

ON A CLASS OF PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON THE PRODUCT OF COMPACT LIE GROUPS

SERENA FEDERICO AND ALBERTO PARMEGGIANI

ABSTRACT. In this paper a bisingular pseudodifferential calculus, along the lines of the one introduced by L. Rodino in [12], is developed in the global setting of a product of compact Lie groups. The approach follows that introduced by M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen [13] (see also V. Fischer [5]), in that it exploits the harmonic analysis of the groups involved.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Bisingular symbols on $G = G_1 \times G_2$	7
4. Kernel estimates	12
5. Calculus of bisingular pseudodifferential operators	21
Appendix A.	30
References	32

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall introduce a class of global pseudodifferential operators on the product of compact Lie groups and develop the corresponding global symbolic calculus in the spirit of the one introduced by Ruzhansky and Turunen in [13] and of that introduced subsequently by Fischer in [5].

On the product of two manifolds the class we consider here was first studied by Rodino in [12], where, in particular, the author used the classical theory of pseudodifferential operators developed by Hörmander in [6] to construct an algebra of pseudodifferential operators containing the so-called bisingular operators.

The interest of our approach lies in the fact that it is *global* and based on the group structure and on its related representation theory.

Serena Federico has received funding from the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 838661 and by the FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations.

As the classes $S^{m_1, m_2}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ in [12] are not in general contained in any of the Hörmander classes $S^m(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$, similarly in our case the classes $S^{m_1, m_2}(G_1 \times G_2 \times \widehat{G}_1 \times \widehat{G}_2)$ are not in general contained in any class $S^m(G)$, with $G = G_1 \times G_2$, defined by Ruzhansky and Turunen in [13].

Natural examples of bisingular pseudodifferential operators in our setting, as in the general compact manifold setting, are tensor products of the form $A_1 \otimes A_2$, where A_i for $i = 1, 2$ is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol in the class $S^{m_i}(G_i)$ introduced in [14], that is, $A_i \in L^m(G_i) := \text{Op}(S^{m_i}(G_i))$, with G_i being a compact Lie group.

The study of these operators goes back to 1971, when Pilidi in [9] reduced the boundary value problem for functions of two complex variables in bicylinders to the analysis of a bisingular equation on the two distinguished boundaries. In [10] the same author also developed a product calculus to deal with these objects and considered the corresponding index problem. Afterwards, a priori estimates and Fredholm properties for bisingular operators were studied by Rabinovič in [11], while in 1975 Rodino in [12] introduced the so-called calculus of bisingular pseudodifferential operators. Other related questions, such as residues and index problems, have been recently considered by Nicola and Rodino in [8], while microlocal properties have been studied by Borsero and Schulz in [2].

Let us also recall that a global version (i.e. in the Shubin setting of $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$) of the calculus in [12] was developed by Battisti, Gramchev, Pilipovič and Rodino in [1], and that other calculi of product type were developed by Dudučava in [4] and [3], and more recently by Melrose and Rochon in [7].

Note that a natural and immediate generalization of bisingular operators are the multisingular ones, whose prototype are tensor products of the form $\bigotimes_{i=1}^N A_i$, with $A_i \in L^{m_i}(G_i)$. We will not pursue this topic here, but with suitable arrangements in the arguments used below one can define a multisingular pseudodifferential calculus on the direct product of finitely many compact Lie groups and define the corresponding multisingular pseudodifferential operators.

We want to remark that due to the intrinsic product structure of the bisingular calculus, the suitable version of the celebrated Gårding inequality for elliptic operators is not available for *bielliptic* operators (see for instance [11], where such inequality is attained only under very specific assumptions). Hence, it seems that for the class of bisingular operators, that serves as a model for degenerate elliptic operators, a more natural inequality to consider is the *sharp Gårding* inequality. We will analyze the problem of the validity of this inequality in a future paper that will be part II of the present work.

We finally conclude this introduction by giving the plan of the paper.

In Section 2 we shall recall some basic definitions on compact Lie groups, such as the notions of Fourier transform, difference operators and Taylor expansion, as well as the standard quantization formula.

In Section 3 we introduce the class of bisingular symbols and define the corresponding pseudodifferential operators.

Section 4 will be devoted to the derivation of some fundamental kernel estimates needed to prove some asymptotic properties that are the object of Section 5.

Finally in Section 5 we develop the calculus, that is, we prove asymptotic formulas for the composition and for the adjoint of bisingular operators, and prove, after introducing ellipticity in the bisingular setting, the existence of parametrices for bielliptic operators.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In the sequel G will be a compact Lie group, \widehat{G} its unitary dual, that is the set of all equivalence classes of unitary representations of G , and $\text{Rep}(G)$ the set of all the irreducible unitary representations of G . Since G is compact, any given $\xi \in \text{Rep}(G)$ is finite dimensional and we shall denote by \mathcal{H}_ξ the associated representation space, and by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)$ the corresponding space of unitary operators on \mathcal{H}_ξ .

The Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms on G are given in terms of the representations of the group as follows.

Given a function $f \in C^\infty(G)$, and $\xi \in \text{Rep}(G)$, the (matrix-valued) global Fourier transform of f at ξ is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_G f(x) \xi^*(x) dx,$$

where $\xi^*(x) := \overline{{}^t \xi(x)}$ stands for the adjoint representation of ξ , while dx denotes the Haar measure on the group. Notice that, given $\xi : \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)$, and $d_\xi := \dim(\xi) := \dim(\mathcal{H}_\xi)$, then $\widehat{f}(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_\xi \times d_\xi}$. Correspondingly, the inverse Fourier transform is given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \text{Tr}(\xi(x) \widehat{f}(\xi)),$$

where $\text{Tr}(A)$ denotes the trace of the matrix A .

Related to the previous formulas one has the following Parseval identity

$$\|f\|_{L^2(G)}^2 = \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \|\widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{HS}^2 =: \|\widehat{f}\|_{\ell^2(\widehat{G})}^2,$$

where $\|\widehat{f}(\xi)\|_{HS} := \left(\text{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)^*) \right)^{1/2}$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm .

In order to deal with (matrix-valued) functions on \widehat{G} we will need to make use of the so called difference operators that we next define following [14].

Definition 2.1. We say that Q_ξ is a difference operator of order k on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}'(G))$ (the image of the group Fourier transform of distributions on G) if

$$Q_\xi \widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{q_Q f}(x),$$

for a function $q_Q \in C^\infty(G)$ vanishing of order k at the identity element e of G , that is, q_Q is such that $q_Q(e) = P_x q_Q(e) = 0$ for all left-invariant differential operators $P_x \in \text{Diff}^{k-1}(G)$ of order $k-1$.

We shall denote by $\text{diff}^k(\widehat{G})$ the set of all difference operators of order k on \widehat{G} .

Definition 2.2. A collection of $n_\Delta \geq n = \dim(G)$ difference operators $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n_\Delta}$ in $\text{diff}^1(\widehat{G})$ is called *admissible* if the corresponding functions $q_1, \dots, q_{n_\Delta} \in C^\infty(G)$ are such that $q_1(e) = \dots = q_{n_\Delta}(e) = 0$, and $dq_j(e) \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n_\Delta$, with $\text{rank}(dq_1(e), \dots, dq_{n_\Delta}(e)) = n$. Finally, a collection of difference operators is called *strongly admissible* if $\bigcap_j \{x \in G; q_j(x) = 0\} = \{e\}$.

Given a fixed family of functions $Q = \{q_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n_\Delta}$, we shall denote by

- Δ_Q the associated admissible collection of difference operators;
- $q^\alpha := q_1^{\alpha_1} \dots q_{n_\Delta}^{\alpha_{n_\Delta}}$;
- $\Delta_{Q,j} = \Delta_{q_j}$ and $\Delta_Q^\alpha := \Delta_{Q,1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \Delta_{Q,n_\Delta}^{\alpha_{n_\Delta}}$ the corresponding element in $\text{diff}^{|\alpha|}(\widehat{G})$.

Additionally, once the collection of difference operators is fixed, namely the corresponding family of functions Q is fixed, one can find a family of differential operators in $\text{Diff}^{|\alpha|}(G)$, denoted by $\partial_x^{(\alpha)}$, such that the following Taylor's formula holds

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} q(x)^\alpha \partial_x^{(\alpha)} f(e) + \mathcal{O}(h(x)^N), \quad h(x) \rightarrow 0,$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(G)$, where $h(x)$ is the geodesic distance from x to e_G . The differential operators $\partial_x^{(\alpha)}$ can be replaced by $\partial_x^\alpha := \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$, with ∂_{x_j} , $j = 1, \dots, n$, being a collection of left-invariant first order differential operators corresponding to some linearly independent left-invariant vector fields on G (∂_{x_j} are not the Euclidean directional derivatives here).

Remark 2.3. Note that we are assuming the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} to be the space of left-invariant vector fields. In particular, we shall use the notation ∂_{x_j} and $\tilde{\partial}_{x_j}$ for the left and right invariant vector fields, respectively. Once we fix an orthonormal basis of left-invariant vector fields for \mathfrak{g} , then any element of $\text{Diff}^k(G)$ (the space of smooth vector fields on G) can be written as a linear combination in terms of the elements of the basis. Note also that a similar property holds for right-invariant vector fields.

By Lemma 4.4 in [14], the family of functions $\{q_{ij} = \xi_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\}_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}, 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\xi}$ always induces a strongly admissible collection of difference operators, therefore we choose the latter as the fixed admissible collection for the rest of the paper.

In the context of the difference operators defined above, the following notion of Leibniz formula is adopted (see [5])

Definition 2.4. A collection of $\Delta = \Delta_Q$ difference operators satisfies the *Leibniz-like* property if, for any Fourier transforms \widehat{f}_1 and \widehat{f}_2 (with $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$),

$$\Delta_{Q,j}(\widehat{f}_1 \widehat{f}_2) = \Delta_{Q,j}(\widehat{f}_1) \widehat{f}_2 + \widehat{f}_1 \Delta_{Q,j}(\widehat{f}_2) + \sum_{1 \leq l, k \leq n_\Delta} c_{l,k}^{(j)} \Delta_{Q,l}(\widehat{f}_1) \Delta_{Q,k}(\widehat{f}_2),$$

for some coefficients $c_{l,k}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}$ depending only on l, k, j and Δ .

If Δ is a collection satisfying the Leibniz-like formula, then, recursively, for any given $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_\Delta}$, one has

$$\Delta_Q^\alpha(\widehat{f}_1 \widehat{f}_2) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq 2|\alpha|} c_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^\alpha (\Delta_Q^{\alpha_1} \widehat{f}_1) (\Delta_Q^{\alpha_2} \widehat{f}_2), \quad (2.1)$$

for some coefficients $c_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ depending on $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha$ and Δ , with $c_{\alpha, 0}^\alpha = c_{0, \alpha}^\alpha = 1$.

Remark 2.5. We remark that there always exists a strongly admissible collection of difference operators as in Definition 2.2 for which the Leibniz property above holds (see Corollary 5.13 in [5]). In particular this is always the case for the strongly admissible collection Δ_Q with $Q = \{q_{ij} = \xi_{ij} - \delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\xi, \xi \in \widehat{G}\}$.

In order to introduce the precise difference operators we are going to exploit in our setting, it is more convenient for us to use the definition of difference operators given in [5], which, in turn, gives rise to the same difference operators defined above by means of the admissible collection $\{q_{ij} = \xi_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\}_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}, 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\xi}$.

Definition 2.6. For each $\tau, \xi \in \text{Rep}(G)$ we define the linear mapping $\Delta_\tau \widehat{f}(\xi)$ on $\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\xi$ by

$$\Delta_\tau \widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\tau \otimes \xi) - \widehat{f}(I_{d_\tau} \otimes \xi). \quad (2.2)$$

The restriction of $\Delta_\tau \widehat{f}(\xi)$ to any occurrence of $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ in the decomposition into irreducibles of $\tau \otimes \xi$ defines the same mapping on \mathcal{H}_ρ , while the restriction to any $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ not appearing in the decomposition of $\tau \otimes \xi$ is fixed to be zero. With these conventions the operation Δ_τ is called *difference operator* associated with $\tau \in \text{Rep}(G)$.

Definition 2.7. Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ a compact Lie group such that G_i is compact for all $i = 1, 2$, and $n_i := \dim(G_i)$. Let $e = (e_1, e_2)$ be the neutral element of G . A collection

of $n_P := n_{\Delta_P} \geq n_1$ of difference operators $\Delta_{p_1}, \dots, \Delta_{p_{n_P}} \in \text{diff}^1(\widehat{G})$ is called *admissible* relative to G_1 if the corresponding functions $p_1, \dots, p_{n_P} \in C^\infty(G)$ are such that $p_1(e) = \dots = p_{n_P}(e) = 0$, and $dp_j(e) \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n_P$, with $\text{rank}(dp_1(e), \dots, dp_{n_P}(e)) = n_1$.

The collection is called *strongly admissible* relative to G_1 if $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n_{\Delta_P}} \{x \in G; p_j(x) = 0\} = \{e_1\} \times G_2$. Admissible and strongly admissible collections relative to G_2 are defined similarly by reversing the role of G_1 and G_2 .

We then consider the family of functions

$$R = \{r_{ij}^{(\tau)}; 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\tau, \tau \in \widehat{G}\} = \{(I_{d_{\tau_1}} \otimes \tau_2 - I_{d_\tau})_{ij}; 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\tau, \tau \in \widehat{G}\} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$P = \{p_{ij}^{(\tau)}; 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\tau, \tau \in \widehat{G}\} = \{(\tau_1 \otimes I_{d_{\tau_2}} - I_{d_\tau})_{ij}; 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\tau, \tau \in \widehat{G}\}, \quad (2.4)$$

so that both Δ_R and Δ_P are strongly admissible collections relative to $G_1 \simeq G_1 \times \{e_2\} \subset G$ and $G_2 \simeq \{e_1\} \times G_2 \subset G$ respectively (see Definition 2.7). After ordering the (huge but finite) families P and R above, that is, writing

$$P = \{p_k, k = 1, \dots, n_P\}, \quad R = \{r_k, k = 1, \dots, n_R\},$$

where each p_k, r_k are functions of the form $p_{ij}^{(\tau)}, r_{ij}^{(\tau)}$ respectively, for some $\tau \in \widehat{G}$ and some $i, j \in \{0, \dots, d_\tau\}$, we may define

$$\Delta^{\alpha, \beta} := \Delta_P^\alpha \Delta_R^\beta = \Delta_{p_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \Delta_{p_{n_P}}^{\alpha_{n_P}} \Delta_{r_1}^{\beta_1} \dots \Delta_{r_{n_R}}^{\beta_{n_R}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that the function p_j , for all $j = 1, \dots, n_P$, is independent of $x_2 \in G_2$, and, similarly, the function r_j , for all $j = 1, \dots, n_R$, is independent of x_1 .

These difference operators, namely of the form (2.5), will be the ones used for the rest of the paper. Note that Δ_P^α and Δ_Q^β may be thought of as "partial difference operators" in the "directions" of \widehat{G}_1 and \widehat{G}_2 respectively.

By Remark 2.5 and formula (2.4) applied iteratively, we have the following Leibniz-like formula for the difference operators we are considering.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$, with G_1 and G_2 compact Lie groups. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_{\Delta_P}}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_{\Delta_R}}$, and for all Fourier transforms $\widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2$ (with $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$), we have*

$$\Delta^{\alpha, \beta}(\widehat{f}_1 \widehat{f}_2) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq 2|\alpha|} \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\beta_1| + |\beta_2| \leq 2|\beta|} c_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^\alpha c_{\beta_1, \beta_2}^\beta (\Delta^{\alpha_1, \beta_1} \widehat{f}_1) (\Delta^{\alpha_2, \beta_2} \widehat{f}_2), \quad (2.6)$$

for some coefficients $c_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^\alpha, c_{\beta_1, \beta_2}^\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_{\beta, 0}^\beta = c_{0, \beta}^\beta = c_{\alpha, 0}^\alpha = c_{0, \alpha}^\alpha = 1$.

Proof. The proof immediately follows by application of formula (2.1) twice, that is, for Δ_P^α and Δ_Q^β respectively. \square

Observe now that, since the families of functions in (2.3) and (2.4) defining admissible collections of difference operators on \widehat{G} relative to G_1 and G_2 are fixed, on denoting by $x = (x_1, x_2)$ an element of $G = G_1 \times G_2$, with $\dim(G_1) = n_1$ and $\dim(G_2) = n_2$, we can find a family of differential operators

$$\partial_x^{\alpha, \beta} := \partial_{x_1}^\alpha \partial_{x_2}^\beta$$

such that the following form of Taylor's formula holds (see, for instance, [5])

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{|\beta| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha! \beta!} q^{\alpha, \beta}(x^{-1}) \partial_x^{\alpha, \beta} f(e) + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha + \beta| = 2N, \\ |\alpha| \geq N \vee |\beta| \geq N}} \frac{1}{\alpha! \beta!} q^{\alpha, \beta}(x^{-1}) f_{\alpha, \beta}(x),$$

where

$$q^{\alpha, \beta}(x) := r(x)^\alpha p(x)^\beta = r_1(x)^{\alpha_1} \dots r_{n_R}(x)^{\alpha_{n_R}} p_1(x)^{\beta_1} \dots p_{n_P}(x)^{\beta_{n_P}}.$$

Recall that, in particular, we will have $\Delta_{R, j} \widehat{f}(\xi) := \widehat{r_j f}(\xi)$ and $\Delta_{P, k} = \widehat{p_k f}(\xi)$. Moreover, the differential operators $\partial_x^{\alpha, \beta}$ are chosen so as to satisfy $\partial_{x_1}^\alpha (p(x)^\alpha) = \partial_{x_2}^\beta (r(x)^\beta) = 1$ for all α, β such that $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$. In particular, since P and R are strongly admissible collections relative to G_1 and G_2 respectively, we have that there are n_1 and n_2 elements in P and R respectively, say (p_1, \dots, p_{n_1}) and (r_1, \dots, r_{n_2}) , such that $(\partial_{x_{1,1}}, \dots, \partial_{x_{1,n_1}}, \partial_{x_{2,1}}, \dots, \partial_{x_{2,n_2}})$ can be identified with $(dp_1(e), \dots, dp_{n_1}(e), dr_1(e), \dots, dr_{n_2}(e))$ (where $df(e)$ denotes the differential computed at e) by duality, and we fix the former as the basis of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We stress that the choice of $q^{\alpha, \beta}(x^{-1})$ instead of $q^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ is technical (see [13]). Note finally that the formula above can be derived by application of Taylor's formula twice, that is, first with respect to the variable x_1 by using the functions $q^{\alpha, 0}(x) = q^{\alpha, 0}(x_1)$, and then by expanding again with respect to x_2 and using $q^{0, \beta}(x) = q^{0, \beta}(x_2)$.

3. BISINGULAR SYMBOLS ON $G = G_1 \times G_2$

In this section we define what we shall call class of *bisingular symbols*, since, as pointed out by L. Rodino in [12], it contains symbols of operators of bisingular type (see [9],[10] and [15]).

Notation. In what follows we call $x = (x_1, x_2)$ an element of $G = G_1 \times G_2$ and $\xi := \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$ an element of \widehat{G} , where $\xi_j \in \widehat{G}_j$. By using the definitions above, and fixing the families R and P , we define $\Delta_1^\alpha := \Delta_P^\alpha$, $\Delta_2^\beta := \Delta_Q^\beta$, and $\partial_1^\alpha := \partial_{x_1}^\alpha = \partial_{x_{11}}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_{1n_1}}^{\alpha_{n_1}}$, $\partial_2^\beta := \partial_{x_2}^\beta = \partial_{x_{21}}^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_{x_{2n_2}}^{\beta_{n_2}}$ as above (where, as previously mentioned, ∂_{x_j} are not the Euclidean directional derivatives). We shall finally put $\partial^{\alpha, \beta} := \partial_{x_1}^\alpha \partial_{x_2}^\beta$ and analogously for the difference operators $\Delta^{\alpha, \beta}$.

We recall that, given a continuous linear operator A from $C^\infty(G)$ to $\mathcal{D}'(G)$, its matrix-valued symbol $\sigma_A(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_\xi \times d_\xi}$ (as introduced in [13]) is given by

$$\sigma_A(x, \xi) = \xi^*(x)(A\xi)(x), \quad (3.1)$$

and that

$$Af(x) = \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \text{Tr}(\xi(x) \sigma_A(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)), \quad f \in C^\infty(G),$$

holds in the sense of distributions and the sum is independent of the choice of the representative ξ of the class $[\xi]$.

Definition 3.1. Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ be a compact Lie group and define $n_i := \dim(G_i)$. We call *class of bisingular symbols of order* $(m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the set $S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ of all $a : G \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow \bigcup_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} \mathbb{C}^{d_\xi \times d_\xi}$ that are smooth in $x \in G$ and such that, for all multiindices $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_1}, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_2}, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta_P}, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta_R}$,

$$\|\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \Delta_1^{\beta_1} \Delta_2^{\beta_2} a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)\|_{op} \leq C_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{m_1 - |\beta_1|} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{m_2 - |\beta_2|},$$

where

$$\|a\|_{op} := \sup\{|a(x, \xi)v|_{\ell^2}; v \in \mathbb{C}^{d_\xi}, |v|_{\ell^2} \leq 1\}.$$

Additionally we shall denote by $S^{-\infty, -\infty}(G \times \widehat{G}) := \bigcap_{(m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ the class of smoothing elements.

It is important to bear in mind that $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}_1 \times \widehat{G}_2$.

Due to the equivalence of $\|a\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)}$ and $\|a\|_{op}$, we will freely use both notations below.

Let us remark that, as in the standard case, the space $S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ is a Fréchet space equipped with the seminorms

$$\|\sigma\|_{S^{m_1, m_2}_{(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)}} := \max_{\substack{|\alpha_1| \leq a_1, |\alpha_2| \leq a_2 \\ |\beta_1| \leq b_1, |\beta_2| \leq b_2}} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-m_1 + |\alpha_1|} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-m_2 + |\alpha_2|} \|\Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \partial_{x_1}^{\beta_1, \beta_2} \sigma(x, \xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)},$$

with $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

To each matrix-valued symbol $a \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G}_1 \times \widehat{G}_2)$ one can associate an operator $\text{Op}(a)$ by means of the following quantization formula

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(a)\varphi(x) &:= \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \text{Tr}(\xi(x) a(x, \xi) \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)) \\ &= \sum_{[\xi_1] \in \widehat{G}_1} \sum_{[\xi_2] \in \widehat{G}_2} d_{\xi_1} d_{\xi_2} \text{Tr}((\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2)(x) a(x, \xi_1, \xi_2) \widehat{\varphi}(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and we shall denote by $L^{m_1, m_2}(G)$ the class of operators of the previous form, that is, those obtained by quantizing symbols in $S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ as in (3.2). These operators will be called *bisingular operators* of order (m_1, m_2) on $G = G_1 \times G_2$.

Moreover, with any $a \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$, we associate the maps

$$G_1 \times \widehat{G}_1 \ni (x_1, \xi_1) \longmapsto a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2) \in L^{m_2}(G_2),$$

$$G_2 \times \widehat{G}_2 \ni (x_2, \xi_2) \longmapsto a(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2) \in L^{m_1}(G_1),$$

where $L^{m_1}(G_1)$ and $L^{m_2}(G)$ are classes of operators on G_1 and G_2 respectively obtained by means of the quantization formulas

$$a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2)\varphi(x_2) = \sum_{[\xi_2] \in \widehat{G}_2} d_{\xi_2} \operatorname{Tr} \left((I_{d_{\xi_1}} \otimes \xi_2(x_2)) a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) \times (I_{d_{\xi_1}} \otimes \widehat{\varphi}(\xi_2)) \right)$$

and

$$a(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2)\varphi(x_1) = \sum_{[\xi_1] \in \widehat{G}_1} d_{\xi_1} \operatorname{Tr} \left((\xi_1(x_1) \otimes I_{d_{\xi_2}}) a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) \times (\widehat{\varphi}(\xi_1) \otimes I_{d_{\xi_2}}) \right).$$

It is important to stress that the symbol $a \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ is uniquely determined by one of these maps.

Troughout the paper we will often write $a(x, \xi)$ in place of $a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)$, where $\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \in \widehat{G}$, and $S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G}_1 \times \widehat{G}_2)$ in place of $S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$.

Remark 3.2. Notice that, in general, there is no $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G}) \subset S^m(G \times \widehat{G})$. However we always have that $S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G}) \subset S_{0,0}^m(G \times \widehat{G})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

Given a continuous linear operator $A : \mathcal{D}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(G)$, (where $\mathcal{D}(G) := C^\infty(G)$), its right-convolution kernel $R_A \in \mathcal{D}'(G \times G)$ is defined by

$$A\varphi(x) = \int_G \varphi(y) R_A(x, y^{-1}x) dy = (R_A(x, \cdot) * \varphi)(x). \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, given $A \in L^{m_1, m_2}(G)$ with simbol $\sigma_A \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$, one has

$$\sigma_A(x, \xi) := (\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \xi} R_A)(x, \xi),$$

where

$$R_A(x, y) := \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \operatorname{Tr} (\xi(y) a(x, \xi)),$$

with $y = (y_1, y_2) \in G = G_1 \times G_2$ and $\xi \in \widehat{G}$ of the form $\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$, with $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \widehat{G}_1 \times \widehat{G}_2$.

For any fixed $(x_1, \xi_1) \in G_1 \times \widehat{G}_1$ and $(x_2, \xi_2) \in G_2 \times \widehat{G}_2$, we can write, respectively, the operators $a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2)$ and $a(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2)$ defined above in terms of their (right-)convolution kernels, that is,

$$a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2)\varphi(x_2) = (R_a^2(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \cdot) *_{G_2} \varphi)(x_2)$$

$$a(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2)\varphi(x_1) = (R_a^1(x_1, x_2, \cdot, \xi_2) *_{G_1} \varphi)(x_1)$$

where

$$R_a^2(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, y_2) := \sum_{[\xi_2] \in \widehat{G}_2} d_{\xi_2} \operatorname{Tr}((I_{\xi_1} \otimes \xi_2(y_2))a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$R_a^1(x_1, x_2, y_1, \xi_2) := \sum_{[\xi_1] \in \widehat{G}_1} d_{\xi_1} \operatorname{Tr}((\xi_1(x_1) \otimes I_{\xi_2})a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)). \quad (3.5)$$

Due to the orthogonality property of irriducible representations we have that

$$a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) = \int_{G_1} R_a^1(x_1, x_2, y_1, \xi_2)(\xi_1(y_1)^* \otimes I_{\xi_2})dy_1$$

and

$$a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) = \int_{G_2} R_a^2(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, y_2)(I_{\xi_1} \otimes \xi_2(y_2)^*)dy_2.$$

Definition 3.3. Given $a \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $b \in S^{m'_1, m'_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$, we shall denote by $(a \circ_{\xi_1} b)(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)$ and $(a \circ_{\xi_2} b)(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)$ the symbols in $S^{m_1+m'_1, m_2+m'_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ corresponding to the operators

$$(a \circ_{\xi_1} b)(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2)\varphi(x_1) = a(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2)b(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2)\varphi(x_1), \quad \forall \varphi \in C^\infty(G_1),$$

and

$$(a \circ_{\xi_2} b)(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2)\psi(x_2) = a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2)b(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2)\psi(x_2), \quad \forall \psi \in C^\infty(G_2).$$

By considering the right-convolution kernels it is not difficult to show that

$$(a \circ_{\xi_1} b)(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) \sim \sum_{|\alpha_1| \geq 0} (\Delta^{\alpha_1, 0} a(x, \xi)) \partial^{\alpha_1, 0} b(x, \xi)$$

and

$$(a \circ_{\xi_2} b)(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) \sim \sum_{|\alpha_2| \geq 0} (\Delta^{0, \alpha_2} a(x, \xi)) \partial^{0, \alpha_2} b(x, \xi),$$

where for all $N > 0$ we have

$$r_N^1(x, \xi) := (a \circ_{\xi_1} b)(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) - \sum_{|\alpha_1| < N} (\Delta^{\alpha_1, 0} a(x, \xi)) \partial^{\alpha_1, 0} b(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha_1| = N} (\Delta^{\alpha_1, 0} a(x, \xi)) b_{\alpha_1}(x, \xi)$$

$$r_N^2(x, \xi) := (a \circ_{\xi_2} b)(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) - \sum_{|\alpha_2| \geq 0} (\Delta^{0, \alpha_2} a(x, \xi)) \partial^{0, \alpha_2} b(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha_2| = N} (\Delta^{0, \alpha_2} a(x, \xi)) b_{\alpha_2}(x, \xi),$$

for suitable $b_{\alpha_1}, b_{\alpha_2}$ having the same properties as b , that is, $b_{\alpha_1}, b_{\alpha_2} \in S^{m'_1, m'_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$.

Let $a \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and denote by $\operatorname{Op}(a_{(x_2, \xi_2)})(x_1, D_1) := a(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2)$ the operator defined above and belonging to $L^{m_1}(G_1)$ for all $(x_2, \xi_2) \in G_2 \times \widehat{G}_2$. Then it is possible to

define the adjoint of $\text{Op}(a_{(x_2, \xi_2)})$ (as an operator on G_1), denoted by $\text{Op}(a_{(x_2, \xi_2)})(x_1, D_1)^{*1} := a(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2)^{*1}$, as the operator satisfying

$$(\text{Op}(a_{(x_2, \xi_2)})u, v)_{L^2(G_1)} = (u, \text{Op}(a_{(x_2, \xi_2)})^{*1}v)_{L^2(G_1)}, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{D}(G_1), \quad (3.6)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(G_1)}$ stands for the scalar product on $L^2(G_1)$.

In a similar way, on denoting by

$$\text{Op}(a_{(x_1, \xi_1)})(x_2, D_2) := a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2)$$

the operator belonging to $L^{m_2}(G_2)$ for all $(x_1, \xi_1) \in G_1 \times \widehat{G}_1$, one can define the adjoint operator $\text{Op}(a_{(x_1, \xi_1)})(x_2, D_2)^{*2} := a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2)^{*2}$ as the one satisfying

$$(\text{Op}(a_{(x_1, \xi_1)})u, v)_{L^2(G_2)} = (u, \text{Op}(a_{(x_1, \xi_1)})^{*2}v)_{L^2(G_2)}, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{D}(G_2), \quad (3.7)$$

with $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(G_2)}$ denoting the scalar product on $L^2(G_2)$.

Sobolev spaces $H^{s_1, s_2}(G)$. We shall now define what we shall call *bisingular Sobolev spaces* which are the ones to be naturally used in this setting. We will also see that bisingular operators exhibit continuity properties when acting on these spaces.

Let us consider the operator L on $G = G_1 \times G_2$, defined as

$$L := (I_1 + L_{G_1}) \otimes (I_2 + L_{G_2}),$$

where L_{G_i} and I_i denote the positive Laplace operator and the identity operator on G_i respectively.

The operator L will be called *bilaplacian*, since, as expected, it will play the role of the Laplacian in this setting.

By formula (3.1) we have that the symbol of the bilaplacian is given by

$$\sigma_L(\xi) = \sigma_L(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) = \langle \xi_1 \rangle^2 \langle \xi_2 \rangle^2 I_{d_\xi},$$

where $\langle \xi_i \rangle := (1 + \lambda_{\xi_i}^2)^{1/2}$, with $\lambda_{\xi_i}^2 > 0$ being the eigenvalue of L_{G_i} relative to the representation $\xi_i \in \widehat{G}_i$, and $I_{d_{\xi_i}} \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\xi_i} \times d_{\xi_i}}$ is the identity matrix.

Definition 3.4 (Bisingular Sobolev space of order (s_1, s_2)). We shall call bisingular Sobolev space of order (s_1, s_2) the space

$$H^{s_1, s_2}(G) := \{f \in \mathcal{D}'(G); \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{s_2} \widehat{f}(\xi) \in \ell^2(\widehat{G})\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{s_1, s_2} &:= \left(\sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{2s_2} \text{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\xi)^* \widehat{f}(\xi)) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{s_2} \widehat{f}\|_{\ell^2(\widehat{G})} =: \|f\|_{h^{s_1, s_2}(\widehat{G})}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h^{s_1, s_2}(\widehat{G}) := \{\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}'(G)); \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{s_2} \widehat{f} \in \ell^2(\widehat{G})\},$$

where $F \in \ell^2(\widehat{G})$ if and only if $\sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \|F(\xi)\|_{HS}^2 < \infty$.

One may check that the spaces $h^{s_1, s_2}(\widehat{G})$ are indeed complete with respect to the scalar product

$$(f, g)_{s_1, s_2} := \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{2s_2} \text{Tr}(\widehat{g}(\xi)^* \widehat{f}(\xi)).$$

Therefore, the Sobolev spaces $H^{s_1, s_2}(G)$ are also complete.

4. KERNEL ESTIMATES

This section is devoted to the proof of some estimates for the (right-convolution) kernels of bisingular pseudodifferential operators on compact Lie groups. These estimates will be employed in the next section to develop the global calculus of bisingular operators.

Before proving the estimates, we will first give some properties representing the suitable bisingular generalization of certain results holding in the standard (global) compact case.

Notation. Recall that $\langle \xi_j \rangle^s := (1 + \lambda_{\xi_j})^{s/2}$, $j = 1, 2$. Additionally, we assume Δ_1, Δ_2 to be the admissible collections of difference operators previously defined. Note that we shall often use the notation $S^{m_1, m_2}(G)$ for $S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\Delta^{\alpha, \beta} := \Delta_1^\alpha \Delta_2^\beta$, then, for any $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n_P}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n_R}$, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $C > 0$ such that, for all $f_1, f_2 \in C^d([0, +\infty))$, $\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \in \widehat{G}$, and $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta^{\alpha, \beta} f_1(t_1 \lambda_{\xi_1}) f_2(t_2 \lambda_{\xi_2})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)} &\leq C t_1^{m_1/2} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{m_1 - |\alpha|} \sup_{\substack{\lambda_{\xi_1} \geq 0 \\ \ell_1 = 0, \dots, d}} |\partial_{\lambda_{\xi_1}}^{\ell_1} f_1(\lambda_{\xi_1})| \\ &\quad \times t_2^{m_2/2} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{m_2 - |\beta|} \sup_{\substack{\lambda_{\xi_2} \geq 0 \\ \ell_2 = 0, \dots, d}} |\partial_{\lambda_{\xi_2}}^{\ell_2} f_2(\lambda_{\xi_2})|, \end{aligned}$$

in the sense that if the supremum on the right-hand side is finite, then the left-hand side is also finite and the inequality holds.

Sketch of proof. Due to the form of $\Delta^{\alpha, \beta}$ we have

$$\|\Delta^{\alpha, \beta} f_1(t_1 \lambda_{\xi_1}) f_2(t_2 \lambda_{\xi_2})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)} = \|\Delta_1^\alpha f_1(t_1 \lambda_{\xi_1})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\xi_1})} \|\Delta_2^\beta f_2(t_2 \lambda_{\xi_2})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\xi_2})}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 6.1 in [5] applied separately to each term on the right-hand side of the previous identity, the result follows. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$, with $G = G_1 \times G_2$ and $n_i = \dim(G_i)$. Then, if $s_1 > n_1/2$ and $s_2 > n_2/2$,*

$$\|k\|_{L^2(G)} \lesssim \sup_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{s_1/2} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{s_2/2} \|\widehat{k}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)}.$$

Hence $k \in L^2(G)$ when there exist $s_1 > n_1/2$ and $s_2 > n_2/2$ such that the right-hand side is finite.

Proof. Let $B_{s_1, s_2}(x, y) = B_{s_1}(x_1, y_1) \otimes B_{s_2}(x_2, y_2) = B_{s_1}(y_1) \otimes B_{s_2}(y_2)$ as in Lemma A.3 ($B_{s_1, s_2}(x, y)$ is independent of x). Then, for $s_1, s_2 > 0$, we can write

$$k(y) = ((I_1 + L_{G_1})^{s_1/2} \otimes (I_2 + L_{G_2})^{s_2/2})(k * (B_{s_1} \otimes B_{s_2}))(y),$$

which gives, in particular, that

$$\widehat{k}(\xi) = \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{s_1/2} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{s_2/2} \widehat{B_{s_1} \otimes B_{s_2}}(\xi) \widehat{k}(\xi).$$

Therefore, for $s_1 > n_1/2$ and $s_2 > n_2/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|k\|_{L^2(G)}^2 &\stackrel{\text{Plancherel}}{=} \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \|\widehat{k}(\xi)\|_{HS}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \|\widehat{B_{s_1} \otimes B_{s_2}}(\xi)\|_{HS}^2 \|\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{s_1/2} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{s_2/2} \widehat{k}(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)}^2 \\ &\leq \|B_{s_1} \otimes B_{s_2}\|_{L^2(G)}^2 \sup_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{s_2} \|\widehat{k}(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)}^2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma A.3}}{\lesssim} \sup_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{s_2} \|\widehat{k}(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\sigma \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G)$ with (right-convolution) kernel $k_x(\cdot) := k(x, \cdot)$. Then the following properties hold:*

1. *The kernel associated with $\partial^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma \in S^{m_1 - |\alpha_1|, m_2 - |\alpha_2|}(G)$, for any $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_i}$, and $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_1}, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_2}$, is given by $q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \partial_{x_1, x_2}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} k_x$;*
2. *If σ_1, σ_2 are two bisingular symbols with kernels k_x^1 and k_x^2 , respectively, then the kernel of the product $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ is given by $k_x^1 * k_x^2$.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 4.3 follows immediately by the form and the properties of bisingular symbols. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 4.3 we get Corollary 4.4 below giving a first key estimate for the kernels of bisingular pseudodifferential operators.

Corollary 4.4. *If $\sigma \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G)$, then, for any $\gamma := (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_1} \times \mathbb{N}_0^{n_2}$ and $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_1} \times \mathbb{N}_0^{n_2}$ such that, for all $i = 1, 2$, $\gamma_i + m_i + n_i < |\alpha_i|$, the function $\partial_x^\gamma \partial_z^\theta (q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z)k_x(z))$ is continuous on G and bounded as follows:*

$$|\partial_x^\gamma \partial_z^\theta (q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z)k_x(z))| \leq C \sup_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\|_{S^{m_1, m_2, |\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2|, \gamma}},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{S^{m_1, m_2, |\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2|, \gamma}}$ is the suitable seminorm. The constant C above depends on the parameters $m_i, \Delta, \gamma_i, \vartheta_i$ for all $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. The proof follows from the proof of Corollary 6.5 in [5] together with Lemma 4.3 and Lemma A.3. \square

Corollary 4.4 immediately gives the proposition below.

Proposition 4.5. *If $\sigma \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G)$ then the associated kernel $(x, y) \mapsto k_x(y)$ is smooth on $G \times (G \setminus S)$, with $S = \{x \in G; x_1 = e_1\} \cup \{x \in G; x_2 = e_2\}$. If $\sigma \in S^{-\infty, -\infty}(G)$ is smoothing then the associated kernel $(x, y) \mapsto k_x(y)$ is smooth on $G \times G$. The converse is also true, namely, if $(x, y) \mapsto k_x(y)$ is smooth on $G \times G$ then the associated symbol is smoothing, that is, it belongs to $S^{-\infty, -\infty}(G)$.*

In order to show some estimates for the kernels, we will need to work inside dyadic pieces where the eigenvalues (i.e. the frequencies in this setting) of L_{G_1} and L_{G_2} are localized. In that perspective the following lemma will be crucial to understand how the localized symbol and the corresponding kernel behave.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a given function with values in $[0, 1]$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of 0. Let $\sigma \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G)$ and let k_x be the associated kernel. For each $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ we define*

$$\sigma_{\ell_1, \ell_2}(x, \xi) := \sigma(x, \xi) \chi(\ell_1^{-1} \lambda_{\xi_1}) \chi(\ell_2^{-1} \lambda_{\xi_2}).$$

Then $\sigma_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \in S^{-\infty, -\infty}(G)$ and, for any $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_1} \times \mathbb{N}_0^{n_2}$,

$$\|\sigma_{\ell_1, \ell_2}\|_{S^{m_1, m_2, \gamma}} \leq C(G, m_1, m_2, \gamma) \|\sigma\|_{S^{m_1, m_2, \gamma}}.$$

Additionally, the kernel $k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(y)$ associated with σ_{ℓ_1, ℓ_2} is smooth on $G \times G$, and, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n_1 + n_2}$, $\partial^\beta k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2} \rightarrow \partial^\beta k_x$ in $\mathcal{D}'(G)$ uniformly in $x \in G$ as $\ell_1, \ell_2 \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The proof follows the proof of Lemma 6.6 in [5] with suitable modifications, namely by using the function $\chi(\ell_1^{-1} \lambda_{\xi_1}) \chi(\ell_2^{-1} \lambda_{\xi_2})$ as a cutoff function in the proof (note that $(1 - \chi(\ell^{-1} \lambda_\pi))$ in [5] is replaced by $(1 - \chi(\ell_1^{-1} \lambda_{\xi_1}) \chi(\ell_2^{-1} \lambda_{\xi_2}))$ here), and by replacing the standard Sobolev spaces $H^s(G)$ by the Sobolev spaces $H^{s_1, s_2}(G_1 \times G_2)$. For the sake of completeness we shall give the proof of the second part of the lemma, that is the convergence of the kernels, where a few arrangements are needed.

Let $s_1 = \lceil \frac{n_1}{2} \rceil$ and $s_2 = \lceil \frac{n_2}{2} \rceil$, where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ stands for the upper integer part. By using the bisingular Sobolev spaces we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\beta(k_{x,\ell_1,\ell_2} - k_x)\|_{H^{-s_1-m_1-1,-s_2-m_2-1}} &= \|\partial^\beta(\sigma_{\ell_1,\ell_2} - \sigma)\|_{h^{-s_1-m_1-1,-s_2-m_2-1}} \\ &= \|(1 - \chi(\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_1})\chi(\ell_2^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_2}))\partial^\beta\sigma\|_{h^{-s_1-m_1-1,-s_2-m_2-1}} \\ &\lesssim \|\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-m_1-1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-m_2-1} (1 - \chi(\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_1})\chi(\ell_2^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_2}))\partial^\beta\sigma\|_{h^{-s_1,-s_2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-m_1-1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-m_2-1} (1 - \chi(\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_1})\chi(\ell_2^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_2}))\partial^\beta\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the hypothesis on χ , for some $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$, with $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[0, \varepsilon_1]$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ on $[\varepsilon_2, +\infty)$. Therefore we get that $(1 - \chi(\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_1})\chi(\ell_2^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_2})) \not\equiv 0$ in the following three cases

- (1) $\lambda_{\xi_1} > \varepsilon_1\ell_1, \lambda_{\xi_2} > \varepsilon_1\ell_2$,
- (2) $\lambda_{\xi_1} > \varepsilon_1\ell_1, \lambda_{\xi_2} \leq \varepsilon_1\ell_2$,
- (3) $\lambda_{\xi_1} \leq \varepsilon_1\ell_1, \lambda_{\xi_2} > \varepsilon_1\ell_2$.

Let us start with the proof of the convergence in case (1). The inequalities above lead to

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial^\beta(k_{x,\ell_1,\ell_2} - k_x)\|_{H^{-s_1-m_1-1,-s_2-m_2-1}} \\ &\leq \max_{\substack{\lambda_{\xi_1} > \varepsilon\chi\ell_1 \\ \lambda_{\xi_2} > \varepsilon\chi\ell_2}} \|(1 - \chi(\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_1})\chi(\ell_2^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_2}))\partial^\beta\sigma\|_{h^{-s_1-m_1-1,-s_2-m_2-1}} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon_1\ell_1)^{-1}(1 + \varepsilon_1\ell_2)^{-1} \|\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-m_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-m_2} \partial^\beta\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \varepsilon_1\ell_1)^{-1}(1 + \varepsilon_1\ell_2)^{-1} \|\sigma\|_{S^{m_1,m_2,\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives, in particular, that

$$\max_{x \in G} \|\partial^\beta(k_{x,\ell_1,\ell_2} - k_x)\|_{H^{-s_1-m_1-1,-s_2-m_2-1}} \lesssim (1 + \varepsilon_1\ell_1)^{-1}(1 + \varepsilon_1\ell_2)^{-1} \|\sigma\|_{S^{m_1,m_2,\beta}}.$$

This finally yields the convergence $\partial^\beta k_{x,\ell_1,\ell_2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}'} \partial^\beta k_x$ uniformly in $x \in G$ as $\ell_1, \ell_2 \rightarrow \infty$.

For cases (2) and (3) the proof is the same (by reversing the roles of the parameters) and it is similar to the one in the case (1). For completeness we show the steps in case (2), that is, when $\lambda_{\xi_1} > \varepsilon_1\ell_1$ and $\lambda_{\xi_2} \leq \varepsilon_1\ell_2$. Under these hypotheses we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial^\beta(k_{x,\ell_1,\ell_2} - k_x)\|_{H^{-s_1-m_1-1,-s_2-m_2-1}} \\ &\leq \max_{\substack{\lambda_{\xi_1} > \varepsilon_1\ell_1 \\ \lambda_{\xi_2} \leq \varepsilon_1\ell_2}} \|(1 - \chi(\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_1})\chi(\ell_2^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_2}))\partial^\beta\sigma\|_{h^{-s_1-m_1-1,-s_2-m_2-1}} \\ &\leq \max_{\substack{\lambda_{\xi_1} > \varepsilon_1\ell_1 \\ \lambda_{\xi_2} \leq \varepsilon_1\ell_2}} \|\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-m_1-1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-m_2-1} (1 - \chi(\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_{\xi_1}))\partial^\beta\sigma\|_{h^{-s_1,-s_2}} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon_1\ell_1)^{-1} \|\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-m_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-m_2} \partial^\beta\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim (1 + \varepsilon_1 \ell_1)^{-1} \|\sigma\|_{S^{m_1, m_2, \beta}},$$

yielding, as before, the convergence in \mathcal{D}' uniformly in x , which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\sigma \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G)$, and $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. For any $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1)$ we define $\sigma_{t_1, t_2}(x, \xi) := \sigma(x, \xi) \eta(t_1 \lambda_{\xi_1}) \eta(t_2 \lambda_{\xi_2})$. Then, for any $m'_1, m'_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\|\sigma_{t_1, t_2}\|_{S^{m'_1, m'_2, \gamma}} \leq C t_1^{\frac{m_1 - m'_1}{2}} t_2^{\frac{m_2 - m'_2}{2}} \|\sigma\|_{S^{m_1, m_2, \gamma}},$$

where $C = C(m_1, m_2, m'_1, m'_2, \gamma, \eta)$ is independent of σ , t_1 and t_2 .

For the proof of Lemma 4.7 see [5] (Lemma 6.8).

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section concerning some estimates for the (right-convolution) kernel of bisingular pseudodifferential operators. Let us remark that these estimates are the suitable generalization to our setting of those holding in the standard (non-bisingular) case (see [5]). Note that below we shall denote by $|y| := d_G(y, e_G)$, where $d_G(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the geodesic distance (and analogously for $|y_j|$, $j = 1, 2$). Additionally, for any given $x = (x_1, x_2) \in G$, for a neighborhood of x we shall mean a Cartesian products of the form $U_1 \times U_2$, with U_i being a geodesic neighborhood of x_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\sigma \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G)$ and $(x, y) \mapsto k_x(y) \in C^\infty(G \times (G \setminus S))$ be its associated kernel. Then, for $n_i = \dim(G_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, the following estimates hold*

- *If $n_i + m_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, then there exists $C > 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ (independent of σ) such that for all $y \notin S$*

$$|k_x(y)| \leq C \sup_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\|_{S_{a,b}^{m_1, m_2}} |y_1|^{-n_1 - m_1} |y_2|^{-n_2 - m_2}.$$

- *If $n_i + m_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, then there exists $C > 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ (independent of σ) such that for all $y \notin S$*

$$|k_x(y)| \leq C \sup_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\|_{S_{a,b}^{m_1, m_2}} |\ln |y_1|| |\ln |y_2||.$$

- *If $n_i + m_i < 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, then k_x is continuous on G and for all $y \notin S$*

$$|k_x(y)| \leq C \sup_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\|_{S_{0,0}^{m_1, m_2}}.$$

- *If $n_i + m_i > 0$ and $n_j + m_j = 0$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, $i \neq j$, then $C > 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ (independent of σ) such that for all $y \notin S$*

$$|k_x(y)| \leq C \sup_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\|_{S_{a,b}^{m_1, m_2}} |y_i|^{-n_i - m_i} |\ln |y_j||.$$

- If $n_i + m_i < 0$ and $n_j + m_j = 0$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$, then $C > 0$ and $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}^2$ (independent of σ) being either of the form $\gamma_j = (a_j, 0)$ or of the form $\gamma_j = (0, a_j)$, such that for all $y \notin S$

$$|k_x(y)| \leq C \sup_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\|_{S_{\gamma_j, 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |\ln |y_j||.$$

- If $n_i + m_i > 0$ and $n_j + m_j < 0$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$, then $C > 0$ and $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{N}^2$ (independent of σ , of the same form as above) such that for all $y \notin S$

$$|k_x(y)| \leq C \sup_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\|_{S_{\gamma_i, 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |y_i|^{-n_i - m_i}.$$

Proof. We shall separately analyze the above cases. Let us remark that throughout the proof we shall use the notation $\mathcal{L}_1 := I_1 + L_{G_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 := I_2 + L_{G_2}$, where \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are thought of as operators on G_1 and G_2 respectively, while $L := \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ is defined on $G = G_1 \times G_2$.

Case $n_i + m_i > 0$. The estimate in this case trivially follows from Corollary 4.4.

Toolkit. Let $\eta_0, \eta_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be supported in $[-1, 1]$ and $[1/2, 2]$ respectively, taking values in $[0, 1]$, and such that

$$\forall \lambda > 0 \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \eta_\ell(\lambda) = 1, \quad \text{where } \eta_\ell(\lambda) := \eta_1(2^{-(\ell-1)}\lambda), \ell \geq 1.$$

Now, for each $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define $\sigma_{\ell_1, \ell_2}(x, \xi) := \sigma(x, \xi) \eta_{\ell_1}(\lambda_{\xi_1}) \eta_{\ell_2}(\lambda_{\xi_2})$ (with $\lambda_{\xi_1}, \lambda_{\xi_2}$, recall, being the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 , respectively), and denote by k_{x, ℓ_1, ℓ_2} the corresponding kernel. Notice that, since $\eta_{\ell_1}(\lambda_{\xi_1}) \eta_{\ell_2}(\lambda_{\xi_2})$ is smoothing, then σ_{ℓ_1, ℓ_2} is smoothing too. Moreover, also the mapping $(x, y) \mapsto k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(y) = k_x * \eta_{\ell_1}(\mathcal{L}_1) \eta_{\ell_2}(\mathcal{L}_2) \delta_{e_1} \otimes \delta_{e_2}$ is smooth, as $(x, y) \mapsto k_x(y)$ is smooth on $G \times G \setminus S$ and $\eta_{\ell_1}(\mathcal{L}_1) \eta_{\ell_2}(\mathcal{L}_2) \delta_{e_1} \otimes \delta_{e_2}$ is smooth on G .

Observe now that one has the following convergence in $C^\infty(G \times (G \setminus S))$

$$k_x(y) = \lim_{N_1, N_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{N_2} k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(y) = \left(k_x * \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{N_2} \eta_{\ell_1}(\mathcal{L}_1) \eta_{\ell_2}(\mathcal{L}_2) \delta_{e_1} \otimes \delta_{e_2} \right)(y),$$

and that the following bound holds for $y \notin S$

$$|k_x(y)| \leq \sum_{\ell_1, \ell_2} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(y)|.$$

With this in mind we have, by Corollary 4.4 and Lemma 4.6, that for any given $\alpha_i \in N_0^{n_{\Delta_i}}$, with $i = 1, 2$, and for any given $m'_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $m'_i + n_i < |\alpha_i|$,

$$\sup_{x \in G} |q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z) k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}| \lesssim \sup_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} \|\sigma_{\ell_1, \ell_2}(x, \xi)\|_{S_{(|\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2|), 0}^{m'_1, m'_2}}$$

$$\lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(|\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2|), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} 2^{-(\ell_1-1)\frac{m'_1-m_1}{2}} 2^{-(\ell_2-1)\frac{m'_2-m_2}{2}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that, for all $z \in G$ and for all $a_1, a_2 \in 2\mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$|z_1|^{a_1} |z_2|^{a_2} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha_1|=a_1, |\alpha_2|=a_2} |q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z)|.$$

The previous estimate is of course meaningful in a neighborhood $U = U_1 \times U_2$ where U_1 and U_2 are geodesic neighborhoods of e_1 and e_2 respectively, in which, in the following, we will be working. Note that outside that neighborhood the estimates in the statement are straightforward, because of the smoothness of the kernel. Therefore, for all $a_1, a_2 \in 2\mathbb{N}_0$ and m'_1, m'_2 such that $m'_i + n_i < a_i$, $i = 1, 2$, (4.1) implies

$$|z_1|^{a_1} |z_2|^{a_2} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} 2^{\ell_1 \frac{m_1 - m'_1}{2}} 2^{\ell_2 \frac{m_2 - m'_2}{2}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since we want to study the behaviour of $k_x(y)$ close to the set S , we will be considering each of the following situations

1. $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$;
2. $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| \geq 1$ (resp. $|z_1| \geq 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$).

Case $n_i + m_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2$. When $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$, we can chose $\ell_{0_i} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$2^{-\ell_{0_i}} \leq |z_i| \leq 2^{-\ell_{0_i}+1}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In order to derive the desired estimate we write

$$\sum_{\ell_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{N_2} = \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1} \\ \ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}}} + \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1} \\ N_2 \geq \ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}}} + \sum_{\substack{N_1 \geq \ell_1 > \ell_{0_1} \\ \ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}}} + \sum_{\substack{N_1 \geq \ell_1 > \ell_{0_1} \\ N_2 \geq \ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}}},$$

and study the behaviour of k_{x, ℓ_1, ℓ_2} in the cases

1. $\ell_i \leq \ell_{0_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$,
2. $\ell_i > \ell_{0_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$,
3. $\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1}$ and $\ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}$ (resp. $\ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}$ and $\ell_1 > \ell_{0_1}$),

separately.

For $\ell_i \leq \ell_{0_i}$, for $i = 1, 2$, from (4.2) we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1} \\ \ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-a_1} 2^{\ell_{0_1} \frac{m_1 - m'_1}{2}} |z_2|^{-a_2} 2^{\ell_{0_2} \frac{m_2 - m'_2}{2}}.$$

We then choose $a_i \in 2\mathbb{N}_0$ and $m'_i \in \mathbb{R}$, for $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$m_i + n_i > a_i \geq m_i + n_i - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m_i - m'_i}{2} = m_i + n_i - a_i > 0, \quad (4.3)$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1} \\ \ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| &\lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-a_1 - \frac{m_1 - m'_1}{2}} |z_2|^{-a_2 - \frac{m_2 - m'_2}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-m_1 - n_1} |z_2|^{-m_2 - n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\ell_i > \ell_{0_i}$ ($\ell_i \leq N_i$) we make a different choice for a_i and m'_i in (4.3) that we call a'_i, m''_i in order to keep the notation a_i, m'_i for the choices we made in the previous case $\ell_i \leq \ell_{0_i}$. We now choose $a'_i = a_i + 2$ and m''_i satisfying

$$\frac{m_i - m''_i}{2} = m_i + n_i - a'_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Since $m_i < m''_i$ now, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{N_1 \geq \ell_1 > \ell_{0_1} \\ N_2 \geq \ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| &\lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-a'_1} 2^{\ell_{0_1} \frac{m_1 - m''_1}{2}} |z_2|^{-a'_2} 2^{\ell_{0_2} \frac{m_2 - m''_2}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-m_1 - n_1} |z_2|^{-m_2 - n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1}$ and $\ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}$ (resp. $\ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}$ and $\ell_1 > \ell_{0_1}$) we make a different choice of a_i and m'_i that we call a''_i, m'''_i in order to keep the previous notation for the other cases. By choosing $a''_1 = a_1, m'''_1 = m'_1, a''_2 = a'_2$ and $m'''_2 = m''_2$ we get, once again from (4.2), that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1} \\ N_2 \geq \ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| &\lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-a''_1} 2^{\ell_{0_1} \frac{m_1 - m'''_1}{2}} |z_2|^{-a''_2} 2^{\ell_{0_2} \frac{m_2 - m'''_2}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-m_1 - n_1} |z_2|^{-m_2 - n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate in the case when $\ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}$ and $\ell_1 > \ell_{0_1}$ follows similarly by exchanging the role of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . Collecting the (four) estimates together we get the desired result (keeping the biggest seminorm) in the case when $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$.

In the case when $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| \geq 1$, we can choose ℓ_{0_1} as before, and, once again, split the analysis into the cases $\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1}$ and $\ell_1 > \ell_{0_1}$. Note that we do not split the sum in ℓ_2 in this case, so we will make a single choice for a_2 and m'_2 . By choosing a_1, m'_1, a'_1, m''_1 as before, and $a_2 = n_2 + m_2 + 3, m'_2 = m_2 + 2$ (so that $m'_2 + n_2 < a_2$), we will get the result in this case (again the result is given in terms of the biggest seminorm).

Finally the case $|z_1| \geq 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$ is proved as the last one by reversing the role of z_1 and z_2 .

Collecting all the estimates above we obtain the result in terms of the biggest seminorm.

Case: $m_i + n_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. We consider again all the cases $|z_i| < 1, |z_j| \geq 1, i, j = 1, 2$ ($i \neq j$). When $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$ we fix ℓ_{0_i} as before and consider the cases 1, 2 and 3

(and the respective case of the last one) as above. Then, for $\ell_i \leq \ell_{0_i}$, for $i = 1, 2$, from (4.2) with $a_i = 0$, $m'_i = m_i$, for all $i = 1, 2$, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1} \\ \ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} \ell_{0_1} \ell_{0_2} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |\ln |z_1|| |\ln |z_2||.$$

When $\ell_i > \ell_{0_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$ we choose $a'_i = 2$ and $m''_i = m_i - 4$ for all $i = 1, 2$, and get (from (4.2) with a'_i, m''_i)

$$\sum_{\substack{N_1 \geq \ell_1 > \ell_{0_1} \\ N_2 \geq \ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}}.$$

When $\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1}$ and $\ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}$ ($\ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}$ and $\ell_1 > \ell_{0_1}$), by choosing $a''_1 = a_1$, $m'''_1 = m'_1$ and $a''_2 = a'_2$, $m'''_2 = m''_2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1} \\ N_2 \geq \ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |\ln |z_1||.$$

Collecting the estimates together the result when $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$ follows.

When $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| \geq 1$ we fix again ℓ_{0_1} as before. Recall that now we do not split the sum in ℓ_2 and that we will make a single choice for a_2 and m'_2 in (4.2). Then, using estimate (4.2) with a_1 and m'_1 (when $\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1}$), and a'_1 and m''_1 (when $\ell_1 > \ell_{0_1}$) as in the previous case, the result follows by choosing $a_2 = n_2 + m_2 + 3 = 3$ and $m'_2 = m_2 + 2$ (where $m_2 + n_2 < a_2$ is still satisfied).

The case $|z_1| \geq 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$ is treated as the previous one reversing the roles of z_1 and z_2 .

Finally, collecting all the cases above, we get the result in terms of the biggest seminorm.

Case $n_i + m_i > 0$, $n_j + m_j = 0$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$. To fix ideas suppose $n_1 + m_1 > 0$ and $n_2 + m_2 = 0$ since the other case is treated analogously. We then combine the strategies used in the cases $n_i + m_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2$ and $n_i + m_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2$.

When $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$ we fix again ℓ_{0_i} such that $|z_i| \sim 2^{-\ell_{0_i}}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then, for $\ell_i \leq \ell_{0_i}$, we choose $a_i \in 2\mathbb{N}_0$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$m_1 + n_1 > a_1 \geq m_1 + n_1 - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m_1 - m'_1}{2} = m_1 + n_1 - a_1 > 0,$$

$$a_2 = 0, \quad m'_2 = m_2.$$

so that, from (4.2), we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1} \\ \ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-m_1 - n_1} |\ln |z_2||.$$

For $\ell_i > \ell_{0_i}$, for all $i = 1, 2$, we apply (4.2) with $a'_1 = a_1 + 2$, m''_1 satisfying the same conditions as m'_1 with a'_1 in place of a_1 (where, recall, a_1, m'_1 are the parameters used for $\ell_i \leq \ell_{0_i}$), $a'_2 = 2$ and $m''_2 = m_2 - 4$. We then have

$$\sum_{\substack{N_1 \geq \ell_1 > \ell_{0_1} \\ N_2 \geq \ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-n_1 - m_1}.$$

For $\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1}$ and $\ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}$ ($\ell_2 \leq \ell_{0_2}$ and $\ell_1 > \ell_{0_1}$) we repeat the strategy used before, that is, we choose $a''_1 = a_1$, $m'''_1 = m'_1$, $a''_2 = a'_2$, and $m'''_2 = m''_2$ in (4.2) and get

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1} \\ N_2 \geq \ell_2 > \ell_{0_2}}} |k_{x, \ell_1, \ell_2}(z)| \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{S_{(a_1, a_2), 0}^{m_1, m_2}} |z_1|^{-n_1 - m_1}.$$

Hence, collecting all the estimates we get the result when $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$.

When $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| \geq 1$ the proof follows by considering again only the two cases $\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1}$ and $\ell_1 > \ell_{0_1}$ (here we do not split the sum in ℓ_2 , so $0 \leq \ell_2 \leq N_2$). Using the same choices as before for a_1, a'_1, m'_1, m''_1 , and choosing $a_2 = 3$ and $m'_2 = m_2 + 2$ (so that $m'_2 + n_2 < a_2$) in (4.2), where, recall, a_1, m'_1 are the parameters used when $\ell_1 \leq \ell_{0_1}$, while a'_1, m''_1 are those used for $\ell_1 > \ell_{0_1}$ (we make a single choice for a_2 and m'_2 here), then the desired estimates hold when $|z_1| < 1$ and $|z_2| \geq 1$.

When $|z_1| \geq 1$ and $|z_2| < 1$ the result is proved by reversing the roles of z_1 and z_2 in the last case.

Cases $n_i + m_i < 0$ and $n_j + m_j = 0$; $n_i + m_i > 0$ and $n_j + m_j < 0$ ($i \neq j$).

These cases can be treated as the last one, that is, by combing the strategies used for the other cases in the different regions $|z_i| < 1, |z_j| \geq 1, i, j = 1, 2$ ($i \neq j$). The proof is left to the reader. □

5. CALCULUS OF BISINGULAR PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

In what follows we will use the previous properties to prove a composition formula for bisingular operators.

Theorem 5.1 (Composition formula). *Let $\sigma_A \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\sigma_B \in S^{m'_1, m'_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$, and $A := \text{Op}(a)$ and $B = \text{Op}(b)$ the corresponding pseudodifferential operators. Then the symbol σ_{AB} of AB is, asymptotically,*

$$a \# b(x, \xi) := \sigma_{AB}(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} c_{m_1 + m'_1 - j, m_2 + m'_2 - j}(x, \xi), \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j} &\in S^{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j}(G \times \widehat{G}), \\
c_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j}(x, \xi) &= d'_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j} + d''_{m_1+m'_1-j-1, m_2+m'_2-j} \\
&\quad + d'''_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j-1}, \\
d'_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j} &= \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_2|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} (\Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_A(x, \xi)) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_B(x, \xi),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

$$d''_{m_1+m'_1-j-1, m_2+m'_2-j} = \sum_{|\alpha_2|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} \left(\Delta^{0, \alpha_2} \sigma_A \circ_{\xi_1} \partial^{0, \alpha_2} \sigma_B - \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq j} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} (\Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_A(x, \xi)) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_B(x, \xi) \right),$$

and

$$d'''_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j-1} = \sum_{|\alpha_1|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \left(\Delta^{\alpha_1, 0} \sigma_A \circ_{\xi_2} \partial^{\alpha_1, 0} \sigma_B - \sum_{|\alpha_2| \leq j} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} (\Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_A(x, \xi)) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_B(x, \xi) \right)$$

are such that they belong to $S^{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j}(G \times \widehat{G})$. In particular the asymptotic formula (5.1) means that, for any given $N > 0$,

$$r_N = \sigma_{AB} - \sum_{j < N} c_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j} \in S^{m_1+m'_1-N, m_2+m'_2-N}(G \times \widehat{G}).$$

Proof. Let A and B the operators above, then, by (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ABf(x) &= \int_G (Bf)(xz) R_A(x, z^{-1}) dz \\
&= \int_G f(xy^{-1}) \left(\int_G R_B(xz, yz) R_A(x, z^{-1}) dz \right) dy \\
&\stackrel{y \rightarrow y^{-1}x}{=} \int_G f(y) \left(\int_G R_B(xz, y^{-1}xz) R_A(x, z^{-1}) dz \right) dy \\
&= \int_G f(y) R_{AB}(x, y^{-1}x) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{AB}(x, y) := \int_G R_B(xz, yz) R_A(x, z^{-1}) dz.$$

Since $\sigma_{AB}(x, \xi) = \widehat{R_{AB}}(x, \xi)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{AB}(x, \xi) &= \int_G \int_G R_A(x, z^{-1}) R_B(xz, yz) \xi^*(y) dz dy \\
&= \int_G \int_G R_A(x, z^{-1}) \xi^*(z^{-1}) R_B(xz, yz) \xi^*(yz) dz dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Then we write $R_B(xz, yz) = R_B(x_1z_1, x_2z_2, y_1z_1, y_2z_2)$ and take the Taylor expansion of R_B with respect to the first variable at $z_1 = e_1$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} R_B(xz, yz) &= \sum_{|\alpha_1| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} q^{\alpha_1, 0}(z_1^{-1}, x_2z_2) \partial^{\alpha_1, 0} R_B(x_1, x_2z_2, yz) \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_1| = N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} q^{\alpha_1, 0}(z_1^{-1}, x_2z_2) (R_B)_{\alpha_1}(x_1z_1, x_2z_2, yz), \end{aligned}$$

where $q^{\alpha_1, 0}(x) = r^{\alpha_1}(x_1)$ is constant with respect to x_2 . Now, taking into account that $q^{\alpha_1, 0}(x_1, x_2)$ does not depend on the choice of the second variable and that $q^{0, \alpha_2}(x_1, x_2)$ does not depend on the choice of the first variable, we expand the previous quantity with respect to the second variable at $z_2 = e_2$ and have

$$\begin{aligned} R_B(xz, yz) &= \sum_{|\alpha_2| < N} \sum_{|\alpha_1| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z_1^{-1}, z_2^{-1}) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} R_B(x_1, x_2, yz) \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_2| = N} \sum_{|\alpha_1| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z_1^{-1}, z_2^{-1}) (\partial^{\alpha_1, 0} R_B)_{\alpha_2}(x_1, x_2, yz), \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_2| < N} \sum_{|\alpha_1| = N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z_1^{-1}, z_2^{-1}) \partial^{0, \alpha_2} (R_B)_{\alpha_1}(x_1, x_2, yz) \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_2| = N} \sum_{|\alpha_1| = N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z_1^{-1}, z_2^{-1}) (R_B)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(x_1z_1, x_2z_2, yz). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{AB}(x, \xi) &= \sum_{|\alpha_1| < N, |\alpha_2| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \int_{G \times G} \xi^*(z^{-1}) q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1}) \xi^*(yz) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} R_B(x, yz) dz dy \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_1| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \int_{G \times G} \left(q^{\alpha_1, 0}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1}) \xi^*(z^{-1}) \partial^{\alpha_1, 0} R_B(x, yz) \xi^*(yz) \right. \\ &- \sum_{|\alpha_2| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1}) \xi^*(z^{-1}) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} R_B(x, yz) \xi^*(yz) \left. \right) dz dy \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_2| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} \int_{G \times G} \left(q^{0, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1}) \xi^*(z^{-1}) \partial^{0, \alpha_2} R_B(x, yz) \xi^*(yz) \right. \\ &- \sum_{|\alpha_1| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1}) \xi^*(z^{-1}) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} R_B(x, yz) \xi^*(yz) \left. \right) dz dy \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_1| = N, |\alpha_2| = N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \int_{G \times G} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1}) \xi^*(z^{-1}) (R_B)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(xz, yz) \xi^*(yz) dz dy, \end{aligned}$$

and, rearranging the terms, get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{AB} &= \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_2|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} (\Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_A(x, \xi)) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_B(x, \xi) \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha_1|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \left((\Delta^{\alpha_1, 0} \sigma_A \circ_{\xi_2} \partial^{\alpha_1, 0} \sigma_B)(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha_2| \leq |\alpha_1|} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} (\Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_A(x, \xi)) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_B(x, \xi) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha_2|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} \left((\Delta^{0, \alpha_2} \sigma_A \circ_{\xi_1} \partial^{0, \alpha_2} \sigma_B)(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2|} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} (\Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_A(x, \xi)) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_B(x, \xi) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha_1|=N, |\alpha_2|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \int_{G \times G} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1}) \xi^*(z^{-1}) (R_B)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(xz, yz) \xi^*(yz) dz dy \\
&= \sum_{j < N} \left(d'_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j} + d'''_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j-1} + d''_{m_1+m'_1-j-1, m_2+m'_2-j} \right) + r_N.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof we only need to show that $r_N \in S^{m_1+m'_1-N, m_2+m'_2-N}(G \times \widehat{G})$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, that is, we have to check that

$$\sup_{x \in G} \|\partial^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \Delta^{\beta_1, \beta_2} r_N(x, \xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)} \lesssim \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{m_1+m'_1-|\beta_1|-N} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{m_2+m'_2-|\beta_2|-N}, \quad (5.4)$$

for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$. For simplicity we consider the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ since the general case follows similarly. We then write $\xi^*(z) = \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-s_2} (I_1 + L_{G_1})_{z_1}^{s_1} \otimes (I_2 + L_{G_2})_{z_2}^{s_2} \xi^*(z)$, with integers $s_1, s_2 \geq 1$, and have, after integrating by parts and using the fact that $(R_B)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(x, y)$ is the kernel of a symbol in $S^{m'_1, m'_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
r_N(x, \xi) &= \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-s_2} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|=N, |\alpha_2|=N \\ |\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|=2s_1 \\ |\tau_1|+|\tau_2|=2s_2}} c_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \tau_1, \tau_2} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \int_G (\partial_z^{\gamma_1, \tau_1} (q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1}))) \xi^*(z^{-1}) \\
&\times \partial_z^{\gamma_2, \tau_2} (\widehat{R_B})_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(xz, \xi) dz \\
&= \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-s_2} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|=N, |\alpha_2|=N \\ |\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|=2s_1 \\ |\tau_1|+|\tau_2|=2s_2}} c_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \tau_1, \tau_2} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \int_G (\tilde{\partial}_{z^{-1}}^{\gamma_1, \tau_1} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1})) \xi^*(z^{-1}) \\
&\times \partial_{z_1=xz}^{\gamma_2, \tau_2} (\widehat{R_B})_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z_1, \xi) dz,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we applied the relation between left-invariant and right-invariant vector fields given by $\partial^{\alpha, \beta} \{\phi(\cdot^{-1})\}(x) = (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} (\tilde{\partial}^{\alpha, \beta} \phi)(x^{-1})$ ($\tilde{\partial}$ denoting the right invariant vector field in our notation), and used the left invariance of $\partial^{\gamma_2, \tau_2}$.

The previous computations, in particular, give

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r_N(x, \xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)} &\leq C_{s_1, s_2} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|=N, |\alpha_2|=N \\ |\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|=2s_1 \\ |\tau_1|+|\tau_2|=2s_2}} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-s_2} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \int_G |\tilde{\partial}_{z^{-1}}^{\gamma_1, \tau_1} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1})| dz \\
&\times \sup_{z_1 \in G} \|\partial_{z_1}^{\gamma_2, \tau_2} (\widehat{R}_B)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z_1, \xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)} \\
&\leq C_{s_1, s_2} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|=N, |\alpha_2|=N \\ |\gamma_1|+|\gamma_2|=2s_1 \\ |\tau_1|+|\tau_2|=2s_2}} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{m'_1 - s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{m'_2 - s_2} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \int_G |\tilde{\partial}_{z^{-1}}^{\gamma_1, \tau_1} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1})| dz \\
&\times \|(\widehat{R}_B)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}\|_{S_{(2s_1, 2s_2)}^{m'_1, m'_2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now assume that N is sufficiently large, namely $N > N_0 := \max\{m_1, m_2\}$, and choose $s_1 = N - m_1$ and $s_2 = N - m_2$. In this case, by using Proposition 4.8, we obtain

$$\int_G |\tilde{\partial}_{z^{-1}}^{\gamma_1, \tau_1} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z^{-1}) R_A(x, z^{-1})| dz \lesssim \|\tilde{\partial}^{\gamma_1, \tau_1} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma_A\|_{S^{m_1-N, m_2-N}} \leq \|\sigma_A\|_{S_{(N, N), (2(N-m_1), 2(N-m_2))}^{m_1-N, m_2-N}},$$

and, consequently,

$$\|r_N(x, \xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)} \lesssim \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{m_1+m'_1-N} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{m_2+m'_2-N}, \quad \forall N > N_0,$$

which proves (5.4) for every $N > N_0$ when $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$. By using similar arguments together with the Leibniz formula one proves (5.4) in the general form (possibly with a different N_0), which, in particular, gives that $r_N \in S^{m_1+m'_1-N, m_2+m'_2-N}$ for every $N > N_0$.

We are now left with proving that $r_N \in S^{m_1+m'_1-N, m_2+m'_2-N}$ for every $N \leq N_0$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
r_N(x, \xi) &= \sigma_{AB}(x, \xi) - \sum_{j < N} c_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j}(x, \xi) \\
&= \sigma_{AB}(x, \xi) - \sum_{j < N_0+1} c_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j}(x, \xi) + \sum_{N \leq j < N_0+1} c_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j}(x, \xi) \\
&= r_{N_0+1}(x, \xi) + \sum_{N \leq j < N_0+1} c_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j}(x, \xi),
\end{aligned}$$

therefore, since

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{N_0+1} &\in S^{m_1+m'_1-N_0-1, m_2+m'_2-N_0-1}, \\
\sum_{N \leq j < N_0+1} c_{m_1+m'_1-j, m_2+m'_2-j} &\in S^{m_1+m'_1-N, m_2+m'_2-N},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$S^{m_1+m'_1-N_0-1, m_2+m'_2-N_0-1} \subset S^{m_1+m'_1-N, m_2+m'_2-N},$$

we finally get that $r_N \in S^{m_1+m'_1-N, m_2+m'_2-N}$ for every $N \leq N_0$. This concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\sigma \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$, then the symbol of the operator $\text{Op}(\sigma)^*$, denoted by σ^* , is asymptotically given by*

$$\sigma^*(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} c_{m_1-j, m_2-j}(x, \xi), \quad (5.5)$$

where $c_{m_1-j, m_2-j} \in S^{m_1-j, m_2-j}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and

$$c_{m_1-j, m_2-j}(x, \xi) = d'_{m_1-j, m_2-j} + d''_{m_1-j-1, m_2-j} + d'''_{m_1-j, m_2-j-1},$$

with, using the notations in (3.6) and (3.7) for $\sigma^{*1}(x, \xi)$ and $\sigma^{*2}(x, \xi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} d'_{m_1-j, m_2-j} &= \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_2|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_1} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma(x, \xi)^*, \\ d''_{m_1-j-1, m_2-j} &= \sum_{|\alpha_1|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \left(\Delta^{\alpha_1, 0} \partial^{0, \alpha_1} \sigma^{*1}(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha_2| \leq |\alpha_1|} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma(x, \xi)^* \right), \\ d'''_{m_1-j, m_2-j-1} &= \sum_{|\alpha_2| < j} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} \left(\Delta^{0, \alpha_2} \partial^{0, \alpha_2} \sigma^{*2}(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2|} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma(x, \xi)^* \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

belonging to $S^{m_1-j, m_2-j}(G \times \widehat{G})$. In particular the asymptotic formula (5.5) means that, for any $N > 0$,

$$r_N = \sigma^* - \sum_{j < N} c_{m_1-j, m_2-j} \in S^{m_1-N, m_2-N}(G \times \widehat{G}).$$

Proof. The strategy here is similar to the one used for the asymptotic composition formula. Notice that, since the kernel of $\sigma^*(x, D)$ satisfies $k_{\sigma^*}(x, v) = \overline{k_{\sigma}(xv^{-1}, v^{-1})}$, by taking the Fourier transform in the second variable we have

$$\sigma^*(x, \xi) = \int_G \overline{k_{\sigma}(xv^{-1}, v^{-1})} \xi_1^*(v_1) \otimes \xi_2^*(v_2) dv.$$

We now expand $\overline{k_{\sigma}(xv^{-1}, v^{-1})} = \overline{k_{\sigma}(x_1 v_1^{-1}, x_2 v_2^{-1}, v^{-1})}$ in the first variable at $v_1 = e_1$, and, afterwards, in the second variable at $v_2 = e_2$, and get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{k_{\sigma}(xv^{-1}, v^{-1})} &= \sum_{|\alpha_1| < N, |\alpha_2| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}(v) \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \overline{k_{\sigma}(x, v^{-1})} \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_1| < N, |\alpha_2| = N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(v) \overline{(\partial^{\alpha_1, 0} k_{\sigma})_{\alpha_2}(x_1, x_2 v_2^{-1}, v^{-1})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{|\alpha_1|=N, |\alpha_2|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(v) \overline{(\partial^{0, \alpha_2}(k_\sigma)_{\alpha_1})(x_1 v_1^{-1}, x_2, v^{-1})} \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha_1|=N, |\alpha_2|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(v) \overline{(k_\sigma)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(x_1 v_1^{-1}, x_2 v_2^{-1}, v^{-1})}. \\
& = I + II + III + IV.
\end{aligned}$$

Now observe that for II we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II & = \sum_{|\alpha_1|<N, |\alpha_2|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(v) \overline{(\partial^{\alpha_1, 0} k_\sigma)_{\alpha_2}(x_1, x_2 v_2^{-1}, v^{-1})} \\
& = \sum_{|\alpha_1|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \left(q^{\alpha_1}(v_1) \overline{\partial^{\alpha_1, 0} k_\sigma(x_1, x_2 v_2^{-1}, v^{-1})} - \sum_{|\alpha_2|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} q^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(v) \overline{\partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} k_\sigma(x_1, x_2, v^{-1})} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that II (by the calculus introduced in [13]) is the kernel of the pseudodifferential operator with symbol

$$\sum_{|\alpha_1|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \left(\Delta^{\alpha_1, 0} \partial^{\alpha_1, 0} \sigma^{*2}(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha_2|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma(x, \xi)^* \right).$$

For the term III with similar arguments one concludes that III is the kernel of

$$\sum_{|\alpha_2|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} \left(\Delta^{0, \alpha_2} \partial^{0, \alpha_2} \sigma^{*1}(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha_1|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma(x, \xi)^* \right).$$

For the term I it is immediate to see that it is the kernel of the operator whose symbol is given by

$$\sum_{|\alpha_1|<N, |\alpha_2|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_1} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma(x, \xi)^*.$$

Therefore, putting together the properties above and rearranging the terms, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^*(x, \xi) & \sim \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_2|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_1} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma(x, \xi)^* \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha_1|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \left(\Delta^{\alpha_1, 0} \partial^{\alpha_1, 0} \sigma^{*1}(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha_2| \leq |\alpha_1|} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma(x, \xi)^* \right) \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha_2|<N} \frac{1}{\alpha_2!} \left(\Delta^{0, \alpha_2} \partial^{0, \alpha_2} \sigma^{*2}(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2|} \frac{1}{\alpha_1!} \Delta^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sigma(x, \xi)^* \right) \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha_1|=N, |\alpha_2|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} \int q_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(v) \overline{(k_\sigma)_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(x_1 v_1^{-1}, x_2 v_2^{-1}, v^{-1})} (\xi_1^*(v_1) \otimes \xi_2^*(v_2)) dv \\
& = \sum_{j<N} (d'_{m_1-j, m_2-j} + d''_{m_1-j-1, m_2-j} + d'''_{m_1-j, m_2-j-1}) + r_N.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof it remains to show that $r_N \in S^{m_1-N, m_2-N}(G \times \widehat{G})$ which follows by arguments similar to those used in Theorem 5.1. This concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.3 (Asymptotic expansion). *Let σ_j be a sequence of symbols in $S^{m'_j, m''_j}(G \times \widehat{G})$ with m'_j, m''_j decreasing to $-\infty$. Then there exists $\sigma \in S^{m'_0, m''_0}(G \times \widehat{G})$, unique modulo $S^{-\infty, -\infty}$, such that*

$$\sigma - \sum_{j=0}^M \sigma_j \in S^{m'_{M+1}, m''_{M+1}}(G \times \widehat{G}), \quad \forall M \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. Let $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; [0, 1])$ be such that $\psi \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, 1/2)$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ on $(1, \infty)$. Then, by Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 4.1, we have that, for any given $\tilde{m}_1, \tilde{m}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta^{\alpha, \beta} \partial^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \sigma_j(x, \xi) \psi(t_1 \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_2 \lambda_{\xi_2})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq 2|\alpha| \\ |\beta| \leq |\beta_1| + |\beta_2| \leq 2|\beta|}} \|\Delta^{\alpha_1, \beta_1} \partial^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \sigma_j(x, \xi) \Delta^{\alpha_2, \beta_2} \psi(t_1 \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_2 \lambda_{\xi_2})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)} \\ & \lesssim \|\sigma_j\|_{S_{(2\alpha, 2\beta), \gamma}^{m'_j, m''_j}} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq 2|\alpha| \\ |\beta| \leq |\beta_1| + |\beta_2| \leq 2|\beta|}} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{m'_j - |\alpha_1|} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{m''_j - |\alpha_2|} t_1^{\tilde{m}_1/2} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\tilde{m}_1 - |\alpha_1|} t_2^{\tilde{m}_2/2} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\tilde{m}_2 - |\beta_2|}. \end{aligned}$$

We then choose $\tilde{m}_1 = m'_0 - m'_j$ and $\tilde{m}_2 = m''_0 - m''_j$ and get

$$\|\Delta^{\alpha, \beta} \partial^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \sigma_j(x, \xi) \psi(t_1 \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_2 \lambda_{\xi_2})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\xi)} \lesssim \|\sigma_j\|_{S_{(|2\alpha|, |2\beta|), (|\gamma_1|, |\gamma_2|)}^{m'_j, m''_j}} t_1^{\frac{m'_0 - m'_j}{2}} t_2^{\frac{m''_0 - m''_j}{2}} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{m'_0 - |\alpha|} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{m''_0 - |\beta|},$$

which, in particular, gives that for any given $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$ and $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\|\sigma_j(x, \xi) \psi(t_1 \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_2 \lambda_{\xi_2})\|_{S_{a,b}^{m'_0, m''_0}} \leq C_{a,b,m'_0,m''_0,\sigma_j} t_1^{\frac{m'_0 - m'_j}{2}} t_2^{\frac{m''_0 - m''_j}{2}}.$$

We now choose a decreasing sequence t_j such that

$$t_j \in (0, 2^{-j}) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{(j,j),(j,j),m'_0,m''_0,\sigma_j} t_j^{\frac{m'_0 - m'_j}{2}} t_j^{\frac{m''_0 - m''_j}{2}} \leq 2^{-j},$$

and define $\tilde{\sigma}_j(x, \xi) := \sigma_j(x, \xi) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_2})$. By using the properties above we get, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\tilde{\sigma}_j\|_{S_{(\ell,\ell),(\ell,\ell)}^{m'_0, m''_0}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \|\tilde{\sigma}_j\|_{S_{(\ell,\ell),(\ell,\ell)}^{m'_0, m''_0}} + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} < \infty,$$

which implies that $\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_j(x, \xi) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_2}) \in S^{m'_0, m''_0}(G \times \widehat{G})$, and, consequently, by taking the sum for $j \geq M$, also that $\sum_{j=M}^{\infty} \sigma_j(x, \xi) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_2}) \in S^{m'_M, m''_M}(G \times \widehat{G})$

for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$. We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma - \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \sigma_j &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_j(x, \xi) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_2}) - \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left(1 - \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_2}) + \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_2}) \right) \sigma_j \\ &= - \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left(1 - \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_2}) \right) \sigma_j + \sum_{j=M}^{\infty} \tilde{\sigma}_j \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

belongs to $S^{m'_M, m''_M}(G \times \widehat{G})$, since, by Proposition 4.1, $1 - \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_1}) \psi(t_j \lambda_{\xi_2})$ is smoothing. In order to conclude the proof, we just have to show that σ is unique up to smoothing operators. This last property easily follows by observing that, if τ is another symbol with the same asymptotic expansion as σ , then, for any given $M \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sigma - \tau = \left(\sigma - \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \sigma_j \right) - \left(\tau - \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \sigma_j \right) \in S^{m'_M, m''_M}(G \times \widehat{G}),$$

which, finally, shows that $\sigma = \tau$ modulo $S^{-\infty, -\infty}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and proves the result. \square

We will now introduce the definition of bielliptic operators and derive, for these objects, the existence of biperametrics.

Definition 5.4. Let $a \in S^{m_1, m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $A = \text{Op}(a) \in L^{m_1, m_2}(G)$. We say that A is bielliptic if

- (i) $a(x, \xi)$ is invertible for all but finitely many $[\xi] \in \widehat{G}$ and, for such ξ , its inverse $a(x, \xi)^{-1}$ satisfies

$$\|a(x, \xi)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_\xi)} \leq \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-m_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{-m_2};$$

- (ii) $a(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2)$ is exactly invertible as an operator in $L^{m_1}(G_1)$ for all $(x_2, \xi_2) \in G_2 \times \widehat{G}_2$ with inverse in $L^{-m_1}(G_1)$, and, in particular,

$$(a \circ_1 a^{-1})(x_1, x_2, D_1, \xi_2) = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}'(G_1)};$$

- (iii) $a(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2)$ is exactly invertible as an operator in $L^{m_2}(G_2)$ for all $(x_2, \xi_2) \in G_2 \times \widehat{G}_2$ with inverse in $L^{-m_2}(G_2)$, and, in particular,

$$(a \circ_2 a^{-1})(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, D_2) = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}'(G_2)}.$$

Theorem 5.5. Let $A \in L^{m_1, m_2}(G)$ be bielliptic. Then there exists $B \in L^{-m_1, -m_2}(G)$ such that

$$AB = I + K_1,$$

$$BA = I + K_2,$$

where $I := \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}'(G)}$ is the identity map and K_1, K_2 are smoothing bisingular operators.

Proof. We start with the proof of the first assertion, namely, the existence of B such that $AB = I + K_1$, with K_1 smoothing.

First observe that, by definition of biellipticity, one has that $a^{-1} \in S^{-m_1, -m_2}(G \times \widehat{G})$. Then, by taking $b_0(x, \xi) = a(x, \xi)^{-1}$ and by using the asymptotic composition formula together with (ii) and (iii) of Definition 5.4, we have that $a \# b_0 = \mathbf{1} - r_1$, with $r_1 \in S^{-1, -1}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $\mathbf{1}(\xi) = I_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\xi}}$. We now define $b_j := b_0 \# r_j$, with $r_j := r_1 \# r_{j-1} \in S^{-j, -j}(G \times \widehat{G})$ for $j \geq 2$, and have $a \# b_j = (\mathbf{1} - r_1) \# r_j$. Then, for $b \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j$, we obtain, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} a \# \sum_{j < k} b_j &= (\mathbf{1} - r_1) \# \left(\mathbf{1} + \sum_{0 < j < k} r_j \right) \\ &= \mathbf{1} + \sum_{0 < j < k} r_j - r_1 - r_1 + r_1 \# \sum_{0 < j < k} r_j = \mathbf{1} - r_k, \end{aligned}$$

where, recall, $r_k \in S^{-k, -k}(G \times \widehat{G})$. This, finally, gives that

$$a \# b - \mathbf{1} \in S^{-\infty, \infty}(G \times \widehat{G}),$$

which proves the first assertion.

In order to prove the existence of a left parametrix B , that is such that $BA = I + K_2$, with K_2 smoothing, one proceeds as before, namely, one takes $b_0 = a^{-1}$ and defines $b_0 \# a - \mathbf{1} = -s_1 \in S^{-1, -1}(G \times \widehat{G})$ and $s_j := s_{j-1} \# s_1$ for all $j \geq 2$. Then, taking $b_j := s_j \# b_0$, the result follows for $b \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j$. This concludes the proof. \square

APPENDIX A.

Lemma A.1. *Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ be a compact Lie group, with G_i , $i = 1, 2$, being a compact Lie group of dimension $n_i = \dim(G_i)$, and let also $q \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following properties are equivalent*

1. *For all $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{N}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{N}^{n_2}$, with $|\alpha_i| < a_i$, then $\partial^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} q(e_G) = 0$, that is, q vanishes of order $(a_1 - 1, a_2 - 1)$ at e_G .*
2. *For any given differential operator $D^{k_1, k_2} := D_1^{k_1} D_2^{k_2} \in \text{Diff}^{k_1 + k_2}(G)$, $D_j^{k_j} \in \text{Diff}^{k_j}(G_j)$, such that $k_i < a_i$, we have $D^{k_1, k_2} q(e_G) = 0$.*
3. *There exists a constant C_q such that, for all $x \in G$, we have $q(x) \leq C_q |x_1|^{a_1} |x_2|^{a_2}$.*

Lemma A.1, whose proof is left to the reader, gives a notion of vanishing order of a function suitable in our setting, where, in particular, the vanishing order with respect to each variable is considered. For the standard (non adapted to the bisingular case) notion of vanishing order of a function see Lemma A.1 in [5].

Proposition A.2. *Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. For any given function $q \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ vanishing of order $(a_1 - 1, a_2 - 1)$ at e_G , there exists $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that, for all $f \in C^{d_1}([0, +\infty); C^{d_2}[0, +\infty))$ satisfying*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, d_1, d_2}} := \sup_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0, \ell_1 = 0, \dots, d_1, \ell_2 = 0, \dots, d_2} (1 + \lambda_1)^{-m_1 + \ell_1} (1 + \lambda_2)^{-m_2 + \ell_2} |\partial_{\lambda_1}^{\ell_1} \partial_{\lambda_2}^{\ell_2} f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)| < \infty,$$

we have

$$\|\Delta_q f(t_1 \lambda_{\xi_1}, t_2 \lambda_{\xi_2})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq C t_1^{m_1/2} t_2^{m_2/2} (1 + \lambda_{\xi_1})^{\frac{m_1 - a_1}{2}} (1 + \lambda_{\xi_2})^{\frac{m_2 - a_2}{2}}, \quad \forall \pi \in \widehat{G}, \quad t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1).$$

The constant C may be chosen as $C' \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, d_1, d_2}}$, with $C' = C'(m_1, m_2, q, a_1, a_2)$ also depending on the group G but not on f, t_1, t_2 and $\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$.

The proof of the proposition is done following that of [5] and is also left to the reader.

Lemma A.3. *Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ be such that $\dim(G_1) = n_1$. If $s_1 > n_1/2, s_2 > n_2/2$, then the kernel \mathcal{B}_{s_1, s_2} of the operator $(I_1 + L_{G_1})^{-s_1/2} \otimes (I_2 + L_{G_2})^{-s_2/2}$ is square integrable and the continuous inclusion $H^{s_1, s_2}(G) \subset C(G)$ holds.*

Sketch of the proof of Lemma A.3. Notice that

$$B_{s_2, s_2}(x, y) = B_{s_1}(x_1, y_1) \otimes B_{s_2}(x_2, y_2)$$

where $B_{s_j}(x_j, y_j)$, defined on $G_j \times G_j$, is the kernel of the operator $(I_j + L_{G_j})^{-s_j}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then (see Lemma A.5 in [5]), we have

$$B_{s_j} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_j/2)} \int_{t_j=0}^{\infty} t_j^{s_j/2-1} e^{-t_j} p_{t_j}^{(j)} dt_j,$$

where

$$p_{t_j}^{(j)} := e^{-t_j \Delta_j} \delta_{e_{G_j}}, \quad t_j > 0,$$

and Γ is the gamma function. Since (see Lemma A.5 in [5]) for $s_j > n_j/2$

$$\|B_{s_j}\|_{L^2(G_j)} < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

we have

$$\|B_s\|_{L^2(G)} = \|B_{s_1}\|_{L^2(G_1)} \|B_{s_2}\|_{L^2(G_2)} < \infty.$$

Finally the Sobolev embedding will follow from the fact that one can write f as

$$f = \{((I_1 + L_{G_1})^{-s_1/2} \otimes (I_2 + L_{G_2})^{-s_2/2}) f\} * B_s,$$

for all $f \in H^{s_1, s_2}(G)$ with $s_1 > n_1/2$ and $s_2 > n_2/2$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] U. Battisti, T. Gramchev, L. Rodino, and S. Pilipović, *Globally bisingular elliptic operators*, Operator theory, pseudo-differential equations, and mathematical physics, 2013, pp. 21–38. MR3025488
- [2] Massimo Borsero and René Schulz, *Microlocal properties of bisingular operators*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **5** (2014), no. 1, 43–67. MR3170600
- [3] R. V. Dudučava, *On the index of bisingular integral operators. I*, Math. Nachr. **91** (1979), 431–460. MR563629
- [4] ———, *On the index of bisingular integral operators. II*, Math. Nachr. **92** (1979), 289–307. MR563594
- [5] Véronique Fischer, *Intrinsic pseudo-differential calculi on any compact Lie group*, J. Funct. Anal. **268** (2015), no. 11, 3404–3477. MR3336729
- [6] Lars Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), no. 1-2, 79–183. MR388463
- [7] Richard Melrose and Frédéric Rochon, *Index in K -theory for families of fibred cusp operators*, *K*-Theory **37** (2006), no. 1-2, 25–104. MR2274670
- [8] Fabio Nicola and Luigi Rodino, *Residues and index for bisingular operators*, *C**-algebras and elliptic theory, 2006, pp. 187–202. MR2276920
- [9] V. S. Pilidi, *Multidimensional bisingular operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **201** (1971), 787–789. MR0301578
- [10] ———, *Computation of the index of a bisingular operator*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **7** (1973), no. 4, 93–94. MR0333847
- [11] V. S. Rabinovič, *A priori estimates and the Fredholm property of a certain class of pseudodifferential operators*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **92(134)** (1973), 195–208, 343. MR0333848
- [12] Luigi Rodino, *A class of pseudo differential operators on the product of two manifolds and applications*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze **Ser. 4, 2** (1975), no. 2, 287–302 (en). MR397800
- [13] Michael Ruzhansky and Ville Turunen, *Pseudo-differential operators and symmetries*, Pseudo-Differential Operators. Theory and Applications, vol. 2, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010. Background analysis and advanced topics. MR2567604
- [14] Michael Ruzhansky, Ville Turunen, and Jens Wirth, *Hörmander class of pseudo-differential operators on compact Lie groups and global hypoellipticity*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **20** (2014), no. 3, 476–499. MR3217484
- [15] I. B. Simonenko, *On the question of the solvability of bisingular and polysingular equations*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen **5** (1971), no. 1, 93–94. MR0282170

SERENA FEDERICO:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS LOGIC AND DISCRETE

MATHEMATICS

GHENT UNIVERSITY

KRIJGSLAAN 281, GHENT, B 9000

BELGIUM

E-mail address serena.federico@ugent.be

ALBERTO PARMEGGIANI:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF BOLOGNA
PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO 5, 40126 BOLOGNA
ITALY
E-mail address alberto.parmeggiani@unibo.it