

ABSTRACT DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND CAPUTO FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE

PAULO M. DE CARVALHO-NETO

ABSTRACT. In this work I consider the abstract Cauchy problems with Caputo fractional time derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and discuss the continuity of the respective solutions regarding the parameter α . I also present a study about the continuity of the Mittag-Leffler families of operators (for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$), induced by sectorial operators.

1. CONTEXTUALIZATION OF THE MAIN PROBLEM

Let me begin by presenting the abstract Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} cD_t^\alpha u(t) = Au(t) + f(t, u(t)), & t \geq 0, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in X, \end{cases} \quad (P_\alpha)$$

where X is a Banach space over \mathbb{C} , $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a sectorial operator, $f : [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous function and cD_t^α denotes Caputo fractional derivative of order α , when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and denotes the standard derivative when $\alpha = 1$. (see Section 2 for more details).

In a previous work [3] (see also [4]), which was done with some collaborators, I have presented several results about the existence, uniqueness and continuation of mild solutions to problem (P_α) , when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. In fact, the results obtained in [3] are similar to the classical results that are obtained when $\alpha = 1$. Therefore, in order to clarify the differences between these two situations, let me present the following notions and results.

Definition 1. Consider $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and T a positive real value.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A33, 35R11, 33E12.

Key words and phrases. fractional differential equations, Mittag-Leffler operators, sectorial operators, semilinear equations.

(a) A function $\phi_\alpha : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ is called a local mild solution of (P_α) in $[0, T]$ if $\phi_\alpha \in C([0, T]; X)$ and

$$\phi_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} E_\alpha(At^\alpha)u_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(A(t-s)^\alpha) f(s, \phi_\alpha(s)) ds, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ e^{At}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} f(s, \phi_\alpha(s)) ds, & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

for every $t \in [0, T]$.

(b) A function $\phi : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ is called a local mild solution of (P_α) in $[0, T]$, if for any $T^* \in (0, T)$, $\phi(t)$ is a local mild solution of (P_α) in $[0, T^*]$.

Definition 2. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ be given. A function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ is called a global mild solution of (P_α) , if for any $T^* > 0$, $\phi(t)$ is a local mild solution of (P_α) in $[0, T^*]$.

It worths to recall that the family of operators $\{e^{At} : t \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ is the standard analytic semigroup generated by the sectorial operator A , while the families $\{E_\alpha(At^\alpha) : t \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\{E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^\alpha) : t \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$, which are called Mittag-Leffler operators, are standard from the literature of fractional differential equations (see for instance [3], and references therein). However, for the completeness of this manuscript I also present more details about these operators in Section 2.

By introducing the notions of continuation of mild solution and maximal local mild solution, it is possible to prove an interesting result, which was called in [3] ‘‘Blow up Alternative’’. The ‘‘Blow up Alternative’’ was what motivated the discussion proposed in this work.

Definition 3. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and let $\phi_\alpha : [0, T) \rightarrow X$ be a local mild solution in $[0, T)$ of problem (P_α) . If $T^* \geq T$ and $\phi_\alpha^* : [0, T^*] \rightarrow X$ is a local mild solution to (P_α) in $[0, T^*]$, with $\phi_\alpha^*(t) = \phi_\alpha(t)$, for every $t \in [0, T)$, then I say that $\phi_\alpha^*(t)$ is a continuation of $\phi_\alpha(t)$ over $[0, T^*]$.

Definition 4. Consider $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $\phi_\alpha : [0, T) \rightarrow X$. If $\phi(t)$ is a local mild solution of (P_α) in $[0, T)$ that does not have a continuation in $[0, T]$, then I call it a maximal local mild solution of (P_α) in $[0, T)$.

Theorem 5 (Blow up Alternative). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $f : [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous function, locally Lipschitz in the second variable, uniformly with respect to the first variable, and bounded (i.e. it maps bounded sets onto bounded sets). Then problem (P_α) has a global mild solution $\phi_\alpha(t)$ in $[0, \infty)$ or there exist $\omega_\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\phi_\alpha : [0, \omega_\alpha) \rightarrow X$ is a maximal local mild solution in $[0, \omega_\alpha)$, and in such a case, $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \omega_\alpha^-} \|\phi_\alpha(t)\| = \infty$.

Remark 6. *Although in [3] I have not presented a proof for Theorem 5 in the case $\alpha = 1$, it is simple to adapt such result. Therefore, since this proof does not bring any gain to the results discussed in this paper, I prefer to omit it from this manuscript.*

Theorem 5 is my main concern from now on. Observe that for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there exists $\omega_\alpha > 0$ (it may occurs $\omega_\alpha = \infty$ for some values of $\alpha \in (0, 1]$) and a respective maximal local mild solution (or global mild solution) $\phi_\alpha : [0, \omega_\alpha) \rightarrow X$ to problem (P_α) .

It is very natural that several questions can be raised from the facts emphasized above. In this paper, the main questions I discuss are the following:

- Q1) Does $E_\alpha(At^\alpha)$ converges to the semigroup e^{At} , when $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$, in some sense?
- Q2) Does $E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^\alpha)$ converges to the semigroup e^{At} , when $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$, in some sense?
- Q3) Is the intersection of every $[0, \omega_\alpha)$ non trivial, i.e.,

$$\{0\} \subsetneq \bigcap_{\alpha \in (0,1]} [0, \omega_\alpha) ?$$

- Q4) Does $\phi_\alpha(t)$ converges to $\phi_1(t)$, when $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$, in some sense?

The set of questions made above are called here the “Limit Problems”. The main objective of this work is to answer these questions as fully as possible.

In order to describe the subjects dealt with in this work, I present a short summary of each of the following sections.

Section 2 makes a small survey about the notions used in this manuscript as a whole. To be more precise, in this section I recall the notions of sectorial operators, analytical semigroups, Mittag-Leffler operators and Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Finally, in Section 3 I present the results that answer the four questions called the Limit Problems, while in Section 4 I present a short last discussion about the results obtained in Section 3.

2. A SMALL SURVEY ON SECTORIAL OPERATORS AND FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

Let me begin by recalling the notions of sectorial operators and analytical semigroups. It worths to emphasize that for a complete discussion of these notions I may refer to [8, 9, 10, 13] and the references therein.

Definition 7. *A semigroup of linear operators on X , or simply semigroup, is a family $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that:*

- i) $T(0) = I_X$, where $I = I_X$ is the identity operator in X .
- ii) It holds that $T(t)T(s) = T(t + s)$, for any $t, s \geq 0$.

Definition 8. Assume that $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a semigroup. If it holds that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} T(t)x = x,$$

for every $x \in X$, then I say that it is a C_0 -semigroup.

Definition 9. Let $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a C_0 -semigroup. Its Infinitesimal generator is the linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$, where

$$D(A) := \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t} \text{ exists} \right\}$$

and

$$Ax := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t}.$$

From the definitions above, I may present the classical result that relates semigroups and the linear part of (P_α) , when $\alpha = 1$.

Theorem 10. Suppose that $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ is a C_0 -semigroup on X . If $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is the infinitesimal generator of $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$, then A is a closed and densely defined linear operator. Also, for any $x \in D(A)$ the application $[0, \infty) \ni t \rightarrow T(t)x \in X$ is continuously differentiable and

$$\frac{d}{dt}T(t)x = AT(t)x = T(t)Ax, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Proof. See Theorems 10.3.1 and 10.3.3 in [9]. □

Remark 11. From now on, to avoid confusion in this manuscript, whenever A is the infinitesimal generator of $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$, I shall write that e^{At} instead of $T(t)$.

Now I describe an important curve in the complex plane, that is used throughout the text.

Definition 12. The symbol Ha denotes the Hankel's path, if there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, where $Ha = Ha_1 + Ha_2 - Ha_3$, and the paths Ha_i are given by

$$Ha = \begin{cases} Ha_1 := \{te^{i\theta} : t \in [\epsilon, \infty)\}, \\ Ha_2 := \{\epsilon e^{it} : t \in [-\theta, \theta)\}, \\ Ha_3 := \{te^{-i\theta} : t \in [\epsilon, \infty)\}. \end{cases}$$

The following discussion provides a brief description of the basic results of the theory of sectorial operators and analytical semigroups.

Definition 13. Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a closed and densely defined operator. The operator A is said to be a sectorial operator if there exist $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ and $M_\theta > 0$ such that

$$S_\theta := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(\lambda)| \leq \theta, \lambda \neq 0\} \subset \rho(A)$$

and

$$\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{M_\theta}{|\lambda|}, \quad \forall \lambda \in S_\theta.$$

Theorem 14. *If $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a sectorial operator, then A generates a C_0 -semigroup $\{e^{At} : t \geq 0\}$, which is given by*

$$e^{At} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda - A)^{-1} d\lambda, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where $Ha \subset \rho(A)$. Moreover, there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M_1, \quad \|Ae^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq (M_1/t),$$

for every $t > 0$. Finally, for any $x \in X$ the function $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto e^{At}x \in X$ is analytic and

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{At}x = Ae^{At}x,$$

for every $t > 0$.

Proof. See Theorem 1.3.4 in [8] or Theorem 6.13 in [13, Chapter 2]. □

Now, let me draw our attention to the fractional calculus, the Mittag-Leffler functions and their relations with the sectorial operators.

Definition 15. *For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$, the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ of $f(t)$ is defined by*

$$J_t^\alpha f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad (1)$$

for every $t \in [0, T]$ such that integral (1) exists. Above Γ denotes the classical Euler's gamma function.

Definition 16. *For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$, the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ of $f(t)$ is defined by*

$${}_cD_{t_0, t}^\alpha f(t) := \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ J_t^{1-\alpha} [f(t) - f(0)] \right\}, \quad (2)$$

for every $t \in [0, T]$ such that (2) exists.

Remark 17. *I could have defined Caputo fractional derivative for any $\alpha > 0$, however this is not the focus of this work. It also worths to emphasize that ${}_cD_t^1$ is indeed equal to (d/dt) .*

I end this section presenting the Mittag-Leffler operators which are related with the solution of the linear part of (P_α) , when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ (confront the following result with Theorem 14).

Theorem 18. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and suppose that $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a sectorial operator. Then, the operators*

$$E_\alpha(At^\alpha) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha} e^{\lambda t} \lambda^{\alpha-1} (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} d\lambda, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^\alpha) := \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} d\lambda, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $Ha \subset \rho(A)$, are well defined. Furthermore, it holds that:

- (i) $E_\alpha(At^\alpha)x|_{t=0} = E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^\alpha)x|_{t=0} = x$, for any $x \in X$;
- (ii) $E_\alpha(At^\alpha)$ and $E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^\alpha)$ are strongly continuous, i.e., for each $x \in X$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_\alpha(At^\alpha)x - x\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^\alpha)x - x\| = 0;$$

- (iii) There exists a constant $M_2 > 0$ (uniform on α) such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|E_\alpha(At^\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M_2;$$

- (iv) For each $x \in X$, the function $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto E_\alpha(At^\alpha)x$ is analytic and it is the unique solution of

$${}_c D_t^\alpha E_\alpha(At^\alpha)x = A E_\alpha(At^\alpha)x, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. See Theorem 2.1 in [3] and Theorem 2.44 in [4]. □

Remark 19. *For a more complete survey on the special functions named Mittag-Leffler, I suggest the very interesting book [6].*

3. THE LIMIT PROBLEMS

Like it was pointed out in Section 1, here I focus my attention in the Limit Problems. At first, I would like to emphasize that the study I am proposing here was already discussed in the literature, however seeking to answer other questions. I can cite as examples two papers, namely [1, 11].

For instance, Almeida-Ferreira in [1] studied this kind of limit questions involving the solutions of the semilinear fractional partial differential equation,

$$\begin{cases} {}_c D_t^{1+\alpha} u(t, x) = \Delta_x u(t, x) + |u(t, x)|^\rho u(t, x), & (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(0, x) = u_0 \text{ and } \partial_t u(0, x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, which interpolates the semilinear heat and wave equations. They studied the existence, uniqueness and regularity of solution to this problem in Morrey spaces and then showed that when $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$, the solution of the problem loses its native regularity. On the other hand, in [11] the authors discuss these kind of limits to α -times resolvent families, which are not our main objective here.

3.1. **Questions Q1) and Q2).** In order to give a complete answer, let me begin by proving the following limit theorems.

Theorem 20. *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a sectorial operator and $\{e^{At} : t \geq 0\}$ the C_0 -semigroup generated by A . Now assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and consider the Mittag-Leffler family of operators $\{E_\alpha(At^\alpha) : t \geq 0\}$. Then, for each $t \geq 0$ I have that*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \|E_\alpha(At^\alpha) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = 0.$$

Moreover, the convergence is uniform on every compact $S \subset (0, \infty)$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \left\{ \max_{s \in S} [\|E_\alpha(As^\alpha) - e^{As}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}] \right\} = 0.$$

Proof. Let me assume that $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Consider $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, S_θ the sector associated with the sectorial operator A and $M_\theta > 0$ such that

$$\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{M_\theta}{|\lambda|}, \quad \forall \lambda \in S_\theta. \quad (3)$$

Choose $\epsilon > 1$ and consider the Hankel's path $Ha = Ha_1 + Ha_2 - Ha_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Ha_1 &:= \{te^{i\theta} : t \in [\epsilon, \infty)\}, & Ha_2 &:= \{\epsilon e^{it} : t \in [-\theta, \theta]\}, \\ & & Ha_3 &:= \{te^{-i\theta} : t \in [\epsilon, \infty)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The choices made above ensures that $Ha \subset \rho(A)$, therefore observe by Theorem 14 and Theorem 18 that

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha(At^\alpha)x - e^{At}x &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha} e^{\lambda t} \left[\lambda^{\alpha-1}(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}x - \lambda^{\alpha-1}(\lambda - A)^{-1}x \right] d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha} e^{\lambda t} \left[\lambda^{\alpha-1}(\lambda - A)^{-1}x - (\lambda - A)^{-1}x \right] d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

what is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &E_\alpha(At^\alpha)x - e^{At}x \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha_j} e^{\lambda t} \left[\lambda^{\alpha-1}(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}x - \lambda^{\alpha-1}(\lambda - A)^{-1}x \right] d\lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha_j} e^{\lambda t} \left[\lambda^{\alpha-1}(\lambda - A)^{-1}x - (\lambda - A)^{-1}x \right] d\lambda \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the first resolvent identity (see [5, Lemma 6]) in the first term in the right side of the above equality, I obtain the identity

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha(At^\alpha)x - e^{At}x &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\{ \int_{Ha_j} e^{\lambda t} \left[\lambda^{\alpha-1} [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \right] d\lambda \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \int_{Ha_i} e^{\lambda t} [\lambda^{\alpha-1} - 1] (\lambda - A)^{-1} x d\lambda \right\} \right\}. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $S \subset (0, \infty)$ is a compact set and define the real values

$$M_1 = \min\{s : s \in S\} \quad \text{e} \quad M_2 = \max\{\epsilon \cos(s)t : s \in [-\theta, \theta] \text{ and } t \in S\}.$$

If $t \in S$, I have that:

(i) Over Ha_1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Ha_1} e^{\lambda t} \left\{ \lambda^{\alpha-1} [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \right\} d\lambda \\ = \int_\epsilon^\infty e^{(\tau e^{i\theta})t} \left\{ (\tau e^{i\theta})^{\alpha-1} \left[(\tau e^{i\theta}) - (\tau e^{i\theta})^\alpha \right] \right. \\ \left. \times \left((\tau e^{i\theta})^\alpha - A \right)^{-1} \left((\tau e^{i\theta}) - A \right)^{-1} x \right\} e^{i\theta} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Ha_1} e^{\lambda t} [\lambda^{\alpha-1} - 1] (\lambda - A)^{-1} x d\lambda \\ = \int_\epsilon^\infty e^{(\tau e^{i\theta})t} \left\{ \left[(\tau e^{i\theta})^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right] \left((\tau e^{i\theta}) - A \right)^{-1} x \right\} e^{i\theta} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

from which, by considering the sectorial operator estimate (3), I deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{Ha_1} e^{\lambda t} \left\{ \lambda^{\alpha-1} [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \right\} d\lambda \right\| \\ \leq (M_\theta)^2 \|x\| \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]M_1} \left| (\tau e^{i\theta}) - (\tau e^{i\theta})^\alpha \right|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right] =: \mathcal{J}_1(\alpha) \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in S$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{Ha_1} e^{\lambda t} [\lambda^{\alpha-1} - 1] (\lambda - A)^{-1} x d\lambda \right\| \\ \leq M_\theta \|x\| \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]M_1} \left| (\tau e^{i\theta})^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right] =: \mathcal{J}_2(\alpha) \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in S$.

(ii) Over Ha_2

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Ha_2} e^{\lambda t} \left\{ \lambda^{\alpha-1} [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \right\} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{(\epsilon e^{i\tau})t} \left\{ (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^{\alpha-1} \left[(\epsilon e^{i\tau}) - (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^\alpha \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left((\epsilon e^{i\tau})^\alpha - A \right)^{-1} \left((\epsilon e^{i\tau}) - A \right)^{-1} x \right\} i \epsilon e^{i\tau} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Ha_2} e^{\lambda t} [\lambda^{\alpha-1} - 1] (\lambda - A)^{-1} x d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{(\epsilon e^{i\tau})t} \left\{ \left[(\epsilon e^{i\tau})^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right] \left((\epsilon e^{i\tau}) - A \right)^{-1} x \right\} i \epsilon e^{i\tau} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

by considering the sectorial operator estimate (3), I deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{Ha_2} e^{\lambda t} \left\{ \lambda^{\alpha-1} [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \right\} d\lambda \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{(M_\theta)^2 \|x\|}{\epsilon} \left[\int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{[\epsilon \cos(\tau)]t} \left| (\epsilon e^{i\tau}) - (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^\alpha \right| d\tau \right] \\ & \leq \frac{(M_\theta)^2 \|x\|}{\epsilon} \left[\int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{M_2} \left| (\epsilon e^{i\tau}) - (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^\alpha \right| d\tau \right] =: \mathcal{J}_3(\alpha) \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in S$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{Ha_2} e^{\lambda t} [\lambda^{\alpha-1} - 1] (\lambda - A)^{-1} x d\lambda \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{M_\theta \|x\|}{\epsilon} \left[\int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{M_2} \left| (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right| d\tau \right] =: \mathcal{J}_4(\alpha) \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in S$.

(iii) Over Ha_3 it is analogous to item (i), therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{Ha_1} e^{\lambda t} \left\{ \lambda^{\alpha-1} [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \right\} d\lambda \right\| \\ & \leq (M_\theta)^2 \|x\| \left[\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]M_1} \left| (\tau e^{-i\theta}) - (\tau e^{-i\theta})^\alpha \right|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right] =: \mathcal{J}_5(\alpha) \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{Ha_1} e^{\lambda t} [\lambda^{\alpha-1} - 1] (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \, d\lambda \right\| \\ & \leq M_\theta \|x\| \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)] M_1} \left| (\tau e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right|}{\tau^2} \, d\tau \right] =: \mathcal{J}_6(\alpha) \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, I can estimate (4) by

$$\|E_\alpha(At^\alpha) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{J}_i(\alpha),$$

for every $t \in S$.

A consequence of the dominated convergence theorem ensures that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{J}_i(\alpha) = 0,$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, therefore I deduce that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \left\{ \max_{s \in S} [\|E_\alpha(As^\alpha) - e^{As}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}] \right\} = 0.$$

This is the second part of the proof. The first part, for the case $t > 0$, follows from this second part, while the first part is trivial when $t = 0$. \square

Theorem 21. *Consider $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ a sectorial operator, $\{e^{At} : t \geq 0\}$ the C_0 -semigroup generated by A and $\{E_\alpha(At^\alpha) : t \geq 0\}$ the Mittag-Leffler family of operators. Now assume that:*

(i) $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset (0, 1]$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ is such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha;$$

(ii) $\{\sigma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 0.$$

Then, it holds that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|E_{\alpha_n}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha_n}) - E_\alpha(A(\sigma_n)^\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = 0.$$

Proof. By following the same process presented in the proof of Theorem 20, I deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\alpha_n}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha_n})x - E_{\alpha}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha})x \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\{ \int_{Ha_i} e^{\lambda\sigma_n} \left[\lambda^{\alpha_n-1} [\lambda^{\alpha} - \lambda^{\alpha_n}] (\lambda^{\alpha_n} - A)^{-1} (\lambda^{\alpha} - A)^{-1} x \right] d\lambda \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. + \int_{Ha_i} e^{\lambda\sigma_n} [\lambda^{\alpha_n-1} - \lambda^{\alpha-1}] (\lambda^{\alpha} - A)^{-1} x d\lambda \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Last identity allows me to obtain the inequality

$$\|E_{\alpha}(-At^{\alpha}) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{J}_i(\alpha, \alpha_n),$$

for every $t \in S$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1(\alpha, \alpha_n) &= (M_{\theta})^2 \left[\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]\sigma_n} \left| (\tau e^{i\theta})^{\alpha} - (\tau e^{i\theta})^{\alpha_n} \right|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right], \\ \mathcal{J}_2(\alpha, \alpha_n) &= M_{\theta} \left[\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]\sigma_n} \left| (\tau e^{i\theta})^{\alpha_n-1} - (\tau e^{i\theta})^{\alpha-1} \right|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right], \\ \mathcal{J}_3(\alpha, \alpha_n) &= \frac{(M_{\theta})^2}{\epsilon} \left[\int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{M_2} \left| (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^{\alpha} - (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^{\alpha_n} \right| d\tau \right], \\ \mathcal{J}_4(\alpha, \alpha_n) &= \frac{M_{\theta}}{\epsilon} \left[\int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{M_2} \left| (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^{\alpha_n-1} - (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^{\alpha-1} \right| d\tau \right], \\ \mathcal{J}_5(\alpha, \alpha_n) &= (M_{\theta})^2 \left[\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]\sigma_n} \left| (\tau e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha} - (\tau e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha_n} \right|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right], \\ \mathcal{J}_6(\alpha, \alpha_n) &= M_{\theta} \left[\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]\sigma_n} \left| (\tau e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha_n-1} - (\tau e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha-1} \right|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Again, the dominated convergence theorem ensures that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_i(\alpha, \alpha_n) = 0,$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, therefore I obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|E_{\alpha_n}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha_n}) - E_{\alpha}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha})\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = 0.$$

□

Theorem 22. *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a sectorial operator and $\{e^{At} : t \geq 0\}$ the C_0 -semigroup generated by A . Now assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and consider the Mittag-Leffler family of operators $\{E_{\alpha, \alpha}(At^\alpha) : t \geq 0\}$. Then, for each $t \geq 0$ I have that*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \|E_{\alpha, \alpha}(At^\alpha) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = 0.$$

Moreover, the convergence is uniform on every compact $S \subset (0, \infty)$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \left\{ \max_{s \in S} [\|E_{\alpha, \alpha}(As^\alpha) - e^{As}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}] \right\} = 0.$$

Proof. Like I did in the proof of Theorem 20, let me assume that $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Consider $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, S_θ the sector associated with the sectorial operator A and $M_\theta > 0$ such that

$$\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{M_\theta}{|\lambda|}, \quad \forall \lambda \in S_\theta. \quad (5)$$

Choose $\epsilon > 1$ and consider the Hankel's path $Ha = Ha_1 + Ha_2 - Ha_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Ha_1 &:= \{te^{i\theta} : t \in [\epsilon, \infty)\}, & Ha_2 &:= \{\epsilon e^{it} : t \in [-\theta, \theta]\}, \\ & & Ha_3 &:= \{te^{-i\theta} : t \in [\epsilon, \infty)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The choices made above ensures that $Ha \subset \rho(A)$, therefore observe by Theorem 14 and Theorem 18 that

$$E_{\alpha, \alpha}(At^\alpha)x - e^{At}x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha_i} e^{\lambda t} [(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}x - (\lambda - A)^{-1}x] d\lambda,$$

what, thanks to the first resolvent identity (see [5, Lemma 6]), is equivalent to

$$E_{\alpha, \alpha}(At^\alpha)x - e^{At}x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\{ \int_{Ha_j} e^{\lambda t} [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x d\lambda \right\}.$$

Now consider $S \subset (0, \infty)$ a compact set and

$$M_1 = \min\{s : s \in S\} \quad \text{e} \quad M_2 = \max\{\epsilon \cos(s)t : s \in [-\theta, \theta] \text{ and } t \in S\}.$$

If $t \in S$, I have that:

(i) Over Ha_1

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Ha_1} e^{\lambda t} \left\{ [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \right\} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} e^{(\tau e^{i\theta})t} \left\{ [(\tau e^{i\theta}) - (\tau e^{i\theta})^\alpha] \left((\tau e^{i\theta})^\alpha - A \right)^{-1} \left((\tau e^{i\theta}) - A \right)^{-1} x \right\} e^{i\theta} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

from which, by considering the sectorial operator estimate (5), I deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{Ha_1} e^{\lambda t} \{ [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \} d\lambda \right\| \\ & \leq (M_\theta)^2 \|x\| \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)] M_1} |(\tau e^{i\theta}) - (\tau e^{i\theta})^\alpha|}{\tau^{\alpha+1}} d\tau \right] =: \mathcal{J}_1(\alpha) \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in S$.

(ii) Over Ha_2

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Ha_2} e^{\lambda t} \{ [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \} d\lambda \\ & = \int_{-\theta}^\theta e^{(\epsilon e^{i\tau}) t} \{ [(\epsilon e^{i\tau}) - (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^\alpha] ((\epsilon e^{i\tau})^\alpha - A)^{-1} ((\epsilon e^{i\tau}) - A)^{-1} x \} i \epsilon e^{i\tau} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, if I consider the sectorial operator estimate (5), I obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{Ha_2} e^{\lambda t} \{ [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \} d\lambda \right\| \\ & \leq (M_\theta)^2 \epsilon^{-\alpha} \|x\| \left[\int_{-\theta}^\theta e^{M_2} |(\epsilon e^{i\tau}) - (\epsilon e^{i\tau})^\alpha| d\tau \right] =: \mathcal{J}_2(\alpha) \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in S$.

(iii) Over Ha_3 it is analogous to item (i), therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{Ha_1} e^{\lambda t} \{ [\lambda - \lambda^\alpha] (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} (\lambda - A)^{-1} x \} d\lambda \right\| \\ & \leq (M_\theta)^2 \|x\| \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)] M_1} |(\tau e^{-i\theta}) - (\tau e^{-i\theta})^\alpha|}{\tau^{\alpha+1}} d\tau \right] =: \mathcal{J}_3(\alpha) \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, I achieve the estimate

$$\|E_\alpha(At^\alpha) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \mathcal{J}_1(\alpha) + \mathcal{J}_2(\alpha) + \mathcal{J}_3(\alpha),$$

for every $t \in S$.

A consequence of the dominated convergence theorem ensures

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{J}_1(\alpha) = 0, \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{J}_2(\alpha) = 0, \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{J}_3(\alpha) = 0,$$

therefore I have

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \left\{ \max_{s \in S} [\|E_{\alpha, \alpha}(As^\alpha) - e^{As}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}] \right\} = 0.$$

This is the second part of the proof. The first part, for the case $t > 0$, follows from this second part, while the first part is trivial when $t = 0$. \square

A natural question that arises at this point of this manuscript is: Is it possible to obtain other modes of convergence to these operators? To answer this question, I begin by presenting the following notion.

Definition 23. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $p \geq 1$. The symbol $L^p(S; \mathcal{L}(X))$ is used to represent the set of all Bochner measurable functions $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ for which $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \in L^p(S; \mathbb{R})$, where $L^p(S; \mathbb{R})$ stands for the classical Lebesgue space. Moreover, $L^p(S; \mathcal{L}(X))$ is a Banach space when considered with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(S; \mathcal{L}(X))} := \left[\int_S \|f(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p ds \right]^{1/p}.$$

The vectorial spaces $L^p(S; \mathcal{L}(X))$ are called Bochner-Lebesgue spaces.

For more details on the Bochner measurable functions and Bochner-Lebesgue integrable functions, I may refer to [2, 12] and references therein.

Now I present the last theorem of this subsection.

Theorem 24. Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a sectorial operator and $\{e^{At} : t \geq 0\}$ the C_0 -semigroup generated by A . Now assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and consider the Mittag-Leffler families $\{E_\alpha(At^\alpha) : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{E_{\alpha, \alpha}(At^\alpha) : t \geq 0\}$. If $p \geq 1$ and $S \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ is compact, then

$$e^{At} \in L^p(S; \mathcal{L}(X)), \quad E_\alpha(At^\alpha) \in L^p(S; \mathcal{L}(X)) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\alpha, \alpha}(At^\alpha) \in L^p(S; \mathcal{L}(X)).$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \left[\int_S \|E_\alpha(As^\alpha) - e^{As}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p ds \right]^{1/p} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \left[\int_S \|E_{\alpha, \alpha}(As^\alpha) - e^{As}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p ds \right]^{1/p} = 0.$$

Proof. Like it was done in the proof of Theorem 20, if I choose $\epsilon > 1$ and $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, with the aid of Theorem 14, I can deduce the existence of $\widetilde{M} > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \widetilde{M} \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]t}}{\tau} d\tau + \int_{-\theta}^\theta e^{[\epsilon \cos(\tau)]t} d\tau \right]$$

for every $t \in S$. Thus, by considering Minkowski's integral inequality (see Theorem 202 in [7]) and the triangular inequality of $L^p(S; X)$, I obtain

$$\left[\int_S \|e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p dt \right]^{1/p} \leq \widetilde{M} \left[\int_S \left(\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]t}}{\tau} d\tau + \int_{-\theta}^\theta e^{[\epsilon \cos(\tau)]t} d\tau \right)^p dt \right]^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \widetilde{M} \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \right) \left(\int_S e^{p[\tau \cos(\theta)]t} dt \right)^{1/p} d\tau + \int_{-\theta}^\theta \left(\int_S e^{p[\epsilon \cos(\tau)]t} dt \right)^{1/p} d\tau \right].$$

Define $M_1 = \min\{s : s \in S\} \geq 0$ and $M_2 = \max\{s : s \in S\} \geq 0$. Since $\cos(\theta) < 0$ and $\cos(\tau) \leq 1$, if $\tau \in [-\theta, \theta]$, I can estimate the right side of the above inequality by

$$\widetilde{M} \left[\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \right) \left(\int_{M_1}^{M_2} e^{p[\tau \cos(\theta)]t} dt \right)^{1/p} d\tau + \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} |S|^{1/p} e^{\epsilon M_2} d\tau \right],$$

in order to obtain

$$\left[\int_S \|e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p dt \right]^{1/p} \leq \widetilde{M} \left[\left\{ \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]M_1}}{[p\tau^{p+1}(-\cos(\theta))]^{1/p}} d\tau \right\} + 2\theta |S|^{1/p} e^{\epsilon M_2} \right] < \infty,$$

i.e., $e^{At} \in L^p(S; \mathcal{L}(X))$.

Now, bearing in mind Theorem 18 and following the exact same steps done above, I can deduce that

$$\left[\int_S \|E_{\alpha}(At^{\alpha})\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p dt \right]^{1/p} \leq \widetilde{M} \left[\left\{ \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]M_1}}{[p\tau^{p+1}(-\cos(\theta))]^{1/p}} d\tau \right\} + 2\theta |S|^{1/p} e^{\epsilon M_2} \right] < \infty,$$

and

$$\left[\int_S \|E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^{\alpha})\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p dt \right]^{1/p} \leq \widetilde{M} \left[\left\{ \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]M_1}}{[p\tau^{\alpha p+1}(-\cos(\theta))]^{1/p}} d\tau \right\} + 2\theta |S|^{1/p} e^{\epsilon M_2} \right] < \infty.$$

i.e., $E_{\alpha}(At^{\alpha}), E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^{\alpha}) \in L^p(S; \mathcal{L}(X))$.

Now, let me prove the convergence. Like it was done in the proof of Theorem 20, I deduce the existence of $\widetilde{M} > 0$ such that

$$\|E_{\alpha}(-At^{\alpha})x - e^{At}x\| \leq \widetilde{M}\|x\| \left[\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]t} |(\tau e^{i\theta}) - (\tau e^{i\theta})^{\alpha}|}{\tau^2} d\tau + \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} e^{M_2} |(\epsilon e^{i\tau})^{\alpha-1} - 1| d\tau + \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]t} |(\tau e^{-i\theta}) - (\tau e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha}|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right],$$

for every $t \in S$.

At first, observe that the triangular inequality of $L^p(S; X)$ ensures that

$$\left(\int_S \|E_{\alpha}(-At^{\alpha}) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \widetilde{M} [\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(t) + \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} + \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(t)],$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha(t) = \left(\int_S \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]t} \left| (\tau e^{i\theta}) - (\tau e^{i\theta})^\alpha \right|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right]^p dt \right)^{1/p},$$

$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha = |S|^{1/p} e^{M_2} \left[\int_{-\theta}^\theta \left| (e^{i\tau})^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right| d\tau \right],$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(t) = \left(\int_S \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]t} \left| (\tau e^{-i\theta}) - (\tau e^{-i\theta})^\alpha \right|}{\tau^2} d\tau \right]^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

Like above, by applying Minkowski's integral inequality (see Theorem 202 in [7]) I deduce that

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha(t) \leq \int_\epsilon^\infty \left[\left(\int_{M_1}^{M_2} e^{[p\tau \cos(\theta)]t} dt \right)^{1/p} \frac{\left| (\tau e^{i\theta}) - (\tau e^{i\theta})^\alpha \right|}{\tau^2} \right] d\tau,$$

what implies in the estimate

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha(t) \leq \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]M_1} \left| (\tau e^{i\theta}) - (\tau e^{i\theta})^\alpha \right|}{[p\tau^{2p+1}(-\cos(\theta))]^{1/p}} d\tau =: \mathcal{I}_\alpha.$$

Similarly, I obtain

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(t) \leq \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{e^{[\tau \cos(\theta)]M_1} \left| (\tau e^{-i\theta})^\alpha - (\tau e^{-i\theta}) \right|}{[p\tau^{2p+1}(-\cos(\theta))]^{1/p}} d\tau =: \mathcal{K}_\alpha.$$

Again, as a consequence of the dominated convergence theorem I deduce that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{I}_\alpha = 0, \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{J}_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{K}_\alpha = 0,$$

therefore

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \left(\int_S \|E_\alpha(-At^\alpha) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p dt \right)^{1/p} = 0.$$

By analogous arguments I also conclude that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \left(\int_S \|E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-At^\alpha) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^p dt \right)^{1/p} = 0.$$

□

Remark 25. Observe that for $S \subset (0, \infty)$ the convergence presented in the above result becomes a consequence of Theorems 20 and 22. However, since I am considering $S \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, the above result is in fact more general.

3.2. **Questions Q3) and Q4).** In this subsection I begin to deal with the nonlinearity $f(t, x)$, introducing new computations to the results obtained so far. Recall that if $f(t, x)$ is a continuous function, locally Lipschitz in the second variable, uniformly with respect to the first variable, and bounded (i.e. it maps bounded sets onto bounded sets), Theorem 5 and Remark 6 ensure that for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, there exists $w_\alpha > 0$ (which can be ∞) and a unique maximal local mild solution (or global mild solution) $\phi_\alpha : [0, \omega_\alpha) \rightarrow X$ of the Cauchy problem (P_α) .

However there is no simple way to know if

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in (0, 1]} [0, \omega_\alpha) = \{0\}, \quad (6)$$

which, if this is the case, would lead me to face the possibility of calculate the fractional limit of the family of functions $\{\phi_\alpha(t) : \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$, when $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$, just to the constant value $\phi_\alpha(0) = u_0$. This is exactly what question Q3) intends to discuss.

Let me begin by presenting the following result.

Theorem 26. *Assume that $f : [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous function, locally Lipschitz in the second variable, uniformly with respect to the first variable, and bounded (i.e. it maps bounded sets onto bounded sets), and consider problem (P_α) , for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If $\phi_\alpha(t)$ is the maximal local mild solution of (P_α) defined over the interval $[0, \omega_\alpha)$ (which can be $(0, \infty)$ for some values of α), then for each $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$, there exists $t_{\alpha_0} > 0$ such that*

$$[0, t_{\alpha_0}] \subset \bigcap_{\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]} [0, \omega_\alpha).$$

Proof. Observe that for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, Theorem 5 and Remark 6 ensure the existence and uniqueness of a maximal local mild solution (or global mild solution) of (P_α) , such that the maximal interval of existence for the solution $\phi_\alpha(t)$ is $[0, \infty)$ or a finite interval $[0, \omega_\alpha)$ and, in such case,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \omega_\alpha^-} \|\phi_\alpha(t)\| = \infty. \quad (7)$$

Since $f(t, x)$ is locally Lipschitz in the second variable, there exist $R_0, L_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L_0 \|x - y\|$$

for every $(t, x), (t, y) \in B((0, u_0), R_0)$.

Let $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ and suppose that

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]} [0, \omega_\alpha) = \{0\}.$$

Then, there should exist a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [\alpha_0, 1]$ and a related decreasing sequence of positive real numbers $\{\omega_{\alpha_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\alpha_n} = 0$. By choosing a subsequence of $\{\omega_{\alpha_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, if necessary, I can suppose that there exist $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]$ such that α_n converges to α and a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with the following properties:

- (i) $0 < \omega_{\alpha_{n+1}} < \sigma_n < \omega_{\alpha_n}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (ii) $\|\phi_{\alpha_n}(t) - u_0\| < R_0/2$, for $t \in [0, \sigma_n)$, and $\|\phi_{\alpha_n}(\sigma_n) - u_0\| = R_0/2$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This assertion follows mainly from (7).

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_{\alpha_n}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha_n})u_0 - u_0\| &\leq \|E_{\alpha_n}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha_n})u_0 - E_\alpha(A(\sigma_n)^\alpha)u_0\| \\ &\quad + \|E_\alpha(A(\sigma_n)^\alpha)u_0 - u_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, Theorems 18 and 21 ensure that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|E_{\alpha_n}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha_n})u_0 - u_0\| = 0.$$

Thus, choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sigma_n < R_0/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|E_{\alpha_n}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha_n})u_0 - u_0\| \leq R_0/4,$$

for any $n \geq N_0$. Then, if $n \geq N_0$ I have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{\alpha_n}(\sigma_n) - u_0\| &\leq \|E_{\alpha_n}(A(\sigma_n)^{\alpha_n})u_0 - u_0\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^{\sigma_n} (\sigma_n - s)^{\alpha_n - 1} E_{\alpha_n, \alpha_n}(A(\sigma_n - s)^{\alpha_n}) f(s, \phi_{\alpha_n}(s)) ds \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{\alpha_n}(\sigma_n) - u_0\| &\leq (R_0/4) \\ &\quad + M_2 \int_0^{\sigma_n} (\sigma_n - s)^{\alpha_n - 1} \left[\|f(s, \phi_{\alpha_n}(s)) - f(s, u_0)\| + \|f(s, u_0)\| \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_2 > 0$ is given uniformly on $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]$ by Theorem 18.

Now, since $(\sigma_n, \phi_{\alpha_n}(\sigma_n)), (\sigma_n, u_0) \in B((0, u_0), R_0)$, I have that

$$\|\phi_{\alpha_n}(\sigma_n) - u_0\| \leq (R_0/4) + M_2 M_3 \sigma_n + M_2 L_0 \int_0^{\sigma_n} (\sigma_n - s)^{\alpha_n - 1} \|\phi_{\alpha_n}(s) - u_0\| ds,$$

where $M_3 = \max_{s \in [0, \omega_{\alpha_1}]} \|f(s, 0)\|$.

Finally, from [8, Lemma 7.1.1] I have that

$$\|\phi_{\alpha_n}(\sigma_n) - u_0\| \leq \theta_n + \eta_n \int_0^{\sigma_n} (\sigma_n - s)^{\alpha_n - 1} E_{\alpha_n, \alpha_n}(\eta_n(\sigma_n - s)) \theta_n ds,$$

where $\eta_n = [M_2 L_0 \Gamma(\alpha_n)]^{1/\alpha_n}$ and $\theta_n = (R_0/4) + M_2 M_3 \sigma_n$. Thus, using the dominated convergence theorem and Theorems 18, I deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} R_0/2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_{\alpha_n}(\sigma_n) - u_0\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n + \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n \right) \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\sigma_n} (\sigma_n - s)^{\alpha_n - 1} E_{\alpha_n, \alpha_n}(\eta_n(\sigma_n - s)) \theta_n ds \right] \\ &= (R_0/4), \end{aligned}$$

what is a contradiction. This concludes the proof of this theorem. \square

In order to give another characterization to the biggest interval contained in the set $\cap_{\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]} [0, \omega_\alpha)$, for each $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$, I present the following result.

Theorem 27. *Consider same hypotheses of Theorem 26 and assume that for any α_0 in $(0, 1)$, there exists $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]$ such that $\omega_\alpha < \infty$. Define*

$$\Omega_{\alpha_0} := \sup\{t > 0 : [0, t] \subset \cap_{\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]} [0, \omega_\alpha)\}.$$

Then, I have that:

- (i) for each $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ it holds that $\inf\{\omega_\alpha : \alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1] \text{ with } \omega_\alpha < \infty\} = \Omega_{\alpha_0}$;
- (ii) for each $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ I have that $[0, \Omega_{\alpha_0}) \subset [0, \omega_\alpha)$, for every $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]$;
- (iii) if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1]$, with $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, then $\Omega_{\alpha_1} \leq \Omega_{\alpha_2}$.

Proof. (i) Since by definition it holds that

$$\Omega_{\alpha_0} \leq \inf\{\omega_\alpha : \alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1] \text{ with } \omega_\alpha < \infty\},$$

let me assume for an instant that $\Omega_{\alpha_0} < \inf\{\omega_\alpha : \alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1] \text{ with } \omega_\alpha < \infty\}$. If such is the case, by setting

$$r := [\Omega_{\alpha_0} + \inf\{\omega_\alpha : \alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1] \text{ with } \omega_\alpha < \infty\}] / 2,$$

I could verify that $\Omega_{\alpha_0} + r \in \{t > 0 : [0, t] \subset \cap_{\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]} [0, \omega_\alpha)\}$, what would lead me to the contradiction $\Omega_{\alpha_0} + r \leq \Omega_{\alpha_0}$. Therefore

$$\Omega_{\alpha_0} = \inf\{\omega_\alpha : \alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1] \text{ with } \omega_\alpha < \infty\},$$

as I wanted.

Finally item (ii) follows from the definition of Ω_{α_0} , while item (iii) is a directly consequence of the infimum properties. \square

Remark 28. *It worths to emphasize the importance of the hypotheses: “for any α_0 in $(0, 1)$, there exists $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]$ such that $\omega_\alpha < \infty$ ”. In fact, if this is not the case, there should exists $\tilde{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $\alpha \in [\tilde{\alpha}, 1]$ I would have*

$$[0, \omega_\alpha) = [0, \infty).$$

Now, let me change the subject a little and begin to address question Q4).

Theorem 29. *Consider the Cauchy problem (P_α) with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and assume that function $f : [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, locally Lipschitz in the second variable, uniformly with respect to the first variable, and bounded (i.e. it maps bounded sets onto bounded sets). Then, if $\phi_\alpha(t)$ is the maximum local mild solution (or global mild solution) of (P_α) defined over $[0, \omega_\alpha)$ (or $[0, \infty)$), there exists $t_* > 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \|\phi_\alpha(t) - \phi_1(t)\| = 0,$$

for every $t \in [0, t^*]$.

Proof. Observe that Theorem 5 and Remark 6 guarantee the existence of a maximal mild solution (or global mild solution) $\phi_\alpha(r)$ defined over a maximal interval of existence $[0, \omega_\alpha)$ (or $[0, \infty)$), such that for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ satisfies

$$\phi_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} E_\alpha(At^\alpha)u_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(A(t-s)^\alpha) f(s, \phi_\alpha(s)) ds, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ e^{At}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} f(s, \phi_\alpha(s)) ds, & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now I need to make two considerations.

(i) Note that Theorem 27 and Remark 28 ensure that

$$[0, \Omega_{1/2}/2] \subset \cap_{\alpha \in [1/2, 1]} [0, \omega_\alpha) \quad \text{or} \quad [0, \infty) \subset \cap_{\alpha \in [1/2, 1]} [0, \omega_\alpha).$$

In any case, it is not difficult to choose $t_0 > 0$ such that $[0, t_0] \subset [0, \omega_\alpha)$, for every $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$.

(ii) Recall that $f(r, x)$ is locally Lipschitz in the second variable, i.e., there exist $R_0, L_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|f(r, x) - f(r, y)\| \leq L_0 \|x - y\|$$

for every $(r, x), (r, y) \in B((0, u_0), R_0)$. In that way, I can infer that there exists $t_* \in [0, t_0]$ such that

$$\cup_{\alpha \in [1/2, 1]} \{(t, \phi_\alpha(t)) : t \in [0, t_*]\} \subset B((0, u_0), R_0/2),$$

since otherwise, it would exist $\alpha_* \in [1/2, 1]$ and two sequences of real numbers $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [1/2, 1]$ and $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [0, t_0]$, with $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha_*$ and $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, that also satisfies $\|\phi_{\alpha_n}(t) - u_0\| < R_0/2$, for all $t \in [0, \tau_n)$, and $\|\phi_{\alpha_n}(\tau_n) - u_0\| = R_0/2$.

However this construction, like the one given in the proof of Theorem 26, leads to a contradiction.

(iii) Define $N := \sup\{\|f(s, \phi_1(s))\| : s \in [0, t_*]\}$, which is finite since $f(s, \phi_1(s))$ is continuous and $[0, t_*]$ is a compact interval.

Taking into account considerations (i), (ii) and (iii), I deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_\alpha(t) - \phi_1(t)\| &\leq \|E_\alpha(At^\alpha)u_0 - e^{At}u_0\| \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(A(t-s)^\alpha) [f(s, \phi_\alpha(s)) - f(s, \phi_1(s))] ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} [E_{\alpha,\alpha}(A(t-s)^\alpha) - e^{A(t-s)}] f(s, \phi_1(s)) ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t [(t-s)^{\alpha-1} - 1] e^{A(t-s)} f(s, \phi_1(s)) ds \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$ and $t \in [0, t_*]$, therefore, by considering also Theorems 14 and 18, I obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_\alpha(t) - \phi_1(t)\| &\leq \|E_\alpha(At^\alpha) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|u_0\| \\ &+ L_0 M_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|\phi_\alpha(s) - \phi_1(s)\| ds \\ &+ N \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \|E_{\alpha,\alpha}(As^\alpha) - e^{As}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} ds \\ &+ N M_1 \int_0^t [s^{\alpha-1} - 1] ds. \end{aligned}$$

for every $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$ and $t \in [0, t_*]$. Note that I may rewrite the above inequality as

$$\|\phi_\alpha(t) - \phi_1(t)\| \leq g_\alpha(t) + L_0 M_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|\phi_\alpha(s) - \phi_1(s)\| ds, \quad (8)$$

for every $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$ and $t \in [0, t_*]$, where

$$\begin{aligned} g_\alpha(t) &= \|E_\alpha(At^\alpha) - e^{At}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|u_0\| + N \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} \|E_{\alpha,\alpha}(As^\alpha) - e^{As}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} ds \\ &+ N M_1 \int_0^t [s^{\alpha-1} - 1] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by considering [8, Lemma 7.1.1], I have that (8), the dominated convergence theorem, Theorems 20, 22 and 24 allow us to deduce that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \|\phi_\alpha(t) - \phi_1(t)\| = 0,$$

for each $t \in [0, t_*]$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4. CLOSING REMARKS

All the computations done in this manuscript could have being more general. I could have considered $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1]$ and studied the limit

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} u_\alpha(t) = u_{\alpha_0}(t),$$

or, I could have considered the Cauchy problem with initial condition $u(t_0) = u_0$. However, these two more general problems would only bring technical difficulties, since the computations would be analogous. That is why I avoided dealing with these situations here.

As a final commentary, recall that I can consider “well-behaved” functions $f(t, x)$ in order to obtain better results.

Proposition 30. *If $f : [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ is globally Lipschitz on the second variable, uniformly with respect to the first variable, that is, there exist $L > 0$ such that*

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|$$

for every $x, y \in X$ and every $t \in [0, \infty)$, then f maps bounded sets into bounded sets.

Proof. Just observe that for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in X$ I have the inequality

$$\|f(t, x)\| \leq \|f(t, x) - f(t, 0)\| + \|f(t, 0)\| \leq L\|x\| + \|f(t, 0)\|.$$

\square

Proposition 30 allows me to conclude that every solution of the Cauchy problem (P_α) , when $f(t, x)$ is globally Lipschitz on the second variable, uniformly with respect to the first variable, is defined in $[0, \infty)$ (this is a consequence of estimatives I have already done in this manuscript, Theorem 5, Remark 6 and [8, Lemma 7.1.1]). Therefore, I would be able to compute the limit done in Theorem 29 for every $t \in [0, \infty)$, in order to obtain a more general result.

The discussion about functions $f(t, x)$ that are locally Lipschitz on the second variable, uniformly with respect to the first variable, deserves a more profound study, which is being developed.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Almeida, L. C. F. Ferreira, Self-similarity, symmetries and asymptotic behavior in Morrey spaces for a fractional wave equation, *Differ. Integral Equ.* 25(9-10) (2012), 957–976.
- [2] W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander, *Vector-Valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Birkhäuser, 2001
- [3] B. de Andrade, A. N. Carvalho, P. M. Carvalho-Neto, P. Marín-Rubio, Semilinear fractional differential equations: global solutions, critical non-linearities and comparison results, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 45 (2)(2015), 439–467.
- [4] P. M. Carvalho-Neto, *Fractional differential equations: a novel study of local and global solutions in Banach spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, São Carlos, 2013.
- [5] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part I: General theory*, New York, Interscience Publishers, 1958.
- [6] R. Gorenflo, A. A. Kilbas, F. Mainardi, S. V. Rogosin, *Mittag-Leffler functions, related topics and applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.
- [7] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, 2nd ed, 1952.
- [8] D. Henry, *Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 840, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [9] E. Hille, R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Publications Amer. Mathematical Soc., Colloquium Publications, Vol. 31, 1996.
- [10] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [11] M. Li and Q. Zheng, On spectral inclusions and approximations of α -times resolvent families, *Semigroup Forum* 69 (2004), 356–368.
- [12] J. Mikusiński, *The Bochner integral*, Mathematische Reihe, Birkhäuser, 1978.
- [13] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, in *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 44, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1983.

(Paulo M. de Carvalho Neto) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CENTRO DE CIÊNCIAS FÍSICAS E MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, FLORIANÓPOLIS - SC, BRAZIL
Email address: paulo.carvalho@ufsc.br