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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a contraction. Let $$
A_{n} f=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} T^{j} f
$$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\left(n_{k}\right)$ be a sequence satisfying $\beta \geq n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geq \alpha>1$ for all $k \geq 1$, then there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that $$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|A_{n_{k+1}} f-A_{n_{k}} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Let ( $n_{k}$ ) be a sequence satisfying $\beta \geq n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geq \alpha>1$ for all 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47B02; Secondary 37A30. Key words and phrases: Hilbert Space, Unitary Operator, Contraction, Average, Variation Inequality, Oscillation Inequality


$k \geq 1$, and let $M$ be any sequence. Then there exists a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\ m \in M}}\left\|A_{m}(T) f-A_{n_{k}}(T) f\right\|_{H} \leq C_{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a contraction. Define the average

$$
A_{n} f=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} T^{j} f
$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
It is known by the spectral theorem for a contraction that there exists a measure $\mu$ on $[-\pi, \pi)$ such that $\mu[-\pi, \pi)=\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$ and

$$
\left\|A_{m}(f)-A_{n}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq\left\|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n}(\theta)\right\|_{2, \mu}
$$

where

$$
a_{n}(\theta)=\frac{1}{n}\left(1+e^{i \theta}+e^{i 2 \theta}+\cdots+e^{i(n-1) \theta}\right)=\frac{e^{i n \theta}-1}{n\left(e^{i \theta}-1\right)}
$$

with equality when $T$ is an isometry.
The following result has been obtained by M. Lifshits, M. Weber [3]:
Theorem 1. Let $\left(n_{k}\right)$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers, and let $T$ be a contraction on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|A_{n_{k+1}}(T) f-A_{n_{k}}(T) f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq 6 \pi\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
The following reverse versions of this result have been obtained by the author in S. Demir [1]:

Theorem 2. Let $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a unitary operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $A_{n} f=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} U^{j} f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Suppose that $\left(n_{k}\right)$ is a lacunary sequence with no non-trivial common divisor, then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|A_{n_{k+1}} f-A_{n_{k}} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\int f=0$.
Theorem 3. Let $T$ be a contraction on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $A_{n}(T) f=$ $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} T^{j} f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Suppose that $\left(n_{k}\right)$ is a lacunary sequence with no non-trivial common divisor, then there exist a Hilbert space $K$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ as a closed subspace, and an orthogonal projection $P: K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\|P\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|A_{n_{k+1}}(T) f-A_{n_{k}}(T) f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\int f=0$, where $C$ is a positive constant.
In this research we consider the above problem with no square on the Hilbert space norm for a contraction operator.

Note that the Hilbert spaces are all complex Hilbert spaces in this research when it is not stated otherwise.

## 2 Results

The following variation inequality is our first result:
Theorem 4. Let $T$ be a contraction on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and for $f \in \mathcal{H}$ define

$$
A_{n} f=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} T^{j} f
$$

If $\left(n_{k}\right)$ is a sequence satisfying $\beta \geq n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geq \alpha>1$ for all $k \geq 1$, then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|A_{n_{k+1}} f-A_{n_{k}} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|A_{n_{k+1}} f-A_{n_{k}} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

for all $N \geq 1$.
Because of the spectral theorem theorem for a contraction on a complex Hilbert space (see U. Krengel [2], p. 94) there exists a probability measure $\mu$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$ such that

$$
\left\langle T^{n} f, T^{m} f\right\rangle=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(m-n) \theta} d \mu(\theta)
$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the inner product on $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore when we set

$$
a_{n}(\theta)=\frac{e^{i n \theta}-1}{n\left(e^{i \theta}-1\right)}
$$

it follows that whenever $n, m \geq 1$ we have

$$
\left\|A_{m}(f)-A_{n}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq\left\|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n}(\theta)\right\|_{2, \mu} .
$$

We now have

$$
\left\|A_{m}(f)-A_{n}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

It is thus clear that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|A_{n_{k+1}}(f)-A_{n_{k}}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|a_{n_{k+1}}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We can assume without the loss of generality that $\theta \in(0, \pi]$. Since $\left|e^{i \theta}-1\right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \theta$ for all $\theta \in(0, \pi]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{n_{k+1}}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{n_{k+1}}\left(\frac{e^{i n_{k+1} \theta}-1}{e^{i \theta}-1}\right)-\frac{1}{n_{k}}\left(\frac{e^{i n_{k} \theta}-1}{e^{i \theta}-1}\right)\right| \\
& \leq 4\left|\frac{e^{i n_{k+1} \theta}-1}{n_{k+1} \theta}-\frac{e^{i n_{k} \theta}-1}{n_{k} \theta}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore it suffices to control the summation

$$
I(\theta)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\frac{e^{i n_{k+1} \theta}-1}{n_{k+1} \theta}-\frac{e^{i n_{k} \theta}-1}{n_{k} \theta}\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

First fix $\theta$ and let $k_{0}$ be the first $k$ such that $\theta n_{k} \geq 1$, and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}(\theta)=\sum_{k: \theta n_{k}<1}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\frac{e^{i n_{k+1} \theta}-1}{n_{k+1} \theta}-\frac{e^{i n_{k} \theta}-1}{n_{k} \theta}\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& I_{2}(\theta)=\sum_{k: \theta n_{k} \geq 1}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\frac{e^{i n_{k+1} \theta}-1}{n_{k+1} \theta}-\frac{e^{i n_{k} \theta}-1}{n_{k} \theta}\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $I(\theta)=I_{1}(\theta)+I_{2}(\theta)$, it suffices to control $I_{1}(\theta)$ and $I_{2}(\theta)$ to prove our theorem.

To control $I_{1}(\theta)$ first define a function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$
F(r)=\frac{e^{i r}-1}{r}
$$

Then we have

$$
F(n \theta)=\frac{e^{i n \theta}-1}{n \theta}
$$

By the Mean Value Theorem there exits a constant $\xi \in\left(n_{k} \theta, n_{k+1} \theta\right)$ such that

$$
\left|F\left(n_{k+1} \theta\right)-F\left(n_{k} \theta\right)\right|=\left|F^{\prime}(\xi)\right|\left|n_{k+1} \theta-n_{k} \theta\right|
$$

Also, it is easy to verify that

$$
\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{x+2}{x^{2}}
$$

for $x>0$.

Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(n_{k+1} \theta\right)-F\left(n_{k} \theta\right)\right| & =\left|F^{\prime}(\xi)\right|\left|n_{k+1} \theta-n_{k} \theta\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\xi+2}{\xi^{2}} \theta\left(n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{\theta}{\xi}\left(n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right)+\frac{2 \theta}{\xi^{2}}\left(n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n_{k}}\left(n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right)+\frac{2 \theta}{\theta^{2} n_{k}^{2}}\left(n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n_{k}}\left(n_{k+1}+n_{k}\right)+\frac{2}{\theta n_{k}^{2}}\left(n_{k+1}+n_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now consider the last terms separately,

$$
\frac{1}{n_{k}}\left(n_{k+1}+n_{k}\right) \leq \frac{2 n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{\theta n_{k}^{2}}\left(n_{k+1}+n_{k}\right) & =\frac{2 n_{k+1}}{\theta n_{k}^{2}}+\frac{2 n_{k}}{\theta n_{k}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 n_{k_{0}}}{\theta n_{k}^{2}}+\frac{2}{\theta n_{k}} \\
& =\frac{2 n_{k_{0}} n_{k_{0}}}{\theta n_{k_{0}} n_{k}^{2}}+\frac{2 n_{k_{0}}}{\theta n_{k_{0}} n_{k}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 n_{k_{0}}^{2}}{n_{k}^{2}}+\frac{2 n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, note that we have

$$
\frac{n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}}=\frac{n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k_{0}-1}} \cdot \frac{n_{k_{0}-1}}{n_{k_{0}-2}} \cdot \frac{n_{k_{0}-2}}{n_{k_{0}-3}} \ldots \frac{n_{k+1}}{n_{k}}
$$

and thus we obtain

$$
\frac{n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}} \leq \beta^{k_{0}-k}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(\theta) & =\sum_{k: n_{k} \theta<1}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|F\left(n_{k+1} \theta\right)-F\left(n_{k} \theta\right)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \sum_{k: n_{k} \theta<1}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\frac{2 n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}}+\frac{2 n_{k_{0}}^{2}}{n_{k}^{2}}+\frac{2 n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}}\right)^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq 2 \pi \sum_{k: n_{k} \theta<1}\left(\frac{2 n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}}+\frac{2 n_{k_{0}}^{2}}{n_{k}^{2}}+\frac{2 n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \pi \sum_{k: n_{k} \theta<1}\left(2 \beta^{k_{0}-k}+2\left(\beta^{k_{0}-k}\right)^{2}+2 \beta^{k_{0}-k}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \pi C(\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $I_{1}(\theta)$ is bounded.
Let us now control

$$
I_{2}(\theta)=\sum_{k: \theta n_{k} \geq 1}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\frac{e^{i n_{k+1} \theta}-1}{n_{k+1} \theta}-\frac{e^{i n_{k} \theta}-1}{n_{k} \theta}\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

First note that

$$
\left|\frac{e^{i n_{k+1} \theta}-1}{n_{k+1} \theta}-\frac{e^{i n_{k} \theta}-1}{n_{k} \theta}\right| \leq \frac{2}{n_{k+1} \theta}+\frac{2}{n_{k} \theta} \leq \frac{4}{n_{k} \theta}
$$

since $n_{k+1} \geq n_{k}$.
Let $k_{0}$ be the first index such that $\theta n_{k_{0}} \geq 1$. Since

$$
\frac{n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}}=\frac{n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k_{0}+1}} \cdot \frac{n_{k_{0}+1}}{n_{k_{0}+2}} \cdot \frac{n_{k_{0}+2}}{n_{k_{0}+3}} \ldots \frac{n_{k-1}}{n_{k}}
$$

and $n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geq \alpha$ for all $k=1,2,3, \ldots$, we have

$$
\frac{n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{k-k_{0}}}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{\theta n_{k_{0}}} \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n_{k} \theta} & =\frac{1}{\theta n_{k_{0}}} \cdot \frac{n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}} \\
& \leq \frac{n_{k_{0}}}{n_{k}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{k-k_{0}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(\theta) & =\sum_{k: \theta n_{k} \geq 1}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\frac{e^{i n_{k+1} \theta}-1}{n_{k+1} \theta}-\frac{e^{i n_{k} \theta}-1}{n_{k} \theta}\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \sum_{k: \theta n_{k} \geq 1}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{k-k_{0}}}\right)^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq 2 \pi \sum_{k: \theta n_{k} \geq 1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{k-k_{0}}} \\
& \leq 2 \pi C(\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and this finishes our proof.
Corollary 5. Let $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, \tau)$ be an ergodic, measure preserving dynamical system and define the ergodic averages

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n} f(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f \circ \tau^{j}(x)
$$

If $\left(n_{k}\right)$ is a sequence satisfying $\beta \geq n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geq \alpha>1$ for all $k \geq 1$, then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\mathcal{A}_{n_{k+1}} f-\mathcal{A}_{n_{k}} f\right\|_{2} \leq C\|f\|_{2}
$$

for all $f \in L^{2}(X)$.
Proof. Note that $T f(x)=f \circ \tau(x)$ is a unitary operator, and thus it is a contraction. Since $L^{2}(X)$ is a Hilbert space, the result follows from Theorem 4.

Our second result is the following oscillation inequality:
Theorem 6. Let $T$ be a contraction on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and for $f \in \mathcal{H}$ define

$$
A_{n} f=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} T^{j} f
$$

Let $\left(n_{k}\right)$ be a sequence satisfying $\beta \geq n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geq \alpha>1$ for all $k \geq 1$, and let $M$ be any sequence. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\ m \in M}}\left\|A_{m} f-A_{n_{k}} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
Proof. It suffices to show that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\ m \in M}}\left\|A_{m} f-A_{n_{k}} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

for all $N \geq 1$.
We know from the proof of Theorem 4 that

$$
\left\|A_{m}(f)-A_{n_{k}}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where

$$
a_{n}(\theta)=\frac{e^{i n \theta}-1}{n\left(e^{i \theta}-1\right)} .
$$

But since

$$
\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta) \leq \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\ m \in M}}\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta),
$$

we obtain

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta) \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\ m \in M}}\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)
$$

Hence we obtain
$\sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\ m \in M}}\left\|A_{m}(f)-A_{n_{k}}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\ m \in M}}\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2}$.

We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\
m \in M}}\left\|A_{m}(f)-A_{n_{k}}(f)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\int_{-\pi n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1}}^{m \in M}<\right. \\
&\left.\sup _{\substack{ }}\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus in order to proove our theorem it suffices to control the summation

$$
I(\theta)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\ m \in M}}\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right|^{2} d \mu(\theta)\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

We can assume without the loss of generality that $\theta \in(0, \pi]$. Since $\left|1-e^{i \theta}\right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \theta$ for all $\theta \in(0, \pi]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{m}(\theta)-a_{n_{k}}(\theta)\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{1-e^{i m \theta}}{1-e^{i \theta}}\right)-\frac{1}{n_{k}}\left(\frac{1-e^{i n_{k} \theta}}{1-e^{i \theta}}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|1-e^{i \theta}\right|} \cdot\left|\frac{1-e^{i m \theta}}{\theta m}-\frac{1-e^{i n_{k} \theta}}{\theta n_{k}}\right| \\
& \leq 4\left|\frac{1-e^{i m \theta}}{\theta m}-\frac{1-e^{i n_{k} \theta}}{\theta n_{k}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{8}{\theta m}+\frac{8}{\theta n_{k}} \\
& \leq \frac{16}{\theta n_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $n_{k} \leq m$, and thus our proof can be complted by repeating the arguments of the proof of Theorem 4.

Corollary 7. Let $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu, \tau)$ be an ergodic, measure preserving dynamical system and define the ergodic averages

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n} f(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f \circ \tau^{j}(x)
$$

Let $\left(n_{k}\right)$ be a sequence satisfying $\beta \geq n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geq \alpha>1$ for all $k \geq 1$, and let $M$ be any sequence. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\ m \in M}}\left\|\mathcal{A}_{m} f-\mathcal{A}_{n_{k}} f\right\|_{2} \leq C\|f\|_{2}
$$

for all $f \in L^{2}(X)$.
Proof. It is clear that $T f(x)=f \circ \tau(x)$ is a unitary operator, and thus it is a contraction. Since $L^{2}(X)$ is a Hilbert space, the result follows from Theorem 6.

Remark 1. It is clear that a unitary operator $T$ is a contraction. On the other hand, one can give a direct proof when $T$ is a unitary operator in Theorem 4 and Theorem 6 by using the spectral theorem for a unitary operator on a complex Hilbert space (see F. Riesz and B. Sz-Nagy [4], p. 289). After proving these theorems for a unitary operator $T$ we can also prove them for a contraction as follows:

By the dilation theorem (see B. Sz-Nagy and C. Foias [5], p. 45), there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ as a closed subspace, an orthogonal projection $P: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, and a unitary operator $U: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ with $P U^{j} f=T^{j} f$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$. But then for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|A_{n_{k+1}}(T) f-A_{n_{k}}(T) f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|P\left(A_{n_{k+1}}(U) f-A_{n_{k}}(U) f\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\|P\| \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|A_{n_{k+1}}(U) f-A_{n_{k}}(U) f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\|P\| \leq 1$. This proves Theorem 4, and Theorem 6 follows from the
following inequality similarly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\
m \in M}}\left\|A_{m}(T) f-A_{n_{k}}(T) f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\
m \in M}}\left\|P\left(A_{m}(U) f-A_{n_{k}}(U) f\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\
m \in M}}\|P\|\left\|A_{m}(U) f-A_{n_{k}}(U) f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\|P\| \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sup _{\substack{n_{k} \leq m<n_{k+1} \\
m \in M}}\left\|A_{m}(U) f-A_{n_{k}}(U) f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \leq C .
\end{aligned}
$$
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