Bound photonic pairs in 2D waveguide quantum electrodynamics
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We theoretically predict the formation of two-photon bound states in a two-dimensional waveguide network hosting a lattice of two-level atoms. The properties of these bound pairs and the exclusive domains of the parameter space where they emerge due to the interplay between the on-site photon blockade and peculiar shape of polariton dispersion resulting from the long-range radiative couplings between the qubits are investigated in detail. In addition, we analyze the effect of the finite system size on localization characteristics of these excitations.

Introduction. The recent development of nanotechnology resulted in the appearance of unprecedented platforms for many body quantum electrodynamics, consisting on quantum emitters coupled to propagating photons in waveguides [1–4]. Particular realizations of such waveguide quantum electrodynamics (WQED) systems, include structures based on artificial arrays of cold atoms [5, 6], superconducting qubits [7, 8], quantum dots [9] and solid-state vacancies defects [10]. The uniqueness of WQED systems is that they demonstrate an interplay of strong light-matter interaction, chirality, and long-range radiative couplings between quantum emitters arising from the exchange of the propagating photons. The combination of these features gives rise to a plethora of fascinating physical phenomena, including collective super-radiance and sub-radiance [11–18], emergence of unconventional topological phases [19, 20], quantum chaos [21], and promotes insightful developments for emergent quantum technologies.

Long-range coherent photonic propagation in a waveguide couples all emitters together and leads to the formation of collective polaritonic excitations [22]. Since a given emitter can be excited only by a single photon, such structure represents an example of a strongly correlated system [23]. One of its most compelling properties is the possibility of the formation of unconventional multiphoton bound states, attracting the growing interest of theoretical researchers [24–28]. However, up to date, most of the efforts were dedicated to the consideration of one-dimensional (1D) set-ups, since they were the only ones accessible experimentally. However, very recently a two-dimensional (2D) array of waveguide-coupled array of transmon qubits was realized [29], which makes actual the task of the proper theoretical description of strongly correlated WQED in higher dimensions. In this context, the fundamental question appears: whether bound two-polariton states exist in 2D at all, and if they do, what are their localization characteristics.

In this Letter, we explore the formation of the bound two-polariton states in a 2D WQED set-up shown in Fig. 1. We show, that the bound states indeed exist inside the band gap for the scattering states and establish their spatial profiles. We also demonstrate that the bound state are preserved in finite size systems and thus can be detected in scattering experiments.

Two-particle Hamiltonian. We consider the system schematically shown in Fig. 1. It consists of an N × N square lattice of qubits located at the nodes of a network composed of a set of horizontal and vertical identical one-dimensional waveguides in xy plane. Each qubit, described as a dipole with resonant frequency ω0 between ground |g⟩ and excited |e⟩ states, couples with a pair of waveguides that support propagating light modes with linear dispersion with velocity v. Waveguide photonic modes can be integrated out in the Markovian regime [11, 13, 27]. If one additionally suggests equal probability of a qubit to decay into each of the two waveguides, the corresponding 2D Hamiltonian is given by:

\[ H_{2D} = H_{1D} \otimes I + I \otimes H_{1D}, \]

where \( H_{1D} \) is the effective 1D Hamiltonian that describes each single waveguide array. For each waveguide, the Hamiltonian describes an open quantum open, where the coherent exchange of photons enables an infinite-range...
by performing a 2D cosine Fourier transform in Eq. (5).

as the energy scale of the system, \( \varphi = q_d \) represents the phase acquired by excitations with wave vector \( q_0 = \omega_0/v \) when traveling between two qubits spaced by \( d \), the annihilation operators \( b_m \) account for the bosonized excitations of the qubits, and the anharmonicity \( \chi \to \infty \) is the amplitude of a strong effective on-site polariton-polariton repulsion, which stems from the impossibility of a qubit to be excited by more then one photon [11, 21, 27].

To analyze the nature of two-particle excitations of the 2D lattice we need to solve the corresponding linear eigenvalue problem taking into account the additional constraint, that on-site double excitations are suppressed (\( \chi \to \infty \)). The corresponding set of coupled algebraic equations reads (see Supplementary Material for the details):

\[
2\varepsilon \psi_{ij, mn} = H_{ii} \psi_{ij, mn} + H_{ij} \psi_{ij, d, mn} + H_{ml} \psi_{ij, ln} + H_{ml} \psi_{ij, ml} - 2\delta_{im} H_{ij} \psi_{ij, mj},
\]

where \( \psi_{ij, mn} \) denotes the probability amplitude associated with the polariton pair, in which \( i, j (m, n) \) indicates the position of first (second) polariton. The indices \( i, m \) correspond to the \( x \)-coordinates positions, \( j, n \) to \( y \)-coordinates.

**Dispersion relation.** For infinite periodic lattice, the polariton pair is characterized by the center of mass wave vector \( \mathbf{K} = K_x \mathbf{\hat{e}}_x + K_y \mathbf{\hat{e}}_y \), so that two-particle amplitudes can be written as:

\[
\psi_{ij, mn} = e^{iK_x(i+m)/2} e^{iK_y(j+n)/2} \Phi_{1-i-m-j-n},
\]

with wave function of the relative motion \( \Phi_{0,0} = 0 \) and \( \Phi_{1-i-m-j-n} = \Phi_{m-i,j-n} \). Substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (3) and introducing the relative distances \( d_x = i-m \) and \( d_y = j-n \), we find the system of equations characterizing the relative motion of a polariton pair, given by

\[
\varepsilon_K \Phi_{d_x, d_y} = \sum_{i=\infty}^{\infty} (H_{il} d_x \Phi_{i, d_y} + H_{ly} d_y \Phi_{l, d_x}) \psi_{i, j},
\]

where \( H_{il} d_x = -i \Gamma_0 \cos (K_x d_x/2) e^{i\varphi d_x} \) for \( \varphi = \pi \).

Solutions of Eq. (5) describe both the scattering states corresponding to the continuous part of the spectrum, and also, under specific conditions, the formation of bound pairs.

In order to obtain the scattering state dispersion relation, we move from the center of mass position basis to the relative motion wave vector \( -\pi < q_x, q_y \leq \pi \) basis by performing a 2D cosine Fourier transform in Eq. (5).

As a result, the system dispersion relation equation is given by:

\[
2\varepsilon_{q_x, q_y} = \Gamma_0 \left( \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos k_{1,x} - \cos \varphi} + \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos k_{2,x} - \cos \varphi} \right)
+ \Gamma_0 \left( \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos k_{1,y} - \cos \varphi} + \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos k_{2,y} - \cos \varphi} \right),
\]

The total energy of a pair \( 2\varepsilon_{q_x, q_y} \) is represented as a sum of the energies of non-interacting polaritons with wave vectors \( k_1(2, x(y) = (q_x(y) \pm K_{x(y)})/2 \). Its shape is determined by the phase \( \varphi \) and the center of mass wave vector \( \mathbf{K} \) and it is shown in Fig. 2(a) for \( \varphi = 3\pi/4 \), \( K_x = \pi \), and \( K_y = 0 \).

As bound states arise as discrete in-gap states, the energy gap in the dispersion relation is the main characteristic that allows the formation of bound states. However, the existence of a gap is not guaranteed for any arbitrary values of \( \varphi \) and \( \mathbf{K} \). Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 2(c) show the domains where two-polariton pair can be observed by revealing the gap size \( \Delta \) in the dispersion relation. In Fig. 2(b), obtained for \( K_x = \pi \), one can notice that bound states cannot be observed in the range of \( \varphi < \pi/2 \), but arise for the parameters combination lying inside the cone-shaped domain. Fig. 2(c) maps \( \Delta \) for values of the center of mass wave vector \( \mathbf{K} \) and fixed \( \varphi = 3\pi/4 \). Note, that dispersion relations contain regions, corresponding to negative effective mass, which means, that one can expect formation of the bound states for repulsive interactions. The dispersion relation profiles where the energy gap is absent are shown in the Supplementary Materials. Given the complexity of Eq. (5), we fix the wave vectors \( K_x = \pi \) and \( K_y = 0 \) henceforth to achieve analytical expressions for the bound state energy and its corresponding wave functions.

**Two-polariton bound states.** To obtain the bound state energy \( \varepsilon_b \), we assume that the condition for \( \Phi_{0,0} = 0 \) is due to a scattering potential \( \hat{v} = \varepsilon_0 |\Phi_{0,0}\rangle \langle \Phi_{0,0}| \) with \( \varepsilon_0 \to +\infty \) applied to the unperturbed Hamiltonian characterizing nearly free polariton propagation with dispersion \( \varepsilon_{q_x, q_y} \). Within the Green’s function formalism [30], the bound states correspond to the poles of the transfer matrix \( \hat{T} = \hat{v} (I - \hat{G}_0(d_x, d_y) \hat{v})^{-1} \). Hence, at the system origin, where the infinite scattering potential is present, the condition for bound states is given by \( \hat{G}_0(0, 0) = 0 \), i.e.,

\[
G_0(0, 0) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d_q x d_q y}{\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_{q_x, q_y}} = 0.
\]

Integral in Eq. (7) can be taken analytically, but results in a cumbersome expression involving elliptic integrals of second and third kind. We therefore resort to the
FIG. 2. (a) Polariton dispersion of the two-dimensional lattice for center of mass wave vectors $K_x = \pi$, $K_y = 0$, and $\varphi = 3\pi/4$. (b), (c) The size of the energy gap in the polariton dispersion for $K_x = 0$ and $\varphi = 3\pi/4$, respectively. (d) Exact (black dashed line) and analytical (solid red line) Bound state energy, where the blue and green dashed curves illustrate the lowest and highest energy values of the upper and lower polariton branches, respectively. (e) Bound pair wave function $\Phi_{2,d_y}$ with $\varphi = 3\pi/4$. (f) Polariton spatial distribution $|\Phi_{d_x,d_y}|^2$ for $\varphi = 3\pi/4$.

numerical solution. However, an approximate solution can be obtained within certain approximations.

Namely, we first note that the bound state energy should lie in the band gap region i.e. $\cot \phi < \varepsilon_b < -\tan \phi$ for $\phi \in [\pi/2, \pi]$. Then, we can notice from Fig. 2(a) that dispersion along $q_y$ is weak. We thus can use the fact that $\varepsilon_{q_x,q_y} = \varepsilon(q_x) + \varepsilon'(q_y)$ and substitute average value of $\varepsilon'(|q_y|) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int dq_y \varepsilon'(q_y)$ in Eq. (7). This would allow to obtain an approximate expression for the bound energy for $K_x = \pi$, $K_y = 0$

$$\varepsilon_b \approx 2\Gamma_0 \cot(2\varphi) + \Gamma_0 \text{arctanh}(\cot(\phi/2))$$

as it is shown by the solid line in Fig. 2(d). As can be seen, this approximation is very close to one given by numerical solution.

The bound polariton pair wave functions are obtained as

$$\Phi_{d_x,d_y} = \Gamma_0 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dq_x dq_y \cos \left( q_x d_x + q_y d_y \right) \varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_{q_x,q_y},$$

with discrete values of relative distances $d_x, d_y = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, except for $\Phi_{0,0} = 0$. The shape of the entire ensemble of solutions is presented in Fig. 2(e) and Fig. 2(f) for arbitrary values of $d_x$ and $d_y$. We can see that the profile has cross-like structure with greater localization along $x$ axis ($K_x = \pi$) than along $y$ axis ($K_y = 0$). Moreover, the phase of the function experiences rapid oscillations as can be seen at figure 2(e).

Generally, the eigenstates of WQED structures are classified by their collective decay ratio $\Gamma = -\text{Im} \Gamma_0$ in comparison with the single qubit decay rate $\Gamma_0$, so that $\Gamma \sim N \Gamma_0$ correspond to superradiant states, $\Gamma \sim \Gamma_0$ correspond to bright states, and $\Gamma \ll \Gamma_0$ correspond to subradiant states. Recently, new classes of eigenstates that emerge exclusively in multi-particle excitation regime, such as twilight [31], chaotic [21], and also bound states [24, 25] were theoretically discovered. In most of these scenarios, the subradiant states are characterized by a fermionic ansatz as antisymmetric combinations of one-excitation subradiant states. Noteworthy, in the parameter domains shown in Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 2(c), the two-polariton pair bound state well-described by Eq. 9 becomes subradiant state with infinite lifetime and diverging effective mass, analogously to the bound states found in 1D geometries [24, 25].

In finite periodic structures, this class of highly corre-
lated polariton pair are identified by its degree of localization \( S \) based on the mode volume in optical cavities [32] and defined as

\[
S = \frac{\sum_{m,n} \Psi_{m,n}^2}{\left( \sum_{m,n} \Psi_{m,n} \right)^2},
\]

with

\[
\Psi_{m,n} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j} \left( |\psi_{i,j,n+m}|^2 + |\psi_{i,j,n-m}|^2 + |\psi_{i,j,-n,m}|^2 + |\psi_{i,j,-n,-m}|^2 \right),
\]

where the states \( \psi_{i,j,n,m} \) and the system eigenvalues \( \varepsilon \) are obtained by direct diagonalization of Eq. 3. For the case of a state where both excitations are localized on a neighbouring qubit, \( S = 1 \). Fig. 3(a) presents this set of eigenvalues and its degree of localization of a 10 \times 10 qubit lattice with \( \varphi = 3\pi/4 \). Here, one can notice a cluster of correlated pair highlighted by the solid ellipse. These states present the localization pattern presented in Fig. 3(b)-(e). It can be seen, that for these states, when the position of the first excitation is fixed (for example by the measurement), the second excitation is localized in the vicinity.

Conversely, the superradiant and subradiant states present a delocalized pattern as shown in Fig. 3(f) and Fig. 3(g), respectively. Noteworthy, the two-polariton pair class are not the most subradiant states of the system. At the same time, it is known that bound polariton pair lifetime depends crucially on the parameter \( \phi \) [24]. It is therefore subject to the further studies to check if there exists a magic value of \( \phi \) for which the bound state become subradiant.

To conclude, we have shown that two photon bound polariton states exist in two-dimensional WQED systems, and obtained their energy dispersion and spatial profile. These states result from the interplay between infinitely strong on site repulsion of qubit excitations and strongly non-parabolic dispersion of the polariton modes, which contain regions corresponding to negative effective masses. The bound states exist in finite two-dimensional structures of modest size, and can be probed experimentally via the scattering measurements [29]. Since the two-dimensional WQED systems have been recently realized experimentally, the character of the multiphoton bound states in these structures plays a crucial role in possible applications in quantum technologies.
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is subtracted henceforward to shorten the notation as it contributes just as a Lamb-shift in the eigenmodes. Within the hard-core boson limit \( (\chi \to \infty) \), \( \psi_{ij,ij} \equiv 0 \) and \( \chi \psi_{ij,ij} \) is a constant. In order to properly suppress \( \chi \), we treat \( \chi \psi_{ij,ij} \) as a perturbation by assuming \( m = i \) and \( n = j \) in Eq. (S3) as follows

\[
\chi \psi_{ij,ij} = -H_{il} \psi_{ij,il} - H_{jl} \psi_{ij,jl} - H_{ij} \psi_{ij,il} - H_{ij} \psi_{ij,jl} = -2H_{il} \psi_{ij,il} - 2H_{jl} \psi_{ij,jl},
\]

where we have used \( \psi_{ij,mn} \equiv \psi_{mn,ij} \) as we are dealing with symmetric bosonic excitations. Therefore, the linear eigenvalue problem for the two-polariton excitation reads

\[
2\varepsilon \psi_{ij,mn} = H_{il} \psi_{ij,mn} + H_{jl} \psi_{ij,jm} + H_{mi} \psi_{ij,ln} + H_{nj} \psi_{ij,lm} - 2\delta_{jm} H_{ii} \psi_{ij,jm} - 2\delta_{im} H_{jj} \psi_{ij,jm},
\]

which correspond to Eq. (3) of the main text.

**Dispersion relation**

In this section, we present the scattering states energy in the domains where the energy gap is absent. Its expression, straightforwardly obtained by performing the 2D cosine Fourier transformation (guarantee symmetric bosonic excitations) in Eq. (5), is repeated below for convenience

\[
2\varepsilon_{q_x,q_y} = \Gamma_0 \left( \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos k_{1,x} - \cos \varphi} + \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos k_{2,x} - \cos \varphi} \right) + \Gamma_0 \left( \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos k_{1,y} - \cos \varphi} + \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos k_{2,y} - \cos \varphi} \right)
\]

with polaritons wave vectors \( k_{1(2),x(y)} = (q_x \varphi \pm K_{1(2),x(y)})/2 \). The dependence on the center of mass wave vectors \( K \) and phase \( \varphi \) creates essentially three distinct dispersion shapes, one with a finite gap (shown in Fig. 2(a) of the main text) and other two gapless. In this sense, Fig. S1 present these dispersions and points out in which domain they lie. Fig. S1(a) and Fig. S1(b) present the gap size \( \Delta \) in the dispersion relation as a function of \( K \) for \( \varphi = 0.85\pi \) and \( \varphi = 0.65\pi \), respectively. Fig. S1(c), obtained for \( K_x = K_y = 0.8\pi \), illustrates the shape of dispersion relation that are found for values of \( K \) that corresponds to the corners of both Fig. S1(a) and Fig. S1(b). The profile of the dispersion relation found at the central regions are illustrated by Fig. S1(d) that is obtained for \( K_x = K_y = 0 \).
FIG. S1. (a), (b) The size of the energy gap in the polariton dispersion as a function of the center of mass wave vectors $K$ for $\varphi = 0.85\pi$ and $\varphi = 0.65\pi$, respectively. (c), (d) polariton dispersion with $\varphi = 0.85\pi$ respectively obtained for $K_x = K_y = 0.8\pi$ (highlighted by a X) and $K_x = K_y = 0$ (highlighted by a star).