

A PERTURBATION OF SPACETIME LAPLACIAN EQUATION

XIAOXIANG CHAI

ABSTRACT. We study a perturbation

$$\Delta u + P|\nabla u| = h|\nabla u|,$$

of spacetime Laplacian equation in an initial data set (M, g, p) where P is the trace of the symmetric 2-tensor p and h is a smooth function.

Stern [Ste19] introduced a level set method of harmonic maps and he used it to simplify proofs of some important results in scalar curvature geometry of three manifolds. See [BS19] for a Neumann boundary version. Later developments include simplified proofs of positive mass theorem [BKKS19, HKK20], Gromov's dihedral rigidity conjecture for three dimension cubes and mapping torus of hyperbolic 3-manifolds [CK21], and hyperbolic positive mass theorem [BHK+21].

The first approach to positive mass theorems used stable minimal surface [SY79], Jang equation [SY81] and stable marginally outer trapped surfaces [EHLS16]. The hyperbolic positive mass theorem could be established via a study of constant mean curvature 2 surface in asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds under extra assumption on the mass aspect function (see [ACG08]). See also a Jang equation proof [Sak21].

Gromov [Gro18, Gro21] further generalized minimal surface approach to stable μ -bubbles. The merit of a stable μ -bubble is possibility of weaker scalar curvature condition. We take a simplest example: if a three manifold (M, g) admits a function $h \in C^\infty(M)$ such that the scalar curvature R_g satisfies

$$(0.1) \quad R_g + h^2 - 2|\nabla^g h| \geq 0,$$

then the topology of a stable μ -bubble of prescribed mean curvature h in (M^3, g) can be still classified using the stability condition and [FS80].

We generalize the (spacetime) harmonic function approach of [BKKS19, HKK20] to deal the weaker condition similar to (0.1). An initial data set (M, g, p) is a Riemannian manifold (M, g) equipped with an extra symmetric 2-form p . We write $P = \text{tr } p$, the spacetime Hessian [HKK20] is defined to be

$$(0.2) \quad \bar{\nabla}^2 u = \nabla^2 u + p|\nabla u|, u \in C^2(M).$$

Given a smooth function h on M , we study the solution to the equation

$$(0.3) \quad \Delta u + P|\nabla u| = h|\nabla u|.$$

The equation is a perturbation to the spacetime harmonic function, and the solution u is spacetime harmonic if $h = 0$. We follow [HKK20, Proposition 3.2] in detail and establish the analogous proposition.

Proposition 0.1. *Let (U, g, p) be a 3-dimensional oriented compact initial data set with smooth boundary ∂U , having outward unit normal η . Let $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a spacetime harmonic function, and denote the open subset of ∂U on which $|\nabla u| \neq 0$*

by $\partial_{\neq 0}U$. If \bar{u} and \underline{u} denote the maximum and minimum values of u and Σ_t are t -level sets, then

$$(0.4) \quad \int_{\partial_{\neq 0}U} (\partial_\eta |\nabla u| + p(\nabla u, \eta)) dA \\ \geq \int_{\underline{u}}^{\bar{u}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{|\bar{\nabla}^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + (\mu + J(\nu) + h^2 - 2hP + 2\langle \nu, \nabla h \rangle) - K \right) dAdt,$$

where $\nu = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$, K is Gauss curvature of the level set and dA is the area element.

Proof. Recall the Bochner formula

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle.$$

For $\delta > 0$ set $\phi_\delta = (|\nabla u|^2 + \delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and use the Bochner formula to find

$$(0.5) \quad \Delta \phi_\delta = \frac{\Delta |\nabla u|^2}{2\phi_\delta} - \frac{|\nabla |\nabla u|^2|^2}{4\phi_\delta^3} \\ \geq \frac{1}{\phi_\delta} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 - |\nabla |\nabla u|^2|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle).$$

On a regular level set Σ , the unit normal is $\nu = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$ and the second fundamental form is given by $A_{ij} = \frac{\nabla_i \nabla_j u}{|\nabla u|}$, where ∂_i and ∂_j are tangent to Σ . We then have

$$|A|^2 = |\nabla u|^{-2} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 - 2|\nabla |\nabla u|^2|^2 + [\nabla^2 u(\nu, \nu)]^2),$$

and the mean curvature satisfies

$$(0.6) \quad |\nabla u|H = \Delta u - \nabla_\nu^2 u$$

Furthermore by taking two traces of the Gauss equations

$$2\text{Ric}(\nu, \nu) = R_g - R_\Sigma - |A|^2 + H^2,$$

where R_g is the scalar curvature of U and R_Σ is the scalar curvature of the level set Σ . Combining these formulas with (0.5) produces

$$\Delta \phi_\delta \geq \frac{1}{\phi_\delta} \left(|\nabla^2 u|^2 - |\nabla |\nabla u|^2|^2 + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} (R_g - R_\Sigma + H^2 - |A|^2) \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2\phi_\delta} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + (R_g - R_\Sigma) |\nabla u|^2 + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle + (\Delta u)^2 - 2(\Delta u) \nabla_\nu^2 u).$$

Let us now replace the Hessian with the spacetime Hessian via the relation (0.2), and utilize (0.3) to find

$$\Delta \phi_\delta \\ \geq \frac{1}{2\phi_\delta} (|\bar{\nabla}^2 u - p|\nabla u|^2 + (R_g - R_\Sigma) |\nabla u|^2 + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla(-P|\nabla u| + h|\nabla u|) \rangle \\ + (-P|\nabla u| + h|\nabla u|)^2 - 2(-P|\nabla u| + h|\nabla u|) \nabla_\nu^2 u).$$

Noting that

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla |\nabla u| \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \nu, \nabla |\nabla u|^2 \rangle = u^i \nabla_{iv} u = |\nabla u| \nabla_\nu^2 u,$$

and expanding $|\bar{\nabla}^2 u - p|\nabla u|^2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta\phi_\delta \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2\phi_\delta} (|\bar{\nabla}^2 u|^2 - 2\langle p, \nabla^2 u \rangle |\nabla u| - |p|_g^2 |\nabla u|^2 + (R_g - R_\Sigma) |\nabla u|^2 \\ & \quad + 2|\nabla u| \langle \nabla u, -\nabla P + \nabla h \rangle + (-P|\nabla u| + h|\nabla u|^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Regrouping using the energy density $2\mu = R_g + P^2 - |p|_g^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta\phi_\delta \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2\phi_\delta} [|\bar{\nabla}^2 u|^2 - 2\langle p, \nabla^2 u \rangle |\nabla u| + (2\mu - R_\Sigma) |\nabla u|^2 - 2|\nabla u| \langle \nabla u, \nabla P \rangle \\ (0.7) \quad & + |\nabla u|^2 (h^2 - 2hP + 2\langle \nu, \nabla h \rangle)]. \end{aligned}$$

Consider an open set $\mathcal{A} \subset [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ containing the critical values of u , and let $\mathcal{B} \subset [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ denote the complementary closed set. Then integrate by parts to obtain

$$\int_{\partial U} \langle \nabla \phi_\delta, \nu \rangle = \int_U \Delta \phi_\delta = \int_{u^{-1}(\mathcal{A})} \Delta \phi_\delta + \int_{u^{-1}(\mathcal{B})} \Delta \phi_\delta.$$

According to Kato type inequality [HKK20, Lemma 3.1] and (0.5) there is a positive constant C_0 , depending only on Ric_g , $P - h$ and its first derivatives such that

$$\Delta \phi_\delta \geq -C_0 |\nabla u|.$$

An application of the coarea formula to $u : u^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ then produces

$$(0.8) \quad - \int_{u^{-1}(\mathcal{A})} \Delta \phi_\delta \leq C_0 \int_{u^{-1}(\mathcal{A})} |\nabla u| = C_0 \int_{t \in \mathcal{A}} |\Sigma_t| dt,$$

where $|\Sigma_t|$ is the 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure of the t -level set Σ_t . Next, apply the coarea formula to $u : u^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ together with (0.7) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u^{-1}(\mathcal{B})} \Delta \phi_\delta \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t \in \mathcal{B}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi_\delta} \left[\frac{|\bar{\nabla}^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + 2\mu - R_{\Sigma_t} - \frac{2}{|\nabla u|} (\langle p, \nabla^2 u \rangle + \langle \nabla u, \nabla P \rangle) \right] dAdt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t \in \mathcal{B}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{\phi_\delta} (h^2 - 2hP + 2\langle \nu, \nabla h \rangle) dAdt. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (0.8) and

$$\int_{u^{-1}(\mathcal{B})} \Delta \phi_\delta = \int_U \Delta \phi_\delta - \int_{u^{-1}(\mathcal{A})} \Delta \phi_\delta = \int_{\partial U} \partial_\eta \phi_\delta - \int_{u^{-1}(\mathcal{A})} \Delta \phi_\delta,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial U} \partial_\eta \phi_\delta + C_0 \int_{t \in \mathcal{A}} |\Sigma_t| dt \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t \in \mathcal{B}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi_\delta} \left[\frac{|\bar{\nabla}^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + 2\mu - R_{\Sigma_t} - \frac{2}{|\nabla u|} (\langle p, \nabla^2 u \rangle + \langle \nabla u, \nabla P \rangle) \right] dAdt \\ (0.9) \quad & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t \in \mathcal{B}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{\phi_\delta} (h^2 - 2hP + 2\langle \nu, \nabla h \rangle) dAdt. \end{aligned}$$

On the set $u^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$, we have that $|\nabla u|$ is uniformly bounded from below. In addition, on $\partial_{\neq 0}U$ it holds that

$$\partial_\eta \phi_\delta = \frac{|\nabla u|}{\phi_\delta} \partial_\eta |\nabla u| \rightarrow \partial_\eta |\nabla u| \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ may be taken in (0.9), resulting in the same bulk expression except that ϕ_ε is replaced by $|\nabla u|$, and with the boundary integral taken over the restricted set. Furthermore, by Sard's theorem (see [HKK20, Remark 3.3]) the measure $|\mathcal{A}|$ of \mathcal{A} may be taken to be arbitrarily small. Since the map $t \mapsto |\Sigma_t|$ is integrable over $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ in light of the coarea formula, by then taking $|\mathcal{A}| \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

Lastly integration by parts gives

$$\int_U \langle p, \nabla^2 u \rangle = \int_U p^{ij} \nabla_{ij} u = \int_{\partial U} p(\nabla u, \eta) - \int_U u^i \nabla^j p_{ij},$$

and recalling that $J = \operatorname{div}_g(p - Pg)$ and $R_{\Sigma_t} = 2K_{\Sigma_t}$, yields the desired result. \square

Now we discuss two special boundary conditions of the equation (0.3) namely Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions and its geometric implications on the boundary contribution

$$\int_{\partial_{\neq 0}U} (\partial_\eta |\nabla u| + p(\nabla u, v)) dA$$

in (0.4). We assume that $u \in C^2(U \cup S)$ where S is a relatively open portion of ∂U . This is a valid assumption due to an existence theorem of [HKK20, Section 4].

We have the following:

Lemma 0.2. *Assume the solution u to (0.3) takes constant values on S , then*

$$\partial_\eta |\nabla u| + p(\nabla u, \eta) = (-H_S - \operatorname{tr}_S p + h) |\nabla u|.$$

Proof. Since u is constant on S , then by using the decomposition of the Laplacian Δ ,

$$-P|\nabla u| + h|\nabla u| = \Delta u = H_S \langle \nabla u, \eta \rangle + \nabla^2 u(\eta, \eta).$$

The decomposition is already used in (0.6). Since u is constant on S , ∇u either point outward or inward of U . We calculate only the case when ∇u points outward, that is $\eta = \nabla u / |\nabla u|$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\eta |\nabla u| + p(\nabla u, \eta) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} (\nabla^2 u)(\nabla u, \eta) + p(\nabla u, \eta) \\ &= (\nabla^2 u)(\eta, \eta) + p(\eta, \eta) |\nabla u| \\ &= -H_S |\nabla u| - P|\nabla u| + p(\eta, \eta) |\nabla u| + h|\nabla u| \\ &= (-H - \operatorname{tr}_S p + h) |\nabla u|. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 0.3. *Suppose that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0$ on S , then*

$$\partial_\eta |\nabla u| = \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} B(\nabla u, \nabla u),$$

where B is the second fundamental form of S in U .

Proof. First,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_\eta |\nabla u| \\
&= \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} \eta_j \nabla_i u \nabla^i \nabla^j u \\
&= \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} [\nabla_i u \nabla^i (\eta_j \nabla^j u) - \nabla^i u \nabla^j u \nabla^i \eta_j] \\
&= - \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} B(\nabla u, \nabla u),
\end{aligned}$$

we have used the boundary condition $\partial u / \partial \eta = 0$. \square

Note that we have not used u is a solution to (0.3). On a regular level set Σ_t , let e_1 be a unit tangent vector of $\partial \Sigma_t$, then $\{\eta, \nu = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, e_1\}$ forms an orthonormal basis. We see that the geodesic curvature of $\partial \Sigma_t$ in Σ_t is given by

$$\kappa_{\partial \Sigma_t} = \langle \nabla_{e_1} \eta, e_1 \rangle$$

since $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0$ implies that η is orthogonal to $\partial \Sigma_t$ in Σ_t and points outward of Σ_t . So

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\nu, \nu) &= \langle \nabla_\nu \eta, \nu \rangle \\
&= (\langle \nabla_\nu \eta, \nu \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_1} \eta, e_1 \rangle) - \langle \nabla_{e_1} \eta, e_1 \rangle \\
&= H_S - \kappa_{\partial \Sigma_t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude the following.

Lemma 0.4. *Assume the solution u to (0.3) takes constant values on S , at a point in $S \cap \partial \Sigma_t$ with Σ_t being a regular level set, then*

$$\partial_\eta |\nabla u| + p(\nabla u, \eta) = (-H_S + p(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, \eta) + \kappa_{\partial \Sigma_t}) |\nabla u|.$$

So to study rigidity questions on initial data sets with boundary, it is natural to assume

$$\mu + J(\nu) + h^2 - 2hP + 2|\nabla h| \geq 0,$$

and the convexity condition on the boundary

$$H_S \geq |\text{tr}_S p - h|,$$

or

$$H_S \geq p(\eta, e_0)$$

where e_0 is any unit vector on S . With $h = 0$, the conditions on the boundary are termed boundary dominant energy conditions by [AdLM19] in their study of initial data sets with a noncompact boundary.

Acknowledgment Research of Xiaoxiang Chai is supported by KIAS Grants under the research code MG074402. I would also like to thank Tin Yau Tsang (UCI) and Sven Hirsch (Duke) for discussions on the level set technique of harmonic functions.

REFERENCES

- [ACG08] Lars Andersson, Mingliang Cai, and Gregory J. Galloway. Rigidity and Positivity of Mass for Asymptotically Hyperbolic Manifolds. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 9(1):1–33, 2008.
- [AdLM19] Sergio Almaraz, Levi Lopes de Lima, and Luciano Mari. Spacetime positive mass theorems for initial data sets with noncompact boundary. 2019.
- [BHK+21] Hubert Bray, Sven Hirsch, Demetre Kazaras, Marcus Khuri, and Yiyue Zhang. Space-time Harmonic Functions and Applications to Mass. *ArXiv:2102.11421 [gr-qc]*, feb 2021.
- [BKKS19] Hubert L. Bray, Demetre P. Kazaras, Marcus A. Khuri, and Daniel L. Stern. Harmonic Functions and The Mass of 3-Dimensional Asymptotically Flat Riemannian Manifolds. *ArXiv:1911.06754 [gr-qc]*, 2019.
- [BS19] Hubert L. Bray and Daniel L. Stern. Scalar curvature and harmonic one-forms on three-manifolds with boundary. *ArXiv:1911.06803 [math]*, 2019.
- [CK21] Xiaoxiang Chai and Inkang Kim. Scalar curvature, mean curvature and harmonic maps to the circle. *ArXiv:2103.09737 [gr-qc]*, 2021.
- [EHL16] Michael Eichmair, Lan-Hsuan Huang, Dan A. Lee, and Richard Schoen. The spacetime positive mass theorem in dimensions less than eight. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 18(1):83–121, 2016.
- [FS80] Doris Fischer-Colbrie and Richard Schoen. The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of non-negative scalar curvature. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 33(2):199–211, 1980.
- [Gro18] Misha Gromov. Metric inequalities with scalar curvature. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 28(3):645–726, 2018.
- [Gro21] Misha Gromov. Four Lectures on Scalar Curvature. *ArXiv:1908.10612 [math]*, 2021.
- [HKK20] Sven Hirsch, Demetre Kazaras, and Marcus Khuri. Spacetime Harmonic Functions and the Mass of 3-Dimensional Asymptotically Flat Initial Data for the Einstein Equations. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, to appear, 2020.
- [Sak21] Anna Sakovich. The Jang Equation and the Positive Mass Theorem in the Asymptotically Hyperbolic Setting. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, apr 2021.
- [Ste19] Daniel Stern. Scalar curvature and harmonic maps to S^1 . *ArXiv:1908.09754 [math]*, 2019.
- [SY79] Richard Schoen and Shing Tung Yau. On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 65(1):45–76, 1979.
- [SY81] Richard Schoen and Shing Tung Yau. Proof of the positive mass theorem. ii. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 79(2):231–260, 1981.

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL 02455, SOUTH KOREA
 Email address: `xxchai@kias.re.kr`