NLS EQUATION LUCCAS CAMPOS AND CARLOS M. GUZMÁN

ABSTRACT. We consider the focusing inhomogeneous biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

SCATTERING FOR THE NON-RADIAL INHOMOGENOUS BIHARMONIC

$$iu_t + \Delta^2 u - |x|^{-b} |u|^\alpha u = 0,$$

when b > 0 and $N \ge 5$. We first obtain a small data global result in H^2 , which, in the fivedimensional case, improves a previous result from Pastor and the second author. In the sequel, we show the main result, scattering below the mass-energy threshold in the intercritical case, that is, $\frac{8-2b}{N} < \alpha < \frac{8-2b}{N-4}$, without assuming radiality of the initial data. The proof combines the decay of the nonlinearity with Virial-Morawetz-type estimates to avoid the radial assumption, allowing for a much simpler proof than the Kenig-Merle roadmap.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A01, 35QA55, 35P25.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the Cauchy problem for the focusing inhomogeneous biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (IBNLS for short)

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta^2 u - |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha} u = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where, $N \ge 5$ and $\alpha, b > 0$. The limiting case b = 0 (classical biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (BNLS)) was introduced by Karpman [9] and Karpman-Shagalov [10] in order to take into consideration the role of small fourth-order dispersion terms in the propagation of intense laser beams in a bulk medium with Kerr nonlinearity.

The IBNLS equation is invariant under the scaling, $u_{\mu}(t,x) = \mu^{\frac{4-b}{\alpha}} u(\mu^4 t, \mu x), \mu > 0$. This means if u is a solution of (1.1), with initial data u_0 , so is u_{μ} with initial data $u_{\mu,0} = \mu^{\frac{4-b}{\alpha}} u_0(\mu x)$. A straightforward computation yields

$$||u_{0,\mu}||_{\dot{H}^s} = \mu^{s - \frac{N}{2} + \frac{4-b}{\alpha}} ||u_0||_{\dot{H}^s},$$

implying that the scale-invariant Sobolev space is $\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $s_c = \frac{N}{2} - \frac{4-b}{\alpha}$, the so called *critical Sobolev index*.

When $s_c = 0$ (or $\alpha = \frac{8-2b}{N}$), the critical space is L^2 , which is naturally associated to the conserved mass of solutions, defined by

$$M[u(t)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t,x)|^2 dx.$$

On the other hand, when $s_c = 2$ (or $\alpha = \frac{8-2b}{N-4}$), the critical space is \dot{H}^2 , which is naturally associated to the conserved energy of solutions, defined by

$$E[u(t)] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u(t, x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{\alpha + 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha + 2} dx$$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Inhomogeneous biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation; Global well-posedness; Scattering.

Here, we are interested in studying the L^2 -supercritical and \dot{H}^2 -subcritical case (also called intercritical), i.e., $0 < s_c < 2$ (or $\frac{8-2b}{N} < \alpha < \frac{8-2b}{N-4}$).

First, we briefly review some recent developments for the IBNLS model. It was first studied by Cho-Ozawa-Wang [3], they considered the inhomogeneous power type $|x|^{-2}|u|^{\frac{1}{N}}u$ and showed the existence of weak solutions by regularizing the nonlinearity. Recently Pastor and the second author in [7], using the Strichartz estimates, considered the more general power-like nonlinearities of the form $|x|^{b}|u|^{\alpha}u$. They obtained local well-posedness in H^{2} for $N \geq 3$, $0 < b < \min\{\frac{N}{2}, 4\}$ and $\min\{\frac{2(1-b)}{N}, 0\} < \alpha < 4^{*}$, where $4^{*} = \frac{8-2b}{N-4}$ if $N \geq 5$ or $4^{*} = \infty$ if N = 3, 4. Moreover, in the mass-supercritical and energy-subcritical cases, $\frac{8-2b}{N} < \alpha < 4^{*}$, they showed the small data global existence for dimensions $N \geq 3$, with extra assumptions on the parameters α and b when N = 5, 6, 7, that is, they did not show global solution in the full intercritical regime of α , and they assume an extra upper bound on b. More recently, for the local theory in H^{2} , the lower bound $(\alpha > \frac{2(2-b)}{N})$ was removed by Liu-Zhang [12] using the Besov spaces. They also established local well-posedness in H^{s} with $0 < s \leq 2$. On the other hand, for the global theory, the authors in [8] improved the results showed in [7], by making use of the Hardy inequality, removing the extra assumptions for N = 6, 7. However for the dimension N = 5 the authors did not cover the full range on b where the local solution was obtained. More precisely, they showed global well-posedness for $\frac{8-2b}{7} < \alpha < 7-2b$ and $0 < b < \frac{5}{2}$ or $\frac{8-2b}{2} < \alpha < 8-2b$ and $0 < b < \frac{3}{2}$. The gap $\frac{3}{2} \leq b < \frac{5}{2}$ was still an open problem, which we solve here. Thus, our first goal is to improve the global result in the intercritical 5D to $0 < b < \frac{5}{2}$.

Theorem 1.1. Assume $N \ge 5$, $0 < b < \min\left\{\frac{N}{2}, 4\right\}$ and $\frac{8-2b}{N} < \alpha < \frac{8-2b}{N-4}$. If $u_0 \in H^2$ satisfies $\|u_0\|_{H^2} \le E$, for some E > 0, then there exists $\delta_{sd} = \delta_{sd}(E) > 0$ such that if $\|e^{it\Delta^2}u_0\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c})} < \delta_{sd}$, then there exists a unique global solution u of (1.1) such that

 $\|u\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c})} \le 2\|e^{it\Delta^2}u_0\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c})} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{B(L^2)} + \|\Delta u\|_{B(L^2)} \le 2c\|u_0\|_{H^2}.$

for some universal constant c > 0.

To this end, we rely on the contraction mapping principle combined with the Strichartz estimates. The singular factor $|x|^{-b}$ brings some extra difficulties and the major problem is to estimate $\nabla(|x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u)$. Here, by making use only of Sobolev and Hölder inequalities (therefore do not using Hardy inequality as in [8]), we were able to perform suitable nonlinear estimates, in carefully chosen Sobolev spaces (see Lemma 2.2 above), in order to estimate the inhomogeneous term in the whole desired range of parameters.

Once the global result is established, the natural problem to study is the asymptotic behavior of such global solution as $t \to \infty$. The main goal in this paper is to show scattering of (1.1) in H^2 below the ground state. Before stating the result, we recall the recently work showed in [2], which established sufficient conditions for global existence of solutions in $\dot{H}^{s_c} \cap H^2$ with $0 \le s_c < 2$. We state below this result for the intercritical case, that is, $0 < s_c < 2$ since this is the case in which we are interested.

Theorem 1.2. Assume
$$N \ge 3$$
, $\frac{8-2b}{N} < \alpha < 4^*$ and $0 < b < \min\{\frac{N}{2}, 4\}$. Suppose $u_0 \in H^2$ obeys
 $E[u_0]^{s_c} M[u_0]^{2-s_c} < E[Q]^{s_c} M[Q]^{2-s_c}$ (1.2)

and

$$\|\Delta u_0\|_{L^2}^{s_c} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^{2-s_c} < \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{s_c} \|Q\|_{L^2}^{2-s_c}.$$
(1.3)

Then the corresponding solution u is a global solution in H^2 . In addition,

 $\|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2}^{s_c}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{2-s_c} < \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{s_c}\|Q\|_{L^2}^{2-s_c}.$

Here Q denotes the ground state solution to $\Delta^2 Q - Q - |x|^{-b}Q^{\alpha+1} = 0.$

Here, we prove, for either radial or non-radial initial data, that the global solution obtained in the above theorem also scatters in H^2 for $N \geq 5$ without imposing any extra restriction on the parameters α and b. The method of proof is based on the ideas developed in [13], which established scattering for the 3D cubic inhomogeneous NLS equation¹ assuming non-radial data (see also [1] for higher dimensions). It avoids the concentration-compactness and rigidity technique developed by Kenig and Merle [11]. Note that we do not have the Galilean transformation for the IBLNS, nor conservation of momentum, which makes the concentration-compactness approach even harder if one wants to consider non-radial data. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.3. Assume $N \ge 5$, $0 < b < \min\{\frac{N}{2}, 4\}$, and $\frac{8-2b}{N} < \alpha < \frac{8-2b}{N-4}$. If (1.2) and (1.3) hold, then the corresponding solution u to (1.1) scatters in H^2 both forward and backward in time. That is, there exist ϕ^{\pm} such that

$$\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2} \phi^{\pm}\|_{H^2} = 0.$$

In the particular case b = 0 (BNLS), the scattering result was showed by several authors. We mention two works that considered the L^2 -supercritical and \dot{H}^2 -subcritical case. Using the concentrationcompactness and rigidity argument, Guo in [6], for $N \ge 1$, showed scattering for the radial initial data and very recently, Dinh in [4] gave an alternative proof using the ideas of Dodson-Murphy [5], for $N \ge 2$. The non-radial setting is still an open problem for b = 0.

Theorem 1.3 extends the result showed by Saanouni [15] from the radial to the non-radial setting, which also assumed an extra lower bound on α , $\alpha > \{\frac{8-2b}{N}, x_0\}$, where x_0 is the positive root of $(2x-1)(x-1) - \frac{4-b}{N-4}$. However, this proof is questionable since the estimate used in the proof of small data scattering in [15] (after Proposition 3.8)

$$\|u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2}\phi^{\pm}\|_{H^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{[t,\infty)}H^2} \|u\|_{L^{\alpha}_{[t,\infty)}L^r_x}^{\alpha+1},$$

does not seem clear to us. In addition, in dimension N = 5, they assumed $\alpha < 8 - 2b$, but as was mentioned above, in the previous works [7, 8], it was necessary to assume an extra hypothesis on α or b to estimate the derivative of $|x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u$, and in [15], they did not provide a new one without these restrictions. Here, we removed the extra conditions, and thus our result fits into the broader context of sharp scattering thresholds for the intercritical inhomogeneous biharmonic NLS equation. The argument exploits the decay of the nonlinearity together with Virial-Morawetz-type estimates. It gives an simple proof for the energy scattering that completely avoids the use of the concentration-compactness and rigidity argument.

Remark 1.4. The restriction to $N \ge 5$ comes from relying on the decay of the linear biharmonic operator, which, by Strichartz estimates, can only reach up to $|t|^{-N/4}$, therefore not being integrable on $[1, +\infty)$ when $N \le 4$. The argument can be extended to radial data in $N \ge 3$, by using the Strauss Lemma to get a faster decay in the Virial-Morawetz estimate (4.1). Note that, since we are only converting the space decay of the inhomogeneity $|x|^{-b}$ in time decay, it can be very slow if b is close to zero. Scattering for non-radial data in lower dimensions still remains an open problem.

We finally state the scattering criterion that will be used to show Theorem 1.3. It was first proved for the radial 3D cubic NLS equation by Tao [16], and here we prove this result in a non-radial setting for the IBNLS.

Proposition 1.5 (Scattering criterion). Assume the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.3. Consider an $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -solution u to (1.1) defined on $[0, +\infty)$ and assume the a priori bound

$$\sup_{t \in [0, +\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{H^2_x} := E < +\infty.$$
(1.4)

¹Inhomogeneous NLS model: $iu_t + \Delta u + |x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u = 0.$

There exist constants R > 0 and $\epsilon > 0$ depending only on E, N, α and b (but never on u or t) such that if

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \int_{B(0,R)} |u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \le \epsilon^2,\tag{1.5}$$

then u scatters forward in time in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Note that this result shows that general solutions to the IBNLS under the ground state behave similarly to radial solutions, in the sense that it is enough to show that the mass of the solution escapes from a (possibly large) ball centered at the origin to prove scattering. In comparison to the concentration-compactness and rigidity approach, this means that even when b is very close to zero, the inhomogeneous factor $|x|^{-b}$ automatically precludes the existence of an unbounded translation parameter in the compactness part, even though the Galilean boost is unavailable for this equation. This last claim will be clarified in a future work, for which the framework will be particularly useful to handle the non-radial case in lower dimensions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce some notations, give a review of the Strichartz estimates and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we obtain the scattering criterion and finally, in Section 4, we show the main result (Theorem 1.3).

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Let us start this section by introducing the notation used throughout the paper. We write $a \leq b$ to denote $a \leq cb$ for some c > 0, denoting dependence on various parameters with subscripts when necessary.

We make use of the standard Lebesgue spaces L^p , the mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^q_t L^r_x$, as well as the homogeneous and inhomogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}^{s,r}$ and $H^{s,r}$. When r = 2, we write $\dot{H}^{s,2} = \dot{H}^s$ and $H^{s,2} = H^s$. If necessary, we use subscripts to specify which variable we are concerned with. We use ' to denote the Hölder dual.

2.1. Well-posedness theory. To discuss the well-posedness theory for (1.1), we first recall the Strichartz estimates in the form that we will need them. We say the pair (q, r) is biharmonic Schrödinger admissible (B-admissible for short) if it satisfies

 $\frac{4}{a} + \frac{N}{r} = \frac{N}{2},$

where

$$\begin{cases} 2 \le r < \frac{2N}{N-4}, & \text{if } N \ge 5, \\ 2 \le r < +\infty, & \text{if } N = 4 \\ 2 \le r \le +\infty, & \text{if } 1 \le N \le 3. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Given a real number s < 2, we also called the pair (q, r) is H^s -biharmonic admissible if

$$\frac{4}{q} + \frac{N}{r} = \frac{N}{2} - s,$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2N}{N-2s} \leq r < \frac{2N}{N-4} & \text{if } N \geq 5, \\ \frac{2N}{N-2s} \leq r < +\infty & \text{if } 1 \leq N \leq 4. \end{cases}$$

Given $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define \mathcal{A}_s to be the set of \dot{H}^s -biharmonic admissible pairs and introduce the Strichartz norm

$$||u||_{B(\dot{H}^s,I)} = \sup_{(q,r)\in\mathcal{B}_s} ||u||_{L^q_I L^r_x}.$$

In the same way, the dual Strichartz norm is given by

$$||u||_{B'(\dot{H}^{-s},I)} = \inf_{(q,r)\in\mathcal{B}_{-s}} ||u||_{L_{I}^{q'}L_{x}^{r'}}.$$

If s = 0 then B_0 is the set of all B-admissible pairs. Thus, $\|u\|_{B(L^2,I)} = \sup_{(q,r)\in B_0} \|u\|_{L^q_I L^r_x}$ and $\|u\|_{B'(L^2,I)} = \inf_{(q,r)\in B_0} \|u\|_{L^{q'}_I L^{r'}_x}$. We also define the following norm

$$\|\langle \Delta \rangle u\|_{B(L^{2},I)} = \|u\|_{B(L^{2},I)} + \|\Delta u\|_{B(L^{2},I)}.$$

When the *x*-integration is restricted to a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ the mixed norm will be denoted by $\|f\|_{L^q_t L^r(A)}$. If $I = \mathbb{R}$, we often omit I.

We now recall the Strichartz estimates for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation, which are the main tools for studying (1.1), especially for well-posedness and scattering. The last one is the Strichartz estimate with a gain of derivative. See for instance, [14] and [7] (see also [6]).

$$|e^{it\Delta^2}f||_{B(\dot{H}^s,I)} \lesssim ||f||_{\dot{H}^s}$$
 (2.2)

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(t-t')\Delta^{2}} g(t') \, dt' \right\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s},I)} \lesssim \|g\|_{B'(\dot{H}^{-s},I)}.$$
(2.3)

$$\left\| \Delta \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta^2} g(t') \, dt' \right\|_{B(L^2,I)} \lesssim \left\| \nabla g \right\|_{L^2_I L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}_x}.$$
(2.4)

Remark 2.1. We also obtain (local-in-time estimate)

$$\left\| \int_{a}^{b} e^{i(t-t')\Delta^{2}} g(t') \, dt' \right\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s},\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|g\|_{B'(\dot{H}^{-s},[a,b])}.$$
(2.5)

Now, we turn our attention to proof Theorem 1.1. As usual the core of the proof is to establish good estimates on the nonlinearity $F(x, u) = |x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u$. The next lemma provides these estimates, which also play an important role in proving the main result.

Lemma 2.2 (Nonlinear estimates). Let $N \ge 5$, $0 < b < \min\{\frac{N}{2}, 4\}$ and $\frac{8-2b}{N} < \alpha < \frac{8-2b}{N-4}$. There exist positive parameters $\theta \ll \alpha$ and $\alpha_1 < \alpha - \theta$ such that

(i)
$$|||x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}v||_{B'(\dot{H}^{-s_c},I)} \lesssim ||u||_{L^{\infty}_t H^2_x}^{\theta} ||u||_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c},I)}^{\alpha-\theta} ||v||_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c},I)},$$

(ii)
$$||x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}v||_{B'(L^2,I)} \lesssim ||u||_{L^{\infty}_t H^2_x}^{\theta} ||u||_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c},I)}^{\alpha-\theta} ||v||_{B(L^2,I)},$$

(iii)
$$\|\nabla F(x,u)\|_{L^2_I L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}_x} \lesssim \|u\|^{\theta}_{L^{\infty}_t H^2_x} \|u\|^{\alpha-\theta}_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c},I)} \|\Delta u\|_{B(L^2,I)}, N > 5 \text{ or } N = 5 \text{ and } \alpha < 7-2b,$$

(iv)
$$\|\nabla F(x,u)\|_{L^2_I L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}_x} \lesssim \|u\|^{\theta}_{L^{\infty}_I H^2} \|u\|^{\alpha_1}_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c})} \|\langle\Delta\rangle u\|^{1+\alpha-\alpha_1-\theta}_{B(L^2)}, N=5 \text{ and } \alpha \ge 7-2b.$$

Proof. For the estimates (i), (ii) and (iii), we refer the reader to [7, Section 4] and [8, Section 3]. We prove here estimate (iv) for $7-2b \le \alpha < 8-2b$ in dimension N = 5, therefore completing the whole intercritical range in this case. Indeed, note that

$$|\nabla F(x,u)| \lesssim |x|^{-b} |\nabla (|u|^{\alpha} u)| + |x|^{-b-1} |u|^{\alpha} |u|.$$

We write B for the unit ball centered at the origin, let $A \in \{B, B^C\}$, $0 < \eta, \tilde{\theta} \ll 1$ and split (using Hölder)

$$||x|^{-(b+1)}|u|^{\alpha}u||_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}(A)} \leq ||x|^{-(b+1)}||_{L^{r_1}(A)}||u||_{L^{r_2}}^{\theta\eta}||u||_{L^{r_3}}^{\alpha_1}||u||_{L^{r_4}}^{\alpha_2}||u||_{L^{r_5}},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{b+1+l\tilde{\theta}\eta}{5}, \\ \frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{4-b}{5\alpha} - \frac{l}{5}, \\ \frac{1}{r_3} = \frac{4-b-\eta}{5\alpha}, \\ \frac{1}{r_4} = \frac{\eta}{5\alpha}, \\ \frac{1}{r_5} = \frac{\eta}{10}, \end{cases}, \quad A = \frac{5-2b}{8-2b-4\eta}\alpha - \frac{3\alpha+\tilde{\theta}(8-2b)-2\tilde{\theta}\eta}{8-2b-4\eta}\eta, \\ \alpha_2 = \frac{3}{8-2b-4\eta}\alpha - \frac{\alpha-2\tilde{\theta}\eta}{8-2b-4\eta}\eta, \quad l = \begin{cases} 2-s_c, & A = B, \\ -s_c, & A = B^C. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha - \tilde{\theta}\eta$ in view of $b < \frac{5}{2}$ and $\eta > 0$ small. (Note that, unlike the previous works, we rely more on the Sobolev embeddings, by "exchanging" part of the power α in order to only work with admissible pairs. But as long as the remainder α_1 is positive and we avoid the L^{∞} norm on time, the desired fixed-point argument can be closed.) Moreover, if A = B then $\frac{5}{r_1} > b+1$ and if $A = B^C$, $\frac{5}{r_1} < b+1$, so we have that $|x|^{-b-1} \in L^{r_1}(A)$ in any case. Hence, applying the Sobolev inequality, it follows that 2

$$||x|^{-(b+1)}|u|^{\alpha}u||_{L^{\frac{10}{7}}(A)} \lesssim ||u||_{H^{2}}^{\bar{\theta}\eta} ||u||_{L^{\frac{5\alpha}{4-b-\eta}}}^{\alpha_{1}} ||D^{s_{c}}u||_{L^{\frac{5\alpha}{\alpha s_{c}+\eta}}}^{\alpha_{2}} ||\Delta u||_{L^{\frac{10}{4+\eta}}}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{\alpha_1 \eta}{4\alpha} + \frac{\alpha_2(\alpha s_c + \eta)}{5\alpha} + \frac{4+\eta}{10}$ and using again the Hölder inequality we deduce

$$\||x|^{-(b+1)}|u|^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}_{I}L^{\frac{10}{7}}(A)} \lesssim \|u\|^{\theta\eta}_{L^{\infty}_{I}H^{2}}\|u\|^{\alpha_{\frac{1}{4}}}_{L^{\frac{1}{\eta}}_{I}L^{\frac{5\alpha}{4-b-\eta}}_{x}}\|D^{s_{c}}u\|^{\alpha_{2}}_{L^{\frac{4\alpha}{4-b-\eta}}_{I}L^{\frac{5\alpha}{3s_{c}+\eta}}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{8}{1-\eta}}_{I}L^{\frac{10}{4+\eta}}_{x}}.$$

It is easy to see that $\left(\frac{4\alpha}{\eta}, \frac{5\alpha}{4-b-\eta}\right)$ is a $B(\dot{H}^{s_c})$ -admissible pair and $\left(\frac{4\alpha}{4-b-\eta}, \frac{5\alpha}{\alpha s_c+\eta}\right)$, $\left(\frac{8}{1-\eta}, \frac{10}{4+\eta}\right)$ are *B*-admissible pairs³, thus by interpolation

$$||x|^{-(b+1)}|u|^{\alpha}u||_{L^{2}_{I}L^{\frac{10}{7}}_{x}} \lesssim ||u||^{\tilde{\theta}\eta}_{L^{\infty}_{I}H^{2}}||u||^{\alpha_{1}}_{B(\dot{H}^{s_{c}})}||\langle\Delta\rangle u||^{1+\alpha_{2}}_{B(L^{2})}$$

The estimation of $|x|^{-b}\nabla(|u|^{\alpha}u) \approx |x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}\nabla u$ is very similar, with the only changes being choosing $\frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{b+l\tilde{\theta}\eta}{5}$ and $\frac{1}{r_5} = \frac{\eta+2}{10}$, and so we conclude

$$|||x|^{-b}\nabla(|u|^{\alpha}u)||_{L^{2}_{I}L^{\frac{10}{7}}_{x}} \lesssim ||u||^{\bar{\theta}\eta}_{L^{\infty}_{I}H^{2}}||u||^{\alpha_{1}}_{B(\dot{H}^{s_{c}})}||\langle\Delta\rangle u||^{1+\alpha_{2}}_{B(L^{2})}$$

Therefore combining the last two inequalities we obtain the desired result.

Remark 2.3. We also have

$$|||x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u||_{L^{\infty}_{I}L^{r}_{x}} \lesssim ||u||^{\alpha+1}_{L^{\infty}_{I}H^{2}_{x}}$$
(2.6)

for $\frac{2N}{N+8} < r < \frac{2N}{N+4}$.

Proof. Let $0 < \eta \ll 1$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \||x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{r}_{x}} \lesssim \||x|^{-b}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{b+\eta}}_{B}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{N+(\alpha+1)}}_{x}}^{\alpha+1} + \||x|^{-b}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{b-\eta}}_{B^{c}}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{N+(\alpha+1)}}_{x}}^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$
The conditions $\frac{2N}{N+8} < r < \frac{2N}{N+4}$ and $\frac{8-2b}{N} < \alpha < \frac{8-2b}{N-4}$ ensure
$$2 < \frac{Nr(\alpha+1)}{N-rb} < \frac{2N}{N-4},$$

which, in turn, imply the embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^{\overline{N-r(b\pm\eta)}}$.

We end this section with an important lemma, followed by the proof of Theorem 1.1. The full-range nonlinear estimates also play a key role in obtaining these results.

²Note that $\frac{5\alpha}{\alpha s_c + \eta} < \frac{5}{s_c}$ and $\frac{10}{4 + \eta} < \frac{5}{2}$ (conditions to apply the Sobolev inequality). ³We observe that, $\alpha \ge 7 - 2b$ and $b < \frac{5}{2}$ implies that $\frac{4\alpha}{4 - b - \eta} > 2$, condition of admissible pair (2.1).

Lemma 2.4 (Space-time bounds imply scattering). Let N, α and b be as in Lemma 2.2. Let u be a global solution to (1.1) satisfying $||u||_{L^{\infty}_{t}H^{2}_{x}} \leq E$. If

$$\|u\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c},[T,+\infty))} < +\infty,$$

for some T > 0, then u scatters forward in time in H^2 .

Proof. For $\eta > 0$, let $[T, +\infty) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} I_j$, in which the intervals I_j are chosen such that $||u||_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c}, I_j)} < \eta$ for all j. If $[a, a + t] \subset I_j$, by Strichartz, and Lemma 2.2, there exists $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha$ such that

$$\|\langle \Delta \rangle u\|_{S(L^{2},[a,a+t])} \lesssim \|u(a)\|_{H^{2}} + \|u\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_{c}},I_{j})}^{\alpha_{1}}\|\langle \Delta \rangle u\|_{S(L^{2},[a,a+t])}^{\alpha-\alpha_{1}+1} \le E + \eta^{\alpha_{1}}\|\langle \Delta \rangle u\|_{S(L^{2},[a,a+t])}^{\alpha-\alpha_{1}+1}.$$

This implies, by a continuity argument, $\|\langle \Delta \rangle u\|_{S(L^2, I_j)} \lesssim E$, if η is chosen such that $\eta \ll E^{-\frac{\alpha - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1}}$. Summing from j = 1 to N, we conclude

$$\|\langle \Delta \rangle u\|_{S(B^2,[T,+\infty))} < +\infty.$$

Now, define

$$\phi^+ = e^{-iT\Delta^2}u(T) + i\int_T^{+\infty} e^{-is\Delta^2} \left(|x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u\right)(s)ds.$$

We see that $\phi^+ \in H^2$, since combining Strichartz estimates and Lemma 2.2 gives

$$\|\phi^+\|_{H^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{[T,+\infty)}H^2_x} + \|u\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c};[T,\infty))}^{\alpha_1}\|\langle\Delta\rangle u\|_{B(L^2,[T,\infty))}^{\alpha-\alpha_1-1} < +\infty.$$

A simple inspection shows

$$u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2}\phi^+ = i \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{i(t-s)\Delta^2} |x|^{-b} (|u|^{\alpha}u)(s) ds,$$

thus again by Strichartz and Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\|u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2}\phi^+\|_{H^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c};[t,\infty))}^{\alpha_1}\|\langle\Delta\rangle u\|_{B(L^2,[t,\infty))}^{\alpha-\alpha_1+1}.$$

Since $||u||_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c};[T,\infty))} < +\infty$, we conclude that

$$||u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2}\phi^+||_{H^2} \to 0$$
, as $t \to +\infty$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only prove the case N = 5, $7 - 2b \le \alpha < 8 - 2b$, since the remaining cases can have the same treatment as in [7, Theorem 1.6]. Given E > 0 and $v_0 \in H^2$ such that $||v_0||_{H^2} \le E$, define the metric space

$$F = \left\{ v \,|\, \|v\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c})} \le 2 \|e^{it\Delta^2} v_0\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c})}, \, \|v\|_{S(L^2)} + \|\Delta v\|_{S(L^2)} \le 2c \|v_0\|_{H^2} \right\},$$

where c is the constant given by Strichartz estimates. Equip F with the distance

$$d(u,v) = \|u - v\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c})}.$$

By completeness of L^p spaces, reflexiveness and uniqueness of weak and strong limits, F is a complete space. Now, define the map

$$G(v) = e^{it\Delta^2} v_0 + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta^2} |x|^{-b} |v|^{\alpha} v(s) \, ds.$$

We want to show that G maps F in F and it is a contraction. Indeed, combining the Strichartz estimates together with Lemma 2.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c})} &\leq \|e^{it\Delta^2}v_0\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c})} + cE^{\theta}\|v\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c})}^{\alpha-\theta+1} \\ &\leq [1 + cE^{\theta}(2\delta)^{\alpha-\theta}]\|e^{it\Delta^2}v_0\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c})}, \\ \|\langle\Delta\rangle G(v)\|_{S(L^2)} &\leq c\|v_0\|_{H^2} + cE^{\theta}\|v\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c})}^{\alpha_1}\|\langle\Delta\rangle v\|_{S(L^2)}^{1+\alpha-\alpha_1-\theta} \\ &\leq c[1 + c(2c)^{\alpha-\alpha_1-\theta}E^{\alpha-\alpha_1}(2\delta)^{\alpha_1}]\|v_0\|_{H^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|G(u) - G(v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c})} \le cE^{\theta} \left(\|u\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c})}^{\alpha - \theta} + \|v\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c})}^{\alpha - \theta} \right) \|u - v\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c})}$$

$$\le 2cE^{\theta} (2\delta)^{\alpha - \theta} \|u - v\|_{S(\dot{H}^{s_c})}.$$

Therefore, by choosing a small δ (depending only on E), the theorem is proved.

3. Scattering criterion

In this section is devoted to show Proposition 1.5. We start with the following lemma that will be used in the proof.

Lemma 3.1. Let $N \ge 5$, $0 < b < \min\{\frac{N}{2}, 4\}$, $\frac{8-2b}{N} < \alpha < \frac{8-2b}{N-4}$ and u be a (possibly non-radial) H^2 -solution to (1.1) satisfying (1.4). If u satisfies (1.5) for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then there exist $\gamma, T > 0$ such that

$$\left\| e^{i(\cdot -T)\Delta} u(T) \right\|_{B\left(\dot{H}^{s_c}, [T, +\infty)\right)} \lesssim \epsilon^{\gamma}.$$
(3.1)

Proof. Fix the parameters $\mu, \gamma > 0$ (to be chosen later). Applying the Strichartz estimate (2.2), there exists $T_0 > e^{-\mu}$ such that

$$\left\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\right\|_{B\left(\dot{H}^{s_c},[T_0,+\infty)\right)} \le \epsilon^{\gamma}.$$
(3.2)

For $T \ge T_0$ to be chosen later, define $I_1 := [T - e^{-\mu}, T]$, $I_2 := [0, T - e^{-\mu}]$ and let η denote a smooth, spherically symmetric function which equals 1 on B(0, 1/2) and 0 outside B(0, 1). For any R > 0 use η_R to denote the rescaling $\eta_R(x) := \eta(x/R)$.

Duhamel's formula implies that

$$e^{i(t-T)\Delta^2}u(T) = e^{it\Delta^2}u_0 - iF_1 - iF_2,$$

where, for i = 1, 2,

$$F_i = \int_{I_i} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha} u(s) \, ds.$$

We refer to F_1 as the "recent past", and to F_2 as the "distant past". By (3.2), it remains to estimate F_1 and F_2 .

We start with F_1 . By hypothesis (1.5), we can fix $T \ge T_0$ such that

$$\int \eta_R(x) \left| u(T,x) \right|^2 dx \lesssim \epsilon^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Given the relation (obtained by multiplying (1.1) by $\eta_R \bar{u}$, taking the imaginary part and integrating by parts)

$$\partial_t \int \eta_R |u|^2 dx = 2 \operatorname{Im} \left(\int \Delta \eta_R \Delta u \bar{u} + \int \nabla \eta_R \cdot \nabla \bar{u} \Delta u \right),$$

we have, from (1.4), for all times,

$$\left|\partial_t \int \eta_R(x) |u(t,x)|^2 dx\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{R};$$

so that, by (3.3), for $t \in I_1$,

$$\int \eta_R(x) \left| u(t,x) \right|^2 dx \lesssim \epsilon^2 + \frac{\epsilon^{-\mu}}{R}.$$

If $R > \epsilon^{-(\mu+2)}$, then we have $\|\eta_R u\|_{L^{\infty}_{I_1}L^2_x} \lesssim \epsilon$.

Now, we use the pair $(\tilde{a}, r) \in \mathcal{B}_{-s_c}$ used in [7, Lemma 4.2] given by

$$\widetilde{a} = \frac{8\alpha(\alpha + 2 - \theta)}{\alpha[N\alpha + 2b] - \theta[N\alpha - 8 + 2b]}, \ r = \frac{N(\alpha(\alpha + 2 - \theta))}{\alpha(N - b) - \theta(4 - b)}$$

By using the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities, for $t \in I_1$, we deduce that⁴

$$||\eta_R|x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u(t)||_{L_x^{r'}} \lesssim ||u(t)||_{H_x^2}^{\theta} ||u(t)||_{L_x^r}^{\alpha-\theta} ||\eta_R u(t)||_{L_x^r} \lesssim ||\eta_R u(t)||_{L_x^r}.$$
(3.4)

Letting $\hat{\theta}$ be the solution of $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\hat{\theta}}{2} + \frac{1-\hat{\theta}}{p^*}$, we have,

$$\|\eta_R u(t)\|_{L^r_x} \le \|u(t)\|_{L^{p^*}_x}^{1-\hat{\theta}} \|\eta_R u(t)\|_{L^2_x}^{\hat{\theta}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\hat{\theta}},$$
(3.5)

uniformly on time in I_1 . We now exploit the decay of the nonlinearity, instead of assuming radiality⁵, to estimate, by Hölder and Sobolev, for $R(\epsilon) > 0$ large enough and $t \in I_1$,

$$||(1 - \eta_R)|x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u(t)||_{L_{x'}^{r'}} \leq |||x|^{-b}|u|^{\alpha}u(t)||_{L_{\{|x|>R/2\}}^{r'}} \leq |||x|^{-b}||_{L_{\{|x|>R/2\}}^{r_1}} ||u(t)||_{L_{x'}^{\theta}}^{\theta} \leq \frac{1}{R^{br_1 - N}} ||u(t)||_{H_{x}^2}^{\alpha + 1} \leq \epsilon^{\hat{\theta}},$$

$$(3.6)$$

where r_1 and r_2 are such that $br_1 > N$, $\theta r_2 \in (2, N\alpha/(4-b))$ and

$$\frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{\alpha + 1 - \theta}{r}.$$

Combining the Strichartz estimate (2.5), together with estimates (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), one has

⁴See the proof Lemma 4.2 in [7], for more details.

⁵It is one of the crucial estimates which allow us to drop the radiality assumption.

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_{I_1} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha} u(s) \, ds \right\|_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c},[T,+\infty))} &\lesssim || \, |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha} u||_{B'(\dot{H}^{-s_c},I_1)} \\ &\leq || \, \eta_R |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha} u||_{L^{\widetilde{a}'}_{I_1} L^{r'}_x} + || \, (1-\eta_R) |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha} u||_{L^{\widetilde{a}'}_{I_1} L^{r'}_x} \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{1/\widetilde{a}'} \epsilon^{\hat{\theta}} = \epsilon^{\hat{\theta} - \mu/\widetilde{a}'} = \epsilon^{\hat{\theta}/2}, \end{split}$$

where we choose $\mu := \tilde{a}' \hat{\theta}/2$.

We now estimate F_2 . Let $(a, r) \in \mathcal{B}_{s_c}$ and define

$$\frac{1}{c} = \left(\frac{1}{2-s_c}\right) \left[\frac{2}{a} - \delta s_c\right]$$

and

$$\frac{1}{d} = \left(\frac{1}{2-s_c}\right) \left[\frac{2}{r} - s_c \left(\frac{N-4-8\delta}{2N}\right)\right],$$

where $\delta > 0$ is small. It is easy to see that the pair (c, d) is B-admissible⁶. By interpolation,

$$\|F_2\|_{L^a_{[T,+\infty)}L^r_x} \le \|F_2\|_{L^c_{[T,+\infty)}L^d_x}^{\frac{2-s_c}{2}} \|F_2\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}_{[T,+\infty)}L^{\frac{2N}{N-4-8\delta}}}^{\frac{s_c}{2}}.$$

we can rewrite F_2 by (applying Duhamel's principle)

$$F_2 = e^{it\Delta} \left[e^{i(-T+\epsilon^{-\mu})\Delta} u(T-\epsilon^{-\mu}) - u(0) \right].$$

The Strichartz estimate (2.2), with s = 0, leads to

$$\begin{split} \|F_2\|_{L^a_{[T,+\infty)}L^r_x} &\leq \left\|e^{it\Delta} \left[e^{i(-T+\epsilon^{-\mu})\Delta} u(T-\epsilon^{-\mu}) - u(0)\right]\right\|_{L^c_{[T,+\infty)}L^d_x}^{\frac{2-s_c}{2}} \|F_2\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}_{[T,+\infty)}L^{\frac{N-4-8\delta}{2}}}^{\frac{2-s_c}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\right)^{\frac{2-s_c}{2}} \|F_2\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}_{[T,+\infty)}L^{\frac{N-4-8\delta}{2}}}^{\frac{s_c}{2}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{\mu\delta s_c}{2}}. \end{split}$$

The estimate (2.6) and the free Schrödinger operator decay

$$\|e^{it\Delta^2}\cdot\|_{L^r} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{\frac{N}{4}(1-\frac{2}{r})}}\|\cdot\|_{L^{r'}}, \ \forall r \ge 2,$$

yield

$$\begin{split} \|F_2\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}_{[T,+\infty)}L^{\frac{2N}{N-4-8\delta}}_x} \lesssim \left\| \int_{I_2} |\cdot -s|^{-(1+2\delta)} \||x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha} u(s) \|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+4+8\delta}}_x} \, ds \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}_{[T,+\infty)}} \\ \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_t H^2_x}^{\alpha+1} \left\| \left(\cdot -T + \epsilon^{-\mu} \right)^{-2\delta} \right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}_{[T,+\infty)}} \\ \lesssim \epsilon^{\mu\delta}. \end{split}$$

Finally, defining $\gamma := \min\{\frac{\hat{\theta}}{2}, \frac{\mu \delta s_c}{2}\}$ and recalling that

$$e^{i(t-T)\Delta}u(T) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 + iF_1 + iF_2,$$

we obtain (3.1).

⁶Since $a > \frac{4}{2-s_c}$ we have that c > 2, which implies $d < \frac{2N}{N-4}$, that is, the pair (c, d) satisfies (2.1).

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Choose ϵ is small enough so that, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\left|e^{i(\cdot)\Delta}u(T)\right\|_{S\left(\dot{H}^{s_c},[0,+\infty)\right)} = \left\|e^{i(\cdot-T)\Delta}u(T)\right\|_{S\left(\dot{H}^{s_c},[T,+\infty)\right)} \le c\epsilon^{\gamma} \le \delta_{sd},$$

which implies that the norm $||u||_{B(\dot{H}^{s_c},[0,+\infty))}$ is bounded, by Theorem 1.2. Thus, using Lemma 2.4 we conclude that u scatters forward in time in H^2 .

4. Scattering: Proof of Theorem 1.3

To prove scattering, we first obtain some local coercivity results. Then we prove a Virial-Morawetztype estimate to gain control over a suitable norm on large balls. The proof is concluded by using the scattering criterion. We remark here that we do not make any radiality assumption, and instead take advantage of the decay of the nonlinearity.

4.1. **Coercivity.** We recall here the so-called coercivity (also known as *energy-trapping*) results for the IBNLS, which were proved in [15].

Lemma 4.1. Let N, α and b as in Theorem 1.3, and $f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Assume that, for some $\delta_0 > 0$, $M(f)^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} E(f) < (1-\delta_0)M(Q)^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} E(Q).$

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \le \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}.$$

Then there exists $\delta = \delta(\delta_0, N, p, Q)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \le (1-\delta) \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}.$$

Lemma 4.2. Under the conditions of the previous lemma, one also has, for some $\eta > 0$,

$$\int \left[|\Delta f|^2 - \frac{N\alpha + 2b}{4(\alpha + 2)} |x|^{-b} |f|^{\alpha + 2} \right] \, dx \ge \eta \int |x|^{-b} |f|^{\alpha + 2} \, dx.$$

4.2. Virial-Morawetz estimate.

Proposition 4.3. For N, α and b as in Theorem 1.3, let u be a $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -solution to (1.1) satisfying (1.2) and (1.3). Then, for any T > 0,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int |x|^{-b} |u(x,t)|^{\alpha+2} \, dx \, dt \lesssim \frac{1}{T^{\frac{\min\{2,b\}}{1+\min\{2,b\}}}}.$$
(4.1)

Proof. Let $R \gg 1$ to be determined below. We take a to be a smooth radial function satisfying

$$a(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^2, & |x| \le \frac{1}{2} \\ |x|, & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

In the intermediate region $\frac{1}{2} < |x| \le 1$, we impose that

$$\partial_r a \ge 0, \ \partial_r^2 a \ge 0.$$

Here, ∂_r denotes the radial derivative, i.e., $\partial_r a = \nabla a \cdot \frac{x}{|x|}$. Note that for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$a_{ij} = 2\delta_{ij}, \ \Delta a = 2N, \ \text{and} \ \partial^{\beta} a = 0 \ \text{for} \ |\beta| \ge 3.$$

Finally, define

$$a_R(x) = R^2 a(x/R).$$

Consider now the Virial/Morawez quantity

$$Z(t) := \operatorname{Im} \int \nabla a_R \cdot \nabla u \, \bar{u} \, dx.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz, one has $\sup_{t} |Z(t)| \leq R$. We now make use of the virial identity (see [6] and [14]):

$$Z'(t) = -4\sum_{i,j,k} \int \partial_{jk} a_R \partial_{ik} \bar{u} \partial_{ij} u \, dx + \int \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \Delta a_R + \frac{2b}{\alpha+2} \frac{x \cdot \nabla a_R}{|x|^2}\right) |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha+2} \, dx \quad (4.2)$$

$$+2\sum_{j,k}\int \partial_{jk}\Delta a_R \partial_j \bar{u}\partial_k u\,dx - \frac{1}{2}\int \Delta^3 a_R |u|^2\,dx + \int \Delta^2 a_R |\nabla u|^2\,dx.$$
(4.3)

By Cauchy-Schwarz and the definition of a_R (together with the classical chain rule), one has

$$|(4.3)| \lesssim \frac{1}{R^2}.$$
 (4.4)

For the main term, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) &= -4 \int_{|x| \le \frac{R}{2}} \left[|\Delta u|^2 - \frac{N\alpha + 2b}{4(\alpha + 2)} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha + 2} \right] dx \\ &- 2 \int_{|x| > \frac{R}{2}} \partial_r^2 a |\nabla \partial_r u|^2 - 2 \sum_i \int_{|x| > \frac{R}{2}} \frac{\partial_r a}{|x|} |\nabla \partial_i u|^2 + O(\int_{|x| > \frac{R}{2}} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha + 2}) \\ &\le -4 \int_{|x| \le \frac{R}{2}} \left[|\Delta u|^2 - \frac{N\alpha + 2b}{4(\alpha + 2)} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha + 2} \right] dx + O(\frac{1}{R^b}), \end{aligned}$$

where the angular derivative is defined as $\forall u = \nabla u - \frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{|x|^2} x$. The terms $\forall \partial_i u$ are not necessarily zero, since we are not assuming radiality, but the corresponding integrals can be discarded for having a non-negative sign. Therefore,

$$-Z'(t) \ge \int_{|x| \le \frac{R}{2}} \left[|\Delta u|^2 - \frac{N\alpha + 2b}{4(\alpha + 2)} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha + 2} \right] dx + O(\frac{1}{R^{\min\{2,b\}}}),$$

which, by integration on time, gives

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{|x| \le \frac{R}{2}} \left[|\Delta u|^{2} - \frac{N\alpha + 2b}{4(\alpha + 2)} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha + 2} \right] dx dt \lesssim R + \frac{T}{R^{\min\{2, b\}}}, \tag{4.5}$$

We now show that there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{|x| \le \frac{R}{2}} \left[|\Delta u|^2 - \frac{N\alpha + 2b}{4(\alpha + 2)} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha + 2} \right] dx \ge \eta \int_{|x| \le \frac{R}{2}} |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha + 2} dx + O(\frac{1}{R^2}).$$
(4.6)

Indeed, if ϕ^A is a smooth cutoff to the set $\{|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ that vanishes outside $\{|x| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{A}\}$, define $\chi^A_R(x) := \phi^A(\frac{|x|}{R})$. We then have

$$\Delta(\chi_R^A u) = \chi_R^A \Delta u + 2\nabla \chi_R^A \cdot \nabla u + u \Delta \chi_R^A = \chi_R^A \Delta u + O(\frac{1}{R}), \tag{4.7}$$

so that, if $\delta_0 > 0$ is such that $M(u_0)^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} E(u_0) \le (1-\delta_0)M(Q)^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}}E(Q)$, then

$$M(\chi_R^A u)^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} E(\chi_R^A u) \le M(u_0)^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} E(u_0) + \frac{C}{R} \le (1 - \frac{\delta_0}{2}) M(Q)^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} E(Q)$$

$$\|\chi_R^A u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} \|\Delta(\chi_R^A u)\|_{L^2} \le \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} + \frac{C}{R} \le \|Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2-s_c}{s_c}} \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2},$$

if R > 0 is large enough (uniformly on time). Therefore, by Lemma 4.2,

$$\int \left[|\Delta(\chi_R^A u)|^2 - \frac{N\alpha + 2b}{4(\alpha + 2)} |\chi_R^A u|^{\alpha + 2} \right] dx \ge \eta \int |\chi_R^A u|^{\alpha + 2} dx.$$

Now, by (4.7) and by letting $A \to +\infty$, (4.6) is proved. Combining (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$\int_0^T \int |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha+2} \, dx dt \lesssim R + \frac{T}{R^{\min\{2,b\}}}.$$

By choosing $R = T^{\frac{1}{1+\min\{2,b\}}}$, we finally get

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int |x|^{-b} |u|^{\alpha+2} \, dx \, dt \lesssim \frac{1}{T^{\frac{\min\{2,b\}}{1+\min\{2,b\}}}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Proposition 4.3, there exists a sequence of times $\{t_n\}$ such that $t_n \to +\infty$ and

$$\int |x|^{-b} |u(t_n)|^{2\alpha+2} \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Now, by choosing R as in Proposition 1.5 and using Hölder's inequality:

$$\int_{|x| \le R} |u(t_n)|^2 \lesssim R^{\frac{2b+N\alpha}{\alpha+2}} \left(\int_{|x| \le R} |x|^{-b} |u(t_n)|^{\alpha+2} \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha+2}} \to 0, \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

Therefore u scatters forward in time in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

References

- L. Campos and M. Cardoso. A virial-morawetz approach to scattering for the non-radial inhomogeneous NLS. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.11266, 2021.
- [2] M. Cardoso, C. Guzman, and A. Pastor. Global well-posedness and critical norm concentration for inhomogeneous biharmonic NLS. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.04715, 2020.
- [3] Y. Cho, T. Ozawa, and C. Wang. Finite time blowup for the fourth-order NLS. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 53(2):615–640, 2016.
- [4] V. D. Dinh. Dynamics of radial solutions for the focusing fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Nonlinearity, 34(2):776-821, 2021.
- [5] B. Dodson and J. Murphy. A new proof of scattering below the ground state for the 3D radial focusing cubic NLS. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 145(11):4859–4867, 2017.
- [6] Q. Guo. Scattering for the focusing L²-supercritical and H²-subcritical biharmonic NLS equations. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 41(2):185–207, 2016.
- [7] C. M. Guzmán and A. Pastor. On the inhomogeneous biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation: local, global and stability results. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 56:103174, 35 pp., 2020.
- [8] C. M. Guzmán and A. Pastor. Some remarks on the inhomogeneous biharmonic NLS equation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.01509, 2021.
- [9] V. Karpman. Stabilization of soliton instabilities by higher-order dispersion: fourth-order nonlinear Schrödingertype equations. *Physical Review E*, 53(2):R1336, 1996.
- [10] V. Karpman and A. Shagalov. Stability of solitons described by nonlinear schrödinger-type equations with higher-order dispersion. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 144(1-2):194–210, 2000.
- [11] C. E. Kenig and F. Merle. Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing, non-linear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. *Invent. Math.*, 166(3):645–675, 2006.
- [12] X. Liu and T. Zhang. Bilinear Strichartz's type estimates in Besov spaces with application to inhomogeneous nonlinear biharmonic Schrödinger equation. J. Differential Equations, 296:335–368, 2021.
- [13] J. Murphy. A simple proof of scattering for the intercritical inhomogeneous NLS. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.04811, 2021.

L. CAMPOS AND C. M. GUZMÁN

- [14] B. Pausader. Global well-posedness for energy critical fourth-order Schrödinger equations in the radial case. Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ., 4(3):197–225, 2007.
- [15] T. Saanouni. Energy scattering for radial focusing inhomogeneous bi-harmonic Schrödinger equations. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 60(3):113, 2021.
- [16] T. Tao. On the asymptotic behavior of large radial data for a focusing non-linear Schrödinger equation. Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ., 1(1):1–48, 2004.

LUCCAS CAMPOS

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS, MG, BRAZIL.

 $Email \ address: \verb+luccasccampos@gmail.com+$

CARLOS M. GUZMÁN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLUMINENSE FEDERAL UNIVERSITY, BRAZIL

 $Email \ address: \verb"carlos.guz.j@gmail.com"$