

WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR DERIVATIVES ON BERGMAN SPACES

JOSÉ ÁNGEL PELÁEZ AND JOUNI RÄTTYÄ

ABSTRACT. An equivalent norm in the weighted Bergman space A_{ω}^p , induced by an ω in a certain large class of non-radial weights, is established in terms of higher order derivatives. Other Littlewood-Paley inequalities are also considered. On the way to the proofs, we characterize the q -Carleson measures for the weighted Bergman space A_{ω}^p and the boundedness of a Hörmander-type maximal function. Results obtained are further applied to describe the resolvent set of the integral operators $T_g(f)(z) = \int_0^z g'(\zeta)f(\zeta) d\zeta$ acting on A_{ω}^p .

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the algebra of all analytic functions in the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . A function $\omega : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, integrable over \mathbb{D} , is called a weight. It is radial if $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\int_0^1 \omega(s) ds < \infty$. For $0 < p < \infty$ and a weight ω , the weighted Bergman space A_{ω}^p consists of those $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) < \infty,$$

where $dA(z) = \frac{dx dy}{\pi}$ is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} .

In this paper we are interested in obtaining, for a large class of non-radial weights ω , equivalent norms of f in A_{ω}^p in terms of its higher order derivatives. This is a question that have been extensively studied for different classes of radial weights but it is not well-understood for general weights. See [1, 3, 5] for recent developments on the topic. These norms are extremely valuable within the theory of concrete operators acting on these spaces. To name a few instances, they are used; in the study of Volterra type operators because they allow to get rid of the integral and they arise in a natural way in the description of its spectrum [1, 3, 4, 19], in order to get crucial estimates in the description of Schatten classes of Toeplitz operators [16, p.356], in the boundedness of the Hilbert matrix [18, Proof of Theorem 2] or in obtaining A_{ω}^p norms of Bergman reproducing kernels induced by radial weights [22, Proof of Theorem 1].

A well-known formula ensures that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < p < \infty$ we have

$$\|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}^p \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{kp} \omega(z) dA(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |f^{(j)}(0)|^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), \quad (1.1)$$

if ω is a standard radial weight, that is, $\omega(z) = (\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha}$ for some $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Generalizations of this result for different classes of radial weights have been obtained in [4, 23, 17, 26]. In particular, it was recently proved [23, Theorem 5] that (1.1) holds for a radial weight ω if and only if $\omega \in \mathcal{D} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Recall that a radial weight ν belongs to $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ if there exists a constant $C = C(\nu) > 1$ such that the tail integral $\widehat{\nu}(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 \nu(s) ds$ satisfies the

Date: December 29, 2021.

Key words and phrases. Bergman space, Carleson measure, integral operator, Littlewood-Paley inequality, Hörmander-type maximal function, resolvent set.

This research was supported in part by Ministerio de Ciencia Innovación y universidades, Spain, projects PGC2018-096166-B-100 and MTM2017-90584-REDT; La Junta de Andalucía, project FQM210; Academy of Finland 286877.

doubling condition $\widehat{\nu}(r) \leq C\widehat{\nu}(\frac{1+r}{2})$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. Further, a radial weight ν belongs to $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ if there exist constants $K = K(\nu) > 1$ and $C = C(\nu) > 1$ such that $\widehat{\nu}(r) \geq C\widehat{\nu}(1 - \frac{1-r}{K})$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$.

For a given $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, consider the interval $I_a = \left\{ e^{i\theta} : |\arg(ae^{-i\theta})| \leq \frac{(1-|a|)}{2} \right\}$, and let $S(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| \geq |a|, e^{it} \in I_a\}$ denote the Carleson square induced by a . We assume throughout the paper that $\omega(S(a)) > 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. If this is not the case and ω is radial, then $A_\omega^p = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. For a weight ν , ω is a ν -weight if $\omega\nu$ is integrable. If $1 < p < \infty$, a ν -weight ω belongs to the class $B_p(\nu)$ if there exists a constant $C = C(p, \nu, \omega)$ such that

$$\sup_S \frac{\left(\int_S \omega(z)\nu(z) dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_S \omega^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(z)\nu(z) dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}{\int_S \nu(z) dA(z)} < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where the supremum is taken over all Carleson squares S . We denote $B_\infty(\nu) = \bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} B_p(\nu)$. It has recently been proved that the class $B_p(\nu)$ describes the weights ω such that Bergman projection P_ν , induced by a radial weight ν , is bounded on L_ω^p , $1 < p < \infty$, whenever $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$ and the Bergman reproducing kernel of A_ν^2 has a particular integral representation [25, Theorem 2]. This result is a natural extension of a classical result due to Bekollé and Bonami [6, 7] for standard weights. If $\nu(z) = (1 + \eta)(1 - |z|^2)^\eta$ we simply write $B_p(\eta)$ instead of $B_p((1 - |z|)^\eta)$, $B_\infty(\eta) = B_\infty((1 - |z|)^\eta)$ and $B_\infty = B_\infty(0)$. Nonnegative functions in the class $B_p(\eta)$ or $B_\infty(\eta)$ are usually called the Bekollé-Bonami weights. En route to describing the spectrum of the integral operator

$$T_g(f)(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta) g'(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}),$$

on standard Bergman spaces it was shown that (1.1) is satisfied if there exists $\eta > -1$ such that $\frac{\omega}{(1-|z|)^\eta} \in B_\infty(\eta)$ [1, Theorem 3.1]. The first result of this study says that the hypothesis $\frac{\omega}{(1-|z|^2)^\eta} \in B_\infty(\eta)$ can be replaced by the weaker condition $\frac{\omega}{\nu} \in B_\infty(\nu)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$. To simplify the notation, we write $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\nu) = \bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} \left\{ \omega : \frac{\omega}{\nu} \in B_p(\nu) \right\}$, $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}) = \bigcup_{\nu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}} \mathcal{B}_\infty(\nu)$ and $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D}) = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}_\infty(\nu)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$. Then (1.1) holds.*

Observe that the set of radial weights in $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$ coincides with \mathcal{D} , and hence [23, Theorem 5] implies that $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$ is also a necessary condition for (1.1) to hold if ω is radial. The class \mathcal{D} also appears innately in the study of classical questions related to the boundedness of the Bergman projection P_ν induced by a radial weight ν [23, Theorem 3 and Theorem 12], which is a frequently used tool in order to get Littlewood-Paley formulas in weighted Bergman spaces [1, 28]. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$ is in a sense a much larger class than

$$\bigcup_{\eta > -1} \left\{ \omega \text{ is a weight} : \frac{\omega}{(1-|z|)^\eta} \in B_\infty(\eta) \right\}$$

because it contains weights which may vanish on a set of positive Lebesgue area measure. It is also worth mentioning that (1.1) holds if and only if $\omega \in B_\infty$, when ω is essentially (or almost) constant in each hyperbolically bounded region [3, Corollary 4.4]. This last condition ensures that the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{kp} \omega(z) dA(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |f^{(j)}(0)|^p \lesssim \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), \quad (1.3)$$

holds [1, Theorem 3.1], see also [5, Theorem A]. We prove the following result concerning this last inequality.

Theorem 2. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$. Then (1.3) holds.*

Obviously there are weights in $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ which are not essentially constant in each hyperbolically bounded region. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ describes the radial weights such that (1.3) holds by [23, Theorem 6], because the radial weights in the class $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ coincide with $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 have three key ingredients. The first of them provides a geometric description of the q -Carleson measures for A_ω^p , provided $q \geq p$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$. To state the result, for a given measure μ on \mathbb{D} , we write $\mu(E) = \int_E d\mu$ for each μ -measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{D}$. Further, for each $\varphi \in L_\omega^1$, the Hörmander-type maximal function [12] is defined by

$$M_\omega(\varphi)(z) = \sup_{z \in S} \frac{1}{\omega(S)} \int_S |\varphi(\zeta)| \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Our characterization of q -Carleson measures for A_ω^p reads as follows.

Theorem 3. *Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) μ is a q -Carleson measure for A_ω^p ;
- (ii) $[M_\omega((\cdot)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})]^\alpha : L_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is bounded for each $\alpha > \frac{1}{p}$;
- (iii) μ satisfies

$$\sup_S \frac{\mu(S)}{(\omega(S))^{\frac{q}{p}}} < \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

where the supremum runs over all the Carleson squares S in \mathbb{D} .

Moreover,

$$\|I_d\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \asymp \|[M_\omega((\cdot)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})]^\alpha\|_{L_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \asymp \sup_S \frac{\mu(S)}{(\omega(S))^{\frac{q}{p}}}. \quad (1.5)$$

Theorem 3 is a natural extension of [21, Theorem 3.3] and [19, Theorem 2.1] to non-radial weights.

Second, it is needed a good understanding of the class of weights involved in Theorems 1 and 2. In particular, en route to the proofs, we show that

$$\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D}) \Rightarrow \omega_{[\beta]}(z) = (1 - |z|)^\beta \omega(z) \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D}), \quad \text{for any } \beta > 0,$$

a fact which might be deceptively simple-looking. Indeed, the class $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ does not have this property. See [24, Proposition 10] for the construction of a radial weight $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ such that $\omega_{[\beta]} \notin \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ for any $\beta > 0$.

The third key ingredient in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 concerns certain more smooth weights. Namely, each weight ω induces the nonnegative average function

$$\tilde{\omega}(z) = \frac{\int_{S(z)} \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta)}{(1 - |z|)^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Which regard to this function we prove the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$. Then*

$$\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p \asymp \|f\|_{A_{\tilde{\omega}}^p}^p \asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{kp} \tilde{\omega}(z) dA(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |f^{(j)}(0)|^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

We emphasize that, under the hypothesis $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$, the weights ω and $\tilde{\omega}$ are not pointwise equivalent, but $\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p \asymp \|f\|_{A_{\tilde{\omega}}^p}^p$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ is essentially (or almost) constant in each hyperbolically bounded region. This together with the second equivalence in Theorem 4 and [3, Corollary 4.4-Theorem 1.7] implies that $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$. Therefore the study of certain type of questions on linear operators $T : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ on weighted Bergman spaces A_ω^p , with $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$, can be reduced to the particular case $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$. We will make this statement precise in the case of some questions related to the integral operator T_g induced by $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Indeed, Theorem 11 below describes the analytic symbols g such that $T_g : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^q$ is bounded or compact. In

particular, it shows that $T_g : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p$ is bounded if and only if g belongs to the classical space \mathcal{B} of Bloch functions. Further, by using ideas from studies [1, 2, 3], which link the resolvent set $\rho(T_g|A_\omega^p)$ to the theory of weighted norms in terms of derivatives, we obtain the following characterization of $\rho(T_g|A_\omega^p)$.

Theorem 5. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$, $g \in \mathcal{B}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\lambda \in \rho(T_g|A_\omega^p)$;
- (ii) $\|f\|_{A_{\omega_{\lambda,g,p}}^p}^p \asymp |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1-|z|)^p \omega_{\lambda,g,p}(z) dA(z)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, where $\omega_{\lambda,g,p} = \omega \exp(p \operatorname{Re} \frac{g}{\lambda})$;
- (iii) $\tilde{\omega} \exp(p \operatorname{Re} \frac{g}{\lambda}) \in B_\infty$.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state and prove some preliminary results on weights. Theorem 3 is proved in Section 3 while Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 4. In Section 5 we discuss some basic properties of the integral operator T_g acting on A_ω^p and then prove Theorem 5.

Before proceeding further, a word about notation used. The letter $C = C(\cdot)$ will denote an absolute constant whose value depends on the parameters indicated in the parenthesis, and may change from one occurrence to another. We will use the notation $a \lesssim b$ if there exists a constant $C = C(\cdot) > 0$ such that $a \leq Cb$, and $a \gtrsim b$ is understood in an analogous manner. In particular, if $a \lesssim b$ and $a \gtrsim b$, then we write $a \asymp b$ and say that a and b are comparable. This notation has already been used above in the introduction.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES AND LEMMAS ON WEIGHTS

The pseudohyperbolic distance between two points z_1 and z_2 in \mathbb{D} is $\rho(z_1, z_2) = \left| \frac{z_1 - z_2}{1 - \bar{z}_1 z_2} \right|$. We say that a weight ω is essentially constant on each hyperbolically bounded region if there exist constants $r \in (0, 1)$ and $C = C(\omega, r)$ such that

$$C^{-1}\omega(z_2) \leq \omega(z_1) \leq C\omega(z_2), \quad \rho(z_1, z_2) < r.$$

This class of weights coincides with the weights satisfying [3, (1.6)] and has been also considered in [5].

In the classical setting, there are many equivalent conditions which describe the Muckenhoupt class $A_\infty = \bigcup_{1 \leq q < \infty} A_q$, see [10], [27, Chapter 5] or [9, p. 149]. However, this is no longer true for the class B_∞ , that is, the corresponding conditions (defined on Carleson squares) do not coincide. This stems from the fact that B_∞ -weights do not have the reverse Hölder property [3, 8]. It is worth mentioning that our definition of the class B_∞ differs from the one provided in [3, (1.4)]. However, this does not cause any trouble because B_∞ -weights, which are essentially constant in each hyperbolically bounded region, can be described in terms of a good number of conditions [3, Theorem 1.7], and in particular the definitions coincide under this extra hypotheses on the weight.

The main results of this paper are established under the hypothesis $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D})$. Therefore we are interested in looking for neat conditions describing the class $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\nu)$ induced by $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$. In order to do this, for each weight ν , we say that a weight ω has the Kerman-Torchinsky $KT(\nu)$ -property if there exist constants $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\nu(E)}{\nu(S)} \leq C \left(\frac{\omega(E)}{\omega(S)} \right)^\delta \tag{2.1}$$

for all Carleson squares $S \subset \mathbb{D}$ and measurable sets $E \subset S$. Here and from now on we write $\omega(E) = \int_E \omega(z) dA(z)$. If we replace ν by the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n and Carleson squares by cubes Q in (2.1), we obtain a condition which describes the class A_∞ of the classical Muckenhoupt weights [10, Theorem 3.1]. This condition was introduced by Kerman and Torchinsky [14, Proposition 1] in order to describe the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators

that are of restricted weak-type. The next result follows from [10, Theorem 3.1(c)] (which holds for general bases).

Proposition A. *Let ν be a weight. Then a weight ω belongs to $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\nu)$ if and only if it has the $KT(\nu)$ -property.*

The K -top of a Carleson box $S(a)$ is the polar rectangle $T_K(a) = \{re^{it} : e^{it} \in I_a, |a| \leq r < 1 - \frac{1-|a|}{K}\}$. In some of the auxiliary results obtained en route to the main theorems the conditions $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ can be relaxed in the sense that (2.1) is only needed for K -tops or their complements $S \setminus T_K$. To be precise, we write $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ if there exists $C = C(\omega) > 0$ such that $\omega(S(a)) \leq C\omega(S(\frac{1+|a|}{2}e^{i \arg a}))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. It is easy to see that each $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfies $\omega(S(a')) \leq C(C+1)\omega(S(a))$ for all $a, a' \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ with $|a'| = |a|$ and $\arg a' = \arg a \pm (1 - |a|)$. Therefore $\omega(S(a)) \lesssim \omega(S(b))$ whenever $|b| = \frac{1+|a|}{2}$ and $S(b) \subset S(a)$. It is also obvious that radial weights in $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ form the class $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, which plays a crucial role in the operator theory of Bergman spaces induced by radial weights [23]. Further, a weight ω on \mathbb{D} belongs to $\check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ if there exist $K = K(\omega) > 1$ and $C = C(\omega) > 1$ such that

$$\omega(S(a)) \leq C\omega(T_K(a)), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.2)$$

It is clear that radial weights in $\check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ form the class $\check{\mathcal{D}}$. Finally, we write $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D}) = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D}) \cap \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ for short.

In view of the above we have $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$, $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\check{\mathcal{D}}) \subset \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$. These embeddings, which will be used repeatedly throughout the paper, can also be proved by straightforward calculations which show that $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ (resp. $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$) if $\nu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ (resp. $\nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$) and $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\nu)$. Therefore $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and \mathcal{D} coincide with the radial weights in $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ and $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$, respectively. However, $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}) \subsetneq \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. Namely, let

$$\Gamma(\zeta) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : |\arg \zeta - \arg z| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|) \right\}, \quad \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D},$$

and consider the weight $\omega = \chi_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \Gamma(1)}$. Then $\omega \notin \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ as is seen by considering the Carleson squares $S(a)$ induced by $a \in (0, 1)$. But obviously there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\omega(S_a) \geq C|S_a|$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, and thus $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$.

The proof of the following result concerning the class $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ can be found in [11, Lemma 14].

Lemma B. *Let ω be a weight on \mathbb{D} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$;
- (ii) there exist $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$ and $C = C(\omega) \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{\omega(S(a))}{(1 - |a|)^\beta} \leq C \frac{\omega(S(a'))}{(1 - |a'|)^\beta}, \quad 0 < |a| \leq |a'| < 1, \quad \arg a = \arg a';$$

- (iii) for some (equivalently for each) $K > 0$ there exists $C = C(\omega, K) > 0$ such that

$$\omega(S(a)) \leq C\omega\left(S\left(\frac{K + |a|}{K + 1}e^{i \arg a}\right)\right), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\};$$

- (iv) there exist $\eta = \eta(\omega) > 0$ and $C = C(\eta, \omega) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^\eta} dA(z) \leq C \frac{\omega(S(a))}{(1 - |a|)^\eta}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The following lemma gives an analogue of Lemma B(ii) for weights in $\check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$.

Lemma 6. *Let ω be a weight on \mathbb{D} . Then $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if there exist $K = K(\omega) > 1$ and $\beta_0 = \beta_0(\omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\omega(S(a)) \geq \left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 - |b|}\right)^\beta \omega(S(a) \setminus D(0, |b|)), \quad 1 - \frac{1 - |a|}{K} \leq |b| < 1, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $0 < \beta \leq \beta_0$ and $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. First observe that $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if there exist $C = C(\omega) > 1$ and $K = K(\omega) > 1$ such that

$$\omega(S(a)) \geq C\omega(S(a) \setminus T_K(a)), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.4)$$

This is the characterization that we will use to prove the lemma.

The choice $b = 1 - \frac{1-|a|}{K}$ in (2.3) implies (2.4) with $C = K^\beta$, and therefore $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$. To prove the converse implication, assume without loss of generality that $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Now divide $S(a) \setminus T_K(a)$ into K Carleson squares of equal size and apply (2.4) to each square to obtain $\omega(S(a)) \geq C^2\omega(S(a) \setminus T_{K^2}(a))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then divide $S(a) \setminus T_{K^2}(a)$ into K^2 squares and proceed. After $1 + K + K^2 + \dots + K^{n-1}$ applications of (2.4) we obtain

$$\omega(S(a)) \geq C^n \omega(S(a) \setminus T_{K^n}(a)), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Now, for given $1 - \frac{1-|a|}{K} \leq |b| < 1$, pick up $n = n(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$1 - \frac{1-|a|}{K^n} \leq |b| < 1 - \frac{1-|a|}{K^{n+1}} \iff K^n \leq \frac{1-|a|}{1-|b|} < K^{n+1}.$$

Then (2.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(S(a)) &\geq K^{n \log_K C} \omega(S(a) \setminus T_{K^n}(a)) > \left(\frac{1-|a|}{1-|b|} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1} \log_K C} \omega \left(S(a) \setminus T_{\frac{1-|a|}{1-|b|}}(a) \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1-|a|}{1-|b|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \log_K C} \omega(S(a) \setminus D(0, |b|)), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.3) for $\beta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \log_K C$. \square

For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, a simple computation shows that the weight

$$W(re^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1-r)^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} |\theta|^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}}, & \theta \neq 0 \\ 1, & \theta = 0, \end{cases}$$

is a Bekollé-Bonami type weight such that $W(S(a)) \asymp (1-a)^\epsilon$ if $a \in (0, 1)$ which in particular implies that $H^p \not\subset A_W^p$ for any $0 < p < \infty$ by the classical Carleson embedding theorem. Now, let us compare this example with Theorem 4, which in particular says that $\tilde{\omega}$ is a weight whenever $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$. This example says that despite the fact $\tilde{\omega}$ is a weight if $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$, given $\epsilon > 0$, there are $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$ and a set $A \subset \mathbb{D}$ with $|A| = 0$ and $\bar{A} \cup \partial\mathbb{D} \neq \emptyset$, such that $\omega(S(a)) \asymp (1-|a|)^\epsilon$, as $a \in A$ and $|a| \rightarrow 1^-$.

3. CARLESON MEASURES

Let X be a quasi-Banach space of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . A positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} is called a q -Carleson measure for X if the identity operator $I_d : X \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is bounded. Moreover, if $I_d : X \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is compact, then μ is a q -vanishing Carleson measure for X .

We begin with the boundedness of the Hörmander-type maximal function on L_ω^p when $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$.

Proposition 7. *Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < \infty$ such that $p\alpha > 1$. Let $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} . Then $[M_\omega((\cdot)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})]^\alpha : L_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is bounded if and only if μ satisfies (1.4). Moreover,*

$$\|[M_\omega((\cdot)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})]^\alpha\|_{L_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \asymp \sup_S \frac{\mu(S)}{(\omega(S))^{\frac{q}{p}}}.$$

Proposition 7 can be established by following the lines of the proof of [20, Theorem 3]. We omit the details of the argument. A similar result was obtained in [13, Theorem 1.1] under stronger hypotheses on ω .

Proof of Theorem 3. We will show first that (i) implies (iii) under the weaker hypothesis $0 < p, q < \infty$ and $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$. To see this, for $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $0 < p, \gamma < \infty$, consider the test functions

$$F_{a,p,\gamma}(z) = \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.1)$$

Pick up $\gamma = \gamma(p, \omega) > 0$ sufficiently large such that $\frac{\gamma}{p} > \eta$, where $\eta = \eta(\omega) > 0$ is that of Lemma B(iv). Then

$$\mu(S(a)) \lesssim \int_{S(a)} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \lesssim \|F_{a,p,\gamma}\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \lesssim \omega(S(a))^{\frac{q}{p}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D},$$

and thus μ satisfies (iii).

The statements (ii) and (iii) are equivalent by Proposition 7. Hence, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that (iii) implies μ is a q -Carleson measure for A_ω^p . Since $\frac{\omega}{\nu} \in B_{p_0}(\nu)$ for some $p_0 > 1$ and $\nu \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ by the hypothesis $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$, for any Carleson square S and any non-negative $\varphi \in L^{p_0}(\omega)$, Hölder's inequality yields

$$\frac{1}{\nu(S)} \int_S \varphi \nu dA \leq \frac{1}{\nu(S)} \left(\int_S \varphi^{p_0} \omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \left(\int_S \left(\frac{\nu}{\omega^{p_0}} \right)^{p_0'} dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\omega(S)} \int_S \varphi^{p_0} \omega dA \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}.$$

It follows that $(M_\nu(\varphi))^{p_0} \lesssim M_\omega(\varphi^{p_0})$ on \mathbb{D} . This together with [21, Lemma 3.2] shows that for each $s > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(s, \omega) > 0$ such that

$$|f(z)|^s \leq CM_\omega(f^s)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}). \quad (3.2)$$

By choosing $s = \frac{1}{\alpha} < p$, and using the equivalence between (ii) and (iii) we deduce

$$\|f\|_{L_\mu^q}^q \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(M_\omega(f^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})(z) \right)^{q\alpha} d\mu(z) \leq \| [M_\omega((\cdot)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})]^\alpha \|_{L_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^q.$$

To finish the proof of the theorem we observe that (1.5) follows from the arguments above. \square

For the sake of completeness we describe the q -vanishing Carleson measures for A_ω^p .

Theorem 8. *Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$, and let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} . Then $I_d : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{|S| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(S)}{(\omega(S))^{\frac{q}{p}}} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof of Theorem 8. Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$, and first that assume that $I_d : A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is compact. For each $a \in \mathbb{D}$, consider the function

$$f_{a,p,\gamma}(z) = F_{a,p,\gamma}(z) \omega(S(a))^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $F_{a,p,\gamma}$ is the function defined in (3.1). Then by repeating the argument of [19, Theorem 2.1(ii)] and using Lemma B, we deduce

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{\frac{q}{p}}} = 0,$$

and thus (3.3) is satisfied.

Conversely, assume that μ satisfies (3.3), and set

$$d\mu_r(z) = \chi_{\{r \leq |z| < 1\}}(z) d\mu(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then Theorem 3 implies

$$\|h\|_{L_{\mu_r}^q} \lesssim K_{\mu_r} \|h\|_{A_\omega^p}, \quad h \in A_\omega^p,$$

where $K_{\mu_r} = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\mu_r(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{\frac{q}{p}}}$. We will prove next that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} K_{\mu_r} = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

and then the rest of the proof follows as that of [19, Theorem 2.1(ii)]. By the assumption, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}: |a| \geq r_0} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{\frac{q}{p}}} < \varepsilon. \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore for each $r \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}: |a| \geq r_0} \frac{\mu_r(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{\frac{q}{p}}} \leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}: |a| \geq r_0} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{\frac{q}{p}}} < \varepsilon. \quad (3.7)$$

Next, if $|a| < r_0$, we choose $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $(n-1)(1-r_0) < |I_a| \leq n(1-r_0)$. Let I_k be arcs on the boundary such that $|I_k| = 1-r_0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$, and $I_a \subset \cup_{k=1}^n I_k \subset 2I_a$, where $2I_a = \{e^{i\theta} : |\arg(ae^{-i\theta})| \leq (1-|a|)\}$, where I_j and I_m , $j \neq m$, $j, m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are disjoint or share an endpoint. Let $r \geq r_0$. Then, since $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ by the hypothesis, (3.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r(S(a)) &\leq \mu_{r_0}(S(a)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(S(I_k)) \leq \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n (\omega(S(I_k)))^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \omega(S(I_k)) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \leq \varepsilon \omega(S(2I_a))^{\frac{q}{p}} \lesssim \varepsilon \omega(S(a))^{\frac{q}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.7) gives (3.5), and finishes the proof. \square

4. LITTLEWOOD-PALEY INEQUALITIES

We begin with Theorem 4, splitting its proof in two parts. We first establish an equivalent norm to $\|\cdot\|_{A_{\omega}^p}$ and a Littlewood-Paley inequality in terms of the average weight

$$\omega_{h,r}(z) = \frac{\int_{\Delta(z,r)} \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta)}{(1-|z|)^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $r \in (0, 1)$, and $\Delta(z, r) = \{u \in \mathbb{D} : \rho(u, z) < r\}$.

Proposition 9. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$, $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < p < \infty$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p} \asymp \|f\|_{A_{\omega_{h,r}}^p}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$;
- (ii) $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{kp} \omega_{h,r}(z) dA(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |f^{(j)}(0)|^p \lesssim \|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}^p$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$.

Proof. (i) Let $0 < r < 1$ be fixed. Then Fubini's theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S(a)} \frac{\omega(\Delta(\zeta, r))}{(1-|\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) &= \int_{\{z \in \mathbb{D}: S(a) \cap \Delta(z, r) \neq \emptyset\}} \left(\int_{S(a) \cap \Delta(z, r)} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^2} \right) \omega(z) dA(z) \\ &\leq \int_{S(b)} \left(\int_{\Delta(z, r)} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^2} \right) \omega(z) dA(z) \asymp \omega(S(b)), \quad |a| > r, \end{aligned}$$

where $b = b(a, r) \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfies $\arg b = \arg a$ and $1-|b| \asymp 1-|a|$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \overline{D(0, r)}$. Since $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$, $\omega(S(b)) \lesssim \omega(S(a))$ by Lemma B(ii), and therefore Theorem 3 yields

$$\|f\|_{A_{\omega_{h,r}}^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}). \quad (4.1)$$

To see the converse inequality, use the subharmonicity of $|f|^p$ and Fubini's theorem to deduce

$$\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \omega(\zeta) \left(\int_{\Delta(\zeta, r)} \frac{|f(z)|^p}{(1-|z|)^2} dA(z) \right) dA(\zeta) = \|f\|_{A_{\omega_{h,r}}^p}^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Thus (i) is proved.

(ii) Let $0 < r < 1$ be fixed. It is well known that, for each $0 < p < \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < s < 1$, we have

$$|f^{(k)}(z)|^p \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{2+kp}} \int_{\Delta(z, s)} |f(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), \quad (4.2)$$

see, for example, [15, Lemma 2.1] for details. Fix now $0 < s < 1$ such that $R = s + r < 1$. Then an application of (4.2), Fubini's theorem and Part (i) give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{kp} \omega_{h,r}(z) dA(z) &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\Delta(z, s)} \frac{|f(\zeta)|^p}{(1-|\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) \right) \omega_{h,r}(z) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|^p}{(1-|\zeta|)^2} \left(\int_{\Delta(\zeta, s)} \omega_{h,r}(z) dA(z) \right) dA(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{A_{\omega_{h,R}}^p}^p = \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $|f^{(j)}(0)|^p \lesssim \int_{D(0, \frac{1}{2})} |f|^p dA$ by the subharmonicity of $|f|^p$, and therefore Theorem 3 implies $|f^{(j)}(0)|^p \lesssim \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p$ once we show that $\int_{S \cap D(0, \frac{1}{2})} dA \lesssim \omega(S)$ for all Carleson squares S . This last inequality is obviously valid if $S = S(a)$ with $|a| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ because in this case the left hand side equals zero. For $|a| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\int_{S(a) \cap D(0, \frac{1}{2})} dA \leq \frac{1}{8} \leq \frac{1}{8} \frac{\omega(S(a))}{\inf_{a \in D(0, \frac{1}{2})} \omega(S(a))} \lesssim \omega(S(a)).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Given a weight ω and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote $\omega_{[\beta]}(z) = (1-|z|)^\beta \omega(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We will use this definition to shorten the notation in several instances in the proofs from here after.

Proposition 10. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *If $0 < p \leq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}})$, then*

$$\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{kp} \widetilde{\omega}(z) dA(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |f^{(j)}(0)|^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

(ii) *If $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$, then*

$$\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{kp} \widetilde{\omega}(z) dA(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |f^{(j)}(0)|^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Proof. (i). Let $0 < p \leq 1$. First observe that $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$, and hence, by Lemma B(ii) and Theorem 3, there exists $\beta_0 = \beta_0(\omega, p) > 0$ such that A_ω^p is continuously embedded into $A_{\beta-1}^1$ for all $\beta \geq \beta_0$. A well-known reproducing formula for functions in $A_{\beta-1}^1$ [28, Proposition 4.27] now guarantees the estimate

$$\left| f(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f^{(j)}(0) \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{z}\zeta)^{1+\beta}} \right| (1-|\zeta|)^{\beta+k-1} dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (4.3)$$

Fix $\beta \geq \beta_0$ sufficiently large such that $p(1+\beta) \geq \eta$, where $\eta = \eta(\omega) > 0$ is that of Lemma B(iv), and $\alpha = p(\beta + k + 1) - 2 > -1$. Then $A_\alpha^p \subset A_{\beta+k-1}^1$ by a well-known embedding that can be

also deduced from Theorem 3, and hence

$$\left| f(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f^{(j)}(0) \right|^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(\zeta)|^p \frac{(1-|\zeta|)^\alpha}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^{p(1+\beta)}} dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Therefore Fubini's theorem and Lemma B(iv) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f^{(j)}(0) \right\|_{A_{\mathbb{D}}^p}^p &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(\zeta)|^p (1-|\zeta|)^\alpha \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^{p(1+\beta)}} dA(z) \right) dA(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(\zeta)|^p (1-|\zeta|)^{kp} \frac{\omega(S(\zeta))}{(1-|\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Thus (i) is proved.

(ii) Let now $1 < p < \infty$. Observe that $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$. We begin with showing that for each $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$ there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\omega_{[-\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$ for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. To see this first note that by Lemma 6 there exists $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$ such that $\omega(\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, r)) \lesssim (1-r)^\beta$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. This and Fubini's theorem yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, \frac{1}{2})} \frac{\omega(z)}{(1-|z|)^\varepsilon} dA(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, \frac{1}{2})} \omega(z) \left(\int_{D(0, |z|)} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^{1+\varepsilon}} \right) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|)^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, \max\{\frac{1}{2}, |\zeta|\})} \omega(z) dA(z) \right) dA(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{(1-|\zeta|)^{1+\varepsilon-\beta}} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for each $\varepsilon < \beta$. Thus $\omega_{[-\varepsilon]}$ is a weight all $0 < \varepsilon < \beta$. Further, for such an ε , the inequality (2.3) and the hypothesis $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S(a)} \frac{\omega(z)}{(1-|z|)^\varepsilon} dA(z) &= \int_{S(a)} \omega(z) \left(\varepsilon \int_0^{|a|} \frac{dt}{(1-t)^{\varepsilon+1}} + \varepsilon \int_{|a|}^{|z|} \frac{dt}{(1-t)^{\varepsilon+1}} + 1 \right) dA(z) \\ &= \frac{\omega(S(a))}{(1-|a|)^\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \int_{|a|}^1 \left(\int_{S(a) \setminus D(0, t)} \omega(z) dA(z) \right) \frac{dt}{(1-t)^{\varepsilon+1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\omega(S(a))}{(1-|a|)^\varepsilon} + \frac{\omega(S(a))}{(1-|a|)^\beta} \varepsilon \int_{|a|}^1 \frac{dt}{(1-t)^{\varepsilon+1-\beta}} \\ &= \frac{\omega(S(a))}{(1-|a|)^\varepsilon} + \frac{\omega(S(a))}{(1-|a|)^\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\beta - \varepsilon} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\omega(T_K(a))}{(1-|a|)^\varepsilon} \leq \int_{T_K(a)} \frac{\omega(z)}{(1-|z|)^\varepsilon} dA(z), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\omega_{[-\varepsilon]} \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$, provided $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \beta$. Further, since $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$, there exists $K = K(\omega, \varepsilon) > 1$ such that $\omega_{[-\varepsilon]}(S(a)) \lesssim \omega_{[-\varepsilon]}(T_K(a))$ and $\omega(S(a)) \lesssim \omega(T_K(a))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Write $a' = \frac{1+|a|}{2} e^{i \arg a}$ for short. Then the inequalities just obtained and the hypothesis $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{[-\varepsilon]}(S(a)) &\lesssim \omega_{[-\varepsilon]}(T_K(a)) \leq \frac{K^\varepsilon \omega(T_K(a))}{(1-|a|)^\varepsilon} \leq \frac{K^\varepsilon \omega(S(a))}{(1-|a|)^\varepsilon} \lesssim \frac{\omega(S(a'))}{(1-|a'|)^\varepsilon} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\omega(T_K(a'))}{(1-|a'|)^\varepsilon} \leq \omega_{[-\varepsilon]}(T_K(a')) \leq \omega_{[-\varepsilon]}(S(a')), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

and hence $\omega_{[-\varepsilon]} \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$, for all $0 < \varepsilon < \beta$. Therefore we have shown that $\omega_{[-\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$ for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 = \beta$.

To prove the statement (ii) of the proposition, fix $\alpha_2 \in \left(\frac{2}{p'}, \frac{2}{p'} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{p}\right)$, where $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\omega) > 0$ is the constant we just found. Then $\varepsilon = \frac{p}{p'}(p'\alpha_2 - 2) \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, and thus $\omega_{[-\varepsilon]} \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$. Let now $\beta > \max\{\beta_0, (\eta + 2(p-1) + \varepsilon_0)/p - 1\}$, where $\eta = \eta(\omega_{[-\varepsilon]}) > 0$ is that of Lemma B(iv) and $\beta_0 = \beta_0(\omega, 1)$ is that of Part (i). Finally, write $1 + \beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Then the estimate (4.3) and Hölder's inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f^{(j)}(0) \right|^p &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(\zeta)|^p \frac{(1-|\zeta|)^{p(\beta+k-1)}}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^{p\alpha_1}} dA(\zeta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{dA(\zeta)}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^{p'\alpha_2}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(\zeta)|^p \frac{(1-|\zeta|)^{p(\beta+k-1)}}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^{p\alpha_1}} dA(\zeta) (1-|z|)^{(2-p'\alpha_2)\frac{p}{p'}}, \end{aligned}$$

because $p'\alpha_2 > 2$. By using this and Fubini's theorem we deduce

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f^{(j)}(0) \right\|_{A_w^p}^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(\zeta)|^p (1-|\zeta|)^{p(\beta+k-1)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)(1-|z|)^{(2-p'\alpha_2)\frac{p}{p'}}}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^{p\alpha_1}} dA(z) \right) dA(\zeta)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Since $\varepsilon = \frac{p}{p'}(p'\alpha_2 - 2) \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $p\alpha_1 > \eta$ by our choices, we may apply Lemma B(iv) to the inner integral above. This together with (4.5) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f^{(j)}(0) \right\|_{A_w^p}^p &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(\zeta)|^p (1-|\zeta|)^{p(\beta+k-1)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)(1-|z|)^{(2-p'\alpha_2)\frac{p}{p'}}}{|1-\bar{z}\zeta|^{p\alpha_1}} dA(z) \right) dA(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(\zeta)|^p (1-|\zeta|)^{p(\beta+k-1)} \frac{\omega_{[-\varepsilon]}(S(\zeta))}{(1-|\zeta|)^{p\alpha_1}} dA(\zeta) \\ &\asymp \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(\zeta)|^p (1-|\zeta|)^{kp} \frac{\omega(S(\zeta))}{(1-|\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), \end{aligned}$$

and finishes the proof of (ii). \square

With these preparations we can deduce Theorem 4. Namely, it is easy to see that for each $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$, and in particular for each $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$, there exists $r_0 = r_0(\omega) \in (0, 1)$ such that $\omega_{h,r} \asymp \tilde{\omega}$ in \mathbb{D} for each $r \geq r_0$. Therefore Theorem 4 follows from Propositions 9 and 10.

We proceed to prove Theorems 2 and 1 in the said order.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $0 < r < 1$ be fixed. The inequality (4.2), Fubini's theorem and Proposition 9(i) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{kp} \omega(z) dA(z) &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\Delta(z,r)} |f(\zeta)|^p dA(\zeta) \right) \frac{\omega(z)}{(1-|z|)^2} dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p \frac{\omega(\Delta(\zeta, r))}{(1-|\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) \\ &= \|f\|_{A_{\omega_{h,r}}^p}^p \asymp \|f\|_{A_w^p}^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 9(ii) we deduce

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |f^{(j)}(0)|^p \lesssim \|f\|_{A_w^p}^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

By combining the above estimates we get the assertion. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. By Theorem 2 it suffices to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{kp} \omega(z) dA(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |f^{(j)}(0)|^p \gtrsim \|f\|_{A_w^p}^p, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

To see this, we will need to know more about the weights involved. In particular, we want to show that $\omega_{[kp]} \in B_\infty(\mathcal{D})$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < p < \infty$. We will deduce this in several steps. First observe that if $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\beta > 0$, then $\omega_{[\beta]} \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$. Namely, if $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ there exists $K = K(\omega) > 1$ such that for each $\beta > 0$ we have

$$\omega_{[\beta]}(T_K(a)) \geq \frac{(1 - |a|)^\beta}{K^\beta} \omega(T_K(a)) \gtrsim (1 - |a|)^\beta \omega(S(a)) \geq \omega_{[\beta]}(S(a)), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\},$$

and hence $\omega_{[\beta]} \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$. If in addition $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$, then $\omega_{[\beta]} \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$. To see this, let $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and write $a' = \frac{1+|a|}{2} e^{i \arg a}$ for short. Since $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$, there exists $K = K(\omega) > 1$ such that $\omega(T_K(a)) \asymp \omega(S(a))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. By using this and the hypothesis $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{[\beta]}(S(a)) &\leq (1 - |a|)^\beta \omega(S(a)) \asymp (1 - |a|)^\beta \omega(S(a')) \\ &\asymp \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1 - |a'|}{K}\right)\right)^\beta \omega(T_K(a')) \\ &\leq \omega_{[\beta]}(S(a')), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\omega_{[\beta]} \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore we have shown that $\omega_{[\beta]} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$, provided $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\beta > 0$.

The other property we need to know is that if $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$, then $\omega_{[\beta]} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$ for all $\beta > 0$. We will use the fact we just proved to see this and the fact that $\frac{\omega}{\nu} \in B_p(\nu)$ if and only if there exists a constant $C = C(\omega, \nu) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\int_S |f(z)| \nu(z) dA(z)}{\nu(S)} \right)^p \leq C \frac{\int_S |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z)}{\omega(S)} \quad (4.6)$$

for all Carleson squares S and all measurable functions f on \mathbb{D} . Next observe that if $\nu \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{\omega}{\nu} \in B_p(\nu)$, then $\frac{\omega_{[\beta]}}{\nu_{[\beta]}} \in B_p(\nu_{[\beta]})$ for all $0 < \beta < \infty$. Namely, if $\frac{\omega}{\nu} \in B_p(\nu)$, then (4.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\int_{S(a)} |f(z)| \nu_{[\beta]}(z) dA(z)}{\nu_{[\beta]}(S(a))} \right)^p &\leq C \frac{\nu(S(a))^p \int_{S(a)} |f(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^{p\beta} \omega(z) dA(z)}{\nu_{[\beta]}(S(a))^p \omega(S(a))} \\ &\leq C \frac{\nu(S(a))^p}{\nu_{[\beta]}(S(a))^p} (1 - |a|)^{(p-1)\beta} \frac{\int_{S(a)} |f(z)|^p \omega_{[\beta]}(z) dA(z)}{\omega(T_K(a))} \\ &\leq C \frac{(1 - |a|)^{p\beta} \nu(S(a))^p \int_{S(a)} |f(z)|^p \omega_{[\beta]}(z) dA(z)}{\nu_{[\beta]}(S(a))^p \omega_{[\beta]}(T_K(a))} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and all measurable functions f on \mathbb{D} . Since $\nu \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$ by the hypothesis, then $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$, and hence $\omega_{[\beta]} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore $\omega_{[\beta]}(T_K(a)) \asymp \omega_{[\beta]}(S(a))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, provided $K = K(\omega, \beta) > 1$ is sufficiently large. Moreover, for $M = M(\nu) > 1$ sufficiently large, we have

$$\nu_{[\beta]}(S(a)) \leq (1 - |a|)^\beta \nu(S(a)) \asymp (1 - |a|)^\beta \nu(T_M(a)) \leq M^\beta \nu_{[\beta]}(T_M(a)) \leq M^\beta \nu_{[\beta]}(S(a))$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. It follows that

$$\left(\frac{\int_{S(a)} |f(z)| \nu_{[\beta]}(z) dA(z)}{\nu_{[\beta]}(S(a))} \right)^p \lesssim \frac{\int_{S(a)} |f(z)|^p \omega_{[\beta]}(z) dA(z)}{\omega_{[\beta]}(S(a))}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\},$$

for all measurable functions f on \mathbb{D} . This shows that $\frac{\omega_{[\beta]}}{\nu_{[\beta]}} \in B_p(\nu_{[\beta]})$.

Finally, by the hypothesis $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$, and hence there exist $1 < p < \infty$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\frac{\omega}{\nu} \in B_p(\nu)$. Therefore $\frac{\omega_{[\beta]}}{\nu_{[\beta]}} \in B_p(\nu_{[\beta]})$ for all $0 < \beta < \infty$. Moreover, $\nu_{[\beta]} \in \mathcal{D}$, and hence $\omega_{[\beta]} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$.

Now we can proceed to prove the statement of the theorem. Recall that we just showed that $\omega_{[kp]} \in B_\infty(\mathcal{D})$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < p < \infty$ by the hypothesis $\omega \in B_\infty(\mathcal{D})$. In particular

$\omega_{[kp]} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$, and hence the inequality we are after now follows from Proposition 10 and Theorem 3 if we show that

$$\int_S (1 - |\zeta|)^{kp} \frac{\omega(S(\zeta))}{(1 - |\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) \lesssim \int_S (1 - |\zeta|)^{kp} \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad S \subset \mathbb{D}. \quad (4.7)$$

Since $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$, there exists $r = r(\omega) \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently large such that $\omega(S(\zeta)) \lesssim \omega(\Delta(\zeta, r))$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S(a)} (1 - |\zeta|)^{kp} \frac{\omega(S(\zeta))}{(1 - |\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) &\lesssim \int_{S(a)} (1 - |\zeta|)^{kp} \frac{\omega(\Delta(\zeta, r))}{(1 - |\zeta|)^2} dA(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\{z: S(a) \cap \Delta(z, r) \neq \emptyset\}} \omega(z) \left(\int_{S(a) \cap \Delta(z, r)} (1 - |\zeta|)^{kp-2} dA(\zeta) \right) dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\{z: S(a) \cap \Delta(z, r) \neq \emptyset\}} (1 - |z|)^{kp} \omega(z) dA(z) \\ &\leq \int_{S(a')} (1 - |z|)^{kp} \omega(z) dA(z), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\arg a' = \arg a$ and $1 - |a'| \asymp 1 - |a|$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, since $\omega_{[kp]} \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{D})$, we have $\omega_{[kp]}(S(a')) \lesssim \omega_{[kp]}(S(a))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. This gives (4.7) and finishes the proof. \square

5. SPECTRA OF INTEGRATION OPERATOR

Let \mathcal{B} denote the classical space of Bloch functions, \mathcal{B}_0 the little Bloch space and $D_a = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z - a| < \frac{1-|a|}{2}\}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Recall that $\tilde{\omega}$ is essentially constant in each hyperbolically bounded region if $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$. This together with Theorem 4 and [3, Corollary 4.4-Theorem 1.7] implies that $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$. Therefore the next result follows from [1, Theorem 4.1], Theorem 4 and the fact that

$$\tilde{\omega}(D_a) \asymp \omega(S(a)), \quad a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\},$$

provided $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$.

Theorem 11. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *If $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, then $T_g : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^q$ is bounded if and only if*

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |a|) |g'(a)| (\omega(S(a)))^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

(ii) *If $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, then $T_g : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^q$ is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |a|) |g'(a)| (\omega(S(a)))^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} = 0.$$

(iii) *If $0 < q < p < \infty$, then $T_g : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^q$ is bounded (equivalently compact) if and only if $g \in A_\omega^s$, where $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$.*

Theorem 11 shows, in particular, that $T_g : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p$ is bounded (resp. compact) if and only if $g \in \mathcal{B}$ (resp. $g \in \mathcal{B}_0$), provided $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$.

We will next study the spectrum of T_g acting on A_ω^p , when $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{D})$. We begin with noticing that T_g has no eigenvalues [1, Proposition 5.1], and hence its spectrum is nothing else but $\{0\}$ if $g \in \mathcal{B}_0$. The proof of Theorem 5 follows ideas from the papers [1, 2, 3], where the approach used reveals a natural connection to weighted norm inequalities for derivatives. This general idea applies to our context as well. Indeed, a simple computation shows that for a given analytic function h in \mathbb{D} and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, the equation

$$\lambda f - T_g f = h$$

has the unique solution $f = \frac{1}{\lambda} R_{\lambda,g} h$, where

$$R_{\lambda,g} h(z) = h(0) e^{\frac{g(z)}{\lambda}} + e^{\frac{g(z)}{\lambda}} \int_0^z e^{-\frac{g(\xi)}{\lambda}} h'(\xi) d\xi, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (5.1)$$

Thus λ belongs to the resolvent set $\rho(T_g|A_\omega^p)$ if and only if $R_{\lambda,g}$ is a bounded invertible operator on A_ω^p .

Proof of Theorem 5. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows by arguing as in the proof of [1, Theorem 5.1] and applying Theorems 1 and 11.

To see that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent, observe that since $\tilde{\omega}$ is essentially constant in each hyperbolically bounded region, the proof of [3, Proposition 2.1((b))] shows that there exists a differentiable weight W such that $\tilde{\omega} \asymp W$ on \mathbb{D} , and

$$|\nabla W(z)| \lesssim (1 - |z|)W(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (5.2)$$

Therefore, by arguing as in the first part of the proof, but applying Theorem 4 instead of Theorem 1, we deduce that $\lambda \in \rho(T_g|A_\omega^p) = \rho(T_g|A_{\tilde{\omega}}^p) = \rho(T_g|A_W^p)$ if and only if

$$\|f\|_{A_{W_{\lambda,g,p}}^p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^p W_{\lambda,g,p}(z) dA(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}),$$

where $W_{\lambda,g,p} = W \exp(p\operatorname{Re}\frac{g}{\lambda})$. Since $g \in \mathcal{B}$, also the weight $W_{\lambda,g,p}$ satisfies (5.2). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla W \exp\left(p\operatorname{Re}\frac{g}{\lambda}\right)(z) \right| &\lesssim \left(|\nabla W(z)| + \frac{p|g'(z)|W(z)}{|\lambda|} \right) \exp\left(p\operatorname{Re}\frac{g}{\lambda}\right)(z) \\ &\lesssim \frac{W \exp\left(p\operatorname{Re}\frac{g}{\lambda}\right)(z)}{1 - |z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $W_{\lambda,g,p}$ is essentially constant in each hyperbolically bounded region by [3, Proposition 2.1(i)]. Therefore $\lambda \in \rho(T_g|A_\omega^p) = \rho(T_g|A_{\tilde{\omega}}^p) = \rho(T_g|A_W^p)$ if and only if $W_{\lambda,g,p} \in B_\infty$ by [3, Corollary 4.4]. This is equivalent to $\tilde{\omega} \exp(p\operatorname{Re}\frac{g}{\lambda}) \in B_\infty$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aleman and O. Constantin, Spectra of integration operators on weighted Bergman spaces, *J. Anal. Math.* 109 (2009), 199–231.
- [2] A. Aleman and J. A. Peláez, Spectra of integration operators and weighted square functions, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 61, No. 2 (2012), pp. 775–793.
- [3] A. Aleman, S. Pott and M. C. Reguera, Characterizations of a limiting class B_∞ of Békollé-Bonami weights, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 35 (2019), no. 6, 1677–1692.
- [4] A. Aleman and A. Siskakis, Integration operators on Bergman spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 46 (1997), 337–356.
- [5] G. Bao, H. Wulan and K. Zhu, A Hardy-Littlewood theorem for Bergman spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.* 43 (2018), 807–821.
- [6] D. Bekollé, Inégalités á poids pour le projecteur de Bergman dans la boule unité de C^n , [Weighted inequalities for the Bergman projection in the unit ball of C^n] *Studia Math.* 71 (1981/82), no. 3, 305–323.
- [7] D. Bekollé and A. Bonami, Inégalités á poids pour le noyau de Bergman, (French) *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* 286 (1978), no. 18, 775–778.
- [8] A. Borichev, On the Bekollé-Bonami Condition, *Math. Ann.* 328, 389–398 (2004).
- [9] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2001.
- [10] J. Duoandikoetxea, F. J. Martín-Reyes and S. Ombrosi, On the A_∞ conditions for general bases, *Math. Z.* 282 (2016), no. 3, 955–972.
- [11] T. Korhonen and J. Rättyä, Zero sequences, factorization and sampling measures for weighted Bergman spaces, *Math. Z.* 291 (2019), 1145–1173.
- [12] L. Hörmander, L^p estimates for (pluri-)subharmonic functions, *Math. Scand.* (20) (1967), 65–78.
- [13] C. D. Kenfack and B. F. Sehba, Maximal function and Carleson measures in the theory of Békollé-Bonami weights. (English summary) *Colloq. Math.* 142 (2016), no. 2, 211–226.
- [14] R. A. Kerman and A. Torchinsky, Integral inequalities with weights for the maximal function, *Studia Math.* 71 (1981/82), no. 3, 277–284.

- [15] D. H. Luecking, Forward and reverse inequalities for functions in Bergman spaces and their derivatives, *Amer. J. Math.* 107, (1985), 85–111.
- [16] D. H. Luecking, Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* 73 (1987), 345–368.
- [17] M. Pavlović and J. A. Peláez, An equivalence for weighted integrals of an analytic function and its derivative, *Math. Nachr.* 281 (2008), no. 11, 1612–1623.
- [18] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Generalized Hilbert operators on weighted Bergman spaces, *Adv. Math.* 240 (2013), 227–267.
- [19] J. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 227 (2014).
- [20] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Embedding theorems for Bergman spaces via harmonic analysis, *Math. Ann.* 362 (2015), no. 1-2, 205–239.
- [21] J. A. Peláez, Small weighted Bergman spaces, *Proceedings of the summer school in complex and harmonic analysis, and related topics*, (2016).
- [22] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Two weight inequality for Bergman projection, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 105 (2016), 102–130.
- [23] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Bergman projection induced by radial weight, accepted for publication in *Adv. Math.*
- [24] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Harmonic conjugates on Bergman spaces induced by doubling weights, *Anal. Math. Phys.* 10 (2020), no. 2, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13324-020-00362-7>
- [25] J. A. Peláez, J. Rättyä and B. D. Wick, Bergman projection induced by kernel with integral representation, *J. Anal. Math.* 138 (2019), no. 1, 325–360.
- [26] A. Siskakis, Weighted integrals of analytic functions, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 66 (2000), no. 3-4, 651–664.
- [27] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press (1993).
- [28] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Second Edition, *Math. Surveys and Monographs*, Vol. 138, American Mathematical Society: Providence, Rhode Island, 2007.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, CAMPUS DE TEATINOS, 29071 MÁLAGA, SPAIN. PHONE NUMBER: 0034952131911

Email address: `japelaez@uma.es`

UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN FINLAND, P.O.BOX 111, 80101 JOENSUU, FINLAND

Email address: `jouni.ratty@uef.fi`