

Abelianization of Matrix Orthogonal Polynomials

M. Bertola^{†‡◇ 1},

[†] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University
1455 de Maisonneuve W., Montréal, Québec, Canada H3G 1M8*

[‡] *SISSA, International School for Advanced Studies, via Bonomea 265, Trieste,
Italy*

[◇] *Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal
C. P. 6128, succ. centre ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7*

Abstract

The main goal of the paper is to connect matrix polynomial biorthogonality on a contour in the plane with a suitable notion of scalar, multi-point Padé approximation on an arbitrary Riemann surface endowed with a rational map to the Riemann sphere. To this end we introduce an appropriate notion of (scalar) multi-point Padé approximation on a Riemann surface and corresponding notion of biorthogonality of sections of the semi-canonical bundle (half-differentials). Several examples are offered in illustration of the new notions.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
	Summary of results.	2
	Half-differentials.	2
	Notations.	4
2	Biorthogonality on a Riemann surface	4
2.1	Guide example: (multi-point) Padé approximations in genus 0	4
	Example: single-point case.	5
	Example: multi-point case.	5
2.2	Higher genus Riemann surfaces and biorthogonality	5
	Szegő kernel.	7
	Heine formula.	7
	Riemann–Hilbert problem.	8
2.2.1	Padé approximation	10
	Orthogonality.	10
2.3	Christoffel-Darboux-Szegő reproducing kernels	10
3	Matrix (bi)orthogonality	12
	\mathbb{Z}_2 characters and orthogonality: symmetric weight matrices.	14
3.1	Matrix Christoffel-Darboux from scalar Christoffel–Darboux–Szegő	15
3.2	Change of multiplier system \mathcal{X}	15
3.3	Abelianization: from matrix to scalar orthogonality	16
	More general divisor Ξ	17
4	Examples: genus zero and one	18
4.1	Genus zero cover	19
4.1.1	Laguerre matrix polynomials	20
4.1.2	Hermite matrix polynomials	20
4.2	Elliptic covers	22
4.2.1	Genus 1	22
	Szegő kernel.	22
4.3	$2R$ -torsion points on elliptic curves and finite (matrix) orthogonality	23
	Matrix biorthogonality.	25
4.4	A more general example in genus 1.	27

¹Marco.Bertola@{concordia.ca, sissa.it}

1 Introduction

The paper is mainly concerned with the geometry of matrix non-hermitian biorthogonal polynomials (BMOPs) for a (possibly complex-valued) weight matrix $W(z)$. These are two sequences of polynomials $\{P_n(z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{P_n^\vee(z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of degrees at most n such that

$$\int_\gamma P_n(z)W(z)P_m^\vee(z)dz = \delta_{nm}\mathbf{H}_n, \tag{1.1}$$

for some matrices \mathbf{H}_n , where γ is some chosen contour in \mathbb{C} along which $W(z)$ is defined and such that all the moments are defined and finite.

The notion of MOPs and BMOPs is a non-commutative version of the standard notion of (bi)orthogonality of polynomials and the literature is vast and dates back to Krein [23]. It has found several applications beyond approximation theory in several areas and is a staple of both approximation theory and mathematical physics see for example [26, 11, 21, 22, 9, 7, 8].

There have been a few recent publications [13, 10, 17] where a connection was found between the matrix biorthogonality and “scalar” biorthogonality on the “spectral curve” of $W(z)$, namely, the curve defined by $\det(y\mathbf{1} - W(z)) = 0$. In the quoted literature the matrix $W(z)$ is a rational function of z so that the spectral curve is necessarily an algebraic Riemann surface. It is interesting to point out the the spectral curve is not really an invariant object associated with the class of biorthogonal polynomials in the following sense: in the scalar case multiplying the weight by a nonzero constant does not affect the resulting orthogonal polynomials. On the contrary, in the matrix case we can multiply W on the two sides by arbitrary invertible constant matrices $W(z) \mapsto \widetilde{W}(z) = LW(z)R$, $L, R \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. This transformation does affect the biorthogonal matrix polynomials, albeit in a simple way: $P_n \mapsto P_nL^{-1}$ and $P_n^\vee \mapsto R^{-1}P_n^\vee$. However this same transformation does not preserve the spectral curve.

This point notwithstanding, the idea of associating scalar biorthogonality on a covering curve is interesting and potentially useful in studying asymptotic properties.

The general philosophy of associating a “scalar” set of data to a “matrix” is at the roots of much of the theory of integrable systems in several guises; for example (see [3] Ch. 3,5 for a recent review, and see also [1, 2, 6]) the isospectral deformations of a rational Lax matrix L can be realized as linear evolution on the Jacobian of its spectral curve of a line bundle \mathcal{L} , which encodes the whole matrix L up to conjugation by constant invertible matrices. The same general idea is behind Hitchin’s abelianization of Higgs bundles [16], namely the idea of associating to a pair of vector bundle \mathcal{V} and Higgs field $\Phi \in H^0(\text{End}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{K})$ a line bundle, \mathcal{L} , over the spectral curve $\det(\eta\mathbf{1} - \Phi) = 0$ embedded in \mathcal{K} . This should sufficiently motivate the wording of the title.

Summary of results. There are two main sets of results in the paper, the first necessary for the second. The first is contained in Section 2 and describes the generalization to higher genus of the notion of biorthogonality. The notion that we generalize is that of *multi-point Padé* approximation as in [24] and corresponding notion of biorthogonality along a contour γ with respect to a weight function $Y : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

This falls within the same general area as the recent [4], where a suitable notion of Padé approximation on Riemann surfaces was defined and shown how it is linked with a (scalar) orthogonality of sections in a sequence of line bundles of increasing degree. While the general idea is fruitful, we need to modify it to be applicable to the present situation.

Here instead we consider not functions, but sections of appropriate twists of the semicanonical line bundle on a Riemann surface \mathcal{C} of higher genus.

Since these notions are less common, especially in the literature on approximation theory, we cursorily illustrate below how they apply in the familiar context of ordinary (scalar) orthogonal polynomials.

Half-differentials. In genus zero, (non-hermitian) orthogonal polynomials for a weight function $Y(z)$ on a contour γ are polynomials $p_n(z)$ of degree at most n such that

$$\int_\gamma p_n(z)p_m(z)Y(z)dz = \delta_{nm}h_n, \tag{1.2}$$

for some constants h_n . The (formalistic) point of view we take is that we have actually sections of the semicanonical bundle, $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$, $\psi_n(z) = p_n(z)\sqrt{dz}$ and the orthogonality (1.2) reads instead

$$\int_{\gamma} \psi_n(z)\psi_m(z)Y(z) = \delta_{nm}h_n. \quad (1.3)$$

While this is almost entirely an aesthetic modification in genus zero, it is this the notion that we want to generalize to higher genus, where the modification is of substance. Another effect of this point of view is in the counting of degrees; while a polynomial p_n can be thought of as a meromorphic function with a pole of order n at infinity, the half-differential $p_n\sqrt{dz}$ has a pole of order $n+1$. Thus the space of polynomials \mathcal{P}_n of degree n is identified with the space, \mathcal{S}_n , of meromorphic sections of $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ with a single pole of order at most $n+1$ at ∞ .

The orthogonal polynomials are also the denominators of the Padé approximation problem for the Weyl–Stiltjes function

$$W(z) := \int_{\gamma} \frac{Y(w)dw}{z-w}. \quad (1.4)$$

In keeping with the above shift of point of view we can interpret the above as a section half-differential:

$$\mathcal{W}(z) := \int_{\gamma} S(z,w)\psi_0(w)Y(w), \quad (1.5)$$

where we have introduced the Szegő kernel $S(z,w) = \frac{\sqrt{dz}\sqrt{dw}}{z-w}$. In [4] the Cauchy kernel was used instead to generalize the notion of Weyl–Stiltjes transform; that pivotal role is here played by the Szegő kernel. The definition of this kernel is classical [15, 18] and it involves an additional $g = \text{genus}(\mathcal{C})$ complex parameters.

In higher genus these extra g complex parameters (rather, $2g$ real ones) are encoded in the choice of a representation $\mathcal{X} : \pi_1(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow U(1)$, or, which is the same, a flat line bundle. The notion of polynomials is thus replaced by the notion of holomorphic sections of a line bundle obtained by tensoring the flat bundle \mathcal{X} with the bundle $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ of half-differentials, and allowing poles at an increasing sequence $\mathcal{D}_n < \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$ of divisors of degree n . The “dual” space is constructed similarly, but starting from the dual flat bundle \mathcal{X}^{\vee} (i.e. the representation of π_1 given by the reciprocal multipliers).

The notion of Szegő kernel subordinated to the choice of \mathcal{X} is described in Section 2.2, where we also describe a notion of biorthogonality with respect to a pairing (2.14) of the form

$$\langle \varphi, \varphi^{\vee} \rangle := \int_{\gamma} Y \varphi \varphi^{\vee},$$

where γ is a contour, $Y : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a (sufficiently smooth on γ) *weight* function and φ, φ^{\vee} two sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$, $\mathcal{X}^{\vee} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ respectively.

The notion of biorthogonal section is given in Def. 2.2 and we show how the resulting biorthogonal sections can be expressed in familiar determinantal form (2.17) and with a Heine–like formula (2.25).

We then show how these sections can be characterized in terms of a Riemann–Hilbert problem 2.5 in a parallel way as it was recently done in [4] and as it was done historically in the context of orthogonal polynomials in [19]. The issue of existence and uniqueness of the solution is brought into focus in Prop. 2.6.

In Sec. 2.2.1 we describe the Padé problem associated to these biorthogonal sections as sketched above. In Sec. 2.3 we describe the Christoffel–Darboux–Szegő kernel and its properties, which generalizes the familiar notion of Christoffel–Darboux kernel for orthogonal polynomials. The biorthogonality that we describe here is the higher genus version of the multi-point Padé approximation, see for example [24]. In Section 2.1 we introduce the notions by the way of a guide example in the familiar setting of genus 0.

The second part is contained in Sec. 3. We add to the data a meromorphic function $Z : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ of degree r and choose the sequence of divisors \mathcal{D}_n so that its support is contained in the pole divisor, Ξ , of Z .

The hinges of the construction are contained in Thm. 3.1 and Prop. 3.2: the main idea here is that any meromorphic section of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ with poles only at the poles of Z can be written as a linear combination of any basis of r sections of $H^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi))$ with *polynomial* coefficients in $z = Z(p)$. These polynomial coefficients are the entries of the Matrix Biorthogonal Polynomials, see Corollary 3.4 and the main Theorem 3.5.

Section 3.3 shows the inverse construction to that of Section 3; namely we show how to associate to matrix orthogonal polynomials for a rational weight matrix $W(z)$ the set of data (flat bundle \mathcal{X} etc.) described in Sec. 3. This construction is essentially a reformulation of [10] and uses the theory of the spectral problem for rational Lax

matrices [1, 2, 3, 6] in an essential way.

Finally we conclude with an extensive list of examples in Section 4: we offer two examples where the Riemann surface \mathcal{C} is of genus zero. These two examples are outside (but not by much) of the scope of [10] because the weight matrix is not a rational function. They are the “projection” of the ordinary Laguerre and Hermite polynomials by a rational map of degree 2 to give a matrix orthogonality. See Sec. 4.1.1, 4.1.2.

We also consider the example of \mathcal{C} being an elliptic curve (genus one) in Sec. 4.2. In this case we can write explicitly all the main objects (Szegő kernel, etc.) in terms of classical functions (Jacobi theta functions, Weierstraß functions).

We consider an example of “finite” orthogonality in Sec. 4.3. This means that the weight function Y is meromorphic and the contour γ is a closed contractible contour on the elliptic curve, containing all poles in the simply connected part. In this case the (scalar) biorthogonality is easily seen to be of finite rank. This section is inspired by [17, 13] where the matrix biorthogonal polynomials were used to express the statistical properties of the random tilings of the aztec diamond. The examples contained in Sec. 4.3 and Sec. 4.4 provide a generalization of the setup in loc. cit.. The precise relationship is explained in Remark 4.10.

Notations.

- \mathcal{C} : a Riemann surface of genus g with canonical bundle \mathcal{K} ;
- $\mathbb{J}(\mathcal{C})$ the Jacobian of \mathcal{C} ;
- $\mathfrak{A} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{C})$ the Abel map;
- $\Theta, \Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ the Riemann theta function (with characteristic $[\alpha, \beta]$, respectively);
- if $p \in \mathcal{C}$ is a point or more generally for a divisor \mathcal{D} , then $\Theta(p)$ is a shorthand for $\Theta(\mathfrak{A}(p))$, or $\Theta(\mathcal{D})$ stands for $\Theta(\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{D}))$, respectively;
- $E(p, q)$: Klein’s prime form [[14], pag 16].
- Given a line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{C} and a divisor \mathcal{D} the vector space of holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$ is denoted by $H^0(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}))$ and $h^0(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}))$ denotes its dimension. Holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$ are the same as meromorphic sections, φ , of \mathcal{L} satisfying $\text{div}(\varphi) \geq -\mathcal{D}$.
- \mathcal{O} the sheaf of holomorphic functions; $\mathcal{O}(\pm p)$ the sheaf of meromorphic functions with at most a pole at p (at least a zero, for the case with $-$).
- for $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{L})$ its divisor is denoted by $\text{div}(\varphi)$.

2 Biorthogonality on a Riemann surface

2.1 Guide example: (multi-point) Padé approximations in genus 0

The standard example of orthogonal polynomials is reviewed in the next paragraph. In the subsequent one we review the case of multi-point Padé approximations. The two examples depend on a choice of strictly increasing sequence $\mathcal{D}_n < \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$ of positive divisors of the indicated degree. For such a choice we define

$$\mathcal{P}_n := H^0(\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1})) = \mathcal{P}_n^\vee, \quad \dim \mathcal{P}_n = n + 1. \quad (2.1)$$

The Szegő kernel is simply

$$S(z, w) = \frac{\sqrt{dz} \sqrt{dw}}{z - w}. \quad (2.2)$$

In genus zero any flat bundle \mathcal{X} are trivial because $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is simply connected; thus there is only one Szegő kernel. Given a contour γ and $Y : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a sufficiently smooth function (we tacitly assume the necessary conditions so that all integrals we write are convergent) we define the Weyl-Stiltjes section of $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ as

$$\mathcal{W}(z) = \sqrt{dz} \int_\gamma \frac{Y(w)dw}{z - w} \quad (2.3)$$

The standard (multi point) Padé approximation problem can be formulated as follows. Consider a fixed spanning section φ_0 of $H^0(\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_1))$. Note that in genus 0 these have no zeros (e.g. $\varphi_0 = \sqrt{dz}$ if $\mathcal{D}_1 = \infty$). Let us denote by \mathcal{R} the divisor of φ_0 (which is of the form $\mathcal{R} = -p_0 = -\mathcal{D}_1$ where p_0 is the single pole of φ_0 , typically ∞).

Then the Padé problem can be formulated as that of finding a section $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1} \in H^0(\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1} - \mathcal{D}_1))$ and a section $\psi_n \in H^0(\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1}))$ such that

$$\varphi_0(p)\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}(p) - \psi_n(p)\mathcal{W}(p) = \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_n - \mathcal{R}). \quad (2.4)$$

Example: single-point case. Let $\mathcal{D}_n = n\infty$. Then $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_n^\vee$ is identified with the space of polynomials of degree $\leq n$ by $\varphi = p(z)\sqrt{dz}$ (note that \sqrt{dz} has a simple pole at ∞). Since $\varphi_0(z) = \sqrt{dz}$ it follows that $\mathcal{W}(z)$ is essentially the usual Weyl-Stieltjes transforms of a weight function $Y(z)$ on γ (times \sqrt{dz}). The Padé problem 2.7 is the usual Padé approximation whose denominators notoriously give orthogonal polynomials [25].

Example: multi-point case. A slightly less standard case is when \mathcal{D}_n consists of n points (not all the same). To simplify suppose $\mathcal{D}_n = \infty + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z_j$ with all points pairwise distinct. we can choose as (ordered) basis of $\mathcal{P}_n := H^0(\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1}))$ the sections

$$\varphi_0 = \sqrt{dz}, \quad \varphi_\ell = \frac{\sqrt{dz}}{z - z_\ell}, \quad \ell \geq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Noticing that $\mathcal{R} = -\infty$ (φ_0 has only a simple pole and no zeros), the Padé problem now reduces to the multi-point Padé approximation [24] where

$$\psi_n(z) = \frac{P_n(z)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (z - z_\ell)} \sqrt{dz} \quad (2.6)$$

and P_n a monic polynomial of degree n . The section \mathfrak{Q}_n is of the form

$$\mathfrak{Q}_n(z) = \frac{Q_{n-1}(z)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (z - z_\ell)} \sqrt{dz} \quad (2.7)$$

with Q_{n-1} a polynomial of degree $\leq n-1$. The Padé problem then becomes

$$\frac{Q_{n-1}(z)}{P_n(z)} - \int_\gamma \frac{Y(w)dw}{z-w} = \mathcal{O}\left(-2\infty - 2\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} z_\ell\right). \quad (2.8)$$

Then one obtains

$$Q_{n-1}(z) = \int_\gamma \frac{(P_n(z) - P_n(w))Y(w)dw}{z-w} \quad (2.9)$$

and P_n is obtained by the requirement that

$$\sqrt{dz} \int_\gamma \frac{P_n(w)Y(w)dw}{(z-w)\prod_{\ell=1}^n (w-z_\ell)} = \mathcal{O}\left(-\infty - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} z_\ell\right) \quad (2.10)$$

namely the linear system of n equations that embody the so-called *multiple orthogonality*

$$\int_\gamma \frac{P_n(w)Y(w)dw}{\prod_{\ell=1}^n (w-z_\ell)} = 0, \quad \int_\gamma \frac{P_n(w)Y(w)dw}{(z_j-w)\prod_{\ell=1}^n (w-z_\ell)} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.11)$$

which precisely implies that ψ_n is orthogonal to $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}$.

2.2 Higher genus Riemann surfaces and biorthogonality

Let \mathcal{C} be a smooth Riemann surface of genus g and $Z : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ a meromorphic function (the “projection”) of degree r . This allows us to think of \mathcal{C} as a branched cover of \mathbb{C} with r sheets. We will denote by lowercase z the *value* of $Z(p)$ for $p \in \mathcal{C}$ but otherwise try to keep the function Z and the coordinate z of the target space distinguished from each other. We recall that the *ramification points* are the points p of the curve \mathcal{C} where $dZ(p) = 0$; viceversa, the *branch-points* are the same as the critical values of Z , i.e. the values of $Z(p)$ at the ramification points.

The points above $z = \infty$ will be denoted $\infty^{(j)}$ with multiplicity k_j so that $\sum k_j = r$ and the corresponding divisor is denoted by Ξ :

$$\Xi = \sum k_j \infty^{(j)}. \quad (2.12)$$

We fix a square root $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ of the canonical line bundle of \mathcal{C} with $h^0(\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}) = 0$. Let \mathcal{X} be a flat bundle given by a $U(1)$ representation (with the same symbol) of the fundamental group of \mathcal{C} . For an arbitrary (generic) positive divisor \mathcal{D} an application of the Serre-Riemann-Roch theorem guarantees that $h^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D})) = \deg \mathcal{D}$: sections of this bundle can be thought of as half-differentials φ with multiplicative monodromy $\mathcal{X} : \pi_1(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow U(1)$ and with pole divisor not exceeding \mathcal{D} : $\text{div}(\varphi) \geq -\mathcal{D}$. The dual line bundle \mathcal{X}^\vee is the bundle constructed similarly to the above but with multiplier system $\mathcal{X}^\vee(\gamma) = \mathcal{X}(\gamma)^{-1}$, $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathcal{C})$.

General picture: biorthogonal “polynomials”. Suppose now that \mathcal{D}_n is an increasing sequence of positive divisors of degree n : $\mathcal{D}_n < \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$. Thus the corresponding sequences of vector spaces

$$\mathcal{P}_n := H^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1})) = \left\{ \psi \text{ meromorphic section of } \mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}} : \text{div}(\psi) \geq -\mathcal{D}_{n+1} \right\} \quad (2.13)$$

and $\mathcal{P}_n^\vee := H^0(\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1}))$ are in the same inclusion:

$$\mathcal{P}_n \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n+1}, \quad \mathcal{P}_n^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^\vee, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The reason for the shift in the indexing is that we want to think of \mathcal{P}_n as “polynomials” of degree n and hence \mathcal{P}_0 should have dimension 1.

Example 2.1 For $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathcal{D}_n = n\infty$ we have $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_n \sqrt{dz}$ where \mathcal{P}_ℓ denotes the vector space of polynomials of degree $\leq \ell$.

We use the symbols $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^\vee$ to mean the direct sum of all the respective sequences. Let $\hat{\gamma} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a contour that does not intersect any of the divisors \mathcal{D}_n , and $Y : \hat{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a smooth function (typically we will take Y to be a meromorphic function on \mathcal{C} restricted to $\hat{\gamma}$). Then we can define a bilinear pairing:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (2.14)$$

$$(\varphi, \varphi^\vee) \mapsto \langle \varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle := \int_{\hat{\gamma}} Y \varphi \varphi^\vee. \quad (2.15)$$

Note that the product $\varphi \varphi^\vee$ is an ordinary single-valued meromorphic differential. Let us fix two sequences of sections $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\varphi_n^\vee\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with φ_n spanning $\mathcal{P}_n \bmod \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ (and respectively for the “dual” space).

The Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process produces biorthogonal section ψ_n, ψ_n^\vee . We can write them explicitly as follows. Denote the “bimoments” by

$$\mu_{a,b} := \int_{\hat{\gamma}} Y \varphi_a \varphi_b^\vee, \quad D_n := \det [\mu_{a,b}]_{a,b=0}^{n-1}. \quad (2.16)$$

Then

$$\psi_n := \det \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{00} & \mu_{01} & \dots & \mu_{0,n-1} & \varphi_0 \\ \mu_{10} & \mu_{11} & \dots & \mu_{1,n-1} & \varphi_1 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \mu_{n1} & \mu_{n2} & \dots & \mu_{n,n-1} & \varphi_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \psi_n^\vee := \det \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{00} & \mu_{01} & \dots & \mu_{0n} \\ \mu_{10} & \mu_{11} & \dots & \mu_{1n} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \frac{\mu_{n-1,0}}{\varphi_0^\vee} & \frac{\mu_{n-1,1}}{\varphi_1^\vee} & \dots & \frac{\mu_{n-1,n}}{\varphi_n^\vee} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.17)$$

and they satisfy

$$\int_{\hat{\gamma}} Y \psi_n \psi_m^\vee = \delta_{nm} h_n. \quad (2.18)$$

A simple exercise shows that

$$h_n = D_{n+1} D_n. \quad (2.19)$$

If $D_n \neq 0$ then ψ_n (ψ_n^\vee) also span $\mathcal{P}_n \bmod \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, ($\mathcal{P}_n \bmod \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, respectively).

Definition 2.2 A section $\psi_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is a biorthogonal section if $\langle \psi_n, \varphi_j^\vee \rangle = 0$ for all $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Similarly a section $\psi_n^\vee \in \mathcal{P}_n^\vee$ is a biorthogonal section if $\langle \varphi_j, \psi_n^\vee \rangle = 0$, $j = 0, \dots, n-1$.

Exercise 2.3 Show that the notion of biorthogonality does not depend on the choices of the sequences $\{\varphi_n^\vee\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ provided that φ_n spans $\mathcal{P}_n \bmod \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$. Hence the notion depends only on the choice of the sequence \mathcal{D}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is familiar in the genus zero context and for the ‘‘Laurent’’ orthogonal polynomials [5, 20]. In that context \mathcal{D}_n have support at the two points $z = 0, \infty$ and the choice of sequence describes different families of orthogonal sections.

Note that biorthogonal sections are defined up to multiplicative nonzero scalar.

Szegő kernel. We need to introduce the notion of Szegő kernel following² [18, 15]. A convenient way to think of it is as the *reproducing kernel* for the pair of spaces \wp, \wp^\vee in the following sense:

$$\varphi(p) = \operatorname{res}_{q \in \mathcal{D}} S(p, q) \varphi(q), \quad \varphi^\vee(q) = - \operatorname{res}_{p \in \mathcal{D}} \varphi^\vee(p) S(p, q). \quad (2.20)$$

It is conceptually the equivalent of the Cauchy kernel for functions. More formally it is a kernel such that:

1. with respect to p (q) is a section of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(q)$ ($\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(p)$, respectively), namely has a simple pole only on the diagonal $p = q$ and, under analytic continuation along closed loops $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathcal{C}, p)$, $\sigma \in \pi_1(\mathcal{C}, q)$ satisfies³

$$S(p^\gamma, q) = \mathcal{X}(\gamma) S(p, q), \quad S(p, q^\sigma) = \mathcal{X}(\sigma)^{-1} S(p, q). \quad (2.21)$$

2. in any local coordinate ζ it is normalized as follows along the diagonal:

$$S(p, q) = \frac{\sqrt{d\zeta(p)} \sqrt{d\zeta(q)}}{\zeta(p) - \zeta(q)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\zeta(p) - \zeta(q)) \right) \quad (2.22)$$

Formulas in terms of Riemann theta functions as well as important determinantal identities (‘‘Fay’s identities’’) are presented in Appendix A. The kernel defined here exists and is unique if and only if the flat bundle \mathcal{X} does not lie on the Theta divisor in the Picard variety of degree zero bundles. What these words mean concretely is the following: choose a basis $\{a_j, b_j\}_{j=1}^g$ for the homology (the ‘‘ a, b ’’ cycles) and denote

$$e^{2i\pi\alpha_j} = \mathcal{X}(a_j), \quad e^{2i\pi\beta_j} = \mathcal{X}(b_j). \quad (2.23)$$

The numbers α_j, β_j are defined modulo integers. Define the following point in the Jacobian $\mathbb{J}(\mathcal{C})$ of the curve (we use the same symbol \mathcal{X} with slight abuse of notation):

$$\mathcal{X} := \beta - \tau\alpha \in \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g)^t, \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_g)^t \in \mathbb{R}^g \quad (2.24)$$

Then we must have $\Theta(\mathcal{X}) \neq 0$, with Θ the Riemann theta function (see App. A). The fact that this is a sufficient condition is clear from the formula (A.2). The necessity is more complicated but it follows from the general Riemann vanishing theorem for Theta functions [14].

Heine formula. A simple exercise using Andreief formula for alternants shows that we can write the orthogonal sections ψ_n, ψ_n^\vee above in the multiple-integral form:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(p) &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\gamma^n} \det[\varphi_a(p_b)]_{a,b=0}^n \det[\varphi_a^\vee(p_b)]_{a,b=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n Y(p_j) \\ \psi_n^\vee(p) &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\gamma^n} \det[\varphi_a(p_b)]_{a,b=1}^n \det[\varphi_a^\vee(p_b)]_{a,b=0}^n \prod_{j=1}^n Y(p_j) \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where $p_0 = p$ (note that one of the two alternants in each integral is of size $n+1$).

²The two references above differ in their definition by a sign. We follow the first of them.

³The symbol $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}, p)$ denotes the group of homotopy classes of closed contours on \mathcal{C} starting and ending at p .

Riemann–Hilbert problem. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}, \varphi^\vee \in \mathcal{P}^\vee$ we define

$$\mathcal{S}_Y[\varphi](p) := -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_\gamma S(p, q) \varphi(q) Y(q), \quad \mathcal{S}_Y[\varphi^\vee](p) := -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_\gamma \varphi^\vee(q) S(q, p) Y(q). \quad (2.26)$$

Note that, by the Sokhotskii-Plemelj formula,

$$\mathcal{S}_Y[\varphi](p_+) - \mathcal{S}_Y[\varphi](p_-) = \varphi(p) Y(p), \quad \mathcal{S}_Y[\varphi^\vee](p_+) - \mathcal{S}_Y[\varphi^\vee](p_-) = -\varphi^\vee(p) Y(p), \quad p \in \gamma. \quad (2.27)$$

Lemma 2.4 *Let ψ_n be the n -th biorthogonal section for the pairing (2.14). Then $\rho_n := \mathcal{S}_Y[\psi_n]$ has the property*

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho_n) \geq \mathcal{D}_n. \quad (2.28)$$

Viceversa, if $\psi_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is such that $\rho_n = \mathcal{S}_Y[\psi_n]$ vanishes at \mathcal{D}_n then ψ_n is a biorthogonal section. Similar statement holds for ψ_n^\vee .

Proof. Recall that $\operatorname{div}(\psi_n) \geq -\mathcal{D}_{n+1}$ and that $\mathcal{D}_{n+1} > \mathcal{D}_n$. We proceed by induction on n . The divisor $\mathcal{D}_2 = p_1 + p_2$ consists of only two points (possibly repeated) and hence ψ_1 has at most two simple poles or a double pole at \mathcal{D}_2 . Let φ_0^\vee be a spanning section of \mathcal{P}_0^\vee ; namely, it has a necessarily simple pole at $\mathcal{D}_1 = p_1$ since by assumption $h^0(\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}) = 0$. Then, by the Cauchy theorem

$$\operatorname{res} \varphi_0^\vee \rho_1 = \int_\gamma \varphi_0^\vee ((\rho_1)_+ - (\rho_1)_-) = \int_\gamma \varphi_0^\vee \psi_1 Y, \quad (2.29)$$

where in the last step we have used the Sokhotskii-Plemelj formula and res denotes the sum over all residues (outside γ) of the expression. In this case it is just the residue at p_1 where φ_0^\vee has a pole. Now ψ_1 is a biorthogonal section if and only if (2.29) vanishes. But this means that ρ_1 must vanish at $p_1 = \mathcal{D}_1$. This concludes the initial step of induction.

Induction step. Similar considerations show that, for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \varphi_j^\vee \rho_n = \int_\gamma \varphi_j^\vee \psi_n Y. \quad (2.30)$$

By induction, $\operatorname{div}(\rho_n) \geq \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ so there is only one residue to consider at $\mathcal{D}_n - \mathcal{D}_{n-1} = p_\star$. If this point appear with multiplicity 1 in \mathcal{D}_n then necessarily φ_{n-1}^\vee has a simple pole at p_\star and then the vanishing of (2.30) implies that ρ_n must vanish as well.

If p_\star has multiplicity k in \mathcal{D}_n (and hence multiplicity $k+1$ in \mathcal{D}_{n+1}) then we can find $k+1$ sections in \mathcal{P}_{n-1} with poles of orders $1, 2, \dots, k+1$ at p_\star . The induction hypothesis implies that ρ_n already vanishes of order k at p_\star ; then the pairing with a section with a pole of order $k+1$ at p_\star promptly implies the vanishing of order $k+1$. ■

Consider the two matrices

$$\Upsilon_n(p) := \begin{bmatrix} \psi_n & \mathcal{S}_Y[\psi_n] \\ \psi_{n-1} & \mathcal{S}_Y[\psi_{n-1}] \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Upsilon_n^\vee(p) := \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_Y[\psi_n^\vee] & \mathcal{S}_Y[\psi_{n-1}^\vee] \\ \psi_n^\vee & \psi_{n-1}^\vee \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.31)$$

The Lemma 2.4 shows that their divisor properties (outside of γ) are

$$\Upsilon_n = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1}) & \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_n) \\ \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_n) & \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Upsilon_n^\vee = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_n) & \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_{n-1}) \\ \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1}) & \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_n) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.32)$$

Moreover they satisfy the boundary value conditions (*jump condition*)

$$\Upsilon_n(p_+) = \Upsilon_n(p_-) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & Y(p) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Upsilon_n^\vee(p_+) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -Y(p) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Upsilon_n^\vee(p_-), \quad p \in \gamma, \quad (2.33)$$

where the subscript p_\pm denotes the boundary values at $p \in \gamma$ from the left (+) or the right (-). Generically the $(1, 1)$ entry of Υ_n is a section of \mathcal{P}_n but not in \mathcal{P}_{n-1} (i.e., it really has an “extra pole”). Similarly, $\mathcal{S}_Y[\psi_{n-1}]$ vanishes at \mathcal{D}_{n-1} but not at \mathcal{D}_n . These two conditions specify a Riemann–Hilbert problem on \mathcal{C}

Riemann–Hilbert Problem 2.5 Find a 2×2 matrix Υ_n (Υ_n^\vee) of local meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ ($\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$, respectively) satisfying the two conditions (2.32) and (2.33). Moreover we require that the $(1, 1)$ entry is $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1})$ but not $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_n)$ and the $(2, 2)$ entry is $\mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_n)$ but not $\mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_{n+1})$

Note that if Υ_n solves the RHP (2.5) we are still free to multiply it on the left by a diagonal constant invertible matrix. In general we can dispose of this freedom if we choose some convenient normalization. If we have chosen the bases $\varphi_\ell, \varphi_\ell^\vee$ then this normalization can be fixed by requiring that

$$(\Upsilon_n)_{11}(p) = \varphi_n(p) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} c_\ell \varphi_\ell(p), \quad \text{res}_{\mathcal{D}_{n+1}} \varphi_n^\vee (\Upsilon_n)_{22} = 1. \quad (2.34)$$

We also observe that the product $\Upsilon_n \Upsilon_n^\vee$ is a matrix of differentials without discontinuity across γ , with the $(1, 1), (2, 2)$ entries being holomorphic differential and the $(1, 2), (2, 1)$ entries having poles at $\mathcal{D}_{n+1} - \mathcal{D}_{n-1} = p_n + p_{n+1}$.

Note also that for $n = 0$ we have

$$\Upsilon_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_0 & \mathcal{S}_Y[\varphi_0] \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.35)$$

We now discuss uniqueness.

Proposition 2.6 The solution Υ_n of the RHP 2.5 (and similarly for Υ_n^\vee) with the normalization (2.34) exists and is unique if and only if the determinant

$$D_n = \det \left[\mu_{a,b} \right]_{a,b=0}^{n-1}, \quad \mu_{a,b} = \int_\gamma \varphi_a^\vee \varphi_b Y \quad (2.36)$$

does not vanish. In that case the $(1, 1)$ entry is the biorthogonal section $\frac{\psi_n}{D_n}$ ($\frac{\psi_n^\vee}{D_n}$, respectively).

Proof. Denote provisionally $\psi = (\Upsilon_n)_{11}$ and $\rho = (\Upsilon_n)_{22}$. The jump condition (2.33) implies that ψ extends analytically across γ to a meromorphic section of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$. The growth conditions (2.32) imply that $\text{div}(\psi) \geq -\mathcal{D}_{n+1}$ and hence $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ by definition. The normalization (2.34) implies that we can write

$$\psi(p) = \varphi_n(p) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} c_\ell \varphi_\ell(p). \quad (2.37)$$

Now the condition that $\mathcal{S}_Y[\psi]$ (in the $(1, 2)$ entry of Υ_n) is $\mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_{n+1})$ means that it vanishes at \mathcal{D}_{n+1} ; but this is equivalent to the orthogonality of ψ to all $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}$ by Lemma 2.4. This condition in turn translates to the linear system;

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_{00} & \dots & \mu_{0,n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_{n-1,0} & \dots & \mu_{n-1,n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{0n} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{n-1,n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.38)$$

which is then uniquely solvable if and only if the determinant $D_n \neq 0$. Now consider the $(2, 2)$ entry ρ and let $\tilde{\psi}$ denote the $(2, 1)$ entry of Υ_n . The jump condition implies that $\rho = \mathcal{S}_Y[\tilde{\psi}]$ and the growth condition implies that $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$. Thus we can write

$$\tilde{\psi}(p) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \beta_\ell \varphi_\ell(p). \quad (2.39)$$

The vanishing condition of ρ at \mathcal{D}_n implies that $\tilde{\psi}$ is an orthogonal section. The normalization condition reads

$$\delta_{\ell n} = \text{res}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \varphi_\ell^\vee \rho = \int_\gamma \tilde{\psi} \varphi_\ell^\vee Y, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq n. \quad (2.40)$$

This is equivalent to the following system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_{00} & \cdots & \mu_{0,n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_{n-1,0} & \cdots & \mu_{n-1,n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.41)$$

Then $D_n \neq 0$ if and only if this system (2.41) is uniquely solvable. \blacksquare

As noted in [4], in genus zero the matrix of bimoments μ_{ab} is a Hankel matrix and then the vanishing $D_n = 0$ precludes the compatibility of the two systems (2.38), (2.41). In higher genus one may have $D_n = 0$ and yet still have compatibility of the two systems (2.38), (2.41) in some non-generic situations.

The RHPs 2.5 characterize (normalized) biorthogonal sections by taking the (1, 1) entry of the solutions. The existence of the Riemann–Hilbert characterization of the (normalized) biorthogonal sections is a potentially useful tool to investigate asymptotic issues; this would require to develop a higher genus analog of the Deift–Zhou steepest descent method already used successfully in the ordinary (genus zero) orthogonality [12].

2.2.1 Padé approximation

In a similar vein as [4] we show that the biorthogonal sections ψ_n, ψ_n^\vee are the denominators of a suitable Padé-like pair of approximation problems for the two Weyl “functions”

$$\mathcal{W}(p) = \int_\gamma S(p, q) \varphi_0^\vee(q) Y(q), \quad \mathcal{W}^\vee(p) = \int_\gamma \varphi_0^\vee(q) S(q, p) Y(q), \quad (2.42)$$

They are holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \gamma$, with a jump discontinuity across γ . We will consider the Padé problem for \mathcal{W} , the one for \mathcal{W}^\vee being formulated by simply swapping the roles of $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\vee$.

Padé Problem 2.7 *Given fixed spanning sections $\varphi_0, \varphi_0^\vee$ of $H^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_1)), H^0(\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_1))$, respectively, let $\mathcal{R} = \text{div}(\varphi_0), \mathcal{R}^\vee = \text{div}(\varphi_0^\vee)$ be their divisors (of degree $g - 1$).*

Find a section $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1} - \mathcal{D}_1))$ and a section $\psi_n \in H^0(\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{D}_{n+1}))$ such that

$$\varphi_0^\vee(p) \mathfrak{Q}_n(p) - \psi_n(p) \mathcal{W}^\vee(p) = \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_n - \mathcal{R}^\vee). \quad (2.43)$$

Since the degree of $\mathcal{D}_n + \mathcal{R}^\vee$ is $n + g$, we have no choice but set

$$\varphi_0^\vee(p) \mathfrak{Q}_n(p) = \int_\gamma \left(\psi_n(p) \varphi_0^\vee(q) S(q, p) - \varphi_0^\vee(p) S(p, q) \psi_n(q) \right) Y(q), \quad (2.44)$$

and observe that the expression is a meromorphic differential without discontinuity on γ . Now (2.43) becomes a constraint on the remainder

$$\varphi_0^\vee(p) \int_\gamma \psi_n(q) Y(q) S(p, q) = \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_n - \mathcal{R}^\vee) \quad (2.45)$$

We can then divide by φ_0 and obtain

$$\rho_n(p) := \int_\gamma \psi_n(q) Y(q) S(p, q) = \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}_n) \quad (2.46)$$

As we have seen in Lemma 2.4 this is the requirement that ψ_n is a biorthogonal section.

Orthogonality. The spaces $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^\vee$ are different spaces simply because $\mathcal{X} \neq \mathcal{X}^\vee$. However, if \mathcal{X} takes values in $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, -1\}$ then $\mathcal{X}^\vee = \mathcal{X}$ and the pairing is actually a non-hermitian inner product. In this case one promptly sees that the matrix of bimoments $\mu_{a,b}$ (2.16) is symmetric and $\psi_n = \psi_n^\vee$.

2.3 Christoffel-Darboux-Szegő reproducing kernels

Definition 2.8 *The Christoffel–Darboux–Szegő (CDS) kernel is the expression*

$$K_n(p, q) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_j} \psi_j(p) \psi_j^\vee(q). \quad (2.47)$$

It is immediate to verify that this kernel is the projection on the subspaces $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^\vee$ in the following sense

$$\int_\gamma K_n(p, q)Y(q)\psi_j(q) = \begin{cases} \psi_j(p) & j \leq n-1 \\ 0 & j \geq n \end{cases}, \quad \int_\gamma K_n(p, q)Y(p)\psi_j^\vee(p) = \begin{cases} \psi_j^\vee(q) & j \leq n-1 \\ 0 & j \geq n \end{cases} \quad (2.48)$$

and the kernel is reproducing:

$$\int_{q \in \gamma} K_n(p, q)Y(q)K_n(q, t) = K_n(p, t), \quad \int K_n(p, p)Y(p) = n. \quad (2.49)$$

In order to discuss a ‘‘Christoffel–Darboux’’ type of theorem one needs more structure; the nested sequence of divisors \mathcal{D}_n will be taken with support within the pole divisor Ξ of the projection map Z of degree r . More precisely,

Assumption 2.9 *We consider the sequence of divisors \mathcal{D}_n such that $\mathcal{D}_{kr+\ell} = k\Xi + \mathcal{R}_\ell$ with \mathcal{R}_ℓ positive divisor of degree $j \leq r-1$, $\mathcal{R}_j \leq \Xi$.*

Example 2.10 *If $\Xi = \sum_{j=1}^r \infty^{(j)}$ is a simple divisor, then we may choose*

$$\mathcal{D}_{kr+\ell} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (k+1)\infty^{(j)} + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^r k\infty^{(j)}, \quad (2.50)$$

namely we increase the multiplicities of the points at infinity sequentially and periodically. Another example is $\Xi = (r-1)\infty^{(1)} + \infty^{(2)}$; then we may choose

$$\mathcal{D}_{kr+\ell} = k\Xi + \ell\infty^{(1)} \quad \ell \leq r-1. \quad (2.51)$$

A third example is simply $\Xi = r\infty$, namely, the map Z has a single pole of order r ; here the only choice we have is to take $\mathcal{D}_n = n\infty$.

Let the Assumption 2.9 prevail; then clearly $Z(p)\psi_n(p) \in \mathcal{P}_{n+r}$ and similarly $Z(p)\psi_n^\vee(p) \in \mathcal{P}_{n+r}^\vee$ just by inspection of the degree of the poles. Let us denote by $\vec{\Psi}$ the infinite column vector (ψ_0, ψ_1, \dots) and $\vec{\Psi}^\vee$ the infinite row-vector $(\psi_0^\vee, \psi_1^\vee, \dots)$. Thanks to the fact that the multiplication by Z is symmetric

$$\langle Z\varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle = \langle \varphi, Z\varphi^\vee \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{P}, \quad \forall \varphi^\vee \in \mathcal{P}^\vee, \quad (2.52)$$

it follows that there is an $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ matrix \mathbf{Z} such that

$$Z(p)\vec{\Psi}(p) = \mathbf{Z}\vec{\Psi}(p), \quad Z(p)\vec{\Psi}^\vee(p) = \vec{\Psi}^\vee(p)\mathbf{Z}. \quad (2.53)$$

The lemma hereafter follows immediately from (2.52) and from the degree counting mentioned above.

Lemma 2.11 *The $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ matrix \mathbf{Z} is a finite-band matrix of size $2r+1$ with $\mathbf{Z}_{a,b} = 0$ if $|a-b| > r$.*

It is convenient to view \mathbf{Z} as a tridiagonal block matrix with blocks of size r and similarly to segment the vectors $\vec{\Psi}, \vec{\Psi}^\vee$ into pieces of length r :

$$\vec{\Psi}_k = [\psi_{kr}, \dots, \psi_{kr+r-1}]^t, \quad \vec{\Psi}_k^\vee = [\psi_{kr}^\vee, \dots, \psi_{kr+r-1}^\vee], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (2.54)$$

Then (2.53) can be written

$$Z(p)\vec{\Psi}_k(p) = \mathbf{A}_{k+1}\vec{\Psi}_{k+1}(p) + \mathbf{B}_k\vec{\Psi}_k(p) + \mathbf{C}_{k-1}\vec{\Psi}_{k-1}(p), \quad (2.55)$$

$$Z(p)\vec{\Psi}_k^\vee(p) = \vec{\Psi}_{k-1}^\vee(p)\mathbf{A}_k + \vec{\Psi}_k^\vee(p)\mathbf{B}_k + \vec{\Psi}_{k+1}^\vee(p)\mathbf{C}_k, \quad (2.56)$$

where $\mathbf{A}_k, \mathbf{B}_k, \mathbf{C}_k$ are $r \times r$ matrices. Then we have the simple Corollary (whose proof is immediate)

Corollary 2.12 (“CD identity”) *The CDS kernels for $n = \ell r$ can be written as follows*

$$K_{\ell r}(p, q) = \frac{\vec{\Psi}_\ell^\vee(q)\mathbf{H}_\ell^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{\ell+1}\vec{\Psi}_{\ell+1}(p) - \vec{\Psi}_{\ell+1}^\vee(q)\mathbf{C}_\ell\mathbf{H}_\ell^{-1}\vec{\Psi}_\ell(p)}{Z(p) - Z(q)} \quad (2.57)$$

where $\mathbf{H}_\ell = \text{diag}(h_{\ell r}, \dots, h_{\ell r+r-1})$.

3 Matrix (bi)orthogonality

We consider a sequence of divisors \mathcal{D}_n chosen according to Assumption 2.9. The first important realization, contained in the next theorem, is that then the sections of $\mathcal{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{P}_{r-1}$ can be written as linear combinations of sections in \mathcal{P}_{r-1} with polynomial coefficients in Z .

We introduce the following notation; we choose a dissection, $\dot{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$, of the z -plane so that we can represent the Riemann surface \mathcal{C} by r copies of the z -plane appropriately identified along the various cuts of the dissection.

For $z \in \dot{\mathbb{C}}$ we denote by $z^{(a)}$, $a = 1, \dots, r$ the point in $Z^{-1}(z) \subset \mathcal{C}$ belonging to the corresponding sheet: two points $z^{(a)}, z^{(b)}$, $a \neq b$ coincide at $z = c$ if and only if the value c is a critical value of Z , namely dZ vanishes at some of the points in $Z^{-1}(c)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^r$ be any basis of holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$, with Ξ the divisor of poles of the map $Z : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$. Let*

$$\Phi(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \varphi_1(z^{(r)}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_r(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \varphi_r(z^{(r)}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

Then the determinant $\det \Phi(z)$ vanishes only at the critical points of minimal order in the following sense; for any collection ψ_1, \dots, ψ_r of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ (and poles away from the ramification points of the map Z) the ratio

$$F(z) := \frac{\det \left[\psi_j(z^{(a)}) \right]_{j,a=1}^r}{\det \Phi(z)} \quad (3.2)$$

is a rational function of z without poles at the critical points (i.e. the determinant in the numerator is “divisible” by $\det \Phi$)

We could not find an elementary proof that does not use the theory of Theta functions and thus, inevitably, the proof we provide in Appendix A.1 is somewhat technical. However, the reader may find the genus 1 example in Section 4.2 sufficiently illuminating.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $n \geq r$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{P}_{r-1}$. Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq r}$ be any basis of $H^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi))$. Then the following two statements hold.*

[1] *We have the expansion*

$$\varphi(p) = \sum_{j=1}^r f_j(z) \varphi_j(p), \quad z = Z(p) \quad (3.3)$$

where f_j are polynomials of degree $\leq \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$ and at least one of them is of degree exactly $\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$.

[2] *Let $\{\psi_\ell\}_{\ell \geq 0}$ be an arbitrary sequence such that ψ_ℓ spans $\mathcal{P}_\ell \bmod \mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$ and arrange the coefficients of the expansion (3.3) in matrix form as follows*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_{kr}(p) \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{kr+r-1}(p) \end{bmatrix} = F^{(k)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} \psi_0(p) \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{r-1}(p) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z = Z(p). \quad (3.4)$$

Then $F^{(k)}(z)$ is a polynomial matrix of degree k in the indeterminate z and the leading coefficient matrix is invertible.

Proof. [1] It is clear that any linear combination (3.3) belongs to \mathcal{P}_n so we need to show the converse. We give here a rather pedestrian proof of this fact. For $z \in \dot{\mathbb{C}}$ let $\vec{\varphi}(z) := (\varphi(z^{(1)}), \dots, \varphi(z^{(r)}))$ be the vector of its values at all the preimages of z . If σ is a closed loop starting and ending at z and avoiding the critical values of Z ,

then the analytic continuation of $\vec{\varphi}$ along σ yields the same vector up to a quasi-permutation matrix P_σ , where the multipliers are determined by the \mathcal{X} multiplier system.

Consider then the matrix Φ in (3.1): under analytic continuation along σ the matrix Φ undergoes the same transformation:

$$\Phi(z^\sigma) = \Phi(z)P_\sigma. \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover Φ is invertible on $\dot{\mathbb{C}}$ because of Thm. 3.1. Thus we can consider $\vec{F}(z) := \vec{\varphi}(z)\Phi^{-1}(z)$. It follows at once that this vector extends to a single valued function of z on \mathbb{C} minus the critical points of Z , where it can have at most poles so that $\vec{F}(z)$ is at most a rational function of z . We now show that it has no poles at the critical points, and thus it is a polynomial whose degree is easily determined to be as claimed.

The j -th entry of $\vec{F}(z)$ can be written as a ratio of determinants:

$$f_j(z) = \frac{\det \Phi_j}{\det \Phi} \quad (3.6)$$

where Φ_j is the matrix obtained by replacing the j -th row of Φ with the vector $\vec{\varphi}$ (Cramer's rule). Then the Theorem 3.1 states that the ratio is locally analytic also at the critical values. It follows from the Liouville theorem that f_j are polynomials. The degree follows from simple counting of the order of the poles.

[2] As per Assumption 2.9 we have $\text{div}(\psi_{kr+\ell-1}) \geq -k\Xi - \mathcal{R}_\ell$. To simplify the considerations we write $\Xi = \infty^{(1)} + \dots + \infty^{(r)}$ (the points may be repeated), and $\mathcal{R}_\ell = \infty^{(1)} + \dots + \infty^{(\ell)}$, $\ell \leq r-1$ with \mathcal{R}_0 being the empty divisor. Then the sections $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{r-1}$ form a basis of $H^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi))$ and we can apply the result at point [1] of this proof. Let us denote by $F_k^{(k)}$ the coefficient matrix of z^k of $F^{(k)}(z)$ of the polynomial matrix:

$$F^{(k)}(z) = z^k F_k^{(k)} + G^{(k)}(z). \quad (3.7)$$

where $G^{(k)}(z)$ is a polynomial matrix of degree $\leq k-1$. Now, the sections $\psi_{kr}, \dots, \psi_{kr+r-1}$ span $\mathcal{P}_{kr+r-1} \bmod \mathcal{P}_{k(r-1)}$ and the r sections given by the entries of $G^{(k)}(z)[\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{r-1}]^t$ fall within $\mathcal{P}_{k(r-1)}$ by simple degree counting. Thus the r entries of

$$z^k F_k^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{r-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.8)$$

must span the r -dimensional space $\mathcal{P}_{kr+r-1} \bmod \mathcal{P}_{k(r-1)}$ and hence $F_k^{(k)}$ must be invertible. \blacksquare

Remark 3.3 *If we consider an arbitrary increasing sequence of divisors \mathcal{D}_n of degree n supported at Ξ but we waive the requirement that $\mathcal{D}_{kr+\ell} = k\Xi + \mathcal{R}_\ell$, then the Proposition 3.2 still holds save for the statement about the degrees. In this more general case the sequence of degrees of the polynomials f_j depends not just on the degree of \mathcal{D}_n but on the choice of points. As a simple example of this phenomenon consider the map $Z : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ given by $Z(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t - \frac{1}{t})$. As basis of $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$ (there is no nontrivial flat bundle on \mathbb{CP}^1) we can choose $\varphi_1 = \sqrt{dt}$ and $\varphi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{dt}}{t}$. If $\mathcal{D}_n = n\infty_t$, for example then the degrees of the polynomials in (3.3) are clearly n and not $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ because the function Z has a simple pole at infinity.*

Similar statements to those of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 hold for sections of \mathcal{P}_n^\vee with the obvious modifications. The following corollary is then immediate

Corollary 3.4 *Let ψ_j, ψ_j^\vee be the biorthogonal sections for the pairing (2.14). Denote by $\Psi_k(z), \Psi_k^\vee(z)$ the following matrices*

$$\Psi_k(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{rk}(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \psi_{rk}(z^{(r)}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_{rk+r-1}(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \psi_{rk+r-1}(z^{(r)}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Psi_k^\vee(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{rk}^\vee(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \psi_{rk+r-1}^\vee(z^{(1)}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_{rk}^\vee(z^{(r)}) & \dots & \psi_{rk+r-1}^\vee(z^{(r)}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.9)$$

Then there exist matrix-valued polynomials $P_k(z), P_k^\vee(z)$ of degree k such that

$$\Psi_k(z) = P_k(z)\Phi(z), \quad \Psi_k^\vee(z) = \Phi^\vee(z)P_k^\vee(z), \quad (3.10)$$

where Φ, Φ^\vee are the matrices constructed out of a pair of arbitrary holomorphic bases $\mathfrak{B} = \{\varphi_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^r$, $\mathfrak{B}^\vee = \{\varphi_\ell^\vee\}_{\ell=1}^r$ of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$, $\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$ (respectively) as follows

$$\Phi_{ab}(z) = \varphi_a(z^{(b)}); \quad \Phi_{ab}^\vee = \varphi_b^\vee(z^{(a)}). \quad (3.11)$$

The matrix polynomials P_k, P_k^\vee will be our matrix-orthogonal polynomials under a few additional assumptions.

Let $\gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an oriented contour in the plane and $\gamma = Z^{-1}(\gamma_0)$: let $Y(z)$ as before, a smooth function on γ and define

$$\Lambda(z) = \text{diag}\left(Y(z^{(1)}), \dots, Y(z^{(r)})\right). \quad (3.12)$$

Note that if γ consists of several connected components, we are free to choose the function Y on each of them, namely, we are not requiring that Y extends outside of γ but simply that it is smooth on each of its connected components. We also define the **matrix weight** on γ_0 by the formula

$$W(z)dz := \Phi(z)\Lambda(z)\Phi^\vee(z). \quad (3.13)$$

In general Λ is *not* the diagonalization of the weight matrix because Φ^\vee is not the inverse of Φ . This reflects the arbitrariness of choices of bases $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^\vee$ which affect W by the left/right action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. We then have the simple theorem

Theorem 3.5 *Assume that $D_n \neq 0$ in (2.16) so that the biorthogonal sections ψ_n, ψ_n^\vee span $\mathcal{P}_n \bmod \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n^\vee \bmod \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^\vee$ respectively. The matrix-valued polynomial sequences $\{P_k(z)\}_{k \geq 0}$, $\{P_k^\vee(z)\}_{k \geq 0}$ defined in (3.10) are a biorthogonal sequence for the matrix weight $W(z)dz$ (3.13):*

$$\int_{\gamma_0} P_k(z)W(z)P_\ell^\vee(z)dz = \delta_{\ell k} \mathbf{H}_\ell, \quad (3.14)$$

where \mathbf{H}_ℓ is the diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{H}_\ell = \text{diag}\left(h_{\ell r}, \dots, h_{\ell r+r-1}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

We can normalize the two sequences to be monic

$$Q_k(z) = (F_k^{(k)})^{-1}P_k(z), \quad Q_k^\vee(z) = (F_k^{\vee(k)})^{-1}P_k^\vee(z), \quad (3.16)$$

where the matrices $F_k^{(k)}$ and $F_k^{\vee(k)}$ are the leading coefficients of P_n, P_n^\vee (respectively), invertible by Prop. 3.2. In this case the “norming” matrices are:

$$\int_{\gamma} Q_k(z)W(z)Q_\ell^\vee(z)dz = \delta_{\ell k} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_\ell. \quad \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_\ell = (F_k^{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{H}_\ell (F_k^{\vee(k)})^{-1}. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. By construction we have

$$P_k(z)W(z)P_\ell^\vee(z) = \Psi_k(z)\Lambda(z)\Psi_\ell^\vee(z). \quad (3.18)$$

Consider the entry (a, b) of the above matrix;

$$(\Psi_k(z)\Lambda(z)\Psi_\ell^\vee(z))_{a,b} = \sum_{j=1}^r \psi_{kr+a}(z^{(j)})Y(z^{(j)})\psi_{\ell r+b}^\vee(z^{(j)}). \quad (3.19)$$

Integrating this expression on γ is the same as the integral over $\hat{\gamma}$ of $\psi_{kr+a}Y(p)\psi_{\ell r+b}^\vee(p)$, which gives $\delta_{\ell k}\delta_{a,b}h_{\ell r+a}$. ■

\mathbb{Z}_2 characters and orthogonality: symmetric weight matrices. Let us consider again the case $\mathcal{X} : \pi_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$. In this case we can choose the same bases $\varphi_\ell = \varphi_\ell^\vee$ so that $\Phi^\vee = \Phi^t$. Then we have $\Psi_k^\vee = \Psi_k^t$ and $P_k(z) = P_k^t(z)$. The weight matrix W is then a *symmetric* matrix. Under a few additional assumptions one can easily consider a setup where W is actually positive definite. It suffices to consider curves \mathcal{C} admitting an antiholomorphic involution that fixes $\hat{\gamma}$ and positive-valued weight function Y . We can only consider meromorphic functions $Z : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ that intertwine the anti-involution on \mathcal{C} with some antiholomorphic involution on \mathbb{CP}^1 (i.e. the conjugate by an arbitrary Möbius transformation of the ordinary complex conjugation).

3.1 Matrix Christoffel-Darboux from scalar Christoffel–Darboux–Szegő

As observed in the special case where $genus(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ in [10] (see Thm. 1.16 ibidem) the matrix-Christoffel-Darboux (MCD) reproducing kernel can be expressed in terms of the scalar one. Specifically we recall the standard definition

Definition 3.6 *The matrix Christoffel–Darboux kernel is*

$$\mathbb{K}_\ell(z, w) := \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} P_j^\vee(z) \mathbf{H}_j^{-1} P_j(w), \quad \ell = 1, \dots \quad (3.20)$$

The kernel satisfies

$$\int_\gamma \mathbb{K}_\ell(z, t) W(t) \mathbb{K}_\ell(t, w) dt = \mathbb{K}_\ell(z, w), \quad \int_\gamma \mathbb{K}_\ell(z, z) W(z) dz = \ell \mathbf{1}_r. \quad (3.21)$$

It follows directly from the definitions of $P_j(z), P_j^\vee(z)$ that we have

Corollary 3.7 *The matrix Christoffel-Darboux kernel $\mathbb{K}_\ell(z, w)$ in (3.21) and the (scalar) Christoffel–Darboux–Szegő kernel K_n in (2.47) are related by*

$$[\Phi^\vee(z) \mathbb{K}_\ell(z, w) \Phi(w)]_{ab} = K_{\ell r}(w^{(a)}, z^{(b)}). \quad (3.22)$$

3.2 Change of multiplier system \mathcal{X}

We briefly recall, following ideas explored in [6], of the effect of change of multiplier system $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$.

Under our assumptions that $h^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}) = 0 = h^0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}})$ (which, we remind, is equivalent to $\Theta(\mathcal{X}) \neq 0 \neq \Theta(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})$), there are sections, φ_0 and $\tilde{\varphi}_0$, of the two bundles with a simple pole and g zeros. We choose the pole to be at the same point p_0 . Then the ratio, $F_{\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}} = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_0}{\varphi_0}$, is a meromorphic section of the flat bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \mathcal{X}^\vee$ with g zeros and g poles. Namely a multivalued meromorphic function on \mathcal{C} such that, under analytic continuation around a loop $\sigma \in \pi_1$, it satisfies

$$F_{\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(p^\sigma) = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(\sigma)}{\mathcal{X}(\sigma)} F_{\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(p). \quad (3.23)$$

This function can be simply written in terms of the Riemann Theta function as

$$F_{\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(p) = e^{\sum_{j=1}^g (\tilde{\alpha}_j - \alpha_j) \mathfrak{A}_j(p)} \frac{\Theta(p - p_0 + \tilde{\mathcal{X}})}{\Theta(p - p_0 + \mathcal{X})} \quad (3.24)$$

where we recall that we identify the multiplier system \mathcal{X} ($\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$) with the point in the Jacobian $\mathbb{J}(\mathcal{C})$ (of the same symbol)

$$\mathcal{X} = \beta - \tau \alpha, \quad \mathcal{X}(a_j) = e^{2i\pi\alpha_j}, \quad \mathcal{X}(b_j) = e^{2i\pi\beta_j}, \quad (3.25)$$

and similar formulas for $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Let

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_0}{\varphi_0} \right) = \tilde{\mathcal{D}} - \mathcal{D}, \quad \deg \mathcal{D} = \deg \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = g. \quad (3.26)$$

Now, any holomorphic φ section in $H^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi))$ can be written as $\varphi(p) = f(p)\varphi_0$ with $f(p)$ a meromorphic function with $\operatorname{div} f \geq -\Xi + \mathcal{D}$. Let us set

$$D(z) := \operatorname{diag} \left(F_{\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(z^{(1)}), \dots, F_{\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(z^{(r)}) \right). \quad (3.27)$$

Proposition 3.8 *Given two flat bundles $\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and corresponding bases $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^\vee, \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}^\vee$ of the corresponding spaces, let Φ, Φ^\vee be defined as in (3.11) and similar expressions defining the tilde counterparts. For a given weight function $Y : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the weight matrices $W(z), \tilde{W}(z)$ are related by*

$$\tilde{W}(z) = L(z)W(z)R(z), \quad (3.28)$$

with

$$L(z) := \tilde{\Phi}(z)D^{-1}(z)\Phi^{-1}(z), \quad R(z) := (\Phi^\vee(z))^{-1}D(z)\tilde{\Phi}^\vee(z). \quad (3.29)$$

Both $L(z), R(z)$ are rational functions with poles at $Z(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ and $Z(\mathcal{D})$, respectively.

Proof. Since both D and Λ are diagonal matrices we can write the weight matrix as

$$W(z) := \Phi(z)D(z)\Lambda(z)D^{-1}(z)\Phi^\vee(z). \quad (3.30)$$

Then (3.28) immediately follows. The important information that the theorem is conveying is that both $L(z) := \tilde{\Phi}(z)D^{-1}(z)\Phi^{-1}(z)$ and $R(z) := (\Phi^\vee(z))^{-1}D(z)\tilde{\Phi}^\vee(z)$ are rational (i.e. single-valued) functions of z with poles only at the Z -projection of the two divisors $\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ of degree g . We inspect $L(z)$ with the considerations extending to $R(z)$ by swapping the roles of $\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. The matrix $\Phi(z)D(z)$ is of the form

$$[\Phi(z)D(z)]_{ab} = \varphi_a(z^{(b)}) \frac{\varphi_0^\vee(z^{(b)})}{\varphi_0(z^{(b)})}, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, r. \quad (3.31)$$

For each $a = 1, \dots, r$ the a -th row is obtained by evaluating $\varpi_a(p) := \varphi_a(p) \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_0(p)}{\varphi_0(p)}$ at the points $Z^{-1}(z)$. It is apparent that ϖ_a is a meromorphic section of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$ with poles at \mathcal{D} coming from the zeros of φ_0 . Then $\Phi(z)D(z)\tilde{\Phi}(z)^{-1}$ is single-valued by Theorem 3.1 (applied to the basis $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$). ■

3.3 Abelianization: from matrix to scalar orthogonality

The above construction starts with a Riemann surface and a projection Z . It is interesting to give a general framework where we start from the weight matrix $W(z)$ itself.

This can be done at least under the assumption that $W(z)$ is a rational matrix; in this case there exists a well established general spectral theory which we briefly summarize below. In the context of MOPs, this precise approach has been investigated recently in [10] and hence we will not delve into all details.

The reader that would like to have a geometrical approach to the so-called “(inverse) spectral problem” (such is the issue at hand) should consult for example Chapter 5 of [3] for a recent review, or the papers [1, 2, 6]

We preface this construction by warning that it is not quite the inverse construction to the previous one; the reason is that we will use as weight on the Riemann surface the eigenvalues of W while in the previous section the matrix Λ is not the matrix of eigenvalues of W in (3.13) in general.

The spectral curve \mathcal{C} is simply the locus of the characteristic polynomial of W :

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ (y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \det(y\mathbf{1}_r - W(z)) = 0 \right\}. \quad (3.32)$$

The function Y used in the previous section is now the eigenvalues of W on the spectral curve, and Z is the natural projection to the z -plane. The curve \mathcal{C} can be compactified under general assumptions and we denote by g the genus of this compactification.

If $(y, z) \in \mathcal{C}$ then the matrix $M(y, z) := y\mathbf{1}_r - W(z)$ is singular and generically its adjugate matrix $\tilde{M}(y, z)$ (the transposed matrix of cofactors) has rank one. Any nonzero column of \tilde{M} is then a right eigenvector and any nonzero row is a left eigenvector. Note that we are not assuming that W be a symmetric matrix.

Choose one such row \vec{R} and column \vec{C} : choose one of the entries of each (say, the first) and then it can be seen that they have $g + r - 1$ zeros. The divisors of these zeros determine line bundles of the same degree $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^\vee$ dual to each other. Consider the case of \mathcal{L} ; the divisor is linearly equivalent to a divisor of the form $\mathcal{D} - \infty^{(1)} + \Xi$, where \mathcal{D} is positive and of degree g and $\infty^{(1)}$ is one of the preimages of $z = \infty$. Let us fix a normalized basis of

holomorphic differentials on \mathcal{C} and the corresponding Abel map \mathfrak{A} with basepoint $\infty^{(1)}$. We denote by α, β the (real) characteristics of \mathcal{D} as follows,

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{D}) = \beta - \tau\alpha, \quad \tau_{ij} = \oint_{b_i} \omega_j = \oint_{b_j} \omega_i, \quad \beta, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^g. \quad (3.33)$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{D}) = \beta - \tau\alpha$; this point defines also a multiplier system which we denote by the same symbol $\mathcal{X} : \pi_1(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow U(1)$ with slight abuse of notation according to the formula:

$$\mathcal{X}(a_\ell) = e^{2i\pi\alpha_\ell}, \quad \mathcal{X}(b_\ell) = e^{2i\pi\beta_\ell}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, g. \quad (3.34)$$

For simplicity let us assume at first that $\Xi = Z^{-1}(\infty) = \sum_{j=1}^r \infty^{(j)}$ is a simple divisor; if $S(p, q) := S_{\alpha, \beta}(p, q)$ denotes the Szegő kernel with the indicated characteristics (see Appendix A) then we can follow [18] and define the matrix

$$\Phi_{j,a}(z) = \frac{S(z^{(a)}, \infty^{(j)})}{\sqrt{d\zeta_j}}, \quad \Phi_{b,k}^\vee := \frac{S(\infty^{(k)}, z^{(b)})}{\sqrt{d\zeta_k}} \quad (3.35)$$

where $d\zeta_j$ denotes any local coordinate near $\infty^{(j)}$ in which to trivialize the semicanonical bundle $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$. If we choose $\zeta = \frac{1}{z}$ (for simple divisor Ξ) then they satisfy

$$\Phi(z)\Phi^\vee(z) = \mathbf{1}_r dz. \quad (3.36)$$

To see this (see [18]) one computes directly the j, k entry:

$$(\Phi(z)\Phi^\vee(z))_{jk} = \sum_{a=1}^r \frac{S(\infty^{(k)}, z^{(a)})}{\sqrt{d\zeta_k}} \frac{S(z^{(a)}, \infty^{(j)})}{\sqrt{d\zeta_j}} \quad (3.37)$$

Since the expression is clearly invariant under permutation of the sheets, and single valued, it must be a differential on the target curve of the z -plane; as such it can only have poles at ∞ due to the simple pole along the diagonal of the Szegő kernel; however if $j \neq k$ the pole is of order at most 1 and since there are no nontrivial differentials with only one simple pole on the Riemann sphere, we conclude that it vanishes. For $j = k$ the expression has a double pole at $z = \infty$ due to the fact that the Szegő kernel has a simple pole on the diagonal and hence it is proportional to dz . To show that the proportionality is 1 we recall that if p, q are in the same coordinate patch ζ then (2.22) applies. Then, near ∞_j the coordinate is $\zeta_j = \frac{1}{z}$ and hence one finds that the coefficient is 1 on all sheets.

More general divisor Ξ . If Ξ is not simple, then (see also App. A) we proceed as follows to construct sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$. Suppose that $\infty^{(j)}$ has multiplicity ν_j : then take local coordinate $\zeta_j = z^{-\nu_j}$ and consider the expressions

$$\varphi(p, \zeta_j) := \frac{S(p, \zeta_j^{-1}(\zeta_j))}{\sqrt{d\zeta_j}}; \quad \varphi^\vee(q, \zeta_j) := \frac{S(\zeta_j^{-1}(\zeta_j), q)}{\sqrt{d\zeta_j}}. \quad (3.38)$$

Namely, we evaluate the Szegő kernel in the coordinate ζ_j with respect the second variable (first, respectively). Consider the expression $\varphi(p, w)$; it is a section of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ in the variable p with a simple pole at $\zeta_j^{-1}(w)$. Thus, it depends parametrically on the complex variable w in a neighbourhood of $w = \infty$. Similar considerations apply to $\varphi(q, w)$ as a section of $\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$.

The dependence on z is that of a Taylor series in $\zeta_j := w^{-\frac{1}{\nu_j}}$ (i.e. a Puiseux Laurent series). Then one defines

$$\varphi_{\ell;j}(p) := \frac{1}{(\ell-1)!} \left(\frac{d}{d\zeta_j} \right)^{\ell-1} \varphi(p, \zeta_j) \Big|_{\zeta_j=0}, \quad \varphi_{\ell;j}(p)^\vee := \frac{(-1)^\ell}{(\ell-1)!} \left(\frac{d}{d\zeta_j} \right)^{\ell-1} \varphi^\vee(p, \zeta_j) \Big|_{\zeta_j=0} \quad \ell = 1, \dots, \nu_j. \quad (3.39)$$

It follows from the properties of the Szegő kernel that these are holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\ell\infty_j)$ (and hence also holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$) with a single pole of order ℓ at ∞_j and normalized as

$$\varphi_{\ell;j}(p) = \zeta_j(p)^{-\ell} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\zeta_j(p))) \sqrt{d\zeta_j(p)}. \quad (3.40)$$

In particular they are clearly linearly independent. Similar considerations apply to φ^\vee . Thus, for a general divisor $\Xi = \sum_{j=1}^k \nu_j \infty^{(j)}$ a pair of bases of holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$ and $\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$ are

$$\mathfrak{B} := \left\{ \varphi_{\ell;j}(p), j = 1, \dots, k; \ell = 1, \dots, \nu_j \right\} \quad \mathfrak{B}^\vee := \left\{ \varphi_{\ell;j}^\vee(p), j = 1, \dots, k; \ell = 1, \dots, \nu_j \right\}. \quad (3.41)$$

We arrange the bases in the natural order implied by the indexing and construct the matrices

$$\Phi(z) := \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{1;1}(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \varphi_{1;1}(z^{(r)}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \hline \varphi_{1;\nu_1}(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \varphi_{1;\nu_1}(z^{(r)}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \hline \varphi_{k;1}(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \varphi_{k;1}(z^{(r)}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_{k;\nu_k}(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \varphi_{k;\nu_k}(z^{(r)}) \end{bmatrix} \quad \Phi^\vee(z) := \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{1;1}^\vee(z^{(1)}) \dots \varphi_{1;\nu_1}^\vee(z^{(1)}) & \dots & \varphi_{k;1}^\vee(z^{(1)}) \dots \varphi_{k;\nu_k}^\vee(z^{(1)}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_{1;1}^\vee(z^{(r)}) \dots \varphi_{1;\nu_1}^\vee(z^{(r)}) & \dots & \varphi_{k;1}^\vee(z^{(r)}) \dots \varphi_{k;\nu_k}^\vee(z^{(r)}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.42)$$

Then we have

Proposition 3.9 *The product $\Phi(z)\Phi^\vee(z)$ is a constant matrix times dz of the form:*

$$\Phi(z)\Phi^\vee(z) = \text{diag}\left(J_{\nu_1}, \dots, J_{\nu_k}\right) dz = J dz \quad (3.43)$$

where each block J_ℓ is a constant (in z) antitriangular matrix with unit on the antidiagonal entries: $(J_\ell)_{a,r+1-b} = -1$ and nonzero entries only for $a + b \geq r + 1$.

Proof. Without great loss of generality and to avoid a plethora of indices, we consider the case $\Xi = r\infty$ (i.e. with $k = 1$ and $\nu_1 = r$). The basis φ_j defined in (3.39) behaves as (we write it directly in the coordinate form)

$$\varphi_j(z) = \zeta^{-j} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\zeta)) \sqrt{d\zeta}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r \quad (3.44)$$

and φ_j^\vee has the same behaviour. Here $\zeta = z^{-\frac{1}{r}}$ is the local coordinate near $\infty \in \mathcal{C}$. On the different sheets the different behaviours are obtained by multiplying ζ by the r roots of unity. Thus

$$\sum_{a=1}^r \varphi_j(z^{(a)}) \varphi_k(z^{(a)}) = \zeta^{-j-k} \left(\sum_{a=1}^r e^{\frac{2i\pi a}{r}(j+k-1)} + c_1 e^{\frac{2i\pi a}{r}(j+k-2)} \zeta + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^2) \right) d\zeta. \quad (3.45)$$

We know, a priori, that the result is a series in integer powers of z (this can also be seen by the fact that the sum of the roots of unity is zero). Moreover we know that the result must be a polynomial times dz because there are no singularities in the finite z -plane. Thus we conclude that we can have a nonzero result only for $2r - 1 \geq j + k - 1 \geq r$. For $j + k - 1 = r$ we get $r \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^{r+1}} = -dz$. \blacksquare

Remark 3.10 *It is clear that by a triangular change of basis we can arrange for basis sections in such a way that $\Phi\Phi^\vee = \mathbf{1}dz$.*

We now assume that the bases have been chosen so that the Remark 3.10 applies and hence $\Phi\Phi^\vee = \mathbf{1}dz$, $\Phi^{-1} = \frac{1}{dz}\Phi^\vee$. In this case the matrix Λ is indeed the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of $W = \Phi(z)\Lambda(z)\Phi^\vee(z)$ (while in the previous construction it needed not be).

The construction of the matrix biorthogonal polynomials then proceeds by construction the (scalar) orthogonal sections as in Section 2 and then the resulting matrix biorthogonal polynomials as in Section 3.

4 Examples: genus zero and one

The reader without familiarity with the above algebro-geometric language may find respite in contemplating the following two classes of examples in genus zero and one.

4.1 Genus zero cover

We assume that $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{CP}^1$, with affine coordinate t and $Z(t)$ is simply a rational function of degree r .

We choose a path γ_0 in the z -plane and its pullback $\gamma = Z^{-1}(\gamma_0)$. Given any smooth function $Y(t)$ defined on γ one can orthogonalize the spaces $\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n^\vee$ and produce instances of matrix orthogonal polynomials.

To keep the example concrete and simple, we take $Z(t)$ to be a polynomial of degree r :

$$Z(t) = t^r + a_1 t^{r-1} + \cdots + a_r. \quad (4.1)$$

Then $\Xi = r\infty_t$ (we use the subscript to indicate that this is the point at infinity in the t -plane). Since the map Z has a single pole, we necessarily must set $\mathcal{D}_n = n\infty_t$ so that \mathcal{P}_n is identified with polynomials of degree $n-1$ in the t -variable.

Then the matrix weight on the z plane is given by

$$W(z)dz = \Phi(z)\Lambda(z)\Phi^\vee(z), \quad z \in \gamma_0, \quad (4.2)$$

where Φ, Φ^\vee are constructed as in (3.42) and

$$\Lambda(z) := \text{diag}(Y(z^{(1)}), \dots, Y(z^{(r)})). \quad (4.3)$$

Note that in general Λ is not the matrix of eigenvalues of W .

Now the Proposition 3.2 translates to a simple construction in commutative algebra; a polynomial $P(t)$ of degree $n \geq r$ can be written as

$$P(t) = Q_0(t)Z(t) + R_0(t), \quad \deg R_0(t) \leq r-1, \quad \deg Q_0 = n-r. \quad (4.4)$$

by long division. If $n-r \geq r$ then we can perform the long division on $Q(t)$ and obtain

$$P(t) = Q_1(t)Z^2(t) + R_1(t)Z(t) + R_0(t), \quad \deg Q_1 = n-2r, \quad \deg R_1 \leq r-1. \quad (4.5)$$

We clearly can repeat this procedure until we reach a step $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$ where $\deg Q_k \leq r-1$; thus we can uniquely write

$$P(t) = \sum_{j=0}^k R_j(t)Z^j(t), \quad \deg R_j \leq r-1. \quad (4.6)$$

We can now express each of the polynomials $R_j(t)$ as a linear combination with *constant* coefficients of the polynomials p_0, \dots, p_{r-1} and rearrange the sum so as to get an expression of the form

$$P(t)\sqrt{dt} = \sum_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell(Z)\psi_{\ell-1}(t), \quad \psi_\ell(t) = p_\ell(t)\sqrt{dt}. \quad (4.7)$$

This is the elementary version of the Proposition 3.2. Thus we are implicitly choosing the bases $\varphi_\ell = \psi_{\ell-1}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, r$.

Now consider the orthogonal polynomial p_{nr+a} with $0 \leq a \leq r-1$; by the above considerations we can write it as

$$\psi_{nr+a-1}(t) = p_{nr+a-1}(t)\sqrt{dt} = \sum_{\ell=1}^r P_{n;a,\ell}(z)\varphi_\ell(t), \quad z = Z(t), \quad (4.8)$$

and thus

$$\Psi_n(z) = \left[\psi_{nr+a-1}(z^{(\ell)}) \right]_{a,\ell=1}^r = P_n(z)\Psi_0(z), \quad \Psi_n^\vee(z) = \Psi_n(z)^t. \quad (4.9)$$

We thus have

Proposition 4.1 *The matrix polynomials $P_n(z)$ are orthogonal with respect to the matrix weight $W(z)dz$ given in (4.2):*

$$\int_{\gamma_0} P_n(z)W(z)P_m^t(z)dz = \delta_{nm}H_n, \quad H_n = \text{diag}(h_{nr}, \dots, h_{nr+r-1}). \quad (4.10)$$

4.1.1 Laguerre matrix polynomials

We give a simple example of the above scheme, but clearly one can devise infinitely many such simple examples. Consider the map $Z(t) = (t - c)^2$, $c \leq 0$. We can invert the map Z as

$$t_{1,2}(z) = c \pm \sqrt{z}, \quad dt = \frac{\pm dz}{2\sqrt{z}} \quad (4.11)$$

We consider the Laguerre polynomials L_n^α on $R_+ \subset \mathbb{CP}_t^1$:

$$L_n^\alpha(t) = \frac{t^{-\alpha} e^t}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} e^{-t} t^{n+\alpha} \quad (4.12)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} L_n^\alpha(t) L_m^\alpha(t) e^{-t} t^\alpha dt = \delta_{nm} \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{n!}. \quad (4.13)$$

We choose as basis for $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$ the sections $\psi_0(t) = \sqrt{dt}$ and $\psi_1(t) = L_1^\alpha(t) \sqrt{dt} = (1 + \alpha - t) \sqrt{dt}$, which we need to evaluate at $t_{1,2}$. Then we find

$$\Phi(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ \alpha + 1 - c - \sqrt{z} & i(\alpha + 1 - c + \sqrt{z}) \end{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{dz}}{\sqrt{2z^{\frac{1}{4}}}}, \quad \Phi^\vee(z) = \Phi^t(z). \quad (4.14)$$

We note that

$$\Phi(z) \Phi^\vee(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2(c - 1 - \alpha) \end{bmatrix} dz \quad (4.15)$$

The half-line is mapped to $[c^2, \infty) \subset \mathbb{CP}_z^1$. We then set

$$\Lambda(z) := \begin{bmatrix} t_1(z)^\alpha e^{-t_1(z)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.16)$$

Note that since $c < 0$ we have $t_1(c^2) = c + \sqrt{c^2} = 0$. A short computation yields the weight matrix:

$$W_L(z) dz = \Phi(z) \Lambda(z) \Phi^\vee(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha + 1 - c - \sqrt{z} \\ \alpha + 1 - c - \sqrt{z} & (\alpha + 1 - c - \sqrt{z})^2 \end{bmatrix} (c + \sqrt{z})^\alpha \frac{e^{-c - \sqrt{z}}}{2\sqrt{z}} dz. \quad (4.17)$$

Finally we express an arbitrary polynomial $p(t)$ in terms of a linear combination of $L_0^\alpha(t) = 1$ and $L_1^\alpha(t) = \alpha + 1 - t$ with coefficients being polynomials in $z = Z(t)$. A direct verification shows

$$p(t) = \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{p(s)(s+1+\alpha-2c)ds}{z-Z(s)} + (1+\alpha-t) \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{p(s)ds}{(Z(s)-z)} \quad (4.18)$$

which allows to explicitly compute the MOPs:

$$P_j(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{L_{2j}^\alpha(s)(s+1+\alpha-2c)ds}{z-Z(s)} & \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{L_{2j}^\alpha(s)ds}{Z(s)-z} \\ \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{L_{2j+1}^\alpha(s)(s+1+\alpha-2c)ds}{z-Z(s)} & \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{L_{2j+1}^\alpha(s)ds}{Z(s)-z} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.19)$$

By construction these polynomials satisfy

$$\int_{c^2}^{\infty} P_j(z) W_L(z) P_k^t(z) dz = \delta_{jk} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma(2j+\alpha+1)}{(2j)!} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\Gamma(2j+\alpha+2)}{(2j+1)!} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.20)$$

Note that Λ in (4.16) is *not* the matrix of eigenvalues for W_L . This weight matrix (as well as the one in the next example) does not fall within the purview of [10] because it is not a rational matrix.

4.1.2 Hermite matrix polynomials

To give another completely explicit example let us consider the Hermite polynomials in the t -plane and the same degree 2 map $Z(t) = (t - c)^2$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$h_n(t) = (-1)^n e^{t^2} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} e^{-t^2}, \quad h_0(t) = 1, \quad h_1(t) = 2t, \dots \quad (4.21)$$

We choose as basis for $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\Xi)$ the sections $\psi_0(t) = \sqrt{dt}$ and $\psi_1(t) = h_1(t)\sqrt{dt} = 2t\sqrt{dt}$, which we need to evaluate at $t_{1,2}$. We then find

$$\Phi(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ 2c + 2\sqrt{z} & 2i(c - \sqrt{z}) \end{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{dz}}{\sqrt{2z^{\frac{1}{4}}}} \quad (4.22)$$

We note that

$$\Phi(z)\Phi(z)^t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 8c \end{bmatrix} dz \quad (4.23)$$

Note that the matrix in the right side can be factored as MM^t with

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2c}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} \\ 0 & \sqrt{8c} \end{bmatrix}, \quad MM^t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 8c \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.24)$$

This would allow us to re-define $\Phi \mapsto M^{-1}\Phi$ and $\Phi^\vee \mapsto \Phi^\vee M^{-T}$ in such a way that actually $\Phi\Phi^\vee = \mathbf{1}dz$. However the final weight matrix would not be real-valued.

The image of the oriented path $\gamma = \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{CP}_t^1$ under Z is the half-line $\gamma_0 = [c^2, \infty) \subset \mathbb{CP}_z^1$ covered twice in opposite directions. We then set

$$\Lambda(z) := \begin{bmatrix} e^{-(c+\sqrt{z})^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-(c-\sqrt{z})^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-(c^2+z+2c\sqrt{z})} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-(c^2+z-2c\sqrt{z})} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.25)$$

The minus sign is due to the fact that the orientation is flipped on the second sheet. A short computation using Φ as in (4.22) yields the weight matrix:

$$W(z)dz = \Phi(z)\Lambda(z)\Phi^t(z) = \left(\frac{\cosh(2c\sqrt{z})}{\sqrt{z}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2c \\ 2c & 4c^2 + 4z \end{bmatrix} - 2\sinh(2c\sqrt{z}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 4c \end{bmatrix} \right) e^{-z-c^2} dz. \quad (4.26)$$

Note that for $c = 0$ the matrix W is diagonal and also the matrix orthogonal polynomials become diagonal. This is simply a manifestation that in this case $z = t^2$ and the Hermite polynomials respect the parity.

Finally we express an arbitrary polynomial $p(t)$ in terms of a linear combination of $h_0(t) = 1$ and $h_1(t) = 2t$ with coefficients being polynomials in $z = Z(t)$. A direct verification shows

$$p(t) = h_0(t) \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{p(s)(s-2c)ds}{z-Z(s)} + h_1(t) \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{p(s)ds}{2(z-Z(s))} \quad (4.27)$$

which allows to explicitly compute the MOPs;

$$P_j(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{h_{2j}(s)(s-2c)ds}{z-Z(s)} & \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{h_{2j}(s)ds}{2(z-Z(s))} \\ \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{h_{2j+1}(s)(s-2c)ds}{z-Z(s)} & \operatorname{res}_{s=\infty} \frac{h_{2j+1}(s)ds}{2(z-Z(s))} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.28)$$

The first few are $P_0 = \mathbf{1}$ and

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -4c^2 + 4z - 2 & 4c \\ -16c^3 + 16cz & 12c^2 + 4z - 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.29)$$

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 16z^2 + (32c^2 - 48)z - 48c^4 + 48c^2 + 12 & 32c^3 + 32cz - 48c \\ -128c^5 + 320c^3 + 128cz^2 - 320cz & 16z^2 + (160c^2 - 80)z + 80c^4 - 240c^2 + 60 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.30)$$

$$P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 64z^3 + (576c^2 - 480)z^2 + (-320c^4 - 960c^2 + 720)z - 320c^6 + 1440c^4 - 720c^2 - 120 & 192cz^2 + (640c^3 - 960c)z + 192c^5 - 960c^3 + 720c \\ 768cz^3 + (1792c^3 - 5376c)z^2 + (-1792c^5 + 6720c)z - 768c^7 + 5376c^5 - 6720c^3 & 64z^3 + (1344c^2 - 672)z^2 + (2240c^4 - 6720c^2 + 1680)z + 448c^6 - 3360c^4 + 5040c^2 - 840 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.31)$$

By construction these polynomials satisfy

$$\int_{c^2}^{\infty} P_j(z)W(z)P_k^t(z)dz = \sqrt{\pi}\delta_{jk} \begin{bmatrix} 2^{2j}(2j)! & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{2j+1}(2j+1)! \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.32)$$

4.2 Elliptic covers

4.2.1 Genus 1

Let \mathcal{C} be an elliptic curve, which we represent as the quotient $v \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ with $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \in \mathbb{H}_+$. The curve can also be represented in Weierstraß form:

$$y^2 = 4z^3 - g_1z - g_3 = 4(z - e_1)(z - e_2)(z - e_3). \quad (4.33)$$

The uniformization is given by the Weierstraß's \wp function as $y = (2\omega_1)^{-3}\wp'(v)$, $z = (2\omega_1)^{-2}\wp(v)$ and

$$\omega_1 = \int_{\infty}^{e_1} \frac{dz}{y}, \quad \omega_2 = \int_{e_1}^{e_2} \frac{dz}{y}, \quad \tau := \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \quad (4.34)$$

$$\wp(v) := \frac{1}{v^2} + \sum_{\substack{m^2+n^2 \neq 0 \\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left(\frac{1}{(v+m+n\tau)^2} - \frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^2} \right) \quad (4.35)$$

and then the curve (4.33) is identified with the 2-torus (i.e. the *Jacobian* \mathbb{J}) $v \in \mathbb{C} \bmod \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$, with the point $z = \infty$ corresponding to $v = 0$ and the three points e_1, e_2, e_3 corresponding with the half-periods $v = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2}, \frac{1+\tau}{2}$, respectively. The point at infinity in the z -plane is a double point corresponding to $v = 0$.

Since the function $z = Z(p) = \wp(v)$ has a single (double) pole at $v = 0$, the only choice of sequence of divisors is $\mathcal{D}_n = n\infty$. Let θ_1 be the Jacobi (odd) theta function

$$\theta_1(v; \tau) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}\tau + i\pi(v+\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi n^2 \tau + 2i\pi n(v+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\tau}{2})}. \quad (4.36)$$

The function θ_1 is odd, vanishes at $v = n + m\tau$ of simple order and satisfies

$$\theta_1(v+1) = -\theta_1(v), \quad \theta_1(v+\tau) = -e^{-2i\pi v - i\pi\tau} \theta_1(v). \quad (4.37)$$

Szegö kernel. The Szegö kernel for a multiplier system \mathcal{X} is expressed using the Jacobi theta function (4.36) as follows:

$$S(v, w) = e^{2i\pi\alpha(v-w)} \frac{\theta_1'(0)\theta_1(v-w-\mathcal{X})}{\theta_1(\mathcal{X})\theta_1(w-v)} \sqrt{dv} \sqrt{dw}, \quad \mathcal{X} := \beta - \tau\alpha, \quad (4.38)$$

where the multiplier system⁴ is $\mathcal{X}(a) = e^{2i\pi\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{X}(b) = e^{2i\pi\beta}$, with a, b denoting the two cycles that correspond to $v \mapsto v+1$ and $v \mapsto v+\tau$, respectively. The Szegö kernel consequently satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} S(v+1, w) &= e^{2i\pi\alpha} S(v, w), & S(v, w+1) &= e^{-2i\pi\alpha} S(v, w), \\ S(v+\tau, w) &= e^{2i\pi\beta} S(v, w), & S(v, w+\tau) &= e^{-2i\pi\beta} S(v, w). \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

Following the general strategy, we can write a basis of $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}((n+1)\infty))$, $\mathcal{P}^\vee = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{X}^\vee \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}((n+1)\infty))$ as follows:

$$\varphi_\ell(w) = \frac{d^\ell S(v, w)}{dw^\ell} \Big|_{w=0}, \quad \varphi_\ell^\vee = \frac{(-1)^{\ell+1} d^\ell S(w, v)}{dw^\ell} \Big|_{w=0}, \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots \quad (4.40)$$

For example, the sections of \mathcal{P} are given by linear combinations of the following form ($n \geq 1$)

$$\varphi(v) = e^{2i\pi v \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\theta_1(v-a_j)}{\theta_1(v)} \sqrt{dv} \quad (4.41)$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n are any numbers with $\sum a_j = \mathcal{X} = \beta - \alpha\tau \bmod \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$. The Weyl sections are

$$\mathcal{W}(v) = \sqrt{dv} \int_{\gamma} e^{2i\pi\alpha v} \frac{\theta_1'(0)^2 \theta_1(v-w-\mathcal{X})}{\theta_1(\mathcal{X})^2 \theta_1(w-v)} \frac{\theta_1(w-\mathcal{X})}{\theta_1(w)} Y(w) dw \quad (4.42)$$

$$\mathcal{W}^\vee(v) = \sqrt{dv} \int_{\gamma} e^{-2i\pi\alpha v} \frac{\theta_1'(0)^2 \theta_1(w-v-\mathcal{X})}{\theta_1(\mathcal{X})^2 \theta_1(v-w)} \frac{\theta_1(w+\mathcal{X})}{\theta_1(w)} Y(w) dw \quad (4.43)$$

⁴We make here an abuse of notation where \mathcal{X} denotes at the same time the point in the Jacobian and the corresponding character $\mathcal{X} : \pi_1(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Consider the matrices

$$\Phi(z) := \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_0(v) & \varphi_0(-v) \\ \varphi_1(v) & \varphi_1(-v) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi^\vee(z) := \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_0^\vee(v) & \varphi_1^\vee(v) \\ \varphi_0^\vee(-v) & \varphi_1^\vee(-v) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z = \wp(v). \quad (4.44)$$

The following Lemma clarifies their mutual relationship:

Lemma 4.2 *The inverse of $\Phi(z)$ is given by*

$$\Phi(z)^{-1} = \frac{-1}{4\omega_1^2 dz} \Phi^\vee(z) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-1}{4\omega_1^2 dz} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1^\vee(v) & \varphi_0^\vee(v) \\ \varphi_1^\vee(-v) & \varphi_0^\vee(-v) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.45)$$

Proof. The matrices Φ, Φ^\vee satisfy

$$\Phi(z)\Phi^\vee(z) = -(2\omega_1)^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} dz. \quad (4.46)$$

This can be seen as follows; by a direct computation one has the expansions near $v = 0$;

$$\varphi_0^{\{\vee\}}(v) = \frac{1}{v}(1 + \mathcal{O}(v))\sqrt{dv}, \quad \varphi_1^{\{\vee\}}(v) = \frac{1}{v^2}(1 + \mathcal{O}(v^2))\sqrt{dv}. \quad (4.47)$$

Then one needs to find the coefficient of v^{-3} in the expansion of $\Phi\Phi^\vee$ at the origin. A direct computation yields

$$\Phi\Phi^\vee = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{dv}{v^3}(1 + \mathcal{O}(v^2)). \quad (4.48)$$

We then use that

$$dv = \frac{dz}{2\omega_1 y}, \quad y = (2\omega_1)^{-3} \wp'(v), \quad \Rightarrow -2\omega_1^2 dz = \frac{dv}{v^3}(1 + \mathcal{O}(v)). \quad (4.49)$$

So we conclude that (4.46) holds which implies that the inverse of Φ is indeed given by (4.45) ■

The Proposition 3.2 states that for any section $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ we can write

$$\varphi(v) = A(z)\varphi_0(v) + B(z)\varphi_1(v), \quad z = \wp(v) = Z. \quad (4.50)$$

with A, B some polynomials in z . It is seen directly that $\det \Phi$ is an elliptic function of v with a triple pole at $v = 0$ and zeros at $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2}, \frac{\tau+1}{2}$ and hence it is proportional to $\wp'(v)$. Then

$$A(z) = \frac{1}{\det \Phi} \det \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(v) & \varphi(-v) \\ \varphi_1(v) & \varphi_1(-v) \end{bmatrix}, \quad B(z) = \frac{1}{\det \Phi} \det \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_0(v) & \varphi_0(-v) \\ \varphi(v) & \varphi(-v) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.51)$$

Here A, B are polynomials in $z = \wp(v)$ because the determinant in the numerator vanishes at the same half periods (to order at least equal to) and the ratio is an even elliptic function with pole only at $v = 0$. Unfortunately there are no known explicit orthogonal systems on elliptic curves. We consider some examples corresponding to finite matrix orthogonality that are relevant for applications originating from the study of the Aztec diamond [13, 17] in the next section.

4.3 $2R$ -torsion points on elliptic curves and finite (matrix) orthogonality

The following class of examples is prompted by the geometric interpretation of the nice example contained in [13] studied in the context of the periodic tilings of the aztec diamond. We propose here a generalization of that setup. We comment in Remark 4.10 on how this is a generalization and how it compares to loc. cit.

Consider the elliptic curve (4.33). On its Jacobian \mathbb{J} , viewed as an abelian group, the $2R$ -torsion points are the points $q \in \mathbb{J}$ such that $2Rq \equiv 0$. Namely they are the points of the form

$$q = \frac{b}{2R} + \tau \frac{a}{2R}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.52)$$

Up to the equivalence $q \sim q + n + m\tau$ (for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$), we can assume $a, b \in \{0, \dots, 2R-1\}$. Another characterization of the R -torsion points which is relevant for us is the following: they are in correspondence with functions on the elliptic curve that have at most a unique pole of order R , a unique zero of the same multiplicity and such that both these points map to the same z -value (i.e. on the two sheets of the cover).




Figure 1: The $2R$ -torsion points (here depicted for $R = 4$) are the points at the intersection of the dashed grid, modulo $\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$. The points that correspond to our functions are the torsion points that are not half-periods and modulo the hyperelliptic involution $v \rightarrow -v$. They are indicated by dots. Their total number is $2R^2 - 2$.

Amongst those, the ones that are non-trivial (i.e. non-constant) correspond to the torsion points that are not periods or half-periods; moreover any non-trivial such function Y has the property that the elliptic involution maps $Y \rightarrow Y^{-1}$ and hence we want to consider these torsion points modulo the elliptic involution as well.

The $2R$ -torsion points are actually algebraic points on the curve (meaning that they can be written as the solution of an algebraic equation in the coefficients of the curve). To find them one writes the most general elliptic function with pole of order R at z_* :

$$F := \frac{p_R(z) - y(z)q_{R-2}(z)}{(z - z_*)^R} \quad (4.53)$$

where p, q are polynomials of the indicated degrees. The condition is that the numerator, $N(z)$, satisfies $N^{(\ell)}(z_*) = 0$ for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, 2R - 1$ with the determination of $y(z)$ at z_* being the one corresponding to the chosen sheet. For F to be non-constant we need to have $q_{R-2} \not\equiv 0$. The equations $N^{(R+\ell)}(z_*) = 0$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, R - 1$ give a linear homogeneous system for the coefficients of q_{R-1} and hence the condition is that the determinant of this system vanishes. A direct computation shows that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} y^{(R+1)} & (R+1)y^{(R)} & R(R+1)y^{(R-1)} & \dots & \frac{(R+1)!}{(R-3)!}y^{(3)} \\ y^{(R+2)} & (R+2)y^{(R+1)} & (R+1)(R+2)y^{(R)} & \dots & \frac{(R+1)!}{(R-2)!}y^{(4)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y^{(2R-1)} & (2R-1)y^{(R)} & (2R-2)(2R-1)y^{(2R-1)} & \dots & \frac{(2R-1)!}{(2R-3)!}y^{(R+1)} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (4.54)$$

where all derivatives are evaluated at z_* . This gives a polynomial equation of degree $2R^2 - 2$ in z_* and this is the number of (inequivalent) $2R$ torsion points. See Fig. 1.

Here is how these functions can be expressed using the Jacobi theta function (up to multiplicative scalar):

$$Y(v) = \left(e^{2i\pi \frac{a}{R}v} \frac{\theta_1 \left(v + \frac{b+a\tau}{2R} \right)}{\theta_1 \left(v - \frac{b+a\tau}{2R} \right)} \right)^R \quad (4.55)$$

They also have the evident property that $Y(-v) = \frac{1}{Y(v)}$, namely, the elliptic involution that exchanges the sheets of the z -projection acts as reciprocal on these functions. This latter condition fixes the multiplicative scalar to be ± 1 .

Remark 4.3 (“Prime” torsion points) *Note that the expression in the bracket in (4.55) is not an elliptic function but rather a meromorphic section of a flat bundle $\mathcal{X}_{a,b}$. This is the bundle with multiplier system $e^{\frac{2i\pi a}{R}}$, $e^{-\frac{2i\pi b}{R}}$ on the A, B cycles, respectively. Namely, although it has pole and zero of multiplicity R , the function $Y(v)$ is not the R power of a single-valued function with simple pole and zero, which cannot possibly exist on a curve of genus $g \geq 1$.*

However, for example, if R is not a prime number and a, b have the same common divisor with R , then some of these functions are actually powers of that common divisor. We call “prime” those torsion points (4.52) where at least one of a, b is prime relative to $2R$.

Remark 4.4 The case $R = 1$ of formula (4.55) gives constant functions. The case $R = 2$ is the first interesting case, corresponding to quarter periods. This is the case implicitly used in [13] (see Sec. 4.10).

Let us set $z_\star := \wp(\frac{b+a\tau}{2R})$. According to our notation $z_\star^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2$ are the two points on the elliptic curve corresponding to $\wp(v) = z_\star$ and hence they are (in the v -plane) $\pm \frac{b+a\tau}{2R}$. We now define

$$\psi_{2R}(v) := \frac{(z - z_\star)^R}{Y(v)} \varphi_0(v), \quad \psi_{2R+1}(v) := \frac{(z - z_\star)^R}{Y(v)} \varphi_1(v), \quad z = \wp(v), \quad (4.56)$$

with similar expressions for $\psi_{2R}^\vee, \psi_{2R+1}^\vee$. Note that all these sections have a zero of order at least $2R$ at $z_\star^{(1)}$ on one the main sheet, and are analytic at $z_\star^{(2)}$.

We define now the pairing (2.14) in this case to be

$$\langle \varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle = \oint_{|v - z_\star^{(1)}| = \epsilon} \varphi(v) \varphi^\vee(v) Y^2(v), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{P}, \quad \varphi^\vee \in \mathcal{P}^\vee. \quad (4.57)$$

Note that we may integrate also on a circle around $z_\star^{(2)}$ but it would yield zero because there is no pole of the integrand there.

It is evident, since Y has a pole of order R , that this pairing is of rank $2R$ and $\psi_{2R, 2R+1}$ (and their \vee counterparts) span the 2-dimensional kernel of the pairing in $\mathcal{P}_{2R+1}, \mathcal{P}_{2R+1}^\vee$, respectively.

Matrix biorthogonality. We define, according to the general picture, the weight matrix $W(z)$ by the formula

$$\sqrt{W(z)} = \Phi(z) \begin{bmatrix} Y(z^{(1)}) & 0 \\ 0 & Y(z^{(2)}) \end{bmatrix} \Phi(z)^{-1}, \quad (4.58)$$

with Φ as in (4.44) and Φ^{-1} given as in Lemma 4.2. Note that \sqrt{W} is a rational function of z with a pole of order R at $z = z_\star$ and with unit determinant. Moreover it is bounded as $z \rightarrow \infty$. The matrix

$$\Psi_R(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{2R}(v) & \psi_{2R}(-v) \\ \psi_{2R+1}(v) & \psi_{2R+1}(-v) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z = \wp(v), \quad (4.59)$$

can then be written as per Prop. 3.2

$$\Psi_R(z) = P_R(z) \Phi(z), \quad (4.60)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_R(z) &= (z - z_\star)^R \Psi_{2R}(z) \Phi^{-1}(z) = (z - z_\star)^R \Phi(z) \begin{bmatrix} Y^{-1}(v) & 0 \\ 0 & Y^{-1}(-v) \end{bmatrix} \Phi^{-1}(z) = \\ &= (z - z_\star)^R \sqrt{W^{-1}}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (4.61)$$

By construction, or by inspection, one concludes with the following

Lemma 4.5 The polynomial matrix P_R of degree R satisfies

$$\oint_{|z - z_\star| = \epsilon} P_R(z) W(z) Q(z) dz = 0 \quad (4.62)$$

for all polynomial matrices $Q(z)$.

Following the ideas in [13, 17] can find explicitly the matrix polynomial P_{R-1} which is biorthogonal to all powers of $z^j \mathbf{1}$, $j = 0, \dots, R-2$.

Interestingly, following the idea in [13], one can write the MOP of degree $R-1$ in a rather explicit form as in the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.6 *The matrix-valued function*

$$P_{R-1}(z) = (z - z_*)^R \oint_{|w-z_*|=\epsilon} \Psi_0(w) \mathbb{E}_{11} \Psi_0^{-1}(w) \frac{dw}{(w - z_*)^{2R} (w - z) 2i\pi} \sqrt{W^{-1}}(z) \quad (4.63)$$

is a polynomial of degree $R - 1$.

Proof. Since \sqrt{W} is a rational matrix and bounded at infinity, it is clear from the expression that P_{R-1} is of growth bounded by z^{R-1} at infinity. The residue at $z = z_*$ is a Laurent polynomial in $(z - z_*)^{-1}$ of degree at most $2R - 1$. We now show that the total expression (4.63) does not have a pole at z_* . To see this we use Cauchy's residue theorem on the integral, taking a circle centered at z_* that contains z . Then we find

$$P_{R-1}(z) = (z - z_*)^R \oint_{|w-z_*|>|z-z_*|} \Psi_0(w) \mathbb{E}_{11} \Psi_0^{-1}(w) \frac{dw}{(w - z_*)^{2R} (w - z) 2i\pi} \sqrt{W^{-1}}(z) - \Psi_0(z) \mathbb{E}_{11} \Psi_0^{-1}(z) \frac{1}{(z - z_*)^R} \sqrt{W^{-1}}(z). \quad (4.64)$$

The term on the first line of (4.64) is analytic at $z = z_*$ because $\sqrt{W^{-1}}(z)(z - z_*)^R$ is analytic and so is the integral. The term on the second line of (4.64) may still, in principle, have a pole of order R . However, by the definition (4.58) we have that it matches

$$\Psi_0(z) \mathbb{E}_{11} \Psi_0^{-1}(z) \frac{1}{(z - z_*)^R} \sqrt{W^{-1}}(z) = \Psi_0(z) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(z - z_*)^{RY(z^{(1)})}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Psi_0(z)^{-1}. \quad (4.65)$$

Since Y has a pole at $z^{(1)}$ of order R , we see that $\frac{1}{(z - z_*)^{RY(z^{(1)})}}$ is analytic at $z = z_*$. \blacksquare

We now show that P_{R-1} is also a biorthogonal matrix polynomial.

Proposition 4.7 *The polynomial P_{R-1} is orthogonal to all matrix polynomials of degree $\leq R - 2$:*

$$\oint_{|z-z_*|=\epsilon} dz P_{R-1}(z) W(z) z^\ell = 0, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, R - 2. \quad (4.66)$$

Proof. We compute directly,

$$\oint_{|z-z_*|=2\epsilon} dz P_{R-1}(z) W(z) z^j = \oint_{|z-z_*|=2\epsilon} dz \oint_{|w-z_*|=\epsilon} dw \Psi_0(w) \mathbb{E}_{11} \Psi_0^{-1}(w) \frac{z^j (z - z_*)^R \sqrt{W}(z)}{(w - z_*)^{2R} (w - z) 2i\pi} \quad (4.67)$$

By Fubini's theorem we can exchange the order of integration. Then, using the Cauchy theorem we can replace the integration on $|z - z_*| = 2\epsilon$ by an integration on $|z - z_*| = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ while also picking up the residue at $z = w$:

$$(4.67) = \oint_{|w-z_*|=\epsilon} dw \oint_{|z-z_*|=\frac{\epsilon}{2}} dz \Psi_0(w) \mathbb{E}_{11} \Psi_0^{-1}(w) \frac{z^j (z - z_*)^R \sqrt{W}(z)}{(w - z_*)^{2R} (w - z) 2i\pi} - \operatorname{res}_{w=z_*} \Psi_0(w) \mathbb{E}_{11} \Psi_0^{-1}(w) \frac{w^j \sqrt{W}(w) dw}{(w - z_*)^R}. \quad (4.68)$$

The term on the first line of (4.68) vanishes because $(z - z_*)^R \sqrt{W}$ is locally analytic. We now show that also the residue on the second line vanishes. Indeed we are computing the residue of

$$w^j \Psi_0(w) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Y(w^{(1)})}{(w - z_*)^R} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Psi_0(w)^{-1}. \quad (4.69)$$

The residue is the same as the residue of

$$w^j \Psi_0(w) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Y(w^{(1)})}{(w - z_*)^R} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{Y(w^{(2)})}{(w - z_*)^R} \end{bmatrix} \Psi_0(w)^{-1} = \frac{w^j}{(w - z_*)^R} \sqrt{W}(w), \quad (4.70)$$

because $\frac{Y(w^{(2)})}{(w-z_*)^R}$ is locally analytic at z_* thanks to the fact that Y has a zero of order R at $z_*^{(2)}$. But now, $\sqrt{W}(w)$ is a *rational* matrix, bounded at infinity. So, the residue of the expression (4.70) can be computed as the residue at infinity. The latter is zero if $j \leq R - 2$. ■

Example 4.8 For the family of curves $y^2 = z(z-a)(z-a^{-1})$ the points $z = \frac{\pm 1 + \sqrt{1-a^2}}{a}, a \pm \sqrt{a^2-1}$ are quarter periods.

For $y^2 = z(z-1)(z-8+4\sqrt{3})$ one of the 16 sixth periods ($R=3$) is $z_* = 2$.

4.4 A more general example in genus 1.

Riding the same train of thoughts, we can consider for weight the elliptic functions having R distinct pairs of simple zeros/poles at points z_j instead of (4.55):

$$Y(v) := e^{2i\pi av} \prod_{j=1}^R \frac{\theta_1(v+v_j)}{\theta_1(v-v_j)} \quad (4.71)$$

where

$$\sum_{j=1}^R v_j = \frac{b + \tau a}{2}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.72)$$

The function has simple poles at $z_j := \wp(v_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, R$ on one sheet and simple zeros at the same points on the other sheet; the values of these z_j are not independent because of the constraint (4.72).

Remark 4.9 To clarify the constraint alluded at above, we note that the function Y can be written as

$$Y(p) = \frac{A(z) - B(z)y(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^R (z - z_j)}, \quad (4.73)$$

where A, B are polynomials of degrees $R, R-2$, respectively, with B not identically zero and A monic (so as to dispose of the freedom of multiplication by a scalar). Then the constraints are that the numerator and its derivative must vanish at z_j :

$$A(z_j) - B(z_j)y(z_j) = 0, \quad A'(z_j) - B'(z_j)y(z_j) - B(z_j)y'(z_j) = 0. \quad (4.74)$$

This gives $2R$ equations for the coefficients of A (monic), B and the R positions of the points z_j . So we see that the solutions form a family of dimension $(R + R - 1 + R) - 2R = R - 1$. \triangle

Define

$$\psi_{2R}(v) := \frac{q_r(z)}{Y(v)} \varphi_0(v), \quad \psi_{2R+1}(v) := \frac{q_r(z)}{Y(v)} \varphi_1(v), \quad z = \wp(v), \quad q_R := \prod_{j=1}^R (z - z_j), \quad (4.75)$$

with similar expressions for $\psi_{2R}^\vee, \psi_{2R+1}^\vee$. Once again, $\psi_{2R, 2R+1}$ (and the \vee versions) have zeros at z_j 's on one sheet and are holomorphic at the same points on the second sheet. We then define the weight matrix W by the same formula (4.58). Observe that \sqrt{W} is still a rational function of z with unit determinant and with poles at the z_j 's and bounded at $z = \infty$ ($v = 0$). The pairing is defined by the same (4.57) with the contour of integration taken as the union of small circles around $z = z_j$'s (or a loop containing all of them at once). In the v -plane, we have R -pairs of circles surrounding $v = \pm v_j$ (modulo $\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$) but the circles around $-v_j$ do not contribute to the pairing. The matrix Ψ_R is defined by the same (4.59) but now the matrix-polynomial P_R is of the form:

$$P_R(z) = q_R(z) \sqrt{W^{-1}}(z) \quad (4.76)$$

In lieu of Lemma 4.6 and formula (4.63) we have the formula

$$P_{R-1}(z) = q_R \oint_{|w-z_*|=\epsilon} \Psi_0(w) \mathbb{E}_{11} \Psi_0^{-1}(w) \frac{dw}{q_R(w)^2 (w-z) 2i\pi} \sqrt{W^{-1}}(z). \quad (4.77)$$

The proof that P_{R-1} is indeed a polynomial proceeds in the same way as for Lemma 4.6. The orthogonality to all powers of z^j , $j = 0, \dots, R-2$ is also shown as in Prop. 4.7, simply replacing expressions of the form $(z - z_*)^R$ by $q_R(z)$.

Remark 4.10 (Comparison with [13]) *In loc. cit. the authors consider the weight matrix (in their paper $N = 2k$)*

$$W(z) = W_1(z)^{2k}, \quad W_1(z) := \frac{1}{(z-1)^2} \begin{bmatrix} (z+1)^2 + 4\alpha^2 z & 2\alpha(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})(z+1) \\ 2\alpha^{-1}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})z(z+1) & (z+1)^2 + 4\alpha^{-2}z \end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (4.78)$$

Note that $\det W_1 = 1$ and $\sqrt{W} = W_1^k$ is a rational matrix as in our description. The spectral analysis of W_1 is as follows. The spectral curve \mathcal{C} is of genus 1 and given by (see (2.11) in loc. cit.)

$$y^2 = z(z + \alpha^2)(z + \alpha^{-2}). \quad (4.79)$$

The quarter-period points of the curve (4.79) are $z_\star = \pm 1, \alpha^2 \pm \sqrt{\alpha^4 - 1}, -\alpha^{-2} \pm \sqrt{\alpha^{-4} - 1}$. In particular, note that the pole is at the quarter period $z_\star = 1$.

The eigenvalue of W_1 on the spectral curve is the function

$$Y_1 = \frac{2(a^4 + 1)z + a^2(z + 1)^2 - 2a(a^2 + 1)y}{a^2(z - 1)^2}$$

which can be seen to have a double zero at $(z_\star, y_\star) = (1, \sqrt{(1 + \alpha^2)(1 + \alpha^{-2})})$ and double pole at $(z_\star, -y_\star)$. This is a reflection of the fact that $z_\star = 1$ is a quarter period as explained in the previous section. The weight $W(z)$ has eigenvalue $Y^2 = Y_1^{2k}$ which has pole of order $4k$ and hence $R = 2k$ in the notation of Section 4.3. We see here that the function Y is a perfect k power, i.e. does not correspond to ‘‘prime’’ torsion points (in the terminology of Rem. 4.3).

The divisor for the eigenvector line bundle \mathcal{D} of Sec. 3.3 is obtained by looking at the poles of the eigenvector normalized to have 1 in the first entry and then found to be $\mathcal{D} = (0) + (-1)^{(1)}$. This divisor is equivalent to $(\infty) + (-1)^{(2)}$ which is seen by considering the function

$$f(z, y) := \frac{zc - y}{z(z + 1)}, \quad c = \sqrt{(\alpha^2 - 1)(1 - \alpha^{-2})} = \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha}$$

which has divisor of poles at $(0) + (-1)^{(1)}$ and divisor of zeros at $(\infty) + (-1)^{(2)}$. So the multiplier system \mathcal{X} corresponds to the quarter period $(-1)^{(2)}$.

Acknowledgements. The work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) grant RGPIN-2016-06660.

A Theta functions and the Szegő kernel

We choose a Torelli marking $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ for \mathcal{C} in terms of which we construct the classical Riemann Theta functions. We refer to [14], Ch. 1-2 for a review of these classical notions. The corresponding normalized Abelian differentials, period matrix and Abel map will be denoted by $\omega_j, \tau, \mathfrak{A}$, respectively:

$$\oint_{a_j} \omega_k = \delta_{jk}, \quad \tau_{ij} = \oint_{b_j} \omega_i = \oint_{b_i} \omega_j, \quad \mathfrak{A}_{p_0}(p) = \int_{p_0}^p \vec{\omega} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{C}). \quad (A.1)$$

In our setting the basepoint, p_0 , of the Abel map will be chosen amongst the support of $\Xi = Z^{-1}(\infty)$. The basepoint will not be indicated except when necessary and the Abel map is naturally extended to a map on divisors.

For given characteristics $[\alpha, \beta] \in \mathbb{R}^g \times \mathbb{R}^g$ corresponding to the point $\mathbf{e} = \beta - \tau\alpha \in \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{C})$ in the Jacobian we denote with $S(p, q) = S_{[\alpha, \beta]}(p, q)$ the Szegő kernel:

$$S(p, q) = S_{[\alpha, \beta]}(p, q) = \frac{\Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]}(p - q)}{\Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]}(0)E(p, q)} \quad (A.2)$$

where $E(p, q)$ is the Klein prime form [[14], pag. 16] and $\Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ denotes the Riemann Theta function with characteristics (see loc. cit.). Following tradition, the Abel map of points or divisors used in arguments of Theta functions is understood for brevity.

The celebrated Fay identity, generalizing the tri-secant one, is the following equality

$$\frac{\Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]} \left(\sum_{j=1}^K (p_j - q_j) \right)}{\Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]}(0)} \frac{\prod_{j < k} E(p_j, p_k) E(q_j, q_k)}{\prod_{j, k} E(p_j, q_k)} = \det \left[S(p_a, q_b) \right]_{a, b=1}^K \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which is valid as long as $\mathbf{e} := \beta - \tau\alpha$ does not belong to the Theta divisor: $\Theta(\mathbf{e}) \neq 0$. Points $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{C})$ are in bijective correspondence with unitary characters $\mathcal{X} : \pi_1 \rightarrow U(1)$ as follows: if a_j, b_j form a canonical symplectic basis in homotopy (which we can think also as a symplectic basis in homology), then one sets

$$\mathcal{X}(a_j) = e^{2i\pi\alpha_j}, \quad \mathcal{X}(b_j) = e^{2i\pi\beta_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, g, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where α_j, β_j are the (real) characteristics of the point \mathbf{e} . Then the condition $\Theta(\mathbf{e}) = 0$ has the geometric interpretation that $h^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}) \geq 1$. Furthermore the order of vanishing of Θ at \mathbf{e} is precisely the value of $h^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}})$.

Let $\mathcal{Q} := \sum_{j=1}^K q_j$. Then the identity (A.3) can be interpreted in the same way as the Vandermonde determinant; namely, it states whether the K spanning sections $\varphi_\ell(p) := S(p, q_\ell)$ of $H^0(\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{Q}))$ can interpolate any local section defined at the points of the divisor $\mathcal{P} = \sum_j p_j$.

A small technical issue is when the divisor \mathcal{Q} has points of higher multiplicity. As an extreme example if $\mathcal{Q} = Kq_1$ then one proceeds as follows to obtain holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{Q})$; fixing a local coordinate ζ near q_1 ($\zeta(q_1) = 0$) one can write, for q is the coordinate patch

$$S(p, q) = \varphi(p, \zeta) \sqrt{d\zeta} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where $\varphi(p, \zeta)$ is a section of the bundle $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ with a simple pole at $p = q$ (or, equivalently, a *holomorphic* section of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(q)$). Then one obtains sections with higher order poles at q_1 simply by

$$\varphi_j(p) := \frac{d^{j-1}}{d\zeta^{j-1}} \varphi(p, \zeta) \Big|_{\zeta=0}, \quad j = 1, \dots, K \quad (\text{A.6})$$

which can be interpreted as *holomorphic* spanning sections of $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}(Kq_1)$. In this case the Fay identity A.3 survives by taking appropriate limits and using l'Hopital's rule and gives

$$\frac{\Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]} \left(\sum_{j=1}^K p_j - Kq_1 \right)}{\Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]}(0)} \frac{\prod_{j < k} E(p_j, p_k)}{\prod_j E(p_j, q_1)^K d\zeta(q_1)^{\frac{K}{2}}} = \det \left[\varphi_a(p_b) \right]_{a, b=1}^K. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Here the expression $E(p, q_1) \sqrt{d\zeta(q_1)}$ simply means the prime form where we have evaluated it using the local coordinate ζ with respect to the variable q_1 .

A.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

We take $K = r = \deg Z$ and specialize the formula (A.3) with $p_j = z^{(j)}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \Xi$. We choose the basis φ_j described before Proposition 3.9, and expressed in terms of the Szegő kernel (or appropriate derivatives in the case Ξ is not a simple divisor). Then the argument of $\Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ in the numerator of the left side of the Fay identity is zero because the evaluation of Abelian differentials at the points of a rational map yields the pullback of a holomorphic differential on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ which is necessarily zero.

This is due to the fact that if ω is a holomorphic differential on \mathcal{C} and we evaluate it at the r preimages of z then $\sum_{j=1}^r \omega(z^{(j)}) = 0$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying this to the basis of holomorphic differential and integrating, we deduce that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \mathfrak{A}(z^{(j)})$$

is a constant (independent of z). Thus

$$\Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r ; (z^{(j)} - \infty^{(j)}) \right) = \Theta_{[\alpha, \beta]}(0). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Thus the Fay identity (A.3) (or the likes of it as (A.7) if Ξ has multiplicities) shows that the determinant, as a function of z , vanishes only at the critical points of Z .

Let c be a critical value of Z and p_ℓ the points above it. If p_ℓ has multiplicity ν_ℓ then a local coordinate is $\zeta_\ell = (z - c)^{\frac{1}{\nu_\ell}}$.

We trivialize the bundle $\mathcal{X} \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{K}}$ near the points $Z^{-1}(c)$ using the above coordinates; this simply means that we write every section $\varphi_j(p) = f_j(\zeta_\ell)\sqrt{d\zeta_\ell}$ and similarly $\psi_j(p) = g_j(\zeta_\ell)\sqrt{d\zeta_\ell}$ where f_j, g_j are analytic functions near 0 in the respective variables.

The function F is thus the ratio of the determinants of two alternant matrices of the sets of functions f_j, g_j .

Near $z = c$ the term responsible for the vanishing of $\det \Phi$ is the Vandermonde-like term $\prod_{j < k} E(z^{(j)}, z^{(k)})$ in the formula A.3 (or the likes of (A.7) in case Ξ is not a simple divisor). Letting $\mathbb{E}(\zeta, \xi) = E(p, q)\sqrt{d\zeta(p)d\xi(q)}$ be the local trivialization of the Klein prime form in the coordinates ξ, ζ we have

$$\prod_{j < k} \mathbb{E}(z^{(j)}, z^{(k)}) \propto \prod_{\ell=1}^{|Z^{-1}(c)|} (z - c)^{\frac{\nu_\ell - 1}{\nu_\ell}}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Now we show that the determinant of the alternant matrix in the numerator of F in (3.2) must vanish at least of the same order.

To simplify the matter and without major loss of generality, we assume that there is only one branchpoint, p^* , above $z = c$ of multiplicity ν , with $\zeta = (z - c)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$ being a local coordinate. We also enumerate the sheets of the map Z so that the first ν sheets are glued at $z = c$. The functions g_j near p^* are Puiseux series

$$g_j(p) = g_j^0 + g_j^1(Z(p) - c)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} + \dots = g_j^0 + g_j^1\zeta + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^2). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The different sheets are distinguished by multiplying ζ by a ν -root of unity;

$$g_j(z^{(a)}) = g_j^0 + g_j^1 e^{\frac{2i\pi a}{\nu}} \zeta + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^2), \quad a = 1, \dots, \nu. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Thus the alternant matrix has the following expansion

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} G^0 & F^0 \end{array} \right] + \zeta \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \Omega & 0_{r \times (r-\nu)} \end{array} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^2) \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where $\Omega \in \text{Mat}_{r \times \nu}$ is the matrix $\Omega_{ja} = g_j^1 e^{\frac{2i\pi a}{\nu}}$ and $G^0 \in \text{Mat}_{r \times \nu}$ is the matrix $G_{ja}^0 = g_j^0$ of rank 1 (all columns are equal). The matrix $F \in \text{Mat}_{r \times (r-\nu)}$ is in general of maximal rank $r - \nu$ and it is irrelevant for the present consideration (it consists of the evaluations of the functions g_j at the distinct points $z^{(a)}$, $a = \nu + 1, \dots, r$).

It is then clear that in the evaluation of the determinant the lowest order term are of order $\zeta^{\nu-1} = (z - c)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}}$. This proves that the ratio $F(z)$ is bounded at $z = c$; since it is single-valued, the singularity is removable and $F(z)$ extends to an analytic function at $z = c$. The case where there are several branchpoints in the fiber of the critical value $z = c$ is only slightly more complicated and left to the reader. ■

Remark A.1 *The arguments above apply also if Z maps to a higher genus curve; in that case the ratio F will be simply a meromorphic function on the target curve.*

References

- [1] M. Adams, J. Harnad, and J. Hurtubise. “Isospectral hamiltonian flows in finite and infinite dimensions. II. Integration of flows”, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **134**, 555-585 (1990).
- [2] M. Adams, J. Harnad, and J. Hurtubise. “Darboux coordinates and Liouville-Arnold integration in loop algebras”, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **155**, 385-413 (1993).
- [3] O. Babelon, D. Bernard, and M. Talon. “Introduction to classical integrable systems”, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [4] M. Bertola, “Padé approximants on Riemann surfaces and KP tau functions”, [arXiv:2101.09557](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.09557).
- [5] M. Bertola and M. Gekhtman. “Biorthogonal Laurent polynomials, Töplitz determinants, minimal Toda orbits and isomonodromic tau functions”, *Constr. Approx.*, **26**(3):383-430, 2007.
- [6] M. Bertola and M. Gekhtman. “Effective inverse spectral problem for rational Lax matrices and applications”, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):Art. ID rnm103, 39, 2007.

- [7] M. J. Cantero, L. Moral, L. Velázquez, “Matrix orthogonal polynomials whose derivatives are also orthogonal”, *J. Approx. Theory* **146** (2007), no. 2, 174–211.
- [8] M. Castro, F. A. Grünbaum, “The Darboux process and time-and-band limiting for matrix orthogonal polynomials”, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **487** (2015), 328–341.
- [9] M. Castro, F. Grünbaum, “Orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying first order differential equations: a collection of instructive examples”, *J. Nonlin. Math.*, **12**, no. 2 (2005), 63–76.
- [10] C. Charlier, “Matrix orthogonality in the plane versus scalar orthogonality in a Riemann surface”, [arXiv:2009.13098](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.13098).
- [11] D. Damanik, A. Pushnitski, B. Simon, “The analytic theory of matrix orthogonal polynomials”, *Surv. Approx. Theory* **4** (2008), 1–85.
- [12] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, “Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 52(11):1335–1425, 1999.
- [13] M. Duits, A.B. J. Kuijlaars, “The two periodic Aztec diamond and matrix valued orthogonal polynomials”, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1712.05636.pdf>
- [14] J. Fay, “Theta Functions on Riemann Surfaces”, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **352**, Springer-Verlag (1970).
- [15] J. Fay. “The nonabelian Szegő kernel and theta-divisor”, In *Curves, Jacobians, and abelian varieties (Amherst, MA, 1990)*, volume 136 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 171–183. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [16] N. J. Hitchin. “Stable bundles and integrable systems”, *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987), no. 1, 91–114.
- [17] A. Groot and A. B. J. Kuijlaars, “Matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials related to hexagon tilings”, [arXiv:2104.14822](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.14822).
- [18] D. Korotkin. “Solution of matrix Riemann-Hilbert problems with quasi-permutation monodromy matrices”, *Math. Ann.*, 329(2):335–364, 2004.
- [19] A Fokas, A Its, and A Kitaev, “The isomonodromy approach to matrix models in 2d quantum gravity”, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **147**, 395–430 (1992).
- [20] L. Faybusovich and M. Gekhtman, “Elementary Toda orbits and integrable lattices”, *J. Math. Phys.*, 41(5):2905–2921, 2000.
- [21] F. A. Grünbaum, M. D. de la Iglesia, “Matrix-Valued Orthogonal Polynomials Related to $SU(N+1)$, Their Algebras of Differential Operators, and the Corresponding Curves” *Experimental Mathematics*, Vo. 15 (2007), No. 2, 189–207.
- [22] F. A. Grünbaum, M. D. de la Iglesia, A. Martínez-Finkelstein, “Properties of Matrix Orthogonal Polynomials via their Riemann–Hilbert Characterization” *SIGMA*, **7** (2011), 098, 31 pag.
- [23] M. Krein, “Infinite J -matrices and a matrix-moment problem”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **69** (1949) 125–128. Translation available at [arXiv:1606.07754](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.07754)
- [24] O. Njåstad (1988) “ Multipoint Padé Approximation and Orthogonal Rational Functions”. In: Cuyt A. (eds) *Nonlinear Numerical Methods and Rational Approximation. Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol 43. Springer, Dordrecht.
- [25] G. Szegő. “Orthogonal polynomials”, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., fourth edition, 1975. American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII.
- [26] A. Sinap, W. Van Assche, “Orthogonal matrix polynomials and applications”, *J. Computat. and Appl. Math.*, **66**(1996) 27–52.