Impact of the leading-order short-range nuclear matrix element on the neutrinoless double-beta decay of medium-mass and heavy nuclei

Lotta Jokiniemi, Pablo Soriano, Javier Menéndez

Departament de Física Quàntica i Astrofísica and Institut de Ciències del Cosmos, Universitat de Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

We evaluate the leading-order short-range nuclear matrix element for the neutrinoless double-beta $(0\nu\beta\beta)$ decay of the nuclei most relevant for experiments, including ⁷⁶Ge, ¹⁰⁰Mo, ¹³⁰Te and ¹³⁶Xe. In our calculations, performed with the nuclear shell model and proton-neutron quasiparticle random-phase approximation (pnQRPA) methods, we estimate the coupling of this term by the contact charge-independence-breaking coupling of various nuclear Hamiltonians. Our results suggest a significant impact of the short-range matrix element, which is about 15% – 50% and 30% – 80% of the standard $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay long-range matrix element for the shell model and pnQRPA, respectively. Combining the full matrix elements with the results from current $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay experiments we find that, if both matrix elements carry the same sign, these searches move notably toward probing the inverted mass ordering of neutrino masses.

Keywords: Neutrinoless double-beta decay, nuclear matrix elements, quasiparticle random-phase approximation, nuclear shell model

1. Introduction

Neutrinoless double-beta $(0\nu\beta\beta)$ decay is a hypothetical nuclear process where two neutrons turn into two protons and only two electrons are emitted. Since two leptons are created, an observation of this decay would point to an event beyond the standard model (SM) of particle physics. Further, $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay can only occur if the neutrino is a Majorana particle—its own antiparticle—unlike any other fundamental particle known. The observation of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay would therefore shed light on beyond-SM physics such as the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe [1, 2], and the nature of the neutrino [3–7].

Double-beta decay with the emission of neutrinos, which is allowed by the SM, has already been observed in about a dozen nuclei [8] where β decay is energetically forbidden or very suppressed. The neutrinoless mode is under massive searches by several large-scale experiments worldwide [9–14], with the most stringent half-life limits given by $t_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 1.8 \times 10^{26}$ y for ⁷⁶Ge [10], $t_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 1.07 \times 10^{26}$ y for ¹³⁶Xe [14] and $t_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.2 \times 10^{25}$ y for ¹³⁰Te [9]. However, in order to interpret the experimental results it is crucial to have reliable $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay nuclear matrix elements (NMEs), which need to be predicted from nuclear theory.

Even though several beyond-SM mechanisms can trigger $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, one of the best motivated scenarios involves the exchange of the three known light neutrinos. The corresponding NMEs can be obtained with the nuclear shell model (NSM) [15-18], the proton-neutron guasiparticle random-phase approximation (pnQRPA) method [19–24], energydensity functional theory [25-27] or the interacting boson model [28, 29]. Ab initio methods also provide NMEs for selected $\beta\beta$ nuclei: the in-medium similarity renormalization group (IMSRG) [30] and coupled cluster [31] frameworks for ${}^{48}Ca$, and the valence-space IMSRG for ⁴⁸Ca, ⁷⁶Ge and ⁸²Se [32]. However, present predictions for the NMEs disagree by more than a factor of two [5]. In addition, many of these many-body methods typically overestimate

Email addresses: jokiniemi@ub.edu (Lotta Jokiniemi), pablosoriano@ub.edu (Pablo Soriano), javier.menendez@fqa.ub.edu (Javier Menéndez)

Preprint submitted to Physics Letters B

matrix elements driven by the nuclear spin [16, 33– 36], a feature sometimes corrected by "quenching" the value of the axial-vector coupling $g_A \simeq 1.27$. The exception are ab initio methods, which reproduce β -decay matrix elements well without additional adjustments [37]. Nonetheless, if and to what extent $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay NMEs need to be corrected remains an open question [5, 38]. A complementary avenue is to use nuclear physics experiments to constrain the NMEs [39–44], but observables well correlated with $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay are also hard to access [45, 46]. In sum, NME uncertainties complicate the extraction of additional physics information from $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay experiments.

Furthermore, recently Refs. [47, 48] introduced a previously unacknowledged short-range matrix element which appears at leading order in lightneutrino-exchange $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay. This brings an additional, potentially significant uncertainty to $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay NMEs, especially because the value of the hadronic coupling associated with the new term is not known. First quantum Monte Carlo studies in very light ¹²Be, estimating the new coupling from the charge-independence-breaking (CIB) coupling of nuclear Hamiltonians, indicate that this term could amount to as much as 80% of the standard long-range NME [48]. The new term was also studied in 48 Ca with coupled cluster theory [31]. More recently, Refs. [49, 50] provide synthetic data to fix the coupling of the short-range term in ab initio calculations, a procedure leading to a 43(7)%enhancement of the ⁴⁸Ca IMSRG NME [51].

In this Letter, we extend these studies and explore for the first time the short-range $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay NME in a wide range of $\beta\beta$ emitters, including all nuclei used in the most advanced experiments [9–14] and proposals for next generation searches [52–54]. We perform many-body calculations of medium-mass and heavy nuclei with nucleon number A = 48 - 136 with the pnQRPA and large-scale NSM frameworks commonly used to obtain long-range NMEs, and we take CIB couplings to estimate the size of the short-range NMEs. Finally, we analyze the impact of this new term on current $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay searches combining in a consistent manner the likelihood functions of the most constraining experiments with the full NMEs for different nuclei.

2. Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay

The $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-life can be written as [5, 47]

$$[t_{1/2}^{0\nu}]^{-1} = G_{0\nu} g_{\rm A}^4 |M_{\rm L}^{0\nu} + M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}|^2 \frac{m_{\beta\beta}^2}{m_e^2} , \quad (1)$$

where $G_{0\nu}$ is a phase-space factor for the finalstate leptons [55], and $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ and $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ are the longand short-range NMEs, with unknown relative sign. The effective Majorana mass $m_{\beta\beta} = \sum_i U_{ei}m_i$ (normalized to the electron mass m_e) characterizes the lepton-number violation and depends on the neutrino masses m_i and mixing matrix U.

The matrix element $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ denotes the standard light-neutrino-exchange matrix element, which can be written in the familiar way [5]

$$M_{\rm L}^{0\nu} = M_{\rm GT}^{0\nu} - \left(\frac{g_{\rm V}}{g_{\rm A}}\right)^2 M_{\rm F}^{0\nu} + M_{\rm T}^{0\nu} , \qquad (2)$$

with Gamow-Teller, Fermi and tensor contributions $M_{\rm GT}^{0\nu}$, $M_{\rm F}^{0\nu}$ and $M_{\rm T}^{0\nu}$, and vector coupling $g_{\rm V} = 1.0$. The calculation of the matrix elements involves, in addition to the initial and final states 0_i^+ and 0_f^+ , a sum over intermediate states, carried out explicitly in the pnQRPA [20]. Alternatively, for our NSM results we use the closure approximation to perform this sum analytically, so that the dominant Gamow-Teller term reads

$$M_{\rm GT}^{0\nu} = \frac{2R}{\pi g_{\rm A}^2} \times \qquad (3)$$
$$\langle 0_f^+ | \sum_{m,n} \tau_m^- \tau_n^- \boldsymbol{\sigma}_m \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \int \frac{j_0(qr) h_{\rm GT}(q^2) q}{q+E} \mathrm{d}q | 0_i^+ \rangle,$$

with sum over the spin σ and isospin τ^- operators of all A nucleons, momentum transfer q, a Bessel function j_0 , and $R = 1.2A^{1/3}$ fm. We use as average energy for the intermediate states E = 0. The matrix element also depends on a neutrino potential, with $h_{\rm GT}(0) = g_{\rm A}^2$ and additional q-dependent subleading terms, regularized with a dipole as in previous pnQRPA [20, 56] and NSM [15] studies. The Fermi and tensor parts follow similar expressions to Eq. (3) [5]. Finally, we correct our manybody states with two-nucleon short-range correlations (SRCs) following the so-called CD-Bonn and Argonne parametrizations [57].

The short-range matrix element connects directly the initial and final nuclei [47]

$$M_{\rm S}^{0\nu} = \frac{2R}{\pi g_{\rm A}^2} \langle 0_f^+ | \sum_{m,n} \tau_m^- \tau_n^- \int j_0(qr) h_{\rm S}(q^2) \, q^2 \mathrm{d}q | 0_i^+ \rangle,$$
(4)

where we choose to regularize the contact term with a Gaussian in the neutrino potential:

$$h_{\rm S}(q^2) = 2g_{\nu}^{\rm NN} e^{-q^2/(2\Lambda^2)}$$
, (5)

with Λ the scale of the regulator.

The coupling $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN}$, not part of the SRCs, can only be fixed by fitting to lepton-number-violating data—currently unavailable—or synthetic data [49, 50 — only accessible to ab initio calculations. Here we follow Ref. [48] and estimate its value by considering the CIB term of different nuclear Hamiltonians, restricted to cases with a Gaussian regulator. This strategy carries some uncertainty since two low-energy constants are needed to fix $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN}$, while only one of them can be extracted from the CIB term—the other one is assumed to have the same value. Nonetheless, the empirical CIB was well reproduced in Refs. [49, 50] with the same strategy used to obtain their synthetic lepton-numberviolating data. Table 1 shows the $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN} - \Lambda$ pairs considered in our work.

3. Many-Body NME Calculations

We perform NSM calculations with the coupled code NATHAN [60]. We use the KB3G [61] interaction in the pf-shell $(0f_{7/2}, 1p_{3/2}, 0f_{5/2}$ and $1p_{1/2}$ orbitals) for A = 48, the RG.5-45 [62] interaction with $1p_{3/2}, 0f_{5/2}, 1p_{1/2}$ and $0g_{9/2}$ orbitals for A = 76, 82 and the GCN5082 [62] interaction with $0g_{7/2}, 1d_{5/2}, 1d_{5/2}, 2s_{1/2}$ and $0h_{11/2}$ orbitals for A = 124 - 136. In all cases our valence space is common to protons and neutrons. Overall, with the NSM we study seven $0\nu\beta\beta$ decays: ⁴⁸Ca, ⁷⁶Ge, ⁸²Se, ¹²⁴Sn, ^{128,130}Te and ¹³⁶Xe. We consider all nuclear configurations in the full valence space except in ¹²⁴Te (final nucleus of the ¹²⁴Sn $\beta\beta$ decay) which is limited to seniority $v \leq 5$ states (up to five broken zero-angular-momentum pairs) instead

Table 1: Couplings $(g_{\nu}^{\rm NN})$ and scales (Λ) of the Gaussian regulator considered for the short-range NME $M_S^{0\nu}$.

$g_{\nu}^{\rm NN}({\rm fm}^2)$	$\Lambda \ ({\rm MeV})$	Ref.
-0.67	450	[58]
-1.01	550	[58]
-1.44	465	[59]
-0.91	465	[59]
-1.44	349	[59]
-1.03	349	[59]

of the full $v \leq 6$ space. We have checked that the corresponding NMEs are converged to the percent level. Compared to the pnQRPA calculations described below, the decays of 96 Zr, 100 Mo and 116 Cd are still out of reach for the NSM.

On the other hand, we use the spherical pn-QRPA method as in Refs. [21, 63]. The large nocore single-particle bases consist of 18 orbitals for A = 76,82 nuclei, 25 orbitals for A = 96,100, and 26 orbitals for A = 124 - 136. In all, in this framework we study the decays of ⁷⁶Ge, ⁸²Se, ⁹⁶Zr, ¹⁰⁰Mo, ¹¹⁶Cd, ¹²⁴Sn, ^{128,130}Te and ¹³⁶Xe, excluding ⁴⁸Ca because the pnQRPA does not describe doubly-magic nuclei reliably. We take the singleparticle energies from a Coulomb-corrected Woods-Saxon potential optimized for nuclei close to the β -stability line [64], but in the vicinity of the Fermi surface we slightly modify them to better reproduce the low-lying spectra of neighboring odd-mass nuclei. The quasiparticle spectra, needed in the pn-QRPA diagonalization, follow the solution of the BCS equations for protons and neutrons. We use the two-body interaction derived from the Bonn-A potential [65], fine-tuning the proton and neutron pairing parameters to reproduce the phenomenological pairing gaps. The residual Hamiltonian for the pnQRPA calculation contains two adjustable scaling factors: the particle-hole $g_{\rm ph}$ and particleparticle g_{pp} parameters. We fix g_{ph} to reproduce the centroid of the Gamow-Teller giant resonance, and $g_{\rm pp}$ to the two-neutrino $\beta\beta$ -decay half-life according to the partial isospin-symmetry restoration scheme introduced in Ref. [66].

4. Results and Discussion

We calculate the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay short- and longrange NMEs for ten heavy nuclei, listed in Table 2. For both NSM and pnQRPA, in all transitions the standard matrix element $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ is larger than the new term $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$. Nonetheless, Table 2 shows that in both many-body frameworks the contribution of the short-range matrix element is significant: in the pnQRPA the ratios of the short- over longrange NMEs typically range between 30% - 80%; in the NSM the ratios are slightly more moderate, between 15% - 50%. Within a given method, the relative size of $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ is in general rather stable. Our results indicate that the short-range contribution can considerably impact the expected rates of current and future $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay experiments. There-

	pnQRPA			NSM		
Nucleus	$M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$	$M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$	$M_{\rm S}^{0 u}/M_{\rm L}^{0 u}(\%)$	$M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$	$M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$	$M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}/M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}(\%)$
^{48}Ca				0.96 - 1.05	0.22 - 0.65	23 - 62
$^{76}\mathrm{Ge}$	4.72 - 5.22	1.49 - 3.80	32 - 73	3.34 - 3.54	0.52 - 1.49	15 - 42
$^{82}\mathrm{Se}$	4.20 - 4.61	1.27 - 3.24	30 - 70	3.20 - 3.38	0.48 - 1.38	15 - 41
$^{96}\mathrm{Zr}$	4.22 - 4.63	1.24 - 3.19	29 - 69			
$^{100}\mathrm{Mo}$	3.40 - 3.95	1.66 - 4.26	49 - 108			
$^{116}\mathrm{Cd}$	4.24 - 4.57	1.10 - 2.80	26 - 61			
124 Sn	4.72 - 5.29	1.69 - 4.28	36 - 81	3.20 - 3.41	0.54 - 1.58	17 - 46
$^{128}\mathrm{Te}$	3.92 - 4.50	1.37 - 3.45	35 - 77	3.56 - 3.80	0.61 - 1.76	17 - 46
$^{130}\mathrm{Te}$	3.46 - 3.89	1.18 - 3.05	34 - 77	3.26 - 3.48	0.57 - 1.64	17 - 47
136 Xe	2.53 - 2.80	0.76 - 1.95	30 - 70	2.62 - 2.79	0.45 - 1.31	17 - 47

Table 2: Long- and short-range $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay matrix elements $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ and $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ calculated with the pnQRPA and nuclear shell model (NSM) for several nuclei. The ranges cover results for neutrino potentials with the couplings and regulators in Table 1, combined with Argonne and CD-Bonn short-range correlations.

fore, the new term should be calculated in heavy nuclei using more consistent $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN}$ values.

The NME ranges in Table 2 are much wider in the case of the short-range term than for the standard matrix element, as the difference between the lower and upper $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ values can be up to a factor of three for both methods. This partially reflects the variety of couplings $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN}$ and regulator scales Λ in Table 1: the smallest short-range values are always given by $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN} = -0.67 \text{ fm}^2$ and $\Lambda = 450 \text{ MeV}$ (with Argonne SRCs), while the largest ones involve in all cases $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN} = -1.44 \text{ fm}^2$ and $\Lambda = 465 \text{ MeV}$ (with CD-Bonn SRCs). In contrast, the small differences in the long-range $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ are driven by the SRCs, with the lower values corresponding to Argonne SRCs and the upper ones to CD-Bonn SRCs.

In order to study the short- and long-range NMEs in more detail, Fig. 1 shows their radial and momentum distributions, denoted by $C_{L/S}(r)$ and $\tilde{C}_{L/S}(q)$, for ⁷⁶Ge. The distributions satisfy

$$\int C_{\rm L/S}(r) dr = M_{\rm L/S}^{0\nu} = \int \widetilde{C}_{\rm L/S}(q) dq , \quad (6)$$

where $r = |r_n - r_m|$ is the distance between the two decaying nucleons. Figure 1 shows the short-range distributions calculated with the two extreme neutrino potentials: $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN} = -0.67 \text{ fm}^2$, $\Lambda = 450 \text{ MeV}$ with Argonne SRCs (dark blue area), and $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN} =$ -1.44 fm^2 , $\Lambda = 465 \text{ MeV}$ with CD-Bonn SRCs (light blue). While the shapes of the two blue areas are similar, the size of the light one is clearly larger in all cases. For the long-range term, Fig. 1 shows results for Argonne (solid red curve) and CD-Bonn (dashed red) SRCs, hardly distinguishable in



Figure 1: Long- (red) and short-range (blue) $^{76}{\rm Ge}$ radial (top) and momentum (bottom panels) matrix-element distributions for the pnQRPA (left) and NSM (right panels). Solid and dashed lines indicate Argonne and CD-Bonn short-range correlations, respectively. Short-range matrix-element distributions are shown for the neutrino potential parameters leading to extreme results: $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN}=-1.44~{\rm fm}^2$ with regulator $\Lambda=465~{\rm MeV}$ (light blue), and $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN}=-0.67~{\rm fm}^2$ with $\Lambda=450~{\rm MeV}$ (dark blue).



Figure 2: Radial long- and short-range matrix-element distributions for 100 Mo calculated with the pnQRPA framework (left), and 48 Ca obtained with the NSM (right panel, with scale at the right y axis). Line and color codes as in Fig. 1.

both NSM and pnQRPA. As expected, the radial distribution of $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ involves shorter internucleon distances than the one of the long-range $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$, and its momentum distribution reaches larger momentum transfers. Apart from the consistently smaller NME values obtained with the NSM, the overall behavior of the matrix-element distributions is quite similar in both frameworks.

Figure 1 also shows differences between manybody methods. In the pnQRPA, the radial distribution of the long-range NME gets a sizeable cancellation from distances $r \gtrsim 2.5$ fm, which is much milder in the NSM. This rather well-known feature of the pnQRPA [20, 56, 57] partly explains the relatively larger size of $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ with respect to $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$, since there are no cancellations in the short-range NME radial distribution. Furthermore, Fig. 1 also highlights that the short-range pnQRPA NME extends to longer distances than the NSM one, whereas the positive contribution to the pnQRPA long-range NME is concentrated at shorter distances. This behavior also leads to larger pnQRPA $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}/M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ ratios. In momentum space, the pnQRPA long-range NME distribution reaches larger momentum transfers, while the NSM one does not vanish at q = 0because of our closure energy E = 0.

Two transitions stand out with the largest relative short-range $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ values: ¹⁰⁰Mo for the pn-QRPA, and ⁴⁸Ca for the NSM. Figure 2 shows the radial short- and long-range NME distributions for these two cases. Apart from the different scales, the ⁴⁸Ca radial distribution resembles the ⁷⁶Ge pn-QRPA long-range one in Fig. 1: there is a sizeable cancellation in $C_{\rm L}(r)$ at distances $r \approx (2-5)$ fm, not observed in any other NSM decay. Such cancellation never occurs for the short-range $C_{\rm S}(r)$, which



Figure 3: Effective Majorana mass $m_{\beta\beta}$ in terms of the lightest neutrino mass $m_{\rm lightest}$ assuming the normal (pink) or inverted (green) ordering of neutrino masses [68, 69], compared to the exclusion (blue) bands which combine data [70] from $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay experiments [9–11, 14] and pnQRPA or NSM NMEs. The upper, middle and lower exclusion bands correspond to NME ranges for $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu} - M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$, $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ and $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu} + M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$, accordingly. The cyan bands correspond to a "quenching" scenario, see text for details.

explains the larger relative contribution of $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ for this nucleus. The relative size of our ⁴⁸Ca shortrange NME is similar to the ab initio result from Ref. [51], however obtained with a different coupling and regulator scheme. Figure 2 also shows a more marked cancellation in the pnQRPA longrange ¹⁰⁰Mo NME than in ⁷⁶Ge. This exceptionally large cancellation, not present in any other nucleus, is explained by a notable negative contribution at low momenta which reduces the value of $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$. This behavior is driven by the 1⁺ multipole which dominates at low-q values, as observed in previous pn-QRPA works [20, 56]. A similar feature appears in light nuclei studied with quantum Monte Carlo [67] and the NSM.

The $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ matrix elements in Table 2 assume $g_{\rm A} = 1.27$. Related NSM and pnQRPA β and two-neutrino $\beta\beta$ decay rates obtained this way are known to be overestimated, calling for corrections usually known as " g_A quenching". While the implications to $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay NMEs are not clear [5], they would only affect the long-range NME, leading to a larger relative impact of the short-range term. We consider such "quenching" scenario to provide

more conservative estimates. On the one hand, we calculate pnQRPA $(g_A^{\text{eff}}/g_A)^2 M_L^{0\nu}$ values with g_{pp} fitted to reproduce two-neutrino $\beta\beta$ -decay half-lifes with $g_A^{\text{eff}} = 1$. The results are reduced by about 20%. In a similar spirit, we multiply the NSM $M_{\text{GT}}^{0\nu}$ terms by $1/g_A^2 \simeq 0.6$, which reduces $M_L^{0\nu}$ by 30% or so. For ⁴⁸Ca, this brings the NSM in good agreement with ab initio theory [30, 32, 51]. With these rough estimates, the short-range NME contribution increases by about 25% in the pnQRPA, reaching about 40%-100% in ⁷⁶Ge, ¹³⁰Te and ¹³⁶Xe. For the NSM, the impact of the short-range term would be enhanced by about 50%, typically up to 25% -70%.

Finally, we explore the impact of our $M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ results on the current reach of the experimental $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay program in terms of bounds on $m_{\beta\beta}$. In order to obtain stronger limits, we follow Ref. [70] to combine our 76 Ge, 130 Te and 136 Xe NMEs with the parameterized likelihood functions of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay rate, extracted from the CUORE [9], GERDA (Phase II) [10], EXO-200 [11] and KamLAND-Zen [14] experiments. To combine the likelihood functions, we convert decay rates into effective Majorana masses according to Eq. (1) with our NMEs and the phase-space factors of Ref. [55]. This way, we obtain 90% confidence level (CL) upper bounds on $m_{\beta\beta}$ from the 90% CL upper bound of the combined likelihood function (related to the Bayesian rather than the frequentist limit [70]).

We consider three different scenarios to derive bounds on $m_{\beta\beta}$: a baseline using the standard $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$; an optimistic scenario assuming common signs for the short- and long-range NMEs (with $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu} + M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ in Table 2); and a pessimistic one where the shortrange part cancels the standard matrix element (with $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu} - M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$). The first consistent determination of the short-range matrix element in ⁴⁸Ca supports the optimistic scenario [51]. We take a matrix-element uncertainty given by the extreme values of $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ and $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu} \pm M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ obtained from the set of 12 calculations corresponding to the six $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN} - \Lambda$ pairs in Table 1 and two SRCs. For ⁷⁶Ge, ¹³⁰Te and ¹³⁶Xe, the extreme values are always given by the same $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN} - \text{SRC}$ combinations.

Figure 3 compares the constraints on $m_{\beta\beta}$ in the three scenarios with the bands corresponding to the normal and inverted neutrino-mass orderings, obtained with neutrino-oscillation data [68] as described in Ref. [69]. The widths of the blue bands in Fig. 3 correspond to the ranges of $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu}$ (middle), $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu} - M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ (top) and $M_{\rm L}^{0\nu} + M_{\rm S}^{0\nu}$ (bottom) in Table 2, and are much larger once the new short-range term is included. The pnQRPA bands are dominated by the likelihood function of GERDA partly due to the large ⁷⁶Ge NME, while the next-constraining experiments are KamLAND-Zen, EXO-200 and CUORE, in that order. In the NSM the hierarchy is similar. In the scenario that both matrix elements carry the same sign, our results indicate that the reach of current experiments approaches the inverted mass-ordering region notably. This feature is more marked when using the pnQRPA NMEs, and may be more moderate if our results obtained with $g_{\rm A} = 1.27$ somewhat underestimate the decay half-lives. A more conservative "quenching" scenario is shown by the cyan bands in Fig. 3. On the other hand, if the signs of the two matrix elements are opposite, experiments would still be far from exploring $m_{\beta\beta}$ values corresponding to the inverted mass ordering. In this case, the pnQRPA and NSM NMEs would be similar within uncertainties.

5. Summary

We have calculated for the first time the shortrange NME which contributes at leading order to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of medium-mass and heavy nuclei including ⁷⁶Ge, ¹⁰⁰Mo, ¹³⁰Te and ¹³⁶Xe. Since the value of the coupling $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN}$ of this short-range term is not known, we estimate it by a set of CIB couplings of different Hamiltonians, together with the corresponding regulators. We find that the new short-range NME values are a significant fraction of the standard long-range ones: typically between 30% - 80% in the pnQRPA and 15% - 50% in the NSM. These ranges are driven by the different couplings $g_{\nu}^{\rm NN}$ considered, and are rather stable among all nuclei. The only exceptions are ¹⁰⁰Mo and ⁴⁸Ca where the ratios are notably larger due to cancellations in the standard long-range NME. Since these cancellations are typically larger in the pnQRPA than in the NSM, for the former the relative impact of the short-range matrix element is also larger.

The new short-range term can also affect the interpretation of present and future $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay searches. To this end, we derive constraints on $m_{\beta\beta}$ using our pnQRPA and NSM NMEs to combine the likelihood functions of the most constraining experiments. We observe that if the long- and short-range NMEs carry the same sign, as suggested by a recent determination [51], the $m_{\beta\beta}$ values constrained by these searches clearly approach the inverted neutrino-mass region.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank M. Agostini, G. Benato, J. de Vries, J. Detwiler, E. Mereghetti and J. Suhonen for insightful discussions. This work was supported by the Finnish Cultural Foundation grant No. 00210067, the Spanish MICINN through the "Ramón y Cajal" program with grant RYC-2017-22781, the AEI "Unit of Excellence María de Maeztu 2020-2023" award CEX2019-000918-M and the AEI grant FIS2017-87534-P.

References

- M. Fukugita, T. Yanagida, Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification, Phys. Lett. B 174 (1986) 45. doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(86)91126-3.
- S. Davidson, E. Nardi, Y. Nir, Leptogenesis, Phys. Rept. 466 (2008) 105. doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2008. 06.002.
- [3] F. T. Avignone III, S. R. Elliott, J. Engel, Double beta decay, Majorana neutrinos, and neutrino mass, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80 (2008) 481. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys. 80.481.
- [4] J. D. Vergados, H. Ejiri, F. Šimkovic, Theory of neutrinoless double-beta decay, Rep. Prog. Phys. 75 (2012) 106301. doi:10.1088/0034-4885/75/10/106301.
- [5] J. Engel, J. Menéndez, Status and future of nuclear matrix elements for neutrinoless double-beta decay: a review, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80 (2017) 046301. doi:10. 1088/1361-6633/aa5bc5.
- [6] M. J. Dolinski, A. W. P. Poon, W. Rodejohann, Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay: Status and Prospects, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 69 (2019) 219. doi:10.1146/ annurev-nucl-101918-023407.
- [7] H. Ejiri, J. Suhonen, K. Zuber, Neutrino-nuclear responses for astro-neutrinos, single beta decays and double beta decays, Phys. Rept. 797 (2019) 1. doi:10. 1016/j.physrep.2018.12.001.
- [8] A. Barabash, Precise half-life values for two-neutrino double- β decay: 2020 review, Universe 6 (2020) 159. doi:10.3390/universe6100159.
- [9] D. Q. Adams, et al., (CUORE Collaboration), High sensitivity neutrinoless double-beta decay search with one tonne-year of CUORE data (2021). arXiv:2104. 06906v1.
- [10] M. Agostini, et al., (GERDA Collaboration), Final results of GERDA on the search for neutrinoless double- β decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 252502. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.252502.
- [11] G. Anton, et al., (EXO-200 Collaboration), Search for neutrinoless double-β decay with the complete EXO-200 dataset, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 161802. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.161802.
- [12] S. I. Alvis, et al., (MAJORANA Collaboration), Search for neutrinoless double-β decay in ⁷⁶Ge with 26 kg yr of exposure from the MAJORANA DEMONSTRA-TOR, Phys. Rev. C 100 (2019) 025501. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.100.025501.
- [13] O. Azzolini, et al., (CUPID Collaboration), Final result of CUPID-0 Phase-I in the search for the 82 Se neutrino-

less double- β decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 032501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.032501.

- [14] A. Gando, et al., (KamLAND-Zen Collaboration), Search for majorana neutrinos near the inverted mass hierarchy region with KamLAND-Zen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 082503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117. 082503.
- [15] J. Menéndez, Neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay mediated by the exchange of light and heavy neutrinos: The role of nuclear structure correlations, J. Phys. G 45 (2018) 014003. doi:10.1088/1361-6471/aa9bd4.
- [16] M. Horoi, A. Neacsu, Shell model predictions for ¹²⁴Sn double-β decay, Phys. Rev. C 93 (2016) 024308. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.93.024308.
- [17] L. Coraggio, A. Gargano, N. Itaco, R. Mancino, F. Nowacki, The calculation of the neutrinoless doublebeta decay matrix element within the realistic shell model, Phys. Rev. C 101 (2020) 044315. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.101.044315.
- [18] Y. Iwata, N. Shimizu, T. Otsuka, Y. Utsuno, J. Menéndez, M. Honma, T. Abe, Large-scale shellmodel analysis of the neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay of ⁴⁸Ca, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) 112502, [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 117, 179902 (2016)]. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.117.179902.
- [19] M. T. Mustonen, J. Engel, Large-scale calculations of the double-β decay of ⁷⁶Ge, ¹³⁰Te, ¹³⁶Xe, and ¹⁵⁰Nd in the deformed self-consistent Skyrme quasiparticle random-phase approximation, Phys. Rev. C 87 (2013) 064302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.87.064302.
- [20] J. Hyvärinen, J. Suhonen, Nuclear matrix elements for 0νββ decays with light or heavy majorana-neutrino exchange, Phys. Rev. C 91 (2015) 024613. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.91.024613.
- [21] L. Jokiniemi, H. Ejiri, D. Frekers, J. Suhonen, Neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ nuclear matrix elements using isovector spindipole $J^{\pi} = 2^{-}$ data, Phys. Rev. C 98 (2018) 024608. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.98.024608.
- [22] J. Terasaki, Strength of the isoscalar pairing interaction determined by a relation between double-charge change and double-pair transfer for double-β decay, Phys. Rev. C 102 (2020) 044303. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.102. 044303.
- [23] F. Šimkovic, A. Smetana, P. Vogel, 0νββ nuclear matrix elements, neutrino potentials and SU(4) symmetry, Phys. Rev. C 98 (2018) 064325. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.98.064325.
- [24] D.-L. Fang, A. Faessler, F. Šimkovic, $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay nuclear matrix element for light and heavy neutrino mass mechanisms from deformed quasiparticle randomphase approximation calculations for ⁷⁶Ge, ⁸²Se, ¹³⁰Te, ¹³⁶Xe, and ¹⁵⁰Nd with isospin restoration, Phys. Rev. C 97 (2018) 045503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.97.045503.
- [25] T. R. Rodríguez, G. Martínez-Pinedo, Energy density functional study of nuclear matrix elements for neutrinoless ββ decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 252503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.252503.
- [26] N. López Vaquero, T. R. Rodríguez, J. L. Egido, Shape and pairing fluctuations effects on neutrinoless doubleβ decay nuclear matrix elements, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 142501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.142501.
- [27] L. S. Song, J. M. Yao, P. Ring, J. Meng, Nuclear matrix element of neutrinoless double-β decay: Relativity and short-range correlations, Phys. Rev. C 95 (2017) 024305. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.024305.

- [28] J. Barea, J. Kotila, F. Iachello, 0νββ and 2νββ nuclear matrix elements in the interacting boson model with isospin restoration, Phys. Rev. C 91 (2015) 034304. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.91.034304.
- [29] F. F. Deppisch, L. Graf, F. Iachello, J. Kotila, Analysis of light neutrino exchange and short-range mechanisms in 0νββ decay, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 095016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.095016.
- [30] J. M. Yao, B. Bally, J. Engel, R. Wirth, T. R. Rodríguez, H. Hergert, Ab initio Treatment of Collective Correlations and the Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay of ⁴⁸Ca, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (2020) 232501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.232501.
- [31] S. Novario, P. Gysbers, J. Engel, G. Hagen, G. R. Jansen, T. D. Morris, P. Navrátil, T. Papenbrock, S. Quaglioni, Coupled-Cluster Calculations of Neutrinoless Double-β Decay in ⁴⁸Ca, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 182502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.182502.
- [32] A. Belley, C. G. Payne, S. R. Stroberg, T. Miyagi, J. D. Holt, Ab initio Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay Matrix Elements for ⁴⁸Ca , ⁷⁶Ge , and ⁸²Se, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 042502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 126.042502.
- [33] I. S. Towner, Quenching of spin matrix elements in nuclei, Phys. Rep. 155 (1987) 263. doi:10.1016/ 0370-1573(87)90138-4.
- [34] G. Martínez-Pinedo, A. Poves, E. Caurier, A. P. Zuker, Effective g_A in the pf shell, Phys. Rev. C 53 (1996) R2602. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.53.R2602.
- [35] E. Caurier, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, Shell Model description of the beta beta decay of 136Xe, Phys. Lett. B 711 (2012) 62. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.03.076.
- [36] A. Gando, et al., (KamLAND-Zen Collaboration), Precision measurement of the ¹³⁶Xe two-neutrino $\beta\beta$ spectrum in KamLAND-Zen and its impact on the quenching of nuclear matrix elements, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 192501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.192501.
- [37] P. Gysbers, G. Hagen, J. D. Holt, G. R. Jansen, T. D. Morris, P. Navrátil, T. Papenbrock, S. Quaglioni, A. Schwenk, S. R. Stroberg, K. A. Wendt, Discrepancy between experimental and theoretical β-decay rates resolved from first principles, Nature Phys. 15 (2019) 428. doi:10.1038/s41567-019-0450-7.
- [38] J. Menendez, D. Gazit, A. Schwenk, Chiral two-body currents in nuclei: Gamow-Teller transitions and neutrinoless double-beta decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 062501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.062501.
- [39] S. J. Freeman, J. P. Schiffer, Constraining the $0\nu\beta\beta$ matrix elements by nuclear structure observables, J. Phys. G 39 (2012) 124004. doi:10.1088/0954-3899/39/12/124004.
- [40] B. A. Brown, M. Horoi, R. A. Senkov, Nuclear Structure Aspects of Neutrinoless Double- β Decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 262501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 113.262501.
- [41] F. Cappuzzello, et al., (NUMEN Collaboration), The NUMEN project: NUclear Matrix Elements for Neutrinoless double beta decay, Eur. Phys. J. A 54 (2018) 72. doi:10.1140/epja/i2018-12509-3.
- [42] N. Shimizu, J. Menéndez, K. Yako, Double Gamow-Teller Transitions and its Relation to Neutrinoless ββ Decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 142502. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevLett.120.142502.
- [43] L. Jokiniemi, J. Suhonen, Comparative analysis of muon-capture and 0νββ -decay matrix elements, Phys.

Rev. C 102 (2020) 024303. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.102. 024303.

- [44] B. Romeo, J. Menéndez, C. Peña Garay, $\gamma\gamma$ decay as a probe of neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay nuclear matrix elements (2021). arXiv:2102.11101.
- [45] H. Lenske, F. Cappuzzello, M. Cavallaro, M. Colonna, Heavy ion charge exchange reactions as probes for nuclear β-decay, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 109 (2019) 103716. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2019.103716.
- [46] B. M. Rebeiro, et al., Benchmarking ¹³⁶Xe neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay matrix element calculations with the ¹³⁸Ba(p,t) reaction, Phys. Lett. B 809 (2020) 135702. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135702.
- [47] V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, J. de Vries, M. L. Graesser, E. Mereghetti, S. Pastore, U. van Kolck, New leading contribution to neutrinoless double-β decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 202001. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 120.202001.
- [48] V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, J. de Vries, M. L. Graesser, E. Mereghetti, S. Pastore, M. Piarulli, U. van Kolck, R. B. Wiringa, Renormalized approach to neutrinoless double-β decay, Phys. Rev. C 100 (2019) 055504. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.100.055504.
- [49] V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, J. de Vries, M. Hoferichter, E. Mereghetti, Toward complete leading-order predictions for neutrinoless double β decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 172002. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126. 172002.
- [50] V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, J. de Vries, M. Hoferichter, E. Mereghetti, Determining the leading-order contact term in neutrinoless double β decay, JHEP 05 (2021) 289. doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2021)289.
- [51] R. Wirth, J. M. Yao, H. Hergert, Ab initio calculation of the contact operator contribution in the standard mechanism for neutrinoless double beta decay (2021). arXiv:2105.05415v1.
- [52] N. Abgrall, et al., (LEGEND Collaboration), The large enriched germanium experiment for neutrinoless double beta decay (LEGEND), AIP Conf. Proc. 1894 (2017) 020027. doi:10.1063/1.5007652.
- [53] S. A. Kharusi, et al., (nEXO Collaboration), nEXO Pre-Conceptual Design Report (2018). arXiv:1805.11142.
- [54] W. R. Armstrong, et al., (CUPID Collaboration), CU-PID pre-CDR (2019). arXiv:1907.09376.
- [55] J. Kotila, F. Iachello, Phase-space factors for double-β decay, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 034316. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.85.034316.
- [56] F. Šimkovic, A. Faessler, V. Rodin, P. Vogel, J. Engel, Anatomy of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ nuclear matrix elements, Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 045503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.77. 045503.
- [57] F. Šimkovic, A. Faessler, H. Müther, V. Rodin, M. Stauf, 0νββ-decay nuclear matrix elements with self-consistent short-range correlations, Phys. Rev. C 79 (2009) 055501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.79.055501.
- [58] P. Reinert, H. Krebs, E. Epelbaum, Semilocal momentum-space regularized chiral two-nucleon potentials up to fifth order, Eur. Phys. J. A 54 (2018) 86. doi:10.1140/epja/i2018-12516-4.
- [59] M. Piarulli, L. Girlanda, R. Schiavilla, A. Kievsky, A. Lovato, L. E. Marcucci, S. C. Pieper, M. Viviani, R. B. Wiringa, Local chiral potentials with δ-intermediate states and the structure of light nuclei, Phys. Rev. C 94 (2016) 054007. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.94.054007.

- [60] E. Caurier, G. Martínez-Pinedo, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, A. P. Zuker, The shell model as a unified view of nuclear structure, Reviews of Modern Physics 77 (2005) 427. doi:10.1103/revmodphys.77.427.
- [61] A. Poves, J. Sánchez-Solano, E. Caurier, F. Nowacki, Shell model study of the isobaric chains A = 50, A = 51 and A = 52, Nucl. Phys. A 694 (2001) 157. doi: 10.1016/S0375-9474(01)00967-8.
- [62] J. Menéndez, A. Poves, E. Caurier, F. Nowacki, Disassembling the nuclear matrix elements of the neutrinoless double beta decay, Nuclear Physics A 818 (2009) 139. doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.12.005.
- [63] L. Jokiniemi, J. Suhonen, Muon-capture strength functions in intermediate nuclei of 0νββ decays, Phys. Rev. C 100 (2019) 014619. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.100. 014619.
- [64] A. Bohr, B. R. Mottelson, Nuclear Structure, Vol. I, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [65] K. Holinde, Two-nucleon forces and nuclear matter, Phys. Rep. 68 (1981) 121. doi:10.1016/0370-1573(81) 90188-5.
- [66] F. Šimkovic, V. Rodin, A. Faessler, P. Vogel, 0νββ and 2νββ nuclear matrix elements, quasiparticle randomphase approximation, and isospin symmetry restoration, Phys. Rev. C 87 (2013) 045501. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.87.045501.
- [67] S. Pastore, J. Carlson, V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, E. Mereghetti, R. B. Wiringa, Neutrinoless double-β decay matrix elements in light nuclei, Phys. Rev. C 97 (2018) 014606. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.97.014606.
- [68] P. F. de Salas, D. V. Forero, S. Gariazzo, P. Martínez-Miravé, O. Mena, C. A. Ternes, M. Tórtola, J. W. F. Valle, 2020 global reassessment of the neutrino oscillation picture, J. High Energ. Phys. 2021 (2021) 71. doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2021)071.
- [69] S. Dell'Oro, S. Marcocci, M. Viel, F. Vissani, Neutrinoless double beta decay: 2015 review, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2016 (2016) 2162659. doi:10.1155/2016/ 2162659.
- [70] S. D. Biller, Combined constraints on Majorana masses from neutrinoless double beta decay experiments, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 012002. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104. 012002.