

# YONEDA EXTENSIONS OF ABELIAN QUOTIENT CATEGORIES

RAMIN EBRAHIMI

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a essentially small abelian category and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Consider the quotient functor  $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$ . For an object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and a non-negative integer  $k$  we investigate when the natural map  $q_{X,A}^i : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}^i(q(X), q(A))$  is invertible for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ . In the end we give an application of the main theorem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category. A Serre subcategory  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is a full subcategory that is closed under subobjects, quotient objects, and extensions. In this case there exist an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  and an exact functor

$$q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$$

that is universal among exact functors from  $\mathcal{A}$  to an abelian category that annihilate  $\mathcal{C}$ . The quotient category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  was defined by Grothendieck and studied extensively by Gabriel [6].

The quotient functor  $q$  is not full or faithful, but by a result of Gabriel for each object  $A$  in the left perpendicular subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the natural map  $q_{X,A} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}(q(X), q(A))$  is invertible, for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

In many situations  $\mathcal{A}$  is a known and well behaved category like a module category or a functor category and we can compute Ext-groups for any two objects in  $\mathcal{A}$ . But the quotient category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  has a complicated structure, for instance if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a localizing subcategory (i.e.  $q$  has a right adjoint) then  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  is equivalent to a subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ , but not an exact subcategory, because the right adjoint is fully faithful and left exact, but not exact in general [11, Lemma 2.2.10]. Thus it is hard to relate the Ext-groups of these two categories.

The main theorem of this paper is the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a essentially small abelian category,  $\mathcal{C}$  a Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  be the quotient functor. For an object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and a non-negative integer  $k$  the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp_{k+1}}$ . i.e.  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(\mathcal{C}, A) = 0$  for every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$ .
- (ii) The natural map  $q_{X,A}^i : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}^i(q(X), q(A))$  is invertible, for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ .

Note that C. Psaroudakis proves this result for a recollement of abelian categories with enough projective and injective objects [19]. In other word we prove that the existence

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E10, 18E20, 18E99.

*Key words and phrases.* abelian category, Serre subcategory, abelian quotient category.

of left and right adjoint for the quotient functor  $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  and also the existence of enough injective and enough projective objects are not necessary.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Localization of abelian categories.** We recall below the basic theory of localisation of abelian categories. The proofs can be found in Gabriel's thesis [6] or in standard textbooks like Faith's book [4] or the recent book of Krause [11].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category. A full subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a **Serre subcategory** if for any short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow 0$$

we have that  $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $A_1, A_3 \in \mathcal{C}$ . In this case we have the quotient category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  that is by definition localization of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to the class of all morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with  $\text{Ker}(f), \text{Coker}(f) \in \mathcal{C}$ . The quotient category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category and there is a universal exact functor

$$q : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$$

such that  $q(X) = 0$  if and only if  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore any other exact functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  annihilating  $\mathcal{C}$  where  $\mathcal{D}$  is an abelian category factor uniquely through  $q$ .

A Serre subcategory  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is called a **localizing subcategory** if the quotient functor  $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  admits a right adjoint  $r : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . The right adjoint  $r$ , called the **section functor**, is fully faithful [11, Lemma 2.2.10]. A Serre subcategory of a Grothendieck category is localizing if and only if it is closed under coproducts [11, Corollary 2.2.17]. Another useful property of Grothendieck categories is the fact that every object  $A$  admits an injective envelope  $A \hookrightarrow I$  [11, Corollary 2.5.4]. This means that  $A$  is a subobject of an injective object  $I$ , and  $I$  is an essential extension of  $A$  (i.e. the intersection of  $A$  with every non-zero subobject of  $I$  is non-zero).

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Serre subcategory of an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ . Recall that an object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is called  **$\mathcal{C}$ -closed** if for every morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with  $\text{Ker}(f), \text{Coker}(f) \in \mathcal{C}$  we have that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(f, A)$  is bijective. Denote by  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  the full subcategory of all  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed objects, the following result is well known. See for instance the fundamental paper of Geigle and Lenzing [7] or the recent book of Krause [11].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Serre subcategory of an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ . The following statements hold:*

(i) *We have*

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}, A) = 0 = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathcal{C}, A)\}.$$

(ii) *The natural map  $q_{X,A} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}(q(X), q(A))$  is invertible for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $A \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ .*

(iii) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a localizing subcategory, the restriction  $q : \mathcal{C}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  is an equivalence of categories. And it's quasi-inverse is induced by the section functor  $r : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .*

(iv) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is localizing and  $\mathcal{A}$  has injective envelopes, then  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  has injective envelopes and the inclusion functor  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  preserves injective envelopes.*

The following definition is borrowed from [19].

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category and  $\mathcal{X}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For a positive integer  $k$  we denote by  $\mathcal{X}^{\perp k}$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by  $\mathcal{X}^{\perp k} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{Ext}^{0, \dots, k}(\mathcal{X}, A) = 0\}$ .  ${}^{\perp k}\mathcal{X}$  is defined similarly [19].

Note that by Theorem 2.1 for a Serre subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  we have  $\mathcal{C}^{\perp 1} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an abelian category and  $\mathcal{S}$  be a localizing subcategory of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Denote the localization functor and the section functor with  $e$  and  $r$  respectively, we have the following diagram of functors.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{e} & \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{S} \\ & \searrow r & \\ & & \end{array}$$

The following proposition can be seen as a variation of Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 3.10 from [19]. The Hom vanishing is the crucial step of the proof of Proposition 3.3 in Psaroudakis paper (the dual result - Proposition 3.4). We will prove this condition using the existence of injective envelopes in Grothendieck categories.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Grothendieck category and  $\mathcal{S}$  be a localizing subcategory of  $\mathcal{B}$ . For an object  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and a non-negative integer  $k$  the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp k+1}$ .
- (ii) *There exists an injective coresolution*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow r(I^0) \rightarrow r(I^1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow r(I^{k+1})$$

for  $A$ , where  $I^i$ 's are injective objects in  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{S}$ .

- (iii) *The natural map  $e_{X,A}^i : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^i(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{S}}^i(e(X), e(A))$  is invertible, for every  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  and every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $A \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp k+1}$ , and  $A \hookrightarrow I^0$  be the injective envelope of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(S, I^0)$  be a non-zero morphism, because  $I^0$  is an essential extension of  $A$  we have that  $\text{Im}(f) \cap A \neq 0$ . By the definition of Serre subcategory  $\text{Im}(f) \cap A \in \mathcal{S}$ , and this contradicts the assumption  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{S}, A) = 0$ . Thus  $I^0 \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$  because  $I^0$  is injective.

Now by applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(S, -)$  for an arbitrary object  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}A \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(S, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(S, I^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(S, \Omega^{-1}A) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(S, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(S, I^0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(S, \Omega^{-1}A) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^2(S, A) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

By assumption  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(S, A) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(S, A) = \dots = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^{k+1}(S, A) = 0$ , and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(S, I^0) = 0$ , because  $I^0$  is injective. Using these vanishing conditions and the above long exact sequence we see that  $\Omega^{-1}A \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp k}$ .

Now consider the injective envelope  $\Omega^{-1}A \hookrightarrow I^1$  for  $\Omega^{-1}A$  and the induced short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}A \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \Omega^{-2}A \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the above argument for this short exact sequence we see that  $I^1 \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$  and  $\Omega^{-2}A \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp_{k-1}}$ . By repeating this argument and using the dimension shifting argument we obtain an injective coresolution as (2.1) inductively.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Apply the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, -)$  to the injective coresolution (2.1) and use the fact that  $(e, r)$  is an adjoint pair.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By assumption for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^i(S, A) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{S}}^i(e(S), e(A)) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{S}}^i(0, e(A)) = 0.$$

This means that  $A \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp_k}$ , so it remains to show that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^{k+1}(\mathcal{S}, A) = 0$ . We prove the later by induction. For  $k = 0$  the result follows from Theorem 2.1. Assume that  $k \geq 1$  be a positive integer, and the claim is true for  $k - 1$ . Consider exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

Where  $I$  is the injective envelope of  $A$ . We need to show that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^k(\mathcal{S}, B) = 0$ .

As we saw in above,  $I \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$  and  $B \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp_{k-1}}$  because  $A \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp_k}$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^k(\mathcal{S}, B) = 0$  if and only if  $B \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp_k}$  and this is by induction hypothesis, equivalent to the condition that natural map

$$e_{X,B}^i : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^i(X, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{S}}^i(e(X), e(B))$$

be invertible, for every  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  and every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ . Because  $B \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp_{k-1}}$ ,  $e_{X,B}^i$  is invertible for every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-2\}$ . Thus we only need to show that  $e_{X,B}^{k-1}$  is invertible. Applying the functors  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, -)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{S}}(e(X), -)$  to short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow e(A) \rightarrow e(I) \rightarrow e(B) \rightarrow 0$  respectively we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^{k-1}(X, A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^{k-1}(X, I) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^{k-1}(X, B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^k(X, A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ e_{X,A}^{k-1} \downarrow & & e_{X,I}^{k-1} \downarrow & & e_{X,B}^{k-1} \downarrow & & e_{X,A}^k \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}^{k-1}(e(X), e(A)) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}^{k-1}(e(X), e(I)) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}^{k-1}(e(X), e(B)) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}^k(e(X), e(A)) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By assumption  $e_{X,A}^{k-1}$  and  $e_{X,A}^k$  are invertible, and because  $I \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp_k}$ ,  $e_{X,I}^{k-1}$  is also invertible. Thus the five Lemma yields that  $e_{X,B}^{k-1}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a essentially small additive category. Recall that  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  is the category of all additive contravariant functors from  $\mathcal{A}$  to the category of all abelian groups. It is an abelian category with all limits and colimits, which are defined point-wise. A functor  $F \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  is called finitely presented (or coherent) if there exists an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(-, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(-, Y) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  [1]. We denote by  $\text{mod } \mathcal{A}$  the full subcategory of  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  consists of all finitely presented functors. It is a well known result that  $\text{mod } \mathcal{A}$  is an abelian category and the inclusion  $\text{mod } \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  is an exact functor if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  have weak kernels [11, Lemma 2.1.6]. In particular this is the case when  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian category.

By the Yoneda lemma, representable functors are projective and the direct sum of all representable functors  $\bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{M}} \text{Hom}(-, X)$ , is a generator for  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  is a Grothendieck category [5, Proposition 5.21].

**Definition 2.4.** (i) A functor  $F \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  is called **weakly effaceable**, if for each object  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in F(X)$  there exists an epimorphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $F(f)(x) = 0$  [21, Page 28]. We denote by  $\text{Eff}(\mathcal{A})$  the full subcategory of all weakly effaceable functors.

(ii) A functor  $F \in \text{mod } \mathcal{A}$  is called **effaceable**, if there exists an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(-, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(-, X) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

such that  $Y \rightarrow X$  is an epimorphism. We denote by  $\text{eff}(\mathcal{A})$  the full subcategory of all effaceable functors.

(iii) A functor  $F \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  is called a left exact functor, if for each short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the sequence of abelian groups  $0 \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)$  is exact. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  the full subcategory of all left exact functors.

Note that Krause in his recent book [11], has used the terminology **locally effaceable** instead of weakly effaceable. And this is reasonable from the viewpoint of locally finitely presented categories (see the next subsection), because we can show that objects in  $\text{Eff}(\mathcal{A})$  are exactly direct limits of objects in  $\text{eff}(\mathcal{A})$  [10, Page 672].

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a essentially small abelian category.*

(i)  $\text{Eff}(\mathcal{A})$  is a localizing subcategory of  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$ .

(ii) We have

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Eff}(\mathcal{A})^\perp \simeq (\text{Mod } \mathcal{A})/(\text{Eff}(\mathcal{A})),$$

and the inclusion  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  is the right adjoint of the localization functor  $e : \text{Mod } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ .

(iii)  $e(G) = 0$  if and only if  $G \in \text{Eff}(\mathcal{A})$ .

*Proof.* This is a standard result proved by Gabriel [6, II.2] (see also [11, Proposition 2.3.7]).  $\square$

We denote by  $i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  the composition of the Yoneda functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  and the localization functor  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\text{Mod } \mathcal{A})/(\text{Eff}(\mathcal{A})) \simeq \text{Eff}(\mathcal{A})^\perp = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ . The canonical functor  $i$  is exact [11, Proposition 2.3.7]. For an object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  sometimes we denote  $i(A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, A)$  by  $H_A$ . The following easy lemma will be used throughout the paper.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha : F \rightarrow H_A$  be an epimorphism in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the cokernel of  $\alpha$  computed in  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$  is weakly effaceable. In particular, there exists an epimorphism  $B \rightarrow A$  inducing the following commutative diagram with exact rows in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_B & \longrightarrow & H_A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ F & \longrightarrow & H_A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $G$  the cokernel of  $\alpha$  in  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$ . So we have the exact sequence  $F \rightarrow H_A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$ . By applying the localization functor  $e : \text{Mod } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  to this exact sequence and using Proposition 2.5 we conclude that  $G$  is weakly effaceable. Now consider the image of  $1_A$ , the identity morphism on  $A$ , under the map  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A) \rightarrow G(A)$  and denote it by  $a$ . Since  $G$  is weakly effaceable, by definition there exists an epimorphism  $f : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $G(f)(a) = 0$ . This means that  $H_B \rightarrow H_A$  factor through  $\alpha$ , and so we have the desire diagram (cf. [9, Page 409]).  $\square$

**2.2. Locally coherent categories.** In this subsection we recall some of the basic properties of Locally finitely presented categories, for details and more information the reader is referred to [2]. Recall that a non-empty category  $\mathcal{I}$  is said to be filtered provided that for each pair of objects  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{I}$  there are morphisms  $\varphi_i : \lambda_i \rightarrow \mu$  for some  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ , and for each pair of morphisms  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$  there is a morphism  $\psi : \mu \rightarrow \nu$  with  $\psi\varphi_1 = \psi\varphi_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category,  $\mathcal{I}$  a small filtered category and  $X : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be an additive functor. As usual we use the term **direct limit** for colimit of  $X$  when  $I$  is filtered. And we denote it by  $\varinjlim_{i \in \mathcal{I}} X_i$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an additive category with direct limits .

- (i) An object  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  is called finitely presented (finitely generated) provided that for every direct limit  $\varinjlim_{i \in \mathcal{I}} X_i$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  the natural morphism

$$\varinjlim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, \varinjlim Y_i)$$

is an isomorphism (a monomorphism). The full subcategory of finitely presented objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\text{fp}(\mathcal{A})$ .

- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}$  is called a locally finitely presented category if  $\text{fp}(\mathcal{B})$  is essentially small and every object in  $\mathcal{B}$  is a direct limit of objects in  $\text{fp}(\mathcal{B})$ .
- (iii) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally finitely presented abelian category.  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be locally coherent provided that finitely generated subobjects of finitely presented objects are finitely presented.

We recall a concrete description of locally finitely presented additive categories due to Crawley-Boevey [2]. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a essentially small additive category, recall that there is a tensor product bifunctor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mod } \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \text{Mod } \mathcal{C}^{op} &\longrightarrow \text{Ab}. \\ (F, G) &\longmapsto F \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} G \end{aligned}$$

A functor  $F \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{C}$  is said to be flat provided that  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} -$  is an exact functor. We denote by  $\text{Flat}(\mathcal{C})$  the full subcategory of  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{C}$  consist of flat functors. A theorem of Lazard state that an  $R$ -module is flat if and only if it is a direct limit of finitely generated free modules. Lazard theorem has been generalized to functors by Oberst and Rohrl [15]. Indeed a functor  $F \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{C}$  is flat if and only if  $F$  is a direct limit of representable functors. Using this description of flat functors we have:

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a essentially small abelian category and  $F \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{A}$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $F$  is a flat functor.  
 (b)  $F$  is a left exact functor.

In other words  $\text{Flat}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ .

*Proof.* See [11, Lemma 11.1.14].  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** ([2, Theorem 1.4])

- (a) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a essentially small additive category, then  $\text{Flat}(\mathcal{C})$  is a locally finitely presented category, and  $\text{fp}(\text{Flat}(\mathcal{C}))$  consists of the direct summands of representable functors. If  $\mathcal{C}$  has split idempotents then the Yoneda functor  $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{fp}(\text{Flat}(\mathcal{C}))$  is an equivalence.*
- (b) *If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a locally finitely presented category then  $\text{fp}(\mathcal{B})$  is a essentially small additive category with split idempotents, and the functor*

$$\begin{aligned} g : \mathcal{B} &\longrightarrow \text{Flat}(\text{fp}(\mathcal{B})) \\ M &\longmapsto \text{Hom}(-, M)|_{\text{fp}(\mathcal{B})} \end{aligned}$$

*is an equivalence.*

**Proposition 2.10.** (i) *Every locally finitely presented abelian category is a Grothendieck category.*

- (ii) *A locally finitely presented abelian category  $\mathcal{B}$  is locally coherent if and only if  $\text{fp}(\mathcal{B})$  is an abelian category.*

*Proof.* We refer the reader to [2] for the statement (i) and to [20] for (ii).  $\square$

In the proof of the main theorem we need the following proposition.

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a essentially small abelian category and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Theorem 2.9,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq (\text{Mod } \mathcal{A})/(\text{Eff}(\mathcal{A}))$  is a locally coherent category and the essential image of the canonical functor

$$i : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$$

denoted by  $i(\mathcal{A})$  is the subcategory of finitely presented objects. Thus by [12, Theorem 2.8]  $\overrightarrow{i(\mathcal{C})}$ , the subcategory of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  consists of all direct limits of objects in  $i(\mathcal{C})$  is a localizing subcategory of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ . Also by [13, Proposition A5]  $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}))/(\overrightarrow{i(\mathcal{C})})$  is a locally coherent category and it's subcategory of finitely presented objects is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$ . Thus we have the commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow j \\ \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{e} & (\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}))/(\overrightarrow{i(\mathcal{C})}) \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}) \\ & \searrow r & \uparrow \end{array}$$

(3.1)

satisfies

- (i)  $q$  and  $e$  are quotient functors.
- (ii)  $(e, r)$  is an adjoint pair and  $r$  is fully faithful.
- (iii) Both of vertical functors  $i$  and  $j$  are the canonical functors from an abelian category to the abelian category of left exact functors.

The following lemma due to Mitchell [16] is one of the crucial key steps for proving the main result of the paper. For the convenient of the reader we write a self contained proof.

For more information about Yoneda extension groups we refer the reader to [17, Chapter VII]. We just recall that for two objects  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , a  $k$ -fold extension of  $A$  by  $B$  is an exact sequence of the form

$$\xi : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X^k \rightarrow X^{k-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X^1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^k(A, B)$  is the set of all Yoneda equivalence classes of  $k$ -fold extensions of  $A$  by  $B$ . For a morphism  $f : B \rightarrow B'$ , by taking push out along  $f$  we obtain a  $k$ -fold extension of  $A$  by  $B'$  that we denote by  $f\xi$ . In a similar way and using pull back we can define  $\xi g$  for a morphism  $g : A' \rightarrow A$ . Now assume that we have a  $k$ -fold extension  $\xi$  of  $A$  by  $B$ , and an  $n$ -fold extension  $\eta$  of  $B$  by  $C$ . Then we can splice them together and obtain a  $(k + m)$ -fold extension of  $A$  by  $C$  that we denote by  $\eta \circ \xi$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a essentially small abelian category. The canonical functor  $i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  is an Ext-preserving functor. i.e. for every two object  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and every non-negative integer  $i$  the natural map  $i_{A,B}^i : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})}^i(i(A), i(B))$  is invertible.*

*Proof.* By the Yoneda lemma  $i$  is fully faithful, so for  $i = 0$  the claim follows. Now let  $i \geq 1$  be a positive integer. First we prove by induction that  $i_{A,B}^i$  is surjective. Consider an element  $\xi \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})}^i(i(A), i(B))$  represented as

$$0 \rightarrow i(B) \rightarrow F^i \rightarrow F^{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow i(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

Decompose  $\xi$  as splicing of extensions

$$\xi_{i-1} : 0 \rightarrow i(B) \rightarrow F^i \rightarrow F^{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F^2 \rightarrow G^2 \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\xi_1 : 0 \rightarrow G^2 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow i(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2.6 there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow Y^2 \rightarrow X^1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  inducing the following commutative diagram with exact rows ( $\varphi$  is induced by the universal property of kernel).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \xi'_1 : & 0 & \longrightarrow & i(Y^2) & \longrightarrow & i(X^1) & \longrightarrow & i(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ \xi_1 : & 0 & \longrightarrow & G^2 & \longrightarrow & F^1 & \longrightarrow & i(A) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

So  $\xi_1 = \varphi \xi'_1$ . If  $i = 1$  the above diagram is of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & i(Y^2) & \longrightarrow & i(X^1) & \longrightarrow & i(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & i(B) & \longrightarrow & F^1 & \longrightarrow & i(A) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since  $i$  is fully faithful,  $\varphi = i(f)$  for some  $f : Y^2 \rightarrow B$ . Taking push out along  $f$  and then applying the exact functor  $i$  we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & i(Y^2) & \longrightarrow & i(X^1) & \longrightarrow & i(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & i(B) & \longrightarrow & i(C) & \longrightarrow & i(A) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

In both of above diagrams the left-hand squares are push out diagrams. Thus by the uniqueness of push out we see that short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow i(B) \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow i(A) \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow i(B) \rightarrow i(C) \rightarrow i(A) \rightarrow 0$  are Yoneda equivalent.

For  $i \geq 2$  we have  $\xi = \xi_{i-1} \circ \xi_1 = \xi_{i-1} \circ (\varphi \xi'_1) = (\xi_{i-1} \varphi) \circ \xi'_1$ . Now by induction hypothesis  $\xi_{i-1} \varphi \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})}^{i-1}(i(Y^2), i(B))$  belongs to the image of  $i_{Y^2, B}^{i-1}$  and  $\xi'_1 \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})}^1(i(A), i(Y^2))$  belongs to the image of  $i_{A, Y^2}^1$ . And this complete the proof of the induction step. In other word we proved that there exists a commutative diagram with exact rows of the following form.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & i(B) & \longrightarrow & i(X^i) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & i(X^1) & \longrightarrow & i(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & i(B) & \longrightarrow & F^i & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F^1 & \longrightarrow & i(A) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

Now we prove that  $i_{A, B}^i$  is injective. Let  $\eta \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(X, Y)$  such that  $i(\eta) = 0$ . By [17, Theorem 4.2] we can find a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \xi : & 0 & \longrightarrow & i(B) & \longrightarrow & F^i & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow i(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 i(\eta) : & 0 & \longrightarrow & i(B) & \longrightarrow & i(Y^i) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow i(Y^1) \longrightarrow i(A) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where  $i(B) \rightarrow F^i$  is a split monomorphism. Forming the Diagram (3.2) for  $\xi$  we see that  $i(B) \rightarrow i(X^i)$  is also a split monomorphism, and so is  $B \rightarrow X^i$  because  $i$  is fully faithful. Again because  $i$  is fully faithful we conclude that  $\eta = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally coherent category and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{A} = \text{fp}(\mathcal{B})$ . Then  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}$ , the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of all direct limits of object in  $\mathcal{A}$ , is a localizing subcategory of  $\mathcal{B}$  and as subcategories of  $\mathcal{B}$  we have  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = (\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}})^\perp$ .*

*Proof.* See [12, Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 2.11].  $\square$

The following proposition is a generalization of the above result for arbitrary positive integer  $k$  (Krause in [12] proved this result for  $k=1$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally coherent category,  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{A} = \text{fp}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $k$  be a positive integer. Then as subcategories of  $\mathcal{B}$  we have  $\mathcal{C}^{\perp k} = (\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}})^{\perp k}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2 we have  $\mathcal{C}^{\perp 1} = (\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}})^{\perp 1}$ . Because  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}$  it is clear that  $(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}})^{\perp k} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp k}$ . For the converse inclusion let  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp k}$ . We must show that  $X \in (\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}})^{\perp k}$ . Because by Lemma 3.2  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}$  is a localizing subcategory of  $\mathcal{B}$ , by Proposition 2.3 it is enough to show that there is an injective coresolution

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I^k$$

such that for every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  we have  $I^i \in (\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}})^{\perp 1} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp 1}$ . Let  $X \hookrightarrow I^0$  be the injective envelope of  $X$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C, I^0)$  be a non-zero morphism, because  $I^0$  is an essential extension of  $X$  we have that  $\text{Im}(f) \cap X \neq 0$ . By the definition of Serre subcategory  $\text{Im}(f) \cap X \in \mathcal{C}$ , and this contradicts the assumption  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}, X) = 0$ . Thus  $I^0 \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$  because  $I^0$  is injective.

Now by applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C, -)$  for an arbitrary object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}X \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C, X) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C, I^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C, \Omega^{-1}X) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(C, X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(C, I^0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(C, \Omega^{-1}X) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^2(C, X) \dots \end{aligned}$$

By assumption  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C, X) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(C, X) = \dots = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^k(C, X) = 0$ , and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{B}}^1(C, I^0) = 0$ , because  $I^0$  is injective. Using these vanishing conditions and the above long exact sequence we see that  $\Omega^{-1}X \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp k-1}$ .

Now consider the injective envelope  $\Omega^{-1}X \hookrightarrow I^1$  for  $\Omega^{-1}X$  and the induced short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}X \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \Omega^{-2}X \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the above argument for this short exact sequence we see that  $I^1 \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$  and  $\Omega^{-2}X \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp k-2}$ . By repeating this argument and using the dimension shifting argument we obtain the desired injective coresolution inductively.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp k+1}$  and  $X$  be an arbitrary object in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Having the Diagram (3.1) in mind by Proposition 3.3, Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 3.1 for every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(X, A) &\stackrel{i_{X,A}^i}{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})}^i(i(X), i(A)) \\ &\stackrel{e_{X,A}^i}{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\frac{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})}{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}}}^i(ei(X), ei(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $j_{X,A}^i \circ q_{X,A}^i = e_{X,A}^i \circ i_{X,A}^i$  we have that  $j_{X,A}^i \circ q_{X,A}^i$  is invertible, and since by Lemma 3.1,  $j_{X,A}^i$  is invertible,  $q_{X,A}^i$  is also invertible.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume that  $q_{X,A}^i$  is invertible for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ . Because  $j_{X,A}^i \circ q_{X,A}^i = e_{X,A}^i \circ i_{X,A}^i$  we have that  $e_{X,A}^i \circ i_{X,A}^i$  is invertible, and since by Lemma 3.1,  $i_{X,A}^i$  is invertible,  $e_{X,A}^i$  is also invertible for every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ . Thus by Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 3.3  $A \in (\vec{\mathcal{C}})^{\perp_{k+1}} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp_{k+1}}$ .

We state the dual of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a essentially small abelian category,  $\mathcal{C}$  a Serre subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$  be the quotient functor. For an object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and a non-negative integer  $k$  the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A \in {}^{\perp_{k+1}} \mathcal{C}$ .
- (ii) *The natural map  $q_{A,X}^i : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(A, X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}^i(q(A), q(X))$  is invertible, for every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ .*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 1.1 to the opposite category  $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ . □

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a essentially small  $n$ -abelian category in the sense of [8]. Denote by  $\text{eff}(\mathcal{M})$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } \mathcal{M}$  consist of all effaceable functors, i.e. functors  $F \in \text{mod } \mathcal{M}$  with a projective presentation

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, Y^n) \xrightarrow{(-, f)} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, Y^{n+1}) \longrightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

for some epimorphism  $f : Y^n \rightarrow Y^{n+1}$ .  $\text{eff}(\mathcal{M})$  is a Serre subcategory of  $\text{mod } \mathcal{M}$  (see [3, Proposition 3.5] or [14, Proposition 4.3]). The composition of functors

$$\begin{aligned} H : \mathcal{M} &\longrightarrow \text{mod } \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow (\text{mod } \mathcal{M})/(\text{eff}(\mathcal{M})) \\ X &\longmapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, X) \longmapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, X) \end{aligned}$$

is fully faithful [14, Proposition 4.6], and it's essential image is an  $n$ -cluster tilting subcategory of  $(\text{mod } \mathcal{M})/(\text{eff}(\mathcal{M}))$ , see [3, Theorem 4.7] or [14, Theorem 7.3 and Proposition 7.5]. Because  $(\text{mod } \mathcal{M})/(\text{eff}(\mathcal{M}))$  has no injective or projective object in general, proving that the essential image is  $n$ -rigid (i.e. for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and every  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$  we have  $\text{Ext}_{(\text{mod } \mathcal{M})/(\text{eff}(\mathcal{M}))}^i(H_X, H_Y) = 0$ ) is not easy, see [14, Section 6]. In the sequel we give a different proof using Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 4.1.** *For every  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $H_X \in \text{eff}(\mathcal{M})^{\perp_n}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \text{eff}(\mathcal{M})$  and consider the projective presentation (4.1). Because  $f$  is an epimorphism, by the axioms of  $n$ -abelian categories [8, Definition 3.1],  $f$  sits into an  $n$ -exact sequence

$$Y^0 \rightarrow Y^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y^n \xrightarrow{f} Y^{n+1}.$$

By the definition of  $n$ -exact sequences we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{Y^0} \rightarrow H_{Y^1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_{Y^n} \rightarrow H_{Y^{n+1}} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

which is a projective resolution for  $F$ . Now let  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_{\text{mod-}\mathcal{M}}(-, H_X)$  to the projective resolution of  $F$ , we get the complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{Y^{n+1}}, H_X) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{Y^n}, H_X) \rightarrow \cdots \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{Y^1}, H_X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{Y^0}, H_X) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is by Yoneda lemma isomorphic to the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Y^{n+1}, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Y^n, X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Y^1, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Y^0, X).$$

And by the definition of  $n$ -exact sequences this is an exact sequence of abelian groups. Thus we see that for every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  we have  $\text{Ext}_{(\text{mod } \mathcal{M})/(\text{eff } \mathcal{M})}^i(F, H_X) = 0$ . Because  $F$  was an arbitrary effaceable functor,  $H_X \in \text{eff}(\mathcal{M})^{\perp n}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *The essential image of  $H : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (\text{mod } \mathcal{M})/(\text{eff}(\mathcal{M}))$  is an  $n$ -rigid subcategory.*

*Proof.* Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ . By Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.1, for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  we have

$$\text{Ext}_{(\text{mod } \mathcal{M})/(\text{eff}(\mathcal{M}))}^i(H_X, H_Y) \cong \text{Ext}_{\text{mod } \mathcal{M}}^i(H_X, H_Y) = 0$$

because  $H_X = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, X)$  is a projective object in  $\text{mod } \mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to the anonymous referee for her/his detailed comments on the previous version of this article. This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 1400180047).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. AUSLANDER, Coherent functors, In *Proceedings Conference Categorical Algebra*, La Jolla, CA, 1965, Springer, New York, 1966, pp. 189–231.
- [2] W. CRAWLEY-BOEVEY, Locally finitely presented additive categories, *Comm. Algebra*, **22** (1994), 1644–1674.
- [3] R. EBRAHIMI, A. NASR-ISFAHANI, Higher Auslander’s formula, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnab219>.
- [4] C. FAITH, *Algebra: Rings, Modules and Categories I*, Springer-Verlag **190**, 1973.
- [5] P. FREYD, *Abelian Categories*, Harper and Row, New York, 1964.
- [6] P. GABRIEL, Des catégories abéliennes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **90** (1962), 323–448.
- [7] W. GEIGLE AND H. LENZING, Perpendicular categories with applications to representations and sheaves, *J. Algebra*, **144** (1991), 273–343.
- [8] G. JASSO,  $n$ -Abelian and  $n$ -exact categories, *Math. Z.*, (2016), 1–57.
- [9] B. KELLER, Chain complexes and stable categories, *Manuscripta Math.*, **67**(4) (1990), 379–417.
- [10] H. KRAUSE, Deriving Auslander’s formula, *Doc. Math.*, **20** (2015), 669–688.
- [11] H. KRAUSE, *Homological Theory of Representations*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **195**, Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- [12] H. KRAUSE, The spectrum of a locally coherent category, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **114**(3) (1997), 259–271.
- [13] H. KRAUSE, The spectrum of a module category, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **149**(707) (2001).
- [14] S. KVAMME, Axiomatizing subcategories of abelian categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **226**(4) (2022), 106862.
- [15] U. OBERST AND H. ROHRL, Flat and coherent functor, *J. Algebra*, **14** (1970), 91–105.

- [16] B. MITCHELL, *On the dimension of objects and categories II. Finite ordered sets*, *J. Algebra*, **9**(3) (1968), 341–368.
- [17] B. MITCHELL, *Theory of categories*, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [18] N. POPESCU, *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [19] C. PSAROUDAKIS, Homological theory of recollements of abelian categories, *J. Algebra*, **398** (2014), 63–110.
- [20] J.-E. ROOS, Locally noetherian categories, In: *category Theory, Homology Theory and their Applications II*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **92** (1969) 197–277.
- [21] C. A. WEIBEL, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **38**, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, P.O. BOX: 81746-73441, ISFAHAN, IRAN, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN  
*Email address:* [ramin69@sci.ui.ac.ir](mailto:ramin69@sci.ui.ac.ir) / [ramin.ebrahimi1369@gmail.com](mailto:ramin.ebrahimi1369@gmail.com)