

WIDOM FACTORS FOR GENERALIZED JACOBI MEASURES

GÖKALP ALPAN

ABSTRACT. We study optimal lower and upper bounds for Widom factors $W_{\infty,n}(K, w)$ associated with Chebyshev polynomials for the weights $w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}$ and $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$ on compact subsets of $[-1, 1]$. We show which sets saturate these bounds. We consider Widom factors $W_{2,n}(\mu)$ for $L_2(\mu)$ extremal polynomials for measures of the form $d\mu(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta d\mu_K(x)$ where $\alpha + \beta \geq 1$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and μ_K is the equilibrium measure of a compact regular set K in $[-1, 1]$ with $\pm 1 \in K$. We show that for such measures the improved lower bound (which was first studied in [4]) $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 \geq 2S(\mu)$ holds. For the special cases $d\mu(x) = (1-x^2)d\mu_K(x)$, $d\mu(x) = (1-x)d\mu_K(x)$, $d\mu(x) = (1+x)d\mu_K(x)$ we determine which sets saturate this lower bound and discuss how saturated lower bounds for $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2$ and $W_{\infty,n}(K, w)$ are related.

1. INTRODUCTION

For the basic notions of potential theory, see [23, 24]. Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{R} . We denote the logarithmic capacity of K by $\text{Cap}(K)$. Let $\text{Cap}(K) > 0$ and let us denote the equilibrium measure of K by μ_K . The Green function for the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is given by

$$g_K(z) = -\log \text{Cap}(K) + \int \log |z - \zeta| d\mu_K(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let μ be a finite (positive) Borel measure with $\text{supp}(\mu) = K$ and let us consider the Lebesgue decomposition of μ with respect to μ_K :

$$d\mu = f d\mu_K + d\mu_s.$$

We define exponential relative entropy function for μ by

$$S(\mu) := \exp \left[\int \log f(x) d\mu_K(x) \right]. \quad (1.2)$$

We use $\|\cdot\|_K$ to denote the sup-norm on K . Let w be a weight function (non-negative and continuous on K and positive on a non-polar subset of K) on K . Then

Date: January 13, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 41A17; Secondary 41A44, 42C05, 33C45.

Key words and phrases. Widom factors, Chebyshev polynomials, orthogonal polynomials, Jacobi polynomials, extremal polynomials.

n -th Chebyshev polynomial with respect to w is the minimizer of $\|wP_n\|_K$ over all monic polynomials P_n of degree n and we denote it by $T_{n,w}^{(K)}$. Let

$$t_n(K, w) := \|wT_{n,w}^{(K)}\|_K. \quad (1.3)$$

We define n -th Widom factor for the sup norm with respect to weight w on K by

$$W_{\infty,n}(K, w) := \frac{t_n(K, w)}{\text{Cap}(K)^n}. \quad (1.4)$$

For the weight $w \equiv 1$, we drop w and use the notation $T_n^{(K)}$, $t_n(K)$ and $W_{\infty,n}(K)$ respectively.

For a finite (positive) Borel measure μ with $\text{supp}(\mu) = K$, n -th monic orthogonal polynomial for μ is the minimizer of $\|P_n\|_{L_2(\mu)}$ over all monic polynomials of degree n and we denote it by $P_n(\cdot; \mu)$. We define n -th Widom factor for μ by

$$W_{2,n}(\mu) := \frac{\|P_n(\cdot; \mu)\|_{L_2(\mu)}}{\text{Cap}(K)^n}. \quad (1.5)$$

For a deeper discussion of Widom factors we refer the reader to [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32].

For a regular (with respect to the Dirichlet problem) compact subset K of \mathbb{R} , let $a = \inf K$, $b = \sup K$. Then K can be written as

$$K = [a, b] \setminus \cup_j I_j, \quad (1.6)$$

where the I_j 's are open disjoint intervals in (a, b) that are bounded components of the complement of K in \mathbb{R} . Let c_j be the critical point of g_K in I_j . Then K is called a Parreau-Widom set if

$$\text{PW}(K) := \sum_j g_K(c_j) < \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

For the weight $w(x) \equiv 1$, the lower and upper bounds for $W_{\infty,n}(K, w)$ were studied in [11, 25, 29] and the final version of these results can be found in [12, eq.s (1.4), (4.1), Theorem 1.1, Theorem 4.3]. Optimal lower and upper bounds of $W_{\infty,n}(K, w)$ for $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$ have been studied in [26, Theorem 3]. Our first result (see also Theorem 2.1 for $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$) is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be a compact non-polar subset of $[-1, 1]$ and*

$$w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}. \quad (1.8)$$

Then

(i) *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$W_{\infty,n}(K, w) \geq 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}. \quad (1.9)$$

Equality is attained in (1.9) if and only if there exists a polynomial S_n of degree n such that

$$K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1+z)S_n^2(z) \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (1.10)$$

(ii) In addition, let us assume that K is a regular Parreau-Widom set. Then

$$W_{\infty,n}(K, w) \leq 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}e^{(1/2)g_K(-1)+\text{PW}(K)}. \quad (1.11)$$

Equality is attained in (1.11) if and only if $K = [-1, b]$ for some $b \in (-1, 1]$.

By [2, Theorem 1.2] (see also [4, Theorem 2.1]) for any finite Borel measure μ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the universal lower bound

$$[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 \geq S(\mu) \quad (1.12)$$

holds. Besides, for any compact non-polar set K in \mathbb{R} and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\inf_{\mu} [W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 / S(\mu) = 1 \quad (1.13)$$

where the infimum is taken over measures $d\mu(x) = w(x) d\mu_K(x)$ with a polynomial $w(x)$ positive on K , see [5, Theorem 2.2]. On the other hand, we can single out some measures (known examples from Sections 3-5 in [4] are μ_K when $K \subset \mathbb{R}$, isospectral torus of a finite gap set, Jacobi measures for certain parameters) such that the improved lower bound

$$[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 \geq 2S(\mu) \quad (1.14)$$

holds for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In our second main result, we show that for another family of measures, (1.14) is satisfied.

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be a regular compact set such that $K \subset [-1, 1]$ and $\pm 1 \in K$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\alpha + \beta \geq 1$ and*

$$d\mu(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta d\mu_K(x). \quad (1.15)$$

Then

$$[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 \geq 2S(\mu). \quad (1.16)$$

Note that $(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta d\mu_{[-1,1]} = \frac{1}{\pi}(1-x)^{\alpha-1/2}(1+x)^{\beta-1/2}dx \upharpoonright_{[-1,1]}$. So these measures are indeed Jacobi measures when $K = [-1, 1]$ and the measures of the form (1.15) can be considered as generalized Jacobi measures. The four cases $\alpha = 0, 1, \beta = 0, 1$ are of special importance and we have the following results (see [18, Sections 3.3 and 4.2], [4, Section 4]):

Theorem 1.3. *Let T_n, U_n, V_n, W_n be Chebyshev polynomials of the first, second, third and fourth kinds respectively, that is $T_n(x) = \cos(n\theta)$, $U_n(x) = \sin((n+1)\theta)/\sin(\theta)$, $V_n(x) = \cos((n+1/2)\theta)/\cos(\theta/2)$,*

$W_n(x) = \sin((n+1/2)\theta)/\sin(\theta/2)$ for $x = \cos \theta$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. Let $K = [-1, 1]$. Then

$$T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x) = \frac{T_n(x)}{2^{n-1}} \text{ for } w(x) = 1, \quad (1.17)$$

$$T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x) = \frac{U_n(x)}{2^n} \text{ for } w(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}, \quad (1.18)$$

$$T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x) = \frac{V_n(x)}{2^n} \text{ for } w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}, \quad (1.19)$$

$$T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x) = \frac{W_n(x)}{2^n} \text{ for } w(x) = \sqrt{1-x} \quad (1.20)$$

and $P_n(x; w^2 d\mu_K) = T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x)$ for the cases $w(x) = 1$, $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$, $w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}$, $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$. For each of these four cases

$$[W_{2,n}(w^2 d\mu_K)]^2 = 2S(w^2 d\mu_K) \quad (1.21)$$

is satisfied for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we also have

$$W_{\infty,n}(K, w) = 2 \text{ for } w(x) = 1, \quad (1.22)$$

$$W_{\infty,n}(K, w) = 1 \text{ for } w(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}, \quad (1.23)$$

$$W_{\infty,n}(K, w) = \sqrt{2} \text{ for } w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}, \quad (1.24)$$

$$W_{\infty,n}(K, w) = \sqrt{2} \text{ for } w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}. \quad (1.25)$$

In the next theorem, we determine the sets for which equality is attained in (1.14) for $d\mu = w^2 d\mu_K$ for the functions $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$, $w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}$, $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$. Indeed, we see that for each of these three cases, $W_{\infty,n}(K, w)$ and $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2$ realize their theoretical lower bounds simultaneously.

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be a regular compact set such that $K \subset [-1, 1]$, $\pm 1 \in K$.*

(i) *Let*

$$d\mu(x) = (1-x^2)d\mu_K(x) \quad (1.26)$$

and

$$w(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}. \quad (1.27)$$

Then $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 = 2S(\mu)$ if and only if

$$K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1-z^2)S_n^2(z) \in [0, 1]\} \quad (1.28)$$

for some polynomial S_n of degree n with leading coefficient c .

(ii) *Let*

$$d\mu(x) = (1+x)d\mu_K(x) \quad (1.29)$$

and

$$w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}. \quad (1.30)$$

Then $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 = 2S(\mu)$ if and only if

$$K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1+z)S_n^2(z) \in [0, 1]\} \quad (1.31)$$

for some polynomial S_n of degree n with leading coefficient c .

(iii) Let

$$d\mu(x) = (1-x)d\mu_K(x) \quad (1.32)$$

and

$$w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}. \quad (1.33)$$

Then $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 = 2S(\mu)$ if and only if

$$K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1-z)S_n^2(z) \in [0, 1]\} \quad (1.34)$$

for some polynomial S_n of degree n with leading coefficient c .

In all three cases, $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 = 2S(\mu)$ implies

$$P_n(x; \mu) = \frac{S_n(x)}{c} = T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x). \quad (1.35)$$

Remark. Analogous results for $w(x) \equiv 1$ can be found in [5, Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 4.5]). Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.4 for $K = [-1, 1]$, [26, Theorem 3] and [5, Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 4.5]) together imply Theorem 1.3.

The plan for the rest of the paper is as follows. We prove Theorem 1.1, Theorem 2.1 in Section 2 and prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 in Section 3. We also show that a more general version of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 hold in Section 3.

2. CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS ON SUBSETS OF $[-1, 1]$ FOR WEIGHT FUNCTIONS

$$w(x) = \sqrt{1+x} \text{ AND } w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$$

Let Q be a polynomial with leading coefficient c and $\deg Q = N \geq 2$ such that $K := Q^{-1}([a, b]) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : Q(z) \in [a, b]\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. Let $\mathcal{T}(x) = \frac{2Q(x)-a-b}{b-a}$. Then $\mathcal{T}^{-1}([-1, 1]) = K$, $\deg \mathcal{T} = N$. In view of [15, Lemma 1, Theorem 11] and [21, Corollary 2.3.], there are N closed intervals E_1, \dots, E_N such that $E_k \cap E_j$ contains at most one point for any $j \neq k$ and $Q(E_j) = [a, b]$, $\mathcal{T}(E_j) = [-1, 1]$. Here,

$$\mu_K(E_j) = 1/N \quad (2.1)$$

and \mathcal{T} has real simple zeros and real coefficients and thus Q is also a real polynomial and in particular $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the rest of this section we assume that K is a non-polar compact subset of $[-1, 1]$. We consider Chebyshev polynomials on K with respect to the weights $w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}$ and $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$. We focus on $w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}$ and the results on $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$ follow immediately by symmetry about 0. Let

$$w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}. \quad (2.2)$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $n + 1$ points (not necessarily unique)

$$x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n, \quad (2.3)$$

$x_k \in K$, $k = 0, \dots, n$ such that

$$w(x_k)T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x_k) = (-1)^{n-k} \|wT_{n,w}^{(K)}\|_K \quad (2.4)$$

is satisfied, see e.g. Section 4.2 in [17]. It is easy to see that the zeros of $T_{n,w}^{(K)}$ are real, let us denote them by $y_1 < \cdots < y_n$. Let $y_0 := -1$. Then by the intermediate value theorem, (2.3), (2.4) we get

$$-1 = y_0 < x_0 < y_1 < x_1 < \cdots < y_n < x_n \leq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Let us consider the polynomial

$$Q_{2n+1}(x) := (w(x)T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x))^2 = (1+x)(T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x))^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Then it follows from (2.5) that each point in the interval $(0, t_n(K, w)^2)$ has $2n + 1$ distinct preimages of Q_{2n+1} in (y_k, x_k) , $k = 0, \dots, n$ and in (x_k, y_{k+1}) , $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$. Thus

$$K_n := Q_{2n+1}^{-1}([0, t_n(K, w)^2]) \subset [-1, 1], \quad (2.7)$$

$$K \subset K_n \quad (2.8)$$

and K_n is a union of finitely many closed intervals. In view of (2.1), we have

$$\mu_{K_n}([y_k, x_k]) = \mu_{K_n}([x_j, y_{j+1}]) = \frac{1}{2n+1}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n \text{ and } j = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.9)$$

Since the leading coefficient of Q_{2n+1} is 1, by [23, Theorem 5.2.5],

$$\text{Cap}(K_n)^{2n+1} = \frac{t_n(K, w)^2}{4}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let Q_{2n+1} be as in (2.6), K_n be as in (2.7) and x_k, y_k be as described above and so satisfy (2.3), (2.4), (2.5).

(i) Since $K \subset K_n$, it follows from (2.10) that

$$\frac{t_n(K, w)^2}{4} = \text{Cap}(K_n)^{2n+1} \geq \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} \quad (2.11)$$

and thus (1.9) holds.

Let us assume that equality is satisfied in (1.9). Then by (2.11), $\text{Cap}(K) = \text{Cap}(K_n)$ and by [26, Lemma 13], $K = K_n$. If we let $S_n = T_{n,w}^{(K)}/t_n(K, w)$ then (1.10) is satisfied.

Conversely let us assume that (1.10) is satisfied and let c be the leading coefficient of S_n and define $R_n = S_n/c$. Then

$$\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} = \frac{1}{4|c|^2} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\|wR_n\|_K = \frac{1}{|c|}. \quad (2.13)$$

Combining (2.12), (2.13) we get

$$W_{\infty,n}(K, w) \leq 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}. \quad (2.14)$$

Since (1.9) is satisfied this implies equality in (1.9).

(ii) Note that (see [26, Lemma 13])

$$\log \left(\frac{\text{Cap}(K_n)}{\text{Cap}(K)} \right) = \int_{K_n \setminus K} g_K(x) d\mu_{K_n}(x) \leq \int_{[-1,1] \setminus K} g_K(x) d\mu_{K_n}(x). \quad (2.15)$$

Let $a = \inf K$ and $b = \sup K$ and

$$[-1, 1] \setminus K = [-1, a) \cup (b, 1] \cup_j I_j \quad (2.16)$$

where the I_j 's are open disjoint intervals that are connected components of $[-1, 1] \setminus K$. Since $[-1, a) \cap K_n \subset [y_0, x_0]$ and $\sup_{x \in [-1, a)} g_K(x) = g_K(-1)$, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\int_{[-1, a)} g_K(x) d\mu_{K_n}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2n+1} g_K(-1). \quad (2.17)$$

Since Q_{2n+1} is strictly increasing on $[y_n, 1]$, $b = x_n$. Thus $(b, 1] \cap K_n = \emptyset$ and

$$\int_{(b, 1]} g_K(x) d\mu_{K_n}(x) = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Since $x_k \in K$ for each k , the interval I_j (for any particular j) can intersect with at most two consecutive intervals $[y_k, x_k]$, $[x_k, y_{k+1}]$. Thus

$$\int_{I_j} g_K(x) d\mu_{K_n}(x) \leq \frac{2}{2n+1} g_K(c_j) \quad (2.19)$$

where c_j is the critical point of g_K in I_j . Thus (2.15), (2.17), (2.18), (2.19) yield

$$\log \left(\frac{\text{Cap}(K_n)}{\text{Cap}(K)} \right) \leq \frac{2}{2n+1} \text{PW}(K) + \frac{1}{2n+1} g_K(-1) \quad (2.20)$$

and thus

$$\left(\frac{\text{Cap}(K_n)}{\text{Cap}(K)} \right)^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \leq e^{\text{PW}(K) + (1/2)g_K(-1)}. \quad (2.21)$$

Since (2.10) holds, (1.11) follows from (2.21).

Since g_K is piecewise strictly monotone on all the intervals given on the right side of (2.16), all the inequalities in (2.19) and (2.17) are strict. Therefore equality is attained in (1.11) only if all the I_j 's and $[-1, a)$ are empty. Thus equality is satisfied in (1.11) only if $K = [-1, b]$ for some $b \in (-1, 1]$.

Conversely, if $K = [-1, b]$ for some $b \in (-1, 1]$ then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $K = K_n$ and (1.10) holds. This implies

$$W_{\infty, n}(K, w) = 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)} = 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}e^{\text{PW}(K) + (1/2)g_K(-1)} \quad (2.22)$$

since $g_K(-1) = \text{PW}(K) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Now, let us consider the above theorem for the weight $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$ on $K \subset [-1, 1]$. Let $L = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -z \in K\}$ and $w_1(x) = \sqrt{1+x}$. If $T_{n,w}^{(K)}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - \alpha_j)$ then $T_{n,w_1}^{(L)}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x + \alpha_j)$, $\text{Cap}(K) = \text{Cap}(L)$, $t_n(K, w) = t_n(L, w_1)$ and $g_K(z) = g_L(-z)$. Thus we can rewrite Theorem 1.1 for $w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}$ as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Let K be a compact non-polar subset of $[-1, 1]$ and*

$$w(x) = \sqrt{1-x}. \quad (2.23)$$

Then

(i) *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$W_{\infty, n}(K, w) \geq 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}. \quad (2.24)$$

Equality is attained (2.24) if and only if there exists a polynomial S_n of degree n such that

$$K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1-z)S_n^2(z) \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (2.25)$$

(ii) *In addition, let us assume that K is a regular Parreau-Widom set. Then*

$$W_{\infty, n}(K, w) \leq 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}e^{(1/2)g_K(1) + \text{PW}(K)}. \quad (2.26)$$

Equality is attained in (2.26) if and only if $K = [a, 1]$ for some $a \in [-1, 1)$.

The next theorem is used in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 2.2. (i) *Let $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1+z)S_n^2(z) \in [0, 1]\} \subset [-1, 1]$ where S_n is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficient c and $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$T_{2n+1}^{(K)}(z) = \frac{(1+z)S_n^2(z)}{c^2} - 2\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1}. \quad (2.27)$$

(ii) *Let $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1-z)S_n^2(z) \in [0, 1]\} \subset [-1, 1]$ where S_n is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficient c and $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$T_{2n+1}^{(K)}(z) = 2\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} - \frac{(1-z)S_n^2(z)}{c^2}. \quad (2.28)$$

Proof. (i) Note that $\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} = 1/(4|c|^2)$. Let $w(x) = \sqrt{1+x}$ and $R_n = c^{-1}S_n$. Then $\|wR_n\|_K = 1/|c| = 2\text{Cap}(K)^n \sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}$. Thus $\|wR_n\|_K / \text{Cap}(K)^n = 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}$. It follows from (1.9) and uniqueness of $T_{n,w}^{(K)}$ that $T_{n,w}^{(K)} = R_n$. Therefore the polynomial on the right side of the equality in (2.27) is a monic polynomial of degree $2n+1$ and its sup-norm on K is $2\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1}$. Hence (see [25]) (2.27) holds.

The part (ii) follows from a similar argument used in (i). \square

3. WIDOM FACTORS FOR GENERALIZED JACOBI WEIGHTS

For a function f defined on \mathbb{D} we use $f(e^{i\theta})$ to denote non-tangential boundary value of f at $e^{i\theta}$. Let us briefly mention some results regarding universal covering maps, see [20, Chapter 7], [19, Chapter 16], [27, Chapter 9]. Let K be a regular compact subset of \mathbb{R} . Then there is a unique covering map $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$ such that it is a meromorphic function which satisfies

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z\mathbf{x}(z) > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

In addition, $\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}) \in K$ ($d\theta$ a.e.) and (see e.g. Section 2.4 in [14])

$$\int f d\mu_K = \int f(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad f \in L_1(\mu_K). \quad (3.3)$$

We consider the analytic function B on \mathbb{D} that is uniquely determined by

$$|B(z)| = e^{-g_K(\mathbf{x}(z))}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{x}(z)B(z) = \text{Cap}(K). \quad (3.5)$$

It is given as a Blaschke product ([19, Theorem 16.11]), $|B(e^{i\theta})| = 1$ a.e. on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, it has simple zeros at $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(\infty)$. For a polynomial P of degree n , the function $F(z) = P(\mathbf{x}(z))B^n(z)$ has only removable singularities and it can be identified with a bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} . For such functions, we assume that removable singularities have already been removed.

Since $B(0) = 0$, $\overline{B(e^{i\theta})} = B(e^{i\theta})^{-1}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} B^k(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Frostman's theorem (see [23, Theorem 3.3.4, Theorem 4.2.4])

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mu) &= \exp \left[\int (\alpha \log |1 - x| + \beta \log |1 + x|) d\mu_K(x) \right] \\ &= \exp[(\alpha + \beta) \log(\text{Cap}(K))] \\ &= (\text{Cap}(K))^{\alpha + \beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Note that, $(-1)^\alpha (1 - \mathbf{x}(z))^\alpha (1 + \mathbf{x}(z))^\beta B^{\alpha + \beta}(z)$ is bounded and analytic on \mathbb{D} . It is never zero on \mathbb{D} since $-1, 1 \in K$ and (3.5) is satisfied. Therefore it has an

analytic square root H on \mathbb{D} such that $H(0) = \text{Cap}(K)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}$. Let R_n be a monic real polynomial of degree n . Then

$$(\text{Cap}(K))^{\frac{2n+\alpha+\beta}{2}} = \int_0^{2\pi} H(e^{i\theta}) R_n(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) B^n(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}. \quad (3.8)$$

The right hand side of (3.8) can be written as

$$\int_0^{2\pi} [(1 - \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\alpha (1 + \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}} R_n(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) [(-1)^\alpha B^{\alpha+\beta}(e^{i\theta})]^{\frac{1}{2}} B^n(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (3.9)$$

where $G(e^{i\theta}) = [(1 - \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\alpha (1 + \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is non-negative on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and $[(-1)^\alpha B^{\alpha+\beta}(e^{i\theta})]^{\frac{1}{2}} = H(e^{i\theta})/G(e^{i\theta})$.

Since $\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}) \in \mathbb{R}$ and R_n is a real polynomial, if we add the term in (3.9) to its conjugate we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\text{Cap}(K))^{\frac{2n+\alpha+\beta}{2}} &= \int_0^{2\pi} [(1 - \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\alpha (1 + \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}} R_n(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) \\ &\quad \times \left[((-1)^\alpha B^{\alpha+\beta}(e^{i\theta}))^{\frac{1}{2}} B^n(e^{i\theta}) + \overline{((-1)^\alpha B^{\alpha+\beta}(e^{i\theta}))^{\frac{1}{2}} B^n(e^{i\theta})} \right] \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since $((-1)^\alpha B^{\alpha+\beta}(e^{i\theta}))^{\frac{1}{2}} B^n(e^{i\theta}) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\overline{B(e^{i\theta})} = (B(e^{i\theta}))^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[((-1)^\alpha B^{\alpha+\beta}(e^{i\theta}))^{\frac{1}{2}} B^n(e^{i\theta}) + \overline{((-1)^\alpha B^{\alpha+\beta}(e^{i\theta}))^{\frac{1}{2}} B^n(e^{i\theta})} \right]^2 \\ &= 2 + 2\text{Re}[(-1)^\alpha (B(e^{i\theta}))^{2n+\alpha+\beta}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz in (3.10) and then (3.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(\text{Cap}(K))^{\frac{2n+\alpha+\beta}{2}} \\
& \leq \left[\int_0^{2\pi} [(1 - \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\alpha (1 + \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\beta] R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \left(((-1)^\alpha B^{\alpha+\beta}(e^{i\theta}))^{\frac{1}{2}} B^n(e^{i\theta}) + \overline{((-1)^\alpha B^{\alpha+\beta}(e^{i\theta}))^{\frac{1}{2}} B^n(e^{i\theta})} \right)^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \left[\int_0^{2\pi} [(1 - \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\alpha (1 + \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))^\beta] R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times \left[\int_0^{2\pi} (2 + 2\text{Re}[(-1)^\alpha (B(e^{i\theta}))^{2n+\alpha+\beta}]) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left[\int (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta R_n^2(x) d\mu_K(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} [2 + 2\text{Re}[(-1)^\alpha (B(0))^{2n+\alpha+\beta}]]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.14)$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \|R_n\|_{L_2(\mu)}. \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, it follows from (3.7) that

$$\frac{\|R_n\|_{L_2(\mu)}^2}{\text{Cap}(K)^{2n}} \geq 2S(\mu) \quad (3.16)$$

and this implies (1.16) since $P_n(\cdot; \mu)$ is a real polynomial. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since K is regular, $\text{supp}(\mu_K) = K$ in view of [23, Theorem 4.2.3] and [27, Corollary 5.5.12]. Thus $\text{Cap}(K) = \text{Cap}(\text{supp}(\mu))$ for all three cases.

- (i) Let $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 1.2. First, let us assume $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 = 2S(\mu)$. Then equality is attained in (3.12). Therefore there is a monic real polynomial R_n of degree n and a constant d such that

$$(1 - \mathbf{x}^2(e^{i\theta})) R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) = \frac{d(1 - B^{2n+2}(e^{i\theta}))^2}{-B^{2n+2}(e^{i\theta})}, \quad (d\theta \text{ a.e.}) \quad (3.17)$$

By (1.16) and uniqueness of n -th monic orthogonal polynomial this implies

$$P_n(x; \mu) = R_n(x). \quad (3.18)$$

Let $F_1(z) = -(1 - \mathbf{x}^2(z)) R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(z)) B^{2n+2}(z)$ and $F_2(z) = d(1 - B^{2n+2}(z))^2$ be two functions on \mathbb{D} . Then by (3.17), $F_1(e^{i\theta}) = F_2(e^{i\theta})$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and since F_1 and F_2 are bounded and analytic, this implies that $F_1(z) = F_2(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Since $F_1(0) = \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2}$ and $B(0) = 0$ this implies that $d = \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2}$. Thus

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ we have

$$|F_1(z)| = |(1 - \mathbf{x}^2(z))R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(z))|e^{-(2n+2)g_K(\mathbf{x}(z))} \quad (3.19)$$

$$= |F_2(z)| \quad (3.20)$$

$$\leq \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2} [1 + e^{-(2n+2)g_K(\mathbf{x}(z))}]^2. \quad (3.21)$$

Hence for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K$, we have

$$|(1 - z^2)R_n^2(z)| \leq \frac{\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2} [1 + e^{-(2n+2)g_K(z)}]^2}{e^{-(2n+2)g_K(z)}}. \quad (3.22)$$

Since g_K is continuous throughout \mathbb{C} and $g_K(x) = 0$ for $x \in K$ by regularity of K , it follows from (3.22) that

$$\sup_{z \in K} [|1 - z^2|R_n^2(z)] \leq 4\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2}. \quad (3.23)$$

The inequality (3.23) implies $W_{\infty,n}(K, w) \leq 2\text{Cap}(K)$ and combining this with [26, eq. (12)] we obtain $W_{\infty,n}(K, w) = 2\text{Cap}(K)$. By [26, Theorem 3] this implies (1.28). Note that (3.23) implies $R_n = T_{n,w}^{(K)}$ and by the proof of [26, Theorem 3], $T_{n,w}^{(K)} = S_n/c$. Combining this with (3.18) we get (1.35).

Conversely, let us assume that K satisfies (1.28). Let $R_n = S_n/c$. Then

$$R_n = T_{n,w}^{(K)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Using [26, Theorem 12(iii)] in (3.25) and [11, eq.s (2.3), (2.4)] in (3.26) we see that for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$2\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2} - (1 - \mathbf{x}^2(z))R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(z)) = T_{2n+2}^{(K)}(\mathbf{x}(z)) \quad (3.25)$$

$$= \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2} [B^{2n+2}(z) + B^{-2n-2}(z)]. \quad (3.26)$$

Hence

$$\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2} [2 - B^{2n+2}(z) - B^{-2n-2}(z)] = (1 - \mathbf{x}^2(z))R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(z)). \quad (3.27)$$

Note that in view of (3.6)

$$\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2} \int_0^{2\pi} [2 - B^{2n+2}(e^{i\theta}) - B^{-2n-2}(e^{i\theta})] \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = 2\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+2} \quad (3.28)$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (1 - \mathbf{x}^2(e^{i\theta}))R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int (1 - x^2)R_n^2(x)d\mu_K(x) \quad (3.29)$$

$$= \|R_n\|_{L_2(\mu)}^2. \quad (3.30)$$

Thus, it follows from (3.27) and (3.7) that

$$\frac{\|R_n\|_{L_2(\mu)}^2}{\text{Cap}(K)^{2n}} = 2S(\mu). \quad (3.31)$$

This implies $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 \leq 2S(\mu)$ and it follows from (1.16) that $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 = 2S(\mu)$.

- (ii) Let $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ in Theorem 1.2. First, let us assume that $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 = 2S(\mu)$. Then equality is attained in (3.12) and thus there is a monic real polynomial R_n of degree n and a constant d such that

$$(1 + \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) = \frac{d [B^{2n+1}(e^{i\theta}) + 1]^2}{B^{2n+1}(e^{i\theta})} (d\theta \text{ a.e.}) \quad (3.32)$$

and

$$R_n(x) = P_n(x; \mu). \quad (3.33)$$

Let $F_1(z) = (1 + \mathbf{x}(z))R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(z))B^{2n+1}(z)$ and $F_2(z) = d(B^{2n+1}(z) + 1)^2$. Then F_1, F_2 are bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D} and $F_1(e^{i\theta}) = F_2(e^{i\theta})$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and thus $F_1(z) = F_2(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Since $F_1(0) = \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1}$ and $B(0) = 0$ we get $d = \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1}$. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$|F_1(z)| = |(1 + \mathbf{x}(z))R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(z))|e^{-(2n+1)g_K(\mathbf{x}(z))} \quad (3.34)$$

$$= |F_2(z)| \quad (3.35)$$

$$\leq \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} [1 + e^{-(2n+1)g_K(\mathbf{x}(z))}]^2 \quad (3.36)$$

is satisfied. Hence for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K$,

$$|(1 + z)R_n^2(z)| \leq \frac{\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} [1 + e^{-(2n+1)g_K(z)}]^2}{e^{-(2n+1)g_K(z)}}. \quad (3.37)$$

Since $g_K(x) = 0$ for $x \in K$ and g_K is continuous in \mathbb{C} , (3.37) implies that

$$\sup_{z \in K} [1 + z|R_n^2(z)|] \leq 4\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1}. \quad (3.38)$$

This implies that $W_{\infty,n}(K, w) \leq 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}$ and since (1.9) holds we have $W_{\infty,n}(K, w) = 2\sqrt{\text{Cap}(K)}$ and $R_n = T_{n,w}^{(K)}$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 (i) that K satisfies (1.31). It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.2 (i) that $R_n = S_n/c$. This combined with (3.33) implies (1.35).

Conversely, assume that K satisfies (1.31) and let $R_n = S_n/c$. Then

$$R_n = T_{n,w}^{(K)}. \quad (3.39)$$

Using Theorem 2.2 (i) in (3.40) and [11, eq.s (2.3), (2.4)] in (3.41) we see that for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$(1 + \mathbf{x}(z))R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(z)) - 2\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} = T_{2n+1}^{(K)}(\mathbf{x}(z)) \quad (3.40)$$

$$= \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} [B^{2n+1}(z) + B^{-2n-1}(z)]. \quad (3.41)$$

Therefore

$$(1 + \mathbf{x}(z))R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(z)) = \text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} [B^{2n+1}(z) + B^{-2n-1}(z) + 2]. \quad (3.42)$$

Here,

$$\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} \int_0^{2\pi} [B^{2n+1}(e^{i\theta}) + B^{-2n-1}(e^{i\theta}) + 2] \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = 2\text{Cap}(K)^{2n+1} \quad (3.43)$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (1 + \mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) R_n^2(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int (1 + x) R_n^2(x) d\mu_K(x) \quad (3.44)$$

$$= \|R_n\|_{L_2(\mu)}^2. \quad (3.45)$$

Hence in view of (3.7) and (3.42) we have

$$\frac{\|R_n\|_{L_2(\mu)}^2}{\text{Cap}(K)^{2n}} = 2S(\mu) \quad (3.46)$$

which implies $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 \leq 2S(\mu)$ and using (1.16) we see that $[W_{2,n}(\mu)]^2 = 2S(\mu)$.

- (iii) Let $L = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -z \in K\}$ and $d\mu_2(x) = (1+x)d\mu_L(x)$. Then in view of [22, eq. (1.9) and Lemma 4(c)] we see that $P_n(x; \mu) = (-1)^n P_n(-x; \mu_2)$ and $\|P_n(\cdot; \mu)\|_{L_2(\mu)} = \|P_n(\cdot; \mu_2)\|_{L_2(\mu_2)}$. Therefore part (iii) is a straightforward consequence of part (ii).

□

We can easily generalize Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 as follows.

Corollary 3.1. *Let L be a regular compact subset of $[a, b]$, $a, b \in L$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $\alpha + \beta \geq 1$. Let*

$$T(x) = \frac{2x - a - b}{b - a}, \quad (3.47)$$

$$d\nu(x) = (1 - T(x))^\alpha (1 + T(x))^\beta d\mu_L(x), \quad (3.48)$$

and

$$w(x) = \sqrt{(1 - T(x))^\alpha (1 + T(x))^\beta}. \quad (3.49)$$

Then

(i)

$$[W_{2,n}(\nu)]^2 \geq 2S(\nu). \quad (3.50)$$

- (ii) *Let $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$. Then equality is satisfied in (3.50) if and only if there is a polynomial S_n of degree n such that*

$$L = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1 - T^2(z)) S_n^2(T(z)) \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (3.51)$$

- (iii) *Let $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$. Then equality is satisfied in (3.50) if and only if there is a polynomial S_n of degree n such that*

$$L = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1 + T(z)) S_n^2(T(z)) \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (3.52)$$

(iv) Let $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$. Then equality is satisfied in (3.50) if and only if there is a polynomial S_n of degree n such that

$$L = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1 - T(z))S_n^2(T(z)) \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (3.53)$$

If (3.51) (or (3.52) or (3.53) respectively) is satisfied then

$$P_n(x; \nu) = \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{b-a}{2} \right)^n S_n(T(x)) = T_{n,w}^{(L)}(x) \quad (3.54)$$

where c is the leading coefficient of S_n .

Proof. Let $K = T(L)$. This implies that $L = T^{-1}(K)$, $K \subset [-1, 1]$, $\pm 1 \in K$ and K is also regular. Let

$$d\mu(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta d\mu_K(x). \quad (3.55)$$

Then in view of [5, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.], we see that

$$S(\mu) = S(\nu) \quad (3.56)$$

and

$$W_{2,n}(\mu) = W_{2,n}(\nu). \quad (3.57)$$

Hence (3.50) follows from Theorem 1.2.

Let $\alpha, \beta = 1$. Then $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (1 - z^2)S_n^2(z) \in [0, 1]\}$ if and only if (3.51) is satisfied. Thus by Theorem 1.4(i), (3.56), (3.57), equality is attained in (3.50) if and only if (3.51) is satisfied. If (3.51) holds then (3.54) holds in view of [22, Theorem 3] and Theorem 1.4 (i). The proof for the cases $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ and $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$ is similar. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Alpan, *Orthogonal Polynomials Associated with Equilibrium Measures on \mathbb{R}* , Potential Anal. **46** (2017), 393–401.
- [2] G. Alpan, *Szegő's condition on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}* , J. Approx. Theory. **245** (2019), 130–136.
- [3] G. Alpan and A. Goncharov, *Widom factors for the Hilbert norm*, Banach Center Publ. **107** (2015), 11–18.
- [4] G. Alpan and M. Zinchenko, *Sharp lower bounds for the Widom factors on the real line*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **484** (2020), 123729.
- [5] G. Alpan and M. Zinchenko, *On the Widom factors for L_p extremal polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **259** (2020), 105480.
- [6] V. V. Andrievskii, *On Chebyshev polynomials in the complex plane*, Acta Math. Hungar. **152** (2017), 505–524.
- [7] V. Andrievskii and F. Nazarov, *On the Totik–Widom Property for a Quasidisk*, Constr. Approx. **50** (2019), no. 3, 497–505.
- [8] J. S. Christiansen, *Szegő's theorem on Parreau–Widom sets*, Adv. Math. **229**, (2012), 1180–1204.
- [9] J. S. Christiansen, B. Simon, P. Yuditskii, and M. Zinchenko, *Asymptotics of Chebyshev Polynomials, II. DCT subsets of \mathbb{R}* , Duke Math. J. **168** (2019), 325–349.
- [10] J. S. Christiansen, B. Simon, and M. Zinchenko, *Finite gap Jacobi matrices, II. The Szegő class*, Constr. Approx. **33** (2011), 365–403.

- [11] J. S. Christiansen, B. Simon, and M. Zinchenko, *Asymptotics of Chebyshev Polynomials, I. Subsets of \mathbb{R}* , Invent. Math. **208** (2017), 217–245.
- [12] J. S. Christiansen, B. Simon, and M. Zinchenko, *Asymptotics of Chebyshev Polynomials, III. Sets Saturating Szegő, Schiefermayr, and Totik–Widom Bounds*, in *Analysis as a Tool in Mathematical Physics – in Memory of Boris Pavlov*, ed. P. Kurasov, A. Laptev, S. Naboko and B. Simon, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **276** Birkhäuser, Basel, 2020, pp. 231–246.
- [13] J. S. Christiansen, B. Simon, and M. Zinchenko, *Asymptotics of Chebyshev Polynomials, IV. Comments on the Complex Case*, J. Anal. Math. **141** (2020), 207–223.
- [14] S. D. Fisher, *Function theory on planar domains*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, (1983).
- [15] J. Geronimo and W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal polynomials on several intervals via a polynomial mapping*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **308**, (1988), 559–581.
- [16] A. Goncharov and B. Hatinoğlu, *Widom factors*, Potential Anal. **42** (2015), 671–680.
- [17] G. G. Lorentz, M. Golitschek, Y. Makovoz, *Constructive approximation. Advanced problems*. Springer, 1996.
- [18] J. Mason and D. C. Handscomb, *Chebyshev Polynomials*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [19] D. Marshall, *Complex Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [20] R. Nevanlinna, *Analytic Functions*, Springer-Verlag (1970).
- [21] F. Peherstorfer, *Inverse images of polynomial mappings and polynomials orthogonal on them*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **153**, (2003), 371–385.
- [22] F. Peherstorfer and R. Steinbauer, *Orthogonal and L_q -extremal polynomials on inverse images of polynomial mappings*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **127**, (2001), 297–315.
- [23] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [24] E. Saff and V. Totik, *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **316**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [25] K. Schiefermayr, *A lower bound for the minimum deviation of the Chebyshev polynomial on a compact real set*, East J. Approx. **14** (2008), 223–233.
- [26] K. Schiefermayr, M. Zinchenko, *Norm estimates for Chebyshev polynomials, I*, J. Approx. Theory, **265** (2021), 105561.
- [27] B. Simon, *Szegő’s Theorem and Its Descendants: Spectral Theory for L^2 Perturbations of Orthogonal Polynomials*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2011.
- [28] V. Totik, *Chebyshev constants and the inheritance problem*, J. Approx. Theory **160** (2009), 187–201.
- [29] V. Totik, *The norm of minimal polynomials on several intervals*, J. Approx. Theory **163** (2011), 738–746.
- [30] V. Totik, *Chebyshev polynomials on compact sets*, Potential Anal. **40** (2014), 511–524.
- [31] V. Totik and P. Yuditskii, *On a conjecture of Widom*, J. Approx. Theory **190** (2015), 50–61.
- [32] H. Widom, *Extremal polynomials associated with a system of curves in the complex plane*, Adv. in Math. **3** (1969), 127–232.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, UPPSALA, SWEDEN
 Email address: gokalp.alpan@math.uu.se