

G-CONVERGENCE OF ELLIPTIC AND PARABOLIC OPERATORS DEPENDING ON VECTOR FIELDS

A. MAIONE, F. PARONETTO, AND E. VECCHI

ABSTRACT. We consider sequences of elliptic and parabolic operators in divergence form where the operators depend on a family of vector fields. We show, using a unitary approach, i.e., taking suitable elliptic-parabolic operators, compactness results with respect to G -convergence, or H -convergence, by assuming the existence of affine functions, with respect to the family of vector fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

The asymptotic behaviour, as $h \rightarrow \infty$, from the point of view of G -convergence of a sequence of equations like

$$(1) \quad E_h u = f, \quad u \in X$$

has been widely studied, in particular when E_h is an elliptic or a parabolic operator in divergence form, i.e.

$$E_h = -\operatorname{div}(a_h(x, D)) \quad \text{or} \quad E_h = \partial_t - \operatorname{div}(a_h(x, t, D))$$

where $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in (0, T)$, with Ω open set of \mathbf{R}^n and $T > 0$.

G -convergence was introduced for linear elliptic operators (where a_h is a symmetric matrix with positive eigenvalues) by Spagnolo in a series of papers at the end of '60s (see [32–34]) and studied later by the same author in many other papers. Surely worthy to be recalled here is [11] in which, in the case of homogenization, for the first time an explicit expression of the limit operator is given, [8] in which also a comparison between elliptic and parabolic G -convergence is made, and [31] among the first paper about linear parabolic operators; here a_h is still symmetric.

To come to the non-symmetric case, this involves a difficulty: the possible lack of uniqueness of a representative for the limit operator. This problem is bypassed by Tartar, who extends the definition in the '70s introducing H -convergence for general linear and monotone elliptic operators (see, for instance, [37, 38]). As regards nonlinear elliptic operators we also mention [7], while for nonlinear parabolic operators we recall [35].

Finally we mention [40], where linear operator also of degree greater than two are considered, and the book [26] where nonlinear both elliptic and parabolic operators, homogenization and random operators are presented.

Date: July 29, 2021.

A.M., F.P. and E.V. are supported by the Indam-GNAMPA project 2020 “Convergenze variazionali per funzionali e operatori dipendenti da campi vettoriali”. A.M. is also supported by MUR and the University of Freiburg, Germany.

G -convergence when the equations (1) represent the Euler-Lagrange equations of a family of functionals, may be connected with Γ -convergence, already contained at the embryonal level in [11], introduced in [10], and well described in the book [9].

In this paper we are interested in extending the classical results for monotone operators to a more general setting, namely for operators modeled on vector fields. The literature concerning homogenization, G -convergence and integral representation of abstract functionals depending on vector fields is pretty vast, ranging from more rigid structures like Carnot groups (see e.g. [1, 14–16, 22, 25] and the references therein), up to the more recent [12, 23, 24], where the authors started a more systematic study of functionals, and the associated operators, modeled on vector fields satisfying a few assumptions needed to work with *nice* Sobolev spaces, see e.g. [17–19].

Our plan is to keep as much general as possible the framework where we work. To this aim, we consider an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and a family of $m \leq n$ vector fields $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$, defined and Lipschitz continuous on an open neighborhood Ω_0 of $\bar{\Omega}$, such that the following conditions hold:

- (H1) let $d : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be the so-called Carnot-Carathéodory distance function induced by X (see e.g. [18]). Then, $d(x, y) < \infty$ for any $x, y \in \Omega_0$, so that d is a standard distance in Ω_0 , and d is continuous with respect to the usual topology of \mathbf{R}^n ;
- (H2) for any compact set $K \subset \Omega_0$ there exist $r_K, C_K > 0$, depending on K , such that

$$|B_d(x, 2r)| \leq C_K |B_d(x, r)|$$

for any $x \in K$ and $r < r_K$. $B_d(x, r)$ denotes the open metric ball with respect to d , that is, $B_d(x, r) := \{y \in \Omega_0 : d(x, y) < r\}$;

- (H3) there exist geometric constants $c, C > 0$ such that for every ball $B = B_d(\bar{x}, r)$ with $cB := B_d(\bar{x}, cr) \subseteq \Omega_0$, for every $u \in \text{Lip}(c\bar{B})$ and for every $x \in \bar{B}$

$$\left| u(x) - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B u(y) dy \right| \leq C \int_{cB} |Xu(y)| \frac{d(x, y)}{|B_d(x, d(x, y))|} dy.$$

For technical reasons that we will explain later on, we have to require also

- (H4) For any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^m$ there exists a unique smooth function u such that

$$Xu = \xi.$$

Since the latter is not a common assumption, let us briefly comment on it. (H4) is asking for the existence of X -affine functions (see [23]) and it is related to the so-called Poincaré Lemma. The validity of such result in spaces different from the classical Euclidean one, and the detection of the proper equivalent integrability conditions, has been a quite active object of study, see e.g. [2–6]. In particular, we refer to [20] where the validity of such result has been established in the rather general setting of some simply connected $(n + 1)$ -sub-Riemannian manifolds having corank 1, so including all corank 1 Carnot groups.

Aim of the paper - The problem we want to study is the compactness with respect to G -convergence (see Definition 2.19 and Definition 2.20) of a

sequence of elliptic operators $\operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, X))$ and of a sequence of parabolic operators $\partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(b_h(x, t, X))$, $h \in \mathbf{N}$, showing that, taking a_h and b_h in a suitable class and up to select a subsequence, there exist suitable a, b such that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, X)) & \text{ } G\text{-converges to } \operatorname{div}_X(a(x, X)) & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(b_h(x, t, X)) & \text{ } G\text{-converges to } \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(b(x, t, X)) & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{aligned}$$

To this aim, we consider a family of elliptic-parabolic operators $(\mathcal{P}_h)_h$

$$\mathcal{P}_h u := \rho_h u' + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, Xu(x, t))), \quad 0 \leq \rho_h \leq 1,$$

and we show that, up to subsequences and provided that

$$(2) \quad \rho_h \rightarrow \rho \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))\text{-weak*},$$

there exists a suitable a such that

$$\rho_h \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X)) \quad G\text{-converges to } \rho \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a(x, t, X))$$

independently of the sequence $(\rho_h)_h$ (see Section 2.3 for details).

This technique was introduced in [28], using a regularity result to get the compactness of the solutions, and then applied in [29], where ρ_h may also be negative.

As by-product, we get a compactness result for purely parabolic operators and a compactness result for purely elliptic (independent of t) operators.

Finally, supposed that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X)) & \text{ } G\text{-converges to } \operatorname{div}_X(a(x, t, X)) & \text{ in } \Omega \quad (\text{for each } t), \\ \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X)) & \text{ } G\text{-converges to } \operatorname{div}_X(b(x, t, X)) & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \end{aligned}$$

we show that, up to taking $(a_h)_h$ in a suitable class, one gets $a = b$.

This fact is in general not true (see Definition 2.17 and Section 4).

Let us now state our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *Consider a sequence $(a_h)_h \subset \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$. Then, there exists $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta', p)$, with $\beta' = (\alpha^{-1} \beta^p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \max\{1, \alpha^{-\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\}$, such that the sequence of elliptic-parabolic operators $(\mathcal{P}_h)_h$ satisfies, up to subsequences,*

$$(3) \quad \rho_h \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X)) \quad G\text{-converges to } \rho \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a(x, t, X))$$

for every sequence of function $(\rho_h)_h$ as in (7) satisfying (2).

We refer to Section 2.3 for the definitions of the classes of Carathéodory-functions $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta', p)$.

Let us now spend a few comments on the proof of Theorem 1.1. The great versatility of the classical proof by Spagnolo [35] allows us to adapt it to our framework. The biggest issue is the characterization of the limit operator where the assumption (H4) plays a major role, see Section 4 the details.

The natural lack of compactness for the solutions to

$$\rho_h \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, Xu)) = f$$

is bypassed approximating the elliptic-parabolic operators \mathcal{P}_h by the parabolic operators

$$(\rho_h + \epsilon) \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X)), \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

The paper is organized as follows: in Sections 2 and 3, we provide the functional setting of Sobolev spaces depending on vector fields and we state the main properties of the class of monotone operators we are interested in. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and we compare G -convergence and EG -convergence for monotone operators depending on vector fields.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Functional setting. Throughout the paper, $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^k$ is a fixed open set. If $v, w \in \mathbf{R}^k$, we denote by $|v|$ and $(v, w)_{\mathbf{R}^k}$ the Euclidean norm and the scalar product, respectively. $B(x, r)$ is the open Euclidean ball of radius r centered at x . If $A \subset \mathbf{R}^k$, $|A|$ is its k -dimensional Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^k and, by notation *a.e.* $x \in A$, we will simply mean \mathcal{L}^k -a.e. $x \in A$. In the sequel, we denote by $\mathbf{C}^1(\Omega)$ the space of \mathbf{R} -valued functions continuously differentiable and by $\mathbf{C}_c^1(\Omega)$ the subspace of $\mathbf{C}^1(\Omega)$ whose functions have support compactly contained in Ω .

Let $X(x) := (X_1(x), \dots, X_m(x))$ be a given family of first order linear differential operators with Lipschitz coefficients on a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, that is,

$$X_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ji}(x) \partial_i \quad j = 1, \dots, m$$

with $c_{ji}(x) \in \text{Lip}(\Omega)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m, i = 1, \dots, n$.

In the following, we will refer to X as *X-gradient*. As usual, we identify each X_j with the vector field

$$(c_{j1}(x), \dots, c_{jn}(x)) \in \text{Lip}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$$

and we call

$$C(x) = [c_{ji}(x)]_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n \\ j=1, \dots, m}}$$

the *coefficient matrix of the X-gradient*.

Definition 2.1. For any $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ we define Xu as an element of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Xu, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{D}} &:= (\langle X_1 u, \psi_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{D}}, \dots, \langle X_m u, \psi_m \rangle_{\mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{D}}) \\ (4) \quad &= - \int_{\Omega} u \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i (c_{1i} \psi_1), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i (c_{mi} \psi_m) \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m) \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m)$.

If we set $X^T \psi := (X_1^T \psi_1, \dots, X_m^T \psi_m)$, with

$$X_j^T \varphi := - \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i (c_{ji} \varphi) = - (\text{div}(X_j) + X_j) \varphi$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$, then (4) becomes

$$\langle Xu, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{D}} = \int_{\Omega} u X^T \psi \, dx \quad \text{for any } \psi \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m).$$

Notice that if $X = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$, then

$$X_j^T \varphi = -\partial_j \varphi \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let $a : \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ as assume that $a(\cdot, X)$ is smooth enough in Ω . The operator X -divergence of $a(\cdot, X)$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{div}_X(a(x, X)) := \sum_{j,i=1}^m X_j^T(a_i(x, X_i)), \quad x \in \Omega$$

and its domain is the set $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ defined as follows.

Definition 2.2. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we set

$$W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) := \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : X_j u \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m\}$$

and we denote $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the closure of $\mathbf{C}_c^1(\Omega) \cap W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

The following proposition is proved in [13].

Proposition 2.3. $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $1 < p < +\infty$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is a reflexive Banach space.

Remark 2.4. Since vector fields X_j have locally Lipschitz continuous coefficients, $\partial_i c_{ji} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for any $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, by definition,

$$(5) \quad W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W_X^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty]$$

for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. Moreover, for any $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$Xu(x) = C(x) Du(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

where $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denotes the classical Sobolev space, or, equivalently, the space $W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)$ associated to $X = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$. It is easy to see that inclusion (5) can be strict and turns out to be continuous.

It is proved in [13] that the normed spaces $(W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)})$ are Banach spaces for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

We conclude this section recalling that, when Ω is bounded and the family X satisfies properties (H1), (H2) and (H3), then $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ compactly embeds in $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$. Moreover, if in addition Ω is connected, then

$$\|u\|_{W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

defines an equivalent norm on $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Theorem 2.5 ([18, Theorem 3.4]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let X satisfy conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Then, $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ compactly embeds in $L^p(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.6 ([24, Proposition 2.16]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let X satisfy conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Moreover, assume that Ω is connected. Then, there exists a positive constant $c_{p,\Omega}$, depending only on p and Ω , such that*

$$c_{p,\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx \quad \text{for any } u \in W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

and

$$\|u\|_{W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |Xu|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is a norm in $W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{W_X^{1,p}(\Omega)}$.

2.2. Space-time setting. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbf{R}^n and let p, p' be a Hölder's conjugate pair, with $p \geq 2$. We denote

$$V := W_{X,0}^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad H := L^2(\Omega), \quad V' := W_X^{-1,p'}(\Omega),$$

where V' is the dual space of V , and we identify the dual space of H , H' , with H itself, in such a way that

$$(6) \quad V \subset H \subset V',$$

where the embeddings are dense and continuous. In a similar way, we define

$$\mathcal{V} := L^p(0, T; V), \quad \mathcal{H} := L^2(0, T; H), \quad \mathcal{V}' := L^{p'}(0, T; V')$$

and, by (6), we have

$$\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{V}'$$

with continuous and dense embeddings. We endow \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} , respectively, with the following norms:

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} := \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_V^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}} := \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_H^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and \mathcal{V}' with the natural norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}'} := \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{V'}^{p'} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Definition 2.7. Given two Banach spaces X, Y , $u \in L^1(0, T; X)$ and $v \in L^1(0, T; Y)$ we say that v is the generalized derivative of u if

$$\int_0^T v(t)\varphi(t) dt = - \int_0^T u(t)\varphi'(t) dt$$

for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty((0, T); \mathbf{R})$.

Notice that the integral in the previous definition is the Bochner integral and that $\int_0^T v(t)\varphi(t) dt, \int_0^T u(t)\varphi'(t) dt \in X \cap Y$ (see, for instance, [39, Chapter 23] or [36, Chapter 3]).

Now consider a function

$$(7) \quad \rho : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

and define the Banach space

$$\mathcal{W}_\rho := \{u \in \mathcal{V} \mid \rho u' \in \mathcal{V}'\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{W}_\rho} := \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} + \|\rho u'\|_{\mathcal{V}'},$$

where u' is to be intended as the generalized derivative of u , as in Definition 2.7.

One can also define a Banach space H_+ as follows. Denoted by Ω_+ the set

$$\Omega_+ := \{x \in \Omega \mid \rho(x) = 1\} = \rho^{-1}\{1\},$$

define

$$H_+ := L^2(\Omega_+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_+ := L^2(0, T; H_+).$$

In the following proposition we recall some result regarding the space \mathcal{W} for which we refer to [27] and [30].

Proposition 2.8. *The space \mathcal{W}_ρ continuously embeds in $\mathbf{C}^0([0, T]; H_+)$ and, for every $u, v \in \mathcal{W}_\rho$ and for every $t, s \in [0, T]$, the following generalized integration by parts formula holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} (u(t), v(t))_{H_+} - (u(s), v(s))_{H_+} &= \\ &= \int_s^t \langle \rho u'(\tau), v(\tau) \rangle_{V' \times V} d\tau + \int_s^t \langle \rho v'(\tau), u(\tau) \rangle_{V' \times V} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the space \mathcal{W}_ρ compactly embeds in $L^p(0, T; H_+)$.

Now consider two operators

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$$

and

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}', \quad \mathcal{P}u := \rho u' + \mathcal{A}u.$$

Definition 2.9. Let $\varphi \in H_+$ and let $f \in \mathcal{V}'$. We define $u \in \mathcal{W}_\rho$ a solution to the (elliptic-parabolic) problem

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \rho u' + \mathcal{A}u = f & \text{in } \mathcal{V}' \\ u(0) = \varphi & \text{in } H_+ \end{cases}$$

if

$$\langle \rho u'(t), v \rangle_{V' \times V} + \langle \mathcal{A}u(t), v \rangle_{V' \times V} = \langle f(t), v \rangle_{V' \times V}$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$ for every $v \in V$ and if $u(0) = \varphi$ in H_+ .

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ be an operator and let $\alpha \leq \beta$ be positive constants such that*

- a) $\langle \mathcal{A}u - \mathcal{A}v, u - v \rangle_{\mathcal{V}' \times \mathcal{V}} \geq \alpha \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}^p,$
- b) $\|\mathcal{A}u\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq \beta [1 + \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}]^{p-2} \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}$

for every $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{V}'$ and for every $\varphi \in H_+$ there exists a unique solution to problem (P). Moreover, there exists a positive constant c , depending only on α, β and p , such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{W}_\rho} \leq c \left[\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}'} + \left(1 + \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}'}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \|\varphi\|_{H_+}^{\frac{2}{p}}\right)^{p-2} \left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}'}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \|\varphi\|_{H_+}^{\frac{2}{p}}\right) \right].$$

Proof. For the proof we refer to [27] and [30], even if there is a slight difference. In [27] and [30] a request different from b) is made, but this does not affect the existence and uniqueness of the solution but only the final estimate about $\|u\|_{\mathcal{W}_\rho}$. \square

Remark 2.11. Notice that if ρ does not take values in $\{0, 1\}$, but $\rho \geq a > 0$, i.e. $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow [a, +\infty)$, all the results of Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.10 remain true. In particular, one gets that

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{W}_\rho = \mathcal{W} := \{u \in \mathcal{V} \mid u' \in \mathcal{V}'\},$$

which compactly embeds in $L^p(0, T; H)$ and $H_+ \equiv H$.

In particular, if $\rho \equiv 1$, we get the classical results (see [39, Theorem 30.A] and [21, Theorem 5.1]).

The elliptic-parabolic problem (P) can be approximated by a family of purely parabolic problems in the following way. We can define, for $\epsilon > 0$, the functions

$$\rho_\epsilon := \rho + \epsilon > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T).$$

As observed in (8), we have to consider the space

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rho_\epsilon} = \mathcal{W} = \{u \in \mathcal{V} \mid u' \in \mathcal{V}'\},$$

$\varphi \in H$ (instead of $\varphi \in H_+$), and the sequence of problems

$$(P_\epsilon) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_\epsilon u' + \mathcal{A}u = f & \text{in } \mathcal{V}' \\ u(0) = \varphi & \text{in } H \end{cases},$$

where the definition of solution is analogous to that given in Definition 2.9. Then the following approximation result holds (see [29, Theorem 3.8 and Remark 3.9]).

Theorem 2.12. Denote by $u \in \mathcal{W}_\rho$ the solution to (P), by $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{W}$ the solution to (P_ϵ) for $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon &\rightarrow u && \text{in } \mathcal{V} \\ \rho_\epsilon u'_\epsilon &\rightarrow \rho u' && \text{in } \mathcal{V}', \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \mathcal{A}u_\epsilon &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}u && \text{in } \mathcal{V}' \end{aligned}$$

To conclude this section we recall the following result (see [7, Lemma 7.8]).

Lemma 2.13. Let U be a bounded open set in \mathbf{R}^k , $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_m$ be non-negative numbers such that $\vartheta_1 + \dots + \vartheta_m \leq 1$, and assume that $(r_{1,h})_h, \dots, (r_{m,h})_h$ and $(s_h)_h$ are sequences in $L^1(U)$ such that, for any $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$r_{i,h} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |s_h| \leq r_{1,h}^{\vartheta_1} \cdot \dots \cdot r_{m,h}^{\vartheta_m} \quad \text{a.e. in } U \text{ for every } h \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Moreover, assume the existence of $r_1, \dots, r_m, s \in L^1(U)$ such that

$$r_{i,h} \rightarrow r_i \quad \text{and} \quad s_h \rightarrow s \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(U)$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$, for any $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then,

$$|s| \leq r_1^{\vartheta_1} \cdot \dots \cdot r_m^{\vartheta_m} \quad \text{a.e. in } U.$$

2.3. Position of the problem. In this section we define the problems we are going to study. Let us start with some preliminary definitions.

Definition 2.14. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be open, let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and let $\alpha \leq \beta$ be positive constants. We define $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$ the class of Carathéodory functions $a : \Omega \times (0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ such that

- (i) $a(x, t, 0) = 0$;
- (ii) $(a(x, t, \xi) - a(x, t, \eta), \xi - \eta)_{\mathbf{R}^m} \geq \alpha |\xi - \eta|^p$;
- (iii) $|a(x, t, \xi) - a(x, t, \eta)| \leq \beta [1 + |\xi|^p + |\eta|^p]^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |\xi - \eta|$

for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$ for every $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^m$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta, p)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$ of functions a independent of t .

Remark 2.15. Notice that, if $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$, then a also satisfies

$$(iii)' \quad |a(x, t, \xi) - a(x, t, \eta)| \leq \beta' [1 + |\xi|^p + |\eta|^p]^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} |\xi - \eta|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$ for every $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^m$ and for some $\beta' \geq \beta$. Indeed, by (i), (ii), (iii) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |a(x, t, \xi) - a(x, t, \eta)| &\leq \beta [1 + |\xi|^p + |\eta|^p]^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}} (a(x, t, \xi) - a(x, t, \eta), \xi - \eta)_{\mathbf{R}^m}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \beta \alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}} [1 + |\xi|^p + |\eta|^p]^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |a(x, t, \xi) - a(x, t, \eta)|^{\frac{1}{p}} |\xi - \eta|^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$|a(x, t, \xi) - a(x, t, \eta)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \beta \alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}} [1 + |\xi|^p + |\eta|^p]^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |\xi - \eta|^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The thesis follows choosing $\beta' \geq (\alpha^{-1} \beta^p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$.

Definition 2.16. We denote $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta', p)$ the class of Carathéodory functions $a : \Omega \times (0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii)'. By Remark 2.15,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta', p).$$

We denote $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta', p)$ the subclass of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta', p)$ of functions a independent of t .

Definition 2.17. Let $F : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a continuous function such that

$$F(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T].$$

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p, F)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$ for which also the following request is satisfied:

$$(iv) \quad |a(x, t, \xi) - a(x, s, \xi)| \leq F(|t - s|) (1 + |\xi|^p)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |\xi|$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $t, s \in [0, T]$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^m$.

Remark 2.18. Let $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$ and define the operators

$$A(t) : V \rightarrow V', \quad A(t)u := \operatorname{div}_X(a(x, t, Xu)), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

and

$$A : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}', \quad Au := \operatorname{div}_X(a(x, t, Xu)).$$

Notice that $\mathcal{A}u(t) = A(t)u(t)$ for $u \in \mathcal{V}$, that $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto \langle A(t)u, v \rangle_{V' \times V}$ is measurable for every $u, v \in V$ and, by Definition 2.14 (iii), that \mathcal{A} satisfies Theorem 2.10 b), possibly with a constant β' in place of β .

Now consider a sequence of functions

$$\rho_h : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, \quad h \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\},$$

denote by $\Omega_{+,h}$ and Ω_+ , respectively, the sets

$$\Omega_{+,h} := \{x \in \Omega \mid \rho_h(x) = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_+ := \{x \in \Omega \mid \rho(x) = 1\}$$

and define

$$H_{+,h} = L^2(\Omega_{+,h}) \quad \text{and} \quad H_+ = L^2(\Omega_+).$$

Moreover, let $(a_h)_h \subset \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0,T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$ and let $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0,T)}(\alpha', \beta', p)$ for some positive constants $\alpha \leq \beta$, $\alpha' \leq \beta'$, and denote by

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} A_h(t) : V &\rightarrow V', & A_h(t)u &:= \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, Xu(x))), \\ A(t) : V &\rightarrow V', & A(t)u &:= \operatorname{div}_X(a(x, t, Xu(x))), \\ \mathcal{A}_h : \mathcal{V} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}', & \mathcal{A}_h u &:= \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, Xu(x, t))), \\ \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{V} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}', & \mathcal{A}u &:= \operatorname{div}_X(a(x, t, Xu(x, t))), \\ \mathcal{P}_h : \mathcal{W}_{\rho_h} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}', & \mathcal{P}_h u &:= \rho_h u' + \mathcal{A}_h u, \\ \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{W}_\rho &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}', & \mathcal{P}u &:= \rho u' + \mathcal{A}u. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, fix $f \in \mathcal{V}'$ and $\varphi \in H$, let $u_h \in \mathcal{W}_{\rho_h}$ and $u \in \mathcal{W}_\rho$ be, respectively, the unique solutions to

$$(P_h) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_h u = f & \text{in } \mathcal{V}' \\ u(0) = \varphi & \text{in } H_{+,h} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}u = f & \text{in } \mathcal{V}' \\ u(0) = \varphi & \text{in } H_+ \end{cases}.$$

Definition 2.19. Let $\mathcal{P}_h, \mathcal{P}, f, \varphi, u_h$ and u be as above. We say that \mathcal{P}_h G -converges to \mathcal{P} if, up to subsequences, the following convergences hold:

$$u_h \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(0, T; H),$$

$$a_h(\cdot, \cdot, Xu_h) \rightarrow a(\cdot, \cdot, Xu) \quad \text{weakly in } L^{p'}(0, T; L^{p'}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m)).$$

We give a further notion of convergence for a subclass of $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0,T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$.

Definition 2.20. Given $(a_h)_h \subset \mathcal{M}_\Omega(\alpha, \beta, p)$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}_\Omega(\alpha', \beta', p)$, we say that A_h EG -converges to A if, fixed $g \in V'$ and denoted by $v_h \in V$ and $v \in V$, respectively, the unique solutions to problems

$$(E_h) \quad A_h u = g \quad \text{in } V'$$

and

$$(E) \quad A u = g \quad \text{in } V',$$

one has (up to subsequences)

$$v_h \rightarrow v \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega),$$

$$a_h(\cdot, Xv_h) \rightarrow a(\cdot, Xv) \quad \text{weakly in } L^{p'}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m).$$

Sometimes to simplify, if A_h EG -converge to A , we will simply write

$$a_h \xrightarrow{EG} a \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

3. AUXILIARY COMPACTNESS RESULTS

The sequence of solutions $(u_h)_h$ to problems (P_h) are naturally compact in $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T); \rho)$, where ρ is the L^∞ weak $*$ limit of $(\rho_h)_h$ or of one its subsequences (see [27, Theorem 2.18]). In this way, one has no information in a subset where ρ vanishes, if this has positive measure. To overcome this problem, in this section we consider some results regarding a sequence of purely parabolic operators which approximate the elliptic-parabolic operators defined in (9). For these approximating problems we will have compactness in $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ and, in fact, in $L^p(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ for the sequence of solutions.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and consider the sequence of functions

$$\rho_{h,\epsilon} := \rho_h + \epsilon,$$

a sequence $(a_h)_h \subset \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$, associated to a sequence of operators $\mathcal{A}_h : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ as in (9), and define the sequence of parabolic operators

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{P}_{h,\epsilon} := \rho_{h,\epsilon} \partial_t + \mathcal{A}_h, \quad h \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Notice that the operators $\mathcal{P}_{h,\epsilon}$ are defined in \mathcal{W} , as observed in Remark 2.11. In this section, we consider the sequence of problems

$$(P_{h,\epsilon}) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{h,\epsilon} u = f & \text{in } \mathcal{V}' \\ u(0) = \varphi & \text{in } H \end{cases}$$

for fixed $f \in \mathcal{V}'$ and $\varphi \in H$. Notice that, up to taking a subsequence, there exists $\rho_\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow [\epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$ such that

$$\rho_{h,\epsilon} \rightarrow \rho_\epsilon \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega)\text{-weak } *.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u_{h,\epsilon} \in \mathcal{W}$ be the solution to problem $(P_{h,\epsilon})$, $h \in \mathbf{N}$, and let u_ϵ be the limit, up to subsequences, of $(u_{h,\epsilon})_h$ in $L^p(0, T; H)$. Then,*

$$u_{h,\epsilon} \rightarrow u_\epsilon \quad \text{in } \mathbf{C}^0([0, T]; H) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.10 and Remark 2.11, there exists a positive constant c , depending on f, φ and p , such that

$$\|u_h\|_{\mathcal{W}} \leq c \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then the conclusion follows by Proposition 2.8 and Remark 2.11. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Fix $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ and assume the existence of $\rho_\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow [\epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$, $\eta_\delta : \Omega \rightarrow [\delta, 1 + \delta]$ such that $\rho_{h,\epsilon} \rightarrow \rho_\epsilon$ and $\rho_{h,\delta} \rightarrow \rho_\delta$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ -weak $*$. Moreover, consider two sequence $(v_h)_h, (w_h)_h \subset \mathcal{W}$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} v_h &\rightarrow v \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{V}, & \rho_{h,\epsilon} v'_h &\rightarrow \rho_\epsilon v' \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{V}', \\ w_h &\rightarrow w \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{V}, & \eta_{h,\delta} w'_h &\rightarrow \eta_\delta w' \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{V}' \end{aligned}$$

and two sequences $(M_h)_h, (N_h)_h \subset L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m))$ weakly convergent to M and to N in $L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m))$, respectively. Suppose that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{h,\epsilon} v'_h + \operatorname{div}_X M_h &= f & \text{in } \mathcal{V}', \\ \eta_{h,\delta} w'_h + \operatorname{div}_X N_h &= g & \text{in } \mathcal{V}' \end{aligned}$$

for some $f, g \in \mathcal{V}'$. Then,

$$(M_h - N_h, Xv_h - Xw_h)_{\mathbf{R}^m} \rightarrow (M - N, Xv - Xw)_{\mathbf{R}^m} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)).$$

Proof. The proof is a simple computation and can be obtained multiplying the first equation in (11) by $v_h\phi$ and the second one by $w_h\phi$ for some test function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega \times (0, T))$. For the details we refer to [29, Theorem 5.15]. \square

Lemma 3.3. Denote by $u_{h,\epsilon}(f, \varphi)$ the solution to problem $(P_{h,\epsilon})$ and denote by ρ_ϵ a function such that

$$\rho_{h,\epsilon} \rightarrow \rho_\epsilon \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega)\text{-weak}^* \text{ as } h \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then, there exist three continuous operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon} &: \mathcal{V}' \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{W}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{\rho_\epsilon} &: \mathcal{V}' \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{V}', \\ \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon} &: \mathcal{V}' \times H \rightarrow L^{p'}(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbf{R}^m) \end{aligned}$$

such that, up to subsequences,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{h,\epsilon}(f, \varphi) &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) \quad \text{in } L^p(0, T; H), \\ \mathcal{A}_h u_{h,\epsilon}(f, \varphi) &\rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}', \\ a_h(\cdot, \cdot, Xu_{h,\epsilon}(f, \varphi)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbf{R}^m)\text{-weak}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}$ is injective, $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}' \times H)$ is dense in \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\rho_\epsilon}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\epsilon \partial_t (\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi)) + \mathcal{K}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) &= f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}', \\ \mathcal{K}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) &= \operatorname{div}_X \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}', \\ \mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi)(0) &= \varphi \quad \text{in } H \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{V}'$, $\varphi \in H$.

Proof. The proof can be obtained following and adapting (since this is for $p = 2$) the analogous one contained in [31] or, for $p \geq 2$, the proof contained in [35]. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we finally prove Theorem 1.1. Let us first prove the following result of relative compactness of a sequence of parabolic operators $(\mathcal{P}_{h,\epsilon})_h$ for a fixed positive ϵ .

Theorem 4.1. Consider a sequence $(a_h)_h \subset \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$ and the sequence of parabolic operators $(\mathcal{P}_{h,\epsilon})_h$ defined in (10). Then, there exists $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta', p)$, independent both of ρ and of ϵ , with $\beta' = (\alpha^{-1} \beta^p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \max\{1, \alpha^{-\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\}$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$\rho_{h,\epsilon} \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X)) \quad G\text{-converges to} \quad \rho_\epsilon \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a(x, t, X)).$$

Proof. Since by Lemma 3.3 $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}$ is injective, we can define an operator

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon} : \mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}' \times H) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}', \quad \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi)) := \mathcal{K}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi)$$

which satisfies

$$\|v - w\|_{\mathcal{V}}^p \leq \langle \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon} v - \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon} w, v - w \rangle_{\mathcal{V}' \times \mathcal{V}}$$

for every $v, w \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}' \times H)$. In a similar way to the computations made in Remark 2.15, one gets that this operator satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon} v - \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon} w\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq \tilde{\beta} \left(T |\Omega| + \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}}^p + \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \|v - w\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

for every $v, w \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}' \times H)$, where $\tilde{\beta} = (\beta \alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Since $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\mathcal{V}' \times H)$ is dense in \mathcal{W} , and then also in \mathcal{V} , by the previous estimate $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon}$ can be extended to another operator, still denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$, satisfying the above estimate. From Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\epsilon (\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi))' + \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi)) &= f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}', \\ \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi)) &= \operatorname{div}_X \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}'. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rho_\epsilon} u := \rho_\epsilon \partial_t u + \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon} u, \quad u \in \mathcal{W},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\rho_\epsilon} : \mathcal{W} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}' \times H \\ u &\mapsto \mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}^{-1} u \end{aligned}$$

and, more specifically,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}^{-1} u = (\mathcal{P}_{\rho_\epsilon} u, u(0))$$

and consider the composition $\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} := \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\rho_\epsilon} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow L^{p'}(0, T; \mathbf{R}^m)$. Let us show that

$$(13) \quad (\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u - \mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} v, Xu - Xv)_{\mathbf{R}^m} \geq \alpha |Xu - Xv|^p,$$

$$(14)$$

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u - \mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} v| \leq \beta' [1 + (\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u, Xu)_{\mathbf{R}^m} + (\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} v, Xv)_{\mathbf{R}^m}]^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} |Xu - Xv|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

a.e. in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ for any $u, v \in \mathcal{W}$.

Fix $u, v \in \mathcal{W}$, let $(f, \varphi), (g, \psi) \in \mathcal{V}' \times H$ be such that (notice that also u and v depend, a priori, on ϵ)

$$u(x, t) = \mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, t) = \mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(g, \psi)$$

for any $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$ and denote

$$\begin{aligned} u_{h, \epsilon} &:= \mathcal{P}_{h, \epsilon}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\rho_\epsilon} u), \\ v_{h, \epsilon} &:= \mathcal{P}_{h, \epsilon}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\rho_\epsilon} v). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(\cdot, \cdot, Xu_{h, \epsilon}(f, \varphi)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) = \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\rho_\epsilon} u) = \mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u, \\ a_h(\cdot, \cdot, Xv_{h, \epsilon}(g, \psi)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(g, \psi) = \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\rho_\epsilon} v) = \mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} v \end{aligned}$$

weakly in $L^{p'}(0, T; \mathbf{R}^m)$. Since, by Definition 2.14 (ii), it holds that

$$(a_h(x, t, Xu_{h, \epsilon}) - a_h(x, t, Xv_{h, \epsilon}), Xu_{h, \epsilon} - Xv_{h, \epsilon})_{\mathbf{R}^m} \geq \alpha |Xu_{h, \epsilon} - Xv_{h, \epsilon}|^p,$$

then, by Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 2.13, we get (13).

Moreover, by Definition 2.14,

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_h(x, t, Xu_{h,\epsilon}) - a_h(x, t, Xv_{h,\epsilon})| \\ & \leq \beta \left[1 + \alpha^{-1}(a_h(x, t, Xu_{h,\epsilon}), Xu_{h,\epsilon})_{\mathbf{R}^m} + \alpha^{-1}(a_h(x, t, Xv_{h,\epsilon}), Xv_{h,\epsilon})_{\mathbf{R}^m} \right]^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\ & \quad \times \alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}} (a_h(x, t, Xu_{h,\epsilon}) - a_h(x, t, Xv_{h,\epsilon}), Xu_{h,\epsilon} - Xv_{h,\epsilon})_{\mathbf{R}^m}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 2.13

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) - \mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(g, \psi)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left[1 + \alpha^{-1}((\mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi), X\mathcal{B}_\epsilon(f, \varphi))_{\mathbf{R}^m} + (\mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}(g, \psi), X\mathcal{B}(g, \psi))_{\mathbf{R}^m}) \right]^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\ & \quad \times |X\mathcal{B}(f, \varphi) - X\mathcal{B}(g, \psi)|^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u - \mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} v| \leq \\ & \leq \beta' [1 + (\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u, Xu)_{\mathbf{R}^m} + (\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} v, Xv)_{\mathbf{R}^m}]^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} |Xu - Xv|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta' = (\alpha^{-1} \beta^p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \max\{1, \alpha^{-\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\}$, and (14) follows too.

We now want to write the operator $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon}$ in divergence form and to do that we need a function a_{ρ_ϵ} such that

$$\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u = a_{\rho_\epsilon}(x, t, Xu).$$

To this purpose, consider $(\omega_j)_j$ and $(I_j)_j$, respectively, increasing sequences of open subsets of Ω and $(0, T)$ such that $\bar{\omega}_j \subset \Omega$ and $\bar{I}_j \subset (0, T)$ for every $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and such that

$$\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_j \times I_j = \Omega \times (0, T)$$

and let $(\phi_j)_j \subset \mathbf{C}_0^1(\Omega \times (0, T))$ be such that

$$\phi_j \equiv 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \omega_j \times I_j \quad \text{for every } j \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Now fix $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^m$ and, in according with (H4), let $u \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the unique X -affine function such that

$$Xu(x) = \xi \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

and denote

$$u_j^\xi(x, t) := \phi_j(x, t)u(x) \quad \text{for any } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T).$$

Then

$$(16) \quad Xu_j^\xi = \xi \quad \text{in } \omega_j \times I_j$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u_i^\xi(x, t) = \mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u_j^\xi(x, t) \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in \omega_j \times I_j$$

for any $i > j$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^m$, that is, $a_{\rho_\epsilon} : \Omega \times (0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$, defined by

$$a_{\rho_\epsilon}(x, t, \xi) := \mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u_j^\xi(x, t) \quad \text{if } (x, t) \in \omega_j \times I_j,$$

is well-defined. Therefore, we define for each $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$

$$a_{\rho_\epsilon}(x, t, \xi) := \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{N}_{\rho_\epsilon} u_j^\xi(x, t).$$

Moreover, the map $a_{\rho_\epsilon}(x, t, \cdot) : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ turns out to be continuous for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$ and $a_{\rho_\epsilon}(\cdot, \cdot, \xi) : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ turns out to be measurable for every $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^m$. Finally, by (13) and (15), a_{ρ_ϵ} satisfies conditions (ii) and (iii)' of Definition 2.14 and Remark 2.15.

Let us show that $a_{\rho_\epsilon}(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = 0$. Fix $\xi = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^m$ and notice that $u \equiv 0$ is a X -affine function satisfying $Xu = 0$. Moreover, $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and, by the uniqueness of X -affine functions satisfying $Xu = 0$, we get that the function

$$u_j^0 = 0 \quad \text{for every } j \in \mathbf{N},$$

where u_j^0 is defined as in (16).

Since $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(0, 0) = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi) = f - \rho \partial_t (\mathcal{B}_{\rho_\epsilon}(f, \varphi)),$$

we also get that $\mathcal{K}_{\rho_\epsilon}(0, 0) = 0$ and finally, by (12), that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon}(0, 0) = 0.$$

At this point, by the definitions of $\mathcal{K}_{\rho_\epsilon}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\rho_\epsilon}$, we finally get that

$$a_{\rho_\epsilon}(x, t, 0) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T).$$

We conclude showing that a_{ρ_ϵ} , and then $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\epsilon}$, are independent of the limit function ρ and of its approximation ρ_ϵ . Consider the sequences of operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{h, \rho_\epsilon} &:= \rho_{h, \epsilon} \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, \cdot)), \\ \mathcal{P}_{h, \eta_\delta} &:= \eta_{h, \delta} \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, \cdot)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_h, \eta_h : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $h \in \mathbf{N}$, converge, respectively, to ρ and η and $\epsilon, \delta > 0$. By the first part of the proof, there exist two functions

$$a_{\rho_\epsilon}, a_{\eta_\delta} : \Omega \times (0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{h, \epsilon} \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X)) & \quad G\text{-converges to} \quad \rho_\epsilon \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_{\rho_\epsilon}(x, t, X)), \\ \eta_{h, \delta} \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X)) & \quad G\text{-converges to} \quad \eta_\delta \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_{\eta_\delta}(x, t, X)). \end{aligned}$$

Fix $(f, \varphi), (g, \psi) \in \mathcal{V}' \times H$ and denote by u_h and v_h , respectively, the solutions to problems

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{h, \rho_\epsilon} u = f & \text{in } \mathcal{V}' \\ u(0) = \varphi & \text{in } H \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{h, \eta_\delta} u = g & \text{in } \mathcal{V}' \\ u(0) = \psi & \text{in } H \end{cases}.$$

By monotonicity of the operators, it holds that

$$(a_h(x, t, Xu_h) - a_h(x, t, Xv_h), Xu_h - Xv_h)_{\mathbf{R}^m} \phi \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

for any test function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ such that $\phi \geq 0$ and, by Theorem 3.2, integrating and taking the limit, we get

$$(17) \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega (a_{\rho_\epsilon}(x, t, Xu) - a_{\eta_\delta}(x, t, Xv), Xu - Xv)_{\mathbf{R}^m} \phi \, dx \, dt \geq 0$$

for every $\phi \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$(a_{\rho_\epsilon}(x, t, Xu) - a_{\eta_\delta}(x, t, Xv), Xu - Xv)_{\mathbf{R}^m} \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T).$$

Now fix $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^m$, let $v, w \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the X -affine function such that

$$Xv(x) = \xi, \quad Xw(x) = \eta \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

and denote

$$v^\xi(x, t) := \psi(x, t)v(x), \quad w^\eta(x, t) := \psi(x, t)w(x),$$

with $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_c^1(\Omega \times (0, T))$ satisfying

$$\psi \equiv 1 \quad \text{in } \omega \times I \subset\subset \Omega \times (0, T).$$

Let $u = v^\xi + \tau w^\eta$ and $v = v^\xi$, with $\tau > 0$. Then, by (17), as τ goes to zero,

$$(a_{\rho_\epsilon}(x, t, \xi) - a_{\eta_\delta}(x, t, \xi), \eta)_{\mathbf{R}^m} \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \omega \times I$$

and, from the arbitrariness of ξ, η, ω and I , we conclude that $a_{\rho_\epsilon} = a_{\eta_\delta}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This theorem is in fact a simple corollary of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 2.12. Indeed, we consider the sequence of operators $(\mathcal{P}_h)_h$ and the sequence $(\mathcal{P}_{h,\epsilon})_h$ defined in (10). By Theorem 4.1, there exists $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta', p)$ and an operator $\mathcal{A} = \text{div}_X(a(x, t, X))$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}_{h,\epsilon} \xrightarrow[h \rightarrow +\infty]{G} \mathcal{P}_\epsilon = \rho_\epsilon \partial_t + \text{div}_X(a(x, t, X)).$$

Now, defining

$$\mathcal{P} = \rho \partial_t + \text{div}_X(a(x, t, X)),$$

to conclude it is sufficient to observe that, by Theorem 2.12,

$$\mathcal{P}_{h,\epsilon} \xrightarrow[\epsilon \rightarrow 0]{G} \mathcal{P}_h, \quad \mathcal{P}_\epsilon \xrightarrow[\epsilon \rightarrow 0]{G} \mathcal{P}.$$

\square

Remark 4.2. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, one can define G -convergence only on the elliptic (but depending on time) operators $\mathcal{A}_h = \text{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X))$ and write

$$\mathcal{A}_h \quad G\text{-converges to} \quad \mathcal{A} = \text{div}_X(a(x, t, X))$$

or, more simply,

$$a_h \xrightarrow{G} a \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

to say that (3) holds for every sequence $(\rho_h)_h$ converging to a function ρ .

G -convergence of elliptic operators still depending on time has not to be confused with EG -convergence defined in Definition 2.20. Indeed, as already mentioned in the Introduction, let $(a_h)_h \subset \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p)$, let $\mathcal{A}_h, A_h(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, be the associated operators as in Remark 2.18 and assume that

$$\mathcal{A}_h \quad G\text{-converges to} \quad \mathcal{A} = \text{div}_X(a(\cdot, \cdot, X)),$$

and

$$A_h(t) \quad EG\text{-converges to} \quad B(t) = \text{div}_X(b(\cdot, t, X)) \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, T].$$

This, in general, does not imply that $a = b$ (see [8, Theorem 5.13], the example which follows in [8], and also [31, Remark 8]). Nevertheless, under some suitable assumptions, one gets that $a = b$. This is what is stated in our last result, where we consider sequences in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p, F)$, even if this is not the optimal one (see [8]). The proof of the following result can be obtained by adapting [29, Section 7].

Theorem 4.3. Consider a sequence $(a_h)_h \subset \mathcal{M}_{\Omega \times (0, T)}(\alpha, \beta, p, F)$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $a_h(\cdot, t, \cdot) \xrightarrow{EG} a(\cdot, t, \cdot)$ in Ω for every $t \in [0, T]$,
- (ii) $a_h \xrightarrow{G} a$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$.

Eventually, we want to stress that Theorem 1.1 gives a compactness result for a sequence of elliptic-parabolic operators $\rho_h \partial_t + \operatorname{div}_X(a_h(x, t, X))$, which is independent of the sequence $(\rho_h)_h$. Hence, we have the two following particular cases.

Parabolic case - Taking $\rho_h \equiv 1$ for every $h \in \mathbf{N}$, we get compactness for purely parabolic operators.

Elliptic case - Taking $\rho_h \equiv 0$ for every $h \in \mathbf{N}$, and confining to consider sequences $(a_h)_h$ in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta, p)$ (i.e., independent of t), the compactness for purely elliptic operators.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BALDI, B. FRANCHI, N. TCHOU, M. C. TESI, *Compensated compactness for differential forms in Carnot groups and applications*, Adv. Math., 223 (2010), no. 5, 1555–1607.
- [2] O. CALIN, D.-C. CHANG, M. EASTWOOD, *Integrability conditions for the Grushin and Martinet distributions*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.) 8 (2013), no. 2, 159–168.
- [3] O. CALIN, D.-C. CHANG, M. EASTWOOD, *Integrability conditions for Heisenberg and Grushin-type distributions*, Anal. Math. Phys. 4 (2014), no. 1-2, 99–114.
- [4] O. CALIN, D.-C. CHANG, J. HU, *Poincaré’s lemma on the Heisenberg group*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 60 (2014), 90–102.
- [5] O. CALIN, D.-C. CHANG, J. HU, *Integrability conditions on Engel-type manifolds*, Anal. Math. Phys. 5(3), (2015), 217–231.
- [6] O. CALIN, D.-C. CHANG, J. HU, *Integrability conditions on a sub-Riemannian structure on \mathbb{S}^3* , Anal. Math. Phys. 7(1), (2017), 9–18.
- [7] V. CHIADÒ PIAT, G. DAL MASO, A. DEFRANCESCHI, *G-convergence of monotone operators*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 7 (1990), no. 3, 123–160.
- [8] F. COLOMBINI, S. SPAGNOLO, *Sur la convergence de solutions d’équations paraboliques*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 56 (1977), no. 3, 263–305.
- [9] G. DAL MASO, *An introduction to Γ -convergence*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1993).
- [10] E. DE GIORGI, T. FRANZONI, *Su un tipo di convergenza variazionale*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (8) 58 (1975), no. 6, 842–850.
- [11] E. DE GIORGI, S. SPAGNOLO, *Sulla convergenza degli integrali dell’energia per operatori ellittici del secondo ordine*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 8 (1973), 391–411.
- [12] F. ESSEBEI, A. PINAMONTI, S. VERZELLESI, *Integral Representation of Local Functionals*, submitted. Preprint available at <https://cvgmt.sns.it/paper/5174/>.
- [13] G.B. FOLLAND, E.M. STEIN, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Mathematical Notes 28, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1982.
- [14] B. FRANCHI, C.E. GUTIÉRREZ, T. VAN NGUYEN, *Homogenization and convergence of correctors in Carnot groups*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 30 (2005), no. 10-12, 1817–1841.
- [15] B. FRANCHI, N. TCHOU, M.C. TESI, *Div-curl type theorem, H-convergence and Stokes formula in the Heisenberg group*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 8 (2006), no. 1, 67–99.
- [16] B. FRANCHI, M.C. TESI, *Two-scale homogenization in the Heisenberg group*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 81(9), (2002), 495–532.

- [17] B. FRANCHI, R. SERAPIONI, F. SERRA CASSANO, *Meyers-Serrin type theorems and relaxation of variational integrals depending on vector fields*, Houston J. Math., **22** (1996), no. 4, 859–890.
- [18] B. FRANCHI, R. SERAPIONI, F. SERRA CASSANO *Approximation and imbedding theorems for weighted Sobolev spaces associated with Lipschitz continuous vector fields*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7), **11** (1997), no. 1, 83–117.
- [19] N. GAROFALO, D.-M. NHIEU, *Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **49** (1996), no. 10, 1081–1144.
- [20] A. KRISTÁLY, *Poincaré’s lemma on some non-Euclidean structures*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B **39** (2018), no. 2, 297–314.
- [21] J.L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [22] A. MAIONE, *H-convergence for equations depending on monotone operators in Carnot groups*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **2021** (2021), no. 13, 1–13.
- [23] A. MAIONE, A. PINAMONTI, F. SERRA CASSANO, *Γ -convergence for functionals depending on vector fields. I. Integral representation and compactness*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), **139** (2020), 109–142.
- [24] A. MAIONE, A. PINAMONTI, F. SERRA CASSANO, *Γ -convergence for functionals depending on vector fields. II. Convergence of minimizers*, submitted. Preprint available at <https://cvgmt.sns.it/paper/5107/>.
- [25] A. MAIONE, E. VECCHI, *Integral representation of local left-invariant functionals in Carnot groups*, Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces, **8** (2020), no. 1, 1–14.
- [26] A. PANKOV, *G-convergence and homogenization of nonlinear partial differential operators*, Mathematics and its Applications, **422**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1997).
- [27] F. PARONETTO, *Existence results for a class of evolution equations of mixed type*, J. Funct. Anal. **212** (2004), no. 2, 324–356.
- [28] F. PARONETTO, *Asymptotic behaviour of a class of degenerate elliptic-parabolic operators: a unitary approach*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **13** (2007), no. 4, 669–691.
- [29] F. PARONETTO, *G-convergence of mixed type evolution operators*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **93** (2010), no. 4, 361–407.
- [30] F. PARONETTO, *Further existence results for elliptic-parabolic and forward-backward parabolic equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 59 (2020), no. 4, Paper No. 137, 30 pp.
- [31] S. SPAGNOLO, *Convergence of Parabolic Equations*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (5) **14** (1977), no. 2 547–568.
- [32] S. SPAGNOLO, *Una caratterizzazione degli operatori differenziali autoaggiunti del 2° ordine a coefficienti misurabili e limitati*, Rend. del Sem. Mat. dell’Univ. di Padova **39** (1967), 56–64.
- [33] S. SPAGNOLO, *Sul limite delle soluzioni di problemi di Cauchy relativi all’equazione del calore*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) **21** (1967), 657–699.
- [34] S. SPAGNOLO, *Sulla convergenza di soluzioni di equazioni paraboliche ed ellittiche*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) **22** (1968), 571–597; errata, ibid. (3) **22**, 673.
- [35] N. SVANSTEDT, *G-convergence of parabolic operators*, Nonlinear Anal., **36** (1999), no. 7 Ser. A: Theory Methods, 807–842.
- [36] R.E. SHOWALTER, *Monotone operators in Banach space and nonlinear partial differential equations*, (English summary) Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 49. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [37] L. TARTAR, *Cours Peccot*, Collège de France, 1977. Partially written in: F. MURAT, *H-convergence - Séminaire d’Analyse Fonctionnelle et Numérique*, Université d’Alger, 1977-78. English translation: F. MURAT - L. TARTAR: *H-Convergence*, in *Topics in the Mathematical Modelling of Composite Materials* (A. Cherkaev, R. Kohn, editors), Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997, 21–43.

- [38] L. TARTAR, *The general theory of homogenization, A personalized introduction*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, **7**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin; UMI, Bologna, 2009.
- [39] E. ZEIDLER, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. II/A, II/B*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [40] V.V. ZHIKOV, S.M. KOZLOV, O.A. OLEINIK, K.T. NGOAN, *Averaging and G-convergence of differential operators*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **34** (1979), no. 5(209), 65–133, 256.

ALBERTO MAIONE: ABTEILUNG FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, HERMANN-HERDER-STRASSE 10, 79104 FREIBURG I. BR. - GERMANY,

Email address: `alberto.maione@mathematik.uni-freiburg.de`

FABIO PARONETTO: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121, PADOVA - ITALY,

Email address: `fabio.paronetto@unipd.it`

EUGENIO VECCHI: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, POLITECNICO DI MILANO, PIAZZA LEONARDO DA VINCI, 20133, MILANO (MI) - ITALY,

Email address: `eugenio.vecchi@polimi.it`