} \in P$, there holds that $\sigma_{0,i} \in \{\pm 1\}$ and:

$$\xi_{0,i} = \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{c}_{i,j} \xi_j^f + \sum_{\{i',j'\} \in P, i' < j'} \bar{c}_{i,i',j'} \eta_{i',j'} \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{c}_{i,j}, \bar{c}_{i,i',j'} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Moreover, the map $((\xi_i^f)_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}, (\eta_{i,j})_{\{i,j\} \in P}) \mapsto (\sigma_{0,i} \xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j} \xi_{0,j}, \eta_{i,j})_{\{i,j\} \in P, i < j}$ is a bijection on $\mathbb{R}^{d(n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}_0^{d(n+1)}$.

(ii) Assume $1 \leq k \leq 2n$ is such that $k = k_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ so that $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^1$. Then there exist two signs $\sigma_k, \tilde{\sigma}_k \in \{\pm 1\}^2$ such that, if $v \in \mathcal{V}_l^1$:

$$\Omega_{v_k} = \sigma_k \tilde{\xi}_k \cdot \xi_i^f + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}_k + \sigma_k) |\tilde{\xi}_k|^2 & \text{if } \omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}, \\ \tilde{\sigma}_k \epsilon^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}_k + \sigma_k) |\tilde{\xi}_k|^2 & \text{if } \omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2} + \epsilon^{-2}, \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

and if $v \in \mathcal{V}_q^1$:

$$\Omega_{v_k} = -\sigma_k \xi_i^f \cdot (\xi_i^f + \tilde{\xi}_k) + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}_k - \sigma_k) |\tilde{\xi}_k|^2 & \text{if } \omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}, \\ (\tilde{\sigma}_k - 2\sigma_k) \epsilon^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}_k - \sigma_k) |\tilde{\xi}_k|^2 & \text{if } \omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2} + \epsilon^{-2}. \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

²This abuse of notation will be made throughout the paper

(iii) Assume that $1 \leq k \leq 2n$ is such that $k_{i-1} < k < k_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, so that $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^0$. Then Ω_{v_k} is a quadratic polynomial which depends only on the variables $\{\eta_{i,j}\}_{\{i,j\} \in P, i < j}$, and on the variables $(\xi_j^f)_{j \geq i}$.

Remark 6.2. One crucial information in Proposition 6.1 is that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$:

- For $k = k_i$, the quantity $e^{-is_{v_k} \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \rho^+(v_k)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}}$ does not depend on the previous free variables ξ_j^f for $j < i$. Moreover, only Ω_{v_k} actually depends on ξ_i^f and its dependance is explicit, given by (6.3) and (6.4).
- For $k > k_i$ either if v_k is a degree zero or degree one vertex, the quantity $e^{-is_{v_k} \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \rho^+(v_k)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}}$ does not depend on the previous free variables ξ_j^f for $j \leq i$.

The rest of this section presents the diagrammatic expansion for the Dyson series, and in particular defines rigorously all the objects mentioned in Proposition 6.1, leading eventually to its proof at the end of Subsection 6.4. Some elementary facts from graph analysis are given without proofs, in which case we refer to [10] for the details.

6.1. Graphical representation of the Dyson series. This subsection explains how u^n can be represented as a sum of functions represented by graphs.

6.1.1. General formula. We describe the expansion (1.5) which encodes iterations of Duhamel formula (1.5) via diagrams. There holds the diagrammatic expansion formula for all $n \geq 0$:

$$u^n = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}(n)} u_G, \quad (6.5)$$

where the sum is performed over all graphs G in the set of all *interaction graphs of depth n* denoted by \mathcal{G}_n , and where for each $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$,

$$u_G = u_G^+ + u_G^-,$$

where u_G^+ and u_G^- stand for the decomposition between positive and negative times, i.e. $u_G^+(t) = \mathbf{1}(t \geq 0)u_G(t)$, and are given by:

$$u_G^+(t, \xi_R) = e^{-it\omega(\xi_R)} \left(\frac{-i\lambda}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \right)^n (-1)^{\sigma_G} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(2n+1)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} d\underline{\xi} d\underline{t} \Delta_{\xi_R}(\underline{\xi}) \Delta_t(\underline{t}) \quad (6.6)$$

$$M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_{0,i}, \sigma_{0,i}) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i'} e^{-i\Omega_v t_v},$$

and

$$u_G^-(t, \xi_R) = e^{-it\omega(\xi_R)} \left(\frac{-i\lambda}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \right)^n (-1)^{\sigma_G+n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(2n+1)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} d\underline{\xi} d\underline{t} \Delta_{\xi_R}(\underline{\xi}) \Delta_{-t}(\underline{t}) \quad (6.7)$$

$$M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_{0,i}, \sigma_{0,i}) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i'} e^{i\Omega_v t_v},$$

where we used the following notations:

- To each graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ is associated a parity function $\sigma = \sigma(G)$. It determines the total parity of the graph $\sigma_G \in \mathbb{N}$ which records how many complex conjugations are taken in the interactions in the graph. It also determines $(\sigma_{0,i})_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} \in \{-1, +1\}^{n+1}$ which records, for each initial vertex, the parity (whether u or \bar{u} interacts).
- $\underline{\xi} = (\xi_e)_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d(2n+1)}$ gathers all the frequency variables and determines $(\xi_{0,i})_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}$.
- $\underline{t} = (t_v)_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ gathers all the interaction time variables for each interaction vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}_i'$, and the time variable of the root vertex.


- $\Delta_{\xi_R}(\xi)$ encodes the Kirchhoff laws of the graph.
- $\Delta_t(t)$ encodes the time constraints of the graph.
- $M(\xi)$ is a product of multipliers corresponding to M , i.e. to which form of the nonlinearity was taken.
- $\widehat{u}_0(\xi, +1) = \widehat{u}_0(\xi)$ and $\widehat{u}_0(\xi, -1) = \widehat{\bar{u}}_0(\xi) = \widehat{\bar{u}}_0(-\xi)$.
- The resonance modulus corresponding to the interaction vertex v is:

$$\Omega_v = \sigma_{v_a(v)}\omega(\xi_{v_a(v)}) - \sigma_{v_l(v)}\omega(\xi_{v_l(v)}) - \sigma_{v_r(v)}\omega(\xi_{v_r(v)}). \quad (6.8)$$


The formulas (6.6) and (6.7) are very similar. This is due to the following symmetry: if $u(t)$ solves (1.1), then $\bar{u}(-t)$ is also a solution. We will thus from now on focus on positive times and consider (6.6), as adaptations for negative times are straightforward.

An example, treated in the next subsubsection 6.1.2, will probably be most helpful. The precise definitions of all the objects above in (6.6) are given in subsubsections 6.1.3, 6.1.4 and 6.1.5.

6.1.2. Basic examples. We give as an illustration the most basic graph that represents the Fourier transform of the function $\frac{-i\lambda}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_0^t dt' e^{-it' \frac{\Delta}{2}} M(Me^{it' \frac{\Delta}{2}} u_0)^2$ evaluated at (t, ξ_R) (where t' is renamed as t_{v_1}):



It is one of the four elements in the sum $\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_1}$ in the formula (6.6) for \hat{u}^1 . The three remaining elements, corresponding to the development $(Mu^0 + M\bar{u}^0)^2 = (Mu^0)^2 + Mu^0 M\bar{u}^0 + M\bar{u}^0 Mu^0 + (M\bar{u}^0)^2$, are represented by the graphs below:



6.1.3. Definition of an interaction graph. An interaction graph of depth n is an oriented binary planar tree $G = (\mathcal{V}, v_a, v_l, v_r, p, \sigma)$ where:

- $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_R \cup \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_0$ is the collection of vertices. $\mathcal{V}_R = \{v_R\}$ contains the *root vertex* (representing $\widehat{u}_G(\xi_R)$). $\mathcal{V}_0 \neq \emptyset$ contains the *initial vertices* (representing the initial datum $\widehat{u}_0(\xi_{v_0})$). \mathcal{V}_i contains the n *interaction vertices* (each representing an iteration of the nonlinearity).
- $v_a : \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R$, $v_l : \mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_i$, and $v_r : \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_i$ represent the *positions* of the vertices. They are such that for all $m \geq 1$, $v_a^m = v_a \circ \dots \circ v_a$ has no fixed point on its domain. $v_a(v)$, $v_l(v)$, and $v_r(v)$ are respectively the vertices *above*, *below on the left*, and *below on the right* of v . They satisfy that:
 - (i) There exists a unique *top vertex* $v_{\text{top}} \in \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_i$ such that $v_a(v_{\text{top}}) = v_R$. It is given by $v_{\text{top}} = v_r(v_R)$, and we say that it is at bottom right of the root vertex by convention.

- (ii) For all $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$, there holds $v_l(v) \neq v_r(v)$ and these are the only antecedents of v by v_a , i.e. $\{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_i, v_a(\tilde{v}) = v\} = \{v_l(v), v_r(v)\}$.
- (iii) For all $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$, there exists a unique $v_a(v_0) \in \mathcal{V}_R \cup \mathcal{V}_i$ such that $(v_0, v_a) \in \mathcal{E}$.
- $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{V}^2$ is the set of oriented edges (representing a free evolution $e^{is\Delta}$), and is equal to:

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(v_{\text{top}}, v_R)\} \cup_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \{(v_l(v), v), (v_r(v), v)\}.$$

Above, (v_{top}, v_R) is called the *root edge* and for $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$, $(v_l(v), v)$ and $(v_r(v), v)$ are called interaction edges.

- $\sigma : \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is the *parity* (encoding if complex conjugation was taken in the iteration of the nonlinearity). For (i) above, it must satisfy that $\sigma_v = +1$. We extend it to a parity function for the edges $\sigma : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ (slightly abusing notations) as follows: if $e = (v, v')$ then $\sigma_e = \sigma_v$ is the parity of the vertex below. The *total parity* of G is defined as $\sigma_G = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_0} \sigma_v$.

With this definition, the graph G is a connected tree. Moreover, there are exactly $n + 1$ initial vertices. The set of interaction graphs of depth n is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(n)$.

6.1.4. Frequencies and Kirchhoff laws. To each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$ we associate a frequency variable $\xi_e \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The Kirchhoff laws of the graph then express that at each interaction vertex, the two frequencies of the edges below add up to the frequency of the edge above, and that the output frequency of the edge on top of the graph is ξ_R . This is written as:

$$\Delta_{\xi_R}(\underline{\xi}) = \delta(\xi_R - \xi_{(v_{\text{top}}, v_R)})\Delta(\underline{\xi}), \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta(\underline{\xi}) = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \delta(\xi_{(v, v_a(v))} - \xi_{(v_l(v), v)} - \xi_{(v_r(v), v)}).$$

The frequency multiplier $M(\underline{\xi})$ is then expressed as:

$$M(\underline{\xi}) = m(\epsilon \xi_{(v_{\text{top}}, v_R)}) \prod_{v_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0} m(\epsilon \xi_{(v_0, v_a(v_0))}) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{v_{\text{top}}\}} m^2(\epsilon \xi_{(v, v_a(v))}). \quad (6.9)$$

6.1.5. Interaction time variables and time constraints. A *forward path* of length l is a finite collection of edges $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (e_1, \dots, e_l)$ such that for each $1 \leq i \leq l$, writing $e_i = (v, v')$ and $e_{i+1} = (\tilde{v}, \tilde{v}')$, there holds $v' = \tilde{v}$. We can thus write alternatively with a slight abuse of notations $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (v_1, \dots, v_{l+1})$ where $e_i = (v_i, v_{i+1})$. We then say that $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ *leads to* v_{l+1} . We remark that for each initial vertex $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$, there exists a unique forward path $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_R)$ ending at the root vertex.

Given any two initial vertices $v_0 \neq v'_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$, we say that v_0 is at the left of v'_0 , if, denoting by $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, \bar{v}, \bar{v}, \dots, v_R)$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}' = (v'_0, v'_1, \dots, \tilde{v}', \bar{v}, \dots, v_R)$ their forward path ending at the root vertex, they intersect at $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{V}_i$ and there holds that \tilde{v} and \tilde{v}' are at the left and right respectively of \bar{v} , namely $\tilde{v} = v_l(\bar{v})$ and $\tilde{v}' = v_r(\bar{v})$. This defines a total order on the set of initial vertices, so that we order it as $\mathcal{V}_0 = (v_{0,1}, \dots, v_{0,n+1})$ from left to right. We adapt the notation for the frequency variables and write $\xi_{(v_{0,i}, v_a(v_{0,i}))} = \xi_{0,i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$.

Given any two vertices $v \neq v' \in \mathcal{V}$, we say that v is above v' (or v' is below v) and write $v > v'$ (or $v' < v$), if v belongs to the unique forward path starting at v' and ending at the root vertex. This defines a partial ordering for the vertices of the graph, called the *time order*.

To each vertex we associate a time variable. The time variable of any initial vertex $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$ is $t_{v_0} = 0$. To the root vertex we associate the total time $t_{v_R} = t$. To each interaction vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$ we associate an interaction time variable $t_v \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We require that $t_v \leq t_{v'}$ whenever v is below v' . The time constraint function is thus:

$$\Delta_t(\underline{t}) = \delta(t_{v_R} - t) \prod_{v, v' \in \mathcal{V}_i, v < v'} \mathbf{1}(t_v \leq t_{v'}).$$

6.2. Solving time constraints. We present here a change of variables $\underline{t} \mapsto \underline{s}$ from time variables to *time slices*, which is more suitable for understanding the interplay between the time constraint Δ_t and the oscillatory phases $e^{-it_v \Omega_v}$ in (6.6).

6.2.1. *Maximal paths up and to the right.* We study here specific paths that are used in the next subsection to solve the time constraints.

A path *up and to the right* is a forward path $\rho = (v_1, \dots, v_{\ell+1})$ whose vertices are all (except possibly the last one) at the bottom left of the vertex above them, namely $v_i = v_l(v_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. A path up and to the right $\rho = (v_1, \dots, v_{\ell+1})$ is said to be *maximal* if it starts at an initial vertex $v_1 \in \mathcal{V}_0$, and if it finishes at a vertex that is at the bottom right of the vertex above it: $v_{\ell+1} = v_r(v_{\ell+1})$. The set of all maximal path up and to the right is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_m(G)$. The number of such paths is denoted by:

$$n_m(G) = \#\mathcal{P}_m(G).$$


For any $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$, there exists a unique maximal path up and to the right $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_m$ containing v . We denote it by $\rho(v)$. By convention, we write $\rho(v_R) = \{v_R\}$ (slightly abusing notations since $\{v_R\}$ is not a path). We denote the bottom and top parts of this maximal path at v by:

$$\rho^+(v) = \{v' \in \rho(v), v' \geq v\} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^-(v) = \{v' \in \rho(v), v' \leq v\}.$$

For any maximal path $\rho = (v_1, \dots, v_{\ell+1}) \in \mathcal{P}_m$, we say that the vertex above the last vertex of the path, $v = v_a(v_{\ell+1}) \in \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R$, is the *junction vertex* of ρ and denote it by $v = v_j(\rho)$. The set of all vertices that are junction vertices is denoted by \mathcal{V}^j . Note that $v_R \in \mathcal{V}^j$ for $n \geq 1$. Given a junction vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}^j$, we denote by $\rho_j(v)$ the maximal path up and to the right such that $v = v_j(\rho_j(v))$.

We say that a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R$ is *constraining* $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_m$ if it belongs to the path up and to the right leading to $v_j(\rho)$ which is equivalent to $v \in \rho^-(v_j(\rho))$. We then write $\rho \triangleleft v$.

Below is an example of a interaction graph detailing its maximal paths up and to the right, the vertices just above them, and which vertices constrain which maximal paths.



6.2.2. *Solving the time constraints.* The time constraint function Δ_t is then completely determined by the *maximal paths up and to the right*.

To any edge $e = (v, v')$ that is to the right in the sense that $v = v_l(v')$, we associate a *time slice* s_e . Time slices s_v are equivalently associated to all vertices $v \in \mathcal{V}$ the following way:

- If $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$ then there exists a unique edge e at its bottom left, which is $e = (v_l(v), v)$. We then associate to v a time slice s_v which is the same as that of e , i.e. $s_v = s_e$.
- If $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$ then we set $s_v = 0$.
- If $v = v_R$ then we set $s_{v_R} = t$.

The set of all time slices of interaction vertices and of the root vertex is denoted by $\underline{s} = (s_v)_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R}$.

We impose that the time variables and the time slices of the interaction vertices satisfy the following compatibility condition. Given a vertex v , its time variable t_v is equal to the sum of the

time slices along the unique path up and to the right leading to v :

$$t_v = \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^-(v)} s_{\tilde{v}}, \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

The time constraint function $\Delta_t(\underline{t})$ imposes that $t_{v'} \leq t_v$ whenever v' is below v . This is equivalent to the following condition for the time slices. Given any maximal path up and to the right $\mathcal{p} \in \mathcal{P}_m$, and given its junction vertex $v_j(\mathcal{p})$, then the sum of the time slices of \mathcal{p} is less than or equal to the sum of the time slices of the path up and to the right leading to $v_j(\mathcal{p})$. This is written as:

$$\Delta_t(\underline{t}) = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{v \in \mathcal{p}} s_v \leq \sum_{\tilde{v} \triangleright \mathcal{p}} s_{\tilde{v}} \text{ for all } \mathcal{p} \in \mathcal{P}_m \text{ and } s_{v_R} = t.$$

Note that, for the last maximal path $\mathcal{p}(v_{\text{top}})$ whose initial vertex is $v_{0,1}$, the inequality above on the right means:

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{p}(v_{\text{top}})} s_v \leq t.$$

We can eventually define the *time constraint function for time slices*, that we still denote by $\Delta_t[G](\underline{s})$ with some slight abuse of notations, by:

$$\Delta_t(\underline{s}) = \delta(s_{v_R} - t) \prod_{\mathcal{p} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \mathbf{1} \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{p}} s(v) \leq \sum_{\tilde{v} \triangleright \mathcal{p}} s(\tilde{v}) \right). \quad (6.10)$$

The oscillatory phases in the formula (6.6) are rewritten in terms of time slices as:

$$e^{-it_v \Omega_v} = e^{-i \Omega_v \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^-(v)} s_{\tilde{v}}},$$

so that the product of all oscillatory phases in the formula (6.6) is rewritten as:

$$\prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} e^{-it_v \Omega_v} = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} e^{-is_v \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}}. \quad (6.11)$$

We have now fully solved the time constraints of the graph, and can rewrite (6.6) as:

$$u_G^+(t, \xi_R) = e^{-it\omega(\xi_R)} \left(\frac{-i\lambda}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \right)^n (-1)^{\sigma_G} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(2n+1)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} d\underline{\xi} d\underline{s} ds_{v_R} \Delta_{\xi_R}(\underline{\xi}) \Delta_t(\underline{s}) \quad (6.12)$$

$$M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \hat{u}_0(\xi_{0,i}, \sigma_{0,i}) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} e^{-is_v \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}}.$$

Expressing the time constraint function $\Delta_t(\underline{s})$ as a product of oscillatory integrals will be helpful later on. The following Lemma is a precision of Lemma 4.2 in [10].

Lemma 6.3. *There exists positive constants $c_G > 0$, $c_v > 0$ for $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$ and $c_{\mathcal{p}} > 0$ for $\mathcal{p} \in \mathcal{P}_m$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(s_v)_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \in \mathbb{R}^n$:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} ds_{v_R} \Delta_t(\underline{s}) = \frac{c_G}{(2\pi)^{n_m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} d\underline{\alpha} \prod_{\mathcal{p} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\mathcal{p}} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{p}}}{t}} \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} e^{s_v (i(\alpha_{\mathcal{p}(v)} - \sum_{\tilde{\mathcal{p}} \triangleleft v} \alpha_{\tilde{\mathcal{p}}}) - \frac{c_v}{t})}$$

where we wrote $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_{\mathcal{p}})_{\mathcal{p} \in \mathcal{P}_m}$.

Proof. We order $\mathcal{P}_m(G) = (\mathcal{p}_1, \dots, \mathcal{p}_{n_m})$ from right to left with respect to the initial vertices of the paths. Namely, there exists $1 = i_{n_m} < \dots < i_1 \leq n$ such that \mathcal{p}_j starts at $v_{0,i_j} \in \mathcal{V}_0$ (then v_{0,i_j} is at the left of v_{0,i_k} whenever $j > k$). Note then that the last maximal path \mathcal{p}_{n_m} leads to the vertex v_{top} that is just below the root vertex, so that $v_j(\mathcal{p}_{n_m}) = v_R$ and that by convention v_R is the only vertex constraining \mathcal{p}_{n_m} , i.e. $\{v \in \mathcal{V}, v \triangleright \mathcal{p}_{n_m}\} = \{v_R\}$.

Recalling the Fourier transformation $\mathbf{1}(x \leq 0)e^{cx} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{ie^{i\alpha x}}{\alpha + ic} d\alpha$ for $c > 0$, we write for each $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_m$, for some $c_\rho > 0$ to be chosen later on:

$$\mathbf{1} \left(\sum_{v \in \rho} s(v) \leq \sum_{v' \triangleright \rho} s(v') \right) = e^{\frac{c_\rho}{t} (\sum_{v' \triangleright \rho} s(v') - \sum_{v \in \rho} s(v))} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\alpha_\rho \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{ie^{i\alpha_\rho (\sum_{v \in \rho} s(v) - \sum_{v' \triangleright \rho} s(v'))}}{\alpha_\rho + \frac{c_\rho i}{t}} d\alpha_\rho.$$

As for the last maximal path, $\frac{c_{\rho_{nm}}}{t} \sum_{v' \triangleright \rho} s(v') = \frac{c_{\rho_{nm}}}{t} s_{v_R} = c_{\rho_{nm}}$ as $s_{v_R} = t$, this leads to the formula:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} ds_{v_R} \Delta_t(\underline{s}) = \frac{e^{c_{\rho_{nm}}}}{(2\pi)^{n_m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} d\underline{\alpha} \prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{i}{\alpha_\rho + \frac{ic_\rho}{t}} \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} e^{s_v (i(\alpha_{\rho(v)} - \sum_{\rho' \triangleleft v} \alpha_{\rho'}) - \frac{1}{t} (c_{\rho(v)} - \sum_{\rho' \triangleright v} c_{\rho'}))}.$$

Above, for the set of maximal paths $\mathcal{P}_m = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n_m})$, it is always possible to choose the constants $c_{\rho_1}, c_{\rho_2}, \dots, c_{\rho_{n_m}}$ one after another to ensure $c_{\rho(v)} - \sum_{\rho' \triangleright v} c_{\rho'} > 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. This then proves the Lemma upon taking $c_v = c_{\rho(v)} - \sum_{\rho' \triangleright v} c_{\rho'}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $c_G = e^{c_{\rho_{nm}}}$. \square

Applying Lemma 6.3 to (6.12), and then integrating along the \underline{s} variables yields the alternative formula:

$$u_G^+(t, \xi_R) = e^{-it\omega(\xi_R)} \left(\frac{-i\lambda}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \right)^n \frac{(-1)^{\sigma_G} c_G}{(2\pi)^{n_m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(2n+1)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\alpha} \Delta_{\xi_R}(\underline{\xi}) \quad (6.13)$$

$$M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{i}{\alpha_\rho + \frac{ic_\rho}{t}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_{0,i}, \sigma_{0,i}) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\rho(v)} - \sum_{\tilde{\rho} \triangleleft v} \alpha_{\tilde{\rho}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \rho^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_v}{t}}.$$

6.3. Paired graphs.

6.3.1. General formula. We will now take the expectation of the L^2 scalar product of two functions in the sum (6.6), corresponding to two graphs $G^l \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $G^r \in \mathcal{G}_n$. The left graph G^l is described with variables with a l superscript, and the right graph G^r with a r superscript. It will often be convenient to concatenate both kinds of variables, which we will denote without superscript to ease notation. For instance the set of interaction vertices is $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_i^l \cup \mathcal{V}_i^r$ and

$$(\sigma_{0,i})_{1 \leq i \leq 2n+2} = (\sigma_{0,1}^l, \dots, \sigma_{0,n}^l, \sigma_{0,1}^r, \dots, \sigma_{0,n}^r),$$

$$(\xi_{0,i})_{1 \leq i \leq 2n+2} = (\xi_{0,1}^l, \dots, \xi_{0,n}^l, \xi_{0,1}^r, \dots, \xi_{0,n}^r),$$

and so on. Wick's formula and the Wigner transform identity (3.3) imply that:

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{2n+2} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_{0,i}, \sigma_{0,i}) \right) = \sum_P \prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \epsilon^d \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i,j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j}))$$

where $\eta_{i,j} = \xi_{0,i} + \xi_{0,j}$, and P is a *pairing* of $\{1, \dots, 2(n+1)\}$ that is consistent with σ , that is, it is a partition of $\{1, \dots, 2n+1\}$ into pairs $\{i, j\}$, such that $\sigma_{0,i} = -\sigma_{0,j}$ for all $\{i, j\} \in P$. The sum above is performed over all possible pairings, and, by convention is equal to zero if no such pairing exists.

The formula corresponding to (6.5) for the expectation of the L^2 norm of u^n is for $t \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \|u^n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{\tilde{G}, P} \mathcal{F}_t(\tilde{G}, P) \quad (6.14)$$

where the sum is performed over all possible combinations of:

- $\tilde{G} = (G^r, G^l)$ is the tree \tilde{G} which we now describe. It is composed of one left and one right sub-trees which have depth n , $G^l \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $G^r \in \mathcal{G}_n$. The root vertices of the two sub-trees v_R^l and v_R^r are merged in a unique root vertex $v_R = v_R^l = v_R^r$. We use the convention that $v_r(v_R) = \{v_{\text{top}}^l, v_{\text{top}}^r\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_j(v_R) = \{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l), \mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)\}$. Furthermore, the signs of the left sub-trees are flipped, and
- P is a pairing of $\{1, \dots, 2(n+1)\}$ that is consistent with σ . By convention, if no such pairing exists, the value of the corresponding empty sum is equal to zero.

Given a tree $\tilde{G} = (G^l, G^r)$ and a pairing P , we represent it as a *paired graph* $G = (G^l, G^r, P)$. The set of all possible paired graphs G is denoted by \mathcal{G}_n^P . Thus, the formula (6.14) corresponds to (6.1).

To do so, we add all the following to the tree \tilde{G} :

- a *lower pairing vertex* $v_{-1, \{i, j\}}$ and an *upper pairing vertex* $v_{-2, \{i, j\}}$ for each $\{i, j\} \in P$. They have no associated parities and time variables.
- *lower pairing edges* $(v_{-1, \{i, j\}}, v_{-2, \{i, j\}})$ joining the two pairing vertices, and *upper pairing edges* $(v_{-1, \{i, j\}}, v_{0, i})$ and $(v_{-1, j}, v_{0, i})$ joining the upper pairing vertex to the initial vertices $v_{0, i}$ and $v_{0, j}$, for all $\{i, j\} \in P$. To the edge $e = (v_{-2, \{i, j\}}, v_{-1, \{i, j\}})$ we associate the frequency variable $\xi_e = \eta_{i, j} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. To the edges $e' = (v_{-1, \{i, j\}}, v_{0, i})$ and $e'' = (v_{-1, j}, v_{0, i})$ we associate frequency variables $\xi_{e'}$ and $\xi_{e''}$ which will be forced by the Kirchhoff laws to be equal to $\xi_{0, i}$ and $\xi_{0, j}$ respectively. The pairing edges have no associated parities and time variables.
- We require the output frequency is 0. Note that after integrating all Kirchhoff laws from bottom to top in the graph, we find that this output frequency is $\xi_{(v_{\text{top}}^l, v_R)} + \xi_{(v_{\text{top}}^r, v_R)} = \sum_{\{i, j\} \in P} \eta_{i, j}$. We thus require that $\underline{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{d(n+1)}$. The Kirchhoff laws for frequencies are naturally extended to the paired graph:

$$\Delta_G(\underline{\xi}, \underline{\eta}) = \Delta_G(\underline{\xi}^l, \underline{\xi}^r, \underline{\eta}) = \delta\left(\sum_{\{i, j\} \in P} \eta_{i, j}\right) \Delta(\underline{\xi}^l) \Delta(\underline{\xi}^r) \prod_{\{i, j\} \in P} \delta(\xi_{0, i} + \xi_{0, j} - \eta_{i, j}).$$

Explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t(G) &= (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint \Delta_G(\underline{\xi}, \underline{\eta}) \Delta_t(\underline{t}^l) \Delta_t(\underline{t}^r) d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{t}^l d\underline{t}^r \\ &\quad M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{\{i, j\} \in P} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i, j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0, i} \xi_{0, i} + \sigma_{0, j} \xi_{0, j})) \prod_{v \in G^l} e^{-i\Omega_v t_v} \prod_{v \in G^r} e^{-i\Omega_v t_v} \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

where $\underline{\xi} = (\underline{\xi}^l, \underline{\xi}^r)$, $M(\underline{\xi}) = M(\underline{\xi}^l)M(\underline{\xi}^r)$, with $\underline{\xi}^l$ and \underline{t}^l (resp. $\underline{\xi}^r$ and \underline{t}^r) being the frequency and time variables of the left subtree (resp. of the right subtree) which have been defined in the previous Subsection 6.1. The new variable $\underline{\eta} = (\eta_{i, j})_{\{i, j\} \in P, i < j}$ comes from the Wigner transform identity (3.3).


The set of all maximal paths up and to the right is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_m = \mathcal{P}_m^l \cup \mathcal{P}_m^r$ and the set of junction vertices by $\mathcal{V}^j = \mathcal{V}^{j, l} \cup \mathcal{V}^{j, r}$. Given $v \in G$ and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_m$, we say that v is constraining \mathcal{P} if either $(v, \mathcal{P}) \in G^l \times \mathcal{P}_m^l$ and v is constraining \mathcal{P} in the left subtree G^l , or if $(v, \mathcal{P}) \in G^r \times \mathcal{P}_m^r$ and v is constraining \mathcal{P} in the right subtree G^r (recall that v_R by convention belongs to both subtrees). We extend the notation and still write $v \triangleright \mathcal{P}$. We concatenate the time slices of both graphs: $\underline{s} = (s_v)_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R} = (\underline{s}^l, \underline{s}^r)$. Injecting (6.11) in (6.15) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t(G) &= (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint \Delta_G(\underline{\xi}, \underline{\eta}) \Delta_t(\underline{s}) d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{s} \\ &\quad M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{\{i, j\} \in P} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i, j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0, i} \xi_{0, i} + \sigma_{0, j} \xi_{0, j})) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} e^{-is_v \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

where we $\Delta_t(\underline{s})$ is still given by (6.10) but defined with the maximal paths of the paired graph G . We apply the resolvent formula of Lemma 6.3, to both the left and right subtree, and concatenate the variables by writing: $\underline{\alpha} = (\underline{\alpha}^l, \underline{\alpha}^r)$ and the identity (6.15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t(G) = & \frac{(-1)^{\sigma_{G^l} + \sigma_{G^r}} c_{G^l} c_{G^r}}{(2\pi)^{n_m^l + n_m^r - \frac{d}{2}}} \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\alpha} \Delta_G(\underline{\xi}, \underline{\eta}) \\ & M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i,j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j})) \\ & \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{i}{\alpha_p + \frac{ic_p}{t}} \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \frac{i}{\alpha_{p(v)} - \sum_{\tilde{p} \prec v} \alpha_{\tilde{p}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in p^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_v}{t}} \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

6.3.2. *Example.* Below is an example of a paired graph. The pairing is $P = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 5\}, \{4, 6\}\}$.



6.3.3. *Time and integration orders.* Given a paired graph $G = (G^l, G^r, P) \in \mathcal{G}_n^p$, given two $v, v' \in \mathcal{V}$, we say that v is below v' if there exists a forward path in G going from v to v' . This defines an order for \mathcal{V} , still called the *time order*. It extends the time orders of G^l and G^r . The picture in Subsubsection 6.3.2 shows an example of the time order for a paired graph.

When we will estimate integrals of the type (6.15), we will consider the contribution of each oscillatory phases in the right hand side of (6.11) one after another, according to an integration order that we now describe.

An *integration order* for a paired graph G is an enumeration of the set of interaction vertices and of the root vertex $\mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n+1}\}$ such that for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 2n+1$ the vertex v_i cannot be above v_j . This property is equivalent to the fact that for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n+1$, the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$ contains all the vertices that are below v_i . Moreover, $v_{2n+1} = v_R$ is always the root vertex, and $v_{2n} \in \{v_{\text{top}}^l, v_{\text{top}}^r\}$ is the top vertex of either the left or the right subtree. There always exists at least one integration order. For all paired graphs $G \in \mathcal{G}_n^p$, we fix once for all a unique integration order that will be used throughout the article. The picture in the proof of Proposition 6.4 shows an example of an integration order.

We extend this integration order to the set of edges and frequencies. Given two edges $e, e' \in \mathcal{E}$, we say that e is *after* e' for the integration order if one of the following holds true:

- e is any edge and e' is a pairing edge.

- neither e nor e' is a pairing edge, and, writing $e = (v, v_a(v))$ and $e' = (v', v_a(v'))$, either they are below the same vertex $v_a(v) = v_a(v')$, or the top vertex $v_a(v)$ of e is after the top vertex $v_a(v')$ of e' for the integration order of G . In the case $v_a(v) \neq v_a(v')$ we say that v is strictly after v' .

We extend this terminology for frequencies and say that ξ_e is *after* $\xi_{e'}$ for the integration order whenever e is after e' for the integration order.

6.4. Solving the frequency constraints. We aim at understanding how to integrate over the variables $(\underline{\xi}, \underline{\eta})$ on the support of the Kirchhoff laws function Δ_G , in a way which is suitable for taking precisely into account the oscillatory phases $e^{it_v \Omega_v}$. Proposition 6.4 provides a suitable subset of the frequencies $\underline{\xi}$, the *interaction free frequencies* $(\xi_i^f)_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}$, from which all frequencies $\underline{\xi}$ can be recovered. Moreover, the phases $e^{it_v \Omega_v}$ have an expression that is suitable with the ordering $\xi_1^f, \dots, \xi_{n+1}^f$, see Lemmas 6.5.

Proposition 6.4. *For any paired graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_n^p$ with integration order $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1}\}$, there exists an associated complete integration of the frequency constraints Δ_G in the following sense. There exists a set of free edges $\mathcal{E}^f = \{(v_{-2, \{i,j\}}, v_{-1, \{i,j\}})\}_{\{i,j\} \in P} \cup \{e_1^f, \dots, e_n^f, e_{n+1}^f\}$ consisting of all pairing edges, of a sequence of interaction free edges $\{e_1^f, \dots, e_n^f\} \subset \mathcal{E}$ and of the root edge of the left subtree $e_{n+1}^f = (v_{top}^l, v_R)$, with corresponding slow free frequencies $\underline{\eta}$ and interaction free frequencies $\underline{\xi}^f = (\xi_i^f)_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}$ where $\xi_i^f = \xi_{e_i^f}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, such that the following properties hold true.*


- Order compatibility with integration order. *One has that for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$, e_j^f is strictly after e_i^f for the integration order.*
- Basis property: *The family $(\underline{\xi}^f, \underline{\eta})$ is a basis for the Kirchhoff laws in the following sense: the map $(\underline{\xi}, \underline{\eta}) \rightarrow (\underline{\xi}^f, \underline{\eta})$, with domain the support of Δ_G , is a linear bijection onto $\mathbb{R}^{d(n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}_0^{d(n+1)}$.*
- Basis compatibility with integration order: *Any edge which is not a free edge, i.e. $e \notin \mathcal{E}^f$, is called an integrated edge and there holds that on the support of Δ_G :*

$$\xi_e = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n+1} c_{e,k} \xi_k^f + \sum_{\{i', j'\} \in P} c_{e, i', j'} \eta_{i', j'} \quad \text{with} \quad c_{e,k}, c_{e, i', j'} \in \{-1, 0, 1\},$$

with $c_{e,k} = 0$ if ξ_e appears strictly after ξ_k^f for the integration order.


Proof. This proof is very similar to that of Theorem 4.3. in [10] (inspired by [30]). Thus we only sketch the proof, and refer to [10] for the details. We construct iteratively the *spanning tree* G^s , whose set of edges is the set of all integrated edges³ $\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}^f$. Its edges are (for the moment) unoriented, so we write them under the form $\{v, v'\}$. The construction algorithm is as follows: first, at Step 0, add all upper pairing edges $\{\{v_{-1, \{i,j\}}, v_{0,i}\}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2+2n}$ to the spanning tree under construction $G^{s,0}$. Then, at Steps 1 to $2n$ consider the interaction vertices one by one, according to the integration order: first v_1 , then v_2 , etc. until v_{2n} .

³With a slight abuse of notation since the edges of G^s are unoriented




At the beginning of Step k , we have constructed $G^{s,k-1}$ and we reach v_k . We first we add the edge on the right below v_k , which is $\{v_r(v_k), v_k\}$. Next, we consider the edge on the left below v_k which is $\{v_l(v_k), v_k\}$: if adding it creates a loop in the spanning tree under construction then we do not add this edge and declare it to be a free interaction edge, if adding it does not create a loop then we add it to the spanning tree. With these additions the spanning tree under construction is renamed $G^{s,k}$ and we move on to the next vertex v_{k+1} and start Step $k+1$.

At the last Step $2n+1$, we add the edge on the right below the root vertex $\{v_{\text{top}}^r, v_R\}$ to the spanning tree, and we do not add the edge on its left $\{v_{\text{top}}^l, v_R\}$ that we declare to be a free edge. The graph obtained at this last Step is the spanning tree G^s .



The spanning tree is indeed a tree, since it has no loop by construction. A *path* in G^s is a sequence (v_1, \dots, v_k) of vertices such that $v_i \neq v_j$ for $i \neq j$, and that for each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ is an edge of G^s . Each vertex is then connected to the root vertex by a unique path.

We define an orientation for G^s as follows: an integrated edge $e = \{v, v'\}$ goes from v to v' if v' belongs to the path from v to the root vertex. This also defines a partial order: we say that $u \preceq w$ if w belongs to the path from u to the root vertex; in particular, $u \preceq u$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(u) = \{w, w \preceq u\}$ the set of vertices w such that u belongs to the path from w to the origin. An integrated edge e going from v to v' is a leaf of the spanning tree if $\mathcal{P}(v) = \emptyset$.



Frequencies of integrated edges are expressed in function of free frequencies. Given an edge $e = \{v, v'\}$, and v we define the parity of the edge with respect to the vertex v as:

$$\sigma_v(e) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } v' \text{ is above } v \text{ for the time ordering,} \\ -1 & \text{if } v' \text{ is below } v \text{ for the time ordering.} \end{cases}$$

Given a vertex v , $\mathcal{F}(v)$ denotes the set of free edges f that have one extremity at v . Given $e = \{v, v'\}$ an integrated edge going from v to v' , on the support of the Kirchhoff laws function Δ_G the formula for its associated frequency is then

$$k_e = -\sigma_v(e) \sum_{w \in \mathcal{P}(v), f \in \mathcal{F}(w)} \sigma_w(f) k_f. \quad (6.18)$$

To finish the proof of Proposition 6.4, we need to show that if e is an integrated edge, then it is only a linear combination of the slow free frequencies η and of the interaction free frequencies ξ_i^f appearing after e for the integration order of G . Assume $f = \{v', v\}$ is a free edge, with v' below v for the time ordering. This means that during the construction of the spanning tree, at the step where the vertex v is considered, f is not added as this would create a loop in the spanning tree in construction. At that step, all edges in the spanning tree are before f for the integration ordering. Hence there exists a path in the spanning tree \tilde{p} from v to v' , and all its edges are before f for the time ordering. Also, there exist unique paths in the spanning tree p and p' going from v to the root and from v' to the root respectively. These paths intersect at a vertex v_0 . By their uniqueness, v_0 has to belong to \tilde{p} . Consider now the formula above: k_f can only appear in the integrated frequencies on the paths from v and v' to the root. Moreover, after the vertex v_0 , the two contributions from v and v' in this formula cancel. Hence k_f can only appear in the integrated frequencies on the path from v to v_0 , and in the integrated frequencies on the path from v' to v_0 . These belong to \tilde{p} hence are indeed before k_f for the time ordering. This also shows that $c_{i,e} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. \square

If an interaction vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$ is such that $(v_l(v), v)$ is a free edge, then we say that v is a *degree one vertex*. If not, we say that v is a *degree zero vertex*. The sets of degree zero and degree one vertices are denoted by \mathcal{V}^1 and \mathcal{V}^0 respectively.

Let then n_0 and n_1 denote the number of degree 0 and 1 vertices respectively. On the one hand, the total number of interaction vertices is $2n$, so that

$$n_0 + n_1 = 2n;$$

and on the other hand, the total number of interaction free variables apart from ξ_{n+1}^f is n , so that $n_1 = n$. Therefore,

$$n_0 = n_1 = n. \quad (6.19)$$

Let v be a degree one vertex. We say that it is *linear* if the two vertices below it have opposite parity: $\sigma(v_l(v))\sigma(v_r(v)) = -1$, and that it is *quadratic* if they have the same parity $\sigma(v_l(v))\sigma(v_r(v)) = +1$. The sets of degree one linear vertices and degree one quadratic vertices are denoted by \mathcal{V}_l^1 and \mathcal{V}_q^0 respectively.

Lemma 6.5 (Degree one linear and quadratic vertices). *Assume v is a degree one vertex, with associated free frequency ξ^f , and denote by $\tilde{\xi} = \xi_{(v, v_a(v))}$ the frequency of the edge above it.*

- If v is linear, then:

$$\Omega_v = \sigma(\xi^f)\tilde{\xi}\xi^f + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(\tilde{\xi}) + \sigma(\xi^f))|\tilde{\xi}|^2 & \text{if } \omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}, \\ \sigma(\tilde{\xi})\epsilon^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(\tilde{\xi}) + \sigma(\xi^f))|\tilde{\xi}|^2 & \text{if } \omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2} + \epsilon^{-2} \end{cases} \quad (6.20)$$

- If v is quadratic, then:

$$\Omega_v = -\sigma(\xi^f)\xi^f(\xi^f + \tilde{\xi}) + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(\tilde{\xi}) - \sigma(\xi^f))|\tilde{\xi}|^2 & \text{if } \omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}, \\ (\sigma(\tilde{\xi}) - 2\sigma(\xi^f))\epsilon^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(\tilde{\xi}) - \sigma(\xi^f))|\tilde{\xi}|^2 & \text{if } \omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2} + \epsilon^{-2} \end{cases} \quad (6.21)$$

- Moreover, in the two formulas above, $\tilde{\xi}$ only depends on the slow free variables $\underline{\eta}$ and on the interaction free variables ξ_i^f appearing strictly after ξ^f for the integration order.

Proof. For a degree one vertex, one has that $\xi_{(v_r(v), v)} = -\xi^f - \tilde{\xi}$ from the Kirchhoff law at v . If v is linear, then $\sigma(v_l(v)) = \sigma(\xi^f) = -\sigma(v_r(v))$ by definition and the formula (6.8) gives:

$$\Omega_v = \sigma(\xi^f)(\omega(-\tilde{\xi} - \xi^f) - \omega(\xi_{v_l(v)})) + \sigma(\tilde{\xi})\omega(\tilde{\xi}).$$

Plugging $\omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$ or $\omega(\xi) = \epsilon^{-2} + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$ in the above formula yields (6.20). If v is quadratic, then $\sigma(v_l(v)) = \sigma(\xi^f) = \sigma(v_r(v))$ by definition and the formula (6.8) gives:

$$\Omega_v = -\sigma(\xi^f)(\omega(\xi^f) + \omega(\tilde{\xi} + \xi^f)) + \sigma(\tilde{\xi})\omega(\tilde{\xi}).$$

Plugging $\omega(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$ or $\omega(\xi) = \epsilon^{-2} + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$ in the above formula yields (6.21). □

Proof of Proposition 6.1. For all $G \in \mathcal{G}_n^p$, we choose fix an arbitrary integration order $\mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R = \{v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1}\}$ and we define $\sigma_k = \sigma((v_l(v_k), v_k))$, $\tilde{\sigma}_k = \sigma((v_k, v_a(v_k)))$ and $\tilde{\xi}_k = \xi_{(v_k, v_a(v_k))}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2n+1$. Then Proposition 6.1 is a direct consequence of the formulas (6.14) and (6.16) which yields (6.2) upon applying Proposition 6.4 and Lemma 6.5. □

Finally, let us mention that if one applies the resolvent identity of Lemma 6.3 to (6.2), and we define $\sigma_G = \sigma_{G^l} + \sigma_{G^r}$, $n_m = m_m^l + n_m^r$ and $c_G = c_{G^l}c_{G^r}$ and simply write $c_k = c_{v_k} > 0$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t(G) = & \frac{(-1)^{\sigma_G} c_G}{(2\pi)^{n_m - \frac{d}{2}}} \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \int_{\underline{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{d(n+1)}} \int_{\underline{\xi}^f \in \mathbb{R}^{d(n+1)}} \int_{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_m}} \frac{d\underline{\xi}^f d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\alpha}}{M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i,j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j}))} \\ & \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{i}{\alpha_p + \frac{ic_p}{t}} \prod_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{i}{\alpha_{p(v_k)} - \sum_{\tilde{p} \triangleleft v_k} \alpha_{\tilde{p}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in p^+(v_k)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_k}{t}} \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

6.4.1. *Example.* The minimal spanning tree and the free variables $(\xi_1^f, \xi_2^f, \xi_3^f, \underline{\eta})$ for the example of a paired graph given in Subsubsection 6.3.2 is given in the proof of Proposition 6.4.

7. The belt counter example

We prove here Proposition 1.3. Throughout this section we study equation 1.1 with the Laplace dispersion relation and $m(\xi) = 1$:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = \lambda(u + \bar{u})^2, \\ u(t=0) = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

Before proceeding the proof, we describe the paired graph G^* , and give a formula for $\mathcal{F}_t(G^*)$. The graph G^* is made of a left subtree with unprimed variables, and of a right subtree with primed variables.


- The interaction vertices are $v_1, \dots, v_{2n}, v'_1, \dots, v'_{2n}$, and $v_{0,0}, \dots, v_{0,2n}, v'_{0,0}, \dots, v'_{0,2n}$ are the initial vertices. There is the root vertex v_R .
- The interaction and initial vertices are linked by the following edges. For $k = 1, \dots, n$ there is an edge (v_{2k-2}, v_{2k-1}) with parity -1 (with the convention that v_0 stands for $v_{0,0}$) and an edge $(v_{0,2k-1}, v_{2k-1})$ with parity $+1$, and (v'_{2k-2}, v'_{2k-1}) with parity $+1$ and $(v'_{0,2k-1}, v'_{2k-1})$ with parity -1 . There is (v_{2k-1}, v_{2k}) with parity $+1$, $(v_{0,2k}, v_{2k})$ with parity -1 , (v'_{2k-1}, v'_{2k}) with parity -1 and $(v'_{0,2k}, v'_{2k})$ with parity $+1$.

There are two edges $(v_{0,2n}, v_R)$ with parity -1 , and $(v'_{0,2n}, v_R)$ with parity $+1$.

- The pairing P is defined as follows: $v_{0,0}$ is paired with $v'_{0,0}$ with slow free variable $\tilde{\eta}$, and for $k = 1, \dots, n$, $v_{0,2k-1}$ is paired with $v_{0,2k}$ with variable η_k , and $v'_{0,2k-1}$ is paired with $v'_{0,2k}$ with variable η'_k .
- The resonance modulus at v_k is Ω_k (resp. at v'_k is Ω'_k). The time slice at v_k is s_{k-1} (resp. at v'_k is s'_{k-1}).

The integration order we choose is $(v'_1, \dots, v'_{2n}, v_1, \dots, v_{2n}, v_R)$. We apply Proposition 6.4 to determine the free variables. This corresponds to the following paired diagram:

The belt counterexample G^*



Note that the left subtree is equivalent to the right subtree with reversed parity signs (up to changing the display of the edges and vertices). Hence G^* is indeed a paired graph as defined in Subsection 6.3. We have chosen this representation for convenience.

Above, the free variables are indicated by dashed lines for the corresponding edges. However, in order to find a suitable formula for $\mathcal{F}_t(G^*)$, we change certain variables $(\tilde{\xi}, \xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_n, \eta'_1, \dots, \eta'_n) \mapsto$

$(\bar{\xi}, \hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n, \hat{\eta}_1, \dots, \hat{\eta}_n)$ where:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\eta}_k &= -\eta'_k, & \bar{\xi} &= -\tilde{\xi} + \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}, \\ \hat{\xi}_k &= \xi'_k + \tilde{\xi} - \eta_1 - \dots - \eta_n - \eta'_1 - \dots - \eta'_k - \tilde{\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

After a direct computation of Kirchhoff's laws, one finds the following values for the resonance moduli with respect to these new variables, for $k = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{2k-1} &= -\left(\bar{\xi} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \eta_1 - \dots - \eta_{k+1}\right) \cdot \xi_k, & \Omega_{2k} &= \left(\bar{\xi} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \eta_1 - \dots - \eta_k\right) \cdot \xi_k \\ \Omega'_{2k-1} &= \left(\bar{\xi} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \hat{\eta}_1 - \dots - \hat{\eta}_{k-1}\right) \cdot \hat{\xi}_k, & \Omega_{2k} &= -\left(\bar{\xi} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \hat{\eta}_1 - \dots - \hat{\eta}_k\right) \cdot \hat{\xi}_k,\end{aligned}$$

with the convention that $\eta_{-1} = \hat{\eta}_{-1} = 0$. The sum of cumulated resonance moduli is thus for $k = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=2k-1}^{2n} \Omega_i &= -\sum_{i=k}^n \xi_j \cdot \eta_j, & \sum_{i=2k}^{2n} \Omega_i &= \left(\bar{\xi} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \eta_1 - \dots - \eta_k\right) \cdot \xi_k - \sum_{i=k+1}^n \xi_j \cdot \eta_j, \\ \sum_{i=2k-1}^{2n} \Omega'_i &= \sum_{i=k}^n \hat{\xi}_j \cdot \hat{\eta}_j, & \sum_{i=2k}^{2n} \Omega'_i &= -\left(\bar{\xi} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \hat{\eta}_1 - \dots - \hat{\eta}_k\right) \cdot \hat{\xi}_k - 2 \sum_{i=k+1}^n \hat{\xi}_j \cdot \hat{\eta}_j.\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following notation to ease the computations:

$$\mathcal{S}_{n,t} = \left\{ (s_0, \dots, s_{2n-1}, s'_0, \dots, s'_{2n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} s_i \leq t \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} s'_i \leq t \right\}.$$

One thus ends up with the formula:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_t(G^*) &= 2\pi\lambda^{4n}\epsilon^{d(2n+1)} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{n,t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(4n+2)}} d\bar{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{s} d\underline{s}' d\delta \left(\tilde{\eta} + \sum_1^n \eta_i - \hat{\eta}_i \right) \\ &\quad \hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\tilde{\eta}, \epsilon\bar{\xi}) \prod_{k=1}^n \hat{W}_0^\epsilon \left(\eta_k, \epsilon \left(\bar{\xi} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} + \xi_k - \eta_1 - \dots - \eta_{k-1} - \frac{\eta_k}{2} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{k=1}^n \overline{\hat{W}_0^\epsilon} \left(\hat{\eta}_k, \epsilon \left(\bar{\xi} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} + \hat{\xi}_k - \hat{\eta}_1 - \dots - \hat{\eta}_{k-1} - \frac{\hat{\eta}_k}{2} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{k=1}^n e^{is_{2k-2} \sum_{i=k}^n \xi_i \cdot \eta_i} e^{-is_{2k-1} ((\bar{\xi} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \eta_1 - \dots - \eta_k) \cdot \xi_k - \sum_{i=k+1}^n \xi_i \cdot \eta_i)} \\ &\quad \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-is'_{2k-2} \sum_{i=k}^n \hat{\xi}_i \cdot \hat{\eta}_i} e^{-is'_{2k-1} ((\bar{\xi} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \hat{\eta}_1 - \dots - \hat{\eta}_k) \cdot \hat{\xi}_k + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \hat{\xi}_i \cdot \hat{\eta}_i)}\end{aligned}$$

We change variables again:

$$\xi_k = \frac{v_k}{\epsilon}, \quad \hat{\xi}_k = \frac{\hat{v}_k}{\epsilon},$$

and define:

$$w_k = \bar{\xi} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \eta_1 - \dots - \eta_{k-1} - \frac{\eta_k}{2}, \quad \omega_k = \left(\eta_k \sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} s_i - (\bar{\xi} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \eta_1 - \dots - \eta_k) s_{2k-1} \right), \quad (7.2)$$

$$\hat{w}_k = \bar{\xi} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \hat{\eta}_1 - \dots - \hat{\eta}_{k-1} - \frac{\hat{\eta}_k}{2}, \quad \hat{\omega}_k = \left(\hat{\eta}_k \sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} s'_i - (\bar{\xi} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} - \hat{\eta}_1 - \dots - \hat{\eta}_k) s_{2k-1} \right). \quad (7.3)$$

This gives the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t(G^*) &= 2\pi\lambda^{4n}\epsilon^d \int_{\mathcal{S}_{n,t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(4n+2)}} d\bar{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} d\underline{v} d\underline{\hat{v}} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\hat{\eta}} d\underline{s} d\underline{s}' \delta \left(\tilde{\eta} + \sum_1^n \eta_i - \hat{\eta}_i \right) \\ &\quad \hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\tilde{\eta}, \epsilon\bar{\xi}) \prod_{k=1}^n \hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_k, v_k + \epsilon w_k) e^{iv_k \cdot \frac{\omega_k}{\epsilon}} \prod_{k=1}^n \overline{\hat{W}_0^\epsilon}(\eta_k, \hat{v}_k + \epsilon \hat{w}_k) e^{-i\hat{v}_k \cdot \frac{\hat{\omega}_k}{\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the inverse Fourier transform:

$$\varphi(\eta, \zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta, v) e^{iv \cdot \zeta} dv,$$

which, after integration over the variables $v_1, \dots, v_n, \hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_n$, transforms the expression into:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t(G^*) &= 2\pi\lambda^{4n}\epsilon^d \int_{\mathcal{S}_{n,t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(2n+2)}} d\bar{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} d\underline{v} d\underline{\hat{v}} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\hat{\eta}} d\underline{s} d\underline{s}' \delta \left(\tilde{\eta} + \sum_1^n \eta_i - \hat{\eta}_i \right) \\ &\quad \hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\tilde{\eta}, \epsilon\bar{\xi}) \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi\left(\eta_k, \frac{\omega_k}{\epsilon}\right) e^{-i\omega_k \cdot w_k} \overline{\varphi}\left(\hat{\eta}_k, \frac{\hat{\omega}_k}{\epsilon}\right) e^{i\hat{\omega}_k \cdot \hat{w}_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

With the formula (7.4) at hand we can prove Proposition 1.3.

Proof of Proposition 1.3. We now choose n^* as:

$$n^* = 10 \lceil \frac{d}{\kappa} \rceil. \quad (7.5)$$

and decompose the domain in the integral (7.4) above in different subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \left\{ (\underline{s}, \underline{s}', \bar{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\eta}') \in \mathcal{S}_{n,t} \times \mathbb{R}^{d(2n+2)}, \quad |\tilde{\eta}| + \sup_{k=1, \dots, 2n} |\eta_k| + |\eta'_k| \leq \epsilon^{-\frac{\kappa}{10}} \right\}, \\ D_1 &= \left\{ (\underline{s}, \underline{s}', \bar{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\eta}') \in D, \quad |\bar{\xi}| \leq \epsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{10}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \\ D_2 &= \left\{ (\underline{s}, \underline{s}', \bar{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\eta}') \in D, \quad |\bar{\xi}| > \epsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{10}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{k=1, \dots, n} s_{2k-1} + \sup_{k=1, \dots, n} s'_{2k-1} \leq \epsilon^{1-\frac{\kappa}{10}} |\bar{\xi}|^{-1} \right\}, \\ D_3 &= \left\{ (\underline{s}, \underline{s}', \bar{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\eta}') \in D, \quad |\bar{\xi}| > \epsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{10}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{k=1, \dots, n} s_{2k-1} + \sup_{k=1, \dots, n} s'_{2k-1} > \epsilon^{1-\frac{\kappa}{10}} |\bar{\xi}|^{-1} \right\}, \\ D' &= \left\{ (\underline{s}, \underline{s}', \bar{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\eta}') \in \left(\mathcal{S}_{n,t} \times \mathbb{R}^{d(2n+2)} \right) \setminus D \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that:

$$\mathcal{F}_t(G^*) = 2\pi\lambda^{4n}\epsilon^d \left(\int_{D'} \dots + \int_{D_1} \dots + \int_{D_2} \dots + \int_{D_3} \dots \right) \quad (7.6)$$

Step 1 Subleading terms. In this step we estimate the D' , D_2 and D_3 contributions with the sole assumption on a that it is any Schwartz function. Note that with this hypothesis and (3.1), \hat{W}_0^ϵ

and φ are Schwartz functions and that any seminorm of the Schwartz space of these functions is uniformly bounded in the range $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. In particular we bound the integrand in (7.4) by:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\tilde{\eta}, \epsilon \bar{\xi}) \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi\left(\eta_k, \frac{\omega_k}{\epsilon}\right) e^{-i\omega_k \cdot w_k} \bar{\varphi}\left(\hat{\eta}_k, \frac{\hat{\omega}_k}{\epsilon}\right) e^{i\hat{\omega}_k \cdot \hat{w}_k} \right| \\ & \leq \langle \tilde{\eta} \rangle^{-K} \langle \epsilon \bar{\xi} \rangle^{-K} \prod_{k=1}^n \langle \eta_k \rangle^{-K} \left\langle \frac{\omega_k}{\epsilon} \right\rangle^{-K} \langle \hat{\eta}_k \rangle^{-K} \left\langle \frac{\hat{\omega}_k}{\epsilon} \right\rangle^{-K} \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

for any choice of a large constant $K > 0$.

For the contribution of D' , we further decompose $D' = \tilde{D}' \cup D'_1 \cup \dots \cup D'_n \cup D''_1 \cup \dots \cup D''_n$ where

$$\tilde{D}' = D' \cap \{|\tilde{\eta}| > \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-\frac{\kappa}{10}}\}, \quad D'_k = D' \cap \{|\eta_k| > \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-\frac{\kappa}{10}}\}, \quad D''_k = D' \cap \{|\eta'_k| > \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-\frac{\kappa}{10}}\}$$

On \tilde{D}' , there holds that:

$$\int_{|\tilde{\eta}| \geq \epsilon^{-\frac{\kappa}{10}/4}} \delta\left(\tilde{\eta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i - \hat{\eta}_i\right) \langle \tilde{\eta} \rangle^{-K} d\tilde{\eta} \leq C(\kappa, K) \epsilon^{\frac{K\kappa}{10}}.$$

Therefore from (7.4) and (7.7), after integration first over $\tilde{\eta}$, then over $\bar{\xi}, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n, \tilde{\eta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\eta}_n$ which produces a $\epsilon^{C(d,n)}$ factor, and finally over $s_0, \dots, s_{2n-1}, s'_0, \dots, s'_{2n-1}$ which produces a t^{4n} factor:

$$\left| \int_{\tilde{D}'} \dots \right| \lesssim \lambda^{4n} \epsilon^{d+\frac{K\kappa}{10}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{n,t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(2n+1)}} d\bar{\xi} d\eta d\hat{\eta} d\underline{s} d\underline{s}' \langle \epsilon \bar{\xi} \rangle^{-K} \prod_{k=1}^n \langle \eta_k \rangle^{-K} \langle \hat{\eta}_k \rangle^{-K} \lesssim \lambda^{4n} t^{4n} \epsilon^{K'}$$

for any $K' > 0$, up to choosing K large enough. The integrals over D'_k and D''_k for $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ are estimated similarly, resulting in:

$$\left| \int_{D'} \dots \right| \lesssim (\lambda t)^{4n} \epsilon^K. \quad (7.8)$$

To estimate the contribution from D_2 we define for $R > 0$ the set:

$$\mathcal{S}_{n,t,R} := \left\{ (\underline{s}, \underline{s}') \in \mathcal{S}_{n,t}, \quad \sup_{k=1,\dots,n} s_{2k-1} + \sup_{k=1,\dots,n} s'_{2k-1} \leq \epsilon^{1-\frac{\kappa}{10}} R^{-1} \right\}.$$

We then perform the following estimate, integrating first over the $\tilde{\eta}, \eta, \eta'$ variables using (7.7) and the definition of D_2 , and then the constraints $|s_{2k-1}|, |s'_{2k-1}| \lesssim \epsilon^{1-\frac{\kappa}{10}} |\bar{\xi}|^{-1}$ and $|s_{2k}|, |s'_{2k}| \lesssim t$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{D_2} \dots \right| & \lesssim \lambda^{4n} \epsilon^d \int_{D_2} d\bar{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} d\eta d\hat{\eta} d\underline{s} d\underline{s}' \delta\left(\tilde{\eta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i - \hat{\eta}_i\right) \langle \tilde{\eta} \rangle^{-K} \prod_{k=1}^n \langle \eta_k \rangle^{-K} \langle \hat{\eta}_k \rangle^{-K} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{4n} \epsilon^d \int_{|\bar{\xi}| > \epsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{10}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}} d\bar{\xi} \int_{(\underline{s}, \underline{s}') \in \mathcal{S}_{n,t,|\bar{\xi}|}} d\underline{s} d\underline{s}' \lesssim \lambda^{4n} \epsilon^d \epsilon^{(1-\frac{\kappa}{10})2n} t^{2n} \int_{|\bar{\xi}| > \epsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{10}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{d\bar{\xi}}{|\bar{\xi}|^{2n}} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{4n} t^{4n} \left(\epsilon^{d+2n-\frac{\kappa}{10}(4n-d)} t^{-n-\frac{d}{2}} \right) \lesssim \lambda^{4n} t^{4n} \epsilon^{3d} \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

where we used (1.9) and (7.5) for the last line.

We now turn to D_3 , that we decompose as $D_3 = D_{3,1} \cup \dots \cup D_{3,n} \cup D'_{3,1} \cup \dots \cup D'_{3,n}$ where $D_{3,k} = D_3 \cap \{2s_{2k-1} > \epsilon^{1-\frac{\kappa}{10}} |\bar{\xi}|^{-1}\}$ and $D'_{3,k} = D_3 \cap \{2s'_{2k-1} > \epsilon^{1-\frac{\kappa}{10}} |\bar{\xi}|^{-1}\}$. On $D_{3,1}$ there holds using (7.2), and the inequalities $2s'_{2k-1} > \epsilon^{1-\frac{\kappa}{10}} |\bar{\xi}|^{-1}$, $|\eta_i| \leq \epsilon^{-\kappa/10}$, $2t > s_{2i}$ and (1.9):

$$\left| \frac{\omega_1}{\epsilon} \right| \geq \epsilon^{-1} \left(|\bar{\xi} s_{2k-1}| - |\eta_k| \sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} s_i + \left(\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} + \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_k \right) s_{2k} \right) \geq \frac{\epsilon^{-\frac{\kappa}{10}}}{2} - C \epsilon^{\frac{9}{10}\kappa} \geq \frac{\epsilon^{-\frac{\kappa}{10}}}{4}$$

for ϵ small enough. This implies that $\langle \frac{\omega_1}{\epsilon} \rangle^{-K} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{\kappa K}{10}}$. We inject this bound in (7.7) and estimate the $D_{3,1}$ contribution as:

$$\left| \int_{D_{3,1}} \dots \right| \lesssim \lambda^{4n} \epsilon^{\frac{\kappa K}{10} + d} \int_{D_{3,1}} d\bar{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} d\eta d\hat{\eta} d\underline{s} d\underline{s}' \delta \left(\tilde{\eta} + \sum_1^n \eta_i - \hat{\eta}_i \right) \lesssim \lambda^{4n} t^{4n} \epsilon^{K'}$$

for any $K' > 0$, for K large enough. The other contributions of $D_{3,2}, \dots, D_{3,n}, D'_{3,1}, \dots, D'_{3,n}$ can be estimated similarly, resulting in:

$$\left| \int_{D_3} \dots \right| \lesssim \lambda^{4n} t^{4n} \epsilon^{d+K'}. \quad (7.10)$$

Step 2 Leading term. We now choose a of the following factorised form:

$$a(x, v) = \chi(x) \chi'(v)$$

for two non zero Schwartz functions χ and χ' such that:

$$\chi(z) \geq 0, \quad \hat{\chi}(z) \geq 0, \quad \chi'(z) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}(\chi'^2)(z) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The formula (3.1) and the above nonnegativity properties imply that for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta, v) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\eta, \omega) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta, v, \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (7.11)$$

We then perform a first order Taylor expansion estimate on D_1 :

$$e^{i\omega_k \cdot w_k} = 1 + O(|\omega_k \cdot w_k|) = 1 + O(\epsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{5}}), \quad e^{i\hat{\omega}_k \cdot \hat{w}_k} = 1 + O(\epsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{5}}) \quad (7.12)$$

where we used that $s_i \leq t$, $|\bar{\xi}| \leq \epsilon^{\kappa/10} t^{-1/2}$ and $|\eta_i| \leq \epsilon^{-\kappa/10}$ for the first inequality above, and where the second inequality is obtained similarly. The following identity then follows from (7.11) and (7.12):

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \lambda^{4n} \epsilon^d \int_{D_1} \dots &= 2\pi \left(1 + O(\epsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{5}}) \right) \lambda^{4n} \epsilon^d \int_{D_1} d\bar{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} d\eta d\hat{\eta} d\underline{s} d\underline{s}' \delta \left(\tilde{\eta} + \sum_1^n \eta_i - \hat{\eta}_i \right) \\ &\quad \hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\tilde{\eta}, \epsilon \bar{\xi}) \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi\left(\eta_k, \frac{\omega_k}{\epsilon}\right) \bar{\varphi}\left(\hat{\eta}_k, \frac{\hat{\omega}_k}{\epsilon}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

We define the following sets:

$$\tilde{D}_1 = D_1 \cap \left\{ |\bar{\xi}| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{t} \right\}, \quad \overline{D}_1 = D_1 \cap \left\{ |\bar{\xi}| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} s_{2k-1} + s'_{2k-1} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{|\bar{\xi}|} \right\}.$$

On \tilde{D}_1 there holds from (1.9) and $|\eta_i|, |\hat{\eta}_i| \lesssim \epsilon^{-\kappa/10}$ (where $|\mathcal{S}_{n,t}|$ is the Lebesgue measure of $|\mathcal{S}_{n,t}|$):

$$|\epsilon \bar{\xi}| \leq \epsilon^\kappa, \quad \left| \frac{\omega_k}{\epsilon} \right|, \left| \frac{\hat{\omega}_k}{\epsilon} \right| \lesssim 1, \quad |\mathcal{S}_{n,t}| \approx t^{4n},$$

so that using the nonnegativity (7.11) and the fact that $W = a^2 + O(\epsilon)$ in the Schwartz space:

$$\begin{aligned} c \left(\frac{\epsilon}{t} \right)^d t^{4n} &\leq \int_{\tilde{D}_1} d\bar{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} d\eta d\hat{\eta} d\underline{s} d\underline{s}' \delta \left(\tilde{\eta} + \sum_1^n \eta_i - \hat{\eta}_i \right) \hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\tilde{\eta}, \epsilon \bar{\xi}) \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi\left(\eta_k, \frac{\omega_k}{\epsilon}\right) \bar{\varphi}\left(\hat{\eta}_k, \frac{\hat{\omega}_k}{\epsilon}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{t} \right)^d t^{4n} \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

for some $c > 0$. On \overline{D}_1 we change variables $(s_0, \dots, s_{2n-1}, s'_0, \dots, s'_{2n-1}) \mapsto (\tilde{s}_0, \dots, \tilde{s}_{2n-1}, \tilde{s}'_0, \dots, \tilde{s}'_{2n-1})$ where:

$$s_{2k-1} = \frac{\epsilon}{|\bar{\xi}|} \tilde{s}_{2k}, \quad s_{2k} = t \tilde{s}_{2k}, \quad s'_{2k-1} = \frac{\epsilon}{|\bar{\xi}|} \tilde{s}'_{2k}, \quad \text{and} \quad s'_{2k} = t \tilde{s}'_{2k}.$$

The set $\mathcal{S}_{n,t}$ is changed into:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{n,t} = \left\{ (\tilde{s}_0, \dots, \tilde{s}_{n-1}, \tilde{s}'_0, \dots, \tilde{s}'_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n, \quad \sum_0^n \tilde{s}_{2i} + \frac{\epsilon}{|\bar{\xi}|t} \tilde{s}_{2i-1} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_0^n \tilde{s}'_{2i} + \frac{\epsilon}{|\bar{\xi}|t} \tilde{s}'_{2i-1} \leq t \right\}.$$

On \bar{D}_1 there holds $|\eta_i|, |\hat{\eta}_i| \leq \epsilon^{-\kappa/10}$, hence since $t \leq \epsilon^{1+\kappa}$ in these new variables from (7.2):

$$\frac{\omega_k}{\epsilon} = -\frac{\bar{\xi}}{|\bar{\xi}|} \tilde{s}_{2k-1} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \frac{\hat{\omega}_k}{\epsilon} = -\frac{\bar{\xi}}{|\bar{\xi}|} \tilde{s}'_{2k-1} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Hence, applying (7.7) and the above change of variables one finds:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \int_{\bar{D}_1} \dots &\leq t^{2n} \int_{|\bar{\xi}| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(2n+1)}} \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{t,n}} d\bar{\xi} d\tilde{\eta} d\eta d\hat{\eta} d\tilde{s} d\tilde{s}' d\delta \left(\tilde{\eta} + \sum_1^n \eta_i - \hat{\eta}_i \right) \\ &\quad \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|\bar{\xi}|} \right)^{2n} \langle \tilde{\eta} \rangle^{-K} \langle \epsilon \bar{\xi} \rangle^{-K} \prod_1^n \langle \eta_k \rangle^{-K} \langle \tilde{s}_{2k-1} \rangle^{-K} \langle \hat{\eta}_k \rangle^{-K} \langle \tilde{s}'_{2k-1} \rangle^{-K} \\ &\lesssim t^{2n} \epsilon^{2n} \int_{|\bar{\xi}| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{t}} |\bar{\xi}|^{-2n} d\bar{\xi} \lesssim \left(\frac{\epsilon}{t} \right)^{d_t 4n}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

where the lower bound is a consequence of the nonnegativity (7.11). Therefore, injecting (7.14) and (7.15) in (7.13), the contribution of the D_1 part in $\mathcal{F}_t(G^*)$ is, for some constant $c > 0$:

$$c \lambda^{4n} t^{4n} \epsilon^{2d} t^{-d} \leq 2\pi \lambda^{4n} \epsilon^d \int_{D_1} \dots \leq \frac{1}{c} \lambda^{4n} t^{4n} \epsilon^{2d} t^{-d}. \quad (7.16)$$

Step 3 Conclusion. We inject the bounds (7.16), (7.8), (7.9) and (7.10) in the decomposition (7.6), this establishes the desired formula (1.10) upon choosing K' large enough. \square

8. Estimates on the expansion

The aim of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 8.1. *The iterates u^n , defined through (1.5), satisfy the bounds*

- For equation (1.1) with $\omega_0 = \epsilon^{-2}$ or $\omega_0 = 0$ and $m(0) = 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \|u^n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \begin{cases} (\lambda t)^{2n} & \text{if } |t| \leq \epsilon^2, \\ \left(\frac{t}{T_{kin}} \right)^n |\log \epsilon|^{2(n+1)} & \text{if } |t| \geq \epsilon^2, \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

$$\left\| \chi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) u^n(t) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon}^{s,b}} \leq \epsilon^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{t}{T_{kin}} \right)^n \quad \text{for } T \geq \epsilon^2. \quad (8.2)$$

- For equation (1.1) with $\omega_0 = 0$ and $m(0) \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^n(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (\lambda^2 \epsilon t)^{n/2} \\ \left\| \chi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) u^n(t) \right\|_{X_{\epsilon}^{s,b}} &\lesssim (\lambda^2 \epsilon T)^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

8.1. The L^2 estimate. Let $K, K', \delta > 0$ to be fixed later and $B^m(r)$ denote the Euclidean ball of radius r in dimension m , and $B_0^m(r) = B^m(r) \cap \mathbb{R}_0^m$. Given $v \in \mathcal{V}_l^1$ we define four sets which distinguish whether v is degenerate or not (as defined in the Definition below), and, if not, which type of degeneracy happens at v . In the case where $v \in \mathcal{V}^j$ is a junction vertex we set:

$$\begin{aligned} S_v^1 &= \{(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1}), |\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \sum_{v \triangleright \mathcal{P}'} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}'} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}| > \delta\epsilon^{-2}\} \\ S_v^2 &= \{(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1}), |\xi_{(v, v_a(v))}| > \delta\epsilon^{-2}\} \\ S_v^3 &= \{(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1}), |\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v)}| > \delta\epsilon^{-2}\}, \\ S_v^4 &= B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1}) \setminus (\cup_{i=1,2,3} S_v^i). \end{aligned}$$

In the case where $v \notin \mathcal{V}^j$ is not a junction vertex, then we define S_v^1 and S_v^2 as above, we set $S_v^3 = \emptyset$, and $S_v^4 = B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1}) \setminus (\cup_{i=1,2} S_v^i)$.

Definition 8.2. Let $\delta > 0$. Given a set $S \subset B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1})$, we say that a degree one linear vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}^1$ is degenerate on S if for all $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in S$ the following three conditions are met simultaneously:

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \sum_{v \triangleright \mathcal{P}'} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}'} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}| &\leq \delta\epsilon^{-2}, \\ |\xi_{(v, v_a(v))}| &\leq \delta\epsilon^{-2}, \\ \text{if } v \in \mathcal{V}^j \text{ is a junction vertex then } |\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v)}| &\leq \delta\epsilon^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this is equivalent to say that v is degenerate on S if $S \subset S_v^4$. We say that a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R$ is nondegenerate on S if either $v \in \mathcal{V}_R$, or v is a degree zero or a degree one quadratic vertex, or if v is a degree one linear vertex such that for each $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in S$ at least one of the three conditions above fail.

We will partition the domain of integration in (6.2) according to the non-degeneracy/degeneracy of each vertex. For this aim, given a function $\beta : \mathcal{V}_l^1 \mapsto \{1, 2, 3, 4\}^{\#\mathcal{V}_l^1}$ we define:

$$S_\beta = \cap_{v \in \mathcal{V}_l^1} S_v^{\beta(v)}.$$

Note that any vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}_l^1$ is either degenerate (if $\beta(v) = 4$) or nondegenerate (if $\beta(v) = 1, 2, 3$) on such a set S_β . Note also that $B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-2}) = \cup_\beta S_\beta$. Degenerate degree one linear vertices have implications for the vertices above them, as stated below.

Lemma 8.3. Assume that $\omega(\xi) = \epsilon^{-2} + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$ and $K, K' > 0$, then for $\delta(K) > 0$ small enough the following holds true. Given any set $S \subset B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1})$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}_l^1$ a degenerate degree one linear vertex on S , then:

(i) If v is at the left of the vertex above it ($v = v_l(v_a(v))$) then at $v_a(v)$, for all $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in S$:

$$|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_a(v))} - \sum_{v_a(v) \triangleright \mathcal{P}'} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}'} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v_a(v))} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}| \geq \frac{\epsilon^{-2}}{2} \quad (8.3)$$

(ii) If v is at the right of the interaction vertex above it ($v = v_r(v_a(v))$) and $v_a(v) \in \mathcal{V}_i$ then by definition $v_a(v) \in \mathcal{V}^j$ is a junction vertex with $\mathcal{P}_j(v_a(v)) = \mathcal{P}(v)$, and one has for all $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in S$:

$$|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_a(v))}| \geq \frac{\epsilon^{-2}}{2}. \quad (8.4)$$

(iii) If $v \in \{v_{top}^l, v_{top}^r\}$ is one of the top vertices then one has for all $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in S$:

$$|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)}| \geq \frac{\epsilon^{-2}}{2}. \quad (8.5)$$

Remark 8.4. The above Lemma implies in particular that if v is a degree one linear vertex that is degenerate on S , then the vertex above it $v_a(v)$ is nondegenerate on S . In particular, given any partition function $\beta : \mathcal{V}_l^1 \mapsto \{1, 2, 3, 4\}^{\#\mathcal{V}_l^1}$, if for some $v \in \mathcal{V}_l^1$ one has $v_a(v) \in \mathcal{V}_l^1$ then if β requires degeneracy at both vertices, i.e. $\beta(v) = \beta(v_a(v)) = 4$, then $S_\beta = \emptyset$ is empty.

Proof. Let $v' = v_a(v)$. Since v is degenerate, (6.20) implies that:

$$|\Omega_v| = |\epsilon^{-2} + \sigma(\tilde{\xi})\sigma(\xi^f)2\tilde{\xi}.\xi^f + (1 + \sigma(\tilde{\xi})\sigma(\xi^f))|\tilde{\xi}|^2| \geq \epsilon^{-2} - 2K\delta\epsilon^{-2} - 2\delta^2\epsilon^{-2} \geq \frac{3\epsilon^{-2}}{4} \quad (8.6)$$

for δ small enough. We now define four cases A, B, C and D. In case A one has $v = v_l(v')$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}^j$ is a junction vertex. In case B one has $v = v_l(v')$ and $v \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. Cases A and B cover (i) in the Lemma. In case C one has either $v = v_r(v')$ or $v \in \{v_{top}^l, v_{top}^r\}$, and $v \in \mathcal{V}^j$. In case D one has either $v = v_r(v')$ or $v \in \{v_{top}^l, v_{top}^r\}$, and $v \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. Cases C and D cover (ii) and (iii) in the Lemma.

By definition, we have in case A that $\mathcal{P}(v) = \mathcal{P}(v')$ and $\{\mathcal{P}', v \triangleright \mathcal{P}'\} = \{\mathcal{P}', v' \triangleright \mathcal{P}'\} \cup \mathcal{P}_j(v)$, in case B that $\mathcal{P}(v) = \mathcal{P}(v')$ and $\{\mathcal{P}', v \triangleright \mathcal{P}'\} = \{\mathcal{P}', v' \triangleright \mathcal{P}'\}$, in case C that $\{\mathcal{P}', v \triangleright \mathcal{P}'\} = \{\mathcal{P}_j(v)\}$, and in case D that $\{\mathcal{P}', v \triangleright \mathcal{P}'\} = \emptyset$.

For (i) we have therefore that:

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v')} - \sum_{v' \triangleright \mathcal{P}'} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}'} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v')} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} = \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \sum_{v \triangleright \mathcal{P}'} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}'} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} - \begin{cases} \Omega_v + \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v)} & \text{for case A,} \\ \Omega_v & \text{for case B.} \end{cases}$$

which using (8.6) and the degeneracy of v produces for $\delta > 0$ small enough:

$$|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v')} - \sum_{v' \triangleright \mathcal{P}'} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}'} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v')} \Omega_{\tilde{v}}| \geq \frac{3\epsilon^{-2}}{4} - 2\delta\epsilon^{-2} \geq \frac{\epsilon^{-2}}{2}$$

and proves the Lemma in this case. For (ii) we have therefore that:

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \sum_{v \triangleright \mathcal{P}'} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}'} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} = \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \begin{cases} \Omega_v + \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v)} & \text{for case C,} \\ \Omega_v & \text{for case D.} \end{cases}$$

which using (8.6) and the degeneracy of v produces for $\delta > 0$ small enough:

$$|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)}| \geq \frac{3\epsilon^{-2}}{4} - 2\delta\epsilon^{-2} \geq \frac{\epsilon^{-2}}{2}$$

and proves the Lemma in this case as well as $\mathcal{P}(v) = \mathcal{P}_j(v_a(v))$. □

We will study carefully degenerate degree one linear vertices by including them in larger clusters.

Definition 8.5. Given a set $S \subset B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1})$, we say that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R$ is a degenerate cluster on S if:

- either $\mathcal{C} = \{v, v'\}$ with v being at the bottom left of v' , i.e. $v = v_l(v')$, and is such that $v \in \mathcal{V}_l^1$ is degenerate on S , and v' is nondegenerate on S (type I).
- or $\mathcal{C} = \{v, v'\}$ with v being at the bottom right of v' , i.e. $v = v_r(v')$, and is such that $v \in \mathcal{V}_l^1$ is degenerate on S , and v' is nondegenerate on S (type II).
- or $\mathcal{C} = \{v, v', v''\}$ with v and v' being at the bottom left and right of v'' , i.e. $v = v_r(v'')$ and $v' = v_r(v'')$, and is such that $v, v' \in \mathcal{V}_l^1$ are degenerate on S , and v'' is nondegenerate on S (type III).

The Lemma below states that given any set S_β in the partition of the domain of integration, one can always decompose the graph as a disjoint union of degenerate clusters and of a set of vertices that are all nondegenerate.

Lemma 8.6 (Decomposition into nondegenerate vertices and degenerate clusters). *For any set of the form S_β , there exists $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{n_d(G,\beta)}$ disjoint degenerate clusters on S_β such that:*

$$\mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R = \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \sqcup \mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathcal{C}_{n_d(G,\beta)}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ only contains non-degenerate vertices on S_β .

Proof. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}_i^1$ be degenerate on S_β , and let \tilde{v} be the other vertex that is below $v_a(v)$. If \tilde{v} is nondegenerate, we define the degenerate cluster \mathcal{C}_v as $\mathcal{C}_v = \{v, v_a(v)\}$. If \tilde{v} is degenerate, we define the degenerate cluster $\mathcal{C}_v = \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{v}}$ as $\mathcal{C}_v = \{v, \tilde{v}, v_a(v)\}$.

From Remark 8.4, \mathcal{C}_v is indeed a degenerate cluster on S_β as $v_a(v)$ is non-degenerate on S_β . Next, we remark that since, in each degenerate cluster, the vertex above is nondegenerate, then the degenerate clusters that we have defined in the paragraph above are disjoint. We order them as $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{n_d(G,S)}$, and by definition the remaining vertices in $(\mathcal{V}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_R) \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^{n_d(G,\beta)} \mathcal{C}_j)$ are all nondegenerate. □

We can now conclude the proof of Proposition 8.1.

Proof of (8.1) in Proposition 8.1. Step 1 Preliminary reduction. We only prove the result for $t > 0$, as the computation for $t < 0$ is the same from (6.7). From Proposition 6.1, it is enough to prove that given any $G \in \mathcal{G}_n^p$ and $t \geq 0$ there holds:

$$|\mathcal{F}_t(G)| \leq C \begin{cases} (\lambda t)^{2n} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \epsilon^2, \\ (\frac{t}{T_{kin}})^n |\log \epsilon|^{2(n+1)} & \text{if } \epsilon^2 \leq t \end{cases} \quad (8.7)$$

where $C = C(n) > 0$. We now fix G and t . We first prove the result for $0 \leq t \leq \epsilon^2$. Bounding all oscillatory phases and M in (6.16) by 1, and then applying Lemma 6.4 we obtain:

$$|\mathcal{F}_t(G)| \lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{d(n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}_0^{d(n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{2n}} d\xi^f d\eta d\underline{s} \Delta_t(\underline{s}) \prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} |\widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i,j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j}))|. \quad (8.8)$$

Note that, from (3.1), $K_0 = \text{diam}(\widehat{W}_0^\epsilon)$ is bounded uniformly for $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. Hence, in (6.2) the product $\prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i,j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j}))$ is 0 unless $|\eta_{i,j}| \leq K_0$ and $|\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j}| \leq 2\epsilon^{-1}K_0$ for all $\{i,j\} \in P$. Recalling that $\eta_{i,j} = \xi_{0,i} + \xi_{0,j}$ and that $\sigma_{0,i}\sigma_{0,j} = -1$, this implies that $|\xi_{0,i}| \leq 2K_0\epsilon^{-1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 2n+2$. Let now ξ^f be a free variable, associated to an edge (v, v') where v is below v' . Then, integrating the Kirchhoff laws in G from initial vertices up to v , we see that $\xi^f = \sum_{v_{0,i} \in \mathcal{V}_0, v_{0,i} \leq v} \xi_{0,i}$. Hence $|\xi^f| \leq 2(n+1)K_0\epsilon^{-1} = K\epsilon^{-1}$ as there are at most $n+1$ vertices below v . Therefore, the integrand in (6.2) and in (8.8) is zero for $(\underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f)$ outside of $B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1})$.

In (8.8), integrating with respect to $\underline{\xi}^f$ produces a $\epsilon^{-d(n+1)}$ factor, over $\underline{\eta}$ a 1 factor, and over \underline{s} a t^{2n} factor, so we eventually arrive at:

$$|\mathcal{F}_t(G)| \lesssim (\lambda t)^{2n}.$$

We next prove the result for $t \geq \epsilon^2$. We first reduce the integral to $B^{n_m}(K'\epsilon^{-2}) \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1})$ for some $K(n, a)$ and $K'(n, a)$ independent of ϵ . We claim in this step that for any

$K' > 2$ large enough (8.11) can be upper bounded by:

$$|\mathcal{F}_t(G)| \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{K'}{4}} + \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{F}_{t,G,\beta}, \quad (8.9)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,G,\beta} = \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint_{(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in S_{\beta}} d\underline{\alpha} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\xi}^f M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\rho} + \frac{ic_{\rho}}{t}|} \prod_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|}, \quad (8.10)$$

and where we introduced, for convenience:

$$\Theta_k = \Theta_k(t, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) = \alpha_{\rho(v_k)} - \sum_{\tilde{\rho} \triangleleft v_k} \alpha_{\tilde{\rho}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \rho^+(v_k)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_k}{t}.$$

We now prove (8.10). Using (6.22), the above discussion on the restriction for $\underline{\xi}^f$ and $\underline{\eta}$ to $B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1})$ and putting absolute values we obtain:

$$|\mathcal{F}_t(G)| \lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint_{(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in \mathbb{R}^{nm} \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1})} d\underline{\alpha} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\xi}^f |\dots|. \quad (8.11)$$

Next, let for some $K' > 0$ to be fixed later and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_m$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S} &= \{(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in \mathbb{R}^{nm} \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1}), |\underline{\alpha}| \geq \epsilon^{-K'}\}, \\ \bar{S}_{\rho} &= \{(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in \mathbb{R}^{nm} \times B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1}), |\alpha_{\rho}| \geq \epsilon^{-K'} \text{ and } |\alpha_{\rho}| = \sup_{\rho'} |\alpha_{\rho'}|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\bar{S} \subset \cup_{\rho} \bar{S}_{\rho}$. Fix now $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_m$. There exists at least one $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$ such that $v \in \rho$. We decompose $\mathcal{P}_m = \{\rho\} \cup \mathcal{P}_m^1 \cup \mathcal{P}_m^2$ where $\mathcal{P}_m^1 = \{\rho' \in \mathcal{P}_m, v \triangleright \rho'\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_m^2 = \mathcal{P}_m \setminus \mathcal{P}_m^1 \setminus \{\rho\}$, and $n(v) = \#\mathcal{P}_m^1$. Then, for $(\underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in B_0^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K\epsilon^{-1})$, there holds $|\Omega_v| \lesssim C(K)\epsilon^{-2}$, and applying several times the inequality (B.6) yields for any $C > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{|\alpha_{\rho}| \geq \epsilon^{-K'}} \frac{\log^C |\alpha_{\rho}| d\alpha_{\rho}}{|\alpha_{\rho} + \frac{c_{\rho} i}{t}|} \int_{(\alpha_{\rho'})_{\rho' \in \mathcal{P}_m^1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n(v)}} \frac{d(\alpha_{\rho'})_{\rho' \in \mathcal{P}_m^1}}{|\alpha_{\rho} - \sum_{v \triangleright \rho'} \alpha_{\rho'} - \sum_{v' \in \rho^+(v)} \Omega_{v'} + \frac{ic_v}{t}|} \prod_{v \in \rho' \in \mathcal{P}_m^1} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\rho'} + \frac{ic_{\rho'}}{t}|} \right| \\ & \lesssim \int_{|\alpha_{\rho}| \geq \epsilon^{-K'}} \frac{\log^C |\alpha_{\rho}|}{|\alpha_{\rho} + \frac{c_{\rho} i}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\rho} - \sum_{v' \in \rho^+(v)} \Omega_{v'} + \frac{ic_v}{t}|} d\alpha_{\rho} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{K'}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We then estimate the integral (8.11) restricted to the set \bar{S}_{ρ} as follows: first we integrate over the $(\alpha_{\rho'})_{\rho' \in \mathcal{P}_m^1 \cup \{\rho\}}$ variables the $\frac{1}{|\alpha_{\rho'} + \frac{i}{t}|}$ terms which produces a $\log^{v_m-1-n(v)} |\alpha_{\rho}|$ factor, then we integrate over the $(\alpha_{\rho'})_{\rho' \in \mathcal{P}_m^2 \cup \{\rho\}}$ variables and apply the inequality above producing a $\epsilon^{\frac{K'}{2}}$ factor, and finally we integrate over the $\underline{\eta}$ and $\underline{\xi}^f$ variables which produces a $\epsilon^{-C(K)}$ factor, yielding:

$$\iiint_{\bar{S}_{\rho}} \dots \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{K'}{2} - C(K)} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{K'}{4}}$$

for K' large enough depending on K . Hence, since $\bar{S} \subset \cup_{\rho} \bar{S}_{\rho}$ we get $\iiint_{\bar{S}} \dots \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{K'}{4}}$ for any arbitrary constant $K' > 0$. We thus get the inequality (8.11) by noticing that $\bar{S}^c = \prod_{\beta} S_{\beta}$.

We now first treat the hardest case of (1.1) with $\omega_0 = \epsilon^{-2}$, and relegate the easier proof of (1.1) with $m(0) = 0$ to Step 4.

Step 2 *Upper bound for (8.11) in absence of clusters.* We assume first that all interaction vertices are non-degenerate on S_β , in the sense of Definition 8.2. We prove (8.7) by integrating over the variables $\underline{\xi}^f$ and $\underline{\alpha}$ iteratively, according to an algorithm that considers the interaction vertices $v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1} \in \mathcal{V}_i$ one after another, where v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1} is the integration order on G .

We define for $1 \leq k \leq 2n+1$ the set $\mathcal{P}_{m,k} = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_m, v_j(p) \text{ is after } v_k \text{ for the integration order}\}$ and the variables $\underline{\alpha}_k = (\alpha_p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{m,k}}$ and $\underline{\xi}_k^f = (\xi_i^f)_{k_i \geq k}$. Importantly, note that Θ_k only depends on $\underline{\alpha}_k$, $\underline{\eta}$ and $\underline{\xi}_k^f$ from Proposition 6.4 and the definition of the integration order. Let the numbers v_k are $n_{m,k} = \#\mathcal{P}_{m,k}$, $n_{0,k} = \#\{v \in \mathcal{V}^0, v \text{ is strictly before } v_k \text{ for the integration order}\}$ and $n_{1,k} = \#\{v \in \mathcal{V}^1, v \text{ is strictly before } v_k \text{ for the integration order}\}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_{m,1} = \mathcal{P}_m$, that $\mathcal{P}_{m,2n+1} = \{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l), \mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)\}$, that $n_{0,0} = n_{1,0} = 0$ and $n_{0,2n+1} = n_0 = n_{1,2n+1} = n_1 = n$ from (6.19). We define $S_{\beta,k}$ as the image of S_β by the projection map $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \mapsto (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f)$. We claim that for all $1 \leq k \leq 2n+1$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,G,\beta} \lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \epsilon^{(2-d)n_{1,k}} t^{n_{0,k}} |\log \epsilon|^{2n_{1,k}} \iiint_{(\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta,k}} d\underline{\alpha}^k d\underline{\eta}^k d\underline{\xi}_k^f \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{m,k}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_p + \frac{ic_p}{t}|} \prod_{\ell=k}^{2n} \frac{1}{|\Theta_\ell|}, \quad (8.12)$$

which we now prove by induction. It is trivially true for $k = 1$. We assume now it is true for some $1 \leq k \leq 2n$. We prove it for $k+1$ by considering four cases depending on the vertex v_k .

Case 1 If $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^0$ and $v_k \notin \mathcal{V}^j$ is a degree zero vertex and is not a junction vertex. In this case $\mathcal{P}_{m,k} = \mathcal{P}_{m,k+1}$, $n_{0,k} = n_{0,k+1} - 1$ and $n_{1,k} = n_{1,k+1}$. There is no variable to integrate over: $\underline{\alpha}_k = \underline{\alpha}_{k+1}$ and $\underline{\xi}_k^f = \underline{\xi}_{k+1}^f$. We first plug these equalities in the integral in the right hand side of (8.12) at step k . Then for all $(\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta,k} = S_{\beta,k+1}$, we simply upper bound the term $|\Theta_k|^{-1} \lesssim t$ in the integral. The right hand side of (8.12) at step k is then bounded by that of (8.12) at Step $k+1$.

Case 2 If $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^0$ and $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^j$ is a degree zero vertex and is a junction vertex. In this case $\mathcal{P}_{m,k} = \mathcal{P}_{m,k+1} \cup \{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)\}$, $n_{0,k} = n_{0,k+1} - 1$ and $n_{1,k} = n_{1,k+1}$. We will integrate over the variable $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$, noting that $\underline{\alpha}_k = (\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}, \underline{\alpha}_{k+1})$ and $\underline{\xi}_k^f = \underline{\xi}_{k+1}^f$.

By definition of the integration order and of junction vertices, all the vertices in $\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)$ have already been considered by the algorithm, i.e. $\mathcal{P}_j(v_k) \subset \{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$, and for all $\ell \geq k+1$, the vertex v_ℓ is not constraining $\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)$ so that $\{v \in \{v_\ell\}_{\ell \geq k}, v \triangleright \mathcal{P}_j(v_k)\} = \{v_k\}$. Thus, in the integrand in (8.12) at step k , the terms $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}}{t}|^{-1}$ and

$$\Theta_k = -\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \gamma + \frac{ic_k}{t} \quad (8.13)$$

are the only one depending on the variable $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$, where γ is independent of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$. In the integral (8.10) at step k , for a fixed $(\underline{\alpha}_{k+1}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_{k+1}^f) \in S_{\beta,k+1}$ we integrate over the variable $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$ using (8.13) and (B.6), producing:

$$\int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta,k}} \frac{d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} \lesssim \int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}} \frac{d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \gamma + \frac{ic_k}{t}|} \lesssim t,$$

and get the inequality (8.10) at step $k+1$.

Case 3 If $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^1$ and $v_k \notin \mathcal{V}^j$ is a degree one vertex and is not a junction vertex. In this case $\mathcal{P}_{m,k} = \mathcal{P}_{m,k+1}$, $n_{0,k} = n_{0,k+1}$ and $n_{1,k} = n_{1,k+1} - 1$. There is a free variable ξ_i^f attached to v_k (which is $\xi_{k_i}^f$ for i such that $k_i = k$) to integrate over. We have $\underline{\xi}_k^f = (\xi_i^f, \underline{\xi}_{k+1}^f)$ and $\underline{\alpha}_k = \underline{\alpha}_{k+1}$. We note that by definition of the integration order, and from the construction of the free variables $\underline{\xi}^f$

(as stated Lemma 6.5), for all $\ell \geq k+1$, for all $v \in \mathcal{P}^+(v_\ell)$, the quantity Ω_v is independent of ξ_i^f . Thus, in the integrand of (8.10) at Step k ,

$$\Theta_k = \gamma - \Omega_{v_k} + \frac{ic_k}{t} \quad (8.14)$$

is the only quantity which depends on ξ_i^f . Moreover, above γ is independent of ξ_i^f , and Ω_{v_k} is given by Lemma 6.5. For any fixed $(\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_{k+1}^f) \in S_{\beta, k+1}$, using (8.14), and then either (6.21) and (B.4) if v_k is quadratic, or (6.20) and (B.2) if v_k is linear (because from the assumption of this Step 2, v_k is then nondegenerate on S_β), we get:

$$\int_{|\xi_i^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} d\xi_i^f \lesssim \int_{|\xi_i^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\gamma - \Omega_{v_k}(\xi^f) + \frac{ic_k}{t}|} d\xi_i^f \lesssim \epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|.$$

In the inequality (8.12) at Step k , we integrate over ξ_i^f using the above inequality, and obtain (8.12) at Step $k+1$.

Case 4 If $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^1$ and $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^j$ is a degree one vertex and is a junction vertex. In this case $\mathcal{P}_{m, k} = \mathcal{P}_{m, k+1} \cup \{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)\}$, $n_{0, k} = n_{0, k+1}$ and $n_{1, k} = n_{1, k+1} - 1$. There are two variables to integrate over: a free variable ξ_i^f attached to v_k and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$, and we have $\underline{\xi}_k^f = (\xi_i^f, \underline{\xi}_{k+1}^f)$ and $\underline{\alpha}_k = (\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}, \underline{\alpha}_k)$. By definition of the integration order, and from Proposition 6.4, for all $\ell \geq k+1$, the quantity Θ_ℓ depends neither on $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$, nor on ξ_i^f . Thus, in the integrand of (8.12) at Step k , the terms $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{c_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}^i}{t}|$ and:

$$\Theta_k = \gamma - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} - \Omega_{v_k} + \frac{ic_k}{t} \quad (8.15)$$

are the only ones which depends on ξ_i^f and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$. Above γ is independent of ξ_i^f and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$, and $\Omega_{v_k}(\xi^f)$ is given by Lemma 6.5. For any fixed $(\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_{k+1}^f) \in S_{\beta, k+1}$, using (8.15) and then either (6.21) and (B.4) if v_k is quadratic, or (6.20) and (B.2) if v_k is linear (because from the assumption of this Step 2, v_k is then nondegenerate on S_β and in the integral below $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| \leq \delta\epsilon^{-2}$), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| \leq \delta\epsilon^{-2}} \int_{|\xi_i^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{c_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}^i}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} d\xi_i^f d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} \\ & \lesssim \int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| \leq \delta\epsilon^{-2}} \frac{d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{c_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}^i}{t}|} \int_{|\xi_i^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{d\xi_i^f}{|\gamma - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} - \Omega_{v_k}(\xi^f) + \frac{ic_k}{t}|} \\ & \lesssim \int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| \leq \delta\epsilon^{-2}} \frac{d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{c_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}^i}{t}|} \epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon| \lesssim \epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the part of the integral for which $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| > \delta\epsilon^{-2}$ we inverse the order of integration by Fubini, simply bound $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{c_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}^i}{t}|^{-1} \lesssim \epsilon^2$ and find:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| > \delta\epsilon^{-2}} \int_{|\xi_i^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{c_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}^i}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} d\xi_i^f d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} \\ & \lesssim \int_{|\xi_i^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} d\xi_i^f \int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| > \delta\epsilon^{-2}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{c_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}^i}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\gamma - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} - \Omega_{v_k}(\xi^f) + \frac{ic_k}{t}|} d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} \\ & \lesssim \int_{|\xi_i^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} d\xi_i^f \epsilon^2 \lesssim \epsilon^{2-d}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two inequalities above yields $\iint_{|\xi_i^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, |\alpha_{p_j(v_k)}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}, \dots} \dots d\xi_i^f d\alpha_{p_j(v_k)} \lesssim \epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|^2$.

In the inequality (8.12) at Step k , we integrate over ξ_i^f and $\alpha_{p_j(v_k)}$ using this inequality, and obtain (8.12) at Step $k+1$.

The studies of the four cases above imply (8.12) at Step $k+1$, hence (8.12) is true for all $1 \leq k \leq 2n+1$. To prove the final estimate (8.7), we take $k = 2n+1$ in (8.12), and then integrate over the $\alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^l)}, \alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^r)}$ variables producing a $|\log \epsilon|^2$ factor, over ξ_{2n+1}^f producing a ϵ^{-d} factor, and over the $\underline{\eta}$ variables producing a 1 factor:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{t,G,\beta} &\lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} |\log \epsilon|^{2n} \epsilon^{(2-d)n} t^n \iiint_{(\alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^l)}, \alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^r)}, \underline{\eta}, \xi_{n+1}^f) \in \times B^2(0, \epsilon^{-K'}) \times B^{d(n+1)}(K) \times B^1(K\epsilon^{-1})} \\ &\quad d\alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^l)} d\alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^r)} d\underline{\eta} d\xi_{n+1}^f \frac{1}{|\alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^l)} + \frac{ic_{\alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^l)}}}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^r)} + \frac{ic_{\alpha_{p(v_{\text{top}}^r)}}}{t}|} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} |\log \epsilon|^{2n} \epsilon^{(2-d)n} t^n \epsilon^{-d} |\log \epsilon|^2 = \left(\frac{t}{\lambda^{-2}\epsilon^{-2}}\right)^n |\log \epsilon|^{2(n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\int_{|\alpha| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}} d\alpha |\alpha + i/t|^{-1} \lesssim |\log \epsilon|$. The inequality (8.7) is proved, concluding Step 2.

Step 3 Upper bound for (8.11) in presence of clusters. We now treat the general case for which there exist degenerate vertices in the sense of Definition 8.2. We apply Lemma 8.6 and gather them into clusters $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{n_d}$, and recall the decomposition $\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{V}_R = \mathcal{V}_i \sqcup \mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathcal{C}_{n_d(G,\beta)}$. As in Step 2, we prove (8.7) by integrating over the variables ξ^f and $\underline{\alpha}$ in (8.12) iteratively, according to an algorithm that considers again the interaction vertices $v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1} \in \mathcal{V}_i$ one after another according to the integration order.

The outcome of the strategy in Step 2 can be summarised as follows: each degree 0 vertex produces a t factor, and each non-degenerate degree 1 vertex produces a $\epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|^2$ factor. Given a cluster \mathcal{C} containing $n_0(\mathcal{C}) \in \{0, 1\}$ degree zero vertex and $n_1(\mathcal{C}) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ degree one vertices, when reaching one of its vertices during the integration algorithm, we will perform different estimates. We will prove, overall, the same estimate for this group of vertices, that is, that \mathcal{C} produces a $t^{n_0(\mathcal{C})} (\epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|)^{n_1(\mathcal{C})}$ factor.

We now consider each vertex $v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1} \in \mathcal{V}_i$ one after another according to the integration order and assume we reach v_k . Suppose $v_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_i$ does not belong to a cluster. Then we proceed as in Step 2. As a result if v_k is of degree zero this produces a t factor, and if v_k is of degree one this produces a $\epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|^2$ factor. Suppose now we reach $v_k \in \mathcal{C}$ the first (according to the integration order) vertex of a cluster \mathcal{C} . By definition v_k is degenerate in the sense of Definition 8.2.

Case 1 If $\mathcal{C} = (v_k, v_{k'})$ is a type I cluster in the sense of Definition 8.5, and $v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^0$ is of degree zero. Then $n_0(\mathcal{C}) = n_1(\mathcal{C}) = 1$. Assume first $v_k, v_{k'} \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. Denote by ξ^f the free variable at v_k . At v_k we simply bound $|\Theta_k|^{-1} \lesssim t$ and integrate over ξ^f :

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \xi_k^f) \in S_{\beta,k}} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} d\xi^f \lesssim \int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} t d\xi^f \lesssim t\epsilon^{-d}. \quad (8.16)$$

We then pursue the algorithm and consider the next vertices v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, \dots . When the algorithm reaches $v_{k'}$, we bound $|\Theta_{k'}|^{-1} \lesssim \epsilon^2$ by applying (8.3) since \mathcal{C} is a type I cluster. Combining the factors we got at v_k and $v_{k'}$, we find that \mathcal{C} produced a $t\epsilon^{-d}\epsilon^2 \leq t^{n_0(\mathcal{C})} (\epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|)^{n_1(\mathcal{C})}$ factor.

If $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^j$, then at v_k we start by integrating over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$ using (B.6) and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}}{t}|} \frac{d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)} - \sum_{\tilde{\rho} \triangleleft v_k} \alpha_{\tilde{\rho}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v_k)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_k}{t}|} \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)} + \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} - \sum_{\tilde{\rho} \triangleleft v_k} \alpha_{\tilde{\rho}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v_k)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_k}{t}|}, \end{aligned}$$

and we are back to the previous reasoning for the case $v_k \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. If $v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^j$ then the analogue estimate at $v_{k'}$, integrating first over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}$, sends back similarly to the previous reasoning for the case $v_{k'} \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. Hence the same bound for \mathcal{G} holds in the cases where $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^j$ or $v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^j$.

Case 2 If $\mathcal{G} = (v_k, v_{k'})$ is a type I cluster, and $v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^1$. Then $n_0(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ and $n_1(\mathcal{G}) = 0$. Denote by ξ^f the free variable at v_k , by ξ'^f that at $v_{k'}$, and assume $v_k, v_{k'} \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. Since $(v_k, v_{k'})$ is the edge above v_k , and is at the bottom left of $v_{k'}$, we have that in the formula at v_k , there holds $\tilde{\xi} = \xi'^f$ in (6.20), so that this formula gives $\Theta_k = -\sigma(\xi^f)2\xi'^f \cdot \xi^f + \gamma + \frac{ic_k}{t}$ where γ is independent of ξ^f . When the algorithm reaches v_k we integrate over ξ^f using (B.1) and obtain:

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} d\xi^f \lesssim \int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{|-\sigma(\xi^f)2\xi'^f \cdot \xi^f + \gamma + \frac{ic_k}{t}|} d\xi^f \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{1-d} |\log \epsilon|}{|\xi'^f|}. \quad (8.17)$$

When later the algorithm reaches $v_{k'}$ we bound $|\Theta_{k'}|^{-1} \lesssim \epsilon^2$ by (8.3), and integrate the $|\xi'^f|^{-1}$ factor produced by (8.17):

$$\int_{|\xi'^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_{k'}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_{k'}^f) \in S_{\beta, k'}} \frac{1}{|\xi'^f|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_{k'}|} d\xi'^f \lesssim \int_{|\xi'^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{|\xi'^f|} d\xi'^f \lesssim \epsilon^{3-d}.$$

Combining the factors at v_k and $v_{k'}$, \mathcal{G} produced a $\epsilon^{1-d} |\log \epsilon| \epsilon^{3-d} \leq t^{n_0(\mathcal{G})} (\epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|)^{n_1(\mathcal{G})}$ factor.

If $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^j$ (resp. $v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^j$), integrating first over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$ (resp. $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}$) using (B.6) sends back to the previous case $v_k \notin \mathcal{V}^j$ (resp. $v_{k'} \notin \mathcal{V}^j$). Details for this procedure are given in the last paragraph of Case 1 and we shall omit them here and later. Hence our method for $v_k, v_{k'} \notin \mathcal{V}^j$ also covers the cases $v_k, v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^j$.

Case 3 If $\mathcal{G} = (v_k, v_{k'})$ is a type II cluster and $v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^0$. Assume $v_k \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. When reaching v_k we simply bound $|\Theta_k|^{-1} \lesssim t$ and integrate over ξ^f :

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} d\xi^f \lesssim t \int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} d\xi^f \lesssim t\epsilon^{-d}. \quad (8.18)$$

Next, when reaching $v_{k'}$, we apply (8.4) and get $v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^j$ with $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2}$. Writing $\Theta_k = \gamma - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})} + \frac{ic_k}{t}$ where γ is independent of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}$, integrating over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}$ using the previous bound we get:

$$\int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}, (\underline{\alpha}_{k'}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_{k'}^f) \in S_{\beta, k'}} \frac{d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} \lesssim \int_{\delta \epsilon^{-2} \leq |\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}} \frac{\epsilon^2 d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}}{|\gamma - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})} + \frac{ic_k}{t}|} \lesssim \epsilon^2 |\log \epsilon|.$$

The factors we got at v_k and $v_{k'}$ thus give that \mathcal{G} produced a $t\epsilon^{-d}\epsilon^2 \leq t^{n_0(\mathcal{G})} (\epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|)^{n_1(\mathcal{G})}$ factor. As in Case 1 and 2, the case $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^j$ can be dealt with the exact same way by integrating first over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$, we refer to the last paragraph of Case 1 for details.

Case 4 If $\mathcal{G} = (v_k, v_{k'})$ is a type II cluster and $v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^1$. Assume $v_k \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. Let ξ^f and ξ'^f be the free variables at v_k and $v_{k'}$ respectively. Let $\tilde{\xi}$ (resp. $\tilde{\xi}'$) denote the variable associated to the edge on top of v_k (resp. $v_{k'}$). As \mathcal{G} is a type II cluster, we have by Kirchhoff law at $v_{k'}$ that $\tilde{\xi} = \tilde{\xi}' - \xi'^f$

and that $\tilde{\xi}'$ depends neither on ξ^f nor on ξ'^f . Hence (6.20) gives $\Theta_k = \sigma(\xi^f)(\xi'^f - \tilde{\xi}').\xi'^f + \gamma + \frac{ic_k}{t}$ where γ is independent of ξ^f . At v_k we integrate over ξ^f using this identity and (B.1), giving:

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} d\xi^f \lesssim \int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{|\sigma(\xi^f)(\xi'^f - \tilde{\xi}').\xi'^f + \gamma + \frac{ic_k}{t}|} d\xi^f \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{1-d} |\log \epsilon|}{|\xi'^f - \tilde{\xi}'|}. \quad (8.19)$$

Next, at $v_{k'}$, we apply (8.4) so that $v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^j$ with $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}| \geq \delta\epsilon^{-2}$ on $S_{\beta, k'}$. Moreover, $\Theta_{k'} = -\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)} + \gamma' + \frac{ic_{k'}}{t}$ with γ' independent of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}$. We first integrate over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}$ using these bound and equality, producing a $\epsilon^2 |\log \epsilon|$ factor, and then integrate the $|\xi'^f - \tilde{\xi}'|^{-1}$ gained from (8.19) over ξ'^f , producing an ϵ^{1-d} factor, resulting in:

$$\int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}, |\xi'^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-2}, (\underline{\alpha}_{k'}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_{k'}^f) \in S_{\beta, k'}} d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})} d\xi'^f \frac{1}{|\xi'^f - \tilde{\xi}'|} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})} + \frac{ic_{k'}}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_{k'}|} \lesssim \epsilon^{3-d} |\log \epsilon|.$$

The factors obtained at v_k and $v_{k'}$ give a total factor for \mathcal{C} of $\epsilon^{1-d} \epsilon^{3-d} |\log \epsilon| \leq t^{n_0(\mathcal{C})} (\epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|)^{n_1(\mathcal{C})}$. Again, as in all previous cases, the subcase $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^j$ can be dealt with the exact same way by integrating first over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$, see Case 1 for details.

Case 5 If $\mathcal{C} = (v_k, v_{k'}, v_{k''})$ is a type III cluster and $v_{k''} \in \mathcal{V}^0$, so $n_0(\mathcal{C}) = 1$ and $n_1(\mathcal{C}) = 2$. Assume $v_k, v_{k'} \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. Assume firstly $v_k = v_l(v_{k''})$ is before $v_{k'} = v_r(v_{k''})$ in the integration order. Let ξ^f and ξ'^f be the free variables at v_k and $v_{k'}$ respectively.

At v_k we bound $|\Theta_k|^{-1} \lesssim t$ and integrate over ξ^f :

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} d\xi^f \lesssim \int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} t d\xi^f \lesssim t\epsilon^{-d}. \quad (8.20)$$

At $v_{k'}$ we first upper bound the factor associated to $v_{k''}$ as $|\Theta_{k''}|^{-1} \lesssim \epsilon^2$ by applying (8.3), bound $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} + \frac{ic_{k'}}{t}|^{-1} \lesssim \epsilon^2$ by applying (8.3) and write $\Theta_{k'} = \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} + \gamma + \frac{c_{k'}i}{t}$ where γ is independent of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$. Note that these three terms are the only ones depending on $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$ in the right hand side of (8.12) at Step k' . After plugging these bounds, we integrate with respect to $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$ producing a $|\log \epsilon|$ factor, and then over ξ'^f producing a ϵ^{-d} factor, and obtain:

$$\int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}, |\xi'^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-2}, (\underline{\alpha}_{k'}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_{k'}^f) \in S_{\beta, k'}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} + \frac{ic_{k'}}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_{k'}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_{k''}|} d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} d\xi'^f \lesssim \epsilon^{4-d} |\log \epsilon|. \quad (8.21)$$

When reaching $v_{k''}$, we do not do anything, resulting in a 1 factor. Combining the factors obtained at $v_k, v_{k'}$ and $v_{k''}$ give a total factor for \mathcal{C} of $t\epsilon^{-d} \epsilon^{4-d} |\log \epsilon| \leq t^{n_0(\mathcal{C})} (\epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|)^{n_1(\mathcal{C})}$.

Assume secondly $v_{k'} = v_r(v_{k''})$ is before $v_k = v_l(v_{k''})$ in the integration order. The same reasoning applies. Indeed, when reaching $v_{k'}$ we perform the estimate (8.20) (replacing the k notation by k' in this inequality). Next when reaching v_k we perform the estimate (8.21), integrating over ξ^f and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$ (which is permitted as $\Theta_k = \gamma' - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} + \frac{ic_k}{t}$ with γ' independent of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$ since $v_k \triangleright \mathcal{P}(v_{k'})$). This produces the same $t\epsilon^{4-2d} |\log \epsilon|$ factor for \mathcal{C} .

Again, the subcase $v_k, v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^j$ can be dealt with verbatim the same way by integrating first over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_k)}$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v_{k'})}$, see Case 1 for details.

Case 6 If $\mathcal{C} = (v_k, v_{k'}, v_{k''})$ is a type III cluster and $v_{k''} \in \mathcal{V}^1$. Assume $v_k, v_{k'} \notin \mathcal{V}^j$. Assume firstly $v_k = v_l(v_{k''})$ is before $v_{k'} = v_r(v_{k''})$ in the integration order. Let ξ^f, ξ'^f, ξ''^f be the free variables at $v_k, v_{k'}, v_{k''}$.

At v_k , we note that ξ''^f is the variable associated to the edge above v_k , so that (6.20) gives $\Theta_k = -\sigma(\xi^f)2\xi''^f.\xi^f + \gamma + \frac{c_k i}{t}$ with γ independent of ξ^f . We integrate over ξ^f using (B.1) and get:

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} d\xi^f \lesssim \int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{|-\sigma(\xi^f)2\xi''^f.\xi^f + \gamma + \frac{c_k i}{t}|} d\xi^f \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{1-d}|\log \epsilon|}{|\xi''^f|}. \quad (8.22)$$

At $v_{k'}$ we first upper bound the factor associated to $v_{k''}$ as $|\Theta_{k''}|^{-1} \lesssim \epsilon^2$ by applying (8.3), bound $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}}{t}|^{-1} \lesssim \epsilon^2$ by applying (8.3) and write $\Theta_{k'} = \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} + \gamma' + \frac{ic_{k'}}{t}$ where γ' is independent of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$. We integrate with respect to $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$ producing a $|\log \epsilon|$ factor, and then over ξ'^f producing a ϵ^{-d} factor, and obtain:

$$\int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}, |\xi'^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-2}, (\underline{\alpha}_{k'}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_{k'}^f) \in S_{\beta, k'}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_{k'}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_{k''}|} d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} d\xi'^f \lesssim \epsilon^{4-d}|\log \epsilon|. \quad (8.23)$$

When reaching $v_{k''}$, integrate over the variable ξ''^f the $|\xi''^f|^{-1}$ factor produced by (8.22), giving $\int_{|\xi''^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, (\underline{\alpha}_{k''}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_{k''}^f) \in S_{\beta, k''}} |\xi''^f|^{-1} d\xi''^f \lesssim \epsilon^{1-d}$. Combining the factors obtained at v_k , $v_{k'}$ and $v_{k''}$ give a total factor for \mathcal{C} of $\epsilon^{1-d}|\log \epsilon|\epsilon^{4-d}|\log \epsilon|\epsilon^{1-d} \leq t^{n_0(\mathcal{C})}(\epsilon^{2-d}|\log \epsilon|)^{n_1(\mathcal{C})}$.

Assume secondly $v_{k'} = v_r(v_{k''})$ is before $v_k = v_l(v_{k''})$ in the integration order. We reason the same way. When reaching $v_{k'}$ the variable associated to the edge on top of $v_{k'}$ is by Kirchhoff law $\tilde{\xi}'' - \xi''^f$ where $\tilde{\xi}''$ is independent of ξ^f, ξ'^f, ξ''^f . We perform the estimate (8.22), producing a $\epsilon^{1-d}|\tilde{\xi}'' - \xi''^f||\log \epsilon|$ factor. Next when reaching v_k we perform the estimate (8.23), integrating over ξ^f and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$ (which is permitted as $\Theta_k = \gamma'' - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})} + \frac{c_k i}{t}$ with γ'' independent of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$ since $v_k \triangleright \mathcal{P}(v_{k'})$), producing a $\epsilon^{4-d}|\log \epsilon|$ factor. At $v_{k''}$, we integrate over the variable ξ''^f the $|\tilde{\xi}'' - \xi''^f|^{-1}$ factor produced at $v_{k'}$, giving a ϵ^{1-d} factor. The total factor for \mathcal{C} is again $\epsilon^{6-3d}|\log \epsilon|^2$.

Again, the subcase $v_k, v_{k'} \in \mathcal{V}^j$ can be dealt with verbatim the same way by integrating first over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{k'})}$, see Case 1 for details.

End of the proof. After all interaction vertices have been considered, the last step of the algorithm considers the root vertex.

In the first case, $v_R \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ does not belong to a cluster. We perform the same estimate as in the end of Step 2, resulting in the same $\epsilon^{-d}|\log \epsilon|^2$ factor. As each degree zero vertex (resp. degree one vertex) considered in the non-degenerate set $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ or in a cluster \mathcal{C} , produced a t factor (resp. a $\epsilon^{2-d}|\log \epsilon|^2$ factor), the final estimate is, using (6.19):

$$\mathcal{F}_{t, G, \beta} \lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} t^{n_0} (\epsilon^{2-d}|\log \epsilon|^2)^{n_1} \epsilon^{-d}|\log \epsilon|^2 \lesssim \left(\frac{t}{\lambda^{-2}\epsilon^{-2}}\right)^n |\log \epsilon|^{2(n+1)}, \quad (8.24)$$

which proves (8.7).

In the second case, $v_R \in \mathcal{C}$ belongs to a cluster. Then $\mathcal{C} = \{v_R\} \cup \mathcal{C}'$ with \mathcal{C}' being either $\{v_{\text{top}}^l\}$, $\{v_{\text{top}}^r\}$ or $\{v_{\text{top}}^l, v_{\text{top}}^r\}$. Let $v_k \in \mathcal{C}'$ and assume the algorithm reaches v_k with free variable ξ_f . Assume $v_k \notin \mathcal{V}^i$. Then (8.5) implies that $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}}{t}| \lesssim \epsilon^2$. Moreover, $\Theta_k = \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)} + \gamma + \frac{ic_k}{t}$ with γ independent of $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}$. We use these bound and equality and integrate with respect to $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}$:

$$\int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}, (\underline{\alpha}_k, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}_k^f) \in S_{\beta, k}} \frac{d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\Theta_k|} \lesssim \int_{\delta\epsilon^2 \leq |\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}} \frac{\epsilon^2 d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)} + \gamma + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \epsilon^2 |\log \epsilon|.$$

We then integrate with respect to ξ^f producing an additional ϵ^{-d} factor. If $v_k \in \mathcal{V}^i$, as in all previous cases, we first integrate over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_k)}$ and then we are back to estimating as in the case $v_k \notin \mathcal{V}^i$, see the end of Case 1 for details. Hence at v_k we obtained a $\epsilon^{2-d}|\log \epsilon|$ factor, which is the same as in Step 2 for a nondegenerate degree one vertex.

We perform this estimate for all the vertices in \mathcal{E}' , so that \mathcal{E}' produced a total factor of $(\epsilon^{2-d}|\log\epsilon|)^{n_1(\mathcal{E})}$ as in the previous cases of Step 3. Finally, when reaching v_R , we integrate the remaining $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}(v)}}{t}|d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)}$ terms for $v \in \{v_{\text{top}}^l, v_{\text{top}}^r\} \setminus \mathcal{E}'$ (if any), giving in a $|\log\epsilon|^{2-\#\mathcal{E}'}$ factor, and we integrate $d\xi_{n+1}^f$ over the ball $|\xi_{n+1}^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}$, producing a ϵ^{-d} factor. Hence in this second case we got the same estimate as in the first case, and (8.24) is obtained as well, ending the proof of (8.7).

Step 4 *The case of equation (1.1) with $\omega_0 = 0$ and $m(0) = 0$.* This case is simpler since it corresponds to Step 2 and avoids the use of clusters to deal with degenerate vertices. More precisely, in this case it suffices from (6.9) to prove the bound (8.7) for expressions of the form:

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,G,\beta} = \lambda^{2n}\epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint_{(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in S_\beta} d\underline{\alpha} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\xi}^f \prod_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}}}{t}|} \prod_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{m(\epsilon\tilde{\xi}_k)}{|\Theta_k|}, \quad (8.25)$$

We estimate again according to an algorithm that considers the vertices v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1} one after another according to the integration order. When the algorithm reaches a vertex v_k , if v_k is non-degenerate on S_β , we apply the same study as in Step 2. As a result, a degree 0 vertex (resp. degree 1) produces a t factor (resp. a $\epsilon^{2-d}|\log\epsilon|^2$ factor). Assume now v_k is a degree one linear vertex with free variable ξ^f that is degenerate on S_β . Then the variable $\tilde{\xi}_k$ that is associated to the edge above v_k satisfies $|\tilde{\xi}_k| \leq \delta\epsilon^{-1}$ from Definition 8.2, so that $m(\epsilon\tilde{\xi}_k) = O(|\epsilon\tilde{\xi}_k|)$ for δ small since $m(0) = 0$. Using this and (6.20), we integrate $m(\tilde{\xi}_k)|\Theta_k|^{-1}$ with respect to the variable ξ^f applying Corollary B.3, and get a factor $\epsilon^{2-d}|\log\epsilon|$. Hence at this vertex we get the usual estimate for nondegenerate vertices. The rest of the proof of (6.9) is exactly the same as in Step 2. \square

8.2. The $X^{s,b}$ estimate. The proof follows the same strategy as that of the L^2 norm, we will simply highlight what are the necessary modifications. Recall the identities $u^n = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n} u_G$ and $u_G = u_G^+ + u_G^-$. From the resolvent identity of Lemma 6.3 with $\eta = \frac{1}{T}$, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}_G^+(t, \xi_R) &= e^{-it\omega(\xi_R)} \left(\frac{-i\lambda}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \right)^n \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n} \frac{(-1)^{\sigma_G} c_G^{\frac{t}{T}}}{(2\pi)^{n_m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(2n+1)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\alpha} \Delta_{\xi_R}(\underline{\xi}) e^{i\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}})}t} \\ &\quad M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\mathcal{P}} + i\frac{c_{\mathcal{P}}}{T}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_{0,i}, \sigma_{0,i}) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \sum_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \prec v} \alpha_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + i\frac{c_v}{T}} \end{aligned}$$

(a similar formula holds for u_G^- using (6.7)). This yields the following expression for the spacetime Fourier transform of $\mathbf{1}(t \geq 0)u^n$ (notice that the $c_G^{\frac{t}{T}}$ factor has been absorbed in the cut-off $\chi(t/T)$ to simplify notations):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \left(\chi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) \mathbf{1}(t \geq 0) u^n \right) (\tau, \xi_R) &= \left(\frac{-i\lambda}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \right)^n \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n} \frac{(-1)^{\sigma_G}}{(2\pi)^{n_m + \frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(2n+1)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\alpha} \Delta_{\xi_R}(\underline{\xi}) \\ &\quad T \hat{\chi}(T(\tau + \omega(\xi_R) - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}})})) M(\underline{\xi}) \\ &\quad \prod_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\mathcal{P}} + i\frac{c_{\mathcal{P}}}{T}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \widehat{u}_0(\xi_{0,i}, \sigma_{0,i}) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \sum_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \prec v} \alpha_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + i\frac{c_v}{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

(again, a similar formula holds for $\mathbf{1}(t \geq 0)u^n$ from (6.7)). We keep all notations from Subsection 6.3. The identity corresponding to (6.1) is now

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \chi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) \mathbf{1}(t \geq 0) u^n \right\|_{X_{\epsilon^s, b}}^2 = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n^p} \mathcal{F}_t(G)$$

with, given a paired graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_n^p$ (recalling that for such a graph $\xi_{v_{\text{top}}^l} + \xi_{v_{\text{top}}^r} = 0$, and changing variables $\tau \mapsto \tau + \omega(\xi_{v_{\text{top}}^l})$):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t(G) &= \frac{(-1)^{\sigma_G}}{(2\pi)^{n_m - \frac{d}{2}}} \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\alpha} d\tau \Delta_G(\underline{\xi}) \langle \epsilon \xi_{v_{\text{top}}^l} \rangle^{2s} \langle \tau \rangle^{2b} M(\underline{\xi}) \\ &\quad \prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i,j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j})) T\hat{\chi}(T(\tau - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)})) T\hat{\chi}(T(\tau - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)})) \\ &\quad \prod_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\mathcal{P}} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}}}{t}} \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \sum_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \triangleleft v} \alpha_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_v}{t}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.26)$$

Proof of (8.2) in Proposition 8.1. We prove the desired bound for $n \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{1}(t \geq 0)u^n$. Indeed, the bound for u^0 , the free evolution of the initial datum, is a direct computation, and the proof of the bound for $\mathbf{1}(t \leq 0)u^n$ for $n \geq 1$ is the same as that for $\mathbf{1}(t \geq 0)u^n$ using (6.7). The proof is so similar to that of (8.1) that we only highlight the differences.

It suffices to estimate (8.26). We solve Kirchhoff's laws with Proposition 6.4 and reduce the integration over the free variables $\underline{\xi}^f$ and $\underline{\eta}$. We put absolute values in the integrand. Next, we upper bound $T\hat{\chi}(T(z)) \lesssim |z + \frac{i}{T}|^{-1}$ since χ is in the Schwartz class. Arguing exactly as in the beginning of the proof of (8.1), the product $\prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} \widehat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i,j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j}))$ is zero unless $|\underline{\xi}| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}$ for some $K(a, n) > 0$. In particular, $|\xi_{v_{\text{top}}^l}| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}$ on the support of the integrand, where we simply bound $\langle \epsilon \xi_{v_{\text{top}}^l} \rangle^{2s} \lesssim 1$. This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_t(G)| &\lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint \int_{|\underline{\xi}^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, |\underline{\eta}| \leq K} d\underline{\xi}^f d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\alpha} d\tau \langle \tau \rangle^{2b} \frac{1}{|\tau - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)} + \frac{i}{T}|} \frac{1}{|\tau - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)} + \frac{i}{T}|} \\ &\quad M(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}} + \frac{ic_{\mathcal{P}}}{T}|} \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \sum_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \triangleleft v} \alpha_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{P}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_v}{T}|} \\ &= \underbrace{\lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint \int_{|\underline{\xi}^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, |\underline{\eta}| \leq K, |(\underline{\alpha}, \tau)| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}}_{=\mathcal{F}_{1,T}(G)} \dots + \underbrace{\lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint \int_{|\underline{\xi}^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, |\underline{\eta}| \leq K, |(\underline{\alpha}, \tau)| > \epsilon^{-K'}}_{=\mathcal{F}_{2,T}(G)} \dots \end{aligned} \quad (8.27)$$

Arguing as in the first step of the proof of (8.1), for any $b \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$ the second term is of higher order and enjoys the estimate:

$$|\mathcal{F}_{2,T}(G)| \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{K'}{2}}$$

We are left with estimating $\mathcal{F}_{1,T}(G)$ that we decompose as in the proof of Proposition 8.1 as $|\mathcal{F}_{1,T}(G)| \lesssim \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{F}_{T,G,\beta}$ where:

$$\mathcal{F}_{T,G,\beta} = \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{d(n+1)} \iiint \int_{(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}, \underline{\xi}^f) \in S_{\beta}, |\tau| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}} \dots$$

In the integrand in (8.27), the only novelty when comparing with the identity (6.22) for the computation of the L^2 norm, is the addition of the τ variable and of the $\langle \tau \rangle^{2b} |\tau - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)} + \frac{i}{T}|^{-1} |\tau -$

$\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)} + \frac{i}{T}|^{-1}$ factor. Note that this factor only involves τ , $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)}$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)}$. We will estimate the integral $\mathcal{F}_{T,G,\beta}$ by considering vertices one by one according to the integration order. For each vertex $v \notin \{v_R, v_{\text{top}}^l, v_{\text{top}}^r\}$ that is neither one of the top vertices nor the root vertex, we perform the exact same estimates as for the proof of (8.1). We will thus only perform different estimates at $v_R, v_{\text{top}}^l, v_{\text{top}}^r$ which we now describe.

Step 1 *If $m(0) = 0$, or $\omega_0 > \epsilon^{-2}$ and v_R is not in a cluster.* In this case, when reaching v_{top}^l and v_{top}^r we perform the same estimates as in the proof of (8.1) (thus, the same estimates as in the proof of (8.1) have been performed at all interaction vertices). When reaching the root vertex, this produces the intermediate estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{T,G,\beta} &\lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{(d(n+1))} t^n \epsilon^{(2-d)n} |\log \epsilon|^{2n} t^n \iiint_{|\tau| \leq \epsilon^{K'}, |\xi_{n+1}^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}, |(\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)}, \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)})| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}} d\tau d\xi_{n+1}^f \\ &\quad d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)} d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)} \langle \tau \rangle^{2b} \frac{1}{|\tau - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)} + \frac{i}{T}|} \frac{1}{|\tau - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)} + \frac{i}{T}|} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)} + \frac{c_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)}^i}{T}|} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)} + \frac{c_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)}^i}{T}|}. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^l)}$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v_{\text{top}}^r)}$ using (B.6), then over τ and finally over ξ_{n+1}^f and get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{T,G,\beta} &\lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{2n+d} t^n |\log \epsilon|^{2n} \iint_{|\tau| \leq \epsilon^{K'}, |\xi_{n+1}^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} d\tau d\xi_{n+1}^f \langle \tau \rangle^{2b} \frac{1}{|\tau + \frac{i}{T}|} \frac{1}{|\tau + \frac{i}{T}|} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{2n} t^n |\log \epsilon|^{2n+d} \epsilon^{-K'(2b-1)} \lesssim \epsilon^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{t}{T_{\text{kin}}}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

for any $\kappa > 0$ if $b > \frac{1}{2}$ has been chosen close enough to $\frac{1}{2}$.

Step 2 *If $\omega_0 > \epsilon^{-2}$ and v_R is in a cluster \mathcal{C} .* Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C} \setminus \{v_R\}$. Then either $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \{v_{\text{top}}^l\}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \{v_{\text{top}}^r\}$, or $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \{v_{\text{top}}^l, v_{\text{top}}^r\}$ and we treat both cases simultaneously.

Let $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the first vertex in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ for the integration order, and denote by ξ^f the free variable attached to v . When reaching v , we perform the following actions.

First, if $v \in \mathcal{V}^j$ is a junction vertex, then we integrate over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v)}$ using (B.6) and obtain:

$$\int_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v)}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v)} + \frac{i}{T}|} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v)} - \Omega_v + \frac{i}{T}|} d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_j(v)} \lesssim \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \Omega_v + \frac{i}{T}|}$$

So this produces a $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \Omega_v + \frac{i}{T}|^{-1}$ factor. If $v \notin \mathcal{V}^j$, then we do nothing for this first action, and note that a $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \Omega_v + \frac{i}{T}|^{-1}$ factor is already present in the integrand in this case.

Second, we bound $|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)}| \lesssim \epsilon^2$ from (8.5), then we integrate over $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)}$ using (B.6), and over ξ^f using the support estimate $|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}$, and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}, |\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} + \frac{i}{T}|} \frac{1}{|\tau - \alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} + \frac{i}{T}|} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} - \Omega_v + \frac{i}{T}|} d\alpha_{\mathcal{P}(v)} d\xi^f \\ &\lesssim \int_{|\xi^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} \frac{\epsilon^2}{|\tau - \Omega_v + \frac{i}{T}|} d\xi^f \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{2-d}}{\inf_{|\tilde{\tau}| \leq C\epsilon^{-2}} |\tau - \tilde{\tau} + \frac{i}{T}|}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a fixed constant depending only on K . The total factor produced at v after these two actions is $\epsilon^{2-d} (\inf_{|\tilde{\tau}| \leq C\epsilon^{-2}} |\tau - \tilde{\tau} + \frac{i}{T}|)^{-1}$.

If $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ contains two vertices, then when reaching the second vertex, we perform the exact same computation as we did for v , producing another $\epsilon^{2-d} (\inf_{|\tilde{\tau}| \leq C\epsilon^{-2}} |\tau - \tilde{\tau} + \frac{i}{T}|)^{-1}$ factor.

We now assume that the algorithm reaches the root vertex v_R . In the first case, if $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ contains two vertices, then the above estimates produced a $\epsilon^{4-2d}(\inf_{|\tilde{\tau}| \leq C\epsilon^{-2}} |\tau - \tilde{\tau} + \frac{i}{T}|)^{-2}$ factor. In the second case, if $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ contains one vertex, let v' be the other top vertex that does not belong to \mathcal{C} . We then estimate using (B.6) that:

$$\int_{|\alpha_{p(v')}| \leq \epsilon^{-K'}} d\alpha_{p(v')} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{p(v')} + \frac{i}{T}|} \frac{1}{|\tau - \alpha_{p(v')} + \frac{i}{T}|} \lesssim \frac{1}{|\tau + \frac{i}{T}|} \lesssim \frac{1}{\inf_{|\tilde{\tau}| \leq C\epsilon^{-2}} |\tau - \tilde{\tau} + \frac{i}{T}|}$$

which produces an additional $(\inf_{|\tilde{\tau}| \leq C\epsilon^{-2}} |\tau - \tilde{\tau} + \frac{i}{T}|)^{-1}$ factor. Hence in both cases, this produces a $(|\tau - \tilde{\tau} + \frac{i}{T}|)^{-2}$ factor. The quantity $\mathcal{F}_{T,G,\beta}$ has been estimated at this step of the algorithm by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{T,G,\beta} &\lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{2n+d} t^n |\log \epsilon|^{2n} \iint_{|\tau| \leq \epsilon^{K'}, |\xi_{n+1}^f| \leq K\epsilon^{-1}} d\tau d\xi_{n+1}^f \langle \tau \rangle^{2b} \frac{1}{\inf_{|\tilde{\tau}| \leq C\epsilon^{-2}} |\tau - \tilde{\tau} + \frac{i}{T}|^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{2n} \epsilon^{2n+d} t^n |\log \epsilon|^{2n} \epsilon^{-K'(2b-1)} \lesssim \epsilon^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{t}{T_{kin}}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

where we first integrated over τ and then over ξ_{n+1}^f , and took $b > \frac{1}{2}$ close enough to $\frac{1}{2}$ depending on K' and κ . This proves the desired estimate, and ends the proof of the $X^{s,b}$ bound (8.2). \square

9. Control of the linearized operator

The aim of this section is to provide an estimate on the linearization around the approximate solution $u^{app} = \sum_{n=0}^N u^n$. Without loss of generality we present the proof for the case of equation (1.1) with $\omega_0 = \epsilon^{-2}$, and for the case of $\omega_0 = 0$, $m(0) = 0$ the proof is entirely analogous. The linearization operator is given by

$$\mathfrak{L}_N w = \chi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) 4\Re u^{app} \Re w = 2\chi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) \Re u^{app} (w + \bar{w}).$$

Notice that from the diagrammatic expansion (6.5) the operator \mathfrak{L}_N can be decomposed as

$$\mathfrak{L}_N w = \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_j} \sum_{\iota \in \{\pm 1\}^2} \mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota} w,$$

where for each $G \in \mathcal{G}_i$ and $\iota = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \{\pm 1\}^2$:

$$\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota} w = \chi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) u_{G,\sigma_1} w_{\sigma_2}$$

where $u_{G,\sigma_1} = u_G$ if $\sigma_1 = +1$ and $u_{G,\sigma_1} = \overline{u_G}$ if $\sigma_1 = -1$ and similarly for w_{σ_2} . Moreover, each $\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota}$ can be localized in frequency by setting $\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota,n} = \mathfrak{L}_i Q_\epsilon^n$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We state the main result of this section:

Proposition 9.1. *If $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu > 0$, $s > 0$, there exists $b > 1/2$ and a set $E_{N,\mu,s}$ of probability $\mathbb{P}(E_{N,\mu,s}) > 1 - \epsilon^\mu$ on which the operator norm of \mathfrak{L}_N can be bounded as follows:*

$$\left\| \chi(t) \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\frac{\Delta\omega}{2}} \mathfrak{L}_N ds \right\|_{X^{s,b} \rightarrow X^{s,b}} \lesssim_{N,\mu} \epsilon^{-\mu} \sqrt{\frac{T}{T_{kin}}}.$$

The following Lemma gives the inductive step necessary to bound these operators

Lemma 9.2. *Let $0 < k \ll 1$. For $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, there exists a set E_k of measure greater than $1 - \epsilon^k$ such that in this set, for any $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, $G \in \mathcal{G}_j$ and $\iota \in \{\pm 1\}^2$, we have the following operator norm estimates:*

$$\|\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota,0}\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow X_\epsilon^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \left(\frac{T}{T_{kin}}\right)^{\frac{j+1}{2}} \epsilon^{-k} \quad (9.1)$$

With Lemma 9.2 in hand, we are able to prove Proposition 9.1.

Proof of Proposition 9.1 using Lemma 9.2

Almost locality: We decompose the input and output function in frequency cubes as:

$$\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota} w = \sum_{n,n' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} Q_\epsilon^{n'} \mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota} Q_\epsilon^n w$$

Since $\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota}$ corresponds to convolution in frequency with kernel localized in a ball of size $C\epsilon^{-1}$, if $|n - n'| > R$, where R is a fixed constant, we have that $Q_\epsilon^{n'} \mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota} Q_\epsilon^n = 0$. This in turn implies that

$$\|\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota,n}\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow X_\epsilon^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}} \sim \|\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota,0}\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow X_\epsilon^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (9.2)$$

Indeed, this follows since the main part of $\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota}$ is a convolution in space frequency and the weights of $X_\epsilon^{0,\frac{1}{2}}$ and $X_\epsilon^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}$ cancel for $|n - n'| \leq R$ as

$$\sup_{k,\ell} \langle \epsilon k \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \epsilon \ell \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}(k) \mathbb{1}_{C_\epsilon^{n'}}(\ell) \sim 1,$$

Bound from $X_\epsilon^{s,\frac{1}{2}}$ to $X_\epsilon^{s,-\frac{1}{2}}$: By almost orthogonality, (9.2), and Lemma 9.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,-\frac{1}{2}}} &\leq \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota} Q_\epsilon^n w\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota,n}\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow X_\epsilon^{s,-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|Q_\epsilon^n w\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota,n}\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow X_\epsilon^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|w\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{T}{T_{kin}} \right)^{\frac{i+1}{2}} \epsilon^{-k} \|w\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

Bound from $X_\epsilon^{s,0}$ to $X_\epsilon^{s,0}$ and interpolation. Since $X_\epsilon^{0,\epsilon}$ is merely $L_t^2 H_\epsilon^s$ and u_i is localized in a ball of radius $C\epsilon^{-1}$, the norm of the operator $\mathfrak{L}_{G,\iota}$ is bounded by $\|u_G^{\iota_2} \mathbf{1}(\iota_3 t \geq 0)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon^{-d/2} \|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}$. By (9.3) and Bieunammé-Tchebychev inequality, for k small enough, we can find a set E with $\mathbb{P}(E) \geq 1 - \epsilon^k$ on which $\|u_i\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}} \lesssim 1$. Hence, the operator norm from $X_\epsilon^{s,0}$ to $X_\epsilon^{s,0}$ can be bounded by $\epsilon^{-d/2}$.

Interpolating between this bound and the $X_\epsilon^{s,\frac{1}{2}}$ to $X_\epsilon^{s,-\frac{1}{2}}$ bound, we obtain a bound from $X_\epsilon^{s,\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$ to $X_\epsilon^{s,\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ with a loss ϵ^{-k} , where k can be made arbitrarily small choosing δ sufficiently small. Finally, we choose $b > \frac{1}{2}$ such that $b - 1 < -\frac{1}{2} - \delta$.

9.1. Estimate on the trace. It remains to prove Lemma 9.2. We only prove the bound for $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{L}_{G, (+1, +1), 0} \mathbf{1}(t \geq 0) Q_\epsilon^n$ for $j \geq 1$ as the proof for the other operators is similar. Using space-time Fourier transformation, and including the $X_\epsilon^{s, b}$ weights in the operator, it suffices to estimate the continuity norm on $L_{\tau, \xi}^2$ of the convolution operator

$$\mathfrak{R} : L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$w(\tau_0, \xi_0) \rightarrow \int \int K(\tau_2, \tau_0, \xi_2, \xi_0) w(\tau_0, \xi_0) d\tau_0 d\xi_0,$$

with kernel

$$K(\tau_2, \tau_0, \xi_2, \xi_0) = \lambda \langle \tau_0 + \omega(\xi_0) \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_2 + \omega(\xi_2) \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}(\xi_0) \widetilde{\chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) u_G^+(\tau_2 - \tau_0, \xi_1) \delta(\xi_2 - \xi_0 - \xi_1)} d\xi_1$$

Changing variables $(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2) \rightarrow (\xi_2, -\xi_1, \xi_0)$ and $(\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (\tau_2, -\tau_1, \tau_0)$, we compute the adjoint kernel

$$K^*(\tau_2, \tau_0, \xi_2, \xi_0) = \lambda \langle \tau_0 + \omega(\xi_0) \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_2 + \omega(\xi_2) \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}(\xi_2) \widetilde{\chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \overline{u_G^+(\tau_2 - \tau_0, \xi_1) \delta(\xi_2 - \xi_0 - \xi_1)}} d\xi_1$$

Iterating, we obtain that the operator $\mathfrak{M}^N = (\mathfrak{R}^* \mathfrak{R})^N$ has kernel

$$M^N(\tau_{4N}, \tau_0, \xi_{4N}, \xi_0) = \lambda^{2N} \langle \tau_{4N} + \omega(\xi_{4N}) \rangle^{-1/2} \langle \tau_0 + \omega(\xi_0) \rangle^{-1/2} \iint d\tau_2 \dots d\tau_{4N-2} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{4N-1}$$

$$\prod_{m=0}^{2N-1} \delta(\xi_{2m+2} - \xi_{2m+1} - \xi_{2m}) \prod_{m=0}^N \mathbb{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}(\xi_{4m}) \prod_{m=1}^{2N-1} \langle \tau_{2m} + \omega(\xi_{2m}) \rangle^{-1}$$

$$\prod_{m=1}^N \widetilde{\chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) u_G^+(\tau_{4m-2} - \tau_{4m-4}, \xi_{4m-3}) \chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \overline{u_G^+(\tau_{4m} - \tau_{4m-2}, \xi_{4m-1})}}.$$

The trace of the operator \mathfrak{M}^N is therefore:

$$\text{Tr } \mathfrak{M}^N = \lambda^{2N} \iint d\tau_2 \dots d\tau_{4N-2} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{4N-1} \Delta(\xi) \prod_{m=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}(\xi_{4m}) \prod_{m=0}^{2N-1} \langle \tau_{2m} + \omega(\xi_{2m}) \rangle^{-1}$$

$$\prod_{m=1}^N \widetilde{\chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) u_G^+(\tau_{4m-2} - \tau_{4m-4}, \xi_{4m-3}) \chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \overline{u_G^+(\tau_{4m} - \tau_{4m-2}, \xi_{4m-1})}}.$$

where $\Delta(\xi) = \delta(\xi_{4N} - \xi_0) \delta(\tau_{4N} - \tau_0) \prod_{m=0}^{2N-1} \delta(\xi_{2m+2} - \xi_{2m+1} - \xi_{2m})$. Above, $\widetilde{\chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) u_G^+(t, \xi_{4m-3})}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \overline{u_G^+(t, \xi_{4m-1})}}$) is given by the identity (6.13) with graph G (resp. (6.13) with graph G where all parity signs are reversed, and where the factor $e^{-it\omega(\xi_{4m-3})}$ is replaced by $(-1)^j e^{it\omega(\xi_{4m-1})}$). Applying time Fourier transformation, changing variables by renaming $\tau_{2m} + \omega(\xi_{2m})$ as τ_{2m} , taking the expectancy following the framework of Section 6, we arrive at the diagrammatic formula:

$$\mathbb{E} [\text{Tr } \mathfrak{M}^N] = \sum_P \mathcal{F}_t(G, N, P)$$

where (integrating the 2π and $c_G^{t/T}$ factors in the cut-off $\chi(t/T)$ to reduce notations)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_t(P, G, N) = & \lambda^{2jN} \epsilon^{Nd(j+1)} \iiint d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\eta} d\underline{\tau} d\underline{\alpha} \langle \tau_0 \rangle^{-1} \Delta(\underline{\xi}, \underline{\eta}) \\ & \prod_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{ic_{\mathbf{p}}}{T}} \prod_{(i, i') \in P} \hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i, i'}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0, i} \xi_{0, i} + \sigma_{0, j} \xi_{0, j})) \\ & \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i} \frac{i}{\alpha_{\mathbf{p}(v)} - \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{p}} \prec v} \alpha_{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \mathbf{p}^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_v}{T}} \prod_{m=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}(\xi_{4m}) \\ & \prod_{m=1}^{2N} \langle \tau_{2m} \rangle^{-1} T \hat{\chi}(T(\tau_{2m} - \tau_{2m-2} - \alpha_{\mathbf{p}(v_{\text{top}}^m)} - \Omega_m)) \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

where for $m = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\Omega_{2m-1} = \omega(\xi_{4m-2}) - \omega(\xi_{4m-4}) - \omega(\xi_{4m-3}), \quad \Omega_{2m} = \omega(\xi_{4m}) - \omega(\xi_{4m-2}) + \omega(\xi_{4m-1}). \quad (9.5)$$


The above formula is associated to a graph that we now describe.

It is made by a branch of vertices v_0^b, \dots, v_{2N}^b that are linked by edges (v_m^b, v_{m+1}^b) for $m = 0, \dots, 2N-1$. For $m = 1, \dots, N$, below the vertex v_{2m-1}^b (resp. below v_{2m}^b) is placed a copy of the tree G with top vertex v_{top}^{2m-1} (resp. G with reversed parity signs and top vertex v_{top}^{2m}), linked to v_{2m-1}^b by an edge $(v_{\text{top}}^{2m-1}, v_{2m-1}^b)$ (resp. to v_{2m}^b by an edge $(v_{\text{top}}^{2m}, v_{2m}^b)$). The collection of all vertices of the trees and of $\{v_1^b, \dots, v_N^b\}$ is the set of all interaction vertices \mathcal{V}^i of the graph.

There is a root vertex v_R , and two edges (v_0^b, v_R) and (v_{4N}^b, v_R) .

To the edge (v_m^b, v_{m+1}^b) we associate the frequency ξ_{2m} , and to the edge (v_{4N}^b, v_R) the frequency ξ_{4N} . To the edge $(v_{\text{top}}^m, v_m^b)$ we associate the frequency ξ_{2m-1} . We impose Kirchhoff laws at each vertices of the graph, except at v_0^b where we impose $\xi_0^b + \xi_{(v_0^b, v_R)} = 0$, so that the law at v_R then reads $\xi_{4N} = \xi_0$. The edges (v_m^b, v_{m+1}^b) for $m = 0, \dots, 2N-1$, and (v_{2N}^b, v_R) , have all parity $+1$. In particular, (9.5) agrees with (6.8).

The collection of all maximal paths up and to the right, of each of the trees G , or G with reversed parities, is the set of maximal paths denoted as \mathcal{P}_m . The collection of all their initial vertices, is the set of initial vertices denoted as \mathcal{V}_0 . P is a pairing for the set of initial vertices, and pairing vertices are defined as in Subsection 6.3. The resulting graph is as follows.



To estimate (9.4), we proceed as follows. First, we put absolute values for all factor in the integrand. Then, we bound $T|\hat{\chi}(Tz)| \lesssim |z + iT^{-1}|^{-1}$ and we integrate over $\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{2N-2}$ using (B.8), giving:

$$|\mathcal{F}_t(P, G, N)| \lesssim \lambda^{2jN} \epsilon^{Nd(j+1)} \iiint d\xi d\eta d\alpha \langle \tau_0 \rangle^{-1} \Delta(\xi, \eta) \prod_{m=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}(\xi_{4m}) \quad (9.6)$$

$$\prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}_m} \frac{1}{|\alpha_\rho + \frac{ic_\rho}{T}|} \prod_{(i,i') \in P} |\hat{W}_0^\epsilon(\eta_{i,i'}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\sigma_{0,i}\xi_{0,i} + \sigma_{0,j}\xi_{0,j}))|$$

$$\prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_i \setminus \{v_1^b, \dots, v_{2N}^b\}} \frac{1}{|\alpha_{\rho(v)} - \sum_{\tilde{\rho} \prec v} \alpha_{\tilde{\rho}} - \sum_{\tilde{v} \in \rho^+(v)} \Omega_{\tilde{v}} + \frac{ic_v}{T}|} \prod_{m=1}^{2N} \frac{1}{|-\alpha_{\rho(v_{\text{top}}^m)} - \Omega_m + \frac{i}{T}|}.$$

Notice that (9.6) is very similar to (6.22) that was estimated very precisely in the proof of (8.1), except for the last factor. The exact same strategy used in the proof of (8.1) can be applied here, and the contribution of these additional factors can be estimated the exact same way. The reason is that *these new vertices are always non-degenerate*. We therefore only sketch the adaptation.

We define the set of junction vertices \mathcal{V}^j to be the union of the collection of junction vertices in the graphs G , and G with reversed signs, and of $\{v_1^b, \dots, v_{2N}^b\}$; we say that for $m = 1, \dots, 2N$, $v_m^b \triangleright \rho(v_{\text{top}}^m)$ constraints the maximal path up and to the right leading to v_{top}^m .

An integration order is chosen for the interaction vertices of the graph, defined similarly as in Subsection 6.3. Given this integration order, we can apply the same proof as that of Proposition 6.4 in order to find the free variables.

We say that for $m = 1, \dots, 2N$, the vertex v_m^b is linear if the edge $(v_{\text{top}}^m, v_m^b)$ is a free edge, and if Ω_m is given by (6.20). Note that this coincides with the definition of linear degree one vertices for the vertices in each of the subtrees G , or G with reversed parities. For $m = 1, \dots, 2N$, we say that v_m^b is non-degenerate if it is a degree zero vertex, or a quadratic degree one vertex, or a linear degree one vertex such that for all (ξ^f, η, α) one of the following properties hold true:

$$|\alpha_{\rho(v_{\text{top}}^m)} + \Omega_m| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2}, \quad \text{or} \quad |\xi_{(v_m^b, v_{m+1}^b)}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-1}, \quad \text{or} \quad |\alpha_{\rho_j(v_{\text{top}}^m)}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2}.$$

Note that this coincides with the definition of non-degenerate vertices of Definition 8.2, but for the whole set of parameters (ξ^f, η, α) .

Claim: for all $m = 1, \dots, 2N$, the vertex v_m^b is non-degenerate, provided that $\delta > 0$ has been chosen small enough independent of the other parameters.

We now prove the claim. Fix (ξ^f, η, α) . If $|\xi_{(v_m^b, v_{m+1}^b)}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-1}$ then we are done, so we now suppose that $|\xi_{(v_m^b, v_{m+1}^b)}| < \delta \epsilon^{-1}$. Then $|\Omega_m| \geq \epsilon^{-2}/2$ from (6.20). Therefore, either $|\alpha_{\rho(v_{\text{top}}^m)} + \Omega_m| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2}$, or $|\alpha_{\rho(v_{\text{top}}^m)}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2}$, which proves the claim.

Because of the claim, we see that the exact same proof as the one for (8.1) applies in order to estimate (9.6). Indeed, one estimates verbatim the same way the contribution of each interaction vertex one after another, according to the integration order, and when one reaches one of the vertices v_m^b for some $m = 1, \dots, 2N$, the contribution of the factor $|\alpha_{v_{\text{top}}^m} + \frac{i}{T}|^{-1} |-\alpha_{v_{\text{top}}^m} - \Omega_m + \frac{i}{T}|^{-1}$ can be estimated exactly as for any non-degenerate vertex. Finally, when reaching the root vertex v_R , we integrate over $\xi_{2N} = \xi_0$ (which is always a free variable), and get an extra ϵ^{-d} factor due to the $\mathbb{1}_{C_\epsilon^n}(\xi_0)$ factor. This concludes that:

$$|\mathcal{F}_t(P, G, N)| \lesssim \epsilon^{-d} \left(\frac{t}{T_{\text{kin}}}\right)^{N(j+1)} |\log \epsilon|^{2N(j+2)}.$$

We conclude by Bienayme-Tchebychev inequality, that for each k , there exists a set E with measure $\mathbb{P}(E) > 1 - \epsilon^k$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} [\text{Tr } \mathfrak{M}^N] \leq \left(\frac{T}{T_{kin}} \right)^{N(j+1)} \epsilon^{-d} \epsilon^{-2k}$$

On this set, we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow X^{0, -\frac{1}{2}}} \leq (\text{Tr } \mathfrak{M}^N)^{\frac{1}{2N}} \lesssim \left(\frac{T}{T_{kin}} \right)^{\frac{j+1}{2}} \epsilon^{-\frac{d+2k}{N}} \lesssim \left(\frac{T}{T_{kin}} \right)^{\frac{j+1}{2}} \epsilon^{-k}$$

for N large enough. The proof of Lemma 9.2 is complete and Proposition 9.1 follows.

10. Control of the error

10.1. The equation satisfied by the error. The solution u is written as the sum of the approximate solution (truncated Dyson series) and the error term:

$$u = u^{app} + u^{err}, \quad \text{where} \quad u^{app} = \sum_{n=0}^N u^n.$$

The error u^{err} satisfies the equation

$$i\partial_t u^{err} + \frac{\Delta}{2} u^{err} = \lambda [\mathfrak{L}_N u^{err} + E_N + (\Re u^{err})^2],$$

where \mathfrak{L}_N is the linearized operator around u^{app} given by

$$\mathfrak{L}_N(w) = 4\Re u_{app} \Re w,$$

and the error term E_N is given by

$$E_N = \sum_{\substack{j+k \geq N \\ j, k=0, \dots, N}} \Re u^j \Re u^k.$$

10.2. Bound on the error term E_N .

Proposition 10.1. *For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\epsilon^* > 0$ such that for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon^*$, for all $T \geq \epsilon^2$ and $b \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$:*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \chi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \chi \left(\frac{s}{T} \right) E^N ds \right\|_{X_\epsilon^{s, b}} \lesssim T \epsilon^{-1-\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{T}{T_{kin}} \right)^{N/2}. \quad (10.1)$$

Proof. First, notice that as X_ϵ^{s, b_1} is continuously embedded in X_ϵ^{s, b_2} for $b_2 \leq b_1$, it suffices to establish (10.1) for $b_1 = 1$. Notice that the Fourier support of the approximate solution is in a ball centred at the origin with radius $\lesssim \epsilon^{-1}$, making the regularity index s irrelevant in our scaled Sobolev and Bourgain spaces. We write $\chi_T(t) = \chi(t/T)$ in what follows.

We apply successively (A.2), and the above remark on the Fourier localisation, obtaining:

$$\left\| \chi_T(t) \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \chi_T(s) E^N ds \right\|_{X_\epsilon^{s, 1}} \lesssim \|\chi_T E^N\|_{X_\epsilon^{s, 0}} \lesssim \sum_{\substack{j+k \geq N \\ j, k=0, \dots, N}} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^j \Re u^k \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}.$$

Above, applying Hölder inequality, then Bernstein inequality (using the Fourier localisation of the approximate solution), Hölder inequality again and finally (A.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^j \Re u^k \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} &\lesssim \left\| \chi_T \Re u^j \right\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^k \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim T \epsilon^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^j \right\|_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}, L_x^2)} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^k \right\|_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}, L_x^2)} \lesssim T \epsilon^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^j \right\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^k \right\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality followed by Proposition 8.1 gives then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^j \Re u^k \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}^2 \lesssim T \epsilon^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^j \right\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \chi_T \Re u^k \right\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim T \epsilon^{-1-\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{T}{T_{kin}} \right)^{N/2},$$

for κ small enough. Combining the three inequalities above yields (10.1). \square

10.3. The bilinear $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ estimate.

Proposition 10.2. *If $s > \frac{d}{2} - 1$,*

$$\left\| \chi(t) \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\frac{\Delta}{2}} \chi(s) u^2 ds \right\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}} \lesssim \epsilon \|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}^2.$$

The same estimate holds true if u^2 is replaced by \bar{u}^2 or $|u|^2$.

The proof of this proposition will rely on the following lemma, proved in [10].

Lemma 10.3. *If $N_1 \leq N_2 \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$, for any $\kappa > 0$ there exists $b_0 < \frac{1}{2}$ such that*

$$\left\| \chi(s) P_{\epsilon, N_1} u P_{\epsilon, N_2} v \right\|_{L^2 L^2} \lesssim N_1^{\frac{d}{2}-1+\kappa} \epsilon^{-\frac{d}{2}+1-\kappa} \|P_{\epsilon, N_1} u\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,b_0}} \|P_{\epsilon, N_2} v\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,b_0}}$$

The same holds if u or v are replaced by their complex conjugates.

Equipped with this lemma, we can now turn to the proof of the proposition.

Proof. By (A.2), it suffices to prove that

$$\left\| \chi(s) u^2 \right\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}^2.$$

We will prove this bound by duality: choosing $v \in X_\epsilon^{-s,1-b}$, it reduces to estimating $\iint \chi(s) u^2 \bar{v} dx ds$. Applying the Littlewood-Paley decomposition in u and v , this becomes

$$\sum_{N_1, N_2, N_3 \in 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}} \iint \chi(s) P_{\epsilon, N_1} u P_{\epsilon, N_2} u P_{\epsilon, N_3} \bar{v} dx ds.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $N_2 \gtrsim N_3$. Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality followed by Lemma 10.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \iint P_{\epsilon, N_1} u P_{\epsilon, N_2} u P_{\epsilon, N_3} \bar{v} dx ds \right| &\lesssim \left\| \chi(s) P_{\epsilon, N_1} u P_{\epsilon, N_2} u \right\|_{L^2 L^2} \|P_{\epsilon, N_3} v\|_{L^2 L^2} \\ &\lesssim N_1^{\frac{d}{2}-1+\kappa} \epsilon^{-\frac{d}{2}+1-\kappa} \|P_{\epsilon, N_1} u\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,b_0}} \|P_{\epsilon, N_2} u\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,b_0}} \|P_{\epsilon, N_3} v\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,0}} \\ &\lesssim N_1^{\frac{d}{2}-1+\kappa-s} \epsilon^{-\frac{d}{2}+1-\kappa} N_2^{-s} N_3^s \|P_{\epsilon, N_1} u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b_0}} \|P_{\epsilon, N_2} u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b_0}} \|P_{\epsilon, N_3} v\|_{X_\epsilon^{-s,0}}. \end{aligned}$$

By almost orthogonality, it is now easy to see that the sum over N_1, N_2, N_3 restricted to $N_2 \gtrsim N_3$ of the above satisfies the desired bound. Indeed, the sum over N_1 is just a geometric series, while the sum over N_2, N_3 can be treated by Cauchy-Schwarz. \square

Appendix A. $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ spaces

We define $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ spaces, and review their properties, for functions defined on \mathbb{R}^d . This framework can be immediately translated to the case of the torus, the only difference being additional subpolynomial losses in ϵ in some Strichartz estimates.

The $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ spaces were introduced in [7]. We quickly review their properties, referring the reader to [38], Section 2.6, for details.

Definition Let

$$\|f\|_{H_\epsilon^s} = \|\langle \epsilon D \rangle^s f\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}} = \|e^{-it\omega(D)}u(t)\|_{L^2 H_\epsilon^s} = \|\langle \epsilon \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau + \omega(\xi) \rangle^b \tilde{u}(\tau, k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$$

Time continuity For $b > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{CH}_\epsilon^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Inverting the linear Schrödinger equation Assume that u solves

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \omega(D)u = F \\ u(t=0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, denoting χ for a smooth cutoff function, supported on $B(0, 2)$, and equal to 1 on $B(0, 1)$,

$$\|\chi(t)u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b-1}} \lesssim \|F\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

From group to $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ estimates Assume that, uniformly in $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|e^{it\tau_0}e^{-it\omega(D)}f\|_Y \leq C_0(\epsilon)\|\langle \epsilon D \rangle^s f\|_{L^2}$$

Then, if $b > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\|u\|_Y \lesssim_b C_0(\epsilon)\|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b}}$$

Strichartz estimates We want to apply the previous statement to Strichartz estimates:

$$\|e^{-it\omega(D)}f\|_{L^4 L^4} \lesssim_{\kappa,s} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}} \|\langle \epsilon D \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}} f\|_{L^2}$$

As a consequence, if $s > 0$, $\kappa > 0$, $b > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\|u\|_{L^4 L^4} \lesssim_b \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}} \|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{\frac{d}{4}-\frac{1}{2},b}}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

It will also be useful to localize Strichartz estimates through frequency projectors: if $d \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{\epsilon,N}e^{-it\omega(D)}f\|_{L^4 L^4} &\lesssim \left(\frac{N}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{4}-\frac{1}{2}} \|P_{\epsilon,N}f\|_{L^2} \\ \|P_{\epsilon,N}u\|_{L^4 L^4} &\lesssim \left(\frac{N}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{d}{4}-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{0,b}}, \end{aligned}$$

with identical statements if $P_{\epsilon,N}$ is replaced by $Q_{\epsilon,N}^n$.

Duality The dual of $X_\epsilon^{s,b}$ is $X_\epsilon^{-s,-b}$. Therefore, the previous inequalities imply that, if $s' < 0$, $\kappa > 0$, $b' < -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$\|\chi(t)u\|_{X_\epsilon^{-\frac{d}{4}+\frac{1}{2},b'}} \lesssim_{b'} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}} \|u\|_{L^{4/3} L^{4/3}} \quad \text{if } d \geq 3. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Similarly, the dual of the inequality (A.1) is, for any $b' < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\|u\|_{X_\epsilon^{s,b'}} \lesssim_{b'} \|u\|_{L^1 H_\epsilon^s} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Interpolation If $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $s = \theta s_0 + (1 - \theta)s_1$ and $b = \theta b_0 + (1 - \theta)b_1$,

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} \leq \|u\|_{X^{s_0,b_0}}^\theta \|u\|_{X^{s_1,b_1}}^{1-\theta}.$$

Appendix B. Elementary bounds

Lemma B.1 (Estimates for degree one vertices). *For any $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $|\tilde{\xi}| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}$ and $0 < t \leq 1$ the following estimates hold true. First,*

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{d\xi^f}{|\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \epsilon^{1-d} \min\left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{\xi}|}, \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right) |\log \epsilon| \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

and for $0 < \delta \leq 1$,

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{\mathbf{1}(|\tilde{\xi}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-1} \text{ or } |\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2}) d\xi^f}{|\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}|} \leq C(\delta) \epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon| \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and for $m \in C^1[0, \infty)$ nonnegative with $m(0) = 0$:

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{m(\epsilon \tilde{\xi}) d\xi^f}{|\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}|} \leq C(m) \epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon| \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Second,

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{d\xi^f}{|\gamma + (\tilde{\xi} + \xi^f) \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon| \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Proof. By rotational invariance, we can assume that $\tilde{\xi} = (|\tilde{\xi}|, 0, \dots, 0)$. Integrating first over the variables ξ_2^f, \dots, ξ_d^f and then performing the change of variables $\xi_1^f = (|\tilde{\xi}|t)^{-1} \zeta_1$ one finds:

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{d\xi^f}{|\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \epsilon^{1-d} \int_{|\xi_1^f| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{t d\xi_1^f}{|t\gamma + t|\tilde{\xi}|\xi_1^f + i|} = \frac{\epsilon^{d-1}}{|\tilde{\xi}|} \int_{|\zeta_1| \lesssim |\tilde{\xi}| \epsilon^{-1} t} \frac{d\zeta_1}{|t\gamma + \zeta_1 + i|}.$$

The last integral above satisfies $\int_{|\zeta_1| \lesssim A} |t\gamma + \zeta_1 + i|^{-1} d\zeta_1 \lesssim A$ if $A \leq 1$ and $\int \dots \lesssim \log(A)$ if $A \geq 1$ which proves (B.1).

Next, note that $\mathbf{1}(|\tilde{\xi}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-1} \text{ or } |\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2}) \leq \mathbf{1}(|\tilde{\xi}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-1}) + \mathbf{1}(|\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2})$. We then estimate separately using (B.1) for the first inequality below, and $|\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}| \leq C(\delta) \epsilon^{-2}$ for the second one:

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{\mathbf{1}(|\tilde{\xi}| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-1}) d\xi^f}{|\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}|} \leq C(\delta) \epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|, \quad \int_{|\xi^f| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{\mathbf{1}(|\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f| \geq \delta \epsilon^{-2}) d\xi^f}{|\gamma + \tilde{\xi} \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}|} \leq C(\delta) \epsilon^{2-d}.$$

Summing the two inequalities above proves (B.2).

For $m \in C^1[0, \infty)$ nonnegative with $m(0) = 0$ we have $m(\epsilon |\tilde{\xi}|) \lesssim \epsilon |\tilde{\xi}|$ for all $|\tilde{\xi}| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}$. Hence $m(\epsilon \tilde{\xi}) \min(|\tilde{\xi}|^{-1}, \epsilon^{-1} t) \lesssim \epsilon$, and (B.1) implies (B.3).

We now turn to the last estimate (B.4). First, if either $t \leq \epsilon^2$ or $|\gamma| \gg \epsilon^{-2}$, then $|\gamma + (\tilde{\xi} + \xi^f) \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}| \gtrsim \epsilon^{-2}$, and (B.4) is true by simply bounding the integrand by ϵ^2 and the volume of the support of the integral by ϵ^{-d} . We thus now assume $t \geq \epsilon^2$ and $|\gamma| \lesssim \epsilon^{-2}$. We change variables $\eta = \xi^f + \tilde{\xi}/2$ and notice the identity $\xi^f \cdot (\xi^f + \tilde{\xi}) = |\eta|^2 - |\tilde{\xi}|^2/4$ so that:

$$\int_{|\xi^f| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{d\xi^f}{|\gamma + (\tilde{\xi} + \xi^f) \cdot \xi^f + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \int_{|\eta| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{d\eta}{|\gamma - \frac{|\tilde{\xi}|^2}{4} + |\eta|^2 + \frac{i}{t}|}.$$

We now claim that for all real numbers $|A| \lesssim \epsilon^{-2}$ there holds:

$$\left| \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d, |A + |\eta|^2| \leq \frac{1}{t} \right\} \right| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{2-d}}{t}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Assuming the claim holds true we then partition and bound with the help of (B.5):

$$\int_{|\eta| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{d\eta}{|\gamma - \frac{|\tilde{\xi}|^2}{4} + |\eta|^2 + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, |j| \lesssim t\epsilon^{-2}} \frac{t}{\langle j \rangle} \left| \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\gamma - \frac{|\tilde{\xi}|}{4} + |\eta|^2 + \frac{j}{t}| \leq \frac{1}{t} \right\} \right| \lesssim \epsilon^{2-d} |\log \epsilon|$$

and (B.4) is obtained. It now remains to prove (B.5). If $|A| \leq t^{-1}$ then we have

$$\left| \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d, |A + |\eta|^2| \leq \frac{1}{t} \right\} \right| \leq \left| \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\eta|^2 \leq \frac{2}{t} \right\} \right| \lesssim t^{\frac{d}{2}} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{2-d}}{t}$$

where we used that $t \geq \epsilon^2$. If $|A| \geq t^{-1}$ we change variables $\eta = |A|^{1/2} \tilde{\eta}$ and estimate:

$$\left| \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d, |A + |\eta|^2| \leq \frac{1}{t} \right\} \right| = |A|^{\frac{d}{2}} \left| \left\{ \tilde{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\frac{A}{|A|} + |\tilde{\eta}|^2| \leq \frac{1}{|A|t} \right\} \right| \lesssim \frac{|A|^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{t} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{2-d}}{t}$$

where we used $1/(|A|t) \leq 1$ and $|A| \lesssim \epsilon^{-2}$. The two estimates above imply (B.5) which ends the proof of the Lemma. \square

Lemma B.2 (Weighted integrals). *For $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ there holds for any $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$:*

$$\int_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, |\alpha| \lesssim \epsilon^{-2}} \frac{d\alpha}{|\gamma + \alpha + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim |\log \epsilon|,$$

and for $d \geq 2$:

$$\int_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\xi| \lesssim \epsilon^{-1}} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi' + \xi|} \lesssim \epsilon^{1-d}.$$

For any $\beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $t > 0$ there holds:

$$\int_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{d\alpha}{|\alpha + \frac{i}{t}| |\alpha + \beta + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \frac{1}{|\beta + \frac{i}{t}|}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\int_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{d\alpha}{|\alpha + \frac{i}{t}| |\alpha + \beta + \frac{i}{t}| |\alpha + \beta' + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \frac{1}{|\beta + \frac{i}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\beta' + \frac{i}{t}|}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

and if $0 < t \leq 1$:

$$\int_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{d\alpha}{|\alpha + i| |\alpha + \beta + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \frac{\langle \ln t \rangle}{|\beta + \frac{i}{t}|}, \quad \int_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{d\alpha}{|\alpha + \frac{i}{t}| |\alpha + \beta + \frac{i}{t}| |\alpha + \beta' + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \frac{\langle \ln t \rangle}{|\beta + \frac{i}{t}|} \frac{1}{|\beta' + \frac{i}{t}|}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Proof. Proof of (B.6). By rescaling the integration variable, it suffices to prove the inequality for $t = 1$. For $t = 1$ and $|\beta| \leq 1$ the integral is $\lesssim \int \langle \alpha \rangle^{-2} d\alpha \lesssim 1$ which proves the result. For $t = 1$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$ we estimate first in the zone $|\alpha| \leq 10|\beta|$ that $|\alpha + i|^{-1} |\alpha + \beta + i|^{-1} \lesssim |\beta|^{-2}$ so that this zone contributes at most to $|\beta|^{-1}$. In the zone $|\alpha| \geq 10|\beta|$ we change variables $\alpha = |\beta| \tilde{\alpha}$ and bound the contribution of this zone by $|\beta| \int_{|\tilde{\alpha}| \geq 10} ||\beta| \tilde{\alpha} + i|^{-1} ||\beta| \tilde{\alpha} + \beta + i|^{-1} \lesssim |\beta|^{-1}$, and (B.6) is established.

Proof of (B.7). By rescaling, it suffices to consider $t = 1$. We assume $\beta\beta' \leq 0$, and $\beta \geq 0$, $\beta' \leq 0$ without loss of generality. In the zone $|\alpha| \leq 0$, we upper bound in the integral $|\alpha + \beta' + i|^{-1} \leq |\beta' + i|^{-1}$, apply (B.6) to estimate $\int_{\alpha \leq 0} |\alpha + i|^{-1} |\alpha + \beta + i|^{-1} d\alpha$, and obtain the desired upper bound (B.7). In the zone $|\alpha| \geq 0$, we upper bound $|\alpha + \beta + i|^{-1} \leq |\beta + i|^{-1}$, apply (B.6) to estimate $\int_{\alpha \geq 0} |\alpha + i|^{-1} |\alpha + \beta' + i|^{-1} d\alpha$, and (B.7) is established. The proof if $\beta\beta' \geq 0$ can be done similarly.

Proof of (B.8). For the first inequality, we bound for $|\alpha| \leq t^{-1}$ that $|\alpha + \beta + \frac{i}{t}| \approx |\beta + \frac{i}{t}|$ and for $|\alpha| \geq t^{-1}$ that $|\alpha + i| \approx |\alpha + \frac{i}{t}|$, and use (B.6) to estimate:

$$\int_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{d\alpha}{|\alpha + i||\alpha + \beta + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \frac{1}{|\beta + \frac{i}{t}|} \int_{|\alpha| \leq t^{-1}} \frac{d\alpha}{|\alpha + i|} + \int_{|\alpha| \geq t^{-1}} \frac{d\alpha}{|\alpha + \frac{i}{t}||\alpha + \beta + \frac{i}{t}|} \lesssim \frac{\langle \ln t \rangle}{|\beta + \frac{i}{t}|}.$$

The proof of the second inequality is similar and we omit it. □

References

- [1] I. Ampatzoglou, N. Pavlović, *Rigorous derivation of a binary-ternary Boltzmann equation for a dense gas of hard spheres*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.00446 (2020).
- [2] I. Ampatzoglou, N. Pavlović, *Rigorous derivation of a ternary Boltzmann equation for a classical system of particles*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.04279 (2019).
- [3] I. Ampatzoglou, J. K. Miller, N. Pavlović *A Rigorous Derivation of a Boltzmann System for a Mixture of Hard-Sphere Gases*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.14480
- [4] D. Benedetto, F. Castella, R. Esposito, M. Pulvirenti, Some considerations on the derivation of the nonlinear quantum Boltzmann equation. *J. Stat. Phys.* 116 (2004), 381-410.
- [5] D. Benedetto, F. Castella, R. Esposito, M. Pulvirenti, Some considerations on the derivation of the nonlinear quantum Boltzmann equation. II. The low density regime. *J. Stat. Phys.* 124 (2006), 951-996.
- [6] D. Benedetto, F. Castella, R. Esposito, M. Pulvirenti, From the N-body Schrödinger equation to the quantum Boltzmann equation: a term-by-term convergence result in the weak coupling regime. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 277 (2008), 1-44.
- [7] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I. Schrödinger equations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 3 (1993), 107-156.
- [8] T. Buckmaster, P. Germain, Z. Hani, J. Shatah, Effective dynamics of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on large domains. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 71 (2018), no. 7, 1407-1460.
- [9] T. Buckmaster, P. Germain, Z. Hani, J. Shatah, Onset of the kinetic wave turbulence description of the long-time behavior of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, arXiv:1907.03667.
- [10] C. Collot, P. Germain, On the derivation of the homogeneous kinetic wave equation, arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.10368
- [11] C. Collot, P. Germain, Derivation of the homogeneous kinetic wave equation: longer time scales, arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.03508
- [12] R. Davidson, *Methods in Nonlinear Plasma Theory*, First Edition, Academic Press, 1972.
- [13] Y. Deng, Z. Hani, Full derivation of the wave kinetic equation, arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.11204
- [14] Y. Deng, Z. Hani, On the derivation of the wave kinetic equation for NLS, arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.09518
- [15] L. Erdős, M. Salmhofer, H.-T. Yau, Quantum diffusion of the random Schrödinger evolution in the scaling limit. II. The recollision diagrams. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 271 (2007), no. 1, 1-53.
- [16] L. Erdős, M. Salmhofer, H.-T. Yau, Horng-Tzer Quantum diffusion of the random Schrödinger evolution in the scaling limit. *Acta Math.* 200 (2008), no. 2, 211-277.
- [17] E. Faou, Linearized wave turbulence convergence results for three-wave systems, arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.11269
- [18] E. Faou, P. Germain, Z. Hani, The weakly nonlinear large-box limit of the 2D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 29 (2016), no. 4, 915-982.
- [19] I. Gallagher, L. Saint-Raymond, B. Texier *From Newton to Boltzmann : hard spheres and short-range potentials*, Zurich Advanced Lectures in Mathematics Series, 18 2014 (148 pages).
- [20] P. Germain, N. Masmoudi, J. Shatah, Global solutions for the gravity water waves equation in dimension 3, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 175 (2012), 691-754.
- [21] P. Germain, N. Masmoudi, J. Shatah, Global existence for capillary water-waves, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 68 (2015), 625-687.
- [22] H. Grad, *On the kinetic theory of rarefied gases*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 2 (1949), p. 331-407.
- [23] H. Grad, *Principles of the kinetic theory of gases*, *Handbuch der Physik* 12, Thermodynamik der Gase p. 205-294 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958.
- [24] Guide to Wave Analysis and Forecasting, Secretariat of the World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland 1998.
- [25] K. Hasselmann. On the non-linear energy transfer in a gravity-wave spectrum. I. General theory. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 12:481-500, 1962.

- [26] K. Hasselmann. On the non-linear energy transfer in a gravity wave spectrum. II. Conservation theorems; wave particle analogy; irreversibility. *J. Fluid Mech.* 15 (1963) 273,Ä281.
- [27] P. A. Janssen, Progress in ocean wave forecasting. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227(7), 3572-3594, 2008.
- [28] F. King, *BBGKY hierarchy for positive potentials*, *Ph.D. dissertation*, Dept. Mathematics, Univ. California, Berkeley, 1975.
- [29] O.E. Lanford, *Time evolution of large classical systems*, Lect. Notes in Physics 38, J. Moser ed. 1–111, Springer Verlag (1975).
- [30] Lukkarinen, J., & Spohn, H. (2011). Weakly nonlinear Schrödinger equation with random initial data. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 183(1), 79-188.
- [31] S. Nazarenko, Wave turbulence. *Lecture Notes in Physics*, 825. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011
- [32] A. C. Newell, B. Rumpf, Wave turbulence: a story far from over. *Advances in wave turbulence Volume 83 of World Sci. Ser. Nonlinear Sci. Ser. A Monogr.* Treatises, 1-51. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2013
- [33] R. Peierls. Zur kinetischen theorie der Wärmeleitung in kristallen. *Annalen der Physik* 395 (1929) 1055-1101.
- [34] M. Pulvirenti, C. Saffirio, S. Simonella, *On the validity of the Boltzmann equation for short range potentials*, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 26 (2014), no 2, 1450001.
- [35] H. Spohn, Derivation of the transport equation for electrons moving through random impurities. *J. Statist. Phys.* 17 (1977), no. 6, 385,Ä412.
- [36] H. Spohn, The phonon Boltzmann equation, properties and link to weakly anharmonic lattice dynamics. *J. Stat. Phys.* 124 (2006), no. 2-4, 1041,Ä1104.
- [37] G. Staffilani, M.-B. Tran, On the wave turbulence theory for stochastic and random multidimensional KdV type equations, arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09819
- [38] T. Tao, Nonlinear dispersive equations. Local and global analysis. *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, 106. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006
- [39] V. Zakharov, V. L'vov , The statistical description of nonlinear wave fields. *RaF*, 18 (1975), 1470-1487.
- [40] V. E. Zakharov, V. S. L'vov, G. Falkovich, *Kolmogorov spectra of turbulence I: Wave turbulence*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.