

WAVEFRONT'S STABILITY WITH ASYMPTOTIC PHASE IN THE DELAYED MONOSTABLE EQUATIONS

ABRAHAM SOLAR AND SERGEI TROFIMCHUK

ABSTRACT. We extend the class of initial conditions for scalar delayed reaction-diffusion equations $u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) + f(u(t, x), u(t - h, x))$ which evolve in solutions converging to monostable traveling waves. Our approach allows to compute, in the moving reference frame, the phase distortion α of the limiting travelling wave with respect to the position of solution at the initial moment $t = 0$. In general, $\alpha \neq 0$ for the Mackey-Glass type diffusive equation. Nevertheless, $\alpha = 0$ for the KPP-Fisher delayed equation: the related theorem also improves existing stability conditions for this model.

1. INTRODUCTION: MAIN RESULTS AND APPLICATIONS

The previous studies (e.g. see [2, 3, 10, 14]) show that both minimal and non-minimal positive traveling waves¹ $u(t, x) = \phi(x + ct)$ for the monostable delayed reaction-diffusion equation

$$(1.1) \quad u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) + f(u(t, x), u(t - h, x)), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

attract solutions² $u(t, x)$ whose initial segments $u_0(s, x)$ have the same leading asymptotic terms at $x = -\infty$ as the shifted wave $\phi(x + cs)$, for all $s \in [-h, 0]$. The latter assumption implies that, for some positive A_0 ,

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{u_0(s, x)}{\phi(x + cs)} = A_0, \quad s \in [-h, 0].$$

This observation concerns so-called pulled waves for equation (1.1) and smooth traveling waves for delayed degenerate reaction-diffusion equations [8]. The pushed and bistable waves have better stability properties [11, 12] and they are not considered in this work.

Condition (1.2) seems to be excessively restrictive: for example, it excludes initial segments asymptotically similar, in the spirit of (1.2), to $\phi(x + \alpha(s))$, $s \in [-h, 0]$, with nonlinear shift $\alpha(s)$. This circumstance is irrelevant for the non-delayed equations when $h = 0$, however, in the delayed case it restricts severely the range of possible applications. Analysing this problem, in [11, Corollary 1] we have

Date: July 24, 2021 and, in revised form...

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35C07, 35R10; Secondary 35K57.

Key words and phrases. Monostable equation, delay, traveling front, non-monotone response.

This work was supported by FONDECYT (Chile), projects 11190350 (A.S.), 1190712 (S.T.).

¹By definition, the profile ϕ should satisfy $\phi(-\infty) = 0$, $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(t) > 0$, $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(t) < \infty$.

²We assume everywhere that (i) $u_0(s, x)$ is bounded, globally Lipschitz continuous in x (uniformly in s) and (ii) the solution $u(t, x)$ exists globally and is bounded on the strips $[0, n] \times \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that (ii) is satisfied automatically for both models (KPP-Fisher and Nicholson's) of the paper.

shown, under a quasi-monotonicity condition on f , that the existence of the limit

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{u_0(s, x)}{\phi(x + cs)} = A_0(s) > 0, \quad s \in [-h, 0],$$

with some continuous function $A_0(s)$ implies that solution $u(t, x)$ evolves in the middle of two shifted traveling waves constituting the lower bound $u_-(t, x) = \phi(x + ct + a_-)$, and the upper bound $u_+(t, x) = \phi(x + ct + a_+)$. Condition (1.3) is easily verifiable. Indeed, it is well known that under some natural restrictions (tacitly assumed in this work) so-called non-critical waves have the following asymptotic representation after an appropriate translation of the time variable:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(t) &= e^{\lambda_1 t} + e^{(\lambda_1 + \sigma)t} r_1(t), \quad \lambda_1 + \sigma < \lambda_2, \\ \phi'(t) &= \lambda_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} + e^{(\lambda_1 + \sigma)t} r_2(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Here σ is a positive number, r_1, r_2 are smooth bounded functions and $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ are zeros of the characteristic function $\chi_0(z) = z^2 - cz + f_1(0, 0) + f_2(0, 0)e^{-zch}$. In the paper, $f_j(u, v)$ denotes the partial derivative of f with respect to j -th argument. We will assume that $f_j(u, v)$ are locally Lipschitz continuous functions.

A potential possibility that solution $u(t, x)$ can develop non-decaying oscillations between the waves $u_+(t, x)$ and $u_-(t, x)$ was not discarded in [11]. Another question left open in [11] is whether such $u(t, x)$ converges to the traveling wave in form and in speed [9, 13], i.e. whether there exists a function $\beta(t)$ such that $\beta(t)/t \rightarrow c$ and $u(t, x - \beta(t)) \rightarrow \phi(x)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly on subsets $(-\infty, n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this work, we answer both questions under rather realistic assumptions specified below.

Actually, assuming (1.3), we prove that the solution $u(t, x)$ converges to a shifted wave $\phi(x + ct + a_*)$, where a_* is completely determined by the function $A_0(s)$:

$$(1.5) \quad a_* = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \ln A_\infty, \quad \text{where } A_\infty := \frac{A_0(0) + q \int_{-h}^0 A_0(s) ds}{1 + qh}, \quad q := \frac{f_2(0, 0)e^{-\lambda_1 ch}}{\lambda_1}.$$

We obtain A_∞ as the limit value at $+\infty$ of the solution $A(t)$, $t \geq 0$, to the initial value problem $A(s) = A_0(s) > 0$, $s \in [-h, 0]$, for the monotone scalar delay differential equation

$$(1.6) \quad A'(t) = q(A(t-h) - A(t)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Indeed, it is clear that $A(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq -h$. Since the characteristic equation $z + q = qe^{-zh}$ for equation (1.6) with $f_2(0, 0) > 0$ has a unique simple real root $z = 0$, other (complex) roots z_j satisfying the inequality $\Re z_j < 0$ (see Appendix), there are real numbers $A_\infty \geq 0$ and $d < 0$ (cf. [1, Theorem 3.2]) such that

$$(1.7) \quad |A(t) - A_\infty| \leq e^{dt}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

By integrating (1.6) on \mathbb{R}_+ , we find that

$$A_\infty(1 + qh) = A_0(0) + q \int_{-h}^0 A_0(s) ds > 0.$$

Now, (1.3), (1.4) imply that the initial function $u(s, x)$ evaluated at the moment $s = 0$ behaves as $\phi(x + a_0)$, where $a_0 = \ln A_0(0)/\lambda_1$. Therefore the total traveled distance δ_a between the initial (at the moment $t = 0$) and final (as $t \rightarrow +\infty$) positions of the solution in the moving reference frame is

$$\delta_a = a_* - a_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \ln \frac{1 + q \int_{-h}^0 A_0(s)/A_0(0) ds}{1 + qh}.$$

Note that the function $A(t)$ and δ_a are completely determined by the speed c , the initial values $A_0(s)$ and the partial derivatives $f_1(0, 0)$, $f_2(0, 0)$. They do not depend on other characteristics of solution $u(t, x)$ and wavefront $\phi(x + ct)$, including their bounds $M_1 \leq M_3 \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $M_2 \leq 0$,

$$0 \leq \phi(x) \leq M_1, \quad M_2 \leq u(t, x) \leq M_3, \quad (t, x) \in [-h, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R},$$

and associated parameters $L_2 \geq f_2(0, 0) \geq 0$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}$ chosen to satisfy

$$|f(w, v_1) - f(w, v_2)| \leq L_2 |v_1 - v_2|, \quad (w, v_1, v_2) \in [0, M_1] \times [M_2, M_3]^2,$$

$$D = \inf_{(w_1, w_2, v) \in [M_2, M_3]^3, w_1 \neq w_2} \frac{f(w_1, v) - f(w_2, v)}{w_2 - w_1}.$$

Remark 1.1. Clearly, $D = 1$ for the Mackey-Glass type nonlinearity $f(w, v) = -w + b(v)$. Considering monotone wavefronts for the KPP-Fisher delayed equation [2, 4, 5, 6], when $f(w, v) = w(1 - v)$, we find that $L_2 = M_1 = 1$, $M_3 = +\infty$. In the general case of non-monotone waves for the latter equation, we can take $L_2 = M_1 = e^{ch}$, $M_3 = +\infty$, cf. [2]. In both cases (monotone and non-monotone), we have that $D = \inf_{(t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}} u(t, x) - 1$. Hence, if $u_0 \geq 0 = M_2$ then $D = -1$.

First, we consider an easier situation when $f_2(0, 0) > 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $f_2(0, 0) > 0$ and*

$$(1.8) \quad \lambda^2 - c\lambda - D - \gamma + L_2 e^{-\lambda ch} e^{-\gamma h} < 0,$$

for some $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \min\{2\lambda_1, \lambda_2\})$ and $\gamma \in (d, 0)$. If, in addition, $u_0(s, x)$ verifies

$$(1.9) \quad |u_0(s, x) - \phi(x + cs + \alpha_0(s))| \leq K e^{\lambda x}, \quad (s, x) \in [-h, 0] \times \mathbb{R},$$

then, for some $K' \geq K$, solution $u(t, x)$ of (1.1) with the initial function u_0 satisfies

$$(1.10) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (e^{-\lambda x} |u(t, x - ct - \alpha(t)) - \phi(x)|) \leq K' e^{\gamma t}, \quad t \geq -h.$$

Here $A(t) = e^{\lambda_1 \alpha(t)}$ solves (1.6) with the initial datum $A_0(s) = e^{\lambda_1 \alpha_0(s)}$, $s \in [-h, 0]$ so that $\alpha(+\infty) = a_* \in [\min_{[-h, 0]} \alpha_0(s), \max_{[-h, 0]} \alpha_0(s)]$ is given by (1.5). Finally, $\delta_a = 0$ if and only if $A(0) = (1/h) \int_{-h}^0 A_0(s) ds$.

Next, we consider the ‘degenerate’ situation when $f_2(0, 0) = 0$. From (1.6), we can expect that $\alpha(t) \equiv \alpha(0)$ for $t \geq 0$. Below, we prove that this is indeed the case for a class of the KPP-Fisher type nonlinearities.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $f(u, v) = g(u)(\kappa - v)$ with $\kappa > 0$, $g(0) = 0$, that (1.8) holds for some $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $\gamma < 0$, and that $u_0(s, x)$ satisfies, for some $\lambda^* > \lambda_1$,*

$$(1.11) \quad |u_0(s, x) - \phi(x + cs + \alpha_0(s))| \leq K e^{\lambda^* x}, \quad (s, x) \in [-h, 0] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Set $\lambda_* = \min\{\lambda^*, \lambda, 2\lambda_1\}$. If $\lambda_*^2 - c\lambda_* - D - \gamma < 0$, then, for some $K' \geq K$, solution $u(t, x)$ of equation (1.1) with the initial function $u_0(s, x)$ satisfies

$$(1.12) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (e^{-\lambda_* x} |u(t, x - ct - \alpha_0(0)) - \phi(x)|) \leq K' e^{\gamma t}, \quad t \geq -h.$$

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 say that the evolution of the initial phase deviation $\alpha_0(s)$ is determined by the linear delay differential equation (1.6). More detailed analysis of the eigenvalues z_j to (1.6) (see the Appendix) allows to have a better idea about the character of convergence of $\alpha(t)$ to its limit $\alpha(+\infty)$. We claim that, in the non-degenerate case $hf_2(0, 0) \neq 0$, $\alpha_0(s) \not\equiv \text{const}$, generically $\alpha(t)$ develops ‘rapid’




FIGURE 1. On the left: particular solution of (1.6) with $h = 1$, $q = 19$, $A_0(s) = -s$. Horizontal line is the limit value $A_\infty = 19/40$. On the right, the graph of $c = c_\#(h)$ from Corollary 1.5.

oscillations around $\alpha(+\infty)$ (these oscillations can be significant when q is relatively large, see Figure 1). More precisely, generically $\alpha(t)$ crosses two times the level $\alpha(+\infty)$ on each half-open interval of the length h . Indeed, an application of the Laplace transform to (1.6) yields the following representation

$$A(t) = A_\infty + 2 \operatorname{Re}(A_1 e^{z_1 t})(1 + o(1)), \text{ where}$$

$$A_1(1 + h(z_1 + q)) = A_0(0) + qe^{-z_1 h} \int_{-h}^0 e^{-z_1 s} A_0(s) ds$$

with $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$, $y_1 h \in (\pi, 2\pi)$, being the leading complex eigenvalue of (1.6).

In particular, $\alpha(t)$ is typically oscillating in the case of Nicholson's diffusive equation [3, 10, 11, 14]

$$(1.13) \quad u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) - u(t, x) + b(u(t-h, x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad b(u) = pue^{-u}, \quad p > 1,$$

In such a case, $L_2 = b'(0) = p$, $D = 1$, and the solution $u(t, x)$, $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, is bounded once its initial fragment $u_0(s, x)$, $s \in [-h, 0]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, is bounded. In addition, the formulae (1.4) hold for each $c > c_*$, where c_* is the minimal speed of propagation in the model. In this way, we obtain the following conclusion:

Corollary 1.4. *Let $u = \phi(x+ct)$ be a non-critical wave for the Nicholson's diffusive equation. Denote by $u(t, x)$ solution of the initial problem $u(s, x) = u_0(s, x)$, $s \in [-h, 0]$, for (1.13) where non-negative function u_0 satisfies*

$$(1.14) \quad |u_0(s, x) - \phi(x + cs + \alpha_0(s))| \leq Ke^{\lambda x}, \quad (s, x) \in [-h, 0] \times \mathbb{R},$$

for some $\lambda > \lambda_1$. Then there exist $\mu \in (\lambda_1, \lambda]$, $\gamma < 0$ and $Q \geq K$ such that

$$|u(t, x) - \phi(x + ct + \alpha(t))| \leq Qe^{\mu(x+ct)} e^{\gamma t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq -h.$$

The function $\alpha(t)$ is converging at $+\infty$ and generically develops 'rapid' oscillations around its limiting value $\alpha(+\infty)$.

Other aforementioned model, the KPP-Fisher delayed equation

$$(1.15) \quad u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) + u(t, x)(1 - u(t-h, x)), \quad u = u_0(s, x), \quad s \in [-h, 0], \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

has the reaction term satisfying the equality $f_2(0, 0) = 0$. In view of Theorem 1.3 and Remark 1.1, in the general case of non-monotone waves we have to consider

the domain \mathcal{D} (presented on the right panel of Fig. 1 as a strict epigraph for the decreasing function $c = c_{\#}(h)$, $h \geq 0$, $c_{\#}(0) = 2\sqrt{2}$, $c_{\#}(+\infty) = 2$),

$\mathcal{D} = \{(h, c) : \lambda^2 - c\lambda + 1 + e^{-\lambda ch + ch} < 0 \text{ for some } \lambda\} = \{(h, c) : c > c_{\#}(h), h \geq 0\}$, where $c = c_{\#}(h)$, $h \geq 0$, is defined implicitly by

$$-2 + \sqrt{c^4 h^2 - 4c^2 h^2 + 4} - c^2 h^2 \exp\left(ch \left(1 - \frac{c}{2} + \frac{1}{ch} - \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{4} + \frac{1}{c^2 h^2} - 1}\right)\right) = 0.$$

Then Theorem 1.3 yields the following conclusion.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $u = \phi(x + ct)$ be a traveling wave for KPP-Fisher delayed equation (1.15) where $(h, c) \in \mathcal{D}$. Denote by $u(t, x)$ solution of the initial problem (1.15) where non-negative function u_0 , satisfies, for some $\lambda^* > \lambda_1$,*

$$(1.16) \quad |u_0(s, x) - \phi(x + cs + \alpha_0(s))| \leq K e^{\lambda^* x}, \quad (s, x) \in [-h, 0] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then there exist $\mu \in (\lambda_1, 2\lambda_1)$, $\gamma < 0$ and $Q \geq K$ such that

$$(1.17) \quad |u(t, x) - \phi(x + ct + \alpha_0(0))| \leq Q e^{\mu(x+ct)} e^{\gamma t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0.$$

In this way, on the base of an alternative approach, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5 improve the stability result [2, Theorem 3] in the following two aspects: a) in Corollary 1.5, the initial phase function $\alpha_0(s)$, $s \in [-h, 0]$ is not necessarily constant; b) even if all mentioned results use the same domain for the admissible parameters (h, c) , [2, Theorem 3] assumes additionally that the exponent λ^* in (1.16) should be larger than some minimal value, specific for each pair (h, c) . Observe that for the delayed KPP-Fisher equation it is still not clear whether a) the domain of all admissible parameters can be extended to the quarter-plane $c \geq 2, h \geq 0$; b) the estimate (1.17) with the bounded weight $\min\{e^{\mu x}, 1\}$ is true.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The estimation of the auxiliary function

$$P = f(\phi(x + \alpha(t)), \phi(x - ch + \alpha(t))) - f(\phi(x + \alpha(t)), \phi(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))) + \alpha'(t) \phi'(x + \alpha(t))$$

is instrumental for proving our first main result.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume all conditions of Theorem 1.2. Let q and d be defined by (1.5) and (1.7), respectively. Then $|P(t, x)| \leq q_0 e^{\lambda x} e^{dt}$ for some $q_0 \geq 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. We have that

$$P(t, x) = (\alpha'(t) \phi'(x + \alpha(t)) + f_2(0, 0) [\phi(x - ch + \alpha(t)) - \phi(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))]) + \rho [\phi(x - ch + \alpha(t)) - \phi(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))] =: \mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2,$$

where

$$\rho = f_2(\phi(x + \alpha(t)), \theta(x, t)) - f_2(0, 0)$$

with $\theta(x, t)$ being some point between $\phi(x - ch + \alpha(t))$ and $\phi(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))$. Since $|f_2(u, v) - f_2(0, 0)| \leq C(|u| + |v|)$ on the bounded subset $[0, M_1]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2$ (in this proof, we are using C as a generic positive constant), we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{P}_2| = |\rho [\phi(x - ch + \alpha(t)) - \phi(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))]| \leq$$

$$C e^{\lambda_1 x} |\phi(x - ch + \alpha(t)) - \phi(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))| \leq C e^{\lambda_1 x} e^{\lambda_1 x + dt} \leq C e^{\lambda x + dt}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0.$$

Next, consider $B(z) = \phi(z) - e^{\lambda_1 z}$, clearly $B(z) = O(e^{\lambda z})$ at $z = -\infty$. Then (1.4) and (1.6) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_2 &= f_2(0, 0)[\phi(x - ch + \alpha(t)) - \phi(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))] + \alpha'(t)\phi'(x + \alpha(t)) = \\ &\quad e^{\lambda_1 x}[f_2(0, 0)(e^{\lambda_1(\alpha(t) - ch)} - e^{\lambda_1(\alpha(t - h) - ch)}) + \lambda_1 \alpha'(t)e^{\lambda_1 \alpha(t)}] + \\ &f_2(0, 0)[B(x - ch + \alpha(t)) - B(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))] + \alpha'(t)r_2(x + \alpha(t))e^{(\lambda_1 + \sigma)(x + \alpha(t))} = \\ &f_2(0, 0)[B(x - ch + \alpha(t)) - B(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))] + \alpha'(t)r_2(x + \alpha(t))e^{(\lambda_1 + \sigma)(x + \alpha(t))}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we have that

$$|\alpha(t) - \alpha(t - h)| = \lambda_1^{-1} \left| \ln \frac{A(t)}{A(t - h)} \right| \leq C|A(t) - A(t - h)| \leq Ce^{dt}, t \geq 0.$$

As a consequence,

$$|B(x - ch + \alpha(t)) - B(x - ch + \alpha(t - h))| \leq Ce^{\lambda x + dt}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0.$$

In this way, since $\alpha'(t) = \lambda_1^{-1} A'(t)/A(t) = O(e^{dt})$, $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we find that $|\mathcal{P}_2| \leq Ce^{\lambda x + dt}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$. The obtained estimates for $|\mathcal{P}_1|$ and $|\mathcal{P}_2|$ show that, for some positive constant q_0 ,

$$|P(t, x)| \leq q_0 e^{\lambda x + dt}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set $v(t, x) = u(t, x - ct)$. Then the problem (1.1), (1.9) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= v_{xx}(t, x) - cv_x(t, x) - v_t(t, x) + f(v(t, x), v(t - h, x - ch)), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ |v_0(s, x) - \phi(x + \alpha_0(s))| &\leq Ke^{\lambda x}, \quad (s, x) \in [-h, 0] \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Take q_0 as in Lemma 2.1 and let $Q \geq K$ be sufficiently large to satisfy

$$-\lambda^2 + c\lambda + D - L_2 e^{-\lambda ch} e^{-\gamma h} - \frac{q_0}{Q} + \gamma > 0.$$

For $\phi(x + \alpha(t)) \neq v(t, x)$, set

$$d(t, x) := \frac{f(\phi(x + \alpha(t)), v(t - h, x - ch)) - f(v(t, x), v(t - h, x - ch))}{\phi(x + \alpha(t)) - v(t, x)},$$

and for $\phi(x + \alpha(t)) = v(t, x)$, set $d(t, x) := f_1(\phi(x + \alpha(t)), v(t - h, x - ch))$.

Then consider the linear differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}v = v_{xx} - cv_x + d(t, x)v - v_t$$

and the functions

$$\delta_{\pm}(t, x) = \pm[v(t, x) - \phi(x + \alpha(t))] - Qe^{\gamma t} e^{\lambda x}.$$

By our assumptions $\delta_{\pm}(t, x) \leq 0$ for $(t, x) \in [-h, 0] \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Pi = [-h, T] \times \mathbb{R}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$, be the maximal strip where $\delta_{\pm}(t, x) \leq 0$. Clearly, inequality (1.10) is satisfied for all $(t, x) \in \Pi$. Theorem 1.2 will be proved if we establish that $T = +\infty$. Suppose for a moment that T is finite. Then we find that, for all $t \in [T, T + h]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}\delta_{\pm})(t, x) &= \{\pm(\mathcal{L}v)(t, x) \mp (\mathcal{L}\phi(\cdot + \alpha))(t, x)\} - Q(\mathcal{L}e^{\gamma \cdot} e^{\lambda \cdot})(t, x) = \\ &\pm \left\{ f(\phi(x + \alpha(t)), \phi(x - ch + \alpha(t))) - f(v(t, x), v(t - h, x - ch)) + \alpha'(t)\phi'(x + \alpha(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - d(t, x)[\phi(x + \alpha(t)) - v(t, x)] \right\} + Qe^{\lambda x} e^{\gamma t} [-\lambda^2 + c\lambda - d(t, x) + \gamma] = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pm [f(\phi(x+\alpha(t)), \phi(x-ch+\alpha(t))) - f(\phi(x+\alpha(t)), \phi(x-ch+\alpha(t-h))) + \alpha'(t)\phi'(x+\alpha(t))] \\
& \pm \{f(\phi(x+\alpha(t)), \phi(x-ch+\alpha(t-h))) - f(v(t, x), v(t-h, x-ch)) - d(t, x)[\phi(x+\alpha(t)) - v(t, x)]\} \\
& \quad + Qe^{\lambda x} e^{\gamma t} [-\lambda^2 + c\lambda - d(t, x) + \gamma] \geq \\
& -q_0 e^{\lambda x} e^{\gamma t} \pm [f(\phi(x+\alpha(t)), \phi(x-ch+\alpha(t-h))) - f(\phi(x+\alpha(t)), v(t-h, x-ch))] + \\
& \quad Qe^{\lambda x} e^{\gamma t} [-\lambda^2 + c\lambda - d(t, x) + \gamma] \geq \\
& -q_0 e^{\lambda x} e^{\gamma t} - L_2 |\phi(x-ch+\alpha(t-h)) - v(t-h, x-ch)| + Qe^{\lambda x} e^{\gamma t} [-\lambda^2 + c\lambda - d(t, x) + \gamma] = \\
& \quad Qe^{\lambda x} e^{\gamma t} \left[-\frac{q_0}{Q} - L_2 e^{-\lambda ch} e^{-\gamma h} - \lambda^2 + c\lambda + D + \gamma \right] \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Invoking the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle at this stage, we conclude that also $\delta_{\pm}(t, x) \leq 0$ for all $t \in [T, T+h]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This contradicts the maximality of the strip Π and completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

The change of variables $v(t, x) = u(t, x - ct)$ transforms (1.1), (1.11) into

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= v_{xx}(t, x) - cv_x(t, x) - v_t(t, x) + f(v(t, x), v(t-h, x-ch)), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
|v_0(s, x) - \phi(x + \alpha_0(s))| &\leq Ke^{\lambda^* x}, \quad (s, x) \in [-h, 0] \times \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\alpha_0(0) = 0$. Our first goal is to obtain a similar estimate for $t \in [0, h]$: we will prove that, for some $K_1 \geq K$,

$$(3.1) \quad |v(t, x) - \phi(x)| \leq K_1 e^{\lambda^* x}, \quad (t, x) \in [0, h] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Indeed, the difference $w(t, x) = v(t, x) - \phi(x)$ solves the following linear inhomogeneous equation

$$\begin{aligned}
w_t(t, x) &= w_{xx}(t, x) - cw_x(x, t) + a(t, x)w(t, x) + b(t, x), \quad t \in [0, h], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
w(s, x) &= w_0(s, x) := v_0(s, x) - \phi(x), \quad (s, x) \in [-h, 0] \times \mathbb{R},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a(t, x) &= \int_0^1 f_1(sv(t, x) + (1-s)\phi(x), sv(t-h, x-ch) + (1-s)\phi(x-ch)) ds \\
b(t, x) &= -w(t-h, x-ch) \int_0^1 g(sv(t, x) + (1-s)\phi(x)) ds
\end{aligned}$$

are Lipschitz continuous functions. Invoking the standard representation formula for the solution of the above Cauchy problem (see [7, Theorem 12]), we find that, for $(t, x) \in [0, h] \times \mathbb{R}$ it holds

$$w(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, x; 0, \xi) w(0, \xi) d\xi + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, x; \tau, \xi) b(\tau, \xi) d\xi d\tau,$$

where $\Gamma(t, x; \tau, \xi)$ is the fundamental solution for the respective homogeneous equation. Using the estimates (for the first one, see inequality (6.12) on p. 24 of [7])

$$|\Gamma(t, x; \tau, \xi)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} e^{-\frac{k(x-\xi)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > \tau, \quad t, \tau \in [0, h],$$

$$|b(\tau, \xi)| + |w(0, \xi)| \leq Ce^{\lambda^* \xi}, \quad (\tau, \xi) \in [0, h] \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $C > 0$ and $k \in (0, 1)$ are some constants, we obtain, with some $C' > 0$, that

$$e^{-\lambda^* x} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, x; 0, \xi) w(0, \xi) d\xi \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{C^2}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{k(x-\xi)^2}{4t}} e^{-\lambda^*(x-\xi)} d\xi =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ks^2} e^{2\lambda_* s\sqrt{t}} ds \leq C', \quad t \in [0, h], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
e^{-\lambda_* x} \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(t, x; \tau, \xi) b(\tau, \xi) d\xi d\tau \right| &\leq e^{-\lambda_* x} \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{C^2}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} e^{-\frac{k(x-\xi)^2}{4(t-\tau)}} e^{\lambda_* \xi} d\xi d\tau \right| = \\
&\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{C^2}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} e^{-\frac{k\xi^2}{4(t-\tau)}} e^{\lambda_* \xi} d\xi d\tau \right| < C', \quad t \in [0, h], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (3.1) follows from these inequalities.

Next, take a sufficiently large negative number x_* to have

$$|g(\phi(x))| < -0.25\gamma e^{0.5\gamma h} \quad \text{for all } x \leq x_*.$$

Consider a C^∞ -smooth non-decreasing function $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined, for some appropriate $\theta > ch$, as $\lambda(x) = \lambda_* x$ for $x \leq x_* - \theta$ and $\lambda(x) = \lambda x$ for $x \geq x_* - ch$ and $\lambda'(x) \in [\lambda_*, \lambda]$, $\lambda''(x) < -\gamma/4$. Clearly, we can choose $K_2 > K_1$ in such a way that the functions

$$\rho_{\pm}(t, x) = \pm[v(t, x) - \phi(x)] - K_2 e^{0.5\gamma(t-h)} e^{\lambda(x)}$$

satisfy $\rho_{\pm}(t, x) \leq 0$ for $(t, x) \in [0, h] \times \mathbb{R}$.

For $\phi(x) \neq v(t, x)$, set

$$m(t, x) := \frac{f(\phi(x), v(t-h, x-ch)) - f(v(t, x), v(t-h, x-ch))}{\phi(x) - v(t, x)},$$

and for $\phi(x) = v(t, x)$, set $m(t, x) := f_1(\phi(x), v(t-h, x-ch))$.

Then consider the linear differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}v = v_{xx} - cv_x + m(t, x)v - v_t$$

and let $\Pi = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$, $T \in [h, +\infty]$ be the maximal strip where $\rho_{\pm}(t, x) \leq 0$. Suppose for a moment that T is finite. Then we find that, for all $t \in [T, T+h]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{L}\rho_{\pm})(t, x) &= \{\pm(\mathcal{L}v)(t, x) \mp (\mathcal{L}\phi(\cdot))(t, x)\} - K_2 e^{-0.5\gamma h} (\mathcal{L}e^{0.5\gamma \cdot} e^{\lambda(\cdot)})(t, x) = \\
&\pm\{f(\phi(x), \phi(x-ch)) - f(v(t, x), v(t-h, x-ch)) - m(t, x)[\phi(x) - v(t, x)]\} \\
&+ K_2 e^{\lambda(x)} e^{0.5\gamma(t-h)} [-\lambda''(x) - (\lambda'(x))^2 + c\lambda'(x) - m(t, x) + 0.5\gamma] \geq \\
&\quad -|g(\phi(x))| |\phi(x-ch) - v(t-h, x-ch)| + \\
&K_2 e^{\lambda(x)} e^{0.5\gamma(t-h)} [-\lambda''(x) - (\lambda'(x))^2 + c\lambda'(x) + D + 0.5\gamma] =: \mathcal{E}(t, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if $x \leq x_*$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(t, x) &\geq -|g(\phi(x))| K_2 e^{0.5\gamma(t-2h)} e^{\lambda(x-ch)} + \\
&K_2 e^{\lambda(x)} e^{0.5\gamma(t-h)} [-\lambda''(x) - (\lambda'(x))^2 + c\lambda'(x) + D + 0.5\gamma] \geq \\
&K_2 e^{\lambda(x)} e^{0.5\gamma(t-h)} [\gamma - (\lambda'(x))^2 + c\lambda'(x) + D] > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $x \geq x_*$ then

$$\mathcal{E}(t, x) \geq K_2 e^{\lambda(x)} e^{0.5\gamma(t-h)} [-L_2 e^{-0.5\gamma h} e^{-\lambda ch} - \lambda^2 + c\lambda + D + \gamma] > 0.$$

Invoking the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle at this stage, we conclude that also $\delta_{\pm}(t, x) \leq 0$ for all $t \in [T, T+h]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This contradicts the maximality of the strip Π and completes the proof of the theorem. \square

APPENDIX

Here we analyse the zeros of the entire function $z + q - qe^{-zh}$, where q, h are positive parameters. It is convenient to include the case $q = +\infty$ by introducing $\epsilon = 1/q \geq 0$ and analysing $\chi(z) = \epsilon z + 1 - e^{-zh}$. Clearly, χ has only one real zero $z = 0$. Thus $\chi'(z_j) = \epsilon + h(\epsilon z_j + 1) \neq 0$ at each zero z_j of $\chi(z)$ so that $z_j = z_j(\epsilon)$ is a smooth function of $\epsilon \geq 0$. Set $z_j = x + iy$ with $y > 0$, then $\epsilon x + 1 = e^{-xh} \cos(yh)$, $\epsilon y = -e^{-xh} \sin(yh)$ and therefore the unique zero of $\chi(z)$ with non-negative real part is $z = 0$. Moreover, the equality $\epsilon y = -e^{-xh} \sin(yh)$ shows that $yh \in (\pi + 2\pi k, 2\pi + 2\pi k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, whenever $\epsilon > 0$. Next, $1 = e^{-z_j(0)h}$ implies that $z_j(0)h = i(\pi + 2\pi k)$. Since the relation $z_j(\epsilon_* -) = \infty$ cannot happen for a finite $\epsilon_* > 0$, we conclude that $z_j(\epsilon) \in \{z : h \operatorname{Im} z \in (\pi + 2\pi k, 2\pi + 2\pi k), \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$ is well defined for every $\epsilon > 0$. Consequently, the original function $z + q - qe^{-zh}$ has a unique zero z_k at each horizontal strip $(\pi + 2\pi k)/h < \operatorname{Im} z < (2\pi + 2\pi k)/h$ while its complete list of zeros is given by $\{z_0 = 0, z_k, \bar{z}_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $|z_j + q| = qe^{-\operatorname{Re} z_j h}$ we conclude that $\operatorname{Re} z_j$ is a strictly decreasing sequence converging to $-\infty$.

REFERENCES

1. R. Bellman, K. L. Cooke, *Differential-Difference Equations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1963.
2. R. Benguria and A. Solar, *An iterative estimation for disturbances of semi-wavefronts to the delayed Fisher-KPP equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), 2495–2501.
3. I.-L. Chern, M. Mei, X.-F. Yang, and Q.-F. Zhang, *Stability of non-monotone critical traveling waves for reaction-diffusion equations with time-delay*, J. Differential Equations, **259** (2015), 1503–1541.
4. A. Ducrot and G. Nadin, *Asymptotic behaviour of traveling waves for the delayed Fisher-KPP equation*, J. Differential Equations, **256** (2014), 3115–3140.
5. J. Fang and X.-Q. Zhao, *Monotone wavefronts of the nonlocal Fisher-KPP equation*, Nonlinearity, **24** (2011), 3043–3054.
6. T. Faria, W. Huang, and J. Wu, *Traveling waves for delayed reaction-diffusion equations with non-local response*, Proc. R. Soc. A, **462** (2006), 229–261.
7. Friedman, A. *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ (1964)
8. R. Huang, C. Jin, M. Mei, and J. Yin, *Existence and stability of traveling waves for degenerate reaction-diffusion equation with time delay*. J Nonlinear Sci. **28** (2018), 1011–1042.
9. A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovskii, and N. Piskunov, *Study of a diffusion equation that is related to the growth of a quality of matter and its application to a biological problem*, Byul. Mosk. Gos. Univ. Ser. A Mat. Mekh. **1** (1937), 1–26.
10. G. Lv and M. Wang, *Nonlinear stability of travelling wave fronts for delayed reaction diffusion equations*, Nonlinearity, **23** (2010), 845–873.
11. A. Solar and S. Trofimchuk, *Speed selection and stability of wavefronts for delayed monostable reaction-diffusion equations*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, **28** (2016), 1265–1292.
12. A. Solar and S. Trofimchuk, *Asymptotic convergence to pushed wavefronts in a monostable equation with delayed reaction*, Nonlinearity, **28** (2015), 2027–2052.
13. K. Uchiyama, *The behavior of solutions of some nonlinear diffusion equations for large time*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), 453–508.
14. Z.-C. Wang, W. T. Li and S. Ruan, *Traveling fronts in monostable equations with nonlocal delayed effects*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, **20** (2008), 573–607.

DMFA, UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE LA SANTÍSIMA CONCEPCIÓN, CONCEPCIÓN, CHILE
 Email address: asolar@ucsc.cl

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE TALCA, CASILLA 747, TALCA, CHILE
 Email address: trofimch@inst-mat.otalca.cl