

# On a Non-Newtonian Calculus of Variations

Delfim F. M. Torres 

Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA), Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal; delfim@ua.pt

**Abstract:** The calculus of variations is a field of mathematical analysis born in 1687 with Newton's problem of minimal resistance, which is concerned with the maxima or minima of integral functionals. Finding the solution of such problems leads to solving the associated Euler–Lagrange equations. The subject has found many applications over the centuries, e.g., in physics, economics, engineering and biology. Up to this moment, however, the theory of the calculus of variations has been confined to Newton's approach to calculus. As in many applications negative values of admissible functions are not physically plausible, we propose here to develop an alternative calculus of variations based on the non-Newtonian approach first introduced by Grossman and Katz in the period between 1967 and 1970, which provides a calculus defined, from the very beginning, for positive real numbers only, and it is based on a (non-Newtonian) derivative that permits one to compare relative changes between a dependent positive variable and an independent variable that is also positive. In this way, the non-Newtonian calculus of variations we introduce here provides a natural framework for problems involving functions with positive images. Our main result is a first-order optimality condition of Euler–Lagrange type. The new calculus of variations complements the standard one in a nontrivial/multiplicative way, guaranteeing that the solution remains in the physically admissible positive range. An illustrative example is given.

**Keywords:** calculus of variations; non-Newtonian calculus; multiplicative integral functionals; multiplicative Euler–Lagrange equations; admissible positive functions

**MSC:** 26A24; 49K05



**Citation:** Torres, D.F.M. On a Non-Newtonian Calculus of Variations. *Axioms* **2021**, *10*(3), 171.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms10030171>

Academic Editor: Giampiero Palatucci

Received: 12 June 2021

Revised: 22 July 2021

Accepted: 26 July 2021

Published: 29 July 2021

**Note:** This is a preprint of a paper whose final and definite form is published Open Access in 'Axioms', <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms10030171>

## 1. Introduction

A popular method of creating a new mathematical system is to vary the axioms of a known one. Non-Newtonian calculi provide alternative approaches to the usual calculus of Newton (1643–1727) and Leibniz (1646–1716), which were first introduced by Grossman and Katz (1933–2010) in the period between 1967 and 1970 [1]. The two most popular non-Newtonian calculi are the multiplicative and bigeometric calculi, which in fact are modifications of each other: in these calculi, the addition and subtraction are changed to multiplication and division [2]. Since such multiplicative calculi are variations on the usual calculus, the traditional one is sometimes called the additive calculus [3].

Recently, it has been shown that non-Newtonian/multiplicative calculi are more suitable than the ordinary Newtonian/additive calculus for some problems, e.g., in actuarial science, finance, economics, biology, demography, pattern recognition in images, signal processing, thermostatics and quantum information theory [3–7]. This is explained by the fact that while the basis for the standard/additive calculus is the representation of a function as locally linear, the basis of a multiplicative calculus is the representation of a function as locally exponential [1, 3,7]. In fact, the usefulness of product integration goes back to Volterra (1860–1940), who introduced in 1887 the notion of a product integral and used it to study solutions of differential equations [8,9]. For readers not familiar with product integrals, we refer to the book [10], which contains short biographical sketches of Volterra, Schlesinger and other mathematicians involved in the development of product integrals, and an extensive list of references, offering

a gentle opportunity to become acquainted with the subject of non-Newtonian integration. For our purposes, it is enough to understand that a non-Newtonian calculus is a methodology that allows one to have a different look at problems that can be investigated via calculus: it provides differentiation and integration tools, based on multiplication instead of addition, and in some cases—mainly problems of price elasticity, multiplicative growth, etc.—the use of such multiplicative calculi is preferable to the traditional Newtonian calculus [11–14]. Moreover, a non-Newtonian calculus is a self-contained system, independent of any other system of calculus [15].

The main aim of our work was to obtain, for the first time in the literature, a non-Newtonian calculus of variations that involves the minimization of a functional defined by a non-Newtonian integral with an integrand/Lagrangian depending on the non-Newtonian derivative. The calculus of variations is a field of mathematical analysis that uses, as the name indicates, variations, which are small changes in functions, to find maxima and minima of the considered functionals: mappings from a set of functions to the real numbers. In the non-Newtonian framework, instead of the classical variations of the form  $y(\cdot) + \epsilon h(\cdot)$ , proposed by Lagrange (1736–1813) and still used nowadays in all recent formulations of the calculus of variations [16–18], for example, in the fractional calculus of variations [19,20], quantum variational calculus [21,22] and the calculus of variations on time scales [23,24], we propose here to use “multiplicative variations”. More precisely, in contrast with the calculi of variations found in the literature, we show here, for the first time, how to consider variations of the form  $y(\cdot) \cdot e^{\ln h(\cdot)}$ . The functionals of the calculus of variations are expressed as definite integrals, here in a non-Newtonian sense, involving functions and their derivatives, in a non-Newtonian sense here. The functions that maximize or minimize the functionals of the calculus of variations are found using the Euler–Lagrange equation, which we prove here in the non-Newtonian setting. Given the importance of the calculus of variations in applications, for example, in physics [25,26], economics [27,28] and biology [29,30], and the importance that non-Newtonian calculus already has in these areas, we trust that the calculus of variations initiated here will call attention to the research community. We give credit to the citation found in the 1972 book of Grossman and Katz [1]: “for each successive class of phenomena, a new calculus or a new geometry”.

## 2. Materials and Methods

From 1967 till 1970, Grossman and Katz gave definitions of new kinds of derivatives and integrals, converting the roles of subtraction and addition into division and multiplication, respectively, and established a new family of calculi, called non-Newtonian calculi [1,31,32], which are akin to the classical calculus developed by Newton and Leibniz three centuries ago. Non-Newtonian calculi use different types of arithmetic and their generators. Let  $\alpha$  be a bijection between subsets  $X$  and  $Y$  of the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , and endow  $Y$ , with the induced operations sum and multiplication and the ordering given by the inverse map  $\alpha^{-1}$ . Then the  $\alpha$ -arithmetic is a field with the order topology [33]. In concrete, given a bijection  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , called a generator [15], we say that  $\alpha$  defines an arithmetic if the following four operations are defined:

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus y &= \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(x) + \alpha^{-1}(y)), \\ x \ominus y &= \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(x) - \alpha^{-1}(y)), \\ x \odot y &= \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(x) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(y)), \\ x \oslash y &= \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(x) / \alpha^{-1}(y)). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

If  $\alpha$  is chosen to be the identity function and  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , then (1) reduces to the four operators studied in school; i.e., one gets the standard arithmetic, from which the traditional

(Newton–Leibniz) calculus is developed. For other choices of  $\alpha$  and  $X$ , we can get an infinitude of other arithmetics from which Grossman and Katz produced a series of non-Newton calculi, compiled in the seminal book of 1972 [1]. Among all such non-Newton calculi, recently great interest has been focused on the Grossman–Katz calculus obtained when we fix  $\alpha(x) = e^x$ ,  $\alpha^{-1}(x) = \ln(x)$  and  $X = \mathbb{R}^+$  for the set of real numbers strictly greater than zero [7,12,14,15]. We shall concentrate here on one option, originally called by Grossman and Katz the geometric/exponential/bigeometric calculus [1,2,13,34–37], but from which other different terminology and small variations of the original calculus have grown up in the literature, in particular, the multiplicative calculus [3–5,8,12,15,36,38–40], and more recently, the proportional calculus [7,11,14,41], which is essentially the bigeometric calculus of [35]. Here we follow closely this last approach—in particular, the exposition of the non-Newton calculus as found in [7,14,35], because it is appealing to scientists who seek ways to express laws in a scale-free form.

Throughout the text, we fix  $\alpha(x) = e^x$ ,  $\alpha^{-1}(x) = \ln(x)$ , and  $X = \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then we get from (1) the following operations:

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus y &= x \cdot y, \\ x \ominus y &= \frac{x}{y}, \\ x \odot y &= x^{\ln(y)}, \\ x \oslash y &= x^{1/\ln(y)}, \quad y \neq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . In the non-Newtonian arithmetic given by (2), the following properties of the  $\odot$  operation hold (cf. Proposition 2.1 of [14]):

- (i)  $a \odot b = b \odot a$  (commutativity);
- (ii)  $a \odot (b \odot c) = (a \odot b) \odot c$  (associativity);
- (iii)  $a \odot e = a$  (Euler’s/Napier’s transcendent number  $e$  is the neutral element for  $\odot$ );
- (iv) if  $a \neq 1$  and we define  $a^{\{-1\}} = e \oslash a$ , then  $a \odot a^{\{-1\}} = e$  (inverse element).

We see that in this non-Newtonian algebra,  $a = 1$  is the traditional “zero” (in the current arithmetic, 0 represents  $-\infty$ ). In fact, see Proposition 2.2 of [14], one has

- (v)  $b \odot a^{\{-1\}} = b \oslash a$ ;
- (vi)  $(a^{\{-1\}})^{\{-1\}} = a$ ;
- (vii)  $\ln(a \odot b) = \ln(a) \oplus \ln(b)$ ;
- (viii)  $(a \odot b)^{\{-1\}} = a^{\{-1\}} \odot b^{\{-1\}}$ .

Based on the mentioned properties, one easily proves that  $(\mathbb{R}^+, \oplus, \odot)$  is a field (see Theorem 2.3 of [14]). In this field, the following calculus has been developed [7,14].

**Definition 1** (absolute value). *The absolute value of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , denoted by  $[[x]]$ , is given by*

$$[[x]] = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 1, \\ 1 \ominus x & \text{if } x \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Let  $x, y, z$  be positive real numbers and define  $d : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  as

$$d(x, y) = [[x \ominus y]].$$

The following properties are simple to prove:

- $d(x, y) \geq 1$ ;

- $d(x, y) = 1$  if, and only if,  $x = y$ ;
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
- $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ .

We can now introduce the notion of limit.

**Definition 2** (limit). We write  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$ ,  $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , as: if for all  $\epsilon > 1$  there exists  $\delta > 1$  such that if  $1 < d(x, x_0) < \delta$ , then  $d(f(x), L) < \epsilon$ .

According with Definition 2, it is possible to specify the meaning of equality  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0)$ , and therefore, the notion of continuity in the non-Newtonian calculus.

**Definition 3** (continuity). We say that  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$  or

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0),$$

if  $\forall \epsilon > 1, \exists \delta > 1$  such that  $d(x, x_0) < \delta \implies d(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$ .

We proceed by reviewing the essentials on non-Newtonian differentiation and integration.

### 2.1. Derivatives

The derivative of a function is introduced in the following terms.

**Definition 4** (derivative [7,14,35,41]). A positive function  $f$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} [(f(x) \ominus f(x_0)) \oslash (x \ominus x_0)] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 1} [(f(x_0 \oplus h) \ominus f(x_0)) \oslash h]$$

exists. In this case, the limit is denoted by  $\tilde{f}(x_0)$  and receives the name of derivative of  $f$  at  $x_0$ . Moreover, we say that  $f$  is differentiable if  $f$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  for all  $x_0$  in the domain of  $f$ .

It is not difficult to prove that if  $f$  is differentiable at  $x_0$ , then  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\{0\}} &= e, \\ x^{\{n\}} &= x \odot \cdots \odot x \text{ } n \text{ times}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\widetilde{x^{\{n\}}} = \left( x^{\{n-1\}} \right)^n = e^n \odot x^{\{n-1\}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3)$$

In particular, if  $n = 1$  in (3), we get:

- If  $f(x) = x$ , then  $\tilde{f}(x) = e$ .

More examples of derivatives of a function in the sense of Definition 4 follow:

- If  $f(x) = e^x$ , then  $\tilde{f}(x) = e^x$ ;
- If  $f(x) = \ln(x)$ , then  $\tilde{f}(x) = e \oslash x$ ;
- If  $\cos_e(x) := e^{\cos(\ln(x))}$  and  $\sin_e(x) := e^{\sin(\ln(x))}$ , then

$$\widetilde{\cos_e(x)} = 1 \ominus \sin_e(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\sin_e(x)} = \cos_e(x).$$

The basic rules of differentiation (keep recalling that 1 is the “zero” of the non-Newtonian calculus) follow:

- (a) If  $f(x) = c$ , and  $c$  is a positive constant, then  $\tilde{f}(x) = 1$  (derivative of a constant);

- (b)  $\widetilde{f \oplus g} = \widetilde{f} \oplus \widetilde{g}$  (derivative of a sum);
- (c)  $\widetilde{f \ominus g} = \widetilde{f} \ominus \widetilde{g}$  (derivative of a difference);
- (d)  $\widetilde{f \odot g} = (\widetilde{f} \odot g) \oplus (f \odot \widetilde{g})$  (derivative of a product);
- (e)  $\widetilde{f \circ g} = (\widetilde{f} \circ g) \odot \widetilde{g}$  (chain rule).

If  $\widetilde{f}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$ , then  $f(x) = c$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$ , where  $c$  is a constant. Moreover, if  $\widetilde{f}(x) = \widetilde{g}(x)$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$ , then there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $f(x) = cg(x)$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$ ; that is,  $f(x) = g(x) \oplus c$ .

Higher-order derivatives are defined as usual:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{f}^{(0)}(x) &= f(x), \\ \widetilde{f}^{(n)}(x) &= \frac{\widetilde{d}}{\widetilde{d}x} [\widetilde{f}^{(n-1)}(x)], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we use the following notation:

$$\widetilde{\sum_{i=0}^n} a_i = a_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n.$$

**Theorem 1** (Taylor's theorem). *Let  $f$  be a function such that  $\widetilde{f}^{(n+1)}(x)$  exists for all  $x$  in a range that contains the number  $a$ . Then,*

$$f(x) = P_n(x) \oplus R_n(x)$$

for all  $x$ , where

$$P_n(x) = \widetilde{\sum_{k=0}^n} e^{\frac{1}{k!}} \odot \widetilde{f}^{(k)}(a) \odot (x \ominus a)^{\{k\}}$$

is the Taylor polynomial of degree  $n$  and

$$R_n(x) = e^{\frac{1}{(n+1)!}} \odot \widetilde{f}^{(n+1)}(c) \odot (x \ominus a)^{\{n+1\}}$$

is the remainder term in Lagrange form, for some number  $c$  between  $a$  and  $x$ .

Suppose  $f$  is a function that has derivatives of all orders over an interval centered on  $a$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} R_n(x) = 1$  for all  $x$  in the interval, then the Taylor series is convergent and converges to  $f(x)$ :

$$f(x) = \widetilde{\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}} e^{\frac{1}{k!}} \odot \widetilde{f}^{(k)}(a) \odot (x \ominus a)^{\{k\}}.$$

As examples of convergent series, one has:

$$\begin{aligned}e^x &= \widetilde{\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}} e^{\frac{1}{k!}} \odot x^{\{k\}}, \\ \cos_e(x) &= \widetilde{\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}} e^{\frac{(-1)^k}{2k!}} \odot x^{\{2k\}}.\end{aligned}$$

The Taylor's theorem given by Theorem 1 has a natural extension for functions of several variables [6,42]. Here we proceed by briefly reviewing integration. For more on the alpha-arithmetic, its topology and analysis, we refer the reader to the literature. For example, mean

value theorems can be found in the original book of Grossman and Katz of 1972 [1]; for a recent reference with detailed proofs, see [43].

## 2.2. Integrals

The notion of integral for the non-Newtonian calculus under consideration is a type of product integration [10]. As expected, the function  $F(x)$  is an antiderivative of function  $f(x)$  on the interval  $I$  if  $\tilde{F}(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in I$ . The indefinite integral of  $f(x)$  is denoted by

$$\int f(x) \tilde{d}x = F(x) \oplus c,$$

where  $c$  is a constant. Examples are (see [7,14,35]):

- If  $k$  is a positive constant, then  $\int k \tilde{d}x = (k \odot x) \oplus c$ —in particular, if  $k = 1$ , then  $\int 1 \tilde{d}x = c$ ;
- $\int e^n \tilde{d}x = x^n \oplus c$ ;
- $\int x^{\{n\}} \tilde{d}x = e^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \odot x^{\{n+1\}} \oplus c$ ;
- $\int e \odot x \tilde{d}x = \ln(x) \oplus c$ ;
- $\int e^{a \odot x} \tilde{d}x = (a^{\{-1\}} \odot e^{a \odot x}) \oplus c$ ;
- $\int e^{rx^2} \tilde{d}x = e^{\frac{rx^2}{2}} \oplus c$ .

The definite integral of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  is denoted by

$$\int_a^b f(x) \tilde{d}x.$$

If  $f$  is positive and continuous on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is integrable in  $[a, b]$ . The following properties hold:

- (i)  $\int_a^b f(x) \tilde{d}x = \int_a^c f(x) \tilde{d}x \oplus \int_c^b f(x) \tilde{d}x$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_a^b (f(x) \oplus g(x)) \tilde{d}x = \int_a^b f(x) \tilde{d}x \oplus \int_a^b g(x) \tilde{d}x$ ;
- (iii)  $\int_a^b (f(x) \ominus g(x)) \tilde{d}x = \int_a^b f(x) \tilde{d}x \ominus \int_a^b g(x) \tilde{d}x$ ;
- (iv)  $\int_a^b c \odot f(x) \tilde{d}x = c \odot \int_a^b f(x) \tilde{d}x$ ;
- (v) If  $m \leq f(x) \leq M$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , then  $m \odot (b \ominus a) \leq \int_a^b f(x) \tilde{d}x \leq M \odot (b \ominus a)$ ;
- (vi) If  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , then  $\int_a^b f(x) \tilde{d}x \leq \int_a^b g(x) \tilde{d}x$ .

If  $f$  is positive and integrable on  $[a, b]$ , then  $F$  defined on  $[a, b]$  by

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) \tilde{d}t$$

is continuous over  $[a, b]$ . Moreover, the fundamental theorems of integral calculus hold: if  $f$  is continuous in  $x \in [a, b]$ , then  $F$  is differentiable at  $x$  with

$$\widetilde{F(x)} = f(x);$$

if  $f = \tilde{h}$  for some function  $h$ , then

$$\int_a^b f(x) \tilde{d}x = h(b) \ominus h(a).$$

For more on the  $\alpha$ -arithmetic, its generalized real analysis, its fundamental topological properties related to non-Newtonian metric spaces and its calculus, including non-Newtonian differential equations and its applications, see [7,33,44–48]. For gentle, thorough and modern introduction to the subject of non-Newtonian calculi, we also refer the reader to the recent book [49]. Now we proceed with our original results.

### 3. Results

In order to develop a non-Newtonian calculus of variations (dynamic optimization), we begin by first proving some necessary results of static optimization.

#### 3.1. Static Optimization

Given  $\epsilon > 1$ , let

$$\mathcal{B}(\bar{x}, \epsilon) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^+ : d(x, \bar{x}) \leq \epsilon\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^+ : [[x \ominus \bar{x}]] \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Note that for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , one has

$$a = b \Leftrightarrow \frac{a}{b} = 1 \left( \text{or } \frac{b}{a} = 1 \right) \Leftrightarrow a \ominus b = 1 \text{ (or } b \ominus a = 1).$$

Similarly for inequalities, for example,

$$a < b \Leftrightarrow \frac{a}{b} < 1 \Leftrightarrow a \ominus b < 1.$$

This means that

$$\mathcal{B}(\bar{x}, \epsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^+ : d(x, \bar{x}) \ominus \epsilon \leq 1\}.$$

**Definition 5** (local minimizer). Let  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and consider the problem of minimizing  $f(x)$ ,  $x \in (a, b)$ . We say that  $x \in (a, b)$  is a (local) minimizer of  $f$  in  $(a, b)$  if there exists  $\epsilon > 1$  such that  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  (i.e.,  $f(x) \ominus f(y) \leq 1$ ) for all  $y \in \mathcal{B}(x, \epsilon) \cap (a, b)$ . In this case, we say that  $f(x)$  is a (local) minimum.

Another important concept in optimization is that of descent direction.

**Definition 6** (descent direction). A  $d \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be a descent direction of  $f$  at  $x$  if  $f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) < f(x) \forall \epsilon > 1$  sufficiently close to 1, or equivalently, if  $f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) \ominus f(x) < 1$  for all  $\epsilon > 1$  sufficiently close to 1.

**Remark 1.** From the chain rule and other properties of Section 2, it follows that

$$\frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}x} [f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d)] = \tilde{f}(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d)$$

and

$$\frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}\epsilon} [f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d)] = \tilde{f}(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) \odot d. \quad (4)$$

In particular, we get from (4) that

$$\left. \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}\epsilon} [f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d)] \right|_{\epsilon=1} = \tilde{f}(x) \odot d.$$

Our first result allow us to identify a descent direction of  $f$  at  $x$  based on the derivative of  $f$  at  $x$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f$  be differentiable. If there exists  $d \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\tilde{f}(x) \odot d < 1$ , then  $d$  is a descent direction of  $f$  at  $x$ .*

**Proof.** We know from Taylor's theorem (Theorem 1) that

$$f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) = f(x) \oplus \epsilon \odot \tilde{f}(x) \odot d \oplus R_1(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) &= \left( \tilde{f}^{(2)}(c) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \odot (\epsilon \odot d)^{\{2\}} \\ &= \epsilon^{\{2\}} \odot \left( \tilde{f}^{(2)}(c) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \odot d^{\{2\}} \end{aligned}$$

with  $c$  being in the interval between  $x$  and  $x \oplus \epsilon \odot d$ . The equality (5) can be written in the following equivalent form:

$$f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) \ominus f(x) = \epsilon \odot \tilde{f}(x) \odot d \oplus R_1(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d). \quad (6)$$

Recalling that  $a \odot b^{\{-1\}} = a \oslash b$ ,  $a \odot a^{\{-1\}} = e$  and  $\odot$  is distributive over  $\oplus$ , we get from (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) \ominus f(x)) \oslash \epsilon &= \tilde{f}(x) \odot d \oplus \epsilon^{\{-1\}} \odot R_1(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) \\ &= \tilde{f}(x) \odot d \oplus \epsilon \odot \left( \tilde{f}^{(2)}(c) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \odot d^{\{2\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now we note that as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ , one has  $\epsilon \odot \left( \tilde{f}^{(2)}(c) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \odot d^{\{2\}} \rightarrow 1$  so that the right-hand side of (7) converges to  $\tilde{f}(x) \odot d$ . From the hypothesis  $\tilde{f}(x) \odot d < 1$  of our theorem, this means that, for  $\epsilon > 1$  sufficiently close to 1, the right-hand side of (7) is strictly less than one. Thus, for  $\epsilon > 1$  sufficiently close to 1,

$$(f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) \ominus f(x)) \oslash \epsilon < 1. \quad (8)$$

Recalling that  $a \oslash \epsilon < 1 \Leftrightarrow a^{1/\ln(\epsilon)} < 1$ , we conclude from (8) that for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently close to 1 we have  $f(x \oplus \epsilon \odot d) \ominus f(x) < 1$ ; that is,  $d$  is a descent direction of  $f$  at  $x$ .  $\square$

As a corollary of Theorem 2, we obtain Fermat's necessary optimality condition, which gives us a method to find local minimizers (or maximizers) of differentiable functions on open sets, by showing that every local extremizer of the function is a stationary point (the non-Newtonian function's derivative is one at that point).

**Theorem 3** (Fermat's theorem—stationary points). *Let  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be differentiable. If  $x \in (a, b)$  is a minimizer of  $f$ , then  $\tilde{f}(x) = 1$ .*



**Proof.** We want to prove that for a minimizer  $x$  we must have  $\tilde{f}(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow 1 \ominus \tilde{f}(x) = 1$ . We do the proof by contradiction. Assume that  $\tilde{f}(x) \neq 1$ ; that is,  $1 \ominus \tilde{f}(x) \neq 1$ . Let  $d = 1 \ominus \tilde{f}(x)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(x) \odot d &= \tilde{f}(x) \odot (1 \ominus \tilde{f}(x)) = 1 \ominus \tilde{f}(x)^{\{2\}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(x) \odot \tilde{f}(x)} = \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(x)} \right)^{\ln(\tilde{f}(x))}\end{aligned}$$

and since  $g(y) = \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{\ln(y)}$  is a function with  $0 < g(y) < 1$  for all  $y \neq 1$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{f}(x) \odot d < 1$ . It follows from Theorem 2 that  $d$  is a descent direction of  $f$  at  $x$ , and therefore, from the definition of descent direction,  $x$  is not a local minimizer.  $\square$

In the next section, we make use of Theorem 3 to prove the non-Newtonian Euler–Lagrange equation.

### 3.2. Dynamic Optimization

A central tool in dynamic optimization, both in the calculus of variations and optimal control [16], is integration by parts. In what follows, we use the following notation:

$$\psi(x)|_a^b = \psi(b) \ominus \psi(a).$$

**Theorem 4** (integration by parts). *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be differentiable. The following formula of integration by parts holds:*

$$\int_a^b \tilde{f}(x) \odot g(x) \tilde{d}x = f(x) \odot g(x)|_a^b \ominus \int_a^b f(x) \odot \tilde{g}(x) \tilde{d}x. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** From the derivative of a product, we know that

$$\frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}x} [f(x) \odot g(x)] = \tilde{f}(x) \odot g(x) \oplus f(x) \odot \tilde{g}(x). \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, the fundamental theorem of integral calculus tell us that

$$\int_a^b \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}x} [f(x) \odot g(x)] \tilde{d}x = f(x) \odot g(x)|_a^b.$$

Therefore, by integrating (10) from  $a$  to  $b$ , we conclude that

$$\int_a^b [\tilde{f}(x) \odot g(x)] \tilde{d}x \oplus \int_a^b [f(x) \odot \tilde{g}(x)] \tilde{d}x = f(x) \odot g(x)|_a^b,$$

which is equivalent to (9).  $\square$

We are now in a condition to formulate the fundamental problem of the calculus of variations: to minimize the integral functional

$$\mathcal{F}[y] = \int_a^b L(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \tilde{d}x$$

over all smooth functions  $y$  on  $[a, b]$  with fixed end points  $y(a) = y_a$  and  $y(b) = y_b$ . The central result of the calculus of variations and classical mechanics is the celebrated Euler–Lagrange equation, whose solutions are stationary points of the given action functional. We

restrict ourselves here to the classical framework of the calculus of variations, where both the Lagrangian  $L$  and admissible functions  $y$  are smooth enough: typically, one considers  $L \in C^2$  and  $y \in C^2$ , so that one can look to the Euler–Lagrange equation as a second-order ordinary differential equation [17]. We adopt such assumptions here. We denote the problem by  $(P)$ .

Before proving the Euler–Lagrange equation (the necessary optimality condition for problem  $(P)$ ), we first need to prove a non-Newtonian analogue of the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations.

**Lemma 1** (fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations). *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a positive continuous function such that*

$$\int_a^b f(x) \odot h(x) \tilde{d}x = 1 \quad (11)$$

*for all functions  $h(x)$  that are continuous for  $a \leq x \leq b$  with  $h(a) = h(b) = 1$ , then  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .*

**Proof.** We do the proof by contradiction. Suppose the function  $f$  is not one— $f(x) > 1$ —at some point  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then, by continuity,  $f(x) > 1$  for all  $x$  in some interval  $[x_1, x_2] \subset [a, b]$ . If we set

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} (x \ominus x_1) \odot (x_2 \ominus x) & \text{if } x \in [x_1, x_2], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [a, b] \setminus [x_1, x_2], \end{cases}$$

then  $h(x)$  satisfies the assumptions of the lemma; i.e.,  $h(x)$  is continuous for  $x \in [a, b]$  with  $h(a) = 1$  and  $h(b) = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x) \odot h(x) \tilde{d}x &= \int_a^{x_1} 1 \tilde{d}x \oplus \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(x) \odot (x \ominus x_1) \odot (x_2 \ominus x) \tilde{d}x \oplus \int_{x_2}^b 1 \tilde{d}x \\ &= \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(x) \odot (x \ominus x_1) \odot (x_2 \ominus x) \tilde{d}x. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let us analyze the integrand  $\beta(x)$  of (12):

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x) &= f(x) \odot [(x \ominus x_1) \odot (x_2 \ominus x)] = f(x) \odot \left[ \frac{x}{x_1} \odot \frac{x_2}{x} \right] \\ &= f(x) \odot \left[ \left( \frac{x}{x_1} \right)^{\ln\left(\frac{x_2}{x}\right)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(x) > 1$  and  $\left(\frac{x}{x_1}\right)^{\ln\left(\frac{x_2}{x}\right)} > 1$  for any  $x \in (x_1, x_2)$ , we also have that  $\beta(x) > 1$  for any  $x \in (x_1, x_2)$ , with  $\beta(x) = 1$  at  $x = x_1$  and  $x = x_2$ . It follows that

$$\int_a^b f(x) \odot h(x) \tilde{d}x = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \beta(x) \tilde{d}x > 1 \odot (x_2 \ominus x_1) = 1.$$

This contradicts (11) and proves the lemma.  $\square$

Now we formulate and prove the analog of the Euler–Lagrange differential equation for our problem  $(P)$ .

**Theorem 5** (Euler–Lagrange equation). *If  $y(x)$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ , is a solution to the problem*

$$\mathcal{F}[y] = \int_a^b L(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \tilde{d}x \longrightarrow \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}(y_a; y_b)} \quad (P)$$

with

$$\mathcal{Y}(y_a; y_b) := \{y \in C^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^+) : y(a) = y_a, y(b) = y_b, y(x) > 0 \ \forall x \in [a, b]\},$$

then  $y(x)$  satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\tilde{L}_y(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) = \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}x} \tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \quad (13)$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $y(x)$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ , be a minimizer of (P). Then, function  $(y \oplus \epsilon \odot h)(x)$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{Y}(y_a; y_b)$  for any function  $h \in \mathcal{Y}(1; 1)$  and for any  $\epsilon$  in an open neighborhood of 1. Note that  $(y \oplus \epsilon \odot h)(x) = y(x)$  for  $\epsilon = 1$ . This means that for any smooth function  $h(x)$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ , satisfying  $h(a) = h(b) = 1$ , the function  $\varphi(\epsilon)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\epsilon) &= \mathcal{F}[y \oplus \epsilon \odot h] = \int_a^b L(x, (y \oplus \epsilon \odot h)(x), (\widetilde{y \oplus \epsilon \odot h})(x)) \tilde{d}x \\ &= \int_a^b L(x, y(x) \oplus \epsilon \odot h(x), \tilde{y}(x) \oplus \epsilon \odot \tilde{h}(x)) \tilde{d}x \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

has a minimizer for  $\epsilon = 1$ . It follows from Fermat's theorem (Theorem 3) that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(1) = \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}\epsilon} \varphi(\epsilon) \Big|_{\epsilon=1} = 1.$$

By differentiating (14) with respect to  $\epsilon$ , and then putting  $\epsilon = 1$ , we get from the chain rule and relations

$$\frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}\epsilon} (y(x) \oplus \epsilon \odot h(x)) = h(x), \quad \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}\epsilon} (\tilde{y}(x) \oplus \epsilon \odot \tilde{h}(x)) = \tilde{h}(x),$$

that

$$1 = \int_a^b [\tilde{L}_y(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \odot h(x) \oplus \tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \odot \tilde{h}(x)] \tilde{d}x. \quad (15)$$

From integration by parts (Theorem 4), and the fact that  $h(a) = 1$  and  $h(b) = 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \odot \tilde{h}(x) \tilde{d}x &= h(x) \odot \tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \Big|_a^b \\ &\quad \ominus \int_a^b \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}x} [\tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x))] \odot h(x) \tilde{d}x, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\int_a^b \tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \odot \tilde{h}(x) \tilde{d}x = 1 \ominus \int_a^b \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}x} [\tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x))] \odot h(x) \tilde{d}x. \quad (16)$$

Using equality (16) in the necessary condition (15), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_a^b \tilde{L}_y(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \odot h(x) \tilde{d}x \oplus 1 \ominus \int_a^b \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}x} [\tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x))] \odot h(x) \tilde{d}x \\ &\Leftrightarrow \int_a^b \left[ \tilde{L}_y(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \ominus \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}x} (\tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x))) \right] \odot h(x) \tilde{d}x = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The result follows from the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations (Lemma 1) applied to (17):  $\tilde{L}_y(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x)) \ominus \frac{\tilde{d}}{\tilde{d}x} (\tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y(x), \tilde{y}(x))) = 1$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .  $\square$

To illustrate our main result, let us see an example. Consider the following problem of the calculus of variations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[y] &= \sqrt{e} \odot \int_1^{e^{2\pi}} [\tilde{y}^{\{2\}}(x) \ominus y^{\{2\}}(x)] \tilde{d}x \longrightarrow \min, \\ y(1) &= e, \quad y(e^{2\pi}) = e^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Theorem 5 tell us that the solution of (18) must satisfy the Euler–Lagrange Equation (13). In this example, the Lagrangian  $L$  is given by  $L(x, y, \tilde{y}) = \sqrt{e} \odot (\tilde{y}^{\{2\}} \ominus y^{\{2\}})$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_y(x, y, \tilde{y}) &= \sqrt{e} \odot (1 \ominus e^2 \odot y), \\ \tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y, \tilde{y}) &= \sqrt{e} \odot (e^2 \odot \tilde{y} \ominus 1) = \sqrt{e} \odot (e^2 \odot \tilde{y}). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Noting that  $\sqrt{e} = e \odot e^2 = (e^2)^{\{-1\}}$ , the equalities (19) simplify to

$$\tilde{L}_y(x, y, \tilde{y}) = 1 \ominus y, \quad \tilde{L}_{\tilde{y}}(x, y, \tilde{y}) = \tilde{y}$$

and the Euler–Lagrange Equation (13) takes the form

$$1 \ominus y(x) = \tilde{y}^{\{2\}}(x) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{y}^{\{2\}}(x) \oplus y(x) = 1. \quad (20)$$

The second-order differential Equation (20) has solutions of the form

$$y(x) = c_1 \odot \cos_e(x) \oplus c_2 \odot \sin_e(x),$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants. Given the boundary conditions  $y(1) = e$  and  $y(e^{2\pi}) = e^{-1}$ , we conclude that the Euler–Lagrange extremal for problem (18) is given by

$$y(x) = e \odot \cos_e(x) \oplus e^2 \odot \sin_e(x) \Leftrightarrow y(x) = e^{2\sin(\ln(x)) + \cos(\ln(x))}.$$

#### 4. Discussion

One can say that the calculus of variations began in 1687 with Newton’s minimal resistance problem [25,50,51]. It immediately occupied the attention of Bernoulli (1655–1705), but it was Euler (1707–1783) who first elaborated mathematically on the subject, beginning in 1733. Lagrange (1736–1813) was influenced by Euler’s work and contributed significantly to the theory, introducing a purely analytic approach to the subject based on additive variations  $y + \epsilon h$ , whose essence we still follow today [17]. The calculus of variations is concerned with the minimization of integral functionals:

$$\int_a^b L(x, y(x), y'(x)) dx \longrightarrow \min. \quad (21)$$

However, as observed in [52], there are other interesting problems that arise in applications in which the functionals to be minimized are not of the form (21). For example, the planning of a firm trying to program its production and investment policies to reach a given production rate and to maximize its future market competitiveness at a given time horizon, can be mathematically stated in the form

$$\left( \int_a^b L_1(x, y(x), y'(x)) dx \right) \cdot \left( \int_a^b L_2(x, y(x), y'(x)) dx \right) \rightarrow \min \quad (22)$$

(see [52]). Another example, also given in [52], appears when dealing with the so called “slope stability problem”, which is described mathematically as minimizing a quotient functional:

$$\frac{\int_a^b L_1(x, y(x), y'(x)) dx}{\int_a^b L_2(x, y(x), y'(x)) dx} \rightarrow \min. \quad (23)$$

Such multiplicative integral minimization problems that arise in different applications are nonstandard problems of the calculus of variations, but they can be naturally modeled in the non-Newtonian calculus of variations, and then solved in a rather standard way, using non-Newtonian Lagrange variations of the form  $y \oplus \epsilon \odot h$ , as we have proposed here. Therefore, we claim that the non-Newtonian calculus of variations just introduced may be useful for dealing with multiplicative functionals that arise in economics, physics and biology.

In this paper we have restricted ourselves to the ideas and to the central results of any calculus of variations: the celebrated Euler–Lagrange equation, which is a first-order necessary optimality condition. Of course our results can be extended, for example, by relaxing the considered hypotheses and enlarging the space of admissible functions, which we have considered here to be  $C^2$ , or considering vector functions instead of scalar ones. We leave such generalizations to the interested and curious reader. In fact, much remains now to be done. As possible future research directions we can mention: obtaining natural boundary conditions (sometimes also called transversality conditions) to be satisfied at a boundary point  $a$  and/or  $b$ , when  $y(a)$  and/or  $y(b)$  are free or restricted to take values on a given curve; obtaining second-order necessary conditions; obtaining sufficient conditions; to investigate nonadditive isoperimetric problems; etc.

## 5. Conclusions

In this work, a new calculus of variations was proposed, based on the non-Newtonian approach introduced by Grossman and Katz, thereby avoiding problems about nonnegativity. A new relation was proved, the multiplicative Euler–Lagrange differential Equation (13), which each solution of a non-Newtonian variational problem, with admissible functions taking positive values only, must satisfy. An example was provided for illustration purposes.

Grossman and Katz have shown that infinitely many calculi can be constructed independently [1]. Each of these calculi provide different perspectives for approaching many problems in science and engineering [53]. Additionally, a mathematical problem, which is difficult or impossible to solve in one calculus, can be easily revealed through another calculus [14,39].

Since the pioneering work of Grossman and Katz, non-Newtonian calculi have been a topic for new study areas in mathematics and its applications [15,54]. Particularly, Stanley [55], Córdova-Lepe [11], Slavík [10], Pap [44] and Bashirov et al. [12], have called the attention of mathematicians to the topic. More recently, non-Newtonian calculi have become a hot topic in economic and finance [56], quantum calculus [54], complex analysis [57–59], numerical analysis [2,37,42], inequalities [40,60], biomathematics [7,61] and mathematical education [14].

Here we adopted the non-Newtonian calculus as originally introduced by Grossman and Katz [1,34,35] and recently developed by Córdova-Lepe [11,41] and collaborators [4]: see the recent reviews in [7,14]. Roughly speaking, the key to understanding such calculus, valid for positive functions, is a formal substitution, where one replaces addition and subtraction with multiplication and division, respectively; multiplication in standard calculus is replaced by exponentiation in the non-Newtonian case, and thus, division by exponentiation with the reciprocal exponent. Our main contribution here was to develop, for the first time in the literature, a suitable non-Newtonian calculus of variations that minimizes a non-Newtonian integral functional with a Lagrangian that depends on the non-Newtonian derivative. The main result is a first-order necessary optimality condition of Euler–Lagrange type.

We trust that the present paper marks the beginning of a fruitful road for Non-Newtonian (NN) mechanics, NN calculus of variations and NN optimal control, thereby calling attention to and serving as inspiration for a new generation of researchers. Currently, we are investigating the validity of Emmy Noether’s principle in the NN/multiplicative calculus of variations here introduced.

**Funding:** This research was funded by The Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA) through the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT–Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia), grant number UIDB/04106/2020.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The author is grateful to Luna Shen, Managing Editor of Axioms, for proposing a volume/special issue dedicated to his 50th anniversary, and to Natália Martins, Ricardo Almeida, Cristiana J. Silva and M. Rchid Sidi Ammi, who kindly accepted the invitation of Axioms to lead the project.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Grossman, M.; Katz, R. *Non-Newtonian Calculus*; Lee Press: Pigeon Cove, MA, USA, 1972.
2. Boruah, K.; Hazarika, B.; Bashirov, A.E. Solvability of bigeometric differential equations by numerical methods. *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat.* **2021**, *39*, 203–222.
3. Bashirov, A.E.; Mı sırlı, E.; Tandoğdu, Y.; Özyapıcı, A. On modeling with multiplicative differential equations. *Appl. Math. J. Chin. Univ. Ser. B* **2011**, *26*, 425–438, doi:10.1007/s11766-011-2767-6.
4. Mora, M.; Córdova-Lepe, F.; Del-Valle, R. A non-Newtonian gradient for contour detection in images with multiplicative noise. *Pattern Recognit. Lett.* **2012**, *33*, 1245–1256, doi:10.1016/j.patrec.2012.02.012.
5. Ozyapici, A.; Bilgehan, B. Finite product representation via multiplicative calculus and its applications to exponential signal processing. *Numer. Algorithms* **2016**, *71*, 475–489, doi:10.1007/s11075-015-0004-8.
6. Czachor, M. Unifying Aspects of Generalized Calculus. *Entropy* **2020**, *22*, 1180, doi:10.3390/e22101180.
7. Pinto, M.; Torres, R.; Campillay-Llanos, W.; Guevara-Morales, F. Applications of proportional calculus and a non-Newtonian logistic growth model. *Proyecciones* **2020**, *39*, 1471–1513.
8. Özyapıcı, A.; Riza, M.; Bilgehan, B.; Bashirov, A.E. On multiplicative and Volterra minimization methods. *Numer. Algorithms* **2014**, *67*, 623–636, doi:10.1007/s11075-013-9813-9.
9. Jaëck, F. Calcul différentiel et intégral adapté aux substitutions par Volterra. *Hist. Math.* **2019**, *48*, 29–68, doi:10.1016/j.hm.2018.12.001.
10. Slavík, A. *Product Integration, Its History and Applications*; Matfyzpress: Prague, Czech Republic, 2007; p. iv+147.
11. Córdova-Lepe, F. The multiplicative derivative as a measure of elasticity in economics. *TMAT Rev. Latinoam. Cienc. E Ing.* **2006**, *2*, 1–8.
12. Bashirov, A.E.; Kurpı nar, E.M.; Özyapıcı, A. Multiplicative calculus and its applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2008**, *337*, 36–48, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.03.081.

13. Boruah, K.; Hazarika, B. On some generalized geometric difference sequence spaces. *Proyecciones* **2017**, *36*, 373–395, doi:10.4067/s0716-09172017000300373.
14. Campillay-Llanos, W.; Guevara, F.; Pinto, M.; Torres, R. Differential and integral proportional calculus: How to find a primitive for  $f(x) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-(1/2)x^2}$ . *Int. J. Math. Ed. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *52*, 463–476, doi:10.1080/0020739X.2020.1763489.
15. Gurefe, Y.; Kadak, U.; Misirli, E.; Kurdi, A. A new look at the classical sequence spaces by using multiplicative calculus. *Politehn. Univ. Buchar. Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys.* **2016**, *78*, 9–20.
16. Leitmann, G. *The Calculus of Variations and Optimal Control; Mathematical Concepts and Methods in Science and Engineering*; Plenum Press: New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 1981; p. xvi+311.
17. van Brunt, B. *The Calculus of Variations*; Universitext, Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2004; p. xiv+290, doi:10.1007/b97436.
18. Sidi Ammi, M.R.; Torres, D.F.M. Regularity of solutions to higher-order integrals of the calculus of variations. *Int. J. Syst. Sci.* **2008**, *39*, 889–895, doi:10.1080/00207720802184733. arXiv:0707.2816
19. Almeida, R.; Tavares, D.; Torres, D.F.M. *The Variable-Order Fractional Calculus of Variations*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. xiv+124, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-94006-9. arXiv:1805.00720
20. Almeida, R.; Martins, N. Fractional variational principle of Herglotz for a new class of problems with dependence on the boundaries and a real parameter. *J. Math. Phys.* **2020**, *61*, 102701, doi:10.1063/5.0021373.
21. Malinowska, A.B.; Torres, D.F.M. *Quantum Variational Calculus*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2014, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-02747-0.
22. Brito da Cruz, A.M.C.; Martins, N. General quantum variational calculus. *Stat. Optim. Inf. Comput.* **2018**, *6*, 22–41, doi:10.19139/soic.v6i1.467.
23. Martins, N.; Torres, D.F.M. Calculus of variations on time scales with nabla derivatives. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2009**, *71*, e763–e773, doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.11.035. arXiv:0807.2596
24. Dryl, M.; Torres, D.F.M. Direct and inverse variational problems on time scales: A survey. In *Modeling, Dynamics, Optimization and Bioeconomics, II, Proceedings of the International Conference on Dynamics, Games and Science, Porto, Portugal, 17–21 February 2014*; Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; Volume 195, pp. 223–265, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-55236-1\_12. arXiv:1601.05111
25. Silva, C.J.; Torres, D.F.M. Two-dimensional Newton’s problem of minimal resistance. *Control Cybernet.* **2006**, *35*, 965–975. arXiv:math/0607197
26. Frederico, G.S.F.; Odziejewicz, T.; Torres, D.F.M. Noether’s theorem for non-smooth extremals of variational problems with time delay. *Appl. Anal.* **2014**, *93*, 153–170, doi:10.1080/00036811.2012.762090. arXiv:1212.4932
27. Ferreira, R.A.C.; Torres, D.F.M. Remarks on the calculus of variations on time scales. *Int. J. Ecol. Econ. Stat.* **2007**, *9*, 65–73. arXiv:0706.3152
28. Dryl, M.; Torres, D.F.M. A general delta-nabla calculus of variations on time scales with application to economics. *Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, *5*, 42–71, doi:10.1504/IJDSDE.2014.067108. arXiv:1410.1190
29. Jost, J. *Mathematical Methods in Biology and Neurobiology*; Universitext, Springer: London, UK, 2014; p. x+226, doi:10.1007/978-1-4471-6353-4.
30. Lemos-Paião, A.P.; Silva, C.J.; Torres, D.F.M.; Venturino, E. Optimal control of aquatic diseases: A case study of Yemen’s cholera outbreak. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2020**, *185*, 1008–1030, doi:10.1007/s10957-020-01668-z. arXiv:2004.07402
31. Grbić, T.; Medić, S.; Perović, A.; Mihailović, B.; Novković, N.; Duraković, N. A premium principle based on the  $g$ -integral. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **2017**, *35*, 465–477, doi:10.1080/07362994.2016.1267574.
32. Ünlüyol, E.; Salaş, S.; Işcan, I. A new view of some operators and their properties in terms of the non-Newtonian calculus. *Topol. Algebra Appl.* **2017**, *5*, 49–54, doi:10.1515/taa-2017-0008.
33. Tekin, S.; Başar, F. Certain sequence spaces over the non-Newtonian complex field. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, *2013*, 739319, doi:10.1155/2013/739319.
34. Grossman, M. *The First Nonlinear System of Differential and Integral Calculus*; MATHCO: Rockport, MA, USA, 1979; p. xi+85.
35. Grossman, M. *Bigeometric Calculus*; Archimedes Foundation: Rockport, MA, USA, 1983; p. vii+100.
36. Riza, M.; Aktöre, H. The Runge-Kutta method in geometric multiplicative calculus. *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **2015**, *18*, 539–554, doi:10.1112/S1461157015000145.
37. Boruah, K.; Hazarika, B. Some basic properties of bigeometric calculus and its applications in numerical analysis. *Afr. Mat.* **2021**, *32*, 211–227, doi:10.1007/s13370-020-00821-1.
38. Bashirov, A.E.; Norozpour, S. On complex multiplicative integration. *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.* **2017**, *7*, 82–93.
39. Waseem, M.; Aslam Noor, M.; Ahmed Shah, F.; Inayat Noor, K. An efficient technique to solve nonlinear equations using multiplicative calculus. *Turk. J. Math.* **2018**, *42*, 679–691, doi:10.3906/mat-1611-95.
40. Ali, M.A.; Abbas, M.; Zafar, A.A. On some Hermite-Hadamard integral inequalities in multiplicative calculus. *J. Inequal. Spec. Funct.* **2019**, *10*, 111–122.
41. Córdova-Lepe, F. From quotient operation toward a proportional calculus. *Int. J. Math. Game Theory Algebra* **2009**, *18*, 527–536.



42. Yazıcı, M.; Selvitopi, H. Numerical methods for the multiplicative partial differential equations. *Open Math.* **2017**, *15*, 1344–1350, doi:10.1515/math-2017-0113.
43. Boruah, K.; Hazarika, B. G-calculus. *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.* **2018**, *8*, 94–105.
44. Pap, E. Generalized real analysis and its applications. *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* **2008**, *47*, 368–386, doi:10.1016/j.ijar.2007.05.015.
45. Duyar, C.; Sağır, B.; Oğur, O. Some basic topological properties on Non-Newtonian real line. *Br. J. Math. Comput. Sci.* **2015**, *9*, 300–307.
46. Binbaşıoğlu, D.; Demiriz, S.; Türkoğlu, D. Fixed points of non-Newtonian contraction mappings on non-Newtonian metric spaces. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2016**, *18*, 213–224, doi:10.1007/s11784-015-0271-y.
47. Güngör, N. Some geometric properties of the non-Newtonian sequence spaces  $l_p(N)$ . *Math. Slovaca* **2020**, *70*, 689–696, doi:10.1515/ms-2017-0382.
48. Sağır, B.; Erdoğan, F. On non-Newtonian power series and its applications. *Konuralp J. Math.* **2020**, *8*, 294–303.
49. Burgin, M.; Czachor, M. *Non-diophantine Arithmetics in Mathematics, Physics and Psychology*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2021.
50. Plakhov, A.Y.; Torres, D.F.M. Newton's aerodynamic problem in media of chaotically moving particles. *Mat. Sb.* **2005**, *196*, 111–160, doi:10.1070/SM2005v196n06ABEH000904. arXiv:math/0407406
51. Torres, D.F.M.; Plakhov, A.Y. Optimal control of Newton-type problems of minimal resistance. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino* **2006**, *64*, 79–95. arXiv:math/0404237
52. Castillo, E.; Luceño, A.; Pedregal, P. Composition functionals in calculus of variations. Application to products and quotients. *Math. Model. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2008**, *18*, 47–75, doi:10.1142/S0218202508002607.
53. Riza, M.; Özyapıcı, A.; Misirli, E. Multiplicative finite difference methods. *Quart. Appl. Math.* **2009**, *67*, 745–754, doi:10.1090/S0033-569X-09-01158-2.
54. Yener, G.; Emiroglu, I. A  $q$ -analogue of the multiplicative calculus:  $q$ -multiplicative calculus. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **2015**, *8*, 1435–1450, doi:10.3934/dcdss.2015.8.1435.
55. Stanley, D. A multiplicative calculus. *Primus* **1999**, *9*, 310–326.
56. Filip, D.A.; Piatecki, C. A non-newtonian examination of the theory of exogenous economic growth. *Math. Aeterna* **2014**, *4*, 101–117.
57. Uzer, A. Multiplicative type complex calculus as an alternative to the classical calculus. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2010**, *60*, 2725–2737, doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2010.08.089.
58. Çakmak, A.F.; Başar, F. Certain spaces of functions over the field of non-Newtonian complex numbers. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, *2014*, 236124, doi:10.1155/2014/236124.
59. Bashirov, A.E.; Norozpour, S. On an alternative view to complex calculus. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2018**, *41*, 7313–7324, doi:10.1002/mma.4827.
60. Çakmak, A.F.; Başar, F. Some new results on sequence spaces with respect to non-Newtonian calculus. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2012**, *2012*, 228, doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-228.
61. Florack, L.; van Assen, H. Multiplicative calculus in biomedical image analysis. *J. Math. Imaging Vis.* **2012**, *42*, 64–75, doi:10.1007/s10851-011-0275-1.

## Short Biography of Author



**Delfim Fernando Marado Torres** is a Portuguese Mathematician born 16 August 1971 in Nampula, Portuguese Mozambique. He obtained a PhD in Mathematics from the University of Aveiro (UA) in 2002, and habilitation in Mathematics, UA, in 2011. He is a full professor of mathematics since 9 March 2015. He has been the Director of the R&D Unit CIDMA, the largest Portuguese research center for mathematics, and Coordinator of its Systems and Control Group. His main research areas are calculus of variations and optimal control; optimization; fractional derivatives and integrals; dynamic equations on time scales; and mathematical biology. Torres has written outstanding scientific and pedagogical publications. In particular, he has co-authored two books with Imperial College Press and three books with Springer. He has strong experience in graduate and post-graduate student supervision and teaching in mathematics. Twenty PhD students in mathematics have successfully finished under his supervision. Moreover, he has been the leading member in several national and international R&D projects, including EU projects and networks. Professor Torres has been, since 2013, the Director of the Doctoral Programme Consortium in Mathematics and Applications (MAP-PDMA) of Universities of Minho, Aveiro, and Porto. Delfim married 2003 and has one daughter and two sons.